1 Effects of different cluster-set rest intervals during

2 plyometric-jump training on measures of physical fitness: a

3 randomized trial

4

5 Short Title: Optimal intra-set rest for cluster sets plyometrics

6

7 Behzad Taaty Moghadam¹, Hossein Shirvani¹, Rodrigo Ramirez-Campillo², Eduardo Báez-San
8 Martín³, Ali Abdolmohamadi⁴, Behzad Bazgir^{1*}

9

10 1 Exercise Physiology Research Center, Lifestyle Institute, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences,
Tehran, Iran, 2 Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences Institute. School of Physical Therapy. Faculty of
Rehabilitation Sciences. Universidad Andres Bello. Santiago, postal code 7591538, Chile, 3 Sports Coach
Career, School of Education, Universidad Viña del Mar, Viña del Mar 2520000, Chile; 3 Department of
Sport Sciences, Faculty of Physical Activity and Sport Sciences, Universidad de Playa Ancha, Valparaíso
2340000, Chile, 4 University of non-governmental Qadir, Langrod, Iran

16

17 * Correspondence to Dr. Behzad Bazgir, Exercise Physiology Research Center, Lifestyle Institute,
18 Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, P.O. Box: 14359-16471, Tehran, Iran,
19 <u>behzadbazgir2@gmail.com</u>; Tel.: +98-2187552408

20

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

21 Abstract

22 The optimal intra-set rest in cluster sets (CLS) plyometric-jump training (PJT) to improve 23 physical fitness remains unclear. Thus, this study compared the effects of PJT with traditional (TRS) vs. CLS structures using different intra-set rests on physical fitness components. Forty-24 25 seven recreationally active young men performed 3-5 sets of 10-12 repetitions of upper- and 26 lower-body exercises twice a week for six weeks using different set configurations as the TRS 27 group (no intra-set rest), and the CLS10, CLS20 and CLS30 groups with 10, 20 and 30 s intra-set 28 rest, respectively, while the total rest period (i.e., 180 s) was equated. Testing was carried out 48 29 h before and after the intervention and the rating of fatigue (ROF) was also assessed 20 min after 30 the first and last session. There was no significant difference in the mean energy intake between 31 groups (p > 0.05). The ANCOVA revealed that all groups showed similar improvements (p < 0.05). 32 0.05) in body mass, body mass index, fat-free mass, one repetition maximum (dynamic strength) 33 and repetitions to failure (muscular endurance) in back squat and chest press, handgrip strength. 34 standing long jump, 20 m sprint, and 9-m shuttle run (change of direction speed), whereas the 35 ROF decreases were greater in the CLS20 and CLS30 groups (p < 0.05). Compared to the TRS 36 structure, six weeks of PJT with an intra-set rest of 20 s, or 30 s induced similar improvements in 37 the measures of physical fitness and anthropometrics, with lower exercise-induced fatigue 38 perception.

39

40 Keywords Stretch-shortening cycle exercise, Physical functional performance, resistance
41 training, muscle fatigue, body composition

42

43 Introduction

Plyometric-jump training (PJT) mainly includes jump exercises that allow muscles to store energy during the deceleration phase of action and release it during the acceleration phase, and may be associated with muscle-tendon forces comparable to those achieved by conventional slow-speed resistance training [1, 2]. Indeed, PJT can improve muscle strength [3-7], jumping and sprinting performance [4-9], change of direction speed (CODS) [8, 10-12], and anthropometrics [13-15].

When designing a PJT program, one of the most important aspects that has received little attention is the ability to modify the structure of individual sets through manipulating the number of repetitions, training load, and rest periods contained within a set [16].Indeed, the addition of a short rest period within a set has been proposed, as cluster sets (CLS) configuration, to ensure that athletes can perform at the maximum level with lower accumulated fatigue during training sessions [16, 17].

56 The literature is usually focused on comparison between CLS versus the traditional sets (TRS) 57 method regarding physical fitness. For example, Asadi and Ramírez-Campillo (2016) concluded 58 that the CLS method was superior in jump and CODS adaptations in physically active males 59 after six weeks of PJT [18]. In a more recent study, Yilmaz et al. (2021) showed that both CLS 60 and TRS plyometric training enhanced sprint time and jump performance in male soccer players 61 [19]. Despite the support for the CLS modality in improving physical fitness, the optimal intra-62 set rest period to improve specific physical fitness and anthropometric components is unknown. 63 Indeed, the CLS configuration with a 10 s [19] or 30 s [18] recovery interval within a set resulted 64 in significant improvements in performance, with no difference compared with the TRS method.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the effects of PJT using the CLS method with different intra-set rest intervals on anthropometrics and physical fitness. We hypothesized that compared to shorter intra-set rest intervals, longer intra-set rest intervals would induce similar improvements in physical fitness and anthropometric factors, while inducing less muscle fatigue.

70

71 Materials and methods

72 **Participants**

73 This study supposed to be conducted on military forces, but due to the lack of access to a 74 sufficient number of the relevant participants, the population was changed to active young men. 75 However, no other changes were made in the inclusion and exclusion criteria for selecting the 76 subjects. Therefore, a total of 52 recreationally active men aged 20-35 were recruited from five 77 gyms of Rasht who met our inclusion criteria of exercising ≥ 2 sessions per week for the past 3 78 months and were not injured. None of them had any background in regular PJT or competitive 79 sports with any kind of jumping exercises during the trial. During the study, five subjects 80 withdrew due to personal reasons and consequently data from 47 subjects (mean \pm standard 81 deviation [SD]: age, 26.5 ± 3.9 years; body mass, 78 ± 9.2 kg; body height, 173.7 ± 7 cm; body 82 mass index [BMI], 25.8 ± 2 kg/m⁻²; body fat, $18.8 \pm 5.2\%$) were included in the final analysis 83 (Fig 1). Using a random-numbers table and a simple type of randomization, subjects were 84 randomly divided into four groups including TRS (no intra-set rest, n = 12), CLS10 (10 s intra-85 set rest, n = 11), CLS20 (20 s intra-set rest, n = 13), and CLS30 (30 s intra-set rest, n = 11) and

86 their order to perform the measurements was random. After data collection, a random 87 identification number was assigned to each subject by a person who was not involved in the 88 study procedures, so that the authors had no access to information that could identify individual 89 subjects. The present research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All 90 subjects signed written informed consent and were made aware of the risks associated. This 91 study was approved by the Research Ethics committees of Bagiyatallah Hospital 92 (IR.BMSU.BAO.REC.1400.043) and was registered by the Thai Clinical Trials Registry 93 (https://www.thaiclinicaltrials.org/show/TCTR20220210007). ***Fig 1 near here*** 94

-

95 **Procedures**

96 This study used a between-subjects randomized design to examine the effects of PJT using the

97 CLS structure with different rest intervals on physical fitness. The rest intervals including 0 (i.e.,

98 the TRS configuration), 10, 20, and 30 s were compared using four independent groups while

99 other exercise prescription components were similar between them. In this model, the intervals

100 were manipulated so that total rest time was the same for all the four groups.

101

102 Training program

The program was performed from April 10, 2022, to May 18, 2022, every Sundays and every Wednesdays morning from 9:00-11:00. During the study period, subjects did not do any other exercise except the PJT protocol. Before the beginning of training and testing, all subjects participated in a familiarization session covering all training and testing requirements. The

107 training protocol comprised 2 sessions per week of 4 exercises including countermovement 108 jump, push-up jump, lateral skater jump, and incline push-up jump, across 6 weeks of training 109 (Table 1). This training duration is proposed to ensure neuromuscular adaptations without 110 excessive fatigue or strain [12, 18]. All training sessions were completed in a gymnasium with 111 rubber mat surface and subjects were required to jump for maximal effort through minimizing 112 their contact with the ground. Given that subjects did not have any history of formal plyometrics, 113 all training sessions were closely supervised and particular attention was paid to demonstration 114 and execution. Subjects performed a standardized warm-up at the beginning of each training 115 session and were asked to refrain from any additional PJT or strength training throughout the 116 study. All measurements were carried out 48 h before and after the training program at the same 117 time of day.

Week	Set	Groups: Cluster	Groups: Cluster rest	Groups: Set	Exercise/tot al rest (s)	
S	S	× Repetitions	(S)	rest (s)		
		TRS: 1 × 10-12 [*]	TRS: 0	TRS: 90		
1-2	3	CLS10: 2 × 5-6	CLS10: 10	CLS10: 80		
3-4	4				90/180	
5-6	5	CLS20: 2 × 5-6	CLS20: 20	CLS20: 70		
		CLS30: 2 × 5-6	CLS30: 30	CLS30: 60		

Table 1. Plyometric training protocol.

TRS: traditional sets, CLS: cluster sets. *Repetitions were 10 (2×5), and 12 (2×6) at weeks 1-2, and 3-6, respectively.

118

119 Anthropometric measurements

Standing height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm. Body mass and the estimation of total body fat were determined by bioelectrical impedance analysis (BF511 Monitor, Omron Healthcare, Inc. Kyoto, Japan), based on the manufacturer's guidelines, with a standard error of 3.5% for the estimation of body fat percentage. The BMI and fat-free mass (FFM) were also calculated from the standard equations (i.e., [body mass/height⁻²] and [body mass – body fat], respectively).

125

126 Muscle strength test

127 Before the commencement of testing, a 10-min warm-up consisting of light-intensity movements and stretching and two warm-up sets of exercises with no load was performed. Lower-body (i.e., 128 129 back squat) and upper-body (i.e., chest press) dynamic strength was determined as the maximum 130 weight lifted in a single and complete repetition (one repetition maximum [1RM]) in the same 131 order as mentioned, according to the guidelines of the National Strength and Conditioning 132 Association [20]. In brief, subjects performed a specific warm-up set with 5 repetitions carried 133 out at ~50% of subject's perceived 1RM followed by 1 to 2 sets of 2-3 repetitions at a load 134 corresponding to $\sim 60-80\%$ 1RM. They then performed sets of 1 repetition of increasing load for 135 1RM determination. This procedure was completed within 4 to 5 attempts and a rest period of at 136 least 3 min was allotted between each attempt to avoid fatigue [21]. Proper lifting technique was

demonstrated and practiced for each of the exercises. For evaluating grip strength on both hands,
subjects were asked to maximally squeeze a hand dynamometer (78010, Lafayette, Inc. USA)
without ancillary body movements, while the elbow was flexed to 90° at the side of the body.
Two attempts were recorded (to the nearest 1 kg) with each hand, with at least 2 min of rest
between them, and the highest records were used for the final analyses. In addition, at least 5 min
of passive rest separated each strength test.

