

Multi-ancestry genome-wide study in >2.5 million individuals reveals heterogeneity in mechanistic pathways of type 2 diabetes and complications

Ken Suzuki^{1,2,3,*}, Konstantinos Hatzikotoulas^{4,*}, Lorraine Southam^{4,*}, Henry J. Taylor^{5,6,7,*}, Xianyong Yin^{8,9,*}, Kim M. Lorenz^{10,11,12,*}, Ravi Mandla^{13,14,*}, Alicia Huerta-Chagoya^{13,14,15}, Nigel W. Rayner⁴, Ozvan Bocher⁴, Ana Luiza de S. V. Arruda⁴, Kyuto Sonehara^{3,16,17,18}, Shinichi Namba³, Simon S. K. Lee¹⁹, Michael H. Preuss¹⁹, Lauren E. Petty²⁰, Philip Schroeder^{13,14}, Brett Vanderwerff⁸, Mart Kals²¹, Fiona Bragg^{22,23}, Kuang Lin²², Xiuqing Guo²⁴, Weihua Zhang^{25,26}, Jie Yao²⁴, Young Jin Kim²⁷, Mariaelisa Graff²⁸, Fumihiko Takeuchi²⁹, Jana Nano³⁰, Amel Lamri^{31,32}, Masahiro Nakatochi³³, Sanghoon Moon²⁷, Robert A. Scott³⁴, James P. Cook³⁵, Jung-Jin Lee³⁶, Ian Pan³⁷, Daniel Taliun⁸, Esteban J. Parra³⁸, Jin-Fang Chai³⁹, Lawrence F. Bielak⁴⁰, Yasuharu Tabara⁴¹, Yang Hai²⁴, Gudmar Thorleifsson⁴², Niels Grarup⁴³, Tamar Sofer^{44,45,46}, Matthias Wuttke⁴⁷, Chloé Sarnowski⁴⁸, Christian Gieger^{30,49,50}, Darryl Noursome⁵¹, Stella Trompet^{52,53}, Soo-Heon Kwak⁵⁴, Jirong Long⁵⁵, Meng Sun⁵⁶, Lin Tong⁵⁷, Wei-Min Chen⁵⁸, Suraj S. Nongmaithem⁵⁹, Raymond Noordam⁵³, Victor J. Y. Lim³⁹, Claudia H. T. Tam^{60,61}, Yoonjung Yoonie Joo^{62,63,64}, Chien-Hsiun Chen⁶⁵, Laura M. Raffield⁶⁶, Bram Peter Prins⁶⁷, Aude Nicolas⁶⁸, Lisa R. Yanek⁶⁹, Guanjie Chen⁷⁰, Jennifer A. Brody⁷¹, Edmond Kabagambe^{55,72}, Ping An⁷³, Anny H. Xiang⁷⁴, Hyeok Sun Choi⁷⁵, Brian E. Cade^{45,76}, Jingyi Tan²⁴, K. Elaine Broadway⁶⁶, Alice Williamson^{34,77}, Zoha Kamali^{78,79}, Jinrui Cui⁸⁰, Linda S. Adair⁸¹, Adebowale Adeyemo⁷⁰, Carlos A. Aguilar-Salinas⁸², Tarunveer S. Ahluwalia^{83,84}, Sonia S. Anand^{31,32,85}, Alain Bertoni⁸⁶, Jette Bork-Jensen⁴³, Ivan Brandslund^{87,88}, Thomas A. Buchanan⁸⁹, Charles F. Burant⁹⁰, Adam S. Butterworth^{6,7,91,92,93}, Mickaël Canouil^{94,95}, Juliana C. N. Chan^{60,61,96,97}, Li-Ching Chang⁶⁵, Miao-Li Chee⁹⁸, Ji Chen^{99,100}, Shyh-Huei Chen¹⁰¹, Yuan-Tsong Chen⁶⁵, Zhengming Chen^{22,23}, Lee-Ming Chuang^{102,103}, Mary Cushman¹⁰⁴, John Danesh^{6,7,67,91,92,93}, Swapan K. Das¹⁰⁵, H. Janaka de Silva¹⁰⁶, George Dedoussis¹⁰⁷, Latchezar Dimitrov¹⁰⁸, Ayo P. Doumatey⁷⁰, Shufa Du^{81,109}, Qing Duan⁶⁶, Kai-Uwe Eckardt^{110,111}, Leslie S. Emery¹¹², Daniel S. Evans¹¹³, Michele K. Evans¹¹⁴, Krista Fischer^{21,115}, James S. Floyd⁷¹, Ian Ford¹¹⁶, Oscar H. Franco¹¹⁷, Timothy M. Frayling¹¹⁸, Barry I. Freedman¹¹⁹, Pauline Genter¹²⁰, Hertzfel C. Gerstein^{31,32,85}, Vilmantas Giedraitis¹²¹, Clicerio González-Villalpando¹²², Maria Elena González-Villalpando¹²², Penny Gordon-Larsen^{81,109}, Myron Gross¹²³, Lindsay A. Guare¹²⁴, Sophie Hackinger⁶⁷, Sohee Han²⁷, Andrew T. Hattersley¹²⁵, Christian Herder^{49,126,127}, Momoko Horikoshi¹²⁸, Annie-Green Howard^{109,129}, Willa Hsueh¹³⁰, Mengna Huang^{37,131}, Wei Huang¹³², Yi-Jen Hung^{133,134}, Mi Yeong Hwang¹³⁵, Chii-Min Hwu^{136,137}, Sahoko Ichihara¹³⁸, Mohammad Arfan Ikram¹¹⁷, Martin Ingelsson¹²¹, Md. Tariqul Islam¹³⁹, Masato Isono²⁹, Hye-Mi Jang¹³⁵, Farzana Jasmine⁵⁷, Guozhi Jiang^{60,61}, Jost B. Jonas¹⁴⁰, Torben Jørgensen^{141,142,143}, Fouad R. Kandeel¹⁴⁴, Anuradhani Kasturiratne¹⁴⁵, Tomohiro Katsuya^{146,147}, Varinderpal Kaur¹⁴, Takahisa Kawaguchi⁴¹, Jacob M. Keaton^{5,55,108}, Abel N. Kho^{148,149}, Chiea-Chuen Khor¹⁵⁰, Muhammad G. Kibriya⁵⁷, Duk-Hwan Kim¹⁵¹, Florian Kronenberg¹⁵², Johanna Kuusisto¹⁵³, Kristi Läll²¹, Leslie A. Lange¹⁵⁴, Kyung Min Lee^{155,156}, Myung-Shik Lee^{157,158}, Nanette R. Lee¹⁵⁹, Aaron Leong^{160,161}, Liming Li^{162,163}, Yun Li⁶⁶, Ruifang Li-Gao¹⁶⁴, Symen Lithgart¹¹⁷, Cecilia M. Lindgren^{165,166,167}, Allan Linneberg^{141,168}, Ching-Ti Liu¹⁶⁹, Jianjun Liu^{150,170}, Adam E. Locke^{171,172,294}, Tin Louie¹¹², Jian'an Luan³⁴, Andrea O. Luk^{60,61}, Xi Luo¹⁷³, Jun Lv^{162,163}, Julie A. Lynch^{155,156}, Valeriya Lyssenko^{174,175}, Shiro Maeda^{128,176,177}, Vasiliki Mamakou¹⁷⁸, Sohail Rafik Mansuri⁵⁹, Koichi Matsuda¹⁷⁹, Thomas Meitinger^{180,181,182}, Andres Metspalu²¹, Huan Mo⁵, Andrew D. Morris¹⁸³, Jerry L. Nadler¹⁸⁴,

Michael A. Nalls^{68,185,186}, Uma Nayak⁵⁸, Ioanna Ntalla¹⁸⁷, Yukinori Okada^{3,16,18,188}, Lorena Orozco¹⁸⁹, Sanjay R. Patel¹⁹⁰, Snehal Patil⁸, Pei Pei¹⁶³, Mark A Pereira¹⁹¹, Annette Peters^{30,49,182,192}, Fraser J. Pirie¹⁹³, Hannah G. Polikowsky²⁰, Bianca Porneala¹⁶¹, Gauri Prasad^{194,195}, Laura J. Rasmussen-Torvik¹⁹⁶, Alexander P. Reiner¹⁹⁷, Michael Roden^{49,126,127}, Rebecca Rohde²⁸, Katheryn Roll²⁴, Charumathi Sabanayagam^{98,198,199}, Kevin Sandow²⁴, Alagu Sankareswaran⁵⁹, Naveed Sattar²⁰⁰, Sebastian Schönherr¹⁵², Mohammad Shahrar⁵⁷, Botong Shen¹¹⁴, Jinxiu Shi¹³², Dong Mun Shin¹³⁵, Nobuhiro Shojima², Jennifer A. Smith^{40,201}, Wing Yee So^{60,97}, Alena Stančáková¹⁵³, Valgerdur Steinthorsdottir⁴², Adrienne M. Stilp¹¹², Konstantin Strauch^{202,203,204}, Kent D. Taylor²⁴, Barbara Thorand^{30,49}, Unnur Thorsteinsdottir^{42,205}, Brian Tomlinson^{60,206}, Tam C. Tran⁵, Fuu-Jen Tsai²⁰⁷, Jaakko Tuomilehto^{208,209,210,211}, Teresa Tusie-Luna^{212,213}, Miriam S. Udler^{13,14,160}, Adan Valladares-Salgado²¹⁴, Rob M. van Dam^{39,170}, Jan B. van Klinken^{215,216,217}, Rohit Varma²¹⁸, Niels Wacher-Rodarte²¹⁹, Eleanor Wheeler³⁴, Ananda R. Wickremasinghe¹⁴⁵, Ko Willems van Dijk^{215,216,220}, Daniel R. Witte^{221,222}, Chittaranjan S. Yajnik²²³, Ken Yamamoto²²⁴, Kenichi Yamamoto^{3,188,225}, Kyunghoon Yoon¹³⁵, Canqing Yu^{162,163}, Jian-Min Yuan^{226,227}, Salim Yusuf^{31,32,85}, Matthew Zawistowski⁸, Liang Zhang⁹⁸, Wei Zheng⁵⁵, VA Million Veteran Program, AMED GRIFIN Diabetes Initiative Japan, Biobank Japan Project, Penn Medicine BioBank, Regeneron Genetics Center, eMERGE Consortium, International Consortium for Blood Pressure (ICBP), Meta-Analyses of Glucose and Insulin-Related Traits Consortium (MAGIC), Leslie J Raffel²²⁸, Michiya Igase²²⁹, Eli Ipp¹²⁰, Susan Redline^{45,76,230}, Yoon Shin Cho⁷⁵, Lars Lind²³¹, Michael A. Province⁷³, Myriam Fornage²³², Craig L. Hanis²³³, Erik Ingelsson^{234,235}, Alan B. Zonderman¹¹⁴, Bruce M. Psaty^{71,236,237}, Ya-Xing Wang²³⁸, Charles N. Rotimi⁷⁰, Diane M. Becker⁶⁹, Fumihiko Matsuda⁴¹, Yongmei Liu^{86,239}, Mitsuhiro Yokota²⁴⁰, Sharon L. R. Kardia⁴⁰, Patricia A. Peyser⁴⁰, James S. Pankow¹⁹¹, James C. Engert^{241,242}, Amélie Bonnefond^{94,95,243}, Philippe Froguel^{94,95,243}, James G. Wilson²⁴⁴, Wayne H. H. Sheu^{134,137,245}, Jer-Yuarn Wu⁶⁵, M. Geoffrey Hayes^{63,246,247}, Ronald C. W. Ma^{60,61,96,97}, Tien-Yin Wong^{98,198,199}, Dennis O. Mook-Kanamori¹⁶⁴, Tiinamaija Tuomi^{248,249,250,251}, Giriraj R. Chandak⁵⁹, Francis S. Collins⁵, Dwaipayan Bharadwaj²⁵², Guillaume Paré^{32,253}, Michèle M. Sale^{58,296}, Habibul Ahsan⁵⁷, Ayesha A. Motala¹⁹³, Xiao-Ou Shu⁵⁵, Kyong-Soo Park^{54,254}, J Wouter Jukema^{52,255}, Miguel Cruz²¹⁴, Yii-Der Ida Chen²⁴, Stephen S. Rich²⁵⁶, Roberta McKean-Cowdin⁵¹, Harald Grallert^{30,49,257}, Ching-Yu Cheng^{98,198,199}, Mohsen Ghanbari¹¹⁷, E-Shyong Tai^{39,170,258}, Josee Dupuis^{169,259}, Norihiro Kato²⁹, Markku Laakso¹⁵³, Anna Köttgen⁴⁷, Woon-Puay Koh^{260,261}, Donald W. Bowden^{108,262,263}, Colin N. A. Palmer²⁶⁴, Jaspal S. Kooner^{26,265,266,267}, Charles Kooperberg¹⁹⁷, Simin Liu^{37,131,268}, Kari E. North²⁸, Danish Saleheen^{269,270,271}, Torben Hansen⁴³, Oluf Pedersen⁴³, Nicholas J. Wareham¹⁸³, Juyoung Lee¹³⁵, Bong-Jo Kim¹³⁵, Iona Y. Millwood^{22,23}, Robin G. Walters^{22,23}, Kari Stefansson^{42,205}, Mark O. Goodarzi⁸⁰, Karen L. Mohlke⁶⁶, Claudia Langenberg^{34,272,273}, Christopher A. Haiman²⁷⁴, Ruth J. F. Loos^{19,43,275}, Jose C. Florez^{13,14,160}, Daniel J. Rader^{12,276,277,278}, Marylyn D. Ritchie^{12,279,280}, Sebastian Zöllner^{8,281}, Reedik Mägi²¹, Joshua C. Denny^{5,282}, Toshimasa Yamauchi², Takashi Kadowaki^{2,283}, John C. Chambers^{25,26,265,284}, Maggie C. Y. Ng^{108,263,285}, Xueling Sim³⁹, Jennifer E. Below²⁰, Philip S. Tsao^{234,286,287}, Kyong-Mi Chang^{10,288}, Mark I. McCarthy^{165,289,290,295,†}, James B. Meigs^{13,160,161,†}, Anubha Mahajan^{165,289,295,†}, Cassandra N. Spracklen^{291,†}, Josep M. Mercader^{13,14,76,†}, Michael Boehnke^{8,†}, Jerome I. Rotter^{24,†}, Marijana Vujkovic^{10,288,292,†}, Benjamin F. Voight^{10,11,12,277,†,‡}, Andrew P. Morris^{1,4,21,†,‡}, Eleftheria Zeggini^{4,293,†,‡}.

