1

Title Page

Type of article: Original Article

Title of the article:

"A System Dynamics Model for Long-term Aspect of Caries Preventive Interventions"

Contributors

- 1. Tin Htet Oo¹
- 2. Sukanya Tianviwat²
- 3. Songchai Thitasomakul³
- 4. Phongpat Sontamino⁴

Department(s) and institution(s)

¹ Preventive Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Prince of Songkla University, Hatyai, Songkhla, Thailand, 90110. Email – <u>leohtet26@gmail.com</u>

² Evidence-Based Dentistry for Oral Health Care and Promotion Phase II Research Unit, Preventive Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Prince of Songkla University, Hatyai, Songkhla, Thailand, 90110. Email - <u>stianviwat@gmail.com</u>

³ Evidence-Based Dentistry for Oral Health Care and Promotion Phase II Research Unit, Preventive Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Prince of Songkla University, Hatyai, Songkhla, Thailand, 90110. Email – <u>songchai.t@psu.ac.th</u>

⁴ Department of Mining and Materials Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Prince of Songkla University, Hatyai, Songkhla, Thailand, 90110. Email – <u>phongpat.s@psu.ac.th</u>

Corresponding Author:

Name- Sukanya Tianviwat Address- Evidence-Based Dentistry for Oral Health Care and Promotion Phase II Research Unit, Preventive Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Prince of Songkla University, Hatyai, Songkhla, 90110

Email – <u>stianviwat@gmail.com</u>

2

A System Dynamics Model for Long-term Aspect of Caries Preventive Interventions

3 Abstract

Objective: The purpose of the study is to appraise the long-term effects of caries 4 preventive interventions of the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH), Thailand: supervised 5 6 toothbrushing (STB), dental sealant, and combined STB+sealant that are implemented for 6-7 to 15-year-olds by comparing with the base case using the System Dynamics Model (SDM). 8 Method: The System Dynamics Model (SDM) was analyzed to compare the interventions, 9 supervised toothbrushing (STB), sealant, and combined STB+sealant as intervention scenarios with the base case scenario. The effectiveness data of interventions set in the model were 10 11 retrieved from meta-analyses and experts' opinions. **Results**: The model established that the no caries population increased by 36.2%, 25.5%, and 18.6% while the caries population 12 decreased by 8.1%, 5.6%, and 3.3% under combined STB+sealant, sealant, and supervised 13 toothbrushing (STB) respectively compared to the base case at the age of 15 years old. From 14 the above 20 years old to the elderly age, both individual and combined interventions have no 15 favorable effect on reducing caries. On the other hand, the no caries population under the 16 interventions is not significantly different from the base case during the elderly age. 17 **Conclusion**: Combined STB+sealant is the most effective intervention among the interventions 18 19 that are administered during the age of 6-15 years. The interventions given during these ages have effectiveness in caries reduction by about 15 years from when they are started. In addition, 20 System Dynamics Model (SDM) would assist in comparing the interventions or policies as 21 22 scenarios to indicate the most opportune one for the population.

23

24 Keywords: System Dynamics Model, Preventive Intervention, Dental caries

25 Introduction

Dental caries is still regarded as a burden of oral disease not only in Thailand but also 26 around the world even though it is preventable [1]. Studies have shown a reduction in the 27 prevalence of dental caries over decades in developed countries [2, 3]. However, in several 28 developing countries, there has been an increasing trend in caries prevalence reported by some 29 studies [3, 4]. The high prevalence of dental caries in developing countries is due to poor oral 30 hygiene habits, low awareness of caries, growth in sugary consumption, insufficient access to 31 32 preventive programs for dental health, and inadequate exposure to fluoride [3]. According to the 8th National Oral Health Survey (NOHS) in Thailand in 2017, the prevalence of dental 33 caries was still high at 52% in 12-year-olds, 62.7% in 15-year-olds, 91.8% in 35- to 44-year-34 olds, 98.5% in 60- to 74-year-olds, and 99.5% in 80- to 85-year-olds [5]. People having dental 35 caries could suffer from pain, disturbance during eating, aesthetics, and functional problems 36 [6]. Moreover, tooth loss due to caries was the most common consequence of caries [7]. 37

Due to the burden of caries, the role of prevention and promotion of oral health is vital. 38 Therefore, various preventive programs such as oral health education, toothbrushing, fluoride 39 40 varnish, application of dental sealant, and so on have been designated for dental caries in both 41 individuals and communities [8-11]. In Thailand, the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) proposed preventive oral health programs for respective age groups including toothbrushing, 42 fluoride varnish, dental sealant, oral health examination, and so on [12]. However, a long-term 43 assessment of preventive interventions for conducting better decision-making and an 44 alternative program is needed. Simulation models would help policymakers in making 45 decisions for planning long-term processes. [13]. They have been widely used in health care 46 and a study also reported models that were reliable to be used in dental caries interventions 47 such as the Markov Model, System Dynamics Model (SDM), Microsimulation Model, and 48 Decision-tree Model [14]. 49

4

50 In this study, SDM was explored for caries preventive interventions intended by the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) such as supervised toothbrushing (STB), sealant, and their 51 combination. The interventions were primary preventive interventions for caries since they 52 focused on preventing the occurrence of diseases in a healthy state before the process begins 53 [15]. The System Dynamics Model (SDM) is a computer simulation to help the stakeholders 54 in deciding the most admissible policy or intervention for the community [16]. It can be used 55 56 as a cohort-based model and shows the interaction between the entities of the system by modeling the rate of change of the system [17, 18]. It also allows seeing feedback loops that 57 58 emerge from the interaction [17, 18]. SDM should be considered as a cohort-based simulation model for the long-term aspect of the outcomes not only under individual preventive 59 intervention but also the combination of the interventions. 60

Therefore, the objective of the study is to estimate the effectiveness of providing caries preventive interventions for 6- to 15-year-olds by the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) namely supervised toothbrushing (STB), sealant, and combined STB+sealant, compared with the base case as a long-term aspect by conducting the System Dynamics Model (SDM).

65

66 Methodology

67 The modeling in this study focused on the permanent dentition age. The System Dynamics Model (SDM) involves two parts: qualitative and quantitative. The qualitative part 68 showed the causal relationship of caries related and identifies the feedback loops among them 69 70 by a causal loop diagram (CLD). The quantitative part translated the causal loop diagram (CLD) for evaluating the conditions quantitatively represented as stocks and flows diagram. Group 71 model building (GMB) sessions including the researchers and experts in related fields were 72 73 held three times to determine the final model. The experts are dentists with valuable experience 74 and knowledge in dental public health and clinical fields. The activities such as identifying the

5

time horizon, identifying the key variables, and their behaviors, formulating the causal loop 75 diagram (CLD), and developing the stocks and flows diagram were involved in group model 76 building (GMB) sessions. The setting interventions in the model were implemented 77 interventions by the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH). Since the study was based on the 78 effectiveness of the interventions, systematic reviews and meta-analyses of interventions were 79 conducted first by the researchers for the effectiveness data to be used in the model. The 80 81 Vensim DSS version 6.4 software was used for running the model. The model simulated the Thai population born in 2021 to 75 years old (average life span) to estimate the outcomes in 82 83 age intervals under intervention scenarios. The total population was 678,243. The results are presented at the age of 15 years old when the interventions were discontinued and at 60 years 84 old to see the long-term effect on the elderly. 85

86

87 Systematic review and meta-analysis

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses were conducted by researchers to identify the 88 effect of supervised toothbrushing (STB) and sealant (6-15 years old) for dental caries by 89 following the guidelines of the systematic review of interventions in Cochrane Handbook 90 version 5.1.0. [19]. The included studies were identified according to PICO (Participants, 91 Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome). For the STB, the studies were searched from 92 93 Cochrane, PubMed, Web of Science, and SCOPUS databases. The protocol was registered at 94 Prospero (CRD42022376887). For sealant, the studies were retrieved from the previous recent review [20]. Meta-analyses with the random-effect model for both interventions were 95 performed by researchers in RevMan 5.3 software. The estimated effect size in the meta-96 97 analysis was risk ratio (RR) and effective rates of interventions were calculated by the formula ([1-RR]*100). 98

