

4

109 **Introduction**

110

132 into the low normal or osteopenia range, the bone is unlikely to be 'normal'. Bone mass is

- 133 reduced relative to premenopausal women and many qualities of bone responsible for its
- 134 strength may be compromised. $14-18$

- 160 identifies women at risk of a first or subsequent fracture needing prompt treatment and would
- 161 do so better than the fracture risk assessment (FRAX) score with BMD or BMD alone.
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

7

186 **Methods**

187

208 training and testing data set. No training data was used as testing data. **Figure S2** shows

209 participants were randomly allocated to five groups, four used for training (n=1678), the fifth

- 210 used for testing $(n = 422)$. Scores were calculated for each testing group with the median
- 211 forming the SFS-AI (see Supplement **Figure S2**). Deep learning was applied to images of the

10

263 **Results**

11

289 ranged 4.2 to 16.7%). Specificities of SFS-AI were 77.1%, significantly lower than

290 specificities of the other two diagnostics (which ranged 94.6 to 96.6%).

291

292 **Women aged ≥ 65 years** Supplementary **Figure S3** shows the performance of the 293 diagnostics as continuous traits. For 'All Fragility Fractures' and 'Major Fragility Fractures', 294 the AUCs for SFS-AI were 70% and 66% respectively. **Table S4** shows unadjusted and 295 adjusted SFS-AI predicted both categories of fractures (OR 1.68 to 2.15, all $p < 0.05$). 296 Neither of the other two diagnostics predicted either category of fracture. **Figure S3** also 297 shows the performance of the diagnostics as categorical traits. For SFS-AI, sensitivities were 298 67.6% and 60.0% for detecting women having 'All Fragility Fractures' or 'Major Fragility 299 Fractures' respectively, values significantly greater than the sensitivities of FRAX with BMD 300 or BMD (ranging 6.67 to 26.7%). Specificities of SFS-AI were 70.7%, significantly lower 301 than specificity of 94.6% for the other two diagnostics. 302

303 **The morphological basis of the SFS-AI Figure 2** shows that SFS-AI increased across 304 age in women having fragility fractures and in women remaining fracture-free. Red regions 305 of the heat map overlying bone and soft tissue identify regions of high relevance to risk of 306 incident fractures compared to the blue regions. **Figure 3** shows that SFS-AI correlated with 307 microarchitecture; directly with cortical porosity and FRAX with BMD, and negatively with 308 trabecular density and BMD. **Figure 3** and Supplementary **Table S5** show that 46% of the 309 variance in SFS-AI was explained by variance in age $(p = 0.002)$, cortical porosity and 310 trabecular density (both $p < 0.001$) but not with BMD or FRAX with BMD; 54% of the 311 variance remained unexplained. 312

313

12

315 **Discussion**

316

338 defend against fracture by increasing the energy required to initiate and propagate a crack.⁴²

- 339 Small changes in the degree of mineralization increase matrix stiffness but reduce its ductility
- 340 (ability to absorb energy by deforming).⁴³ Heterogeneity in the size and number of osteons,⁴⁴

13

 141 the cement line around each osteon, $45,46$ the differing orientation of mineralized collagen 342 fibres of adjacent concentric osteonal lamellae, $47-49$ the extent glycation, 50 hydration⁵¹ and 343 other factors^{52,53} influence the mechanical properties of bone. The heat map implicated 344 deterioration of soft tissue as well as bone. The nature of soft tissue deterioration is not 345 known but if it is sarcopenia then the SFS-AI algorithm might capture a component of risk for 346 $falls.²³$ 347 348 Most studies using machine learning are cross sectional and examine the ability to identify 949 persons with prevalent fractures or osteoporosis (BMD T-Score \leq - 2.5 SD).²⁴⁻²⁷ This is the 350 first prospective study using deep learning to derive an algorithm that identifies women 351 having incident fractures during five years. The algorithm was developed by interrogating the 352 three-dimensional images of bone and soft tissue, no other information was used. This 353 Structural Fragility Score serves as a surrogate of fracture risk that is likely to assist in 354 reducing the population burden of fragility fractures. It provides a diagnostic able to identify 355 most women at risk of fracture and provides fast processing, easy access to risk assessment 356 allowing prompt initiation and monitoring of preventative treatment at the community level. 357 358 High resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography (HRpQCT) technology is no-359 longer confined to the research domain. Commercial devices are now CE marked and FDA

360 cleared for multiple clinical settings. Analysis requires only the acquisition of the three-

361 dimensional image of the distal radius, ulna and soft tissue and cloud-based computer

362 technology provides prompt diagnosis allowing initiation or monitoring of therapy.

