### 1 SARS-CoV-2 convalescence and hybrid immunity elicits mucosal immune responses

2 Olha Puhach<sup>1</sup>, Mathilde Bellon<sup>1</sup>, Kenneth Adea<sup>1</sup>, Meriem Bekliz<sup>1</sup>, Krisztina Hosszu-Fellous<sup>2,3</sup>, Pascale

- 3 Sattonnet<sup>1</sup>, Sophie Coudurier-Boeuf<sup>2,3</sup>, Isabelle Arm-Vernez<sup>2,3</sup>, Laurent Kaiser<sup>2,3</sup>, Isabella Eckerle<sup>1,2</sup>,
- 4 Benjamin Meyer<sup>4</sup>
- 5 Affiliations:
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva,
- 7 Switzerland
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Geneva Centre for Emerging Viral Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland
- 9 <sup>3</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland
- <sup>4</sup>Centre of Vaccinology, Department of Pathology and Immunology, University of Geneva, Geneva,
- 11 Switzerland
- 12
- 13 Corresponding author:
- 14 Benjamin Meyer: <u>benjamin.meyer@unige.ch</u>
- 15 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, mucosal immunity, secretory IgA
- 16 Abstract
- 17 Mucosal antibodies play a key role in the protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection in the upper respiratory

18 tract, and potentially in limiting virus replication and therefore onward transmission. While systemic

- 19 immunity to SARS-CoV-2 is well understood, little is known about the antibodies present on the nasal
- 20 mucosal surfaces.
- 21 In this study, we evaluated SARS-CoV-2 mucosal antibodies in response to infection, vaccination, or a
- 22 combination of both. Paired nasal fluid and serum samples were collected from 136 individuals, which
- 23 include convalescent, vaccinated, or breakthrough infections.
- 24 We detected a high correlation between IgG responses in serum and nasal fluids, which were higher in 25 both compartments in vaccinated compared to convalescent participants. Contrary, nasal and systemic 26 SARS-CoV-2 IgA responses were weakly correlated, indicating a compartmentalization between the local 27 and systemic IgA responses. SARS-CoV-2 secretory component IgA (s-IgA) antibodies, present exclusively 28 on mucosal surfaces, were detected in the nasal fluid only in a minority of vaccinated subjects and were 29 significantly higher in previously infected individuals. s-IgA binding antibodies showed significant 30 correlation with neutralizing activity of nasal fluids against SARS-CoV-2 ancestral B.1 and Omicron-BA.5 31 variant, indicating that s-IgA is the crucial contributor to neutralization in the nasal mucosa. Neutralization 32 against both SARS-CoV-2 strains was higher in the mucosa of subjects with previous SARS-CoV-2 infections 33 compared to vaccinated participants.

- 34 In summary, we demonstrate that currently available vaccines elicit strong systemic antibody responses,
- 35 but SARS-CoV-2 infection generates more potent binding and neutralizing mucosal antibodies. Our results
- 36 support the importance to develop SARS-CoV-2 vaccines that elicit mucosal antibodies.

37 One Sentence Summary: SARS-CoV-2 infection or combination of infection and vaccination (hybrid

- immunity) elicit binding and functional mucosal antibody responses superior of those after systemicvaccination.
- 40 Introduction
- 41 Less than one year after the emergence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-42 2), the causative agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), highly effective COVID-19 vaccines were licensed<sup>1,2</sup>. To date, more than 13 billion doses of COVID-19 vaccines have been administered worldwide, 43 44 significantly reducing the number of severe diseases and hospitalizations<sup>3</sup>. However, breakthrough 45 infections have been reported even for SARS-CoV-2 variants that are antigenically similar to the vaccine 46 strain and more frequently since the emergence of the Omicron variant with its efficient immune evasion properties<sup>4,5</sup>. These results indicate that current vaccines can only temporarily and incompletely reduce 47 the risk of upper respiratory tract (URT) infections<sup>6,7</sup>. In contrast, studies in mice and rhesus macaques 48 49 demonstrated that intranasal immunization leads to complete protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection of the URT, resulting in reduced onward transmission<sup>8,9</sup>. In humans, the level of mucosal IgA antibodies, 50 elicited mainly by previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, was associated with protection from infection with 51
- 52 Omicron subvariants<sup>10</sup>. However, the origin of these mucosal IgA antibodies as well as their functional
- 53 properties have not been investigated in detail.

54 The URT harbours a distinct part of the immune system called the mucosa associated lymphatic tissue 55 (MALT), where local plasma cells secrete multimeric IgA (mainly dimers but also trimers and tetramers), 56 which is transported across the mucosal epithelium by the polymeric immunoglobulin receptor (plgR). 57 During this process the extracellular part of the pIgR, called the secretory component (SC) is bound to the 58 multimeric IgA forming secretory IgA (s-IgA). The main function of s-IgA antibodies is neutralization<sup>11</sup>. In 59 particular, s-IgA dimers were shown to neutralize SARS-CoV-2 on average 15 times more potently than IgA monomers present in serum<sup>12</sup>. Mucosal IgG is either locally produced by B cells in the lamina propria 60 61 and transported across the epithelium by neonatal Fc receptors, or excreted as a transudate from serum 62 together with monomeric IgA antibodies<sup>13</sup>. While potent systemic IgA and IgG responses are shown to be induced by infection and vaccination, mucosal antibody responses are mainly induced by infection<sup>14</sup>. 63 Notably, some studies demonstrated that virus-specific mucosal IgA antibodies were found in some 64 65 seronegative COVID-19 patients, suggesting a discrepancy between local and systemic antibody immune responses<sup>15,16</sup>. In convalescent patients higher SARS-CoV-2-specific mucosal antibodies were associated 66 67 with lower viral loads and more efficient symptom resolution<sup>17</sup>; these antibody responses remained 68 detectable for at least 3 months post infection in saliva<sup>18</sup>, and up to 9 month post infection in nasal secretions<sup>17,19</sup>. 69