143

144 **Standing long jump (SLJ)**

Subjects performed the SLJ test two times, and the best record was used for data analysis. They were asked to execute a two-legged horizontal jump as far as possible out of a standing position with swinging arms and flexing knees. The distance from the beginning of the starting line to the most posterior aspect of the subject's body was measured in cm by the same examiner.

149

150 Change of direction speed (CODS) and linear sprinting speed tests

The CODS performance was determined by a 9-m shuttle run test on a hardcourt track marked with tapes and pylons. During the test, subjects sprint forward for 9 m and come back to the starting line. They passed the distance four times and had to touch the tapes at the end of the first three 9-m distances with either the left or the right hand. For assessing sprinting ability, a linear 20 m sprinting test was performed. In doing so, a standard hardcourt sprint track of 20 m length was prepared by setting up pylons and the starting and finishing lines were marked by white tapes on the floor. At the end of both tracks, there was enough space for subjects to continue

moving until they stopped completely. Subjects started out of a standing position and had two attempts for each test with a 2-3 min rest period. A standard stopwatch was used to record time and the best time in seconds was used for data analysis. All measurements were conducted by the same examiner (blinded regarding subject's groups allocation) who had practiced many times to become fully familiar with the device and to reduce the possible error of measurements.

163

164 Local muscular endurance test

Subjects performed a set of maximum number of repetitions at 60% of 1RM. Once the back squat testing was finished, the chest press was conducted after a 4-5 min passive rest [21, 22]. Subjects were encouraged to perform as many repetitions as possible using the proper lifting techniques and the total number of proper repetitions performed was recorded.

169

170 **Perceived fatigue**

This was determined using a new 11-point numerical scale ranging from zero to ten, called rating of fatigue (ROF), effective and valid in assessing changes in fatigue in a variety of contexts [23]. Twenty minutes after the first and last training session, each subject rated how fatigued they felt according to the numbers from 0 (referred to not fatigued at all) to 10 (referred to total fatigue and exhaustion). All subjects were thoroughly explained how to rate their perceived fatigue during the familiarization session.

178 **Diet control**

Subjects were asked to maintain their habitual diets throughout the study. Written and verbal instructions were provided so that subjects could record the type and portion sizes of daily foods consumed 48 h before pre-test measurements. They also were instructed to mimic this diet 48 h before the post-test measurements. Dietary data analysis revealed no significant differences between groups before pre- and post-test sessions (Fig 2).

184

Fig 2 near here

185 **Statistical analysis**

186 The sample size was calculated using an a priori power analysis by G*Power software. 187 version 3.1.9.4. Given a statistical power (1-B error) of 0.9 and a moderate effect size (ES) of 0.6, 188 the total sample size resulted in 44 subjects. However, considering a 20% drop out rate, the 189 minimal sample size was set at 52 subjects. The Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS, v. 190 22[®], Inc. Chicago, IL) was used to analyze data at an alpha level of $p \le 0.05$ for all tests. Pre- and 191 post-intervention values for each dependent variable were analyzed to determine if the 192 distributions were normal using the Shapiro-Wilk test. A paired-samples t test was applied to 193 establish if there was a potential difference in each group's mean values from pre- to post-194 training (within-group analysis). An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was conducted for the 195 dependent variable difference scores (post – pre) with pre-test values as covariates. Moreover, 196 Cohen's d was also calculated as ES statistic and the magnitudes were considered trivial (< 0.2), 197 small $(0.2 \le d < 0.5)$, medium $(0.5 \le d < 0.8)$, and large $(0.8 \le)$ [21].

199 **Results**

200 Means and SDs for body mass, BMI, body fat percentage, and FFM are listed in Table 2. The 201 within-group comparisons indicated a significant reduction in body mass and BMI and an 202 increase in FFM for all groups following the training program. However, no significant 203 differences were found between groups in any of the outcomes (p > 0.05).

204

Table 2. Changes in anthropometric outcomes following six weeks of plyometric training in traditional sets (TRS) group with no intra-set rest, and three cluster sets (CLS) groups with 10 (CLS10), 20 (CLS20), and 30 (CLS30) seconds intra-set rest.

