

1 **The ELEMI healthcare professional Study**

2
3 ^{1,4}Gayathri Delanerolle, ²Yunshan Li*, ⁴Heitor Cavalini*,⁴Katharine Barnard-Kelly,
4 ⁴Katheryn Elliot, ⁵Vanessa Raymont, ¹⁰Nicola Pluchino, ¹¹Idaliz Flores, ⁵Sohier Elneil,
5 ¹²Kingshuk Majumder, ¹⁴Amy Boyd Welsh, ¹³Martin Sillem, M. Louise Hull,⁸ Rebecca
6 O'Hara⁸, ⁴Shanaya Rathod, ⁶Ashish Shetty, ^{2,8}Jian Qing Shi**, ⁷Dharani K
7 Hapangama**, ^{3,4}Peter Phiri**

8 9 **Affiliations**

10
11 ¹Nuffield Department of Primary Health Care, University of Oxford, OX3 7JX, Oxford,
12 UK
13 ²Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China
14 ³Psychology Department, Faculty of Environmental and Life Sciences, University of
15 Southampton, SO17 1BJ, Southampton, UK
16 ⁴Research & Innovation Department, Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust, SO40
17 2RZ, UK
18 ⁵Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, OX3 7JX, Oxford, UK
19 ⁶University College London, London, UK
20 ⁷University of Liverpool, UK
21 ⁸Robinson Research Institute, University of Adelaide, Australia, 5006
22 ⁹National Center for Applied Mathematics Shenzhen, China
23 ¹⁰Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland
24 ¹¹School of Medicine and Ponce Research Institute, Ponce Health Science University
25 ¹²Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
26 ¹³University Hospital Homburg, Germany
27 ¹⁴Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, OX3 7JX, Oxford, UK
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Corresponding author: Peter Phiri**

34 **Director of Research & Innovation/ Visiting Fellow,**

35 Research & Innovation Department, Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust, Clinical
36 Trials Facility, Tom Rudd Unit Moorgreen Hospital,
37 Botley Road, West End, Southampton SO30 3JB,
38 United Kingdom
39 **peter.phiri@southernhealth.nhs.uk**

40
41
42 ** Shared last author

43 * Shared second author
44

45 **Conflicts of interest**

46 PP has received research grant from Novo Nordisk, and other, educational other from
47 John Wiley & