Short title here

1

| Title | Whole blood DNA methylation changes are associated with anti-TNF |
|----------------|--|
| | drug concentration in patients with Crohn's disease |
| Authors | Simeng Lin ^{1,2} *, Eilis Hannon ³ *, Mark Reppell ⁴ , Jeffrey F. Waring ⁴ , Nizar |
| | Smaoui ⁴ , Valerie Pivorunas ⁴ , Heath Guay ⁴ , Neil Chanchlani ^{1,2} , Claire |
| | Bewshea ² , Benjamin Y H Bai ^{5,6} , Nicholas A Kennedy ^{1,2} , James R |
| | Goodhand ^{1,2} , Jonathan Mill ³ *, Tariq Ahmad ^{1,2} *, PANTS Consortium |
| | *denotes shared authorship |
| Affiliations | ¹ Gastroenterology, Royal Devon University Healthcare NHS Foundation |
| | Trust, Exeter, UK |
| | ² Exeter Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Pharmacogenetics Research |
| | Group, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK |
| | ³ University of Exeter Medical School, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, |
| | University of Exeter, Exeter, UK |
| | ⁴ AbbVie Inc., Chicago, IL, USA; |
| | ⁵ Genomics of Inflammation and Immunity Group, Wellcome Sanger |
| | Institute, Hinxton, UK; |
| | ⁶ Postgraduate School of Life Sciences, University of Cambridge, |
| | Cambridge, UK |
| Address for | Tariq Ahmad, Exeter Inflammatory Bowel Disease and Pharmacogenetics |
| correspondence | Research Group, RILD building, Barrack Road, Exeter. EX2 5DW, UK, |
| | tariq.ahmad1@nhs.net |
| Key words | DNA methylation, Epigenetics, Anti-TNF, Crohn's disease, IBD |
| Running title | DNA methylation changes associated with anti-TNF drug concentration |
| Word count | 6,777 |

2

Short title here

5 Abstract

6 Background and Aims

- 7 Anti-TNF treatment failure in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is common and
- 8 frequently related to low drug concentrations.
- 9 In order to identify patients who may benefit from dose optimisation at the outset of anti-TNF
- 10 therapy, we sought to define epigenetic biomarkers in whole blood at baseline associated with anti-
- 11 TNF drug concentrations at week 14.

12

13 Methods

- 14 DNA methylation from 1,104 whole blood samples from the Personalised Anti-TNF Therapy in
- 15 Crohn's disease (PANTS) study were assessed using the Illumina EPIC Beadchip at baseline, weeks 14,
- 16 30 and 54. We compared DNA methylation profiles in anti-TNF-treated patients who experienced
- primary non-response at week 14 and were not in remission at week 30 or 54 (infliximab n = 99,
- adalimumab n = 94) with patients who responded at week 14 and were in remission at week 30 or
- 19 54 (infliximab n = 99, adalimumab n = 93).

20

21 Results

- 22 Overall, between baseline and week 14, we observed 4,999 differentially methylated probes (DMPs)
- annotated to 2376 genes following anti-TNF treatment. Pathway analysis identified 108 significant
- 24 gene ontology terms enriched in biological processes related to immune system processes and

25 responses.

- 26 Epigenome-wide association (EWAS) analysis identified 323 DMPs annotated to 210 genes at
- 27 baseline associated with higher anti-TNF drug concentrations at week 14. Of these, 125 DMPs

Short title here

- 28 demonstrated shared associations with other common traits (proportion of shared CpGs compared
- to DMPs) including body mass index (23.2%), followed by CRP (11.5%), smoking (7.4%), alcohol
- 30 consumption per day (7.1%) and IBD type (6.8%). EWAS of primary non-response to anti-TNF
- 31 identified 20 DMPs that were associated with both anti-TNF drug concentration and primary non-
- 32 response to anti-TNF with a strong correlation of the coefficients (Spearman's rho = -0.94, p < 0.001).

33 Conclusion

- 34 Baseline DNA methylation profiles may be used as a predictor for anti-TNF drug concentration at
- 35 week 14 to identify patients who may benefit from dose optimisation at the outset of anti-TNF
- 36 therapy.

Short title here

37 Introduction

| 38 | Anti-TNF therapies remain the most effective treatment to induce and maintain remission in |
|----|---|
| 39 | patients with Crohn's disease ^{1,2} . Successful treatment leads to mucosal healing, reduced surgeries, |
| 40 | and improvements in quality of life 3 . Unfortunately, anti-TNF treatment failure is common, with a |
| 41 | quarter of patients experiencing primary non-response, and one-third of initial responders losing |
| 42 | response by the end of the first year ⁴ . |
| 43 | In the Personalised Anti-TNF Therapy in Crohn's Disease study (PANTS), whilst loss of response was |
| 44 | associated with the formation of anti-drug antibodies that were predicted by carriage of the HLA- |
| 45 | DQA1*05 haplotype and mitigated by concomitant immunomodulator use, the only modifiable |
| 46 | factor associated with primary non-response at week 14 was low anti-TNF drug concentration ^{5,6} . |
| 47 | In this regard early dose optimisation reportedly improves anti-TNF response rates ^{7,8} . Whilst the |
| 48 | biology of non-response is complex, an ability to predict primary non-response may inform |
| 49 | treatment choice and identify individuals who may benefit from dose optimisation during induction |
| 50 | therapy. |
| 51 | Heterogeneity of response to anti-TNF therapies has led to a drive to understand the molecular |
| 52 | mechanisms underlying treatment failure in anti-TNF therapy. Increased mucosal expression of |
| 53 | oncostatin M (OSM) ^{9,10} and triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells (TREM-1) ^{11,12} have been |
| 54 | identified as potential biomarkers predicting non-response to anti-TNF treatment. Drawing |
| 55 | conclusions across studies is difficult, however, due to differences in study design, improvements in |
| 56 | experimental and computational methods through time and critically, confounding by cellular |
| 57 | heterogeneity with contradictory results between whole blood and intestinal biopsies ¹³ . Clinical |
| 58 | translation of tissue biomarkers has also further been limited by accessibility and processing costs ¹⁴ . |

Short title here

| 59 | DNA methylation, an epigenetic modification to DNA, can influence gene expression via disruption of |
|----|--|
| 60 | transcription factor binding and recruitment of methyl-binding proteins that initiate chromatin |
| 61 | compaction and gene silencing ^{15,16} . Despite being traditionally regarded as a mechanism of |
| 62 | transcriptional repression, DNA methylation is actually associated with both increased and |
| 63 | decreased gene expression ¹⁷ , and other genomic functions including alternative splicing and |
| 64 | promoter usage ¹⁸ . DNA methylation can be influenced by both genetic ¹⁹ and environmental |
| 65 | factors ²⁰ , changing with age ²¹ and exposures such as cigarette smoking ²² . The development of |
| 66 | standardised assays for quantifying DNA methylation across the genome at single-base resolution in |
| 67 | large numbers of samples has enabled researchers to perform epigenome-wide association studies |
| 68 | (EWAS) aimed at identifying methylomic variation associated with exposures and traits ²³ . EWAS |
| 69 | analyses are inherently more complex to design and interpret than genetic association studies; the |
| 70 | dynamic nature of epigenetic processes means that a range of potentially important confounding |
| 71 | factors (including tissue or cell type, age, sex, and lifestyle exposures) need to be considered in |
| 72 | between-group comparisons ²⁴ . |
| | |
| 73 | Previous studies of DNA methylation using whole blood or individual purified cell types have |
| 74 | identified differentially methylated positions (DMPs) between patients with active and inactive IBD |
| 75 | and healthy controls ^{25,26} . Pharmacoepigenomics is the application of epigenetics to understand |

76 interindividual differences in the response to the rapeutic drugs²⁷. DNA methylation sites from whole

77 blood have been identified as effective biomarkers predicting treatment response to methotrexate

78 and anti-TNF in patients with rheumatoid arthritis^{28,29}.

In this study we used a powerful intra-individual study design to identify changes in DNA
methylation associated with anti-TNF drug treatment, profiling 385 patients at baseline and weeks
14, 30 and 54 post treatment initiation. In order to identify patients who may benefit from dose

Short title here

| 82 c | optimisation at the outset of anti-TNF therapy | , we also sought to define epigenetic biomarkers in |
|----------|--|---|
| <u> </u> | pannouton at the outbet of and that therapy |) we also be agine to actime epigenetic biomarkers in |

- 83 whole blood at baseline associated with anti-TNF drug concentrations at week 14. We identify
- 84 widespread differences in DNA methylation induced by anti-TNF drug treatment and show that
- 85 baseline DNA methylation profiles can predict anti-TNF drug concentration at week 14.

86

- 87 Methods
- 88 Study design
- 89 The PANTS study is a UK wide, multicentre, prospective observational cohort reporting the
- 90 treatment failure rates of the anti-TNF drugs infliximab (originator, Remicade [Merck Sharp &
- 91 Dohme, Hertfordshire, UK] and biosimilar, CTP13 [Celltrion, Incheon, South Korea]), and adalimumab
- 92 (Humira [AbbVie, Cambridge, MA]) in 1610 anti-TNF-naïve patients with Crohn's disease.
- 93 (Supplementary Table 1)
- 94 Patients were recruited between February 2013 and June 2016 at the time of first anti-TNF exposure

and studied for 12 months or until drug withdrawal. Eligible patients were aged \geq 6 years with

96 objective evidence of active luminal Crohn's disease involving the colon and/or small intestine.

97 Exclusion criteria included prior exposure to, or contraindications for the use of, anti-TNF therapy.

- 98 The choice of anti-TNF was at the discretion of the treating physician and prescribed according to
- 99 the licensed dosing schedule. Study visits were scheduled at first dose, week 14, and at weeks 30
- and 54. Additional visits were planned for infliximab-treated patients at each infusion and for both
- 101 groups at treatment failure or exit.

Treatment failure endpoints were primary non-response at week 14, non-remission at week 54, and
adverse events leading to drug withdrawal.

Short title here

| 104 We used composite endpoints using the Harvey Bradshaw Index (HBI) in adults and tl | he short |
|--|----------|
|--|----------|

- 105 paediatric Crohn's disease activity index (sPCDAI) in children, corticosteroid use, and CRP to define
- 106 primary non-response (Supplementary Figure 1). Remission was defined as CRP of ≤3 mg/L and HBI
- 107 of ≤ 4 points (sPCDAI ≤ 15 in children), without corticosteroid therapy or exit for treatment failure.
- 108 Variables recorded at baseline were patient demographics (age, sex, ethnicity, comorbidities, height
- and weight and smoking status) and IBD phenotype and its treatments (age at diagnosis, disease
- 110 duration, Montreal classification, prior medical and drug history, and previous Crohn's disease-
- 111 related surgeries). At every visit, disease activity score, weight, current therapy and adverse
- 112 events were recorded.
- 113 Blood and stool samples were collected at each visit and processed through the central laboratory at
- 114 the Royal Devon & Exeter NHS Foundation Trust (<u>https://www.exeterlaboratory.com/</u>) for
- haemoglobin, white cell count, platelets, serum albumin, CRP, anti-TNF drug and anti-drug antibody
- 116 concentrations, and faecal calprotectin, respectively.

117 DNA methylation processing

- 118 Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral whole blood using the Qiagen Qiasymphony DNA DSP
- 119 midi kit (Qiagen, Ca, USA). Following sodium bisulfite conversion with the Zymo Research EZ-DNA
- 120 Methylation kit (Zymo Research, CA USA), DNA methylation was quantified across the genome using
- 121 the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylationEPIC (EPIC) BeadChip (Illumina Inc, CA, USA). To negate any
- 122 methodological batch effects, individuals were randomised across experimental batches and
- 123 samples from the same individual were processed together across all experimental stages.

124 Data pre-processing and quality control checks

Short title here

| 125 | Raw Illumina EPIC data were imported into R (version 3.6.0) using the <i>bigmelon</i> package (v1.12.0) ³⁰ . |
|-----|---|
| 126 | Quality control (QC) checks were performed using the <i>bigmelon</i> (v1.12.0) ³⁰ and <i>minfi</i> (v1.32.0) ³¹ R |
| 127 | packages. They included the following steps: we first removed samples by 1) checking median |
| 128 | methylated and unmethylated signal intensities and excluding samples with low intensities (<500) (3 |
| 129 | samples excluded), 2) assessing bisulphite conversion efficacy of each sample and excluding samples |
| 130 | with a conversion rate < 80% (9 samples excluded), 3) using the 59 single nucleotide polymorphism |
| 131 | (SNP) probes present on the EPIC array to confirm all matched samples from the same individual |
| 132 | were genetically identical and to check for sample switches or duplications (12 samples excluded), 4) |
| 133 | comparing intensity values from probes located on the X and Y chromosomes to autosomes to |
| 134 | identify sex mismatches (10 samples excluded), 5) visually inspecting the first six principal |
| 135 | components and excluding outliers (none identified), 6) using the pfilter function in the bigmelon |
| 136 | (v1.12.0) package to exclude samples where >1% of probes had a detection p-value >0.05 (none |
| 137 | identified). We subsequently removed probes by 7) using the pfilter function in the <i>bigmelon</i> |
| 138 | (v1.12.0) package to exclude probes with a bead count <3 or 1% of samples with a detection p-value |
| 139 | > 0.05 (8313 probes) and 8) removal of cross-hybridising probes and those containing a SNP (73,239 |
| 140 | probes). Following QC, quantile normalisation was carried out and 784,105 probes were taken |
| 141 | forwards for analysis after exclusion of probes on the Y chromosome. |
| | |

142 Sample size and statistical methods

Sample size calculations from the whole PANTS cohort have been reported previously⁵. For this analysis, we selected whole blood samples from a subset of 385 participants treated with infliximab and adalimumab aged >16 years, with a baseline CRP \geq 4 mg/L and/or calprotectin >100 µg/g who experienced primary non-response at week 14 and non-remission at week 30 or 54 (n = 99 and 94, respectively), and an equal number of participants as a comparator group who were classified with

Short title here

148 primary response at week 14 and subsequent remission (n = 99 and 93, respectively) for DNA

149 methylation profiling.

150 Statistical analyses were undertaken in R 4.1.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,

151 Austria). We included patients with missing clinical variables in analyses for which they had the

152 necessary data and have specified the sample size for each variable. Continuous data are reported as

153 median and interquartile range, and discrete data as numbers and percentages. Fisher's exact and

154 Mann-Whitney U tests were used to identify differences in baseline characteristics between

155 infliximab-treated and adalimumab-treated patients. Comparative tests were two-tailed and p value

156 < 0.05 were considered significant unless otherwise stated.

157 DNA methylation was analysed using beta values, the ratio of methylated intensity to the overall 158 intensity at each CpG site, which represents the proportion methylation at each site. Because they 159 influence methylation, *a priori*, we sought to define DNA methylation changes through the course of 160 the study due to ageing, cigarette smoking and cell composition. Smoking scores were calculated 161 using a weighted sum approach based on previously published smoking-associated methylation 162 probes³². Epigenetic age was predicted using 353 CpG sites as described by Horvath²¹. Individual cell 163 proportions of CD4+T cells, CD8+T cells, B cells, granulocytes, and monocytes in each whole blood 164 sample were estimated using the Houseman reference-based algorithm implemented with functions in *minfi* (v1.32.0)³¹ package. Linear mixed effects models, including time on anti-TNF (study visits in 165 166 weeks) as a fixed effect and modelling individual participants with a random intercept, were used to 167 determine if epigenetic age, smoking behaviour or cell composition were associated with anti-TNF 168 treatment.