	Research groups			ANCOVA			
Variable	TRS	CLS10	CLS20	CLS30	F	nvalue	
	(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=13)	(n=11)	Г	p value	
Body mass (kg)							
Pre	75.8 (7.5)	76.7 (9.4)	81.4 (10.1)	77.9 (9.7)			
Post	76.9 (8.2) *	77.5 (9.2) *	82 (9.7) *	78.7 (10.3) *			
% of	1.5 (1.8)	1 (1.2)	0.7 (1)	1 (1.4)	0.74	0.534	
change							
ES	0.80	0.76	0.61	0.72			

Paired t	-2.76	-2.53	-2.20	-2.39		
<i>p</i> value	0.019	0.030	0.048	0.038		
BMI (kg/m²)						
Pre	25.8 (1.7)	25.4 (2.7)	26 (2.4)	26 (1.3)		
Post	26.2 (2) *	25.7 (2.7)*	26.1 (2.3) *	26.3 (1.5) [*]		
% of	1.5 (1.8)	1 (1.2)	0.7 (1)	1 (1.4)		
change					0.82	0.492
ES	0.80	0.79	0.63	0.70		
Paired t	-2.77	-2.62	-2.27	-2.32		
<i>p</i> value	0.018	0.025	0.042	0.043		
Body fat (%)						
Pre	17.3 (5.3)	19 (6)	20.2 (5)	18.4 (4.6)		
Post	16.6 (5.8)	18.3 (6.6)	19.6 (6.2)	17.9 (5.6)		
% of	-4.7 (8.7)	-4.3 (9.8)	-5.1 (9.2)	-4.2 (8.5)	0.25	0.858
change						
ES	0.50	0.44	0.49	0.39		

Paired t	1.74	1.47	1.76	1.29		
<i>p</i> value	0.110	0.172	0.104	0.225		
FFM (kg)						
Pre	62.5 (6.2)	61.9 (6.4)	64.6 (5.7)	63.4 (7.9)		
Post	64 (7) **	63 (6.7) [*]	65.5 (5.4) *	64.5 (8.5)*		
% of	2.3 (2.1)	1.8 (2.1)	1.4 (2.1)	1.5 (2.2)		
change					0.49	0.689
ES	1.05	0.78	0.67	0.69		
Paired t	-3.63	-2.58	-2.42	-2.29		
<i>p</i> value	0.004	0.027	0.032	0.045		

Values are mean (standard deviation). BMI: body mass index, FFM: fat-free mass, ES: effect size, ANCOVA: analysis of covariance.

 $^{*} p < 0.05$, and $^{**} p \le 0.01$ vs. pre-test

Compared to their pre-training values, all four training groups showed significant improvements
in relative lower- and upper-body strength, relative handgrip strength, local muscular endurance,
SLJ, linear sprint, and the CODS performance, with no difference between groups (Fig 3-a to 3j). Although ROF scores were significantly decreased (improved) from pre- to post-training in all

four training groups, the CLS20 and CLS30 groups exhibited greater improvements compared tothe TRS and CLS10 groups (Fig 4).

211

Fig 3 and 4 near here

212 **Discussion**

In recent years, PJT protocols have been modified by incorporating a brief intra-set rest period (i.e., 10 to 30 s) aimed toward lower exercise exertion. Such protocols, called CLS configuration, have been shown to be effective in enhancing sprint, jump and the CODS performance [18, 19]. In the present study, we compared the effects of different rest intervals during the CLS structure used in PJT on physical fitness factors in recreationally active men. In fact, to our knowledge, this is the first study that has investigated physical fitness adaptations to manipulating the intraset rest period.

220 After 6 weeks, we observed that body composition variables changed in all groups, except body 221 fat percentage. Although there was no statistically significant difference between groups, the 222 TRS group showed large ESs body mass and BMI reduction and FFM increase compared to 223 medium ESs for the CLS groups. These findings suggest that short-term PJT without intra-set 224 rest recovery may be more effective in improving body composition through increasing FFM, 225 with no effect on body fat percentage. These observations agree with previous findings, 226 indicating that PJT is associated with increasing FFM without changing fat mass [24]. In 227 contrast, MacDonald et al. (2012) reported a significant gain in body fat percentage following 9 228 weeks of TRS plyometric training, which these changes were probably attributed to changes in 229 subjects' diet rather than the training protocol [14]. In another study [25], PJT did not change 230 BMI in volleyball players that is in contrary to the present findings. This may be attributed to

differences in training program (upper-body vs. upper- and lower-body plyometrics in our study)
and subjects (professional volleyball players vs. recreationally active men in our study).
Professional athletes achieve adaptations in which further alterations in body composition may
require higher intensity and volume of training.

235 Fig 3-a to 3-d represent the changes in relative strength measures that occurred in groups after 6 236 weeks of training. The ES statistic obtained for the CLS20 (back squat, d = -1.2; chest press, d =237 -2.5) and CLS30 (back squat, d = -1.1; chest press, d = -2.3) groups was greater than the TRS 238 (back squat, d = -0.74; chest press, d = -1.2) and CLS10 (back squat, d = -0.9; chest press, d = -1) 239 groups. Nonetheless, similar results were not observed for the right- and left-hand handgrip. 240 These results were further supported by previous studies indicating the effectiveness of 241 plyometrics in enhancing maximal dynamic strength [14, 25, 26]. Although it has been proposed 242 that 6 weeks of PJT may not be enough to induce hypertrophic changes [14], our findings show a 243 significant 1.4 to 2.3% increase in FFM that can almost be indicative of hypertrophic effects. 244 Thus, in addition to neural adaptations, it can be conceived that part of the increase in muscle 245 strength observed in this study may be due to an increase in muscle mass. Altogether, further 246 research with a longer duration is needed to establish hypertrophy-induced increase in muscle 247 strength after PJT.