363

364 This study has several limitations. Further studies are needed to determine whether including

365 factors predisposing to falls such as muscle mass and function, age, height, weight and other

14

366 covariates improves the performance of the diagnostic. The sample sizes were insufficient to

367 evaluate performance of the diagnostic in predicting individual types of fracture.

15

392 **Legends for Figures for manuscript**

16

418 ulna and adjacent soft tissue. DenseNet121 is used as the neural network backbone. The

419 output feature after the global average pool is a 256-dimension feature. A multi-task (age

420 prediction, fracture prediction and non-fracture years prediction) learning strategy was used to

- 421 achieve robust extraction of relevant features.
- 422
- 423 **Figure S2.** We studied women from OFELY ($n = 568$), Qualyor ($n = 1427$) and Gerico ($n =$

424 671). (A) There were 526, 1187 and 387 images remaining from the respective cohorts for

425 analysis after excluding images from women remaining fracture-free followed for under 5

426 years and images from women having traumatic (non-fragility). (B) Women having a

427 fragility fracture during 5 years were denoted as (+), women remaining fracture-free as (-).

428 (C) Participants from each cohort were randomly allotted into five groups with approximately

429 equal numbers of $(+)$ and $(-)$ subjects. See Methods section.

430

431 **Figure S3. Left two panels.** Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC) curves for Structural 432 Fragility Score Artificial Intelligence (SFS-AI), Fracture Risk Assessment Score (FRAX) with 433 bone mineral density (BMD) and BMD as continuous traits predicting 'All Fragility 434 Fractures' and 'Major Fragility Fractures' in women 65 years of age and over. Area under the 435 Curves (AUCs) with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) were significantly different from 0.5 (*p 436 < 0.05) for SFS-AI only. **Right two panels.** Sensitivity and specificity of the SFS-AI, FRAX 437 with BMD and BMD as categorical traits predicting women having 'All Fragility Fractures' 438 or 'Major Fragility Fractures'. 439 440 441

- 442
-
- 443

17

445 **References**

- 447 1. Compston JE, McClung M, Leslie W. Osteoporosis. Lancet 2019;393: 364-76
- 448 2. Kanis JA. Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal
- 449 osteoporosis: synopsis of a WHO report. WHO Study Group. Osteoporos Int. 1994;4: 368–81.
- 450 3. Siris ES, Chen YT, Abbott TA, et al. Bone mineral density thresholds for pharmacological
- 451 intervention to prevent fractures. Arch Intern Med. 2004;164: 1108–12.
- 4524. Schuit SC, van der Klift M, Weel AE, et al. Fracture incidence and association with bone
- 453 mineral density in elderly men and women: the Rotterdam Study. Bone. 2004;34:195–202.
- 454. Pasco JA, Seeman E, Henry MJ, et al. The population burden of fractures originates in women
- 455 with osteopenia, not osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int. 2006;17:1404–9.
- 456. Sanders KM, Nicholson GC, Watts JJ, et al. Half the burden of fragility fractures in the
- 457 community occur in women without osteoporosis. When is fracture prevention cost-effective?
- 458 Bone. 2006;38: 694–700.
- 459 7. Trajanoska K, Schoufour JD, de Jonge EAL et al. Fracture incidence and secular trends
- 460 between 1989 and 2013 in a population-based cohort: The Rotterdam Study Bone.
- 461 2018;114:116–24.
- 4628. Samelson EJ, Broe KE, Xu H et al. Cortical and trabecular bone microarchitecture as an
- 463 independent predictor of incident fracture risk in older women and men in the Bone
- 464 Microarchitecture International Consortium. Lancet Diabetes *Endocrinol.* 2019;7:34-43.
- 465. Chapurlat R, Bui M, Sornay-Rendu E, Seeman E et al. Deterioration of cortical and
- 466 trabecular microstructure identifies women with osteopenia or normal bone mineral density at
- 467 imminent and long‐term risk for fragility fracture: a prospective study. J Bone Miner Res
- 468 2020; 35: 833-44.