¹Centre for Genetics and Genomics Versus Arthritis, Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, Division of Musculoskeletal and Dermatological Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK. ²Department of Diabetes and Metabolic Diseases, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

³Department of Statistical Genetics, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Suita, Japan. ⁴Institute of Translational Genomics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. ⁵Center for Precision Health Research, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ⁶British Heart Foundation Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁷Heart and Lung Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁸Department of Biostatistics and Center for Statistical Genetics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. ⁹Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing City, China. ¹⁰Corporal Michael J Crescenz VA Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ¹¹Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ¹²Department of Genetics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ¹³Programs in Metabolism and Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA. ¹⁴Diabetes Unit and Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ¹⁵Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico. ¹⁶Department of Genome Informatics, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. ¹⁷Integrated Frontier Research for Medical Science Division, Institute for Open and Transdisciplinary Research Initiatives, Osaka University, Suita, Japan. ¹⁸Laboratory for Systems Genetics, RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, Kanagawa, Japan. ¹⁹The Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ²⁰Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA. ²¹Estonian Genome Centre, Institute of Genomics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia. ²²Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ²³Medical Research Council Population Health Research Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ²⁴The Institute for Translational Genomics and Population Sciences, Department of Pediatrics, The Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation (formerly Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute) at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, USA. ²⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Imperial College London, London, UK. ²⁶Department of Cardiology, Ealing Hospital, London NorthWest Healthcare NHS Trust, Middlesex, UK. ²⁷Division of Genome Science, Department of Precision Medicine, National Institute of Health, Cheongju-si, South Korea. ²⁸Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. ²⁹Department of Gene Diagnostics and Therapeutics, Research Institute, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan. ³⁰Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. ³¹Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ³²Population Health Research Institute, Hamilton Health Sciences and McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ³³Public Health Informatics Unit, Department of Integrated Health Sciences, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan. ³⁴MRC Epidemiology Unit, Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge, UK. ³⁵Department of Health Data Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. ³⁶Division of Translational Medicine and Human Genetics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ³⁷Department of Epidemiology, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA. ³⁸Department of Anthropology, University of Toronto at Mississauga, Mississauga, ON, Canada. ³⁹Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore and National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore. ⁴⁰Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. ⁴¹Center for Genomic Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan. ⁴²deCODE Genetics, Amgen Inc., Reykjavik, Iceland. ⁴³Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁴⁴Department of Biostatistics, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA. ⁴⁵Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ⁴⁶Department of Medicine, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA. ⁴⁷Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Data Driven Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany. ⁴⁸Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics, and Environmental Sciences, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Public Health, Houston, TX, USA. ⁴⁹German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Neuherberg, Germany. ⁵⁰Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. ⁵¹Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁵²Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. ⁵³Section of Gerontology and Geriatrics, Department of Internal Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. ⁵⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea. ⁵⁵Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Institute for Medicine and Public Health, Vanderbilt Genetics Institute, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA.

⁵⁶Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ⁵⁷Institute for Population and Precision Health (IPPH), Biological Sciences Division, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. ⁵⁸Department of Public Health Sciences and Center for Public Health Genomics, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA, USA. ⁵⁹Genomic Research on Complex Diseases (GRC-Group), CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology (CSIR-CCMB), Hyderabad, India. ⁶⁰Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ⁶¹Chinese University of Hong Kong-Shanghai Jiao Tong University Joint Research Centre in Diabetes Genomics and Precision Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ⁶²Institute of Data Science, Korea University, Seoul, South Korea. ⁶³Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Molecular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA. ⁶⁴Department of Health and Biomedical Informatics, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA. ⁶⁵Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁶⁶Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. ⁶⁷Department of Human Genetics, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, UK. ⁶⁸Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ⁶⁹Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁷⁰Center for Research on Genomics and Global Health, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ⁷¹Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁷²Division of Academics, Ochsner Health, New Orleans, LA, USA. ⁷³Division of Statistical Genomics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA. ⁷⁴Department of Research and Evaluation, Division of Biostatistics Research, Kaiser Permanente of Southern California, Pasadena, CA, USA. ⁷⁵Department of Biomedical Science, Hallym University, Chuncheon, South Korea. ⁷⁶Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ⁷⁷Metabolic Research Laboratories, Wellcome Trust-Medical Research Council Institute of Metabolic Science, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁷⁸Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. ⁷⁹Department of Bioinformatics, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ⁸⁰Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁸¹Department of Nutrition, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. ⁸²Unidad de Investigación en Enfermedades Metabólicas and Departamento de Endocrinología y Metabolismo, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico. ⁸³Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen, Herlev, Denmark. ⁸⁴The Bioinformatics Center, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁸⁵Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ⁸⁶Department of Epidemiology and Prevention, Division of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA. ⁸⁷Institute of Regional Health Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. ⁸⁸Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Vejle Hospital, Vejle, Denmark. ⁸⁹Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Keck School of Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ⁹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. ⁹¹British Heart Foundation Centre of Research Excellence, School of Clinical Medicine, Addenbrooke's Hospital, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁹²Health Data Research UK Cambridge, Wellcome Genome Campus and University of Cambridge, Hinxton, UK. ⁹³National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Blood and Transplant Unit (BTRU) in Donor Health and Behaviour, Heart and Lung Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁹⁴Inserm U1283, CNRS UMR 8199, European Genomic Institute for Diabetes (EGID), Institut Pasteur de Lille, Lille University Hospital, Lille, France. ⁹⁵University of Lille, Lille, France. ⁹⁶Li Ka Shing Institute of Health Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ⁹⁷Hong Kong Institute of Diabetes and Obesity, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ⁹⁸Singapore Eye Research Institute, Singapore National Eye Centre, Singapore, Singapore. ⁹⁹Exeter Centre of Excellence in Diabetes (ExCEd), Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK. ¹⁰⁰Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, UK. ¹⁰¹Department of Biostatistics and Data Science, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA. ¹⁰²Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. ¹⁰³Institute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. ¹⁰⁴Department of Medicine, University of Vermont, Colchester, VT, USA. ¹⁰⁵Section on Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA. ¹⁰⁶Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Ragama, Sri Lanka. ¹⁰⁷Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Harokopio University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ¹⁰⁸Center for Genomics and Personalized Medicine Research, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA. ¹⁰⁹Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. ¹¹⁰Department of Nephrology and Medical Intensive Care Medicine, Charité

Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany.¹¹¹ Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany.¹¹² Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.¹¹³ California Pacific Medical Center Research Institute, San Francisco, CA, USA.¹¹⁴ Laboratory of Epidemiology and Population Sciences, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, MD, USA.¹¹⁵ Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia.¹¹⁶ Robertson Centre for Biostatistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.¹¹⁷ Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.¹¹⁸ Genetics of Complex Traits, University of Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.¹¹⁹ Department of Internal Medicine, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA.¹²⁰ Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Lundquist Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, USA.¹²¹ Department of Public Health and Caring Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.¹²² Centro de Estudios en Diabetes, Unidad de Investigación en Diabetes y Riesgo Cardiovascular, Centro de Investigación en Salud Poblacional, Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública, Mexico City, Mexico.¹²³ Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA.¹²⁴ Genomics and Computational Biology Graduate Group, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA.¹²⁵ University of Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.¹²⁶ Institute for Clinical Diabetology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research at Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany.¹²⁷ Department of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Medical Faculty and University Hospital Dusseldorf, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany.¹²⁸ Laboratory for Genomics of Diabetes and Metabolism, RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, Kanagawa, Japan.¹²⁹ Department of Biostatistics, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.¹³⁰ Department of Internal Medicine, Diabetes and Metabolism Research Center, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH, USA.¹³¹ Center for Global Cardiometabolic Health, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA.¹³² Shanghai-MOST Key Laboratory of Health and Disease Genomics, Chinese National Human Genome Center at Shanghai (CHGC) and Shanghai Institute for Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Technologies (SIBPT), Shanghai, China.¹³³ Division of Endocrine and Metabolism, Tri-Service General Hospital Songshan Branch, Taipei, Taiwan.¹³⁴ School of Medicine, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan.¹³⁵ Division of Genome Science, Department of Precision Medicine, National Institute of Health, Cheongju-si, Korea.¹³⁶ Section of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan.¹³⁷ School of Medicine, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan.¹³⁸ Department of Environmental and Preventive Medicine, Jichi Medical University School of Medicine, Shimotsuke, Japan.¹³⁹ University of Chicago Research Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh.¹⁴⁰ Institute of Molecular and Clinical Ophthalmology Basel, Basel, Switzerland.¹⁴¹ Center for Clinical Research and Prevention, Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg Hospital, Frederiksberg, Denmark.¹⁴² Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.¹⁴³ Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.¹⁴⁴ Department of Clinical Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Translational Research and Cellular Therapeutics, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, USA.¹⁴⁵ Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Ragama, Sri Lanka.¹⁴⁶ Department of Clinical Gene Therapy, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan.¹⁴⁷ Department of Geriatric and General Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.¹⁴⁸ Division of General Internal Medicine and Geriatrics, Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA.¹⁴⁹ Center for Health Information Partnerships, Institute for Public Health and Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA.¹⁵⁰ Genome Institute of Singapore, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore, Singapore.¹⁵¹ Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Suwon, South Korea.¹⁵² Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria.¹⁵³ Institute of Clinical Medicine, Internal Medicine, University of Eastern Finland and Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland.¹⁵⁴ Department of Medicine, University of Colorado Denver, Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA.¹⁵⁵ VA Salt Lake City Health Care System, Salt Lake City, UT, USA.¹⁵⁶ Department of Internal Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA.¹⁵⁷ Severance Biomedical Science Institute and Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea.¹⁵⁸ Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea.¹⁵⁹ USC-Office of Population Studies Foundation Inc., University of San Carlos, Cebu City, Philippines.¹⁶⁰ Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.¹⁶¹ Division of General Internal Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.¹⁶² Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China.¹⁶³ Peking University Center for Public Health and Epidemic Preparedness and Response, Beijing, China.¹⁶⁴ Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.¹⁶⁵ Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield

Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ¹⁶⁶Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA. ¹⁶⁷Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre For Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ¹⁶⁸Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ¹⁶⁹Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA. ¹⁷⁰Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore and National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore. ¹⁷¹McDonnell Genome Institute, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA. ¹⁷²Department of Medicine, Division of Genomics and Bioinformatics, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA. ¹⁷³Department of Biostatistics and Data Science, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Public Health, Houston, TX, USA. ¹⁷⁴Department of Clinical Sciences, Diabetes and Endocrinology, Lund University Diabetes Centre, Malmö, Sweden. ¹⁷⁵Department of Clinical Science, Center for Diabetes Research, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. ¹⁷⁶Department of Advanced Genomic and Laboratory Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa, Japan. ¹⁷⁷Division of Clinical Laboratory and Blood Transfusion, University of the Ryukyus Hospital, Okinawa, Japan. ¹⁷⁸Dromokaiteio Psychiatric Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece. ¹⁷⁹Computational Biology and Medical Sciences, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. ¹⁸⁰Institute of Human Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. ¹⁸¹Institute of Human Genetics, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany. ¹⁸²German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany. ¹⁸³The Usher Institute to the Population Health Sciences and Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ¹⁸⁴Department of Medicine and Pharmacology, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY, USA. ¹⁸⁵Data Tecnica International LLC, Glen Echo, MD, USA. ¹⁸⁶Center for Alzheimer's and Related Dementias, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. ¹⁸⁷William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK. ¹⁸⁸Laboratory of Statistical Immunology, Immunology Frontier Research Center (WPI-IFReC), Osaka University, Suita, Japan. ¹⁸⁹Instituto Nacional de Medicina Genómica, Mexico City, Mexico. ¹⁹⁰Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. ¹⁹¹Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA. ¹⁹²Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometry and Epidemiology, Ludwig Maximilians Universität München, Munich, Germany. ¹⁹³Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, Nelson R Mandela School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. ¹⁹⁴Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, CSIR-Human Resource Development Campus, Ghaziabad, India. ¹⁹⁵Genomics and Molecular Medicine Unit, CSIR-Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology, New Delhi, India. ¹⁹⁶Department of Preventive Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA. ¹⁹⁷Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA. ¹⁹⁸Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences Academic Clinical Program (Eye ACP), Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore, Singapore. ¹⁹⁹Department of Ophthalmology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore and National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore. ²⁰⁰Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK. ²⁰¹Survey Research Center, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. ²⁰²Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. ²⁰³Institute for Medical Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics (IMBEI), University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany. ²⁰⁴Chair of Genetic Epidemiology, Institute of Medical Information Processing, Biometry, and Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig Maximilians Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁰⁵Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland. ²⁰⁶Faculty of Medicine, Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau, China. ²⁰⁷Department of Medical Genetics and Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan. ²⁰⁸Population Health Unit, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland. ²⁰⁹National School of Public Health, Madrid, Spain. ²¹⁰Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ²¹¹Diabetes Research Group, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. ²¹²Unidad de Biología Molecular y Medicina Genómica, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico. ²¹³Departamento de Medicina Genómica y Toxicología Ambiental, Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas, UNAM, Mexico City, Mexico. ²¹⁴Unidad de Investigación Médica en Bioquímica, Hospital de Especialidades, Centro Médico Nacional Siglo XXI, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Mexico City, Mexico. ²¹⁵Einthoven Laboratory for Experimental Vascular Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. ²¹⁶Department of Human Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. ²¹⁷Department of Clinical Chemistry, Laboratory of Genetic Metabolic Disease, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²¹⁸Southern California Eye

