### 1 Too much information? Asian Americans' preferences for incidental brain MRI findings

- 2 Karthik Kota, MD MPH,<sup>1,2</sup> Alice Dawson, MS, MA,<sup>1,3</sup> Julia Papas,<sup>1,3</sup> Victor Sotelo,<sup>1,3</sup> Guibin Su, MD,<sup>3</sup> Mei-
- 3 Ling Li, PhD, <sup>3</sup> Woowon Lee, <sup>3</sup> Jaunis Estervil, MD, <sup>3</sup> Melissa Marquez, <sup>3</sup> Shromona Sarkar, <sup>3</sup> Lisa Lanza
- 4 Lopez, PhD,<sup>3</sup> William T. Hu, MD, PhD, FAAN<sup>1,3</sup>
- 5 Departments of <sup>1</sup>Neurology and <sup>2</sup>Medicine, Rutgers-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, and <sup>3</sup>Center
- 6 for Healthy Aging, Institute for Health, Health Care Policy, and Aging Research, Rutgers Biomedical and
- 7 Health Sciences, New Brunswick, NJ, 08901.

8

- 9 Title character count: 85
- 10 Abstract word count: 288
- 11 Word count: 3399
- 12 References: 50
- 13 Tables: 3
- 14 Figures: 3
- 15
- 16 **Conflicts:** WTH has patents on CSF-based diagnosis of FTLD-TDP, prognosis of mild cognitive impairment
- 17 due to Alzheimer's disease, and prognosis of spinal muscular atrophy treatment; has consulted for
- 18 Biogen, Fujirebio Diagnostics, and Roche.

### 19 **Corresponding author**:

- 20 William T. Hu, MD, PhD, FAAN
- 21 Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences
- 22 112 Paterson Street, Room 462
- 23 New Brunswick, NJ 08901
- 24 Email: William.hu@rutgers.edu
- 25 Phone: 732-882-8594

# 1 ABSTRACT

- 2 INTRODUCTION: South Asian (SA) and East Asian (EA) older adults represent the fastest growing group
- 3 of Americans at risk for dementia, but their participation in aging and dementia research has been
- 4 limited. While recruiting healthy SA older adults into a brain health study, we encountered unexpected
- 5 hesitancy towards structural brain MRI analysis along with some stigmatizing attitudes related to
- 6 internal locus of control (LoC) for future dementia risks. We hypothesized that support for MRI-related
- 7 research was influenced by these attitudes as well as one's own MRI experience, perceived MRI safety,
- 8 and concerns for one's own risks for future dementia/stroke.
- 9 **METHODS:** We developed a brief cross-sectional survey to assess older adults' MRI experiences and
- 10 perceptions, desire to learn of six incidental findings of increasing health implications, and attitudes
- related to dementia as well as research participation. We recruited a convenience sample of 256
- 12 respondents (74% reporting as 50+) from the New Jersey/New York City area to complete the survey,
- 13 and modeled the proportional odds (P.O.) for pro-research attitudes.
- 14 **RESULTS:** 77 SA and 84 EA respondents were analyzed with 95 non-Asian adults. White (P.O.=2.54,
- p=0.013) and EA (P.O.=2.14, p=0.019) respondents were both more likely than SA respondents to
- 16 endorse healthy volunteers' participation in research, and the difference between White and SA
- 17 respondents was mediated by the latter's greater internal LoC for dementia risks. EA respondents had
- 18 more worries for future dementia/stroke than SA respondents (p=0.006), but still shared SA
- 19 respondents' low desire to learn of incidental MRI findings.
- 20 **DISCUSSION:** SA and EA older adults had different attitudes towards future dementia/stroke risks, but
- 21 shared a low desire to learn of incidental MRI findings. A culturally-appropriate protocol to disclose
- 22 incidental MRI findings may improve SA and EA participation in brain health research.
- 23
- 24 **KEYWORDS:** race/ethnicity; Asian; South Asian; East Asian; neuroimaging; MRI; incidental findings;
- 25 disclosure / ethics; clinical neurology; cognition; dementia; aged; immigrants; surveys and
- 26 questionnaires; informed consent / ethics
- 27
- 28 <u>Color printing</u>: Please have figure one and two be in color; figure three is in black and white
- 29 <u>Abbreviations:</u> East Asian (EA), South Asian (SA), Locus of Control (LoC), Principal Component Analysis
- 30 (PCA), Principal Component (PC)

### 1 **1**. Introduction

2	Asian Americans represent the fastest growing racial group in the U.S., nearly doubling in number from
3	2000 to 2019. Asian Americans report ancestry from East Asia, the Indian Subcontinent (South Asia),
4	and South East Asia, with over half of older Asian Americans living in California, New York, Texas, New
5	Jersey, and Washington.[1] Health record studies suggest older Asian Americans to have lower
6	prevalence of dementia diagnosis than older White adults, [2] but whether this is due to a difference in
7	dementia risk, detection, or stigma remains controversial.[3] This also contradicts the observation that
8	standardized dementia prevalence is comparable in Asia (5.63% in South to 7.15% in Southeast Asia),
9	Europe (4.65% in Central to 6.67% in Western Europe), and North America (6.77%), [4] but Asian
10	immigrants to the U.S. can differ from their counterparts in Asia according to educational
11	ascertainment, [5] socioeconomic status, [5] and health behaviors. [6] The most common causes of
12	dementia in older Asian Americans are also poorly understood. Among the Asian immigrant groups,
13	South Asian (SA) adults – primarily from India but also Bangladesh, Bhutan, the Maldives, Nepal,
14	Pakistan, and Sri Lanka – are noted in North American studies to have disproportionate atherosclerotic
15	and cardiovascular disease risks.[7] This potentially suggests greater vascular than degenerative
16	contribution to dementia among older SA adults; however, this assumption is complicated by
17	differential genetic risks, acculturation, [8] and greater stroke mortality among all Asian subgroups than
18	White adults.[9] Due to potential family objection to post-mortem neuropathological examination
19	related to religious reasons or knowledge,[10] ante-mortem biomarker studies are most likely to shed
20	insight into etiologies and mechanisms underlying dementia in older SA adults.
~ 4	