- 70 Vaccination was shown to significantly reduce infectious viral loads in breakthrough infections with the
- Alpha variant, but the effect was weaker for breakthrough infections with Delta <sup>20,21</sup>, and even less potent
- for the Omicron BA.1 variant, where the decrease of viral loads was shown only after boosting with a 3<sup>rd</sup>
- vaccine dose<sup>22,23</sup>. However, it remains unclear whether this reduction is caused by mucosal or systemic
- immunity. Even though s-IgA responses were detectable in saliva of some of the vaccinated subjects<sup>24</sup>,
- these responses were significantly lower in comparison to previously infected individuals<sup>25,26</sup>. Additionally,

- 76 most virus-specific antibody titers in saliva and their neutralizing capacity significantly decayed six months
- post vaccination<sup>25</sup>. One study showed that mucosal antibody responses induced by vaccination were low
- or undetectable, but Delta breakthrough infections led to significant increases of antibody titres in saliva<sup>27</sup>.
- 79 According to one study, previous infection, but not vaccination, induced strong IgA responses and
- 80 detectable neutralizing titers against Omicron subvariants in the nasal mucosa<sup>28</sup>.
- 81 In this study we characterized mucosal antibody responses in the URT of convalescent, vaccinated and
- subjects with vaccine breakthrough infections. We quantified and compared the levels of mucosal and
- 83 systemic IgA and IgG responses in these groups as well as analyzed the levels of neutralizing antibodies in
- 84 the nasal lining fluid (NLF).

### 85 Materials and methods

## 86 Study design and participants

87 The study was approved by the Cantonal Ethics Committee at the University Hospital of Geneva (CCER no. 88 2020-02323). All study participants provided written informed consent. NLF and serum samples were 89 collected during a single visit from all the participants from September 2021 to June 2022. The samples 90 were collected from healthy volunteers with no symptoms of respiratory illness. All the sampling 91 procedures were performed by trained healthcare professionals. The information about previous 92 infections (date of infection confirmed by RT-PCR or rapid antigenic test) and vaccination (date of 93 vaccination, number of vaccine doses and the vaccine manufacturer) was collected using a questionnaire 94 during the visit.

- NLF samples were collected using the Nasosorption<sup>™</sup> FX·i nasal sampling device (Hunt Developments, UK)
   as previously described<sup>29</sup>. Briefly, a synthetic absorptive matrix (SAM) strip was inserted in the nostril of
- 97 the participant against the inferior turbinate. After pressing on the side of the nostril for one minute, the 98 SAM strip was removed and placed in the collection tube. The samples were stored at -80°C before
- 99 processing. Nasosorption devices were thawed on ice, the SAM was removed and placed in the collection
- 100 tube with 300 µl of elution buffer (PBS/1% BSA). Following incubation for 10 minutes at room
- 101 temperature, the SAM was placed on the spin-X filter Eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10
- 102 min at 4 °C. Eluted liquid was aliquoted and kept at -80 °C until further use.

# 103 Immunoassays

# 104 Roche Elecsys anti-SARS-CoV-2 S RBD and Roche Elecsys

Elecsys anti-SARS-CoV-2 S and anti-SARS-CoV-2 were used to determine the levels of SARS-CoV-2 specific
 RBD and nucleoprotein antibodies respectively. Antibody measurements were performed on the cobas
 e801 analyser (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) in the clinical laboratory of the University
 Hospital of Geneva. For anti-RBD antibodies, results are reported as concentrations (U/mL) and positivity
 was determined by using the manufacturer's cut-off >0.8 U/mL. For anti-NP antibodies, the positivity was

110 determined with a cut-off index (COI), where COI  $\geq$  1.0 is defined as positive.

# 111 Recombinant proteins

Full-length trimerized SARS-CoV-2 spike (triS) was provided by the EPFL protein production facility.
 Nucleoprotein (NP) was obtained from Prospec Bio.

#### 114 Human total IgA ELISA

- 115 The levels of total IgA in NLF were measured by IgA Human Uncoated ELISA Kit (Catalog # 88-50600,
- 116 Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. NLF samples were diluted to 1:1000 and 1:10000 in
- 117 assay buffer prior to measurement. The standard curve was generated from recombinant human IgA using
- 118 four-parameter logistic (4PL) regression model. The relative IgA concentration (ng/mL) of test samples
- 119 was determined according to the dynamic range of the standard curve by interpolating the concentration
- 120 of the standards that correspond to the absorbance value.
- 121 To normalize all SARS-CoV-2 IgA levels in NLF samples to total IgA, a correction factor calculated by
- dividing the mean of total IgA for all samples by the sample's total IgA was applied to all the NLF samples.

# 123 IgA and IgG Anti-SARS-CoV-2 triS ELISA

Maxisorp plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were coated with SARS-CoV-2 full triS protein diluted in 124 125 Phosphate-Buffered Saline (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a concentration of 2µg/ml. 50 µl of diluted antigen 126 was added to each well and incubated overnight at 4°C. To control for unspecific binding half of the plate 127 was coated with PBS only. Following three washes with washing buffer (PBS/0.1% tween-20), plates were 128 blocked for one hour at 37°C with assay buffer (PBS/1% BSA/0.1% tween-20). Human IgA SARS-CoV-2 S 129 monoclonal antibodies (IgA1 AR222, Geneva Antibody Facility) were serially diluted in assay buffer (3-fold 130 serial dilutions from 300 ng/ml to 0.41 ng/ml) and added to each plate to generate a relative IgA anti-S 131 standard curve. Human IgG SARS-CoV-2 S monoclonal antibody (IgG1 AR222, Geneva Antibody Facility) 132 (3-fold serial dilutions from 100ng/ml to 4.6 pg/ml) was used to generate IgG anti-S standard curve. For 133 IgA anti-SARS-CoV-2 tris ELISA, 3-fold dilutions from 1:5 to 1:45 in assay buffer prepared for NLF and 1:100, 134 1:900, and 1:2700 dilutions in assay buffer prepared for serum samples). For IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2 triS 135 ELISA, 9-fold dilutions were prepared for serum (1:100 to 1:24300) and 3-fold dilutions were prepared for 136 NLF (1:15 to 1:45). 50  $\mu$ l of standard and sample dilutions in duplicates were added to coated and 137 uncoated wells and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. Plates were washed four times and 50  $\mu$ l of Peroxidase 138 AffiniPure Goat Anti-Human Serum IgA (Cat. # 109-035-011, Jackson ImmunoResearch) for IgA ELISA or 139 Peroxidase AffiniPure F(ab')<sub>2</sub> Fragment Goat Anti-Human IgG (109-036-098 , Jackson) antibodies for IgG 140 ELISA at a 1:5000 dilution were added to all wells. After 1 hour of incubation at 37°C and four washes and 141 plates were developed with 3,3',5,5'Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 minutes in the dark. The reactions were stopped with 1N sulfuric acid. The developed plates were read at 450 nm 142 wavelength. The absorbance values measured from uncoated wells were subtracted from values obtained 143 144 from antigen-coated wells. The SARS-CoV-2 IgA standard curve was generated from the human IgA SARS-145 CoV-2 S mAb using a 4PL regression model. The relative anti-S IgA concentrations (ng/mL) of test samples were determined by interpolation of optical density values on a standard curve. The mean + 3SD of eleven 146 147 negative samples was used to set a cut-off for anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgA and IgG in NLF. The mean + 3SD of 56 148 or 48 pre-pandemic samples was used to set a cut-off in serum for IgA anti-SARS-CoV-2 or IgG anti-SARS-149 CoV-2 respectively.