18

469 10. Leslie WD, Seeman E, Morin SN, Lix LM, Majumdar SR. The diagnostic threshold for

- 470 osteoporosis impedes fracture prevention: A registry-based cohort study. Bone 2018;
- 471 114:298-303.
- 472 11. Colon-Emeric CS, Saag KG. Osteoporotic fractures in older adults. Best Pract Res Clin
- 473 Rheumatol. 2006;20(4):695–706.
- 474 12. Lyles K, Gold D, Shipp K, et al. Association of osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures
- 475 with impaired functional status. Am J Med.1993;94:595–601.
- 476 13. Diamond T, Champion B, Clark W. Management of acute osteoporotic vertebral fractures: a
- 477 non-randomized trial comparing percutaneous vertebroplasty with conservative therapy. Am J
- 478 Med. 2003; 114:257–65.
- 479 14. Weiner S, Traub W (1992) Bone structure: from angstroms to microns. FASEB J 6:879–885
- 480 15. Seeman E, Delmas PD. Bone quality the material and structural basis of bone strength and
- 481 fragility. New Engl J Medicine. 2006;354:2250-61.
- 4826. Muller R. Hierarchical microimaging of bone structure and function. Nature Rev.
- 483 Rheumatol. 2009; 5:373-81.
- 484 17. Ural A, Vashishth D. Hierarchical perspective of bone toughness-from molecules to fracture.
- 485 Int Mater Rev 2014; 59:245–63
- 486 18. Zimmermann EA, Schaible E, Bale H et al. Age-related changes in the plasticity and
- 487 toughness of human cortical bone at multiple length scales. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011;

488 108:14416

- 4899. Akkus O, Polyakova-Akkus A, Adar F, Schaffler M. Aging of microstructural compartments
- 490 in human compact bone. J BoneMiner Res. 2003;18:1012–1019
- 49 20. Currey J. The mechanical consequences of variation in the mineral content of bone. J
- 492 Biomechanics. 1969;2:1-11.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license. **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.05.23288167;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.05.23288167) this version posted April 7, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint

- 19
- 493 21. Zebaze RM, Ghasem-Zadeh A, Bohte A, et al. Intracortical remodelling and porosity in the
- 494 distal radius and post-mortem femurs of women: a cross-sectional study. Lancet.
- 495 2010;375:1729–36.
- 496 22. Schaffler MB, Burr DB. Stiffness of compact bone: effects of porosity and density. J
- 497 Biomech. 1988;21:13–6
- 498 23. Yeung SSY, Reijnierse EM, Pham VK et al J Cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle. Sarcopenia
- 499 and its association with falls and fractures in older adults: A systematic review and meta-
- 500 analysis. 2019; 10: 485-500.
- 501 24. Lakhani P, Prater AB, Hutson RK, et al. Machine learning in radiology: applications beyond
- 502 image interpretation. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018;15:350–9
- $50\overline{25}$. Pedoia V, Caliva F, Kazakia G et al Augmenting osteoporosis imaging with machine learning.
- 504 Current osteoporosis reports 2021; 19: 699-709
- 505 26. King SH and Shin CS Application of machine learning in bone and mineral research.
- 506 Endocrine and metab. 2021; 36:928-37
- 5027. Kong SH, Ahn D, Kim B, Srinivasan K et al. A novel fracture prediction model using
- 508 machine learning in a community-based cohort. JBMR® Plus. 4 (3), e10337
- 509 28. Sornay-Rendu E, Boutroy S, François Duboeuf F, Chapurlat RD. Bone microarchitecture
- 510 assessed by HR-pQCT as predictor of fracture risk in postmenopausal women: the OFELY
- 511 Study. J Bone Miner Res. 2017;32:1243–51.
- 512 29. Arlot M, Sornay-Rendu E, Garnero P, Vey-Marty B, Delmas PD. Apparent pre- and
- 513 postmenopausal bone loss evaluated by DXA at different skeletal sites in women: the OFELY
- 514 cohort. J Bone Miner Res. 1997;12:883–90.
- 515 30. Chapurlat R, Pialat JB, Merle B, Confavreux E, Duvert F, Fontanges E, et al. The QUALYOR
- 516 (QUalite Osseuse LYon Orleans) study: a new cohort for non-invasive evaluation of bone
- 517 quality in postmenopausal osteoporosis. Rationale and study design. Arch Osteoporos. Dec 27
- 518 2017;13(1):2. Epub 2017/12/29.