A novel finding in the present study was that all groups significantly improved local muscular endurance in chest press (6.4-8.6%) and back squat (5.4-7.4%), whereas the respective ES values were high, with a greater magnitude for the TRS group than others (Fig 3-e and 3-f). These results are important due to the lack of sufficient data in this area. Indeed, despite contributing to muscle strength, power and sprint as was previously confirmed [2, 14, 18, 19], we here found

253 that PJT using both TRS and CLS configurations was able to enhance maximum number of 254 repetitions to failure at 60% of 1RM, which in line with the study conducted by Al Ameer (2020) 255 even though the muscle group examined in that study was different. The author reported that a 256 12-week twice weekly PJT program resulted in better sit-ups records in adult soccer players 257 compared with pre-tests [27]. An important point to note is that we re-adjusted the load in the 258 post-study assessment according to the newly established 1RM, which can partially eliminate the 259 effects of increasing muscle strength on the results. Therefore, the improved local muscular 260 endurance in this research would likely be related to an enhanced oxidative and buffering 261 capacity, an increase in capillarization and mitochondrial volume, and an improve in metabolic 262 enzyme activity [28].

263 All groups significantly enhanced the CODS (2.2-2.6%) and linear sprint (3.1-3.3%) 264 performance, but the respective ESs were moderate to high (Fig 3-g and 3-h). Although some 265 authors have reported no effect of PJT on 20 m sprint [29] and the CODS [30, 31] records, our 266 findings add consistently to previous works showing that sprint ability and the CODS 267 performance improved by 2.7% and 3.8% [19], and 6.3% and 5.5% [18], respectively, following 268 6 weeks of PJT with the CLS structure. These improvements might be attributed to several 269 reasons. First, the increased muscle strength of the study subjects might offer one explanation. 270 Specifically, the increased back squat strength occurred in this study can positively transferred 271 into sprint ability [32] and is also strongly correlated with CODS [33]. The second explanation is 272 related to neuromuscular adaptations such as greater motor unit recruitment which in turn 273 enhance muscle power output and force development ability [12, 18]. Third, PJT may induce 274 positive effects on key elements of sprint and the CODS tasks including lower ground contact 275 times as a result of high muscular force output [18, 34], the rate of force development, and the

increased efficiency in using the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) during ballistic tasks [8] eventhough we did not measure these parameters.

Another important change observed in this study was the increase in the SLJ distance (2.8-3.2%) 278 279 in all four groups after the training period (Fig 3-i). The calculated ES statistics ranged between 280 1.3 and 2, while the greater magnitude was related to the CLS20 group (Fig 3-j). Most previous 281 studies have found significant improvements in jumping performance as a result of short-term 282 PJT [4, 18, 19, 34-36], supporting our results and the principle of specificity in training. There 283 are several explanations for the positive effects of plyometrics, mainly related to neural 284 adaptations, including changes in muscle structure and individual fiber mechanics, enhanced 285 neuro-muscular coordination, changes in mechanical stiffness properties of tendons and the 286 greater efficiency to utilize the muscles SSC [18, 34]. Regardless of these reasons, part of the 287 improvements may be due to the increase in FFM of subjects in all groups and subsequent 288 increase in muscular power output and the rate of force development.

289 Regarding ROF differences between groups (Fig 4), our results reveal a significant decrease in 290 ROF scores in all groups, but the magnitude of decreases in the CLS20 (13.3%, d = 0.7) and 291 CLS30 (14.8%, d = 0.7) groups was significantly greater than those of TRS (11.6%, d = 0.87) 292 and CLS10 (12.2%, d = 0.94). In fact, the ROF score in all groups was lower after training, even 293 considering that the number of sets and repetitions were greater in the last training session 294 compared to the first week. Importantly, despite the total rest period (i.e., 180 s) was similar 295 among groups, subjects who performed PJT with a 20 or 30 s intra-set rest interval felt less 296 exercise-induced fatigue. Here, we used the ROF scale that is a valid, simple and sensitive 297 instrument capable of tracking fatigue perception through exercise and recovery [23]. Depletion

298 of muscle creatine content [37], pH drop due to lactate accumulation, and muscle glycogen 299 depletion [38] can be among the possible metabolic causes of exercise-induced fatigue. By 300 continuing to jump during plyometric exercise, tension develops in muscles and they provide the 301 necessary force to continue exercise through increasing motor unit recruitment and firing 302 frequency [38]. Greater motor unit recruitment, in turn, can increase more signals to the sensory 303 cortex [38, 39] and subsequently increase the perceptions of exertion and fatigue. However, it is 304 difficult to discuss more about these metabolic and neuromotor factors because we did not assess 305 them independently. Therefore, more research needs to be done comparing the PJT protocols in 306 the CLS and TRS methods with respect to the mentioned variables

307

308 Conclusions

A six-week upper- and lower-body PJT program improved physical fitness and anthropometric measures in active young men, independent from set rest configurations. However, a CLS20 and CLS30 configuration seems to achieve greater improvements in fatigue perception reduction. The present findings provide interesting practical relevance in designing optimal plyometric training protocols using the CLS or TRS configuration for optimal physical fitness and body composition improvements, in line with reduced perceived fatigue, a key element for long-term physical activity habits.