# 150 Multiplex immunoassay

151 For s-IgA analysis in NLF, a fluorescent-bead-based multiplex immunoassay was developed. Full-length

- 152 His-tagged SARS-CoV-2 Spike and Nucleoprotein were each coupled to MagPlex carboxylated polystyrene
- 153 microparticles using xMAP <sup>®</sup> Antibody Coupling Kit (Luminex) following manufacturer's instructions.

Antigen-conjugated microspheres were resuspended at a concentration of 1x10<sup>4</sup>/ml and incubated in 154 155 assay buffer (PBS/1% BSA/0.1% tween-20) for 1 hour at room temperature. A total of 4 NLF samples 156 collected from a subject with previously confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were pooled together and used 157 to create standard curves for SARS-CoV-2 triS and NP s-IgA. 3-fold serial dilutions were performed for NLF 158 samples (1:3 to 1:81 in assay buffer). Diluted samples and standards were incubated with antigen-coupled 159 microspheres for 2 hours while shaking at 800 rpm. Following 3 washes with PBS/0.1% BSA/0.1% tween-160 20, mouse anti-Human IgA secretory component antibodies (Catalog # ABIN6155159, Antibodies online) 161 was added to microspheres and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Following three washes the 162 data was acquired on Bio-Plex<sup>®</sup> MAGPIX<sup>™</sup> Multiplex Reader. MFI was converted to arbitrary units (AU/mI) 163 by interpolation from a log-4PL-parameter logistic standard curve (3000 AU/ml to 4.12 AU/ml). Samples

- 164 with values of less than 12 AU/ml were considered negative and an arbitrary value of 6 AU/ml was
- assigned to these samples. The mean + 3SD of eleven negative samples was used to set a cut-off for NLF.

# 166 Viruses and cells

Vero E6-TMPRSS cells (Catalog # 100978, National Institute for Biological Standards and Controls) were
 cultured in complete DMEM GlutaMAX medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1× non-essential amino
 acids and 1% antibiotics (penicillin–streptomycin) (all reagents from Gibco).

All SARS-CoV-2 viruses used in this study were isolated from residual nasopharyngeal swabs collected from patients at the University Hospital of Geneva under general informed consent that allows the usage of anonymized left-over materials. All patient specimens from which isolates were obtained were fully sequenced. The SARS-CoV-2 B.1 variant was isolated and propagated on Vero-E6 cells. The Omicron-BA.5 variant was primarily isolated on Vero-TMPRSS cells, then transferred to Vero-E6 for generation of virus stock. All virus stocks were titrated on Vero E6-TMPRSS cells and fully sequenced. All infection

176 experiments were performed under Biosafety Level 3 conditions.

### 177 Focus Reduction Neutralization Assays

Serially diluted NLF and SARS-CoV-2 (50 focus-forming units) were combined in serum-free Opti-Pro medium (Gibco) and DMEM + 1%FBS (Corning Cellgro) and incubated at 37°C for one hour. The antibodyvirus mixture was added to a monolayer of Vero E6-TMPRSS cells and incubated at 37°C for one hour. After 1 hour at 37 °C, the media were removed, and pre-warmed medium mixed with 2.4% Avicel (DuPont) at a 1:1 ratio was overlaid. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours and then fixed using 6% paraformaldehyde for 1 hour at room temperature. The staining for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein was

- 184 done as described previously<sup>22</sup>.
- 185 The 50% reduction endpoint titers (FRNT<sub>50</sub>) were calculated by fitting a 4-PL logistics curve with variable
- 186 slope to the number of foci of each NLF using GraphPad Prism version 9.1.0. If the extrapolation reached
- a titer below 0.5, the value of 0.5 was attributed to the sample.

# 188 Statistical analysis

- 189 Data collection was done using Excel 2019. All statistical analyses were performed using Prism version
- 190 9.3.1 (GraphPad). All IgA and IgG antibody titers were log<sub>10</sub> transformed, and samples with no detectable
- 191 antibodies were set to 1 ng/ml for the purpose of analysis. Differences between antibody titers between
- 192 the different groups were analyzed with one-way ANOVA with Tukey test. Correlations between antibody
- 193 titers were analyzed using Pearson's rank test.