21

Structural brain MRI is a common clinical and research tool to detect cerebrovascular disease and
 neurodegeneration. Most discussions have focused on its safety in, rather than acceptability to,

1	participants.[11] This is especially relevant in aging studies, where older adults face serial high field or
2	one-time ultra-high field MRI analysis of increasing duration and complexity for research.[12] One
3	recent study showed aggregated Asian American respondents as likely as other groups to express
4	willingness to undergo research brain MRI,[13] but less is known about disaggregated East Asian (EA)
5	and SA adults' willingness. Limited literature shows low knowledge of the non-radiation nature of MRI
6	in China[14] and nocebo effects of overly clinical MRI reports on patient outcomes in India.[15] How
7	these factors translate to North America remains largely unknown.

8

Beyond willingness to participate, incidental findings on brain MRI are identified in 1.7-4.3% of research
scans.[16] Disclosure and follow-up of incidental research MRI findings continue to be a relatively
under-examined topic,[17-19] with mainstream protocols in the U.S. and U.K. following regulatory
guidelines consistent with Western legal and ethical framework.[19-21] At the same time, their cultural
relevance may be overlooked when diverse older adults are recruited into aging research. While these
cultural factors may get less attention in clinical settings, their impact on research participation and trust
in researchers – especially among diverse older adults – remains unexplored.

16

In the course of recruiting diverse older adults into a prospective memory and aging study in the New Jersey/New York City (NJ/NYC) area, we encountered significant concerns from potential SA participants about the study's MRI component. Although MRI was previously considered a broadly acceptable noninvasive modality in the U.S. and U.K., prior studies have not included large numbers of older SA adults.[22] To better understand factors associated with MRI avoidance in SA older adults, we developed a short survey to examine past MRI experiences (brain or body); desire to learn of incidental findings on brain MRI; and attitudes towards brain MRI safety, dementia, and research participation.

1	For attitude-related questions, we emphasized several shared beliefs among SA older adults related to
2	focused on fear of future dementia since it is a known risk factor affecting dementia research
3	participation.[23, 24] Locus of control (LoC) refers to the belief that an individual's actions affects their
4	health outcome, and those with a high internal LoC tend to utilize less health care.[25] Relevant to this
5	study, SA adults have been found to participate less in health activities due to self-perceived high
6	LoC.[26] We hypothesized that SA older adults have low prior exposure to MRI, a low wish to learn of
7	incidental MRI findings, the belief that MRI is harmful, and high internal LoC related to brain health,
8	which lead to their low willingness to participate in MRI-related research. Since shared as well as
9	distinct experiences (e.g., immigration) and cultural beliefs among different U.S. Asian ethnic groups
10	shape perception and stigma associated with dementia and brain health,[27] we additionally recruited
11	EA and non-Asian older adults to test this hypothesis.
12	
13	2. Materials and Methods
14	2.1 Consent statement
15	The anonymous MRI survey did not collect protected health information, and the study was considered
16	exempt human subject research by Advarra Institutional Review Board.
17	
18	2.2 Survey
19	Our cross-sectional MRI survey collected information on racial/ethnic (option to select from Hispanic,
20	White, Black, East Asian [e.g., Chinese, Korean], South Asian, Other with write-in space) and age
20 21	White, Black, East Asian [e.g., Chinese, Korean], South Asian, Other with write-in space) and age categories (<50, 50-59, 60-69, 70-79, 80+); experience with any or brain MRI (yes, no, not sure);

1 >50%); and ten Likert-scale questions on attitudes related to research and brain health, administered via 2 paper (n = 156) and online (n = 100). The two research-related questions were: "Healthy people do not 3 need to participate in medical research, because there is no direct benefit to them"; "After my name is 4 removed from my brain scan, I am comfortable with the idea of scientists [who are not all physicians] I have never met examine the images." Brain health-related questions included three on dementia risk 5 6 factors previously mentioned during community meetings with SA older adults ("A diet low in meat is 7 effective in preventing Alzheimer's disease and dementia"; "Brain diseases like Alzheimer's and dementia 8 only happen to people who don't regularly exercise their brains [e.g., through reading];" "Only people 9 with diabetes or strokes will develop dementia"), two on MRI safety ("Putting a person in a strong 10 magnetic field cannot cause long-term physical harm"; "Putting a person in a strong magnetic field 11 cannot cause long-term mental harm"), and one each on forgetfulness ("Forgetting conversations and 12 appointments I don't care about is a normal part of aging"), concerns about one's own future brain 13 health ("I am afraid that I might have dementia or strokes in the future")[28] and dementia-related 14 stigma ("People with dementia should be separated from society for their and our safety") based on 15 their relationships to research participation.[29] Since the meaning of survey-based hypothetical 16 willingness to participate in research has been criticized, we did not include a question on 17 willingness.[30] All statements were examined for wording, clarity, and potential for misinterpretation; 18 piloted in 13 volunteers (seven SA older adults); and revised for clarity (one question). No pilot data 19 were included for subsequent analyses. Surveys were translated into Chinese, Korean, and Spanish 20 through forward and backward translation, followed by proofing by bilingual project scientists fluent in 21 these languages. We did not translate the surveys into SA languages since there were too many to offer 22 equitable representation, and did not have study personnel who were fluent and could guarantee 23 cultural appropriateness and freedom from bias for the surveys. Surveys took three to five minutes to 24 complete.