Institute, CHA Hollywood Presbyterian Hospital, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ²¹⁹Unidad de Investigación Médica en Epidemiología Clínica, Hospital de Especialidades, Centro Médico Nacional Siglo XXI, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Mexico City, Mexico. ²²⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. ²²¹Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. ²²²Danish Diabetes Academy, Odense, Denmark. ²²³Diabetology Research Centre, King Edward Memorial Hospital and Research Centre, Pune, India. ²²⁴Department of Medical Biochemistry, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan. ²²⁵Department of Pediatrics, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Suita, Japan. ²²⁶Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, UPMC Hillman Cancer Center, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. ²²⁷Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA. ²²⁸Department of Pediatrics, Division of Genetic and Genomic Medicine, UCI Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, CA, USA. ²²⁹Department of Anti-Aging Medicine, Ehime University Graduate School of Medicine, Ehime, Japan. ²³⁰Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA. ²³¹Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. ²³²Institute of Molecular Medicine, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Public Health, Houston, TX, USA. ²³³Human Genetics Center, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, US. ²³⁴Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA. ²³⁵Department of Medical Sciences, Molecular Epidemiology and Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. ²³⁶Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ²³⁷Department of Health Systems and Population Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ²³⁸Beijing Institute of Ophthalmology, Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences Key Laboratory, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. ²³⁹Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA. ²⁴⁰Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan. ²⁴¹Department of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada. ²⁴²Department of Human Genetics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada. ²⁴³Department of Metabolism, Digestion and Reproduction, Imperial College London, London, UK. ²⁴⁴Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA. ²⁴⁵Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan. ²⁴⁶Center for Genetic Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA. ²⁴⁷Department of Anthropology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA. ²⁴⁸Department of Endocrinology, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ²⁴⁹Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ²⁵⁰Folkhalsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland. ²⁵¹Lund University Diabetes Centre, Malmö, Sweden. ²⁵²Systems Genomics Laboratory, School of Biotechnology, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India. ²⁵³Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ²⁵⁴Department of Molecular Medicine and Biopharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Convergence Science and Technology, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea. ²⁵⁵Netherlands Heart Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ²⁵⁶Center for Public Health Genomics, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA, USA. ²⁵⁷Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Munich, Germany. ²⁵⁸Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore, Singapore. ²⁵⁹Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada. ²⁶⁰Singapore Institute for Clinical Sciences, Agency for Science Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore, Singapore. ²⁶¹Healthy Longevity Translational Research Programme, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore. ²⁶²Center for Diabetes Research, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA. ²⁶³Department of Biochemistry, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA. ²⁶⁴Pat Macpherson Centre for Pharmacogenetics and Pharmacogenomics, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK. ²⁶⁵Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, Imperial College London, London, UK. ²⁶⁶MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK. ²⁶⁷National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK. ²⁶⁸Department of Medicine, Brown University Alpert School of Medicine, Providence, RI, USA. ²⁶⁹Department of Medicine, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA. ²⁷⁰Department of Cardiology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA. ²⁷¹Center for Non-Communicable Diseases, Karachi, Pakistan. ²⁷²Computational Medicine, Berlin Institute of Health at Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany. ²⁷³Precision Healthcare University Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK. ²⁷⁴Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ²⁷⁵The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Ichan School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ²⁷⁶Division of Translational Medicine and Therapeutics, Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ²⁷⁷Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ²⁷⁸Department of Pediatrics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of

Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA.²⁷⁹ Center for Precision Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA.²⁸⁰ Institute for Biomedical Informatics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA.²⁸¹ Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.²⁸² All of Us Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.²⁸³ Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan.²⁸⁴ Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore.²⁸⁵ Vanderbilt Genetics Institute, Division of Genetic Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA.²⁸⁶ VA Palo Alto Health Care System, Palo Alto, CA, USA.²⁸⁷ Stanford Cardiovascular Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA.²⁸⁸ Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA.²⁸⁹ Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.²⁹⁰ Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Churchill Hospital, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK.²⁹¹ Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA, USA.²⁹² Division of Epidemiology, Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA.²⁹³ TUM School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich and Klinikum Rechts der Isar, Munich, Germany.²⁹⁴ Present address: Regeneron Genetics Center, Tarrytown, NY, USA.²⁹⁵ Present address: Genentech, South San Francisco, CA, USA.²⁹⁶ Deceased. *These authors contributed equally to this work. †These authors supervised this work. ‡Correspondence to: andrew.morris-5@manchester.ac.uk; hatzikotoulas@helmholtz-muenchen.de; bvoight@upenn.edu; eleftheria.zeggini@helmholtz-muenchen.de.

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a heterogeneous disease that develops through diverse pathophysiological processes. To characterise the genetic contribution to these processes across ancestry groups, we aggregate genome-wide association study (GWAS) data from 2,535,601 individuals (39.7% non-European ancestry), including 428,452 T2D cases. We identify 1,289 independent association signals at genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) that map to 611 loci, of which 145 loci are previously unreported. We define eight non-overlapping clusters of T2D signals characterised by distinct profiles of cardiometabolic trait associations. These clusters are differentially enriched for cell-type specific regions of open chromatin, including pancreatic islets, adipocytes, endothelial, and enteroendocrine cells. We build cluster-specific partitioned genetic risk scores (GRS) in an additional 137,559 individuals of diverse ancestry, including 10,159 T2D cases, and test their association with T2D-related vascular outcomes. Cluster-specific partitioned GRS are more strongly associated with coronary artery disease and end-stage diabetic nephropathy than an overall T2D GRS across ancestry groups, highlighting the importance of obesity-related processes in the development of vascular outcomes. Our findings demonstrate the value of integrating multi-ancestry GWAS with single-cell epigenomics to disentangle the aetiological heterogeneity driving the development and progression of T2D, which may offer a route to optimise global access to genetically-informed diabetes care.

Diabetes mellitus is a huge public health burden, with an estimated prevalence of 537 million adults worldwide in 2021, of whom >90% are affected by type 2 diabetes (T2D)¹. The biological processes through which T2D and its primary disabling complications of vascular and renal disease develop are diverse, and include insulin secretion and insulin resistance. This aetiological heterogeneity leads to substantial variability in patient phenotypes, including age of disease onset, manifestation of disease complications, and response to management strategies^{2,3}. Whilst environment and lifestyle are well-established risk factors for T2D, the disease heritability has been estimated to be 69% amongst individuals in the age range of 35 to 60 years⁴. The largest previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of T2D have identified >500 risk loci^{5,6}, which demonstrated variable patterns of association with clinical features mediated via effector genes acting through distinct molecular mechanisms that are often cell-type specific^{7,8}. Through the newly-established Type 2 Diabetes Global Genomics Initiative, we present findings from the largest T2D GWAS meta-analysis to date, comprising >2.5 million individuals of diverse ancestry and a near three-fold increase in effective sample size over previous efforts^{5,6}. We leverage the power afforded by this increased sample size with emerging single-cell functional genomics data derived from disease-relevant tissues to disentangle the aetiological heterogeneity of T2D. For the first time across multiple ancestry groups, we construct partitioned genetic risk scores (GRS)⁹ and assess their association with T2D-related macrovascular outcomes and progression to microvascular complications in individuals with T2D. Taken together, our findings showcase the value of analyses conducted in multiple ancestries to understand the pathophysiological processes driving development of T2D and risk of vascular complications, and advance global opportunities for clinical translation of T2D GWAS findings.

Study overview. We assembled GWAS including 428,452 T2D cases and 2,107,149 controls (effective sample size of 1,246,658) (**Supplementary Tables 1 and 2**). We organised these GWAS into five groups representing individuals with similar genetic background and refer to these as “ancestry groups”. Specifically, we considered: a European ancestry group (EUR,

60.3% of the effective sample size); an East Asian ancestry group (EAS, 19.8%); an African ancestry group, including admixed African Americans (AFR, 10.7%); a Hispanic group, who share genetic similarity with populations from the Americas, Africa, and Europe (HIS, 5.9%); and a South Asian ancestry group (SAS, 3.3%). The GWAS in each ancestry group were imputed to reference panels from the Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine Program¹⁰ (42.1% of the effective sample size), Haplotype Reference Consortium¹¹ (14.7%), 1000 Genomes Project^{12,13} (11.8%), or whole-genome sequence data specific for and genetically similar to individuals in the study (31.4%). Subsequent association analyses accounted for study-level population stratification and relatedness, and adjusted for age and sex, where appropriate, and additional study-specific covariates (**Supplementary Table 3, Methods**). We analysed bi-allelic autosomal single nucleotide variants (SNVs) with minor allele frequency (MAF) $\geq 0.5\%$ in at least one of the five ancestry groups from the 1000 Genomes Project¹³.

Discovery of 145 previously-unreported T2D loci. We aggregated association summary statistics across GWAS via multi-ancestry meta-regression, implemented in MR-MEGA¹⁴, which allows for allelic effect heterogeneity that is correlated with ancestry. MR-MEGA is not restricted to broad continental ancestry categories but represents ancestry as multidimensional and continuous axes of genetic variation, which better reflect the continuum of human genetic diversity and demographic history¹⁵. We included three axes of genetic variation as covariates that separated GWAS from different ancestry groups, but which also revealed finer-scale population differences within ancestry groups (**Supplementary Figure 1, Methods**). Accounting for ancestry-correlated allelic effect heterogeneity among GWAS in the meta-regression provided better control for residual structure than a fixed-effects meta-analysis, as measured by the genomic control inflation factor ($\lambda_{GC}=1.120$ and $\lambda_{GC}=1.396$, respectively). At the conventional genome-wide significance threshold ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), we identified 1,289 distinct T2D association signals that were represented by independent index SNVs that were not in linkage disequilibrium (LD), defined as $r^2 < 0.05$ in all ancestry groups from the 1000 Genomes Project¹³ (**Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Table 4, Methods**). The 1,289 association signals mapped to 611 loci, of which 145 loci (23.7%) have not been previously reported in T2D GWAS. We observed multiple distinct association signals at 235 (38.5%) loci, of which 34 loci were represented by more than five index SNVs. At association signals mapping to loci not previously reported for T2D, index SNVs were predominantly common (MAF $> 5\%$ in at least one ancestry group) with odds-ratios (ORs) < 1.1 (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

T2D association signals are enriched for ancestry-correlated heterogeneity. Previous multi-ancestry GWAS have demonstrated widespread heterogeneity in allelic effects at T2D association signals across ancestry groups^{6,16}. Such heterogeneity is not driven by variation in allele frequency between ancestry groups, but may reflect differences in LD structure, or interactions with environment or polygenic background. We took advantage of the meta-regression model to partition heterogeneity into an ancestry-correlated component explained by three axes of genetic variation, and a residual component reflecting differences in environmental exposures (that are not correlated with ancestry) and/or study design (**Supplementary Table 5**). We observed 127 (9.9%) independent T2D association signals with significant evidence for ancestry-correlated heterogeneity ($P_{HET} < 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$, Bonferroni correction for 1,289 signals). We would expect < 1 signal to meet this threshold of significance, highlighting that ancestry-correlated heterogeneity is strongly enriched at

T2D associations (one-sided binomial test $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). In contrast, we observed significant evidence of residual heterogeneity at only 4 (0.3%) association signals (one-side binomial test $P = 0.031$). These results therefore suggest that differences in allelic effects at index SNVs are more likely correlated with genetic ancestry than due to other factors that vary between GWAS.

We next sought to understand better the drivers of differences in allelic effects between GWAS at the 127 association signals with significant evidence of ancestry-correlated heterogeneity. To do this, we assessed the contribution of each of the three axes of genetic variation to heterogeneity (**Methods**). For 118 (92.9%) signals, allelic effect sizes were most strongly associated with the first two axes of genetic variation, which separate AFR, EAS, and EUR GWAS (**Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 6**). This may simply reflect power to detect heterogeneity because these three ancestry groups make the largest contributions to the effective sample size of the multi-ancestry meta-analysis. The magnitude and direction of the association of index SNVs with these two axes reflected differences in allelic effect size between AFR and EAS GWAS on the AFR-EAS axis, and AFR and EUR GWAS on the AFR-EUR axis (**Supplementary Figure 4**). The most significant evidence of ancestry-correlated heterogeneity was observed for the T2D association signal at the *HNF1A* locus indexed by rs1169299 ($P_{\text{HET}} = 4.8 \times 10^{-35}$). This index SNV was negatively associated with the AFR-EAS axis ($P_{\text{HET}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-11}$), and positively associated with the AFR-EUR axis ($P_{\text{HET}} = 4.6 \times 10^{-9}$), corresponding to an AFR allelic effect (OR=1.02) that was intermediate between the EAS and EUR allelic effects (OR=0.95 and OR=1.05, respectively). In contrast, the association signal indexed by rs2237884, at the locus encompassing *INS*, *IGF2*, and *KCNQ1*, was not associated with either the AFR-EAS axis ($P_{\text{HET}} = 0.61$) or AFR-EUR axis ($P_{\text{HET}} = 0.56$), indicating no difference in allelic effects between AFR, EAS, and EUR GWAS (OR=1.03 for all three ancestry groups). Instead, the heterogeneity for this signal was driven by association with the third axis of genetic variation ($P_{\text{HET}} = 2.8 \times 10^{-8}$), which separates HIS and SAS GWAS (OR=1.01 and OR=0.97, respectively).

Ancestry-correlated heterogeneity can occur because of interaction between index SNVs and environmental/lifestyle factors that vary between ancestry groups, if not accounted for in the association analysis¹⁷. We therefore investigated whether ancestry-correlated heterogeneity could be explained by differences in the distribution of body mass index (BMI) across ancestry groups (**Supplementary Figure 5**). To do this, we extended the MR-MEGA meta-regression model to allow for allelic effect heterogeneity at index SNVs due to mean BMI in controls, in addition to variation by ancestry (**Methods**). Across all index SNVs, there was a negative correlation between T2D risk and BMI in controls (**Supplementary Table 7**), where the mean reduction in log-OR per unit of BMI was 0.0016 ($P = 9.6 \times 10^{-53}$). After adjustment for BMI, the number of independent T2D associations with significant evidence for ancestry-correlated heterogeneity decreased from 127 (9.9%) to 49 (3.8%). These results indicate that ancestry-correlated heterogeneity at T2D index SNVs can be partly but not fully explained by differences in the distribution of BMI between ancestry groups.

The contribution of under-represented populations to evidence for locus discovery.

Despite the global burden of common complex diseases, including T2D, GWAS are biased towards EUR and EAS ancestry groups¹⁸. Failure to detect T2D association signals that contribute to disease risk outside of these ancestry groups limits opportunities for clinical translation of GWAS into under-represented ancestries and has the potential to exacerbate

health disparities¹⁹. This multi-ancestry meta-analysis includes 98,060 T2D cases and 198,020 controls from AFR, HIS, and SAS GWAS (19.9% of the effective sample size), which substantially increases sample sizes in these under-represented groups over previous efforts^{5,6,20}. To assess their contribution to the discovery of T2D association signals, we repeated the meta-regression using only EAS and EUR GWAS, including just one axis of genetic variation needed to separate these two ancestry groups (**Supplementary Figure 1, Methods**). Of the 1,289 association signals identified in the multi-ancestry meta-analysis, 589 did not retain genome-wide significance after exclusion of AFR, HIS, and SAS GWAS (**Supplementary Figure 6, Supplementary Table 8**), emphasizing the power of population diversity for locus discovery.

Eight mechanistic clusters of T2D index SNVs with distinct cardiometabolic profiles. To understand the genetic contribution to phenotypic heterogeneity in T2D, we classified the 1,289 index SNVs according to their profile of associations (aligned to the T2D risk allele) with 37 cardiometabolic phenotypes from the largest available GWAS. These included glycaemic traits, anthropometric measures, body fat and adipose tissue volume, blood pressure, circulating plasma lipid levels, and biomarkers of liver function and lipid metabolism²¹⁻²⁹ (**Supplementary Table 9**). We applied an unsupervised “hard clustering” approach with imputation of missing phenotype associations, which defines non-overlapping but exhaustive subsets of index SNVs with similar cardiometabolic profiles (**Methods**). According to the majority rule across 27 indices of cluster performance³⁰, eight clusters of index SNVs was considered “optimal” (**Figure 1, Table 1, Supplementary Figure 7, Supplementary Tables 10 and 11**). Despite differences in the methods and phenotypes used for clustering compared to previous efforts^{7,8,31} (**Methods**), there is clear overlap in the cardiometabolic features and loci of five of the identified clusters with those previously reported, representing beta-cell dysfunction with positive or negative association with proinsulin (PI), and insulin resistance mediated via obesity, lipodystrophy, and liver/lipid metabolism. However, by increasing the number of index SNVs in the clustering by nearly four-fold over previous efforts, we provide a more granular view of the biological processes through which T2D associations impact disease, and highlight previously-unreported clusters of signals with cardiometabolic profiles that are representative of the metabolic syndrome, body fat, and residual glycaemic effects. We observed significant differences in allelic effects on T2D between the eight clusters ($P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), with weaker associations observed at index SNVs assigned to the three previously-unreported residual glycaemic, body fat, and metabolic syndrome clusters (**Supplementary Figure 8, Supplementary Table 12**).