169 EWAS analyses of anti-TNF treatment, anti-TNF drug concentration and primary non-response were 170 conducted using linear mixed effects models in this within-subject study, where anti-TNF type and

Short title here

| 171 | cell proportions were adjusted for as fixed effects while a random effect (random intercept) was |
|-----|--|
| 172 | used to capture the individual level effects. Study visits in weeks, reflecting the duration of anti-TNF |
| 173 | treatment, was included as an interaction term in the model. Patients treated with infliximab and |
| 174 | adalimumab were analysed together to increase the power to detect shared effects. An empirically- |
| 175 | derived p value < 9 x 10^{-8} was considered significant to control for multiple testing ³³ . Pathway |
| 176 | analysis with annotations to Gene Ontology (GO) terms was performed using the gometh () function |
| 177 | in <i>missMethyl</i> (v1.28.0) ³⁴ package, which controls for bias arising due to multiple genes being |
| 178 | annotated to a single CpG, and multiple CpGs annotated to a single gene. DMPs were searched in |
| 179 | the EWAS catalog ³⁵ (<u>http://www.ewascatalog.org/</u> , assessed on 15/12/2022) to look for |
| 180 | associations with other common traits. A false discovery rate (FDR) of < 0.05 was considered |
| 181 | significant for pathway analysis and associations in the EWAS catalog. We sought overlapping DMPs |
| 182 | associated with drug levels and primary non-response and correlation of coefficients was |
| 183 | determined using Spearman's test. |
| 184 | Ethical and role of the funding source |
| 185 | The sponsor of the study was the Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust. The South West |
| 186 | Research Ethics committee approved the study (REC Reference: 12/SW/0323) in January 2013. The |

- 187 funders of this study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation,
- 188 or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had
- 189 final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Short title here

191 Results

192 Summary of PANTS DNA methylation dataset

- 193 DNA methylation was quantified across the genome in 1,104 whole blood DNA samples from 385
- individuals across four study visits (baseline, week 14, week 30, week 54) from the PANTS cohort.
- 195 Following a standard quality control pipeline (see Methods), our final dataset included 784,105 DNA
- 196 methylation sites quantified in 1,062 samples from 385 participants (Supplementary Figure 1). 87
- 197 participants provided samples at all four study visits and the median number of samples per
- 198 participant was 3 (interquartile range [IQR] 2 3) (Supplementary Table 2).
- 199 Overall, 51.7% (199/385) of participants were female, with a median age of 35.7 years (IQR 26.3 -
- 200 50.3). 21.2% (81/382) of participants were current smokers and 30.6% (117/382) were former
- smokers. The median disease duration was 2.2 years [IQR 0.6 9.6] and 50.9% (196/385) and 35.3%
- 202 (136/385) of participants were treated with a concomitant immunomodulator and steroids at
- 203 baseline, respectively. In total, 51.4% (198/385) of participants were treated with infliximab and
- 48.6% (187/385) with adalimumab (Table 1). Median infliximab (3.30 mg/L vs 8.09 mg/L, p < 0.001)
- and adalimumab (7.70 mg/L vs. 13.35 mg/L, p < 0.001) drug concentrations at week 14 were lower in
- 206 patients who experienced primary non-response, as previously observed in the wider cohort⁵.
- 207 (Supplementary Figure 2).

208 Anti-TNF treatment is associated with altered blood cell proportions using measures derived from

209 DNA methylation data

A number of robust statistical classifiers have been developed to derive estimates of environmental
 exposures such as tobacco smoking³², biological age²¹ and the proportion of different blood cell

212 types³⁶ from whole blood DNA methylation data.

Short title here

| 213 | As expected, current and former tobacco smokers had a higher DNA methylation-derived smoking |
|-----|---|
| 214 | score at baseline (former smokers 0.2 [IQR -2.0 - 4.1], $p < 0.001$ and current smokers 6.6 [IQR 3.3 - |
| 215 | 9.5], p < 0.001) when compared to never smokers (-2.3 [IQR -3.81.0]). Over the duration of the |
| 216 | study, DNA methylation smoking score increased (effect size per week 0.019, $p < 0.001$). When |
| 217 | compared to current smokers, the trajectory of DNA methylation smoking score changed |
| 218 | significantly in former (effect size per week -0.010, $p = 0.003$), but not current smokers (effect size |
| 219 | per week -0.004, p = 0.262) (Supplementary Figure 3) When stratified by response to anti-TNF |
| 220 | treatment, following anti-TNF treatment, there was no difference in the trajectory of smoking scores |
| 221 | of current smokers (effect size per week - 0.006, p = 0.433) or former smokers (effect size per week - |
| 222 | 0.0001, p = 0.986) between those who experienced primary non-response compared to those who |
| 223 | did not. |
| 224 | The epigenetic age of participants measured using the Horvath multi-tissue clock was highly |
| 225 | correlated with chronological age of participants at study entry (r = 0.95, p < 0.001). Over the course |
| 226 | of the study following anti-TNF treatment, epigenetic age changed with time (effect size per week |
| 227 | 0.002, p < 0.001). When stratified by response to anti-TNF treatment, however, there was no |

difference in the trajectory of change in epigenetic age (effect size per week 0.003, p = 0.71).

229 (Supplementary Figure 4)

To understand the immune cell changes following anti-TNF treatment, cell proportion estimates were derived from DNA methylation data. Over time, following anti-TNF treatment, there was a significant increase in the derived proportions of CD4 T cells (effect size per week 0.0013, p < 0.001), CD8 T cells (effect size per week 0.0005, p < 0.001), B cells (effect size per week 0.0004, p < 0.001) and NK cells (effect size per week 0.0001, p = 0.015). (Figure 1) In contrast, the proportion of monocytes (effect size per week -0.0001, p = 0.025) and granulocytes (effect size per week -0.0023, p < 0.001) decreased significantly. In patients who experienced primary non-response, the increase

Short title here

| 237 | in proportion of B cells (effect size per week -0.0002, p < 0.001) and CD4 T cells (effect size per week |
|-----|---|
| 238 | -0.0004, p = 0.048) were less marked over time when compared to those who responded. There was |
| 239 | no difference in the change of proportion of granulocytes (effect size per week 0.0002, p = 0.571). |
| 240 | Changes in biological processes of the immune pathways occur following anti-TNF treatment |
| 241 | Across all patients, 4,999 DMPs (p < 9 x 10^{-8}) annotated to 2,376 unique genes were associated with |
| 242 | anti-TNF treatment (infliximab or adalimumab) regardless of response (Table 2, Figure 2). These |
| 243 | DMPs were significantly enriched for sites becoming hypomethylated over time (63.5% |
| 244 | [3,176/4,999], p < 0.001). Of treatment-associated DMPs annotated to genes (n = 3,504 [70.1%]), the |
| 245 | majority were located in the gene body (67.1% [2,351/3,504]) (Supplementary Figure 5) representing |
| 246 | a significant enrichment compared to the background distribution of probes on the EPIC array |
| 247 | (67.1% vs 29.5%, p < 0.001). The top-ranked DMP associated with anti-TNF treatment was |
| 248 | cg11047325 annotated to the SOCS3 gene, involved in the negative regulation of the JAK-STAT |
| 249 | pathway and thought to play a role in modulating the outcome of infections and autoimmune |
| 250 | diseases ³⁷ (effect size per week 0.0008, p = 1.91×10^{-41}). |
| 251 | Gene ontology (GO) analysis of genes annotated to treatment-associated DMPs identified 108 |
| 252 | significant biological pathways (Supplementary Table 3) further implicating the immune response |
| 253 | (immune system process (GO: 0002376, FDR < 0.001), immune response (GO: 0006955, FDR < |
| 254 | 0.001), and immune system development (GO:0002520, FDR < 0.001)) alongside pathways related to |
| 255 | blood cell differentiation (haematopoietic or lymphoid organ development (GO:0048534, FDR < |
| 256 | 0.001) and haemopoiesis (GO: 0030097, FDR < 0.001)) (Supplementary Figure 6). |
| | |

257 DNA methylation in infliximab and adalimumab treated patients

Short title here

| 258 | Next, we performed an epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) to identify any DMPs associated |
|-----|---|
| 259 | with anti-TNF treatment type. Overall, there were no significant DMPs at baseline between anti-TNF |
| 260 | naïve Crohn's disease patients who were subsequently treated with infliximab or adalimumab. |
| 261 | Irrespective of primary non-response status, we observed 13 DMPs annotated to 9 genes with |
| 262 | significantly different trajectories following treatment with infliximab compared to adalimumab. The |
| 263 | top-ranked DMPs between treatments included cg03446165 [annotated to MMP25] (effect size per |
| 264 | week -0.0004, p = 6.78×10^{-10}), cg12229367 (effect size per week -0.0003, p = 1.54×10^{-9}) and |
| 265 | cg04790662 [annotated to PAG1] (effect size per week -0.0005, p = 2.82×10^{-9}). (Supplementary |
| 266 | Table 4) |
| | |

267 DNA methylation differences at baseline are associated with anti-TNF drug concentration

268 following treatment

269 We sought to determine if DNA methylation difference at baseline prior to the start of anti-TNF

270 treatment were associated with anti-TNF drug concentrations at week 14. We identified 323 DMPs

annotated to 210 genes at baseline associated with anti-TNF drug concentrations at week 14 (Table

272 3, Figure 3). The top ranked DMP was cg23320029 annotated to the TNIK gene (effect size 0.0555, p

 $273 = 4.62 \times 10^{-15}$), encoding the TRAF2 and NCK-interacting kinase, a key regulator in the Wnt signalling

274 pathway implicated in the modulation of immune response during inflammation³⁸. GO analysis of

275 genes annotated to DMPs associated with anti-TNF drug concentration at week 14, however, did not

identify any FDR (FDR < 0.05) significant pathways.