1

### 2 2.3 Participants

- 3 A convenience sample of participants was recruited to complete the survey between August 2022 and 4 January 2023. Potential participants were recruited from Rutgers General Internal Medicine Clinic (n=8), 5 Rutgers Neurology Clinic (n=37), community events related to aging and health disparities research 6 (n=64), and direct solicitation through research registries and word-of-mouth referrals (n=147). 7 Participants were eligible if they could read and respond to questions in English, Chinese (simplified or 8 traditional), Korean, and Spanish. We primarily recruited participants who were likely 50 years of age or 9 older. Respondents were told the study's purpose was to "understand attitudes and knowledge about 10 MRI and dementia." Participants were not offered incentives.
- 11

### 12 2.4 Statistical analysis

13 All statistical analysis was conducted in SPSS 28.0 (IBM-SPSS, Armonk, NY). Differences between groups 14 were analyzed by Chi-squared tests for variables of categorical nature (e.g., race/ethnicity, source of 15 recruitment) or regression analysis (e.g., ordinal for belief that healthy people should volunteer, linear 16 for summary measures). There were 28 EA participants responding in simplified or traditional Chinese, 17 and 13 EA participants responding in Korean. EA responding in Chinese or self-identified Chinese 18 ethnicity (n = 50) and EA responding in Korean or self-identified Korean ethnicity (n = 16) were older 19 than EA respondents who responded in English or did not specify ethnicity (n = 18; median age 60-69 vs. 20 <50), but were otherwise similar in MRI experience and attitudes. We thus analyzed all three 21 subgroups together as one EA group. No participant responded to the Spanish survey. 7 respondents 22 reported Other race/ethnicity, and were excluded from analyses according to race/ethnicity.

1

2	For attitudes related to research, responses to the two Likert-scale questions on research participation
3	were analyzed as dependent variables in ordinal regression models. Models involving five response
4	categories for healthy people as research participants met the parallel regression assumption. Models
5	involving sharing de-identified MRI did not meet the parallel regression assumption when five categories
6	were used, but did meet the assumption with three categories (disagree, neutral, agree), which may
7	reflect effects of extreme answers. Ordinal outcomes involving these three categories were thus used
8	for sharing de-identified MRI. For logistic and ordinal regression, odds ratio (O.R.) and proportional
9	odds (P.O.) are reported with 95% confidence interval (CI).
10	
11	For attitudes related to brain health, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) on the eight
12	Likert-scale questions. Principal component (PC) scores were calculated, analyzed across racial/ethnic
13	groups using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA), and then analyzed across age categories using ordinal
14	regression analysis. PC scores were also entered into regression models of attitudes on research
15	participation, and PC scores which differed between racial/ethnic groups were additionally entered into
16	mediation analyses.
17	

For attitudes towards incidental MRI findings (Table 2) and regression-based mediation analysis (Table 4), a sample size of 249 (excluding self-identified "Other" respondents) was sufficient to achieve power of 0.91 to detect an effect size of 0.3 at  $\alpha$  of 0.05. For comparison of PCs, a sample size of 249 was sufficient to achieve power of 0.88 to detect an effect size of 0.20 at  $\alpha$  of 0.05.

22

### 1 3. Results

#### 2 **3.1 MRI experiences and facts**

3 Among 256 respondents, 33%, 30%, 21%, 7%, and 6% identified as EA, SA, White, Black, and Hispanic

4 respectively. 66 (26%) reported age under 50 (Table 1), and Hispanic respondents were younger than SA

5 respondents (p=0.020). White respondents were more likely recruited via registries and word-of-mouth

6 referral than non-White respondents (100% vs 67%, p<0.001). The respondents were otherwise similar

7 in age and recruitment source.

8

9	There was no difference in perceived prevalence of incidental brain IVIRI findings (p=0.320), with most
10	(76%) over-estimating the prevalence of incidental finding. A minority believed MRI could increase
11	future cancer risks (12.5%; no difference by race/ethnicity, p=0.226). Compared to SA respondents,
12	White respondents were more likely to have had any MRI (OR 2.91, 95% CI 1.29-6.58, adjusting for age
13	and referral source; Table 1) or brain MRI (OR 3.82, 95% CI 2.20-6.62; Table 1).

14

#### 15 **3.2 Incidental findings on brain MRI**

Most respondents (229/249, 92%) wished to learn about one or more incidental findings on their own brain MRI. SA and EA respondents expressed the lowest, while White respondents expressed the highest, desire to be informed of six incidental brain MRI findings (Fig 1). The greatest differences existed in benign as well as serious incidental findings. Relative to SA respondents, wishing to be informed of more incidental brain MRI findings was associated with White race (B=1.28, 95% CI 0.53-1.92, p<0.001) but not EA ethnicity. Age and recruitment site did not influence the desire to learn additional incidental findings.

1

- Of note, 35% of respondents did not wish to learn of serious MRI findings with effective treatments, and
   52% did not wish to learn of uncertain findings requiring further testing.
- 4

### 5 **3.3** Attitudes towards research participation, dementia, and MRI

- 6 208 participants (81%) provided complete responses to the ten Likert-scale questions. Concerning
- 7 research participation, 139 (67%) disagreed with the statement "Healthy people do not need to
- 8 participate in medical research, because there is no direct benefit to them" (Table 1, Research Q1),
- 9 possibly reflecting the convenient nature of this cohort. Similarly, 115 (55%) agreed with the statement
- 10 *"After my name is removed from my brain scan, I am comfortable with the idea of scientists (who are not*
- 11 all physicians) I have never met examine the images" (Table 1, Research Q2). Compared to SA
- 12 respondents, White respondents were more likely to believe healthy people should volunteer
- 13 (P.O.=2.54, 95% CI 1.22-5.32, p=0.013) and share de-identified MRI for research (P.O.=2.33, 95% CI 1.45-
- 14 3.74, p<0.001; adjusting for age). EA respondents also were more likely than SA respondents to believe

15 healthy people should volunteer (P.O.=2.14 95% Cl 1.13-4.05, p=0.019).