6

100 Model structure

The causal loop diagram (CLD) presents the causal relationship of no caries, caries, 101 related events of caries, and feedback loops among them, (Fig 1). A positive sign (+) in the 102 diagram means that a variable adds to another one and a negative sign (-) that it subtracts from 103 the other [21]. One balancing loop and two reinforcing loops were found in the diagram. A 104 balancing loop means that a positive change in a variable lead to a pushback in the opposite 105 106 direction and if the direction of variables keeps in the same direction, it is a reinforcing loop [21]. A balancing loop in the diagram shows that an increase in the no caries leads to an increase 107 108 in caries and missing teeth; on the other hand, an increase in the missing teeth leads to a decrease in the no caries. When the intervention is given, it would reduce developing caries, 109 and missing teeth, and on one side, the no caries would increase. Reinforcing loops show that 110 an increase in caries tends to increase in the treated cases due to caries (restoration and 111 endodontic) and recurrent caries from the treated cases. When the intervention is set, it would 112 decrease developing caries, treated cases, and recurrent caries from the treated cases. 113

- 114
- 115

Fig 1. Causal loop diagram (CLD)

116

CLD was translated into the stocks and flows diagram, Fig 2, to evaluate the outcomes 117 quantitatively. The square blocks in the diagram are denoted as stocks and the arrow symbols 118 119 represent the flows. The stocks are defined as states or levels of variables moving through the system [13]. The flows control the movement of stocks or determine the changes in the stocks 120 by in and out valves of the stocks [13]. The quantitative outcomes are attributed to the stocks 121 in the model. The fractions affect the rate of flows, for example, the caries development fraction 122 influences the rate of developing caries, and the fraction of restorative treatment affects the rate 123 of untreated caries to restoration. It is assumed that when the intervention is set, the 124

125	interventions could reduce the caries development fraction tending to slow the rate of
126	developing caries as shown in Fig 2.
127	
128	Fig 2. Stocks and Flows diagram
129	
130	Outcomes of the model
131	The stocks in the model defined the main outcomes: no caries and caries and also
132	consequences of caries as follows –
133	• Population with no caries
134	• Population with untreated caries
135	• Population with restorative treatment
136	• Population with endodontic treatment
137	• Population with missing teeth
138	
139	Source of data
140	The effective rates of the interventions to be used in the model were obtained from
141	systematic reviews and meta-analyses as mentioned above. The other required parameters in
142	the model were obtained from Thailand's data: the 8th National Oral Health Survey (NOHS),
143	the Health Data Center (HDC), the National Statistical Office, and literature, and experts'
144	opinions. When data were not available, experts' opinions were consulted. Data used in the
145	model were validated by experts from the group model building (GMB) session.
146	
147	
148	
149	

8

150 Scenario analysis

The interventions were analyzed as intervention scenarios comparing the base case scenario as follows. It is assumed that the interventions were provided at 6-15 years old and did not continue after 15 years old. The effective rate remained unchanged after that age.

154 <u>Base case</u>

The base case scenario refers to the situation in which no intervention was given. All model parameters in the base case remained unchanged over the simulation run. It served as a reference point for comparing intervention scenarios.

158

159 <u>Supervised Toothbrushing (STB)</u>

It aims to prevent the development of dental caries using the correct toothbrushing technique with fluoride toothpaste and appropriate toothbrush design twice daily under the supervision of dental health professionals or trained teachers or parents [9]. The coverage rate of the intervention at 6-15 years old in Thailand was 95% [22]. The effective rate for this age group was retrieved as 10% from the meta-analysis. The adjusted effective rate with the coverage rate (9.5%) was set in the model intended to reduce the caries development rate.

166

167 <u>Dental Sealant</u>

It is purposed to prevent dental caries by applying resin-based sealants in deep pits and fissures of permanent teeth by dental professionals [11]. In Thailand, the coverage rate of sealant from 6-15 years old was 27% [23]. According to a meta-analysis, dental sealant has a 71% caries reduction on molar teeth at these ages. Based on the meta-analysis and experts' opinions using their available existing data, a dental sealant was regarded 58% decreased risk of caries for all teeth. It was adjusted with the coverage rate and the adjusted effective rate, 15.7% was set in the model to decrease the rate of caries development.

9

175 <u>Combined Supervised Toothbrushing and Sealant (STB+Sealant)</u>

The descriptions of STB and sealant are the same as mentioned above. The coverage rate of the combined STB+sealant in Thailand for 6-15 years old was 33% [22]. The effective rates of STB and sealant before adjusting with the coverage rates were 10% and 58% respectively as mentioned above. According to each rate and experts' opinions based on their existing data, the effective rate of combined intervention was determined as 60%. After adjusting the coverage rate, the adjusted effective rate of 19.8% was set in the model to slow the caries development rate.