We intersected the list of DMPs predicting anti-TNF drug concentration following treatment with the EWAS catalog³⁵ to identify overlaps with DNA methylation differences associated with other traits and diseases, finding that 125 (38.7%) DMPs have been previously associated with other common traits (Supplementary Table 5). The most common shared association (proportion of shared CpGs

Short title here

| 281 | compared to DMPs) was with an EWAS of body mass index (23.2%), followed by CRP (11.5%), |
|-----|---|
| 282 | smoking (7.4%), alcohol consumption per day (7.1%) and IBD type (6.8%). The associations with |
| 283 | these common traits all had an opposite direction of effect to anti-TNF drug concentration in our |
| 284 | cohort; CpG sites associated with a higher BMI and increased CRP were associated with lower anti- |
| 285 | TNF drug concentrations, in keeping with the known associations with anti-TNF drug concentration |
| 286 | and treatment outcomes ⁵ . |
| | |

- 287 To understand if there was a relationship between anti-TNF drug concentration at week 14 and anti-
- 288 TNF treatment response, we performed an EWAS of primary non-response to anti-TNF, and
- identified 48 DMPs annotated to 36 genes at baseline. Of these, 20 DMPs were associated with both
- anti-TNF drug concentration and primary non-response to anti-TNF (Supplementary Table 6). These
- 291 DMPs include cg27216853 [CYS1] (effect size to drug concentration -0.0371 vs effect size to primary
- 292 non-response 0.0245), cg23606775 [CLSTN1] (-0.0220 vs 0.0133) and cg18138532 [UPF2] (-0.0273 vs
- 293 0.0157). Overall, there was a strong correlation of the coefficients (Spearman's rho = -0.94, p <
- 294 0.001) (Figure 4), suggesting a relationship between DMPs associated with lower anti-TNF drug
- 295 concentration and primary non-response.
- Longitudinal changes in DNA methylation differ in patients with primary non-response to anti-TNF
 treatment
- 298 Following anti-TNF treatment, intra-individual changes in DNA methylation was significantly
- 299 different between those who experienced primary non-response to anti-TNF compared to those
- 300 who did not at 5 DMPs. These sites were cg07839457 [annotated to NLRC5] (effect size per week -

301 0.0007, p = 1.92×10^{-13}), cg11047325 [annotated to *SOCS3*] (-0.0007, p = 3.70×10^{-11}), cg15022400

- 302 [annotated to *TRIM69*] (-0.0003, p = 3.39 x 10⁻⁹), cg25867318 [annotated to *STAT3*] (-0.0004, p =
- $303 = 6.06 \times 10^{-8}$) and cg08950751 [annotated to *AIP*] (-0.0003, p = 6.82 x 10^{-8}) (Supplementary Table 7).

Short title here

- 304 The top ranked DMP following anti-TNF treatment cg11047325 annotated to SOCS3 involved in
- regulation of the JAK-STAT pathway was again identified.

306 Discussion

- 307 Key results
- 308 In whole blood, we observed almost 5,000 DMPs annotated to >2,000 genes that are associated with
- 309 anti-TNF therapy, with the genes annotated to these sites being enriched for biological processes
- related to immune system processes. 323 DMPs annotated to 210 genes were associated with anti-
- 311 TNF drug concentration at week 14 and we observed an overlap between differentially methylated
- 312 probes associated with drug concentrations and primary non-response.

313 Interpretation

- 314 It is perhaps unsurprising, that treatment with the anti-TNF monoclonal antibodies infliximab and
- adalimumab led to a significant number of differentially methylated probes across multiple genes
- that were enriched in immune system pathways. Overall, however, only 13 DMPs were found when
- 317 comparing infliximab- and adalimumab-treated patients, suggesting that there is an anti-TNF
- 318 treatment class effect and that both drugs exert a similar effect upon levels of DNA methylation. A
- similar conclusion was made from a study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with several
- 320 different anti-TNFs including adalimumab, certolizumab, etanercept, golimumab and infliximab, with
- 321 no DMPs were identified between different anti-TNF subtypes³⁹.
- 322 The immune cell changes and intracellular signalling pathways in peripheral blood and intestinal
- tissue following treatment with anti-TNF in patients with IBD is still unclear⁴⁰. Unlike a previous study
- 324 of 14 patients with IBD⁴¹, following anti-TNF treatment, we did not observe a change in derived
- 325 granulocyte proportions between non-responders and responders, but noted differences in B cells

Short title here

and CD4 T cells. Derived cell proportions at baseline were, however, not useful as a biomarker of
 anti-TNF non-response.

| 328 | About a third (38.7%) of the DMPs associated with low drug concentrations were linked to other |
|-----|---|
| 329 | common traits including body mass index, smoking, and CRP that in the PANTS cohort were |
| 330 | associated with drug concentration and anti-TNF treatment failure ⁵ . It is plausible that these DMPs |
| 331 | could be used as blood biomarkers independent of clinical traits to predict inter-individual variability |
| 332 | in anti-TNF drug concentration, allowing early effective anti-TNF dose prescribing. Our findings that |
| 333 | the DMPs were enriched in gene bodies may suggest a more complex mechanism apart from gene |
| 334 | transcription in their role underlying anti-TNF treatment response. The role of gene body |
| 335 | methylation is still widely debated, and while they have been associated with the regulation of gene |
| 336 | expression, they have a more complex role in suppressing aberrant gene transcription and regulating |
| 337 | alternative splicing ⁴² . With the advancement of single-cell sequencing technologies, the study of |
| 338 | specific cell types in both disease specific intestinal tissue ⁴³ and peripheral whole blood ⁴⁴ perhaps |
| 339 | based on our data focussing on the role of B- and CD4 * T cells, may provide further insights into the |
| 340 | molecular mechanisms underlying anti-TNF treatment failure. |
| 341 | Whilst there was a strong correlation of effect between DMPs associated with lower drug |
| 242 | |
| 54Z | concentration at week 14 and primary non-response, the modest effect sizes mean that these |

343 markers are unlikely to be useful as a diagnostic predictor of primary non-response in individual

patients. Why primary non-response is so difficult to predict in patients with IBD is unclear. Few of

345 the so-called precision medicine biomarkers to facilitate the right drug, to the right patient, at the

right time have been replicated or translated to clinical care. There are a number of possible reasons

for this. Firstly, the challenges of defining primary non-response in the absence of endoscopic

348 outcome data. In the PANTS study, we used a pragmatic composite outcome closely linked to

routine clinical care that included patient symptoms assessed using a validated severity scores and

Short title here

| 350 | serum CRP. However, there is poor concordance between symptoms and biomarkers and mucosal |
|-----|--|
| 351 | inflammation. Patients with Crohn's disease may also complain of symptoms suggestive of active |
| 352 | disease because of overlapping irritable bowel syndrome, bile acid malabsorption and or small |
| 353 | intestinal overgrowth. Further interpretation of potential markers across studies is challenging due |
| 354 | to differences in study design, inclusion criteria, improvements in experimental and computational |
| 355 | methods over time, and critically confounding by sampling of different tissues and cellular |
| 356 | heterogeneity. These challenges may explain why we were unable to replicate here the previous |
| 357 | associations with oncostatin M (OSM) ^{9,10} and triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells (TREM- |
| 358 | 1) ^{11,12} identified as potential biomarkers predicting non-response to anti-TNF treatment. Our data |
| 359 | argues against the presence of a single epigenetic biomarker in whole blood with clinical utility. |
| 360 | Our observations that higher DNA methylation epigenetic smoking score and smoking status, and |
| 361 | epigenetic age of participants and chronological age were highly positively correlated internally |
| 362 | validates our DNA methylation processing and quality control methods supporting subsequent |
| 363 | findings against clinical outcomes. Interestingly, increase in smoking score was observed in all groups |
| 364 | regardless of smoking status over time, but was significantly less in former smokers compared to |
| 365 | never smokers. Prior longitudinal studies of DNA methylation changes following smoking cessation |
| 366 | have reported conflicting results ^{37,38} , although varying follow-up times and the study of different |
| 367 | populations makes it difficult to compare across studies. Whether the DNA methylation changes |
| 368 | following smoking cessation have an impact on anti-TNF drug concentration or outcomes in patients |
| 369 | with Crohn's disease requires further investigation. |