16

For the remaining Likert scale questions on attitudes related to brain health (Fig 2), PCA identified three PCs (Table 3): long-term MRI safety (PC1), internal LoC for developing dementia (PC2), and respondents' own worries for future dementia/stroke risks (PC3). Compared to SA respondents, White respondents had lower internal LoC for dementia (p=0.043), and EA (p=0.006) and Hispanic (p=0.019) respondents had greater worries for future dementia/stroke risks. Respondents 50+ also believed in greater internal

1	LoC than those <50 (p=0.026), but there was otherwise no difference among the older groups (50-59,
2	60-69, 70-79, 80+).

3

### 4 3.4 Factors Associated with Favorable Research Attitudes

5 We next analyzed whether the three PCs might mediate the difference in research-related attitudes 6 between SA and non-SA respondents.[29] Compared to SA respondents, we found White – but not EA – 7 respondents to associate dementia risks with less internal LoC and this mediated the difference in 8 attitudes towards healthy volunteers between the two groups (Fig 3A, 3B). In contrast, greater worry 9 for future dementia/strokes was associated with more willingness to share de-identified MRI for 10 research, but could not account for the greater willingness in White than SA respondents (Fig 3C, 3D).

11

#### 12 **3.5** Factors associated with disclosure of incidental MRI findings

Finally, we assessed whether MRI experience and attitudes related to dementia and research could explain differences in desire to learn of incidental MRI findings between racial/ethnic groups (Fig 1). Older age (60+) was associated with lower wish to learn of serious incidental findings regardless of treatment availability, and worry over future dementia/stroke risks was generally associated with greater desire to learn of uncertain as well as serious findings (Table 2). However, even after adjusting for age group, recruitment site, worry of future dementia/stroke, and perceived MRI safety, low desire among SA and EA respondents to learn of incidental MRI findings persisted (Table 2).

20

#### 21 4. Discussion

1 Here we surveyed a cohort of older Asian and non-Asian Americans to better characterize their attitudes 2 towards aging brain health research participation. We found both SA and EA respondents to have less 3 interest in learning about incidental MRI findings than non-Asian respondents, and this difference was 4 not influenced by prior MRI experience, perceived MRI safety, or dementia-related attitudes. SA 5 respondents also showed relatively less support for healthy research volunteers and sharing their own 6 de-identified MRI with researchers. Since disease- and experience-related factors poorly accounted for 7 attitudes related to research between Asian and White adults, further studies are necessary to identify 8 broader cultural and social factors which underlie these notable differences.

9

10 Aging studies involving EA[31] and SA [32] older adults are starting to generate epidemiological insight 11 into psychosocial factors influencing brain health in these populations, but neuroimaging data – 12 including willingness to undergo advanced brain imaging – are lacking.[33] With some exceptions,[34, 13 35] cross-racial/ethnic studies on dementia attitudes (not including those which only assessed one 14 racial/ethnic group) have historically recruited mostly White, Black, and Hispanic participants. We did 15 not find a difference in perception of MRI safety among racial/ethnic groups, but we found greater 16 belief of internal LoC for dementia risks among SA respondents. We did identify greater worry for future 17 dementia/stroke among EA respondents, in keeping with findings from small prior studies on EA 18 immigrants and dementia caregivers. [36, 37] However, we found similarly low desire to learn about 19 incidental MRI findings among EA and SA respondents. One potential explanation is that worries over 20 future disease risks manifest differently between EA and SA adults. For example, whereas EA adults in 21 diabetes prevention research noted wish to maintain social harmony as a barrier to participation, [38] SA 22 adults would not participate due to a high belief in personal efficacy (e.g., can exercise diabetes away, so 23 do not need to do prevention).[26] If so, "I don't want to know" associated with fear and "I don't need

to know" associated with low self-perceived risks need to be better distinguished when considering
disclosure of incidental findings in aging research.

3

It is further noteworthy that immigration history and the surrounding ("host") culture can additionally
influence older Asian adults' behaviors. For example, one study found older Chinese adults' dementiarelated worries to correlate with knowledge among those living in Melbourne, but not those in
Beijing.[39] Since past as well as current structural factors often regulate the regional entry of
immigrant groups, studies involving EA and SA older adults in other U.S. regions will be necessary to
pinpoint generalizable cultural and immigration-related elements underlying these group-level
behavioral differences.

11

12 The variable desire to learn of incidental MRI findings among racial/ethnic groups underscores the 13 urgency for thoughtful flexibility. Standards for disclosure of incidental findings in U.S. biomedical 14 research abide by the ethical threshold of "actionability." [40] Actionability can be determined according 15 to well-established medical actions, patient/participant-initiated health-related actions, and life-plan 16 decisions.[41] Challenges in a one-size-fit-all disclosure strategy in brain imaging were noted as early as 17 2002.[11, 18, 20] We could find no culturally-sensitive algorithm to determine if, how, and how many 18 incidental findings from research MRI should be disclosed to older Asian adults living in the U.S. or U.K.; 19 practices vary in Chinese aging studies without influence from prevalence of incidental findings.[42, 43] 20 Multiple models have been proposed in disclosing genomic information to balance truth-telling and 21 doing-no-harm. [44] An "ask-tell-ask" approach might be appropriate in MRI research involving healthy 22 volunteers, [45] but stakeholder focus groups, [46] improved health literacy, [47] and incidental finding 23 committees[48] are all potential solutions. Yet, none of these methods has been empirically tested in

multi-cultural settings. It is also possible that, after trustworthy exposure to medical research and
asymptomatic disease detection, those who choose not to learn of incidental findings will shift their
preference in the same way the medical providers did for disclosing cancer diagnosis in the second half
of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century.[49] Therefore, picking a single convenient model may underestimate the cultural
adaptability of Asian older adults and asymmetrically place the onus of informed consent on the
research participants, even if a flexible or progressive disclosure model requires further evidence
generation and refinement.