183

184 Model validation

Both structure-based validation and behavior-based validation were conducted. Face validity was conducted for the model's structure as structure-based validation by researchers and experts in the group model building (GMB) sessions. As a structure-based validation, the adequacy of the model boundary, model's structures, parameters verification, and dimensional consistency of the model's equations were checked [24]. Known-case validity compared with historical data and sensitivity analysis by changing the plausible parameters were evaluated by researchers as behavior-based validation for the model's behavior [24].

192

193 Uncertainty analysis

Uncertainty analysis was done as multivariate sensitivity analysis with random uniform distribution in Vensim DSS version 6.4 software to examine how a change in the parameters would influence the outcomes. The parameters were varied by ± 10 percent. The mean values of the outcome (population with no caries) with a 95 percent confidence interval under the base case scenario and intervention scenarios are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

10

Table 1. Uncertainty analysis of the population with no caries at the age of 15 years

201				
202	Scenarios	Рс	pulation with no car	ries
203		Mean	Lower bound	Upper bound
204			(95% CI)	(95%CI)
205	Base-case	112,383	97,023	128,402
	STB	133,943	117,002	149,809
206	Sealant	141,006	125,046	157,423
207	STB + sealant	153,526	137,157	169,079

- 208
- 209

Table 2. Uncertainty analysis of the population with no caries at the age of 60 years

211				
212	Scenarios	Ро	pulation with no car	ies
		Mean	Lower bound	Upper bound
213			(95% CI)	(95%CI)
214	Base-case	141	52	282
215	STB	338	142	631
	Sealant	532	240	957
216				
	STB + sealant	857	414	1,483
217		1		

218

11

220 Ethical considerations

This study received ethical approval from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry, Prince of Songkla University, EC6503-011 on 7 March 2022.

223

224 **Results**

Table 3, the population with no caries under combined STB+sealant is the highest, 225 153,042 (22.56%) than in other scenarios: dental sealant, 141,097 (20.80%), supervised 226 227 toothbrushing (STB), 133,306 (19.65%) and base-case, 112,405 (16.57%) at the age of 15 years. It increased by 36.2% under the combined STB+sealant, 25.5% in sealant, and 18.6% in STB 228 compared to the base case. The untreated caries population is the lowest under combined 229 STB+sealant, 257,655 (37.99 %) followed by sealant, 264,507 (38.99%) and STB, 270,870 230 (39.94%) whereas the base-case is the highest, 280,244 (41.32%). Compared to the base case, 231 it decreased by 8.1% in combined STB+sealant, 5.6% in sealant, and 3.3% in STB. The 232 population with missing teeth and populations with restoration and endodontic treatment are 233 also the highest in base-case and lowest in combined STB+sealant followed by sealant and 234 STB. Compared to the base case, the population with restoration decreased by 6.1%, 4.4%, and 235 3.8% while the population with endodontic decreased by 4.7%, 3.3%, and 3.0% in combined 236 STB+sealant, sealant, and STB respectively. The missing teeth population decreased by 6.7% 237 238 in combined STB+sealant, 4.8% in sealant, and 4.3% in STB compared to the base case.

12

240

Table 3. Simulation results when the age of 15 years

	Scenarios				
State	Base case	STB	Sealant	STB +	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	Sealant	
				(d)	
	112405	133306	141097	153042	
No caries	(16.57%)	(19.65%)	(20.80%)	(22.56%)	
(% change from the base case)	-	+18.6	+25.5	+36.2	
	280244	270870	264507	257655	
Untreated caries	(41.32%)	(39.94%)	(38.99%)	(37.99%)	
(% change from the base case)	-	-3.3	-5.6	-8.1	
	119813	115259	114601	112547	
Restoration	(17.67%)	(16.99%)	(16.89%)	(16.59%)	
(% change from the base case)	-	-3.8	-4.4	-6.1	
	12822	12436	12390	12222	
Endodontic	(1.89%)	(1.83%)	(1.83%)	(1.80%)	
(% change from the base case)	-	-3.0	-3.3	-4.7	
	152959	146372	145648	142777	
Missing teeth	(22.55%)	(21.58%)	(21.47%)	(21.05%)	
(% change from the base case)	_	-4.3	-4.8	-6.7	