370 Limitations and generalisability

We acknowledge some important limitations of our work. First, our outcome data could be

372 strengthened with endoscopic outcomes. However, we observed a significant association between

Short title here

| 373 | clinical outcomes at week 14 and week 54 and faecal calprotectin, which has been shown to closely |
|--|--|
| 374 | correlate with endoscopic findings. Second, we measured DNA methylation from whole blood which |
| 375 | is likely to be confounded by differences in individual cell proportions. Although we included derived |
| 376 | cell proportions as a covariate in our statistical models, this is unlikely to fully control for cellular |
| 377 | changes which may be better controlled for by expanded panels of blood cell types or single-cell |
| 378 | analyses. Whether similar changes also occur in the target tissues in the small and large intestine is |
| 379 | unknown. Third, our findings should be validated in an independent cohort prior to translation into |
| | |
| 380 | clinical practice. |
| 380 381 | clinical practice. The PANTS study recruited patients from across the UK, and we believe our findings will be |
| 380 381 382 | clinical practice. The PANTS study recruited patients from across the UK, and we believe our findings will be generalisable to patients with Crohn's disease treated with an anti-TNF across other western |
| 380 381 382 383 | clinical practice. The PANTS study recruited patients from across the UK, and we believe our findings will be generalisable to patients with Crohn's disease treated with an anti-TNF across other western populations. Further work is required to determine if these findings are found in other non-western |
| 380 381 382 383 384 | clinical practice. The PANTS study recruited patients from across the UK, and we believe our findings will be generalisable to patients with Crohn's disease treated with an anti-TNF across other western populations. Further work is required to determine if these findings are found in other non-western populations, and indeed in other populations of patients with IBD such as those with ulcerative |
| 380 381 382 383 384 385 | clinical practice. The PANTS study recruited patients from across the UK, and we believe our findings will be generalisable to patients with Crohn's disease treated with an anti-TNF across other western populations. Further work is required to determine if these findings are found in other non-western populations, and indeed in other populations of patients with IBD such as those with ulcerative colitis, and in non-IBD patients treated with an anti-TNF. |

386 Conclusion

387 Baseline DNA methylation profiles may be used as a predictor for anti-TNF drug concentration at

388 week 14 to identify patients who may benefit from dose optimisation at the outset of anti-TNF

389 therapy.

Short title here

391 Acknowledgements

| 392 | S.L. is supported by a Wellcome GW4-CAT fellowship (222850/Z/21/Z). N.C. acknowledges support |
|-----|--|
| 393 | from Crohn's and Colitis UK. This study was supported by the National Institute for Health and Care |
| 394 | Research (NIHR) Exeter Biomedical Research Centre. The views expressed are those of the author(s) |
| 395 | and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The authors |
| 396 | would like to acknowledge James Butler for facilitating this collaboration and Samantha Lent, Justin |
| 397 | Wade Davis, Elina Regan, Stephen Abel, Elizabeth Asque and Areej Ammar in the preparation of this |
| 398 | manuscript. We also acknowledge John Kirkwood and Anna Barnes from the NIHR Clinical Research |
| 399 | Facility for their support with sample preparation, and the study coordinators of the Exeter IBD |
| 400 | Research Group, Marian Parkinson and Helen Gardner-Thorpe for their ongoing administrative |
| 401 | support to the study. |

402 Funding

403 PANTS is an investigator-led study funded by CORE (renamed Guts UK in 2018), the research charity 404 of the British Society of Gastroenterology, and by unrestricted educational grants from AbbVie Inc, 405 USA, Merck Sharp & Dohme Ltd, UK, NAPP Pharmaceuticals Ltd, UK, Pfizer Ltd, USA, Celltrion 406 Healthcare, South Korea, and Cure Crohn's Colitis (Scottish IBD Charity). DNA methylation processing 407 was supported through a sponsored research agreement with AbbVie Inc. The drug and anti-drug 408 antibody assays were provided at reduced cost by Immundiagnostik AG. Laboratory tests were 409 undertaken by the Exeter Blood Sciences Laboratory at the Royal Devon & Exeter National Health 410 Service Trust (<u>https://www.exeterlaboratory.com</u>). The sponsor of the PANTS study is the Royal 411 Devon and Exeter National Health Service Foundation Trust. None of the listed funders had a role in 412 the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the 413 data; preparation, review, and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Short title here

414 **Conflict of Interest**

| 415 | Simeng Lin reports non-financial support from Pfizer outside the submitted work. Mark Reppell, |
|-----|---|
| 416 | Jeffrey F Waring, Valerie Pivorunas, Nizar Smaoui, Heath Guay are employees of AbbVie and may |
| 417 | own stock/options. Nicholas A. Kennedy reports grants from F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, grants from |
| 418 | Biogen Inc, grants from Celltrion Healthcare, grants from Galapagos NV and non-financial support |
| 419 | from Immundiagnostik; grants and non-financial support from AbbVie, grants and personal fees from |
| 420 | Celltrion, personal fees and non-financial support from Janssen, personal fees from Takeda, and |
| 421 | personal fees and non-financial support from Dr Falk, outside the submitted work. James R. |
| 422 | Goodhand reports grants from F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, grants from Biogen Inc, grants from |
| 423 | Celltrion Healthcare, grants from Galapagos NV and non-financial support from Immundiagnostik |
| 424 | outside the conduct of the study. Tariq Ahmad reports grants and non-financial support from F. |
| 425 | Hoffmann-La Roche AG, grants from Biogen Inc, grants from Celltrion Healthcare, grants from |
| 426 | Galapagos NV and non-financial support from Immundiagnostik; personal fees from Biogen inc, |
| 427 | grants and personal fees from Celltrion Healthcare, personal fees and non-financial support from |
| 428 | Immundiagnostik, personal fees from Takeda, personal fees from ARENA, personal fees from Gilead, |
| 429 | personal fees from Adcock Ingram Healthcare, personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from |
| 430 | Genentech and non-financial support from Tillotts, outside the submitted work. Eilis Hannon, Claire |
| 431 | Bewshea, Neil Chanchlani and Jonathan Mill declare no competing interests. |
| 432 | |
| | |

433 Author Contributions

434 NAK, JRG, TA participated in the conception and design of this study. CB was the project manager

and coordinated patient recruitment. SL, EH, NS, JFW, VP, HG, CB, NC, BB, NAK, JRG, JM, TA were

436 involved in the acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data. Data analysis was done by SL and EH.

437 Drafting of the manuscript was done by SL, EH, NS, JFW, VP, HG, NC, BB, NAK, JRG, JM, TA. TA

Short title here

| 438 | obtained the funding | g for the study. | . All the authors | contributed to the | e critical review and final |
|-----|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
|-----|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|

439 approval of the manuscript. JM and TA have verified the underlying data.

440

441 Data availability statement

- 442 Individual participant de-identified data that underlie the results reported in this article will be
- 443 available immediately after publication for a period of 5 years. The data will be made available to
- 444 investigators whose proposed use of the data has been approved by an independent review
- 445 committee. Analyses will be restricted to the aims in the approved proposal. Proposals should be
- 446 directed to <u>tarig.ahmad1@nhs.net</u>. To gain access data requestors will need to sign a data access
- 447 agreement.

Short title here

448 References

| 449 | 1. | Singh S, Murad MH, Fumery M, Sedano R, Jairath V, Panaccione R, et al. Comparative efficacy |
|-----|----|---|
| 450 | | and safety of biologic therapies for moderate-to-severe Crohn's disease: a systematic review |
| 451 | | and network meta-analysis. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2021 Dec 1;6(12):1002–14. |
| 452 | 2. | Barberio B, Gracie DJ, Black CJ, Ford AC. Efficacy of biological therapies and small molecules in |
| 453 | | induction and maintenance of remission in luminal Crohn's disease: systematic review and |
| 454 | | network meta-analysis. Gut [Internet]. 2022 Jul 30 [cited 2022 Sep 8];0:gutjnl-2022-328052. |
| 455 | | Available from: https://gut.bmj.com/content/early/2022/07/29/gutjnl-2022-328052 |
| 456 | 3. | Mao EJ, Hazlewood GS, Kaplan GG, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Ananthakrishnan AN. Systematic review |
| 457 | | with meta-analysis: comparative efficacy of immunosuppressants and biologics for reducing |
| 458 | | hospitalisation and surgery in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther |
| 459 | | [Internet]. 2017 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Sep 9];45(1):3–13. Available from: |
| 460 | | https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27862107/ |
| 461 | 4. | Papamichael K, Gils A, Rutgeerts P, Levesque BG, Vermeire S, Sandborn WJ, et al. Role for |
| 462 | | therapeutic drug monitoring during induction therapy with TNF antagonists in IBD: evolution |
| 463 | | in the definition and management of primary nonresponse. Inflamm Bowel Dis [Internet]. |
| 464 | | 2015 Jan;21(1):182–97. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25222660 |
| 465 | 5. | Kennedy NA, Heap GA, Green HD, Hamilton B, Bewshea C, Walker GJ, et al. Predictors of anti- |
| 466 | | TNF treatment failure in anti-TNF-naive patients with active luminal Crohn's disease: a |
| 467 | | prospective, multicentre, cohort study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;1253(19):1–13. |
| 468 | 6. | Sazonovs A, Kennedy NA, Moutsianas L, Heap GA, Rice DL, Reppell M, et al. HLA-DQA1*05 |
| 469 | | Carriage Associated With Development of Anti-Drug Antibodies to Infliximab and |
| 470 | | Adalimumab in Patients With Crohn's Disease. Gastroenterology [Internet]. 2020;158(1):189- |
| 471 | | 99. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31600487 |

Short title here

| 472 | 7. | Dubinsky MC, Mendiolaza ML, Phan BL, Moran HR, Tse SS, Mould DR. Dashboard-Driven |
|-----|-----|---|
| 473 | | Accelerated Infliximab Induction Dosing Increases Infliximab Durability and Reduces |
| 474 | | Immunogenicity. Inflamm Bowel Dis [Internet]. 2022 Sep 1 [cited 2022 Dec 20];28(9):1375– |
| 475 | | 85. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34978325/ |
| 476 | 8. | Lawrence S, Faytrouni F, Harris RE, Irvine M, Carrion E, Scott G, et al. Optimized Infliximab |
| 477 | | Induction Predicts Better Long-Term Clinical and Biomarker Outcomes Compared to Standard |
| 478 | | Induction Dosing. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr [Internet]. 2022 Nov 1 [cited 2022 Dec |
| 479 | | 20];75(5):601–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36048178/ |
| 480 | 9. | West NR, Hegazy AN, Owens BMJ, Bullers SJ, Linggi B, Buonocore S, et al. Oncostatin M drives |
| 481 | | intestinal inflammation and predicts response to tumor necrosis factor-neutralizing therapy |
| 482 | | in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Nat Med [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Sep |
| 483 | | 9];23(5):579–89. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28368383/ |
| 484 | 10. | Verstockt S, Verstockt B, MacHiels K, Vancamelbeke M, Ferrante M, Cleynen I, et al. |
| 485 | | Oncostatin M Is a Biomarker of Diagnosis, Worse Disease Prognosis, and Therapeutic |
| 486 | | Nonresponse in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis [Internet]. 2021 Oct 1 [cited |
| 487 | | 2022 Sep 9];27(10):1564–75. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33624092/ |
| 488 | 11. | Gaujoux R, Starosvetsky E, Maimon N, Vallania F, Bar-Yoseph H, Pressman S, et al. Cell- |
| 489 | | centred meta-analysis reveals baseline predictors of anti-TNF α non-response in biopsy and |
| 490 | | blood of patients with IBD. Gut [Internet]. 2019 Apr 1 [cited 2022 Sep 9];68(4):604–14. |
| 491 | | Available from: https://gut.bmj.com/content/68/4/604 |
| 492 | 12. | Verstockt B, Verstockt S, Dehairs J, Ballet V, Blevi H, Wollants WJ, et al. Low TREM1 |
| 493 | | expression in whole blood predicts anti-TNF response in inflammatory bowel disease. |
| 494 | | EBioMedicine [Internet]. 2019 Feb 1 [cited 2022 Sep 9];40:733. Available from: |
| 495 | | /pmc/articles/PMC6413341/ |

Short title here

- 496 13. Sudhakar P, Alsoud D, Wellens J, Verstockt S, Arnauts K, Verstockt B, et al. Tailoring Multi-
- 497 omics to Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: All for One and One for All. J Crohn's Colitis [Internet].
- 498 2022 Aug 30 [cited 2022 Dec 20];16(8):1306–20. Available from:
- 499 https://academic.oup.com/ecco-jcc/article/16/8/1306/6527686
- 500 14. Verstockt B, Noor NM, Marigorta UM, Pavlidis P, Deepak P, Ungaro RC, et al. Results of the
- 501 Seventh Scientific Workshop of ECCO: Precision Medicine in IBD—Disease Outcome and
- 502 Response to Therapy. J Crohn's Colitis [Internet]. 2021 Sep 25 [cited 2022 Dec
- 503 19];15(9):1431–42. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/ecco-
- 504 jcc/article/15/9/1431/6175314
- 505 15. Dor Y, Cedar H. Principles of DNA methylation and their implications for biology and
- 506 medicine. Lancet. 2018 Sep 1;392(10149):777–86.
- 507 16. Schübeler D. Function and information content of DNA methylation. Nat 2015 5177534
- 508 [Internet]. 2015 Jan 14 [cited 2023 Feb 1];517(7534):321–6. Available from:
- 509 https://www.nature.com/articles/nature14192
- 510 17. Wan J, Oliver VF, Wang G, Zhu H, Zack DJ, Merbs SL, et al. Characterization of tissue-specific
- 511 differential DNA methylation suggests distinct modes of positive and negative gene
- 512 expression regulation. BMC Genomics [Internet]. 2015 Feb 5 [cited 2023 Feb 1];16(1):1–11.
- 513 Available from: https://bmcgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-015-
- 514 1271-4
- 515 18. de Mendoza A, Nguyen TV, Ford E, Poppe D, Buckberry S, Pflueger J, et al. Large-scale
- 516 manipulation of promoter DNA methylation reveals context-specific transcriptional responses
- and stability. Genome Biol [Internet]. 2022 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Feb 1];23(1):1–31. Available
- 518 from: https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-022-02728-5
- 519 19. Villicaña S, Bell JT. Genetic impacts on DNA methylation: research findings and future

Short title here

| 520 | | perspectives. Genome Biol 2021 221 [Internet]. 2021 Apr 30 [cited 2023 Feb 1];22(1):1–35. |
|-----|-----|--|
| 521 | | Available from: https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-021- |
| 522 | | 02347-6 |
| 523 | 20. | Hannon E, Knox O, Sugden K, Burrage J, Wong CCY, Belsky DW, et al. Characterizing genetic |
| 524 | | and environmental influences on variable DNA methylation using monozygotic and dizygotic |
| 525 | | twins. PLOS Genet [Internet]. 2018 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Sep 9];14(8):e1007544. Available from: |
| 526 | | https://journals.plos.org/plosgenetics/article?id=10.1371/journal.pgen.1007544 |
| 527 | 21. | Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol [Internet]. |
| 528 | | 2013 Oct 21 [cited 2022 Sep 8];14(10):1–20. Available from: |
| 529 | | https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/gb-2013-14-10-r115 |
| 530 | 22. | Parkes GC, Whelan K, Lindsay JO. Smoking in inflammatory bowel disease: Impact on disease |
| 531 | | course and insights into the aetiology of its effect. J Crohn's Colitis [Internet]. 2014 Aug 1 |
| 532 | | [cited 2022 Sep 30];8(8):717–25. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/ecco- |
| 533 | | jcc/article/8/8/717/530121 |
| 534 | 23. | Rakyan VK, Down TA, Balding DJ, Beck S. Epigenome-Wide Association Studies for common |
| 535 | | human diseases. Nat Rev Genet [Internet]. 2011 Aug [cited 2023 Feb 1];12(8):529. Available |
| 536 | | from: /pmc/articles/PMC3508712/ |
| 537 | 24. | Birney E, Smith GD, Greally JM. Epigenome-wide Association Studies and the Interpretation of |
| 538 | | Disease -Omics. PLOS Genet [Internet]. 2016 Jun 1 [cited 2023 Feb 1];12(6):e1006105. |
| 539 | | Available from: |
| 540 | | https://journals.plos.org/plosgenetics/article?id=10.1371/journal.pgen.1006105 |
| 541 | 25. | McDermott E, Ryan EJ, Tosetto M, Gibson D, Burrage J, Keegan D, et al. DNA Methylation |
| 542 | | Profiling in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Provides New Insights into Disease Pathogenesis. J |
| 543 | | Crohns Colitis [Internet]. 2016 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Sep 8];10(1):77–86. Available from: |