T2D risk alleles at index SNVs in the two beta-cell dysfunction clusters are associated with increased fasting glucose (FG), two-hour glucose, and glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), and with decreased fasting insulin (FI). Index SNVs in both clusters are also associated with PI, but with opposite directions of effect for the T2D risk allele. These findings are consistent with observed differences in directions of effect of PI-associated SNVs with FG²¹, which differentiate signals that reflect defects in the insulin synthesis pathway that occur upstream (decreased PI) or downstream (increased PI) of the first enzymatic step of the conversion of PI to insulin and C-peptide³². The beta-cell +PI cluster, where the T2D risk allele is associated with increased PI, comprises 91 index SNVs, which include T2D associations that map to loci encompassing genes with established roles in beta-cell function (such as *TCF7L2* and *CDKAL1*). The beta-cell -PI cluster, where the T2D risk allele is

associated with decreased PI, comprises 89 index SNVs, which include T2D associations that map to monogenic diabetes genes that play a role in beta-cell development (such as *HNF1A*, *HNF4A*, *HNF1B*, *KCNJ11*, and *ABCC8*).

The clusters reflecting mechanisms of insulin resistance mediated via obesity, lipodystrophy, and liver/lipid metabolism, include index SNVs that are associated with anthropometric measures and circulating plasma lipid levels. T2D risk alleles at the 233 index SNVs in the obesity cluster are associated with increased BMI, waist-hip ratio (WHR), body fat percentage and basal metabolic rate, and with decreased high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. The index SNVs assigned to this cluster include T2D associations that map to loci previously reported for BMI (such as *FTO* and *MC4R*). The lipodystrophy cluster comprises 45 index SNVs for which T2D risk alleles are associated with increased FI, WHR, blood pressure, and triglycerides, and with decreased body fat percentage, gluteofemoral adipose tissue (GFAT) volume, and HDL cholesterol. Index SNVs in this cluster include T2D associations that map to loci previously reported for regional adiposity (such as *IRS1*, *GRB14*, and *PPARG*). T2D risk alleles at the three index SNVs assigned to the liver/lipid metabolism cluster are associated with increased liver fat and liver-related biomarkers, and with decreased low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and total cholesterol. These index SNVs map to loci that have been previously implicated in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (such as *TM6SF2* and *PNPLA3*).

The cardiometabolic profiles of the three remaining clusters are less well-defined, likely reflecting the weaker T2D associations of index SNVs assigned to them (**Supplementary Figure 8**). T2D risk alleles at the 166 index SNVs assigned to the metabolic syndrome cluster are associated with increased FG, WHR, triglycerides, and blood pressure, and with decreased HDL cholesterol, which together are used to define the syndrome. T2D risk alleles in this cluster are also associated with increased FI, and with accumulations of unhealthy fat depots: increased visceral adipose tissue (VAT) volume and liver fat, and with decreased GFAT volume. Observationally, individuals with the metabolic syndrome are at increased risk of T2D³³, although Mendelian randomisation studies indicate that a causal effect is driven by increased waist circumference and increased FG³⁴. T2D risk alleles at the 273 index SNVs assigned to the body fat cluster are associated with increased abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue volume, VAT volume, and body fat percentage. Whilst the profile of associations with cardiometabolic phenotypes share these features in common with obesity-mediated insulin resistance, index SNVs in the body fat cluster are not strongly associated with BMI, lipid levels, or basal metabolic rate. Previous investigations have highlighted that body fat percentage is predictive of abnormal blood glucose in individuals with a healthy BMI³⁵. Finally, T2D risk alleles at the 389 index SNVs assigned to the residual glycaemic cluster are most strongly associated with increased FG and HbA1c, but unlike the two beta-cell clusters, are not associated with PI or decreased FI.

Clusters are differentially associated with insulin-related endophenotypes. The clustering provides a framework to better understand the diverse physiological processes through which T2D develops. We assessed the association of index SNVs with insulin-related endophenotypes that were not used for clustering and derived from hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp assessments and oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT)³⁶, and homeostatic model assessment measures of beta-cell function (HOMA-B) and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)³⁷ (**Methods**). We observed significant heterogeneity in the effects of T2D risk alleles at index SNVs between clusters on HOMA-B ($P_{\text{HET}} < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), HOMA-IR ($P_{\text{HET}} = 4.1 \times 10^{-15}$), insulin

secretion (OGTT-derived area under the curve for insulin normalised for glucose from baseline to 30 minutes, $P_{\text{HET}}=0.0026$), and insulin sensitivity (clamp-derived glucose infusion rate, $P_{\text{HET}}=0.026$). T2D risk alleles at index SNVs showed a gradient of effects on these correlated measures across clusters (**Supplementary Figure 9, Supplementary Tables 13 and 14**), representing a cline from insulin production and processing in the two beta-cell clusters (increased insulin sensitivity; decreased insulin secretion, HOMA-B, and HOMA-IR) through to insulin resistance (decreased insulin sensitivity; increased insulin secretion, HOMA-B, and HOMA-IR) that was most extreme in the lipodystrophy cluster.

Clusters are differentially associated with insulin resistance-related disorders. T2D is genetically correlated with other insulin resistance-related disorders, including gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). To understand the shared biological pathways driving these genetic correlations, we extracted association summary statistics for each T2D index SNV from the largest available published GWAS for both disorders^{38,39} (**Methods**). We observed significant heterogeneity in the effects of T2D risk alleles at index SNVs between clusters for both disorders (**Supplementary Figure 10, Supplementary Table 15**): GDM ($P_{\text{HET}}=7.0 \times 10^{-16}$) and PCOS ($P_{\text{HET}}=0.00022$). Index SNVs in the beta-cell +PI cluster demonstrated the strongest associations with GDM. This cluster includes T2D index SNVs that overlap with association signals previously reported for GDM, mapping to/near *MTNR1B*, *CDKAL1*, *TCF7L2*, and *CDKN2A-CDKN2B*, consistent with hyperglycaemia due to beta-cell dysfunction on a background of pregnancy-induced physiologic insulin resistance⁴⁰. In contrast, PCOS is most strongly associated with index SNVs in the obesity cluster, consistent with previous reports that the genetic correlation with T2D is primarily driven by higher BMI⁴¹.

Clusters are differentially enriched for cell-type specific regions of open chromatin. To gain insight into tissue-specific regulatory processes underpinning mechanistic clusters, we integrated T2D association signals with Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seq) peaks for 222 cell types derived from adult and foetal tissues via single-cell atlases of chromatin accessibility (CATLAS and DESCARTES)^{42,43}. This expands the range of cell types considered over previous efforts to understand cluster-specific enrichment for regulatory processes that were conducted only in bulk tissue or single-cell data in pancreatic islets^{7,8,31}. Index SNVs assigned to six of the eight clusters were significantly enriched ($P < 0.00023$, Bonferroni correction for 222 cell types) for regions of open chromatin that were specific to 20 cell types when compared to “null” SNVs that were not in LD with index SNVs, defined as $r^2 < 0.05$, in any ancestry group (**Figure 2, Supplementary Table 16, Methods**).

We observed significant enrichment for regions of open chromatin in foetal islets and adult neuroendocrine cells in pancreatic islets (alpha, beta, gamma, and delta) in the beta-cell +PI, beta-cell -PI, and residual glycaemic clusters. The beta-cell -PI cluster was also enriched in adult enterochromaffin cells, a type of enteroendocrine cell that plays an essential role in regulating intestinal motility and secretion in the gastrointestinal tract⁴⁴. Enterochromaffin cells are a major target for GLP-1 and highly express GLP-1 receptor, whose agonists are widely used as medications for T2D⁴⁵. The residual glycaemic cluster was also enriched in foetal and adult pancreatic ductal cells.

Some cell types important in the pathogenesis of obesity, such as the hypothalamus in the central nervous system, are not sufficiently covered by CATLAS/DESCARTES, and so

were not available for this analysis. However, the obesity cluster was enriched for regions of open chromatin in adult pancreatic islets, although not as strongly as the beta-cell clusters. Enrichment was observed only for alpha, gamma, and delta cells, suggesting potential alternative pathways through which islets impact the development of T2D than through insulin secretion from beta cells. The obesity cluster was further enriched in foetal adrenal gland (chromaffin cells and adrenal neurons), foetal heart (ventricular cardiomyocytes), and foetal kidney (metanephric cells). Previous studies have reported enrichment of BMI loci/heritability for epigenomic annotations in pancreatic islets and adrenal gland^{46,47}, consistent with our findings.

The remaining four clusters (lipodystrophy, metabolic syndrome, body fat, and liver/lipid metabolism) were not significantly enriched for regions of open chromatin in pancreatic islets. The lipodystrophy cluster was enriched only in adult adipocytes, which confirms previous reports in bulk adipose tissue^{8,31}. Consistent with these results, association signals for WHR, triglycerides, and HDL cholesterol, which are strongly impacted by index SNVs in the lipodystrophy cluster, have been shown to be enriched in candidate cis-regulatory elements in adipocytes⁴³. The metabolic syndrome cluster was enriched in cells that reside in the walls of blood vessels (adult pericytes and foetal endothelial cells), foetal kidney (mesangial cells), and foetal fibroblasts. Association signals for systolic and diastolic blood pressure, a key component of the metabolic syndrome, have been shown to be enriched in candidate cis-regulatory elements in these cell types⁴³. Endothelial dysfunction is not only a consequence of insulin resistance, but impairs insulin signalling to further reduce insulin sensitivity, thereby providing a pathophysiological mechanism that links metabolic and cardiovascular components of metabolic syndrome⁴⁸. We observed no significant enrichments in the body fat cluster or liver/lipid metabolism cluster.

Ancestry-correlated heterogeneity differs between mechanistic clusters. We next sought to investigate whether the observed ancestry-correlated differences in allelic effects on T2D between ancestry groups varied across mechanistic clusters. To do this, we compared the magnitude and direction of association of index SNVs in each cluster with the first two axes of genetic variation that drive ancestry-correlated heterogeneity at T2D association signals (**Methods**). We observed significant differences in mean Z-scores for association between clusters for both the AFR-EAS axis ($P=5.0 \times 10^{-6}$) and the AFR-EUR axis ($P=1.5 \times 10^{-6}$). Index SNVs in the two beta-cell clusters were most positively associated with the AFR-EAS axis, indicating allelic effects on T2D that were greater in EAS than in AFR and EUR GWAS (**Supplementary Figure 11, Supplementary Table 17**). In contrast, index SNVs in the lipodystrophy and obesity clusters were most positively associated with the AFR-EUR axis, indicating allelic effects on T2D that were greater in EUR than in EAS or AFR GWAS. These results indicate that ancestry-correlated heterogeneity varies between mechanistic clusters, which may have clinical implications for T2D management across ancestry groups.

Cluster-specific partitioned GRS are associated with vascular outcomes across ancestry groups. The major complications in individuals with T2D are macrovascular outcomes including coronary artery disease (CAD), ischemic stroke, and peripheral artery disease, and progression to severe microvascular complications, including end-stage diabetic nephropathy (ESDN) and proliferative diabetic retinopathy. We tested for association of a cluster-specific partitioned GRS with these clinical outcomes in up to 137,559 individuals (including 10,159 T2D cases) across four ancestry groups (AFR, EAS, EUR, and HIS) from the

All of Us Research Program and Biobank Japan (**Methods**). These individuals were not included in the multi-ancestry meta-analysis, and so allowed us to avoid potential inflated type I error rates due to overlap between the discovery and testing datasets. To maximise sample size, we tested macrovascular outcomes in all individuals, adjusted for T2D status, and we tested microvascular complications only in individuals with T2D (**Methods, Supplementary Table 18**). We first conducted GRS association analyses within ancestry groups, and subsequently aggregated results across ancestry groups via fixed-effects meta-analysis (**Methods, Supplementary Table 19**). We also tested the association of an overall GRS, agnostic to cluster membership, to assess the evidence that mechanistic clusters in the partitioned GRS are differentially associated with T2D-related clinical outcomes. **Figure 3** provides an overview of the associations of the overall GRS and each cluster-specific component of the partitioned GRS with the five T2D-related clinical outcomes across ancestry groups.

We observed significant positive association ($P < 0.0063$, Bonferroni correction for eight clusters) of two components of the partitioned GRS with CAD: the lipodystrophy cluster (OR=1.04 per standard deviation of GRS, $P = 0.00020$) and the obesity cluster (OR=1.03, $P = 0.0036$). There was no evidence of heterogeneity in the effects of these two clusters on CAD across ancestry groups (**Supplementary Figure 12, Supplementary Table 19**). Importantly, after adjustment for a CAD GRS derived from a recently-published multi-ancestry meta-analysis of CAD GWAS⁴⁹, the positive CAD association with both components of the GRS remained nominally significant (**Supplementary Figure 13, Supplementary Table 20**): lipodystrophy cluster (OR=1.03, $P = 0.029$) and obesity cluster (OR=1.04, $P = 0.011$). These results demonstrate that additional information is provided by the partitioned GRS for association with CAD in individuals with T2D beyond a more general CAD GRS. CAD association signals have been reported to be enriched for annotations (from transcriptomics and epigenomics) in bulk tissues including aorta/arteries, heart, adrenal gland, and adipose⁴⁹⁻⁵¹. This is in line with the observed enrichment of T2D index SNVs assigned to the obesity and lipodystrophy clusters in regions of open chromatin in foetal ventricular cardiomyocytes, foetal adrenal neuron and adult chromaffin cells in the adrenal gland, and adult adipocytes, providing a clear link to shared biological mechanisms driving development of both diseases. Whilst no components of the partitioned GRS were significantly associated with the other macrovascular outcomes, the obesity cluster had the strongest effect on both ischemic stroke (OR=1.04, $P = 0.022$) and peripheral artery disease (OR=1.05, $P = 0.014$). T2D risk alleles at index SNVs in the obesity cluster were strongly associated with increased C-Reactive Protein, indicating a potentially more pronounced role of inflammation in the development of ischemic stroke and peripheral artery disease than in CAD. We observed no significant evidence for association of the overall GRS with CAD ($P = 0.12$), ischemic stroke ($P = 0.16$), or peripheral artery disease ($P = 0.93$). These results therefore highlight the advantages of the partitioned GRS over an overall GRS for detecting association with T2D-related macrovascular outcomes and providing insight into the biological processes that lead to these disease complications.