- 194 Abbreviations:
- 195 NLF nasal lining fluid
- 196 triS trimeric Spike
- 197 NP Nucleoprotein
- 198 Results

#### 199 Study design and participants

200 In this study we determined the quantity and quality of SARS-CoV-2-specific mucosal antibodies in 201 individuals that have only been vaccinated or infected, or have hybrid immunity and compared them to 202 the systemic responses. A total of 143 adults were recruited between September 2021 and June 2022. 203 Paired nasal lining fluid (NLF) and serum samples were collected during a single visit from all study 204 participants. 11 participants, which had not been vaccinated and never tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, 205 served as a negative control group. 29 and 25 participants had been vaccinated with 2 or 3 doses, 206 respectively, but never tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. 21 participants had been tested positive for SARS-207 CoV-2 previously, but were not vaccinated. 31 and 26 participants tested positive after having received 208 1/2 or 3 doses of COVID-19 vaccine, respectively. All groups had a similar median age ranging between 209 28.5 and 39 years. Percentage of female participants was slightly higher in the negative, convalescent and 210 vaccinated (2 doses) groups. All participants reported mild to moderated disease at the time of infection. 211 We used days since the last immune response (DLIR) either elicited by infection or vaccination to compare the different groups. Median DLIR was 68 days for convalescent, 176 and 70 days for individuals 212 213 vaccinated with 2 or 3 doses, respectively, and 44 or 47.5 days for vaccine breakthrough after 1/2 or 3 214 doses respectively (Table 1). Sequence information to determine the infecting variant was only available 215 for a minority of participants. Nevertheless, in case only one variant circulated at the time of the positive 216 test, we assumed that this variant infected the participant. If more than one variant circulated at the time, 217 we marked the infecting variant as unknown. More details on the type of vaccinations received and the 218 time between sampling, vaccination and infection are shown in **Table S1**.

219 To ensure that participants were assigned to the correct group, we tested serum samples for the presence 220 of SARS-CoV-2-specific anti- nucleoprotein (NP) and receptor-binding domain (RBD) antibodies using the 221 Roche Elecsys N and S assays. Highest anti-RBD serum responses were detected in participants vaccinated 222 with 3 doses and with hybrid immunity, irrespective of the number of vaccine doses received. Participants 223 vaccinated with 2 doses or convalescent individuals had lower anti-RBD titers, while no anti-RBD 224 antibodies were detected in the negative group (Figure S1A). Anti-NP responses were only detected in 225 convalescent individuals or participants with hybrid immunity, but not in negative or vaccinated 226 participants confirming the absence of previous infection in these groups (Figure S1B). Therefore, we used 227 samples from the negative cohort to determine the assay background and define the cut-offs of positivity 228 for antibody responses in NLF. Since IgA concentrations in NLF might vary between individuals and 229 between sampling we measured the level of total IgA. We found no significant differences of total IgA in 230 the NLF of all groups compared to the negative control group, confirming that sampling of NLF by SAM strips leads to low sampling variability (Figure S1C). Nevertheless, to avoid a possible sampling bias on 231 232 individual level, we used the levels of total IgA to normalize the levels of SARS-CoV-2-specific IgA and s-233 IgA antibodies in NLF.

#### 234 Distinct nasal and systemic IgA responses.

First, we investigated whether there are differences between antibodies present at the nasal mucosa and 235 236 those circulating the blood. Therefore, we measured the levels of IgA and IgG antibodies responses to 237 trimeric spike (triS) protein of SARS-CoV-2 (strain: Wuhan-HU-1) in serum and NLF using an ELISA. We 238 detected only a low correlation between levels of anti-triS IgA antibodies in serum and NLF (Pearson 239 r=0.23, p=0.0082), but a high correlation between anti-triS IgG responses in serum and NLF (Pearson 240 r=0.75, p<0.0001) (Figure 1A and B). These findings indicate that while SARS-CoV-2 IgG mucosal and 241 systemic responses are highly comparable, there is a compartmentalization between IgA responses in 242 mucosa and serum.

## 243 SARS-CoV-2 mucosal antibodies in response to infection and vaccination

244 Next, we investigated the level of mucosal antibodies induced by vaccination or infection by analyzing 245 anti-triS IgA responses in NLF of vaccinated and convalescent subjects. In most of vaccinated subjects, NLF 246 IgA responses were below the cut-off of positivity (21 out of 29 double-vaccinated and 15 of 25 in triple-247 vaccinated below the cut-off) and there was no difference if participants had received 2 or 3 doses despite the higher median DLIR in double-vaccinated individuals (176 vs 70 days). In contrast, positive IgA 248 249 responses were detected in NLF of most of the convalescent individuals (16 out of 21 above cut-off), and 250 they were significantly higher in comparison to vaccinated subjects (Figure 2A). Conversely, anti-triS IgA 251 responses were moderately higher in serum of vaccinated subjects that had received 2 or 3 doses 252 compared to the convalescent group, but the difference was not significant (Figure 2B).

253 To exclude that the observed differences in IgA are biased by exudate of serum IgA, we analyzed the levels 254 of locally-produced anti-triS s-IgA in NLF. Notably, we observed a strong and significant correlation 255 between anti-triS IgA and anti-triS s-IgA responses in NLF (Pearson r=0.64, p<0.0001) (Supplementary 256 figure 2) indicating that the majority of IgA measured in NLF is locally produced s-IgA. Among most of the 257 subjects who received two or three vaccine doses, the detected levels of Spike-specific s-IgA responses 258 were either below the cut-off of positivity or they were very low (24 out of 29 double-vaccinated and 18 259 of 25 in triple-vaccinated below the cut-off), whereas previous infection elicited significantly higher antitriS s-IgA responses in comparison to vaccination (15 out of 21 above cut-off) (Figure 2C). Similar to anti-260 261 triS IgA responses, there also was no significant difference of anti-triS s-IgA between vaccinated subjects which received two or three vaccine doses, indicating that a 3<sup>rd</sup> vaccine dose does not boost local s-IgA 262 263 responses.

We then analyzed the influence of vaccination and infection on mucosal and systemic IgG responses. We detected significantly higher anti-triS IgG responses in NLF of vaccinated participants compared to the convalescent group, while among vaccinated individuals higher anti-triS IgG titers were found in subjects who received 3 vaccine doses (**Figure 2D**). A similar pattern was observed in serum anti-triS IgG responses, confirming the highly similar profiles of SARS-CoV-2 IgG responses in nasal mucosa and serum (**Figure 2E**).

### 269 Influence of hybrid immunity on mucosal antibody responses

To evaluate the impact of hybrid immunity on mucosal antibody responses, we compared antibody titers between convalescent participants and vaccinated participants which were subsequently infected. We detected moderately higher anti-triS IgA responses in NLF of individuals with hybrid immunity in comparison to convalescent subjects, however these were significantly higher only in subjects that have

received three vaccine doses (**Figure 3A**). Serum anti-triS IgA responses were significantly higher in subjects with hybrid immunity after 1/2 or 3 doses in comparison to convalescent subjects (**Figure 3B**). To assess the influence of hybrid immunity on the locally-generated immune responses, we determined the levels of anti-triS s-IgA in these groups. Moderately elevated levels of anti-triS s-IgA were detected in individuals with hybrid immunity compared to convalescent subjects, however these were only significant in subjects that received 1/2 vaccine doses (**Figure 3C**). These results suggest that vaccination before

280 infection has only a moderate impact on locally-generated immune responses.