Short title here

| 544 | | https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26419460/ |
|-----|-----|--|
| 545 | 26. | Somineni HK, Venkateswaran S, Kilaru V, Marigorta UM, Mo A, Okou DT, et al. Blood-Derived |
| 546 | | DNA Methylation Signatures of Crohn's Disease and Severity of Intestinal Inflammation. |
| 547 | | Gastroenterology [Internet]. 2019 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Sep 8];156(8):2254-2265.e3. Available |
| 548 | | from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30779925/ |
| 549 | 27. | Fisel P, Schaeffeler E, Schwab M. DNA Methylation of ADME Genes. Clin Pharmacol Ther |
| 550 | | [Internet]. 2016 May 1 [cited 2022 Dec 20];99(5):512–27. Available from: |
| 551 | | https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/cpt.343 |
| 552 | 28. | Nair N, Plant D, Plant D, Verstappen SM, Verstappen SM, Isaacs JD, et al. Differential DNA |
| 553 | | methylation correlates with response to methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology |
| 554 | | (Oxford) [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Sep 9];59(6):1364–71. Available from: |
| 555 | | https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31598719/ |
| 556 | 29. | Plant D, Webster A, Nair N, Oliver J, Smith SL, Eyre S, et al. Differential Methylation as a |
| 557 | | Biomarker of Response to Etanercept in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis |
| 558 | | Rheumatol [Internet]. 2016 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Sep 9];68(6):1353–60. Available from: |
| 559 | | https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/art.39590 |
| 560 | 30. | Gorrie-Stone TJ, Smart MC, Saffari A, Malki K, Hannon E, Burrage J, et al. Bigmelon: tools for |
| 561 | | analysing large DNA methylation datasets. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2019 Mar 15 [cited 2022 |
| 562 | | Sep 8];35(6):981–6. Available from: |
| 563 | | https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/35/6/981/5078475 |
| 564 | 31. | Aryee MJ, Jaffe AE, Corrada-Bravo H, Ladd-Acosta C, Feinberg AP, Hansen KD, et al. Minfi: a |
| 565 | | flexible and comprehensive Bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA |
| 566 | | methylation microarrays. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2014 May 15 [cited 2022 Sep |
| 567 | | 8];30(10):1363–9. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24478339/ |
| | | |

Short title here

| 568 | 32. | Elliott HR. Tillin 1 | . McArdle WL | НоК | . Duggirala A. | Fravling | z TM | . et al | . Differences ir | n smoking |
|-----|-----|----------------------|--------------|-----|----------------|----------|------|---------|------------------|-----------|
| | | | ,, | | / | | | , | | |

- 569 associated DNA methylation patterns in South Asians and Europeans. Clin Epigenetics
- 570 [Internet]. 2014 Feb 3 [cited 2022 Sep 8];6(1):1–10. Available from:
- 571 https://clinicalepigeneticsjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1868-7083-6-4
- 572 33. Mansell G, Gorrie-Stone TJ, Bao Y, Kumari M, Schalkwyk LS, Mill J, et al. Guidance for DNA
- 573 methylation studies: statistical insights from the Illumina EPIC array. BMC Genomics
- 574 [Internet]. 2019 May 14 [cited 2022 Sep 8];20(1). Available from:
- 575 /pmc/articles/PMC6518823/
- 576 34. Phipson B, Maksimovic J, Oshlack A. missMethyl: an R package for analyzing data from
- 577 Illumina's HumanMethylation450 platform. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2016 Jan 15 [cited 2022
- 578 Sep 8];32(2):286–8. Available from:
- 579 https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/32/2/286/1744111
- 580 35. Battram T, Yousefi P, Crawford G, Prince C, Sheikhali Babaei M, Sharp G, et al. The EWAS
- 581 Catalog: a database of epigenome-wide association studies. Wellcome Open Res 2022 741
- 582 [Internet]. 2022 May 31 [cited 2022 Sep 8];7:41. Available from:
- 583 https://wellcomeopenresearch.org/articles/7-41
- 584 36. Houseman EA, Accomando WP, Koestler DC, Christensen BC, Marsit CJ, Nelson HH, et al. DNA
- 585 methylation arrays as surrogate measures of cell mixture distribution. BMC Bioinformatics

586 [Internet]. 2012 May 8 [cited 2023 Feb 1];13(1):1–16. Available from:

- 587 https://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2105-13-86
- 588 37. Carow B, Rottenberg ME. SOCS3, a major regulator of infection and inflammation. Front
 589 Immunol. 2014 Feb 19;5(FEB):58.
- Jridi I, Canté-Barrett K, Pike-Overzet K, Staal FJT. Inflammation and Wnt Signaling: Target for
 Immunomodulatory Therapy? Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021 Feb 4;8:1854.

Short title here

| 592 | 39. | Julià A, Gómez A, López-Lasanta M, Blanco F, Erra A, Fernández-Nebro A, et al. Longitudinal |
|-----|-----|--|
| 593 | | analysis of blood DNA methylation identifies mechanisms of response to tumor necrosis |
| 594 | | factor inhibitor therapy in rheumatoid arthritis. eBioMedicine [Internet]. 2022 Jun 1 [cited |
| 595 | | 2022 Sep 30];80. Available from: |
| 596 | | http://www.thelancet.com/article/S2352396422002341/fulltext |
| 597 | 40. | Levin AD, Wildenberg ME, van den Brink GR. Mechanism of Action of Anti-TNF Therapy in |
| 598 | | Inflammatory Bowel Disease. J Crohn's Colitis [Internet]. 2016 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Dec |
| 599 | | 22];10(8):989–97. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/ecco- |
| 600 | | jcc/article/10/8/989/2392174 |
| 601 | 41. | Mishra N, Aden K, Blase JI, Baran N, Bordoni D, Tran F, et al. Longitudinal multi-omics analysis |
| 602 | | identifies early blood-based predictors of anti-TNF therapy response in inflammatory bowel |
| 603 | | disease. Genome Med [Internet]. 2022 Dec 1 [cited 2022 Dec 22];14(1):1–20. Available from: |
| 604 | | https://genomemedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13073-022-01112-z |
| 605 | 42. | Wang Q, Xiong F, Wu G, Liu W, Chen J, Wang B, et al. Gene body methylation in cancer: |
| 606 | | molecular mechanisms and clinical applications. Clin Epigenetics 2022 141 [Internet]. 2022 |
| 607 | | Nov 28 [cited 2022 Dec 23];14(1):1–14. Available from: |
| 608 | | https://clinicalepigeneticsjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13148-022-01382-9 |
| 609 | 43. | Martin JC, Chang C, Boschetti G, Ungaro R, Giri M, Grout JA, et al. Single-Cell Analysis of |
| 610 | | Crohn's Disease Lesions Identifies a Pathogenic Cellular Module Associated with Resistance to |
| 611 | | Anti-TNF Therapy. Cell [Internet]. 2019 Sep 5 [cited 2022 Dec 22];178(6):1493-1508.e20. |
| 612 | | Available from: http://www.cell.com/article/S0092867419308967/fulltext |
| 613 | 44. | Peres RS, Thomas T, Friedrich M, Pohin M, Pearson C, Ferreira RC, et al. Anti-TNF-induced IL- |
| 614 | | 27 modulates regulatory T cell responses in patients with IBD that respond to therapy. |
| 615 | | bioRxiv [Internet]. 2022 Nov 21 [cited 2022 Dec 22];2022.11.21.517257. Available from: |

Short title here

616 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.11.21.517257v1

617

Short title here

619 Figure Legends

- 620 Figure 1: Change in derived cell proportions following treatment with anti-TNF. Predicted derived
- 621 cell proportions over time estimated from the regression analysis is represented in solid blue lines,
- and observed cell proportions in faded lines. P value represents the change in individual cell
- 623 proportions over time.

624

- Figure 2: Manhattan plot of CpG sites associated with change over time following anti-TNF drug
- 626 exposure regardless of treatment outcome. The top 10 differentially methylated probes with
- 627 annotations are labelled in the plot. The grey horizontal line represents the significant p value
- 628 threshold of 9×10^{-8} .