8

9 This study's overall sample size is similar to previous ones analyzing disclosure of incidental MRI 10 findings, [19, 50] but the convenience sample has a number of limitations. Importantly, we could not 11 explain the different wishes for disclosure between Asian and White respondents despite assessing 12 several attitude-related domains, nor why EA respondents had greater support for healthy people as 13 research volunteers than SA respondents. We had to limit our surveys' length based on Asian older 14 adults' hesitancy in research participation, at the cost of collecting more detailed demographic and 15 socio-behavioral data. Gendered role (traditional and US-based), acculturation, socio-economic status 16 (challenging to assess in both retired persons and immigrants), and prior employment in medicine or 17 medical research are among factors which can influence attitudes. The geographic concentration of EA 18 and SA adults in the NJ/NYC made this study feasible, but our findings may not generalize to other U.S. 19 regions (especially those with different SA and EA immigration history). We performed PCA to derive 20 summary measures related to attitudes, but we did not assess the Likert scale questions' reliability over 21 time. Finally, while Hispanic and Black respondents also showed potentially distinguishing trends, these 22 two groups – as well as people of mixed or other race/ethnicity – were too small in number to explore 23 potential causes for such trends.

1
Ŧ

2	In conclusion, we found similar preferences related to incidental MRI findings between SA and EA adults
3	despite quite different support for research participation, internal LoC for dementia risks, and worries
4	for future dementia/stroke. Based on these and prior findings, we caution researchers in generalizing
5	the linkage between perceptions and behaviors from one ethnic group to another, and call for more
6	flexible – and potentially individual-based – tailoring of incidental finding disclosure in MRI-related
7	research.
8	
9	Acknowledgements
10	We thank the South Asian Total Health Initiative and RWJBarnabas Health Chinese Medical Program for
11	their support in engaging older Asian adults.
12	
13	Conflicts
13	
14	WTH has patents on CSF-based diagnosis of FTLD-TDP, prognosis of mild cognitive impairment due to
15	Alzheimer's disease, and prognosis of spinal muscular atrophy treatment; has consulted for Biogen,
16	Fujirebio Diagnostics, and Roche.
17	
18	Funding Sources
10	
19	This work has been supported by NIH R24 AG063729, NIH P30 AG059304, Rutgers Center for Advanced
20	Human Brain Imaging Research, and Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences.

## 1 References

- 2 [1] Budiman A, Ruiz NG. Key facts about Asian Americans, a diverse and growing population. Pew
- 3 Research Center; 2021.
- 4 [2] Mayeda ER, Glymour MM, Quesenberry CP, Jr., Whitmer RA. Heterogeneity in 14-year Dementia
- 5 Incidence Between Asian American Subgroups. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 2017;31:181-6.
- 6 [3] Lee S, Kim D, Lee H. Examine Race/Ethnicity Disparities in Perception, Intention, and Screening of
- 7 Dementia in a Community Setting: Scoping Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19.
- 8 [4] Prince M, Wimo A, Guerchet M, Ali GC, Wu YT, Prina M. The Global Impact of Dementia-An analysis
- 9 of prevalence, incidence, cost and trends. World Alzheimer's Report. London: Alzheimer's Disease
- 10 International; 2015.
- 11 [5] Lee J, Zhou M. The Asian American achievement paradox. New York, NY, US: Russell Sage
- 12 Foundation; 2015.
- 13 [6] Chou CF, Johnson PJ, Blewett LA. Immigration and selected indicators of health status and healthcare
- 14 utilization among the Chinese. J Immigr Minor Health. 2010;12:470-9.
- 15 [7] Volgman AS, Palaniappan LS, Aggarwal NT, Gupta M, Khandelwal A, Krishnan AV, et al.
- 16 Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease in South Asians in the United States: Epidemiology, Risk Factors,
- and Treatments: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2018;138:e1-e34.
- 19 [8] Needham BL, Mukherjee B, Bagchi P, Kim C, Mukherjea A, Kandula NR, et al. Acculturation Strategies
- 20 Among South Asian Immigrants: The Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America
- 21 (MASALA) Study. J Immigr Minor Health. 2017;19:373-80.
- 22 [9] Jose PO, Frank AT, Kapphahn KI, Goldstein BA, Eggleston K, Hastings KG, et al. Cardiovascular disease
- 23 mortality in Asian Americans. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:2486-94.
- 24 [10] Pawar MN, Suryawanshi DM, Kumar JP. Myths and misconceptions about medico-legal autopsies
- among the people of Tamilnadu, India. J Forensic Leg Med. 2015;34:159-63.
- 26 [11] Kulynych J. Legal and ethical issues in neuroimaging research: human subjects protection, medical
- 27 privacy, and the public communication of research results. Brain Cogn. 2002;50:345-57.
- 28 [12] Guo H, Siu W, D'Arcy RC, Black SE, Grajauskas LA, Singh S, et al. MRI assessment of whole-brain
- structural changes in aging. Clin Interv Aging. 2017;12:1251-70.
- 30 [13] Salazar CR, Hoang D, Gillen DL, Grill JD. Racial and ethnic differences in older adults' willingness to
- 31 be contacted about Alzheimer's disease research participation. Alzheimers Dement (N Y).
- 32 2020;6:e12023.
- 33 [14] Sin HK, Wong CS, Huang B, Yiu KL, Wong WL, Chu YC. Assessing local patients' knowledge and
- awareness of radiation dose and risks associated with medical imaging: a questionnaire study. J Med
   Imaging Radiat Oncol. 2013;57:38-44.
- 36 [15] Rajasekaran S, Dilip Chand Raja S, Pushpa BT, Ananda KB, Ajoy Prasad S, Rishi MK. The
- 37 catastrophization effects of an MRI report on the patient and surgeon and the benefits of 'clinical
- reporting': results from an RCT and blinded trials. Eur Spine J. 2021;30:2069-81.
- 39 [16] Morris Z, Whiteley WN, Longstreth WT, Jr., Weber F, Lee YC, Tsushima Y, et al. Incidental findings on
- 40 brain magnetic resonance imaging: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2009;339:b3016.
- 41 [17] Hayward R. VOMIT (victims of modern imaging technology)—an acronym for our times. BMJ.
- 42 2003;326:1273.
- 43 [18] Wardlaw JM, Davies H, Booth TC, Laurie G, Compston A, Freeman C, et al. Acting on incidental
- 44 findings in research imaging. BMJ. 2015;351:h5190.