We then evaluated the impact of hybrid immunity on local and systemic IgG responses. Anti-triS IgG responses in NLF were significantly higher in vaccine breakthroughs in comparison to convalescent participants (**Figure 3D**). Similar to NLF, anti-triS IgG serum responses were also significantly elevated in breakthroughs in comparison to convalescent participants (**Figure 3E**). These results suggest that while vaccination boosts local IgG responses, it has rather limited impact on local IgA responses.

286 We also examined if anti-NP s-IgA responses are induced by infection in NLF and compared them to anti-287 triS antibody titers. Remarkably, there was only weak correlation between anti-triS and anti-NP s-IgA 288 mucosal responses in individuals with previous infection (Pearson r=0.19; p=0.1) (Figure S3A). In the 289 majority of subjects with previous infection anti-NP s-IgA responses in NLF were below the cut-off of 290 positivity (17 out of 21 in convalescent, 24 out of 31 in subjects with hybrid immunity after 1/2 doses and 291 17 of 26 in subjects with hybrid immunity after 3 doses and) and there was no significant difference 292 between convalescent subjects and vaccine breakthroughs (Figure S3B), suggesting that anti-NP s-IgA 293 responses are either produced at very low levels or wane quickly.

### 294 Neutralization capacity of mucosal antibodies

Last, we asked how efficient mucosal antibodies neutralize the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 strain and the 295 296 Omicron BA.5 variant. Therefore, we performed a focus reduction neutralization test (FRNT) using NLF 297 from participants that were only vaccinated with three vaccine doses and subjects with hybrid immunity 298 acquired either by infection with the Delta or Omicron BA.1 variant after vaccination. Individuals with 299 hybrid immunity had significantly higher neutralizing antibody titers against the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 300 strain in NLF compared to vaccinated individuals independently of the infecting variant (Figure 4A left 301 panel). Next, we wanted to assess whether individuals with Delta and Omicron BA.1 derived hybrid 302 immunity were better protected against infection with Omicron BA.5 compared to vaccinated subjects. We observed significantly higher neutralization capacity against Omicron BA.5 in subjects that were 303 304 previously infected with Omicron BA.1 (Figure 4B, left panel). Since neutralization is measured isotype 305 independent in our assay, we wanted to determine the contribution of mucosal s-IgA. Therefore, we 306 correlated neutralizing antibody titers against the ancestral strain and Omicron BA.5 with s-IgA binding 307 antibodies directed against triS. Anti-triS s-IgA binding antibodies in NLF showed a moderate to strong 308 correlation with neutralizing activity against both SARS-CoV-2 strains (Figure 4A and B, right panel). These 309 findings indicate that s-IgA is a pivotal contributor to neutralization in the mucosa.

### 310 Discussion

311 In this study, we investigated mucosal antibody responses following COVID-19 vaccination, infection with

- 312 SARS-CoV-2 or infection in vaccinated individuals, i.e. hybrid immunity. We demonstrated that s-IgA
- antibodies, which are present only on mucosal surfaces and not in systemic circulation, are the main
- 314 contributor to neutralization in the mucosa. Additionally, we have shown that s-IgA responses are

significantly elevated in subjects with previous infection, whereas vaccination-induced s-IgA responses 315 316 are rarely detected and only at a very low level. Moreover, we found significantly higher neutralization 317 titers against the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 strain in individuals with hybrid immunity compared to vaccinated 318 subjects, providing evidence that previous infection elicits more potent functional mucosal antibodies. 319 Interestingly, infection with Omicron BA.1 or Delta led to a similar neutralization capacity against Omicron BA.5 despite the smaller antigenic distance between BA.1 and BA.5, suggesting that the infecting variant 320 321 is less important for protection. Contrary, in a study by Malato et al. a higher protection against BA.5 322 infection was found in individuals previously infected with BA.1/2 compared to Delta. However, in this 323 study the difference in protection from Omicron BA.5 infection by previous infection with BA.1/2 or Delta was small and potential increased waning of immunity in Delta infected participants due to the longer 324 325 time span was not accounted for<sup>30</sup>.

Our results show that mucosal and systemic IgG responses are highly similar, whereas mucosal IgA responses are compartmentalized from systemic responses. This is consistent with previous studies that show the discordance of local and systemic SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses<sup>15,16,31</sup>. In convalescent subjects, neutralization activity poorly correlated between nasopharyngeal and blood samples <sup>16,31</sup>. Interestingly, potent antibody responses in nasal fluids were found in some seronegative participants<sup>15,16</sup>, indicating that in some cases SARS-CoV-2 infections lead only to a local but no systemic response.

332 We demonstrated that currently available intramuscularly-administered vaccines have a limited impact 333 on SARS-CoV-2 specific mucosal responses. These results go in line with another study showing that vaccination efficiently boosts nasal IgG responses whereas nasal IgA responses are only transiently 334 335 increased, and rapidly decline after vaccination<sup>19</sup>. In another study vaccination did not generate 336 detectable neutralizing mucosal antibodies and only breakthrough infections in vaccinated subjects resulted in measurable neutralization<sup>28</sup>. To date, the presence of SARS-CoV-2 s-IgA responses were 337 338 detected in saliva and breastmilk of some individuals upon vaccination<sup>32</sup>. However, the levels of s-IgA 339 antibodies in most subjects, who received mRNA vaccines was very low or below the detection limit<sup>26</sup>. 340 According to one study, anti-triS s-IgA responses were detected in saliva in 30% of subjects after two doses 341 of mRNA vaccines, and the detected levels were significantly lower compared to convalescent patients<sup>25</sup>. 342 It remains unknown how mucosal s-IgA responses can be induced upon intramuscular vaccination. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein was detected in plasma after mRNA vaccination, and the clearance of this antigen 343 344 correlated with the production of IgA antibodies, indicating that this antigen could reach the MALT to further induce mucosal antibody responses<sup>33</sup>. Alternatively, after vaccination antigen diffuses to the 345 346 regional draining lymph nodes, where it is taken up by local antigen-presenting cells, that can further 347 migrate to the MALT and activate B cells that generate s-IgA antibodies<sup>34</sup>.