We observed significant positive association of the obesity cluster from the partitioned GRS with ESDN (OR=1.25, $P = 0.0022$). We also observed a significant negative association of the beta-cell +PI cluster with ESDN (OR=0.82, $P = 0.0048$). There was no evidence of heterogeneity in the effects of these two clusters on ESDN across ancestry groups, (**Supplementary Figure 14, Supplementary Table 19**), and the overall GRS was not associated with ESDN ($P = 0.97$). T2D index SNVs assigned to the obesity cluster are enriched

for regions of open chromatin in foetal metanephric cells, which is consistent with the observation that association signals for estimated glomerular filtration rate, a measure of renal function used to define chronic kidney disease, are enriched for kidney tissue-specific regulatory annotations⁵². The obesity cluster from the partitioned GRS was also significantly positively associated with proliferative diabetic retinopathy (OR=1.19, $P=0.0041$), with no evidence of heterogeneity in effects across ancestry groups (**Supplementary Figure 15, Supplementary Table 19**). However, in contrast to ESDN, the overall GRS was more significantly associated with proliferative diabetic nephropathy (OR=1.32, $P=4.3 \times 10^{-6}$) than any component of the partitioned GRS. Taken together, these results suggest that ESDN is disproportionately associated with obesity and confirm previous reports that proliferative diabetic retinopathy is driven by hyperglycaemia⁵³ and therefore more strongly associated with the overall burden of T2D risk variants.

DISCUSSION

T2D is a heterogeneous polygenic disease, which is typically diagnosed based solely on elevated glucose levels and treated without regard to the diverse pathophysiological processes that lead to the development and progression of hyperglycaemia. Instead, management strategies involve trial and error following treatment algorithms that are not informed by personalised pathways to disease. The complications of T2D have substantial morbidity and mortality and thus pose a huge public health burden. A more detailed understanding of the biological mechanisms through which the disease develops and progresses to T2D complications are therefore urgently needed and eagerly anticipated.

To better understand the aetiological heterogeneity of T2D across diverse populations, we assembled the largest collection of T2D GWAS for five ancestry groups through the Type 2 Diabetes Global Genomics Initiative. By increasing the effective sample size by almost three-fold compared to previous efforts, we identified a total of 611 loci attaining genome-wide association significance, 145 (23.7%) of which have not been previously reported. Of the 1,289 signals mapping to these loci, 589 (45.7%) would not have been identified without inclusion of GWAS from under-represented ancestry groups. We observed a highly significant enrichment of T2D association signals with evidence of ancestry-correlated heterogeneity. BMI could not fully explain this enrichment, indicating that interactions with other environmental/lifestyle factors that vary with ancestry are also likely to contribute to the observed differences in allelic effects between GWAS.

Within the landscape of the genetic architecture of T2D, we identified eight clusters of index SNVs with distinct profiles of associations with 37 cardiometabolic phenotypes, which defined pathophysiologic-relevant groupings. The addition of previously-unreported T2D signals identified through the multi-ancestry meta-analysis helped define three clusters that were not detected in previous clustering efforts^{7,8,31}. These three clusters have cardiometabolic profiles that are consistent with T2D-related biology: residual glycaemic effects, accumulations of body fat, and the metabolic syndrome. Integration of cluster-specific T2D association signals with single-cell chromatin accessibility data across diverse cell types provided new insights into distinct tissue-specific regulatory processes and biological pathways through which these mechanistic clusters are likely to lead to disease. Finally, we leveraged the information captured by these mechanistic clusters to develop a partitioned GRS that was more strongly associated with T2D-related macrovascular outcomes and ESDN than an overall T2D GRS. Whilst the effect sizes of the cluster-specific

components of the partitioned GRS were small, they enhance understanding of key biological processes driving heterogeneity in these clinical features of T2D phenotypes, and motivate future work to strengthen these effects through identification of additional, statistically-compelling T2D associations in larger sample sizes. Previous efforts have highlighted associations of cluster-specific components of a partitioned GRS with CAD and renal function in EUR populations⁵⁴. For the first time across multiple ancestry groups, we demonstrated more significant associations of clinical outcomes with cluster-specific components of the partitioned GRS than with the overall GRS, suggesting that development of complications may be associated with genetic burden in particular biological pathways that is consistent between populations.

Our findings demonstrate the value of integrating multi-ancestry GWAS of T2D and cardiometabolic traits with single-cell epigenomics across diverse tissues to disentangle the aetiological heterogeneity driving the development and progression of T2D across population groups. Improved understanding of the varied pathophysiological processes that link T2D to vascular outcomes may offer a route to genetically-informed diabetes care and global opportunities for the clinical translation of T2D GWAS findings.

CONSORTIA

VA Million Veteran Program. Kim M. Lorenz^{10,11,12}, Kyung Min Lee^{155,156}, Julie A. Lynch^{155,156}, Philip S. Tsao^{234,286,287}, Kyong-Mi Chang^{10,288}, Marijana Vujkovic^{10,288,292}, and Benjamin F. Voight^{10,11,12,277}. Contributors to the VA Million Veteran Program are listed in the **Supplementary Note**.

AMED GRIFIN Diabetes Initiative Japan. Ken Suzuki^{1,2,3}, Kyuto Sonehara^{3,16,17,18}, Shinichi Namba³, Momoko Horikoshi¹²⁸, Shiro Maeda^{128,176,177}, Koichi Matsuda¹⁷⁹, Yukinori Okada^{3,16,18,188}, Nobuhiro Shojima², Kenichi Yamamoto^{3,188,225}, Toshimasa Yamauchi², and Takashi Kadowaki^{2,283}. Contributors to AMED GRIFIN Diabetes Initiative Japan are listed in the **Supplementary Note**.

Biobank Japan Project. Koichi Matsuda¹⁷⁹. Contributors to the Biobank Japan Project are listed in the **Supplementary Note**.

Penn Medicine BioBank. Lindsay A. Guare¹²⁴, Daniel J. Rader^{12,276,277,278}, Marylyn D. Ritchie^{12,279,280}, Marijana Vujkovic^{10,288,292}, and Benjamin F. Voight^{10,11,12,277}. Contributors to the Penn Medicine BioBank are listed in the **Supplementary Note**.

Regeneron Genetics Center. Contributors to the Regeneron Genetics Center are listed in the **Supplementary Note**.

eMERGE Consortium. Yoonjung Yoonie Joo^{62,63,64}, Abel N. Kho^{148,149}, and M. Geoffrey Hayes^{63,246,247}. Contributors to the eMERGE Consortium are listed in the **Supplementary Note**.

International Consortium of Blood Pressure (ICBP). Zoha Kamali^{78,79}. Contributors to ICBP are listed in the **Supplementary Note**.

Meta-Analyses of Glucose and Insulin-Related Traits Consortium (MAGIC). K Elaine Broadaway⁶⁶, Alice Williamson^{34,77}, Karen L. Mohlke⁶⁶, and Claudia Langenberg^{34,272,273}. Contributors to MAGIC are listed in the **Supplementary Note**.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Central analyses were supported by Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (JP21km0405213, JP20km0405202, and JP21tm0424218), NHGRI (HG011723), American Diabetes Association Innovative and Clinical Translational Award (1-19-ICTS-068), American Heart Association Postdoctoral Fellowship (15POST24470131 and 17POST33650016), American Diabetes Association (11-22-JDFPM-06), Corporal Michael J Crescenz VA Medical Center Research, NIDDK (DK126194 and DK105535), Versus Arthritis (21754), NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203308). A complete list of acknowledgments and funding appears in the **Supplementary Note**.

We thank the International Consortium of Blood Pressure (ICBP) and the Meta-Analyses of Glucose and Insulin-related traits Consortium (MAGIC) for providing pre-

publication access to GWAS summary statistics for blood pressure, proinsulin, and post-challenge insulin resistance measures.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of: the UK National Health Service, the UK National Institute for Health Research, or the UK Department of Health and Social Care; the US National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, the US National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, the US National Institute on Aging, the US National Institutes of Health, the US Department of Health and Human Services, the US Department of Veterans Affairs, the US Food and Drug Administration, or the US Government.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Central analysis group: K.Suzuki, K.H., L.S., H.J.T., X.Y., K.M.Lorenz, R.Mandla, A.H.-C., N.W.R., O.B., A.L.d.S.V.A., A.Mahajan, C.N.S., J.M.M., M.B., J.I.R., M.V., B.F.V., A.P.M., E.Z.
Study-level primary analysis: K.Suzuki, H.J.T., X.Y., K.M.Lorenz, R.Mandla, A.H.-C., K.Sonehara, S.N., S.S.K.L., M.H.P., L.E.P., P.S., B.V., M.K., F.B., K.Lin, X.G., W.Zhang, J.Y., Y.J.K., M.Graff, F.T., J.N., A.Lamri, M.N., S.Moon, R.A.S., J.P.C., J.-J.L., I.P., D.T., E.J.P., J.-F.C., L.F.B., Y.T., Y.H., G.T., N.G., T.S., M.W., C.Sarnowski, C.G., D.N., S.T., S.-H.K., J.Long, M.Sun, L.T., W.-M.C., S.S.N., R.N., V.J.Y.L., C.H.T.T., Y.Y.J., C.-H.C., L.M.R., B.P.P., A.N., L.R.Y., G.C., J.A.B., E.K., P.A., A.H.X., H.S.C., B.E.C., J.Tan, M.C.Y.N., X.S., A.Mahajan, C.N.S., A.P.M. **Study-level sample collection, phenotyping, genotyping, and additional analysis:** L.S.A., A.A., C.A.A.-S., T.S.A., S.S.A., A.Bertonj, J.B.-J., I.B., T.A.B., C.F.B., A.S.B., M.Canouil, J.C.N.C., L.-C.C., M.-L.C., J.Chen, S.-H.C., Y.-T.C., Z.C., L.-M.C., M.Cushman, J.Danesh, S.K.D., H.J.d.S., G.D., L.D., A.P.D., S.D., Q.D., K.-U.E., L.S.E., D.S.E., M.K.E., K.F., J.S.F., I.F., O.H.F., T.M.F., B.I.F., P.G., H.C.G., V.G., C.G.-V., M.E.G.-V., P.G.-L., M.Gross, L.A.G., S.Hackinger, S.Han, A.T.H., C.H., M.Horikoshi, A.-G.H., W.Hsueh, M.Huang, W.Huang, Y.-J.H., M.Y.H., C.-M.H., S.I., M.A.I., M.Engelsson, M.T.I., M.Isono, H.-M.J., F.J., G.J., J.B.J., T.J., F.R.K., A.Kasturiratne, T.Katsuya, V.K., T.Kawaguchi, J.M.K., A.N.K., C.-C.K., M.G.K., D.-H.K., F.K., J.K., K.Läll, L.A.L., K.M.Lee, M.-S.L., N.R.L., A.Leong, L.Li, Y.Liu, R.L.-G., S.Lithgart, C.M.L., A.Linneberg, C.-T.L., J.Liu, A.E.L., T.L., J.Luan, A.O.L., X.L., J.Lv, J.A.L., V.L., S.Maeda, V.M., S.R.M., K.M., T.M., A.Metspalu, H.M., A.D.M., J.L.N., M.A.N., U.N., I.N., Y.O., L.O., S.R.P., S.P., P.P., M.A.Pereira, A.P., F.J.P., H.G.P., B.P., G.Prasad, L.J.R.-T., A.P.R., M.R., R.R., K.R., C.Sabanayagam, K.Sandow, A.Sankareswaran, N.Sattar, S.S., M.Shahriar, B.S., J.S., D.M.S., N.Shojima, J.A.S., W.Y.S., A.Stančáková, V.S., A.M.S., K.Strauch, K.D.T., B.Thorand, U.T., B.Tomlinson, T.C.T., F.-J.T., J.Tuomilehto, T.T.-L., M.S.U., A.V., R.M.v.D., J.B.v.K., R.V., N.W.-R., E.W., A.R.W., K.W.v.D., D.R.W., C.S.Y., Ken.Yamamoto, Kenichi.Yamamoto, K.Yoon, C.Y., J.-M.Y., S.Y., M.Z., L.Z., W.Zheng, J.S.P., T.-Y.W., F.S.C., M.O.G., K.L.M., J.C.F., J.E.B., M.I.M., M.V. **Study-level principal investigator:** L.J.R., M.Igase, E.Ipp, S.R., Y.S.C., L.Lind, M.A.Province, M.F., C.L.H., E.Engelsson, A.B.Z., B.M.P., Y.-X.W., C.N.R., D.M.B., F.M., Y.Liu, M.Y., S.L.R.K., P.A.P., J.S.P., J.C.E., A.Bonnefond, P.F., J.G.W., W.H.H.S., J.-Y.W., M.G.H., R.C.W.M., T.-Y.W., D.O.M.-K., T.T., G.R.C., F.S.C., D.B., G.Paré, M.M.S., H.A., A.A.M., X.-O.S., K.-S.P., J.W.J., M.Cruz, Y.-D.I.C., S.S.R., R.M.-C., H.G., C.-Y.C., M.G., E.-S.T., J.Dupuis, N.K., M.L., A.Köttgen, W.-P.K., D.W.B., C.N.A.P., J.S.K., C.K., S.Liu, K.E.N., D.S., T.H., O.P., N.J.W., J.Lee, B.-J.K., I.Y.M., R.G.W., K.Stefansson, K.L.M., C.L., C.A.H., R.J.F.L., J.C.F., D.J.R., M.D.R., S.Z., R.Mägi, J.C.D., T.Y., T.Kadowaki, J.C.C., J.E.B., P.S.T., K.-M.C., M.I.M., J.B.M., J.M.M., M.B., J.I.R., M.V., B.F.V., A.P.M., E.Z. **MAGIC analysis:** K.A.B., A.W., K.L.M., C.L. **ICBP analysis:** Z.K. **GUARDIAN**

Consortium analysis: J.Cui, M.O.G. **DIAMANTE Consortium ancestry-specific data co-ordination:** J.C.C., M.C.Y.N., X.S., J.E.B., A.Mahajan. **Manuscript preparation:** K.Suzuki, K.H., L.S., H.J.T., X.Y., K.M.Lorenz, R.Mandla, M.I.M., J.B.M., A.Mahajan, C.N.S., J.M.M., M.B., J.I.R., M.V., B.F.V., A.P.M., E.Z. **T2DGGI steering group:** M.I.M., J.B.M., A.Mahajan, C.N.S., J.M.M., M.B., J.I.R., M.V., B.F.V., A.P.M., E.Z.