- 1 [19] Phillips JP, Cole C, Gluck JP, Shoemaker JM, Petree L, Helitzer D, et al. Stakeholder Opinions And
- Ethical Perspectives Support Complete Disclosure Of Incidental Findings In MRI Research. Ethics Behav.
   2015;25:332-50.
- 4 [20] Booth TC. Incidental findings on imaging. BMJ. 2018;361:k2611.
- 5 [21] Office for Human Research Protections. Attachment F Recommendations on Reporting Incidental
- 6 Findings. In: Services USDoHaH, editor.2017.
- 7 [22] Puyol-Anton E, Ruijsink B, Mariscal Harana J, Piechnik SK, Neubauer S, Petersen SE, et al. Fairness in
- 8 Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Assessing Sex and Racial Bias in Deep Learning-Based
- 9 Segmentation. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022;9:859310.
- 10 [23] Grill JD, Karlawish J, Elashoff D, Vickrey BG. Risk disclosure and preclinical Alzheimer's disease
- 11 clinical trial enrollment. Alzheimers Dement. 2013;9:356-9 e1.
- 12 [24] Coley N, Coniasse-Brioude D, Igier V, Fournier T, Poulain JP, Andrieu S, et al. Disparities in the
- 13 participation and adherence of older adults in lifestyle-based multidomain dementia prevention and the
- 14 motivational role of perceived disease risk and intervention benefits: an observational ancillary study to
- a randomised controlled trial. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2021;13:157.
- 16 [25] Kesavayuth D, Poyago-Theotoky J, Tran DB, Zikos V. Locus of control, health and healthcare
- 17 utilization. Econ Model. 2020;86:227-38.
- 18 [26] Vlaar EM, Nierkens V, Nicolaou M, Middelkoop BJ, Stronks K, van Valkengoed IG. Risk perception is
- not associated with attendance at a preventive intervention for type 2 diabetes mellitus among South
  Asians at risk of diabetes. Public Health Nutr. 2015;18:1109-18.
- 21 [27] Liang J, Jang Y, Aranda MP. Stigmatising beliefs about Alzheimer's disease: Findings from the Asian
- 22 American Quality of Life Survey. Health Soc Care Community. 2021;29:1483-90.
- [28] Yun SW, Maxfield M. Correlates of Dementia-related Anxiety: Self-Perceived Dementia Risk and
   Ageism. Educ Gerontol. 2020;46:563-74.
- [29] Bardach SH, Kent S, Jicha GA. Alzheimer Disease Worries, Fears, and Stigma and Their Relationship
- to Genetic and Interventional Research Engagement. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 2021;35:75-9.
- 27 [30] Chu SH, Kim EJ, Jeong SH, Park GL. Factors associated with willingness to participate in clinical trials:
- a nationwide survey study. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:10.
- 29 [31] Chang ES, Dong X. A battery of tests for assessing cognitive function in U.S. Chinese older adults--
- 30 findings from the PINE Study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014;69 Suppl 2:S23-30.
- 31 [32] Kanaya AM, Kandula N, Herrington D, Budoff MJ, Hulley S, Vittinghoff E, et al. Mediators of
- 32 Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America (MASALA) study: objectives, methods, and cohort
- description. Clin Cardiol. 2013;36:713-20.
- 34 [33] Lockhart SN, Schaich CL, Craft S, Sachs BC, Rapp SR, Jung Y, et al. Associations among vascular risk
- 35 factors, neuroimaging biomarkers, and cognition: Preliminary analyses from the Multi-Ethnic Study of
- 36 Atherosclerosis (MESA). Alzheimers Dement. 2022;18:551-60.
- [34] Suzuki R, Goebert D, Ahmed I, Lu B. Folk and biological perceptions of dementia among Asian ethnic
   minorities in Hawaii. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2015;23:589-95.
- 39 [35] Zhai S, Kim B, Li J, Wi D, Chey S, Li G, et al. Perceptions and Beliefs of Memory Loss and Dementia
- 40 Among Korean, Samoan, Cambodian, and Chinese Older Adults: A Cross-Cultural Qualitative Study. J
- 41 Gerontol Nurs. 2022;48:40-8.
- 42 [36] Gray HL, Jimenez DE, Cucciare MA, Tong HQ, Gallagher-Thompson D. Ethnic differences in beliefs
- regarding Alzheimer disease among dementia family caregivers. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2009;17:925 33.
- 45 [37] Lee SE, Lee HY, Diwan S. What do Korean American immigrants know about Alzheimer's disease
- 46 (AD)? The impact of acculturation and exposure to the disease on AD knowledge. Int J Geriatr
- 47 Psychiatry. 2010;25:66-73.