316

317 Supporting information

318 S1 File. PLOS' questionnaire on inclusivity in global research. (DOCX)

- 319 S2 File. CONSORT checklist. (PDF)
- 320 **S3 File. The study protocol.** (PDF)
- 321 S4 File. Excel datasets for dietary data analysis. Fig 2 is released from these datasets. (XLSX)
- 322 S5 File. Excel datasets for anthropometric and physical fitness factors analysis. Table 2, and
- 323 Fig 3 and 4 are released from these datasets. (XLSX)

324

325 Acknowledgments

326 The authors would like to thank all subjects who volunteered to participate in this research. We

327 also are grateful to Dr. Nader Samami for his invaluable contribution to the study.

328

329 Author Contributions

- 330 Conceptualization: Behzad Taaty Moghadam, Hossein Shirvani, Behzad Bazgir.
- **Data curation:** Behzad Taaty Moghadam. Ali Abdolmohamadi.

332 Formal analysis: Behzad Taaty Moghadam, Rodrigo Ramirez-Campillo, Eduardo Báez-San

333 Martín.

334 Investigation: Behzad Taaty Moghadam, Rodrigo Ramirez-Campillo, Eduardo Báez-San

335 Martín.

- 336 Methodology: Behzad Taaty Moghadam, Hossein Shirvani, Behzad Bazgir.
- 337 **Project administration:** Behzad Taaty Moghadam.

- 338 **Resources:** Hossein Shirvani, Behzad Bazgir, Rodrigo Ramirez-Campillo.
- 339 Software: Behzad Taaty Moghadam.
- 340 Supervision: Hossein Shirvani, Behzad Bazgir.
- 341 Validation: Behzad Taaty Moghadam, Behzad Bazgir, Rodrigo Ramirez-Campillo, Eduardo

342 Báez-San Martín.

- 343 Visualization: Behzad Taaty Moghadam.
- 344 Writing original draft: Behzad Taaty Moghadam, Ali Abdolmohamadi, Behzad Bazgir.
- 345 Writing review & editing: Rodrigo Ramirez-Campillo, Eduardo Báez-San Martín.

346

347 **References**

Holcomb WR, Lander JE, Rutland RM, Wilson GD. The effectiveness of a modified
 plyometric program on power and the vertical jump. J Strength Cond Res. 1996;10(2):89-92.

Fatouros IG, Jamurtas AZ, Leontsini D, Taxildaris K, Aggelousis N, Kostopoulos N, et
 al. Evaluation of plyometric exercise training, weight training, and their combination on vertical
 jumping performance and leg strength. J Strength Cond Res. 2000;14(4):470-6.

353 3. Saez-Saez de Villarreal E, Requena B, Newton RU. Does plyometric training improve 354 strength performance? A meta-analysis. J Sci Med Sport. 2010;13(5):513-22.

Arazi H, Mohammadi M, Asadi A. Muscular adaptations to depth jump plyometric
training: Comparison of sand vs. land surface. Interv Med Appl Sci. 2014;6(3):125-30. Epub
2014/09/23. doi: 10.1556/imas.6.2014.3.5. PubMed PMID: 25243078; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4168734.

Markovic G, Mikulic P. Neuro-Musculoskeletal and Performance Adaptations to LowerExtremity Plyometric Training. Sports Med. 2010;40(10):859-95. doi: 10.2165/11318370000000000-00000.

362 6. Ramírez-delaCruz M, Bravo-Sánchez A, Esteban-García P, Jiménez F, Abián-Vicén J.
363 Effects of Plyometric Training on Lower Body Muscle Architecture, Tendon Structure, Stiffness
364 and Physical Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Sports Med Open.
365 2022;8(1):40. doi: 10.1186/s40798-022-00431-0.

366 7. Oxfeldt M, Overgaard K, Hvid LG, Dalgas U. Effects of plyometric training on jumping,
 367 sprint performance, and lower body muscle strength in healthy adults: A systematic review and

 368
 meta-analyses.
 Scand
 J
 Med
 Sci
 Sports.
 2019;29(10):1453-65.
 doi:

 369
 https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13487.
 Sports.
 2019;29(10):1453-65.
 doi:

8. Asadi A, Arazi H. Effects of high-intensity plyometric training on dynamic balance,
agility, vertical jump and sprint performance in young male basketball players. J Sport Health
Sci. 2012;4(1):35-44.

373 9. Sáez de Villarreal E, Requena B, Cronin JB. The effects of plyometric training on sprint
374 performance: A meta-analysis. J Strength Cond Res. 2012;26(2):575-84. doi:
375 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318220fd03.