20

519 31. Biver E, Durosier-Izart C, van Rietbergen B et al. Evaluation of radius microstructure and

- 520 areal bone mineral density improves fracture prediction in postmenopausal women. J Bone
- 521 Miner Res. 2018;33:328–37.
- 5222. Laib A, Häuselmann HJ, Rüegsegger P. In vivo high-resolution 3D-QCT of the human
- 523 forearm. Technol Health Care. 1998;6: 329–37.
- 5243. Kanis JA, Johnell O, Oden A, Johansson H, McCloskey E. FRAX and the assessment of
- 525 fracture probability in men and women from the UK. Osteoporos Int. 2008;19(4):385–97.
- 5264. Huang, G., Liu, Z., Van Der Maaten, L. and Weinberger, K.Q., 2017. Densely connected
- 527 convolutional networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and
- 528 pattern recognition (pp. 4700-8).
- 529 35. Kingma, D.P. and Ba, J., 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint 530 arXiv:1412.6980.
- 531 36. Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin, Z.,
- 532 Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L. and Desmaison, A., 2019. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-
- 533 performance deep learning library. Advances in neural information processing systems, 32,
- 534 pp.8026-37.
- 535 37. Selvaraju, R.R., Cogswell, M., Das, A., Vedantam, R., Parikh, D. and Batra, D., 2017. Grad-
- 536 cam: Visual explanations from deep networks via gradient-based localization. In Proceedings
- 537 of the IEEE international conference on computer vision (pp. 618-26).
- 5388. Pepe, M. S. 2003. The Statistical Evaluation of Medical Tests for Classification and
- 539 Prediction. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 54039. Huettner, Frank; Sunder, Marco (2012): Axiomatic arguments for decomposing goodness of
- 541 fit according to Shapley and Owen values. Electronic Journal of Statistics 6, 1239-50.
- 54240. Zebaze R, Atkinson E, Peng Y, et al Increased Cortical porosity and reduced trabecular
- 543 density are not necessarily synonymous with bone loss and microstructural deterioration.
- 544 JBMR Plus 2019;3(4): e10078-85

- 545 41. Ghasem-Zadeh A, Bui M, Seeman E et al. Bone microarchitecture and estimated failure load
- 546 are deteriorated whether patients with chronic kidney disease have normal bone mineral
- 547 density, osteopenia or osteoporosis. Bone 2022; 154 116260
- 54842. O'Brien FJ, Taylor D, Clive Lee T. The effect of bone microstructure on the initiation and
- 549 growth of microcracks. J Orthop Res 2005; 23:475–80
- 550 43. Currey JD Effects of differences in mineralization on the mechanical properties of bone. Phil
- 551 Trans. Royal Soc.Lond. 1984; 304:509-18.
- 552 44. Yeni YN, Brown CU, Wang Z, Norman TL. The influence of bone morphology on fracture
- 553 toughness of the human femur and tibia. Bone 1997;21:453-9.
- 554 45. Yeni YN, Norman TL (2000) Calculation of porosity and osteonal cement line effects on the
- 555 effective fracture toughness of cortical bone in longitudinal crack growth. J Biomed Mater
- 556 Res 51:504–9
- 557 46. Burr DB, Schaffler MB, Frederickson RG (1988) Composition of the cement line and its
- 558 possible mechanical role as a local interface in human compact bone. J Biomech 21:939–94.
- 559 47. Ascenzi A, Bonucci E (1967) The tensile properties of single osteons. Anat Rec 158:375–86
- 560 48. Goldman HM, Bromage TG, Thomas CD, Clement JG (2003) Preferred collagen fiber
- 561 orientation in the human mid-shaft femur. Anat Rec A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol 2003;
- 562 272:434–45
- 563 49. Buehler MJ (2008) Nanomechanics of collagen fibrils under varying cross-link densities:
- 564 atomistic and continuum studies. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 1:59–67
- 565 50. Tang SY, Vashishth D Non-enzymatic glycation alters microdamage formation in human
- 566 cancellous bone. Bone 2010; 46:148–54
- 567. Stranger JS, Roy A, Shen X, Acuna RL, Tyler JH, Wang X The influence of water removal on
- 568 the strength and toughness of cortical bone. J Biomech 2006; 39:931–8
- 56952. Hernandez CJ, Gupta A, Keaveny TM (2006) A biomechanical analysis of the effects of
- 570 resorption cavities on cancellous bone strength. J Bone Miner Res 2006; 21:1248–55

571 53. Fantner GE, Hassenkam T, Kindt JH Sacrificial bonds and hidden length dissipate energy as

- 572 mineralized fibrils separate during bone fracture. Nat Mater 2005; 4:612–6
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-

Table 1. Median and interquartile ranges (IQR) for Structural Fragility Score-Artificial Intelligence (SFS-AI), Fracture Risk Assessment score (FRAX) with bone mineral density (BMD) and femoral neck BMD in women remaining fracture-free and women having Any Fragility Fractures or Major Fragility Fractures during 5 years follow-up.

	Non-Fracture $(N = 350)$		All Fragility Fractures (54)			Major Fragility Fractures ($N = 24$)		
	Median	IOR	Median	IQR	p-value	Median	IOR	p-value
SFS-AI	0.45	0.10	0.51	0.08	< 0.001	0.50	0.10	< 0.001
FRAX with BMD	6.00	5.10	7.70	7.30	0.160	7.85	7.10	0.304
BMD	-1.64	0.88	-1.69	0.98	0.961	-1.77		0.292

p-values comparing women with and without incident fractures computed using robust regression adjusted for age and cohort.

Table 2 Performance of the Structural Fragility Score-Artificial Intelligence (SFS-AI) using data in women of any age and women aged 65 years and over

N= Sample size; Est = estimate; CI = confidence interval; Area under the curve (AUC) and odds ratios (OR) estimated with SFS-AI considered as

medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.05.23288167;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.05.23288167) this version posted April 7, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint
(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted me

 It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- Figure 1 manuscript

All Fragility Fractures in Women of Any Age

 $1-Specificity$

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Supplementary AI methods

Deep Learning Network, training and the heat map DenseNet used dense connection 788 between layers and achieved efficacy in feature extraction. The first layer had a 110-dimension 789 input instead of 3-dimension input in the original DenseNet.³⁴ We added a transition layer using 790 1*1 convolution after the last denseblock of DenseNet to reduce the feature dimension from 791 1024 to 256 to extract a compact feature. A multi-task learning strategy was used to achieve 792 more robust features. Extracted features were used to predict fractures during the ensuing 5- 793 years, to predict the patient's age at the time of scanning and the duration of the fracture-free 794 years of follow-up since scanning. The latter two tasks are included in the training to produce 795 generalized feature representations powerful enough to be shared across different tasks.

797 We used a pretrained model as the initial model and 0.5 as the classification threshold for the 798 training and validation. During training, cross-entropy loss was used as the fracture situation 799 prediction loss, and used the mean squared error loss as the loss of age prediction and non-800 fracture year prediction. L1 regularization is performed on the weights of the classification layer 801 to reduce overfitting. The model is optimized by ADAM optimizer using the four losses with weight 1 on the first three losses and weight 0.01 on the L1 regularization loss.³⁵ The learning 803 rate is set as 5e-6 for the DenseNet backbone and 5e-5 for the other layers, including the 804 transition layer and the three multi-learning branches. Pytorch is chosen to implement the model 805 training and testing.³⁶ The Grad-CAM is utilized to generate the heatmap to represent the 806 features that are extracted by the deep learning model.³⁷