COMPETING INTERESTS

R.A.S. is now an employee of GlaxoSmithKline. G.T. is an employee of deCODE genetics/Amgen Inc. A.S.B. reports institutional grants from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Biogen, BioMarin, Bioverativ, Novartis, Regeneron and Sanofi. J.Danesh serves on scientific advisory boards for AstraZeneca, Novartis, and UK Biobank, and has received multiple grants from academic, charitable and industry sources outside of the submitted work. L.S.E. is now an employee of Bristol Myers Squibb. J.S.F. has consulted for Shionogi Inc. T.M.F. has consulted for Sanofi, Boehringer Ingelheim, and received funding from GlaxoSmithKline. H.C.G. holds the McMaster-Sanofi Population Health Institute Chair in Diabetes Research and Care; reports research grants from Eli Lilly, AstraZeneca, Merck, Novo Nordisk and Sanofi; honoraria for speaking from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, DKSH, Zuellig, Roche, and Sanofi; and consulting fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Merck, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sanofi, Kowa and Hanmi. Ith Institute Chair in Diabetes Research and Care; reports research grants from Eli Lilly, AstraZeneca, Merck, Novo Nordisk and Sanofi; honoraria for speaking from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, and Sanofi; and consulting fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Merck, Novo Nordisk, Janssen, Sanofi, and Kowa. M.Engelsson is a paid consultant to BioArctic AB. R.L.-G. is a part-time consultant of Metabolon Inc. A.E.L. is now an employee of Regeneron Genetics Center LLC and holds shares in Regeneron Pharmaceuticals. M.A.N. currently serves on the scientific advisory board for Clover Therapeutics and is an advisor to Neuron23 Inc. S.R.P. has received grant funding from Bayer Pharmaceuticals, Philips Respironics and Respicardia. N.Sattar has consulted for or been on speakers bureau for Abbott, Amgen, Astrazeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Hanmi, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Sanofi and Pfizer and has received grant funding from Astrazeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis and Roche Diagnostics. V.S. is now an employee of deCODE genetics/Amgen Inc. A.M.S. receives funding from Seven Bridges Genomics to develop tools for the NHLBI BioData Catalyst consortium. U.T. is an employee of deCODE genetics/Amgen Inc. E.Engelsson is now an employee of GlaxoSmithKline. B.M.P. serves on the Steering Committee of the Yale Open Data Access Project funded by Johnson & Johnson. R.C.W.M. reports research funding from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Tricida Inc. and Sanofi, and has consulted for or received speakers fees from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, all of which have been donated to the Chinese University of Hong Kong to support diabetes research. D.O.M.-K. is a part-time clinical research consultant for Metabolon Inc. S.Liu reports consulting payments and honoraria or promises of the same for scientific presentations or reviews at numerous venues, including but not limited to Barilla, by-Health Inc, AUSA Pharmed Co.LTD, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Harvard University, University of Buffalo, Guangdong General Hospital and Academy of Medical Sciences, Consulting member for Novo Nordisk, Inc; member of the Data Safety and Monitoring Board for a trial of pulmonary hypertension in diabetes patients at

Massachusetts General Hospital; receives royalties from UpToDate; receives an honorarium from the American Society for Nutrition for his duties as Associate Editor. K.Stefansson is an employee of deCODE genetics/Amgen Inc. M.I.M. has served on advisory panels for Pfizer, NovoNordisk and Zoe Global, has received honoraria from Merck, Pfizer, Novo Nordisk and Eli Lilly, and research funding from Abbvie, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Merck, NovoNordisk, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, Servier, and Takeda; and is now an employee of Genentech and a holder of Roche stock. J.B.M. is an Academic Associate for Quest Diagnostics R&D. A.Mahajan is an employee of Genentech, and a holder of Roche stock.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Heatmap of associations of 37 cardiometabolic phenotypes with eight mechanistic clusters of index SNVs for T2D association signals. Each column corresponds to a cluster. Each row corresponds to a cardiometabolic phenotype. The “temperature” of each cell represents the Z-score (aligned to the T2D risk allele) of association of the phenotype with index SNVs assigned to the cluster. *Phenotype is adjusted for body mass index.

Figure 2. Heatmap of cluster-specific enrichments of T2D associations for cell type-specific regions of open chromatin derived from single-cell ATAC-seq peaks in adult and foetal tissue. Each column represents a mechanistic cluster. Each row represents a cell type that was significantly enriched ($P < 0.00023$, Bonferroni correction for 222 cell types) for T2D associations in at least one cluster (indicated by an asterisk). The temperature of each cell defines the magnitude of the log-fold enrichment. The liver/lipid metabolism cluster is not presented because it includes only three T2D association signals and the regression model did not converge.

Figure 3. Associations of the overall GRS and cluster-specific partitioned GRS with five T2D-related vascular outcomes in up to 137,559 individuals from multiple ancestry groups. Each of the panels summarise the associations of the overall GRS and each cluster-specific component of the partitioned GRS with coronary artery disease (CAD), peripheral artery disease (PAD), ischemic stroke (IS), end-stage diabetic nephropathy (ESDN), and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR). The height of each bar corresponds to the log-odds ratio (beta) per standard deviation of the GRS, and the grey bar shows the 95% confidence interval. Analyses of T2D-related macrovascular complications (CAD, PAD, and IS) were undertaken in all individuals, with adjustment for T2D status. Analysis of microvascular complications were undertaken in individuals with T2D only. * $P < 0.05$, nominal association. ** $P < 0.0063$, Bonferroni correction for eight clusters.

Table 1. Cardiometabolic profile, exemplar loci, and physiological impact of index SNVs at T2D association signals allocated to eight mechanistic clusters.

Mechanistic cluster	Cardiometabolic profile	Number of T2D associations	Exemplar loci	Physiological impact	
				Insulin secretion	Insulin sensitivity
Beta-cell +PI	+FG*, +2hG*, +HbA1c, +PI*	91	<i>TCF7L2, KCNQ1, CDKAL1, CDKN2A-CDKN2B, SLC30A8</i>	-	+
Beta-cell -PI	+FG*, +2hG*, +HbA1c, -PI*	89	<i>CDC123-CAMK1D, HNF1B, KCNJ11-ABCC8, HNF4A, HNF1A</i>	-	+
Residual glycaemic	+FG*, +HbA1c	389	<i>GCC1-PAX4-LEP, ANKRD55, GCKR, UBE2E2</i>	-	-
Body fat	+body fat, +ASAT*	273	<i>ZMIZ1, HMGGA2, CTBP1</i>	+	-
Metabolic syndrome	+FG*, +FI*, +WHR, +VAT*, -GFAT*, +TG, -HDL, +BP	166	<i>IGF2BP2, CCND2, HHEX-IDE, JAZF1, GPSM1</i>	+	-
Obesity	+BMI, +WHR, +body fat, +BMR, +TG, -HDL	233	<i>FTO, MC4R, MACF1, TMEM18</i>	+	-
Lipodystrophy	+FI*, +WHR, -body fat, -GFAT*, +TG, -HDL, +BP	45	<i>IRS1, GRB14-COBBL1, PPARG</i>	+	-
Liver/lipid metabolism	-LDL, -TC, +liver fat, +liver biomarkers	3	<i>TOMM40-APOE-GIPR, TM6SF2, PNPLA3</i>	-	-

+/-: T2D risk alleles associated with increased/decreased phenotype values.

FG: fasting glucose. FI: fasting insulin. 2hG: two-hour glucose. HbA1c: glycated haemoglobin. PI: proinsulin.

BMI: body mass index. WHR: waist-hip ratio. VAT: visceral adipose tissue volume. ASAT: abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue volume. GFAT: gluteofemoral adipose tissue volume. LDL: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. HDL: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. TC: total cholesterol. TG: triglycerides. BMR: basal metabolic rate. BP: blood pressure.

*adjusted for BMI.

REFERENCES

- 1 International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 10th edn., Brussels, Belgium (2021). <https://diabetesatlas.org/>.
- 2 McCarthy, M. I. Painting a new picture of personalised medicine for diabetes. *Diabetologia* **60**, 793-799 (2017).
- 3 Pearson, E. R. Type 2 diabetes: a multifaceted disease. *Diabetologia* **62**, 1107-1112 (2019).
- 4 Almgren, P. *et al.* Heritability and familiarity of type 2 diabetes and related quantitative traits in the Botnia Study. *Diabetologia* **54**, 2811-2819 (2011).
- 5 Vujkovic, M. *et al.* Discovery of 318 new risk loci for type 2 diabetes and related vascular outcomes among 1.4 million participants in a multi-ancestry meta-analysis. *Nat Genet* **52**, 680-691 (2020).
- 6 Mahajan, A. *et al.* Multi-ancestry genetic study of type 2 diabetes highlights the power of diverse populations for discovery and translation. *Nat Genet* **54**, 560-572 (2022).
- 7 Mahajan, A. *et al.* Refining the accuracy of validated target identification through coding variant fine-mapping in type 2 diabetes. *Nat Genet* **50**, 559-571 (2018).
- 8 Udler, M. S. *et al.* Type 2 diabetes genetic loci informed by multi-trait associations point to disease mechanisms and subtypes: A soft clustering analysis. *PLoS Med* **15**, e1002654 (2018).
- 9 Udler, M. S., McCarthy, M. I., Florez, J. C. & Mahajan, A. Genetic risk scores for diabetes diagnosis and precision medicine. *Endocr Rev* **40**, 1500-1520 (2019).
- 10 Taliun, D. *et al.* Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the NHLBI TOPMed Program. *Nature* **590**, 290-299 (2021).
- 11 McCarthy, S. *et al.* A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1279-1283 (2016).
- 12 1000 Genomes Project Consortium *et al.* An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. *Nature* **491**, 56-65 (2012).
- 13 1000 Genomes Project Consortium *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68-74 (2015).
- 14 Mägi, R. *et al.* Trans-ethnic meta-regression of genome-wide association studies accounting for ancestry increases power for discovery and improves fine-mapping resolution. *Hum Mol Genet* **26**, 3639-3650 (2017).
- 15 Lewis, A. C. F. *et al.* Getting genetic ancestry right for science and society. *Science* **376**, 250-252 (2022).
- 16 Wojcik, G. L. *et al.* Genetic analyses of diverse populations improves discovery for complex traits. *Nature* **570**, 514-518 (2019).
- 17 Rosenberg, N. A. *et al.* Genome-wide association studies in diverse populations. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**, 356-366 (2010).
- 18 Sirugo, G., Williams, S. M. & Tishkoff, S. A. The missing diversity in human genetic studies. *Cell* **177**, 26-31 (2019).
- 19 Uitterlinden, A. G. Diversity in human genetics studies accelerates discovery and improves health care. *Nat Rev Cardiol* **19**, 289-290 (2022).
- 20 Loh, M. *et al.* Identification of genetic effects underlying type 2 diabetes in South Asian and European populations. *Commun Biol* **5**, 329 (2022).

- 21 Warren, H., Edwards T, Vaez, A. & et al. Genome-wide analysis in over 1 million individuals reveals over 2,000 independent genetic signals for blood pressure. Preprint at: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1409164/v1> (2022).
- 22 Warrington, N. M. *et al.* Maternal and fetal genetic effects on birth weight and their relevance to cardio-metabolic risk factors. *Nat Genet* **51**, 804-814 (2019).
- 23 Chen, J. *et al.* The trans-ancestral genomic architecture of glycemic traits. *Nat Genet* **53**, 840-860 (2021).
- 24 Liu, Y. *et al.* Genetic architecture of 11 organ traits derived from abdominal MRI using deep learning. *Elife* **10** (2021).
- 25 Sulc, J. *et al.* Composite trait Mendelian randomization reveals distinct metabolic and lifestyle consequences of differences in body shape. *Commun Biol* **4**, 1064 (2021).
- 26 Sakaue, S. *et al.* A cross-population atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. *Nat Genet* **53**, 1415-1424 (2021).
- 27 Graham, S. E. *et al.* The power of genetic diversity in genome-wide association studies of lipids. *Nature* **600**, 675-679 (2021).
- 28 Agrawal, S. *et al.* Inherited basis of visceral, abdominal subcutaneous and gluteofemoral fat depots. *Nat Commun* **13**, 3771 (2022).
- 29 Broadaway, K. A. *et al.* Loci for insulin processing and secretion provide insight into type 2 diabetes risk. *Am J Hum Genet* **110**, 284-299 (2023).
- 30 Charrad, M., Ghazzali, N., Boiteau, V. & Niknafs, A. NbClust: an R package for determining the relevant number of clusters in a data set. *J Stat Softw* **61**, 1-36 (2014).
- 31 Kim, H. *et al.* High-throughput genetic clustering of type 2 diabetes loci reveals heterogeneous mechanistic pathways of metabolic disease. *Diabetologia* **66**, 495-507 (2023).
- 32 Strawbridge, R. J. *et al.* Genome-wide association identifies nine common variants associated with fasting proinsulin levels and provides new insights into the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* **60**, 2624-2634 (2011).
- 33 Ford, E. S., Li, C. & Sattar, N. Metabolic syndrome and incident diabetes: current state of the evidence. *Diabetes Care* **31**, 1898-1904 (2008).
- 34 Marott, S. C., Nordestgaard, B. G., Tybjaerg-Hansen, A. & Benn, M. Components of the metabolic syndrome and risk of type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **101**, 3212-3221 (2016).
- 35 Jo, A. & Mainous, A. G., 3rd. Informational value of percent body fat with body mass index for the risk of abnormal blood glucose: a nationally representative cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* **8**, e019200 (2018).
- 36 Palmer, N. D. *et al.* Genetic variants associated with quantitative glucose homeostasis traits translate to type 2 diabetes in Mexican Americans: The GUARDIAN (Genetics Underlying Diabetes in Hispanics) Consortium. *Diabetes* **64**, 1853-1866 (2015).
- 37 Dupuis, J. *et al.* New genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes risk. *Nat Genet* **42**, 105-116 (2010).
- 38 Day, F. *et al.* Large-scale genome-wide meta-analysis of polycystic ovary syndrome suggests shared genetic architecture for different diagnosis criteria. *PLoS Genet* **14**, e1007813 (2018).