629

- 630 Figure 3: Manhattan plot of CpG sites at baseline associated with anti-TNF drug concentration at
- 631 week 14. The top 10 CpG sites with their associated gene annotations are labelled in brackets. The
- 632 grey horizontal line represents the significant p value threshold of 9×10^8 .

- 634 Figure 4: Coefficients of DMPs associated with anti-TNF drug concentration at week 14 and primary
- non-response. Coefficients represent the beta values of each CpG from linear mixed effects model to
- each outcome. Spearman's rho correlation of the coefficients calculated for those that were
- 637 associated with both anti-TNF drug concentration and primary non-response, and the remaining that
- 638 were only significant to anti-TNF drug concentration. Abbreviations: PNR = primary non-response.

Short title here

| Variable | Level | adalimumab | infliximab | Overall | р | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|--|
| n | | 187 | 198 | 385 | | |
| Age at first dose | | 37.19 (26.56 - | 35.25 (25.57 - | 35.69 (26.34 - | 0.466 | |
| | | 51.15) | 49.49) | 50.26) | | |
| Sex | Female | 47.06% (88/187) | 56.06% (111/198) | 51.69% (199/385) | 0.083 | |
| | Male | 52.94% (99/187) | 43.94% (87/198) | 48.31% (186/385) | | |
| Ethnicity | White | 94.12% (176/187) | 94.95% (188/198) | 94.55% (364/385) | 0.933 | |
| | South | 2.14% (4/187) | 2.02% (4/198) | 2.08% (8/385) | | |
| | Asian | | | | | |
| | Other | 3.74% (7/187) | 3.03% (6/198) | 3.38% (13/385) | | |
| Smoking history | Current | 17.20% (32/186) | 25.00% (49/196) | 21.20% (81/382) | 0.163 | |
| | Ex | 33.33% (62/186) | 28.06% (55/196) | 30.63% (117/382) | | |
| | Never | 49.46% (92/186) | 46.94% (92/196) | 48.17% (184/382) | | |
| Disease duration | | 2.80 (0.61 - 9.53) | 2.08 (0.53 - 9.52) | 2.17 (0.56 - 9.53) | 0.483 | |
| Montreal location | L1 | 31.52% (58/184) | 29.44% (58/197) | 30.45% (116/381) | 0.956 | |
| classification | L2 | 25.54% (47/184) | 26.90% (53/197) | 26.25% (100/381) | | |
| | L3 | 42.39% (78/184) | 43.15% (85/197) | 42.78% (163/381) | | |
| | L4 | 0.54% (1/184) | 0.51% (1/197) | 0.52% (2/381) | | |
| Montreal | B1 | 59.24% (109/184) | 63.96% (126/197) | 61.68% (235/381) | 0.035 | |
| behaviour | B2 | 35.33% (65/184) | 25.38% (50/197) | 30.18% (115/381) | | |
| classification | B3 | 5.43% (10/184) | 10.66% (21/197) | 8.14% (31/381) | | |
| Immunomodulator | TRUE | 52.94% (99/187) | 48.99% (97/198) | 50.91% (196/385) | 0.476 | |
| use at baseline | | | | | | |
| Steroid use at baseline | TRUE | 29.41% (55/187) | 40.91% (81/198) | 35.32% (136/385) | 0.019 | |
| C-reactive protein (n | ng/L) | 8.00 (4.00 - 19.50) | 12.00 (5.00 - 32.00) | 10.00 (5.00 - 24.00) | 0.013 | |
| HBI score | | 5.00 (3.00 - 8.00) | 6.00 (3.00 - 9.50) | 5.00 (3.00 - 9.00) | 0.256 | |
| Faecal calprotectin (| ug/g) | 307.00 (159.50 - | 481.00 (251.00 - | 365.00 (188.00 - | 0.001 | |
| | | 599.00) | 881.50) | 726.00) | | |
| Haemoglobin (g/L) | | 129.50 (120.00 - | 125.00 (112.00 - | 128.00 (116.00 - | 0.002 | |
| | | 140.00) | 135.00) | 137.00) | | |
| White cell count (x 1 | .0 ⁹ cells | 7.90 (6.20 - 10.20) | 8.56 (6.60 - 10.70) | 8.23 (6.40 - 10.40) | 0.166 | |
| per L) | | | | | | |

639

640 Table 1: Characteristics at baseline of participants stratified by type of anti-TNF

Short title here

| СрG | chr | pos | Relation to Island | Gene name | Gene group | Coefficient (per week) | P value |
|------------|-----|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|---------------------------|----------|
| cg11047325 | 17 | 76354934 | Island | SOCS3 | Body | 0.0008 | 1.91E-41 |
| cg17501210 | 6 | 166970252 | OpenSea | RPS6KA2 | Body | 0.0007 | 1.40E-38 |
| cg19748455 | 17 | 76274856 | OpenSea | LOC100996291 | TSS1500 | 0.0008 | 2.55E-37 |
| cg00840791 | 19 | 16453259 | OpenSea | | | 0.0011 | 1.02E-35 |
| cg12992827 | 3 | 101901234 | OpenSea | | | 0.0010 | 2.23E-33 |
| cg04051206 | 17 | 17750855 | OpenSea | TOM1L2 | 3'UTR | 0.0005 | 4.19E-31 |
| cg03546163 | 6 | 35654363 | N_Shore | FKBP5 | 5'UTR | 0.0012 | 4.87E-31 |
| cg01526748 | 3 | 191930926 | OpenSea | FGF12 | Body | -0.0005 | 1.97E-30 |
| cg13074526 | 17 | 76274743 | OpenSea | LOC100996291 | TSS200 | 0.0008 | 2.32E-30 |
| cg18608055 | 19 | 1130866 | OpenSea | SBNO2 | Body | 0.0008 | 4.57E-30 |

Table 2: Top 10 differentially methylated probes associated with anti-TNF treatment over time

Short title here

| | | | Relation | Gene | Gene | Coefficient (per | |
|------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|------------------|----------|
| CpG | chr | pos | to Island | name | group | week) | P value |
| cg23320029 | 3 | 171004750 | OpenSea | TNIK | Body | 0.0555 | 4.62E-15 |
| cg16500036 | 7 | 68983906 | OpenSea | | | -0.0409 | 1.61E-14 |
| cg18513344 | 3 | 195531298 | OpenSea | MUC4 | Body | 0.0299 | 4.90E-14 |
| cg21635197 | 6 | 135405808 | OpenSea | | | 0.0389 | 8.71E-13 |
| cg22870160 | 1 | 231830793 | OpenSea | DISC1 | Body | -0.0376 | 1.23E-12 |
| cg03403209 | 16 | 29666641 | OpenSea | | | -0.0247 | 1.51E-12 |
| cg07856599 | 1 | 227151843 | OpenSea | ADCK3 | Body | -0.0411 | 6.82E-12 |
| cg01950011 | 8 | 37396511 | OpenSea | | | -0.0213 | 8.00E-12 |
| cg27216853 | 2 | 10205672 | OpenSea | CYS1 | Body | -0.0371 | 8.79E-12 |
| cg02011576 | 3 | 38060265 | OpenSea | PLCD1 | Body | -0.0387 | 8.82E-12 |

644

645 Table 3: Top 10 differentially methylated probes at baseline associated with anti-TNF drug

646 concentration at week 14

Short title here



647



649 cell proportions over time estimated from the regression analysis is represented in solid blue lines,

and observed cell proportions in faded lines. P value represents the change in individual cell

651 proportions over time.

Short title here



653 654 Figure 2: Manhattan plot of CpG sites associated with change over time following anti-TNF drug

655 exposure regardless of treatment outcome. The top 10 differentially methylated probes with

656 annotations are labelled in the plot. The grey horizontal line represents the significant p value

⁶⁵⁷ threshold of 9×10^{-8} .

Short title here



658

Figure 3: Manhattan plot of CpG sites at baseline associated with anti-TNF drug concentration at

660 week 14. The top 10 CpG sites with their associated gene annotations are labelled in brackets. The

661 grey horizontal line represents the significant p value threshold of 9×10^8 .

Short title here



Figure 4: Coefficients of DMPs associated with anti-TNF drug concentration at week 14 and primary non-response. Coefficients represent the beta values of each CpG from linear mixed effects model to each outcome. Spearman's rho correlation of the coefficients calculated for those that were associated with both anti-TNF drug concentration and primary non-response, and the remaining that were only significant to anti-TNF drug concentration. Abbreviations: PNR = primary non-response.