348 Here we show that s-IgA is an important contributor to neutralization in the NLF. Similarly, it has been 349 demonstrated that IgA antibodies in nasal secretions are most strongly correlated with SARS-CoV-2 350 neutralization<sup>35</sup>. Another study has shown that depletion of IgA from nasal wash samples lead to a reduction of the neutralization capacity<sup>31</sup>. One study demonstrated that higher levels of mucosal IgA but 351 352 not IgG antibodies correlated with lower levels of viral replication and lower risk of infection with 353 Omicron<sup>36</sup>. Furthermore, increased disease severity and mortality was identified among patients with IgA deficiency<sup>37</sup>. However, further studies which would evaluate the role of pre-existing immunity on SARS-354 355 CoV-2 shedding and infection rates are currently missing. A recent study evaluated the effect of different 356 vaccine administration routes on viral transmission in hamsters. Intranasally-administered adenovirus-357 vector vaccine or infection lead to significantly lower cumulative shedding or airborne transmission in

comparison to intramuscular vaccine administration<sup>38</sup>. Indeed, mucosally-administered vaccines are 358 359 proposed as a strategy to reduce onward transmission. In our study, we detected the most potent local 360 and systemic antibody responses in subjects with hybrid immunity. Heterologous vaccination strategies 361 that mimic hybrid immunity by giving a systemic prime through intramuscular vaccination followed by a 362 mucosal boost, by intranasal vaccination, were shown to induce robust T and B cell immunity in the respiratory mucosa<sup>39,40</sup>. It is currently unknown how long mucosal antibodies titres induced by mucosal 363 vaccination remain sufficiently elevated to protect from SARS-CoV-2 infection. A recent study 364 365 demonstrated that protection mediated by mucosal IgA antibodies lasted at least for 8 months following 366 SARS-CoV-2 infection<sup>10</sup>.

- This study has some limitations. All participants were sampled only at a single time point, therefore we compared antibody responses between different individuals rather than following the same individuals to compare changes of antibody responses over time or after subsequent immune reactions. Moreover, the sampling time points were not identical for all the participants within each group. However, all groups have similar age and sex distribution validating our conclusions. Most of the study participants were young adults, which might present more potent immune responses in comparison to older subjects, therefore these results cannot be extrapolated across age groups. Furthermore, all of the participants received
- 374 mRNA vaccines, while mucosal antibody responses to other types of COVID-19 vaccines, mainly used in
- the low- and middle-income countries, have not yet been investigated.
- While serum neutralization titers are highly predictive of immune protection from COVID-19 disease,correlates of protection from infection and transmission are not well defined. In this study we have shown
- 378 that prior infection leads to more robust mucosal binding and neutralizing antibody responses, with s-IgA
- 379 seemingly to play a crucial role. Therefore, the development of vaccines that elicit strong and lasting
- 380 mucosal antibody responses would be vital to curtail infectious shedding and further transmission.

# 381 Acknowledgements

- 382 We thank Pauline Vetter, Morgann Duverger, Rachel Goldstein and Christiane Eberhardt for their help
- 383 with clinical sample collection. We thank Florence Pojer, Kelvin Lau, and David Hacker from the EPFL
- Protein Production Facility for providing us with the purified SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. We thank the staff
- of the laboratory of virology at the HUG for support. We are grateful for the patients who were willing to
- 386 donate their samples and agree to participate in our research.

# 387 Funding

The work was funded by the COVID-19 National Research Program (grant number 198412) of the SwissNational Science Foundation.

# 390 Author contributions

- O.P., I.E. and B.M. conceptualized the study. O.P., K.H.F., Ma.B. and Me.B. conducted the recruitment of
- 392 participants. O.P., Ma.B., K.H.F. and Me.B. collected the clinical samples. O.P., K.A, Me.B. P.S., S.C.B, I.A.V
- performed the laboratory experiments. O.P., I.E. and B.M. analyzed and interpreted the data. I.E. and B.M.
- 394 supervised the work. O.P., I.E. and B.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final draft.
- 395
- 396