241

242 STB (b), % change from the base case = (b - a)/a *100243 Sealant (c), % change from the base case = (c - a)/a *100

244 STB+Sealant (d), % change from the base case = (d - a)/a *100

13

245	Table 4, at the age of around 60 years, the no caries population is the lowest population
246	among other populations. Even though there is no significant difference between the scenarios,
247	the highest, 808 (0.12%) is in combined STB+sealant, followed by sealant, 496 (0.073%), and
248	supervised toothbrushing (STB), 311 (0.046%) compared to the base case, 127 (0.019%).
249	Whereas the untreated caries population increased by 4.9% in combined STB+sealant, 3.2% in
250	sealant, and 2.1% in STB compared to the base case. For the population with restoration, it
251	increased by 1.6%, 1.1%, and 0.9% while the population with endodontic decreased by 0.66%,
252	0.43%, and 0.28% under combined STB+sealant, sealant, and STB respectively compared to
253	the base case. The population with missing teeth decreased by 1.2% in combined STB+sealant,
254	0.79% in sealant, and 056% in STB from the base case.
255	
256	
257	
258	
259	
260	

14

າ	c	ר
Z	σ	2

Table 4. Simulation results when the age of 60 years

202					
203			Scen	arios	
264		Base case	STB	Sealant	STB +
265	States	(a)	(b)	(c)	Sealant
265					(d)
266		127	311	496	808
267	No caries	(0.019%)	(0.046%)	(0.073%)	(0.12%)
268	(% change from the	_	NA	NA	NA
	base case)		1 1 2 2	1 42 8	1 17 1
269		62975	64342	65004	66035
270	Untreated caries	(9.29%)	(9.49%)	(9.58%)	(9.73%)
271	(% change from the	_	+2 1	+3.2	+4 9
272	base case)		. 2.1		
272		123487	124609	124898	125461
273	Restoration	(18.21%)	(18.37%)	(18.41%)	(18.49%)
274	(% change from the	_	+0.9	+1 1	+1.6
275	base case)		10.9	1.1	1.0
276		25699	25628	25589	25529
276	Endodontic	(3.79%)	(3.78%)	(3.77%)	(3.76%)
277	(% change from the	_	-0.28	-0.43	-0.66
278	base case)		-0.20	-0.45	-0.00
279		465955	463353	462255	460409
	Missing teeth	(68.70%)	(68.32%)	(68.15%)	(67.88%)
280	(% change from the	_	-0.56	-0 79	-1 2
281	base case)		0.00	0.17	1.2
282					

283	*NA = Not applicable due to no differential effect between the scenarios
284	STB (b), % change from the base case = $(b - a)/a *100$
285	Sealant (c), % change from the base case = $(c - a)/a *100$
286	STB+Sealant (d), % change from the base case = $(d - a)/a *100$

287	Figure 3 shows the population with no caries of permanent dentition age, from 6 years
288	old to elderly age. It seems that the no caries population is the highest under combined
289	STB+sealant followed by sealant and supervised toothbrushing (STB) and lowest under base-
290	case. It is still higher under intervention scenarios than the base case until the adult age and the
291	differences can be seen prominently in the graph before the age of 30 years. Nevertheless, it
292	cannot be seen differently between interventions and the base case after the age of 40 years.
293	Besides, it is seen that the population with no caries significantly decreases over time.
294	
295	Fig 3. Population with no caries
296	
297	Figure 4 presents the untreated caries population from 6 years old to elderly age. It
298	shows that it is the lowest under combined STB+sealant followed by sealant and STB then
299	base-case. It can be seen clearly in the graph until the age of 20 years. However, at ages over
300	20 years, the caries population under interventions is not lower than the base case. Further, the
301	graph shows that the caries population is slightly decreased over the years.
302	
303	Fig 4. Population with untreated caries
304	
305	Discussion
306	When establishing the interventions in the model, the effective rates of the interventions
307	were identified and adjusted from the coverage rates in Thailand. Depending on the coverage
308	rate, the effective rate of supervised toothbrushing (STB) was only 9.5%. Although the
309	coverage rate is high, the effective rate was not high, and the caries development rate was not
310	significantly reduced from the base case. While the sealant and combined STB+sealant were
311	quite good in effective rates, the coverage rates were not satisfactory, and their adjusted

16

effective rates were 15.7% and 19.8% respectively. It is considered that the effective rates were not very high due to quite low coverage rates. Therefore, the reduction of caries development rate under these two interventions was also not significantly different from the base case.