- 10. Čaprić I, Stanković M, Manić M, Preljević A, Špirtović O, Đorđević D, et al. Effects of
 plyometric training on agility in male soccer players—a systematic review. J Men's Health.
 2022;18(7):147-58. doi: 10.31083/j.jomh1807147.
- 379 Asadi A, Arazi H, Ramirez-Campillo R, Moran J, Izquierdo M. Influence of Maturation 11. 380 Stage on Agility Performance Gains After Plyometric Training: A Systematic Review and Meta-381 2017;31(9):2609-17. analysis. J Strength Cond Res. Epub 2017/05/31. doi: 382 10.1519/jsc.000000000001994. PubMed PMID: 28557853.
- Miller MG, Herniman JJ, Ricard MD, Cheatham CC, Michael TJ. The effects of a 6week plyometric training program on agility. J Sports Sci Med. 2006;5(3):459-65. Epub
 2006/01/01. PubMed PMID: 24353464; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3842147.
- 386 Ramirez-Campillo R, García-Pinillos F, Nikolaidis PT, Clemente FM, Gentil P, García-13. 387 Hermoso A. Body composition adaptations to lower-body plyometric training: a systematic 388 and meta-analysis. Biol Sport. 2022;39(2):273-87. Epub 2022/03/22. review doi: 389 10.5114/biolsport.2022.104916. PubMed PMID: 35309540; PubMed Central PMCID: 390 PMCPMC8919888.
- MacDonald CJ, Lamont HS, Garner JC. A comparison of the effects of 6 weeks of
 traditional resistance training, plyometric training, and complex training on measures of strength
 and anthropometrics. J Strength Cond Res. 2012;26(2):422-31. Epub 2012/01/14. doi:
 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318220df79. PubMed PMID: 22240547.
- 395 15. Grgic J, Schoenfeld BJ, Mikulic P. Effects of plyometric vs. resistance training on
 396 skeletal muscle hypertrophy: A review. J Sport Health Sci. 2021;10(5):530-6. doi:
 397 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2020.06.010</u>.
- Tufano JJ, Brown LE, Haff GG. Theoretical and practical aspects of different cluster set
 structures: a systematic review. J Strength Cond Res. 2017;31(3):848-67.
- 400 17. Haff GG, Hobbs RT, Haff EE, Sands WA, Pierce KC, Stone MH. Cluster training: A
 401 novel method for introducing training program variation. J Strength Cond Res. 2008;30(1):67402 76.
- 403 18. Asadi A, Ramírez-Campillo R. Effects of cluster vs. traditional plyometric training sets
 404 on maximal-intensity exercise performance. Medicina. 2016;52(1):41-5.
- 405 19. Yilmaz N, Alemdaroğlu U, Köklü Y, Türkdoğan H, Aşçi A. The effect of a six-week
 406 plyometric training performed with different set configurations on explosive performance:
 407 cluster vs. traditional set configurations. Journal of sports medicine physical fitness.
 408 2021;61(7):892-8.
- 409 20. Baechle TR, Earle RW. Essentials of strength training and conditioning: Human kinetics;410 2008.
- 411 21. Arazi H, Aboutalebi S, Taati B, Cholewa JM, Candow DG. Effects of short-term betaine 412 supplementation on muscle endurance and indices of endocrine function following acute high-

- 413 intensity resistance exercise in young athletes. J Int Soc Sports Nutr. 2022;19(1):1-16. doi:
 414 10.1080/15502783.2022.2041988.
- 415 22. Campos GE, Luecke TJ, Wendeln HK, Toma K, Hagerman FC, Murray TF, et al.
- 416 Muscular adaptations in response to three different resistance-training regimens: specificity of
- 417 repetition maximum training zones. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2002;88(1):50-60. doi: 10.1007/s00421418 002-0681-6.
- 419 23. Micklewright D, St Clair Gibson A, Gladwell V, Al Salman A. Development and
- 420 Validity of the Rating-of-Fatigue Scale. Sports Med. 2017;47(11):2375-93. Epub 2017/03/12.
- 421 doi: 10.1007/s40279-017-0711-5. PubMed PMID: 28283993; PubMed Central PMCID: 422 PMCPMC5633636.
- 423 24. Nobre GG, de Almeida MB, Nobre IG, Dos Santos FK, Brinco RA, Arruda-Lima TR, et
 424 al. Twelve weeks of plyometric training improves motor performance of 7- to 9-year-old boys
 425 who were overweight/obese: a randomized controlled intervention. J Strength Cond Res.
 426 2017;31(8):2091-9. Epub 2016/10/28. doi: 10.1519/jsc.00000000001684. PubMed PMID:
 427 27787471
- 427 27787471.
- Valadés Cerrato D, Palao JM, Femia P, Ureña A. Effect of eight weeks of upper-body
 plyometric training during the competitive season on professional female volleyball players. J
 Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2018;58(10):1423-31. Epub 2017/07/27. doi: 10.23736/s00224707.17.07527-2. PubMed PMID: 28745472.
- 432 26. Sáez de Villarreal E, Requena B, Izquierdo M, Gonzalez-Badillo JJ. Enhancing sprint and
 433 strength performance: combined versus maximal power, traditional heavy-resistance and
 434 plyometric training. J Sci Med Sport. 2013;16(2):146-50. Epub 2012/06/26. doi:
 435 10.1016/j.jsams.2012.05.007. PubMed PMID: 22727979.
- 436 27. Al Ameer A. Impact of Plyometric and Resistance Training on Selected Fitness Variables
 437 among University Soccer Playing Adults. Ann Appl Sport Sci. 2020;8(3):e817. doi:
 438 10.29252/aassjournal.817.
- 439 28. Schoenfeld BJ, Grgic J, Van Every DW, Plotkin DL. Loading recommendations for
 440 muscle strength, hypertrophy, and local endurance: a re-examination of the repetition continuum.
 441 Sports. 2021;9(2):32.
- Ramirez-Campillo R, Andrade DC, Alvarez C, Henríquez-Olguín C, Martínez C, BáezSanmartín E, et al. The effects of interset rest on adaptation to 7 weeks of explosive training in
 young soccer players. J Sports Sci Med. 2014;13(2):287-96. Epub 2014/05/03. PubMed PMID:
 24790481; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3990881.
- Markovic G, Jukic I, Milanovic D, Metikos D. Effects of sprint and plyometric training
 on muscle function and athletic performance. J Strength Cond Res. 2007;21(2):543-9. Epub
 2007/05/29. doi: 10.1519/r-19535.1. PubMed PMID: 17530960.
- Thomas K, French D, Hayes PR. The effect of two plyometric training techniques on
 muscular power and agility in youth soccer players. J Strength Cond Res. 2009;23(1):332-5.
 Epub 2008/11/13. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318183a01a. PubMed PMID: 19002073.
- 451 Epub 2008/11/13. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318183a01a. PubMed PMID: 190020/3.
- 452 32. Seitz LB, Reyes A, Tran TT, Saez de Villarreal E, Haff GG. Increases in lower-body 453 strength transfer positively to sprint performance: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Sports
- 453 strength transfer positively to spinit performance. a systematic review with meta-analysis. sports 454 Med. 2014;44(12):1693-702. Epub 2014/07/26. doi: 10.1007/s40279-014-0227-1. PubMed
- 455 PMID: 25059334.