#### 397 References

- Baden, L.R., *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 384, 403-416 (2021).
- Polack, F.P., et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med 383,
  2603-2615 (2020).
- Feikin, D.R., et al. Duration of effectiveness of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID19 disease: results of a systematic review and meta-regression. *Lancet* **399**, 924-944 (2022).
- 4044.Bekliz, M., et al. Neutralization capacity of antibodies elicited through homologous or405heterologous infection or vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 VOCs. Nat Commun 13, 3840 (2022).
- 4065.Carreño, J.M., et al. Activity of convalescent and vaccine serum against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron.407Nature 602, 682-688 (2022).
- 4086.Powell, A.A., et al. Protection against symptomatic infection with delta (B.1.617.2) and omicron409(B.1.1.529) BA.1 and BA.2 SARS-CoV-2 variants after previous infection and vaccination in410adolescents in England, August, 2021-March, 2022: a national, observational, test-negative, case-411control study. Lancet Infect Dis (2022).
- Nielsen, K.F., et al. Vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection during periods of Alpha,
  Delta, or Omicron dominance: A Danish nationwide study. *PLoS Med* 19, e1004037 (2022).
- 4148.Hassan, A.O., et al. A Single-Dose Intranasal ChAd Vaccine Protects Upper and Lower Respiratory415Tracts against SARS-CoV-2. Cell 183, 169-184.e113 (2020).
- 416 9. Afkhami, S., et al. Respiratory mucosal delivery of next-generation COVID-19 vaccine provides
  417 robust protection against both ancestral and variant strains of SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* 185, 896418 915.e819 (2022).
- 419 10. Marking, U., *et al.* 7-month duration of SARS-CoV-2 mucosal immunoglobulin-A responses and
  420 protection. *Lancet Infect Dis* 23, 150-152 (2023).
- Mostaghimi, D., Valdez, C.N., Larson, H.T., Kalinich, C.C. & Iwasaki, A. Prevention of host-to-host
   transmission by SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2021).
- 423 12. Wang, Z., et al. Enhanced SARS-CoV-2 neutralization by dimeric IgA. Sci Transl Med **13**(2021).
- 42413.Iwasaki, A. Exploiting Mucosal Immunity for Antiviral Vaccines. Annu Rev Immunol 34, 575-608425(2016).
- 42614.Russell, M.W. & Mestecky, J. Mucosal immunity: The missing link in comprehending SARS-CoV-2427infection and transmission. Front Immunol 13, 957107 (2022).
- 42815.Cervia, C., et al. Systemic and mucosal antibody responses specific to SARS-CoV-2 during mild429versus severe COVID-19. J Allergy Clin Immunol 147, 545-557.e549 (2021).
- 430 16. Smith, N., et al. Distinct systemic and mucosal immune responses during acute SARS-CoV-2
  431 infection. *Nature Immunology* 22, 1428-1439 (2021).
- 432 17. Fröberg, J., et al. SARS-CoV-2 mucosal antibody development and persistence and their relation
  433 to viral load and COVID-19 symptoms. *Nature Communications* 12, 5621 (2021).
- 18. Isho, B., et al. Persistence of serum and saliva antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike antigens
  in COVID-19 patients. *Sci Immunol* 5(2020).
- 43619.Liew, F., et al. Nasal IgA wanes 9 months after hospitalisation with COVID-19 and is not induced437by subsequent vaccination. medRxiv, 2022.2009.2009.22279759 (2022).
- 438 20. Pouwels, K.B., *et al.* Effect of Delta variant on viral burden and vaccine effectiveness against new
  439 SARS-CoV-2 infections in the UK. *Nature Medicine* 27, 2127-2135 (2021).
- Singanayagam, A., et al. Community transmission and viral load kinetics of the SARS-CoV-2 delta
  (B.1.617.2) variant in vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals in the UK: a prospective,
  longitudinal, cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2021).

- Puhach, O., et al. Infectious viral load in unvaccinated and vaccinated individuals infected with
  ancestral, Delta or Omicron SARS-CoV-2. Nat Med (2022).
- Woodbridge, Y., Amit, S., Huppert, A. & Kopelman, N.M. Viral load dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 Delta
  and Omicron variants following multiple vaccine doses and previous infection. *Nature Communications* 13, 6706 (2022).
- 448 24. Ketas, T.J., et al. Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccines Are Detectable in Saliva.
  449 Pathog Immun 6, 116-134 (2021).
- Sheikh-Mohamed, S., et al. Systemic and mucosal IgA responses are variably induced in response
  to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination and are associated with protection against subsequent
  infection. *Mucosal Immunology* (2022).
- 453 26. Sano, K., et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination induces mucosal antibody responses in previously infected
  454 individuals. *Nature Communications* 13, 5135 (2022).
- 455 27. Collier, A.Y., *et al.* Characterization of immune responses in fully vaccinated individuals after 456 breakthrough infection with the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant. *Sci Transl Med* **14**, eabn6150 (2022).
- 457 28. Planas, D., *et al.* Duration of BA.5 neutralization in sera and nasal swabs from SARS-CoV-2 458 vaccinated individuals, with or without omicron breakthrough infection. *Med (N Y)* (2022).
- Thwaites, R.S., *et al.* Absorption of Nasal and Bronchial Fluids: Precision Sampling of the Human
  Respiratory Mucosa and Laboratory Processing of Samples. *J Vis Exp* (2018).
- 461 30. Malato, J., et al. Risk of BA.5 Infection among Persons Exposed to Previous SARS-CoV-2 Variants.
  462 N Engl J Med (2022).
- 46331.Butler, S.E., et al. Distinct Features and Functions of Systemic and Mucosal Humoral Immunity464Among SARS-CoV-2 Convalescent Individuals. Front Immunol 11, 618685 (2020).
- 465 32. Gonçalves, J., *et al.* Secretory IgA and T cells targeting SARS-CoV-2 spike protein are transferred 466 to the breastmilk upon mRNA vaccination. *Cell Rep Med* **2**, 100468 (2021).
- 467 33. Ogata, A.F., *et al.* Circulating Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)
  468 Vaccine Antigen Detected in the Plasma of mRNA-1273 Vaccine Recipients. *Clin Infect Dis* 74, 715469 718 (2022).
- 47034.Su, F., Patel, G.B., Hu, S. & Chen, W. Induction of mucosal immunity through systemic471immunization: Phantom or reality? *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **12**, 1070-1079 (2016).
- 47235.Wright, P.F., et al. Longitudinal Systemic and Mucosal Immune Responses to SARS-CoV-2473Infection. J Infect Dis (2022).
- 47436.Havervall, S., et al. Anti-Spike Mucosal IgA Protection against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Infection. N475Engl J Med **387**, 1333-1336 (2022).
- 47637.Meyts, I., et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in patients with inborn errors of immunity: An477international study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 147, 520-531 (2021).
- 47838.Port, J.R., et al. Infection- or vaccine mediated immunity reduces SARS-CoV-2 transmission, but479increases competitiveness of Omicron in hamsters. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2007.2029.502072 (2022).
- 48039.Lapuente, D., et al. Protective mucosal immunity against SARS-CoV-2 after heterologous systemic481prime-mucosal boost immunization. Nature Communications 12, 6871 (2021).
- 482 40. Mao, T., et al. Unadjuvanted intranasal spike vaccine elicits protective mucosal immunity against
  483 sarbecoviruses. Science 378, eabo2523 (2022).