Since the effective rate of supervised toothbrushing (STB) is not very satisfactory, this 315 must be emphasized in the intervention. A study indicated that STB has a favorable effect on 316 preventing caries [25]. In this study, the children received an intensive preventive program 317 including the Bass method of brushing and finger flossing monitored by dentists. During the 318 program, daily practicing toothbrushing with fluoride toothpaste in addition to flossing was 319 320 carried out after lunch on every school day under the supervision of school nurses for one semester (20 weeks). This program lasted about 10 years. Therefore, the type of resource used, 321 and the scheme would be crucial for the intervention, and a comprehensive approach to 322 toothbrushing should be contemplated for more beneficial effects. In the aspect of sealant, 323 applying the resin-based sealant on the pit and fissure permanent teeth has a valuable effect on 324 caries. However, more resources would be needed than for STB, and the availability of 325 resources needs to be of concern. 326

Based on the simulation, combined STB+sealant is better than each of these assigned 327 separately. Supervised toothbrushing (STB) is proposed not only to prevent the occurrence of 328 dental caries but also to develop oral hygiene habits [9] while the dental sealant is especially 329 focused on molar teeth with a quite good preventing effect on caries [11]. Therefore, the 330 combination of the interventions would be better intervention. It can be seen that at the age of 331 15 years, under the combined intervention, the no caries population is the highest, and the 332 populations with untreated caries, restoration, endodontic treatment, and missing teeth are the 333 lowest compared with each intervention administered alone, as shown in Table 3. It also seems 334 that the no caries population remains the highest under the combined intervention among the 335 adult and elderly ages. 336

17

Even though the no caries population is still higher than in the base case until the elderly 337 age under intervention scenarios, the untreated caries population gradually increased in 338 intervention scenarios over the base case scenario after 20 years old. It is regarded that the 339 interventions that are allocated among the younger ages could no longer reduce the untreated 340 caries population in the adult ages. Therefore, the interventions have a caries reduction effect 341 for only about 15 years from when they are started. It shows that the consequences of caries 342 343 such as treated cases due to caries and missing teeth under intervention scenarios are not very different from the base case in the elderly ages since the interventions have no positive effect 344 345 on caries from the adult age.

A study by Splieth et al., (2008) [26] developed the System Dynamics Model (SDM) 346 and reported the combination of fluoride toothpaste, fluoride salt, and fluoride gel was the most 347 effective among fluoride regimes for caries prevention and was also cost-effective. Another 348 study (Hirsch et al., 2012) [27] reported that the SDM estimated the combined early childhood 349 caries (ECC) interventions were the most significant approach for caries reduction and costs. 350 The present study is consistent with these previous studies in that combined interventions are 351 preferred based on SDM simulation. Two studies (Hirsch et al., 2012, Edelstein et al., 2015) 352 projected caries reduction for 10 years by simulating the SDM [27, 28]. It is analogous to this 353 study where the reduction of caries persists for about 15 years. Nevertheless, the present study 354 focused on the long-term simulation of more than the projected 10 years. Furthermore, the 355 356 previous studies mentioned only the effective rates of the interventions whereas this study concerned not only the effective rates of the interventions conducted by meta-analyses but also 357 the coverage rates in Thailand. 358

In this study, the data for the cause of missing teeth due to dental caries or periodontal disease was impossible to separate depending on the available data source, Health Data Centre (HDC). Therefore, the data of all causes of missing teeth from HDC were used and it was

18

assumed that the missing teeth may be mostly due to caries among the younger ages, and from 362 both caries and periodontal diseases during the adult and elderly ages [29, 30]. Therefore, if the 363 interventions focus not only on caries but also on the periodontal disease with huge 364 effectiveness, the number of the population with missing teeth could be reduced more than that 365 indicated in this simulation study. In contrast, it would not be different if the focus were to be 366 on caries alone. Moreover, considering each socioeconomic factor related to dental caries as a 367 368 variable in the model is complicated. It is assumed that developing caries is prevented by reducing the risk factors that could be influenced by interventions. Due to the above limitations, 369 370 this study may require accomplishing a more distinct quantitative model prediction.