- 1 [38] Li-Geng T, Kilham J, McLeod KM. Cultural Influences on Dietary Self-Management of Type 2
- 2 Diabetes in East Asian Americans: A Mixed-Methods Systematic Review. Health Equity. 2020;4:31-42.
- 3 [39] Zhao M, Lv X, Lin X, You E, Zhang H, Ellis KA, et al. Dementia knowledge and associated factors
- 4 among older Chinese adults: a cross-national comparison between Melbourne and Beijing. Int
- 5 Psychogeriatr. 2021;33:1057-67.
- [40] OHRP. Attachment F Recommendations on reporting incidental findings. In: Services DoHaH,
   editor.2017.
- 8 [41] Moret C, Mauron A, Fokstuen S, Makrythanasis P, Hurst SA. Defining categories of actionability for
- 9 secondary findings in next-generation sequencing. J Med Ethics. 2017;43:346-9.
- 10 [42] Li S, Fang F, Cui M, Jiang Y, Wang Y, Kong X, et al. Incidental findings on brain MRI among Chinese at
- 11 the age of 55-65 years: the Taizhou Imaging Study. Sci Rep. 2019;9:464.
- 12 [43] Wang L, Lin H, Peng Y, Zhao Z, Chen L, Wu L, et al. Incidental Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- Findings and the Cognitive and Motor Performance in the Elderly: The Shanghai Changfeng Study. Front
   Neurosci. 2021;15:631087.
- 15 [44] Appelbaum PS, Parens E, Waldman CR, Klitzman R, Fyer A, Martinez J, et al. Models of consent to
- 16 return of incidental findings in genomic research. Hastings Cent Rep. 2014;44:22-32.
- 17 [45] Back AL, Arnold RM, Baile WF, Tulsky JA, Fryer-Edwards K. Approaching difficult communication
- 18 tasks in oncology. CA Cancer J Clin. 2005;55:164-77.
- 19 [46] Cole C, Petree LE, Phillips JP, Shoemaker JM, Holdsworth M, Helitzer DL. 'Ethical responsibility' or 'a
- 20 whole can of worms': differences in opinion on incidental finding review and disclosure in neuroimaging
- research from focus group discussions with participants, parents, IRB members, investigators, physicians
- and community members. J Med Ethics. 2015;41:841-7.
- [47] Rancher CE, Shoemaker JM, Petree LE, Holdsworth M, Phillips JP, Helitzer DL. Disclosing
- neuroimaging incidental findings: a qualitative thematic analysis of health literacy challenges. BMC Med
   Ethics. 2016;17:58.
- 26 [48] Bhaskar SMM. An Equity and Justice-Informed Ethical Framework to Guide Incidental Findings in
- 27 Brain Imaging Research. Clin Pract. 2023;13:116-24.
- 28 [49] Sisk B, Frankel R, Kodish E, Harry Isaacson J. The Truth about Truth-Telling in American Medicine: A
- 29 Brief History. Perm J. 2016;20:15-219.
- 30 [50] Shoemaker JM, Cole C, Petree LE, Helitzer DL, Holdsworth MT, Gluck JP, et al. Evolution of universal
- review and disclosure of MRI reports to research participants. Brain Behav. 2016;6:e00428.
- 32

# 1 Table 1. Survey respondents' demographic information, MRI experience, wish to learn of

# 2 incidental MRI finding, and research-related attitudes. 66 (78%) East Asian participants

3 volunteered ethnicity information or responded in a specific language (Chinese, Korean), and

- 4 their responses are shown within each ethnic grouping but also as one East Asian group.
- 5 Median age category in each racial/ethnic group was 60-69 except for East Asian, NOS (<50);
- 6 Hispanic (50-59); and Other (<50). (\* One Hispanic respondent did not provide age)
- 7

		Chinese or	Korean or	EA, NOS or					
	Total	EA	EA	EA					
	East	responding	responding	responding	South				
	Asian	in Chinese	in Korean	in English	Asian	White	Black	Hispanic	Other
	(n=84)	(n=50)	(n=16)	(n=18)	(n=77)	(n=54)	(n=19)	(n=15)	(n=7)
Age									
<50	22	5	5	12	13	14	5	7*	5
50-59	14	9	2	3	7	10	3	0	0
60-69	25	17	6	2	35	16	2	6	1
70-79	18	15	3	0	17	11	4	1	1
80+	4	3	0	1	5	3	4	0	0
Had any prior MR	42	24	8	10	39	39	13	9	3
(%)	(50%)	(48%)	(50%)	(56%)	(51%)	(72%)	(68%)	(60%)	(43%)
Had a prior brain	23	13	5	5	16	26	4	5	2
MRI (%)	(27%)	(26%)	(31%)	(28%)	(21%)	(48%)	(21%)	(33%)	(29%)
Wish to learn of inc	idental MRI	findings							
Entirely benign	24	17	3	4	27	29	8	12	4
	(29%)	(34%)	(19%)	(22%)	(35%)	(54%)	(42%)	(80%)	(57%)
Past injury, no	22	15	2	5	29	31	8	7	4
longer threat	(26%)	(30%)	(13%)	(28%)	(38%)	(57%)	(42%)	(47%)	(57%)
Common findings,	42	26	8	8	45	33	10	9	5
can improve	(50%)	(52%)	(50%)	(44%)	(58%)	(61%)	(53%)	(60%)	(71%)
health									
Unclear findings,	29	15	7	7	33	39	8	10	4
need more	(35%)	(30%)	(44%)	(39%)	(43%)	(72%)	(42%)	(67%)	(57%)
testing									
Serious finding	50	25	11	14	44	43	12	12	5
withtreatment	(59%)	(50%)	(69%)	(78%)	(57%)	(80%)	(63%)	(80%)	(71%)
Serious finding	25	13	4	8	27	35	8	7	4
with no	(30%)	(26%)	(25%)	(44%)	(35%)	(65%)	(42%)	(47%)	(57%)
treatments									
Research Q1	n=78	n=47	n=16	n=15	n=70	n=47	n=14	n=15	n=7
Strongly agree	7	5	0	2	11	1	0	2	0
Agree	3	1	2	0	6	3	2	1	1
Neutral	11	7	4	0	14	7	4	2	1
Disagree	12	7	3	2	12	10	3	7	1
Strongly disagree	45	27	7	11	27	26	5	3	4
Research Q2	n=78	n=47	n=16	n=15	n=68	n=46	n=14	n=15	n=7
Strongly agree	32	18	9	5	21	18	0	6	1
Agree	14	9	3	2	10	17	2	1	3
Neutra	15	9	3	3	18	8	4	7	0
Disagree	9	5	1	3	10	0	3	0	1
Strongly disagree	8	6	0	2	9	3	5	1	2

- **Table 2.** Factors associated with desire to learn of different incidental MRI findings. Values
- 2 shown are odds ratio with 95% CI and p-values. Model for entirely benign findings not shown
- 3 as it was not influenced by any factor other than racial/ethnic category.