Table 1: Participants characteristics										
Group	Negative	Vaccinated (2 doses)	Vaccinated (3 doses)	Convalescent	Hybrid Immunity (1 or 2 doses)	Hybrid Immunity (3 doses)				
Group description	Non- vaccinated, never tested positive, NP negative	Never tested positive, anti- NP negative, anti-S positive	Never tested positive	Non- vaccinated, tested positive	Infection after 1 or 2 doses of vaccine	Infection after 3 doses of vaccine				
Number of patients	11	29	25	21	31	26				
Age: Median (range)	28.5 (24- 46)	33 (24-59)	37.5 (24 -76)	32 (23-64)	39 (25-59)	37.5 (23-55)				
Sex: Females (%)	8 (72.7 %)	20 (69 %)	13 (52 %)	16 (76.2 %)	16 (51.6%)	15 (57.7 %)				
No of participants with >1 infection	na	na	na	2	8	3				
Days since last immune response, days, median (IQR)	na	176 (163 – 210)	70 (50 - 123)	68 (38.25 - 364.75)	44 (29.5 - 54)	47.5 (38.25 - 56.25)				
Nr. of subjects with unknown date of infection	na	na	na	3	-	-				



502

Figure 1. Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 IgA and IgG antibody responses in NLF and serum. A,B. Correlation between anti-triS serum and NLF IgA (A) and IgG (B) antibody titers (log<sub>10</sub> ng/ml) of samples collected from convalescent, vaccinated and subjects with hybrid immunity. NLF triS IgA titers normalized to the levels of total IgA from the same sample. Pearson correlation coefficient and p values are shown.

507



#### 509

Figure 2. Mucosal and serum antibody responses in subjects with previous infection compared to vaccinated only. A, B. Anti-triS IgA (log<sub>10</sub> ng/ml) measured in NLF (A) and serum (B) of vaccinated with 2 or 3 doses, or convalescent. (C) Anti-triS s-IgA (log<sub>10</sub> AU/ml) antibody titers in NLF of vaccinated with 2 or 3 doses, or convalescent. D,E. Anti-triS IgG (log<sub>10</sub> ng/ml) measured in NLF (D) and serum (E) of vaccinated with 2 or 3 doses, or convalescent. One-way ANOVA with Tukey test was used to determine differences of means, p values are shown above brackets. The mean + 3SD of 11 negative samples was used to set a cut-off for anti-triS IgA, s-IgA and IgG in NLF. The mean + 3SD of 56 pre-pandemic serum samples was used to set a cut-off for anti-triS IgA in serum samples. The mean + 3SD of 48 pre-pandemic serum samples was used to set a cut-off for antitriS IgG in serum samples.



#### 517

**Figure 3. Mucosal and serum antibody responses in convalescent compared to subjects with hybrid immunity.** A, B. Anti-triS IgA (log<sub>10</sub> ng/ml) measured in NLF (A) and serum (B) of convalescent or subjects with hybrid immunity. (C) Anti-triS s-IgA (log<sub>10</sub> AU/ml) antibody titers in NLF of convalescent or subjects with hybrid immunity. D,E. Anti-triS IgG measured in NLF (D) and serum (E) of convalescent or subjects with hybrid immunity. One-way ANOVA with Tukey test was used to determine differences of means, p values are shown above brackets. The mean + 3SD of 11 negative samples was used to set a cut-off for anti-triS IgA, s-IgA and IgG in NLF. The mean + 3SD of 56 pre-pandemic serum samples was used to set a cut-off for anti-triS IgA in serum samples. The mean + 3SD of 48 pre-pandemic serum samples was used to set a cut-off for anti-triS IgG in serum samples.



525



immunity. (A) Each dot represents the neutralizing titer (FRNT<sub>50</sub>) of an individual NLF sample against SARS CoV-2 ancestral (A) and Omicron BA.5 variant (B). One-way ANOVA with Tukey test was used to determine

529 differences of means, p values are shown above brackets. Anti-triS s-IgA and IgG titers in NLF from the

530 same subjects were correlated with FRNT<sub>50</sub> neutralizing titers against SARS-CoV-2 ancestral (A) and

531 Omicron BA.5 variant (B). Pearson correlation coefficient and p values are shown.

# 533 Supplementary material

#### 

Table S1	Participants characteristics (extended)								
Group	Negative	Vaccinated (2 doses)	Vaccinated (3 doses)	Convalescent	Hybrid Immunity (1 or 2 doses)	Hybrid Immunity (3 doses)			
Interval vaccination to infection, days, mean (IQR)	na	na	na	na	170 (144.5 -197)	64 (35 – 111)			
Interval vaccination to sampling, days, median (IQR)	na	176 (163 – 210)	70 (50- 123)	na	222 (195-248.5)	108 (82 – 158)			
Interval infection to sampling, days, median (IQR)	na	na	na	68 (38.25 - 364.75)	44 (29.5 - 54)	47.5 (38.25 - 56.25)			
Date of infection is not known (nr of patients)	na	na	na	3	-	-			
Latest Infecting variant									
Pre-VOC	na	na	na	1	-	-			
Delta	na	na	na	3	13	2			
Omicron (BA.1/BA.2)	na	na	na	8	9	20			
Unknown variant	na	na	na	9	9	4			
Vaccine									
BNT162b2	na	14	16	na	14	15			
mRNA-1273	na	15	4	na	15	5			
AZD1222 (2 doses) + BNT162b2 (Booster)	na		2	na		1			
mRNA-1273 (2 doses) + BNT162b2 (booster)	na		3	na		2			
BNT162b2 (2 doses) + mRNA-1273 (booster)	na			na		1			
Vaccine unknown	na			na	2	2			



540

Figure S1. Validation of serum and NLF samples. The levels of SARS-CoV-2 anti-RBD (A) and NP (B) were measured in serum using Roche Elecsys anti-SARS-CoV-2 S (A) and SARS-CoV-2 (B) total Ig assay. (C) Levels of total IgA in the NLF samples. One-way ANOVA with Tukey test was used to determine differences of

544 means, p values are shown above brackets.





Figure S2. SARS-CoV-2 anti-Spike s-IgA and IgA responses in nasal mucosa. Correlation between anti-triS
 IgA and s-IgA antibody titers in NLF collected from convalescent, vaccinated and subjects with hybrid

548 immunity. Pearson correlation coefficient and p values are shown.





Figure S3. SARS-CoV-2 anti-nucleoprotein responses in individuals with previous infection. (A) Correlation between anti-triS and anti-NP s-IgA titers in NLF samples of convalescent or individuals with hybrid immunity. Pearson correlation coefficient and p values are shown. (B) Levels of anti-NP s-IgA titers of convalescent or individuals with hybrid immunity. One-way ANOVA with Tukey test was used to determine differences of means, p values are shown above brackets.