Since it has been allowed that combined STB+sealant is more effective to implement, expanding the coverage rate of the intervention must be considered. This is because the effective rate of the combined intervention is quite low after adjusting the coverage rate although the combined intervention is good in effect. Besides, since the interventions afforded among younger ages have meager effectiveness in adult age and elderly age, furnishing some effective interventions beyond the younger ages should be considered.

The System Dynamics Model (SDM) may be used for long-term assessment in analyzing the effectiveness of interventions when projecting the interventions for improving oral health. Moreover, the SDM may help in comparing the cases as "what if" scenarios to anticipate the best case and poor case of other services or policies. Since the study did not encompass the costs, cost-effectiveness, or cost-saving, these aspects should be appraised in further studies.

383

384

385

19

387 Conclusion

388	Among the interventions provided by the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH) during the
389	age of 6-15 years, the combined STB+sealant is the best intervention compared with each
390	applied separately. It is supposed that the interventions given between the ages of 6-15 years
391	old can reduce caries for 15 years from when they are started but have no beneficial effect on
392	the population during the elderly age. Moreover, System Dynamics Model (SDM) would help
393	decision-makers anticipate the best interventions or policies by simulating scenarios.

394

395 **References**

- WHO | The burden of oral disease: challenges to improving oral health in the 21st century.
 https://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/83/1/editorial20105/en/
- Frencken JE, Sharma P, Stenhouse L, Green D, Laverty D, Dietrich T. Global epidemiology
 of dental caries and severe periodontitis–a comprehensive review. Journal of clinical
 periodontology. 2017 Mar;44:S94-105.
- 3. Petersen PE, Bourgeois D, Ogawa H, Estupinan-Day S, Ndiaye C. The global burden of
 oral diseases and risks to oral health. Bulletin of the world health organization.
 2005;83:661-9.
- 404 4. Bagramian RA, Garcia-Godoy F, Volpe AR. The global increase in dental caries. A
 405 pending public health crisis. Am J dent. 2009 Feb 1;22(1):3-8.
- 406 5. Bureau of Dental Health. Department of Health, Ministry of Public Health. The 8th
 407 Thailand National Oral Health Survey Report 2017. Bangkok: Bureau of Dental Health;
 408 2018.
- 409 6. Naorungroj S. Oral Health-Related Quality of Life in the Elderly: A Review and Future
 410 Challenges in Thailand. Siriraj Medical Journal [Internet]. 2015 Oct 5 [cited 2021 Jun

20

411		7];67(5). Available from:
412		https://he02.tcithaijo.org/index.php/sirirajmedj/article/view/55227
413	7.	Olatosi O, Sote E. Causes and pattern of tooth loss in children and adolescents in a Nigerian
414		tertiary hospital. Nigerian quarterly journal of hospital medicine. 2014 Feb 26;22:258-62.
415	8.	Stein C, Santos NML, Hilgert JB, Hugo FN. Effectiveness of oral health education on oral
416		hygiene and dental caries in schoolchildren: Systematic review and meta-analysis.
417		Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2018;46(1):30–7.
418	9.	Protocol for the Implementation of the Supervised Tooth Brushing Programme in Primary
419		Schools [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jan 28].
420	10	. Health CA for D and T in. Fluoride varnishes for dental health: a review of the clinical
421		effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, and guidelines. Summary of evidence. Ottawa, ON,
422		Canada: Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2016. 2018.
423	11	. Cvikl B, Moritz A, Bekes K. Pit and Fissure Sealants—A Comprehensive Review. Dent J
424		(Basel). 2018 Jun 12;6(2):18.
425	12	. Bureau of Dental Health. Department of Health, Ministry of Public Health, Dental public
426		health implementation guidelines; 2564.
427	13	. Mielczarek B. Review of modeling approaches for healthcare simulation. Operations
428		Research and Decisions. 2016;26(1):55–72.
429	14	. Qu Z, Zhang S, Krauth C, Liu X. A systematic review of decision analytic modeling
430		techniques for the economic evaluation of dental caries interventions. PLoS One.
431		2019;14(5):e0216921.
432	15	. Ungchusak C. Oral health promotion and prevention of Early Childhood Caries. Thai
433		Dental Public Health Journal. 2017;22(พิเศษ):44-61.
434	16	. Mustafee N, Katsaliaki K, Taylor S. Profiling Literature in Healthcare Simulation.
435		SIMULATION. 2010;86:543–58.