	Past injury	Unclear findings	Serious findings with effective	Serious findings with no effective
_			treatment	treatment
Race				
South Asian	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Hispanic	0.99 (0.74, 1.32),	1.27 (0.96, 1.69),	1.16 (0.86-1.59),	0.99 (0.75-1.31),
	p=0.940	p=0.096	p=0.318	p=0.947
White	1.24 (1.04-1.48), n=0.017	1.44 (1.21, 1.73), p<0.001	1.25 (1.04-1.51), n=0.018	1.37 (1.15-1.62), p<0.001
Black	p = 0.017 1 05 (0 78-1 42)	1 07 (0 79 1 44)	$p = 0.0 \pm 0$ 1 10 (0 80-1 51)	
DIACK	n=0.751	n=0.660	n=0.541	n=0.893
Fact Asian	0.84 (0.72-0.99)	0.93 (0.80 1.10)	p=0.5+1 1 00 (0 85-1 17)	0.90(0.77-1.05)
Last Asian	n=0.037	n=0.409	n=0.986	n=0.180
Δαρ	p=0.007	p=0.405	p=0.500	p=0.100
<50	Reference	N.S.	Reference	Reference
50-59	1.08 (0.88-1.34),		0.94 (0.77-1.16),	0.94 (0.76-1.16),
	p=0.451		p=0.572	p=0.555
60-69	0.96 (0.81-1.14),		0.86 (0.73-1.02),	0.76 (0.64-0.89),
	p=0.650		p=0.078	p=0.001
70+	0.83 (0.69-1.00),		0.77 (0.65-0.92),	0.70 (0.59-0.84),
	p=0.052		p=0.005	p<0.001
<b>Recruitment Site</b>				
Events	N.S.	N.S.	Reference	N.S.
Community			1.27 (1.09-1.49).	
Contacts			p=0.003	
Clinic			1.14 (0.90-1.45).	
			p=0.261	
Worry of future	1.09 (1.02-1.16).	1.08 (1.01, 1.15).	1.08 (1.01-1.15).	1.06 (1.00-1.13).
, dementia/stroke	p=0.010	p=0.025	p=0.024	p=0.058
MRI safe	1.09 (1.02-1.16),	1.07 (1.01, 1.14),	N.S.	1.10 (1.03-1.17),
	p=0.012	p=0.034		p=0.003

4

5

- 1 Table 3. Principal component analysis of attitudes related to brain health (loading scores > 0.100
- 2 shown). PC 1 related to long-term MRI safety, PC2 related to internal locus of control (LoC) for

### 3 developing dementia, and PC3 related to respondents' own worries for future dementia/stroke risks.

	PC1	PC2	PC3
A strong magnetic field cannot	0.925		
cause long-term mental harm			
A strong magnetic field cannot	0.921	0.103	
cause long-term physical harm			
Diet low in meat prevents	0.293	0.185	0.235
Alzheimer's and dementia			
Brain diseases happen to people		0.829	0.113
who don't exercise their brains			
People with dementia should be		0.743	
separated from society			
Only people with diabetes or strokes		0.664	
develop dementia			
I am afraid that I might have future			0.834
dementia or strokes			
Forgetting things that are not	0.102	0.149	0.720
important to me is normal aging			

4

5

# 1 Figure Legends

- 2 **Fig 1.** Proportion of respondents who wish to be informed of incidental brain MRI findings by
- types of finding (X-axis) and race/ethnicity. \*  $p \le 0.001$ ; \*\* p < 0.01, † p<0.05.
- 4 **Fig 2.** Attitudes related to brain health according to race/ethnicity and PCA. PC1 primarily
- 5 involved long-term MRI safety (A, \*low meat diet question had low loading overall but highest
- 6 loading on PC1); PC2 involved internal LOC for developing dementia (B); PC3 involved worries
- 7 for future dementia/stroke risks (C).
- 8 **Fig 3.** Mediation analysis for whether healthy people should volunteer in research (strongly
- 9 disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, strongly agree) and sharing de-identified MRI for research
- 10 (disagree, neutral, agree). Attitudes towards research participation were analyzed without (A,
- 11 C) or with (B, D) the inclusion of attitudes related to brain health (internal LoC for dementia
- 12 risks, fear for future dementia/stroke risks) as a mediator for difference between SA and other
- 13 racial/ethnic respondents. P.O.: proportional odd.

**Fig 1.** Proportion of respondents who wish to be informed of incidental brain MRI findings by types of finding (X-axis) and race/ethnicity. \*  $p \le 0.001$ ; \*\* p < 0.01, + p<0.05.



**Fig 2.** Attitudes related to brain health according to race/ethnicity and PCA. PC1 primarily involved long-term MRI safety (A, \*low meat diet question had low loading overall but highest loading on PC1); PC2 involved internal LOC for developing dementia (B); PC3 involved worries for future dementia/stroke risks (C).



**Fig 3.** Mediation analysis for whether healthy people should volunteer in research (strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, strongly agree) and sharing de-identified MRI for research (disagree, neutral, agree). Attitudes towards research participation were analyzed without (A, C) or with (B, D) the inclusion of attitudes related to brain health (internal LoC for dementia risks, fear for future dementia/stroke risks) as a mediator for difference between SA and other racial/ethnic respondents. P.O.: proportional odd.