456 33. Suchomel TJ, Nimphius S, Stone MH. The Importance of Muscular Strength in Athletic 457 Performance. Sports Med. 2016;46(10):1419-49. Epub 2016/02/04. doi: 10.1007/s40279-016-

- 458 0486-0. PubMed PMID: 26838985.
- 459 34. Sáez de Villarreal E, Kellis E, Kraemer WJ, Izquierdo M. Determining variables of
 460 plyometric training for improving vertical jump height performance: a meta-analysis. J Strength
 461 Cond Res. 2009;23(2):495-506. Epub 2009/02/07. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318196b7c6.
 462 PubMed PMID: 19197203.
- 463 35. Neves da Silva VF, Aguiar SDS, Sousa CV, Sotero RDC, Filho JMS, Oliveira I, et al.
- 464 Effects of short-term plyometric training on physical fitness parameters in female futsal athletes.
 465 J Phys Ther Sci. 2017;29(5):783-8. Epub 2017/06/13. doi: 10.1589/jpts.29.783. PubMed PMID:
 466 28603345; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5462672.
- 467 36. Sáez de Villarreal E, González-Badillo JJ, Izquierdo M. Low and moderate plyometric
 468 training frequency produces greater jumping and sprinting gains compared with high frequency.
 469 J Strength Cond Res. 2008;22(3):715-25. Epub 2008/04/29. doi:
- 470 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318163eade. PubMed PMID: 18438249.
- 471 37. Gagnon M, Maguire M, MacDermott M, Bradford A. Effects of creatine loading and
 472 depletion on rat skeletal muscle contraction. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2002;29(10):885-90.
 473 Epub 2002/09/05. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1681.2002.03745.x. PubMed PMID: 12207567.
- 474 38. Asadi A. Monitoring plyometric exercise intensity using rating of perceived exertion 475 scale. Phys Act Rev. 2014;2(2):10-5.
- 476 39. Lagally KM, Robertson RJ, Gallagher KI, Goss FL, Jakicic JM, Lephart SM, et al.
 477 Perceived exertion, electromyography, and blood lactate during acute bouts of resistance
- 478 exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2002;34(3):552-9; discussion 60. Epub 2002/03/07. doi:
- 479 10.1097/00005768-200203000-00025. PubMed PMID: 11880823.

481 Figures captions

- 483 **Fig 1.** Recruitment flowchart from enrolment to data analysis.
- 484 Fig 2. Mean of dietary and energy intake 48 h before pre-testing (left column for each group) and
- 485 post-testing (right column for each group).
- 486 **Fig 3.** Changes in performance parameters, and percentage change and effect size for a-b)
- 487 muscle strength, c-d) handgrip strength, e-f) local muscular endurance, g-h) sprint and change of
- 488 direction speed, and i-j) standing long jump, after six weeks of plyometric jump training in
- 489 traditional sets (TRS) group with no intra-set rest, and three cluster sets (CLS) groups with 10
- 490 (CLS10), 20 (CLS20), and 30 (CLS30) seconds intra-set rest. Fig a, c, e, g, i: white and orange
- 491 columns denote outcomes results before and after the intervention. Moreover, each circle
- 492 corresponds to a single subject. Fig b, d, f, h, j: the columns and circles correspond to the left and493 right y axis, respectively.
- Fig 4. Changes in a) the rating of fatigue, and b) percentage change and effect size, after six weeks of plyometric jump training in traditional sets (TRS) group with no intra-set rest, and three cluster sets (CLS) groups with 10 (CLS10), 20 (CLS20), and 30 (CLS30) seconds intra-set rest.
 Fig a: white and orange columns denote outcomes results before and after the intervention.
 Moreover, each circle corresponds to a single subject.



Fig 1



Fig 2



Fig 3



Fig 4