- 39 Pervjakova, N. *et al.* Multi-ancestry genome-wide association study of gestational diabetes mellitus highlights genetic links with type 2 diabetes. *Hum Mol Genet* **31**, 3377-3391 (2022).
- 40 Plows, J. F., Stanley, J. L., Baker, P. N., Reynolds, C. M. & Vickers, M. H. The pathophysiology of gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Int J Mol Sci* **19** (2018).
- 41 Liu, Q. *et al.* A genome-wide cross-trait analysis identifies shared loci and causal relationships of type 2 diabetes and glycaemic traits with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Diabetologia* **65**, 1483-1494 (2022).
- 42 Domcke, S. *et al.* A human cell atlas of fetal chromatin accessibility. *Science* **370** (2020).
- 43 Zhang, K. *et al.* A single-cell atlas of chromatin accessibility in the human genome. *Cell* **184**, 5985-6001.e5919 (2021).
- 44 Bertrand, P. P. & Bertrand, R. L. Serotonin release and uptake in the gastrointestinal tract. *Auton Neurosci* **153**, 47-57 (2010).
- 45 Lund, M. L. *et al.* Enterochromaffin 5-HT cells - A major target for GLP-1 and gut microbial metabolites. *Mol Metab* **11**, 70-83 (2018).
- 46 Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1228-1235 (2015).
- 47 Akiyama, M. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 112 new loci for body mass index in the Japanese population. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1458-1467 (2017).
- 48 Kim, J. A., Montagnani, M., Koh, K. K. & Quon, M. J. Reciprocal relationships between insulin resistance and endothelial dysfunction: molecular and pathophysiological mechanisms. *Circulation* **113**, 1888-1904 (2006).
- 49 Aragam, K. G. *et al.* Discovery and systematic characterization of risk variants and genes for coronary artery disease in over a million participants. *Nat Genet* **54**, 1803-1815 (2022).
- 50 Matsunaga, H. *et al.* Transethnic meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies three new loci and characterizes population-specific differences for coronary artery disease. *Circ Genom Precis Med* **13**, e002670 (2020).
- 51 Koyama, S. *et al.* Population-specific and trans-ancestry genome-wide analyses identify distinct and shared genetic risk loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* **52**, 1169-1177 (2020).
- 52 Morris, A. P. *et al.* Trans-ethnic kidney function association study reveals putative causal genes and effects on kidney-specific disease aetiologies. *Nat Commun* **10**, 29 (2019).
- 53 UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *Lancet* **352**, 837-853 (1998).
- 54 DiCorpo, D. *et al.* Type 2 diabetes partitioned polygenic scores associate with disease outcomes in 454,193 individuals across 13 cohorts. *Diabetes Care* **45**, 674-683 (2022).

METHODS

Ethics statement. Study-level ethics statements are provided in the **Supplementary Note**.

Study-level analyses. Within each study, we assigned individuals to one of five ancestry groups using self-report and genetic background (**Supplementary Tables 1 and 2**). Any individuals that could not be assigned to a single ancestry group were excluded as population outliers. Within each ancestry group-specific GWAS, we conducted quality control of genotype data. Subsequently, we pre-phased individuals and imputed up to reference panels from the Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine Program¹⁰, Haplotype Reference Consortium¹¹, 1000 Genomes Project (phase 1, March 2012 release; phase 3, October 2014 release)^{12,13}, or population-specific whole-genome sequencing⁵⁵⁻⁶¹ (**Supplementary Table 3**). Studies imputed to reference panels mapped to GRCh38/hg38 were lifted back to hg19 using the UCSC liftOver tool (<https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgLiftOver>). We excluded SNVs with poor imputation quality and/or minor allele count (MAC) <5 from downstream association analyses (**Supplementary Table 3**).

Within each ancestry group-specific GWAS, we tested for association of each SNV with T2D via generalised linear regression, under an additive model in the dosage of the minor allele, with adjustment for age and sex (where appropriate), and additional study-specific covariates (**Supplementary Table 3**). We employed different strategies to account for population stratification and/or kinship: (i) exclude closely related individuals and adjust for principal components derived from a genetic relatedness matrix (GRM) as additional covariates in the regression model; or (ii) incorporate a random effect for the GRM in a mixed model (**Supplementary Table 3**). Allelic effects and corresponding standard errors that were estimated from a linear mixed model were converted to the log-odds scale⁶². We corrected study-level association summary statistics for residual structure by the LD-score regression intercept⁶³ (**Supplementary Table 3**), which was calculated using an LD reference that we derived from ancestry-matched haplotypes from the 1000 Genomes Project (phase 3, October 2014 release)¹³.

Multi-ancestry meta-analyses. We analysed autosomal bi-allelic SNVs that overlap reference panels from the 1000 Genomes Project reference panel (phase 3, October 2014 release)¹³ and the Haplotype Reference Consortium¹¹. We considered only those SNVs with MAF > 0.5% in haplotypes in at least one of the five major ancestry groups in the 1000 Genomes Project (phase 3, October 2014 release)¹³. We excluded SNVs that differed in allele frequency by >20% when comparing reference panels in the same subsets of haplotypes.

We used meta-regression, implemented in MR-MEGA¹⁴, to aggregate association summary statistics across GWAS. MR-MEGA models allelic effect heterogeneity that is correlated with genetic ancestry by including axes of genetic variation as covariates in the meta-regression model to capture population diversity between GWAS. We used SNVs that were reported in all studies to construct a distance matrix of mean effect allele frequency differences between each pair of GWAS. We implemented multi-dimensional scaling of the distance matrix to obtain three principal components that represent axes of genetic variation to separate GWAS from the five major ancestry groups (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

For each SNV, we aggregated inverse-variance weighted allelic effects across GWAS via linear regression, including three axes of genetic variation as covariates. We tested for:

(i) association with T2D allowing for ancestry-correlated allelic effect heterogeneity between GWAS; (ii) ancestry-correlated allelic effect heterogeneity between GWAS (defined by the axes of genetic variation); and (iii) residual allelic effect heterogeneity between GWAS (due to differences in environmental exposures and/or study design). We also aggregated association summary statistics across GWAS via fixed-effects meta-analysis (inverse-variance weighting of allelic effects) using METAL⁶⁴. To assess the extent of residual structure between GWAS, we calculated the genomic control inflation factor⁶⁵ for the multi-ancestry meta-regression and fixed-effects meta-analysis.

Defining T2D signals and loci. We began by identifying all SNVs attaining the traditional genome-wide significance threshold ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) for association with T2D from the multi-ancestry meta-regression. Clumps were formed around index variants, which were selected using a greedy algorithm in PLINKv1.9⁶⁶, after ranking SNVs by ascending P -value. SNVs < 5 Mb from an index SNV were assigned to the clump if $r^2 > 0.05$ in at least one of the five ancestry groups using haplotypes from the 1000 Genomes Project (phase 3, October 2014 release)¹³. Index SNVs separated by < 1 Mb were assigned to the same locus. Each locus was then defined as mapping 500 kb up- and down-stream of index SNVs contained within it. We considered the locus to have been previously reported if it contained variants discovered in published large-scale T2D GWAS at genome-wide significance.

Ancestry group-specific meta-analyses. We aggregated association summary statistics across GWAS from the same ancestry group via fixed-effects meta-analysis based on inverse-variance weighting of allelic log-OR to obtain effect size estimates using METAL⁶⁴. We estimated the mean effect allele frequency across GWAS from each ancestry group, weighted by the effective sample size of the study. We generated forest plots of association summary statistics of index SNVs across ancestry groups using the R package meta (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=meta/>).

Contribution of each of axes of genetic variation to ancestry-correlated heterogeneity. For each index SNV, we calculated a Z-score (beta/SE) for association with each axis of variation by aligning the effect from the meta-regression model to the T2D-risk allele. For each index SNV, we identified the axis of genetic variation with the strongest association (greatest magnitude Z-score).

Impact of BMI on ancestry-correlated heterogeneity in allelic effects. For each index SNV, we aggregated inverse-variance weighted allelic effects across GWAS via linear regression, implemented in MR-MEGA¹⁴, including as covariates: (i) three axes of genetic variation; and (ii) mean BMI in controls. We tested for: (i) ancestry-correlated allelic effect heterogeneity between GWAS, after adjustment for BMI; and (ii) allelic effect heterogeneity due to BMI, after adjustment for ancestry. For the j th index SNV, we estimated the meta-regression coefficient and corresponding variance, per unit of BMI, denoted by γ_j and v_j , respectively. Across all index SNVs, the mean effect on log-OR per unit of BMI was given by $\sum_j \gamma_j / v_j$, with corresponding variance $[\sum_j 1/v_j]^{-1}$.

European and East Asian ancestry group meta-regression. For each SNV, we aggregated inverse-variance weighted allelic effects across EAS and EUR GWAS via linear regression, including only the first axis of genetic variation as a covariate, since this was sufficient to

separate the two ancestry groups (**Supplementary Figure 1**). We tested for association with T2D allowing for ancestry-correlated allelic effect heterogeneity between GWAS under this model.

Defining clusters of T2D index SNVs with distinct cardiometabolic profiles. We considered cardiometabolic-related quantitative phenotypes that are used to define T2D status and/or are associated with risk of T2D or complications. We excluded phenotypes for which GWAS summary statistics were available only after imputation to reference panels from the International HapMap Project⁶⁷ because they did not provide sufficient coverage of SNVs included in the multi-ancestry meta-analysis. We considered the largest available GWAS meta-analysis (ancestry-specific or multi-ancestry) that provided the following association summary statistics for each SNV: effect allele, other allele, effect estimate aligned to the effect allele, and standard error of the effect estimate. A summary of phenotypes, GWAS resources, and references are available in **Supplementary Table 9**. We re-aligned the effect estimate to the T2D risk allele from the fixed-effects multi-ancestry meta-analysis, denoted β_{ij} for the j th index SNV and the i th phenotype. We then calculated a sample size corrected Z-score, given by $Z_{ij} = \beta_{ij} / (\sqrt{N_i} s_{ij})$, where s_{ij} is the standard error of the effect estimate of the j th index SNV and the i th phenotype, and N_i is the maximum sample size reported for the i th phenotype. Standardisation by sample size enabled a more uniform weighting of cardiometabolic phenotypes in the subsequent clustering of index SNVs. Where association summary statistics were not reported for the j th index SNV and the i th phenotype, the Z-score was set as “missing”.

Previous efforts to construct partitioned GRS for T2D have implemented “soft clustering” approaches, such as Bayesian non-negative matrix factorisation, that generate weights for cluster membership for each index SNV⁸. Assignment of index SNVs to clusters is then determined given a threshold weight for cluster membership, allowing for the possibility that a T2D association signal impacts on disease through multiple pathophysiological pathways. In practice, however, the choice of threshold for cluster membership is subjective, and some index SNVs remain unassigned. Bayesian non-negative matrix factorisation also considers positive and negative associations with the same phenotype as independent variables in the clustering, and cannot allow for missing phenotype associations, relying instead on the use of proxy SNVs, which can be challenging to identify in a multi-ancestry context.

To address these potential limitations, we conducted K-means clustering of index SNVs with imputation of missing Z-scores using the R package ClustImpute (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=ClustImpute>). For a pre-defined number of clusters, ClustImpute replaces missing Z-scores at random from the marginal distribution for the phenotype in the first iteration and performs K-means clustering. In subsequent iterations, missing Z-scores are updated, conditional on the current cluster assignment, so that correlations between phenotypes are considered. At each iteration, penalizing weights are imposed on imputed values and successively decreased (to zero) as the missing data imputation improves. In this “hard clustering” approach, each index SNV is assigned to exactly one cluster. Finally, we determined the “optimal” number of clusters according to the majority rule across 27 indices of cluster performance³⁰, implemented in the R package NbClust (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=NbClust>).

We tested for association of the i th phenotype with index SNVs across clusters in a linear regression model, given by $E(Z_{ij}) = \sum_k \gamma_{ik} C_{jk}$, where C_{jk} is an indicator variable that

takes the value “1” if the j th index SNV was assigned to the k th cluster and “0” otherwise. The strength/direction of the association of each phenotype with each cluster was then presented in a heatmap, where the “temperature” was defined by the direction of the regression coefficient γ_{ik} and the corresponding $-\log_{10} P$ -value. Regression models were fitted using the `glm` function in R.

Cluster-specific associations of index SNVs with T2D. We tested for association of T2D with index SNVs across clusters in a linear regression model, given by $E(\beta_j) = \sum_k \gamma_k C_{jk}$, where C_{jk} is an indicator variable that takes the value “1” if the j th index SNV was assigned to the k th cluster and “0” otherwise, and weighted by the inverse of the variance of the allelic effect. We tested for heterogeneity in cluster effects on each endophenotype by comparing the deviance of this model with that of $E(\beta_j) = \gamma_0$, again weighted by the inverse of the variance of the allelic effect. Regression models were fitted using the `glm` function in R.

Cluster-specific associations of index SNVs with insulin-related endophenotypes and insulin resistance-related disorders. We extracted association summary statistics for measures of glucose homeostasis derived from hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp assessments and oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT) performed by the GUARDIAN Consortium³⁶, which were obtained from GWAS undertaken in up to 1,316 Mexican American participants without diabetes from the Mexican American Coronary Artery Disease (MACAD) study⁶⁸ and the Hypertension and Insulin Resistance (HTN-IR) study⁶⁹. The measures used were: insulin sensitivity (clamp-derived glucose infusion rate in 1,316 participants from MACAD and HTN-IR); insulin clearance (clamp-derived metabolic clearance rate of insulin in 1,261 participants from MACAD and HTN-IR); and insulin secretion (OGTT-derived area under the curve for insulin normalised for glucose from baseline to 30 minutes in 513 participants from MACAD). We also extracted association summary statistics for homeostatic model assessment measures of beta-cell function (HOMA-B) and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) from published GWAS meta-analyses of up to 36,466 non-diabetic individuals of European ancestry from MAGIC³⁷. Note that we did not include HOMA measures as part of the cardiometabolic phenotype clustering because they were imputed only up to reference panels from the International HapMap Consortium⁶⁷, and thus included a high proportion of missing Z-scores across index SNVs that we anticipated would introduce too much noise with `ClustImpute`. We also extracted association summary statistics for insulin resistance-related disorders from published GWAS meta-analyses of: (i) 5,485 GDM cases and 347,856 female controls of diverse ancestry from the GenDIP Consortium³⁹; and (ii) 10,074 PCOS cases and 103,164 female controls of European ancestry³⁸.

For each endophenotype/disorder, we aligned the effect estimate to the T2D risk allele from the fixed-effects multi-ancestry meta-analysis, denoted β_j for the j th index SNV. We then calculated the Z-score, given by $Z_j = \beta_j / s_j$, where s_j is the standard error of the effect estimate of the j th index SNV. We tested for association of each endophenotype with index SNVs across clusters in a linear regression model, given by $E(Z_j) = \sum_k \gamma_k C_{jk}$, where C_{jk} is an indicator variable that takes the value “1” if the j th index SNV was assigned to the k th cluster and “0” otherwise. We tested for heterogeneity in cluster effects on each endophenotype by comparing the deviance of this model with that of $E(Z_j) = \gamma_0$. Regression models were fitted using the `glm` function in R.