- 436 17. Tsoi B, O'Reilly D, Jegathisawaran J, Tarride JE, Blackhouse G, Goeree R. Systematic
- 437 narrative review of decision frameworks to select the appropriate modeling approaches for
- health economic evaluations. BMC research notes. 2015;8(1):1-15.
- 439 18. Shanahan M, Shukla N, Perez P, Farrell M, Ritter A. A systematic review of modeling
- 440 approaches in economic evaluations of health interventions for drug and alcohol problems.
- BMC health services research. 2016;16(1):1-14.
- 19. Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). (2011): "Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of
- Interventions" Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011.
- 444 Available from www.handbook.cochrane.org.
- 20. Ahovuo-Saloranta A, Forss H, Walsh T, Nordblad A, Mäkelä M, Worthington HV. Pit and
- fissure sealants for preventing dental decay in permanent teeth. Cochrane database ofsystematic reviews. 2017(7).
- 448 21. Siebers P-O. Lecture 06 Simulation Methods: System Dynamics Simulation.
- 22. Jirapongsa W, Prasertsom, P. Evaluation of Oral Health Promotion and Prevention in
 School Children Project under National Health Security "Yim (smile) Sodsai (Bright), Dek
- 451 Thai (Thai Children) Fun Dee (Health Teeth)" 2005-2007. Thailand Journal of Dental
 452 Public Health 2008;13(5):85-96.
- 453 23. Health Data Center (HDC) [Internet]. [cited 2022 Sep 18]. Available from:
 454 http://www.pcko.moph.go.th/hdc.html.
- 455 24. Qudrat-Ullah H, Seong B. How to do structural validity of a system dynamics type
 456 simulation model: The case of an energy policy model. Energy Policy. 2010 May
 457 1;38:2216–24.
- 458 25. Lai H, Fann JC, Yen AM, Chen LS, Lai MH, Chiu SY. Long-term effectiveness of
- school-based children oral hygiene program on oral health after 10-year follow-up.
- 460 Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology. 2016 Jun;44(3):209-15.

- 461 26. Splieth CH, Flessa S. Modelling lifelong costs of caries with and without fluoride use. Eur
- 462 J Oral Sci. 2008 Apr;116(2):164–9.
- 463 27. Hirsch GB, Edelstein BL, Frosh M, Anselmo T. A simulation model for designing effective
 464 interventions in early childhood caries. Preventing Chronic Disease. 2012;9.
- 465 28. Edelstein BL, Hirsch G, Frosh M, Kumar J. Reducing early childhood caries in a Medicaid
- 466 population: a systems model analysis. J Am Dent Assoc. 2015 Apr;146(4):224–32.
- 467 29. Al-Shammari KF, Al-Ansari JM, Al-Melh MA, Al-Khabbaz AK. Reasons for tooth
- 468 extraction in Kuwait. Medical principles and practice. 2006;15(6):417-22.
- 469 30. Caldas Jr AF, Marcenes W, Sheiham A. Reasons for tooth extraction in a Brazilian
- 470 population. International dental journal. 2000 Oct 1;50(5):267-73.
- 471
- 472

473 Supporting information

- 474 S1 Fig 1. Causal loop diagram (CLD).
- 475 S2 Fig 2. Stocks and Flows diagram.
- 476 **S3 Fig 3. Population with no caries.**
- 477 S4 Fig 4. Population with untreated caries.
- 478
- 479 S5 Table 1. Uncertainty analysis of the population with no caries at the age of 15 years
- 480 S6 Table 2. Uncertainty analysis of the population with no caries at the age of 60 years
- 481 S7 Table 3. Simulation results when the age of 15 years
- 482 **S8** Table 4. Simulation results when the age of 60 years
- 483
- 484

Fig 1. Causal loop diagram (CLD)





Fig 1

Fig 2. Stocks and Flows diagram



Fig 3. Population with no caries



STB

No caries activity

STB+Sealant

Fig 3

Fig 4. Population with untreated caries



STB

Untreated caries

STB+Sealant