Enrichment of T2D associations for cell type-specific regions of open chromatin within clusters. For each T2D association signal, we defined “null” SNVs that mapped within 50kb of the index SNV and were not in LD ($r^2 > 0.05$) with the index SNV in any of the five major ancestry groups using haplotypes from the 1000 Genomes Project (phase 3, October 2014 release)¹³. We defined an indicator variable, Y_j , taking the value “1” if the j th SNV is an index SNV and “0” if the j th SNV is a null SNV. We mapped index SNVs and null SNVs to genic regions, as defined by the Ensembl Project (release 104)⁷⁰, including protein-coding exons, and 3’ UTRs and 5’ UTRs. We defined indicator variables, G_j^{EXON} , G_j^{3UTR} , and G_j^{5UTR} , that each take the value “1” if the j th SNV mapped to the respective genic annotation and “0” otherwise. We also mapped index SNVs and null SNVs to ATAC-seq peaks for 222 cell types derived from adult and foetal tissues via single-cell atlases of chromatin accessibility (CATLAS and DESCARTES)^{42,43}. We defined an indicator variable, X_{ij} , that takes the value “1” if the j th SNV mapped to an ATAC-seq peak for the i th cell type and “0” otherwise.

Within each cluster, we modelled enrichment of T2D associations for ATAC-seq peaks in the i th cell type, after accounting for genic annotations, in a Firth bias-reduced logistic regression, given by

$$f^{-1}(Y_j) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_{\text{EXON}} G_j^{\text{EXON}} + \alpha_{\text{3UTR}} G_j^{\text{3UTR}} + \alpha_{\text{5UTR}} G_j^{\text{5UTR}} + \theta_i X_{ij},$$

where f is the logit link function. In this expression, α_0 is an intercept, α_{EXON} , α_{3UTR} , and α_{5UTR} are log-fold enrichments of genic annotations, and θ_i is the log-fold enrichment of ATAC-seq peaks in the i th cell type. We conducted a test of enrichment of the i th cell type by comparing the deviances of models in which $\theta_i = 0$ and θ_i is unconstrained. We identified cell types with significant evidence of enrichment ($P < 0.00023$, Bonferroni correction for 222 cell types). All models were fitted using the R package `logistf` (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=logistf>).

Difference in ancestry-correlated heterogeneity between mechanistic clusters. We tested for differences in Z-scores (beta/SE) for association of index SNVs in each cluster with the i th axis of genetic variation by comparing two linear models via ANOVA: (i) $f^{-1}(Z_{ij}) = \tau_{0i}$; and (ii) $f^{-1}(Z_{ij}) = \sum_k \tau_{ki} C_{jk}$. In these expressions: f is the identity link function; Z_{ij} is Z-score for the j th index SNV; C_{jk} is an indicator variable that takes the value “1” if the j th index SNV was assigned to the k th cluster and “0” otherwise; and τ_{0i} and τ_{ki} are regression coefficients. Regression models were fitted using the `glm` function in R.

Cluster-specific partitioned GRS analyses. We tested for association of a cluster-specific partitioned GRS and an overall GRS with T2D-related macrovascular outcomes (CAD, ischemic stroke, and peripheral artery disease) and microvascular complications (ESDN and proliferative diabetic retinopathy) in participants from the All of Us Research Program (AoURP, multiple ancestry groups) and Biobank Japan (BBJ, East Asian ancestry).

AoURP cohort description, sequencing, quality control, and phenotype derivation. We considered 78,260 participants with whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and electronic health record (EHR) data from the AoURP Controlled Tier Dataset v5^{71,72}. Details of the generation and quality control of the genomic data can be found in the AoURP Genomic Quality Report release C2021Q3R5 (https://support.researchallofus.org/hc/en-us/article_attachments/7002034709652/All_of_Us_Beta_Release_Genomic_Quality_Report)

[t_4.pdf](#)). We used genetic ancestries defined in the AoURP Genomic Quality Report release C2021Q3R5. We removed related individuals in the maximal independent set (kinship score >0.1) as described in the AoURP Genomic Quality Report release C2021Q3R5. To reduce the computational burden of the WGS dataset, we considered only bi-allelic SNVs with MAF $\geq 0.1\%$ across all participants. To correct for population structure, within each ancestry, we derived principal components using Hail v0.2.107 (<https://hail.is/docs/0.2/index.html>). In these calculations, we excluded SNVs that were not present in the 1000 Genomes Project (phase 3, October 2014 release) reference panel¹³. We also excluded SNVs with MAF <1% and deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P < 10^{-6}$), and subsequently extracted autosomal LD-pruned SNVs ($r^2 < 0.05$). Cases of T2D, T2D-related macrovascular outcomes, and microvascular complications were derived from the combination of diagnosis codes (ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM), drug exposures, and LOINC codes for laboratory test results, extracted from EHR data. Full details are provided in the **Supplementary Methods**.

BBJ cohort description, genotyping, quality control, and phenotype derivation. BBJ is a multi-institutional hospital-based registry that comprises DNA and medical records from individuals of Japanese ancestry^{73,74}. The first BBJ cohort comprises approximately 200,000 participants with at least one of 47 common diseases collected between 2003 and 2007. The second BBJ cohort comprises approximately 67,000 participants with at least one of 38 common diseases collected between 2013 and 2017. Physicians of 66 cooperating hospitals determined the eligibility of cases. Only those individuals who were not included in the multi-ancestry meta-analysis were considered for testing of the partitioned GRS.

Genomic DNA was prepared following standard protocols from peripheral blood samples and genotyped using the Illumina Asian Screening Array, following the manufacturer's instructions. We excluded individuals with call rate <98% and outliers from the cluster of East Asian populations based on principal component analysis with reference individuals from Phase II HapMap⁶⁷. We excluded SNVs with call rate <99%, MAC <5, exact Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium $P < 10^{-10}$, and >5% difference in MAF when compared with Japanese whole-genome sequence data^{58,75} and the Tohoku Medical Megabank Project⁷⁶. After quality control, we performed pre-phasing using SHAPEIT4⁷⁷. Phased haplotypes were imputed to the combined reference panel of 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 and Japanese whole-genome sequencing data from 1,037 individuals^{58,75} using Minimac4⁷⁸. We subsequently excluded individuals with a mismatch between inferred genetic sex and sex registered in clinical information, who were not in a set of unrelated individuals defined by using PLINK with king-cutoff <0.09375, or were outliers of heterozygosity rates (more than 5 SD from the mean). To correct for population structure, we derived principal components using PLINKv2.0⁷⁹, calculated from a set of autosomal LD-pruned SNVs ($r^2 < 0.1$) with MAF $\geq 0.5\%$ after excluding the major histocompatibility complex region.

We selected participants of at least 18 years of age for GRS analyses. We defined T2D cases as participants with a diagnosis of T2D, made by physicians at participating hospitals, but not type 1 diabetes, mitochondrial diabetes, maturity-onset diabetes of the young, or any other type of diabetes⁸⁰. We extracted cases of microvascular complications from medical records in which diagnosis was made by physicians at participating hospitals. We defined controls for microvascular complications as T2D cases without any diagnosis of diabetic nephropathy or diabetic retinopathy. We defined CAD as a composite of stable angina, unstable angina, and myocardial infarction. These conditions, in addition to ischemic

stroke and peripheral artery disease, were diagnosed by physicians at collaborating hospitals based on general medical practices following relevant guidelines.

GRS association analyses in AoURP and BBJ. We conducted analyses separately for each ancestry group in AoURP (African, American, and European) and BBJ (East Asian). For each ancestry, we performed GRS analyses for T2D-related macrovascular outcomes using all individuals, irrespective of T2D status, and for microvascular complications in individuals with T2D only. For each analysis, we calculated overall and cluster-specific GRS for each individual, with each index SNV weighted by the allelic log-OR from the ancestry-specific meta-analyses. We did not include index SNVs with MAF <1% in the GRS. We also excluded index SNVs with poor imputation quality ($r^2 < 0.7$) in BBJ, and those with extreme deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P < 10^{-6}$) in AoURP. We standardised overall and cluster-specific GRS to have mean zero and unit variance. We tested for association with each outcome/complication via generalised linear regression, under a joint model including all eight cluster-specific GRS, and a model including only the overall GRS. We adjusted association analyses with macrovascular outcomes, conducted in all individuals, for T2D status. We adjusted association analyses with microvascular complications for duration of T2D. In AoURP and BBJ, we adjusted analyses for age, sex, and the first 20 principal components. In AoURP, we defined age as age at last hospital visit. In BBJ, we defined age as age at first record. In BBJ, we also adjusted for recruitment phase and status of the registered common diseases (other than T2D) to account for ascertainment. For CAD, we also conducted sensitivity analyses by including, as an additional covariate, a CAD GRS from the largest published multi-ancestry CAD GWAS⁴⁹. The GRS was constructed from index SNVs for 241 conditionally independent CAD associations, weighted by the multi-ancestry allelic log-OR (ancestry-specific effects were not available), and standardised to have mean zero and unit variance. All association analyses were conducted using the glm function in R.

GRS multi-ancestry meta-analyses. For each outcome, we aggregated association summary statistics from the partitioned GRS model and the overall GRS model across ancestries via multivariate fixed-effects meta-analysis (weighting by the inverse covariance matrix)⁸¹. We also tested for heterogeneity using the multivariate Cochran Q statistic⁸¹. All meta-analyses were conducted using the R package mvmeta (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=mvmeta>).

Data availability. Genome-wide association summary statistics from the multi-ancestry meta-analysis reported in this study will be made available through the DIAGRAM Consortium website (<http://www.diagram-consortium.org/downloads.html>) an acceptance of the manuscript.

Code availability. Analyses were conducted using publicly available software: UCSC liftOver tool (<https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgLiftOver>), MR-MEGA (<https://genomics.ut.ee/en/tools>), METAL (<https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/METAL>), PLINKv1.9 (<https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink/1.9/>), Hail v0.2.107 (<https://hail.is/docs/0.2/index.html>), SHAPEIT4 (<https://odelaneau.github.io/shapeit4/>), Minimac4 (<https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Minimac4>), PLINKv2.0 (<https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink/2.0/>). Analyses were also conducted using the following R packages: meta (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=meta>), ClustImpute (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=ClustImpute>), NbClust (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=NbClust>),

logistf (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=logistf>), and mvmeta (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=mvmeta>).

REFERENCES

- 55 Mitt, M. *et al.* Improved imputation accuracy of rare and low-frequency variants using population-specific high-coverage WGS-based imputation reference panel. *Eur J Hum Genet* **25**, 869-876 (2017).
- 56 Jónsson, H. *et al.* Whole genome characterization of sequence diversity of 15,220 Icelanders. *Sci Data* **4**, 170115 (2017).
- 57 Moon, S. *et al.* The Korea Biobank Array: Design and identification of coding variants associated with blood biochemical traits. *Sci Rep* **9**, 1382 (2019).
- 58 Akiyama, M. *et al.* Characterizing rare and low-frequency height-associated variants in the Japanese population. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4393 (2019).
- 59 Gurdasani, D. *et al.* The African Genome Variation Project shapes medical genetics in Africa. *Nature* **517**, 327-332 (2015).
- 60 Gurdasani, D. *et al.* Uganda genome resource enables insights into population history and genomic discovery in Africa. *Cell* **179**, 984-1002.e1036 (2019).
- 61 Kurki, M. I. *et al.* FinnGen: Unique genetic insights from combining isolated population and national health register data. Preprint at: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/medrxiv/early/2022/03/06/2022.03.03.22271360.full.pdf> (2022).
- 62 Cook, J. P., Mahajan, A. & Morris, A. P. Guidance for the utility of linear models in meta-analysis of genetic association studies of binary phenotypes. *Eur J Hum Genet* **25**, 240-245 (2017).
- 63 Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **47**, 291-295 (2015).
- 64 Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190-2191 (2010).
- 65 Devlin, B. & Roeder, K. Genomic control for association studies. *Biometrics* **55**, 997-1004 (1999).
- 66 Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**, 559-575 (2007).
- 67 International HapMap Consortium. The International HapMap Project. *Nature* **426**, 789-796 (2003).
- 68 Goodarzi, M. O. *et al.* Determination and use of haplotypes: ethnic comparison and association of the lipoprotein lipase gene and coronary artery disease in Mexican-Americans. *Genet Med* **5**, 322-327 (2003).
- 69 Xiang, A. H. *et al.* Evidence for joint genetic control of insulin sensitivity and systolic blood pressure in hispanic families with a hypertensive proband. *Circulation* **103**, 78-83 (2001).
- 70 Cunningham, F. *et al.* Ensembl 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, D988-d995 (2022).
- 71 All of Us Research Program Investigators *et al.* The "All of Us" Research Program. *N Engl J Med* **381**, 668-676 (2019).
- 72 Ramirez, A. H. *et al.* The All of Us Research Program: Data quality, utility, and diversity. *Patterns (N Y)* **3**, 100570 (2022).

- 73 Nagai, A. *et al.* Overview of the BioBank Japan Project: Study design and profile. *J Epidemiol* **27**, S2-s8 (2017).
- 74 Hirata, M. *et al.* Cross-sectional analysis of BioBank Japan clinical data: A large cohort of 200,000 patients with 47 common diseases. *J Epidemiol* **27**, S9-s21 (2017).
- 75 Okada, Y. *et al.* Deep whole-genome sequencing reveals recent selection signatures linked to evolution and disease risk of Japanese. *Nat Commun* **9**, 1631 (2018).
- 76 Tadaka, S. *et al.* 3.5KJPNv2: an allele frequency panel of 3552 Japanese individuals including the X chromosome. *Hum Genome Var* **6**, 28 (2019).
- 77 Delaneau, O., Zagury, J. F., Robinson, M. R., Marchini, J. L. & Dermitzakis, E. T. Accurate, scalable and integrative haplotype estimation. *Nat Commun* **10**, 5436 (2019).
- 78 Das, S. *et al.* Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1284-1287 (2016).
- 79 Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).
- 80 Committee of the Japan Diabetes Society on the Diagnostic Criteria of Diabetes Mellitus *et al.* Report of the committee on the classification and diagnostic criteria of diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Investig* **1**, 212-228 (2010).
- 81 Gasparrini, A., Armstrong, B. & Kenward, M. G. Multivariate meta-analysis for non-linear and other multi-parameter associations. *Stat Med* **31**, 3821-3839 (2012).





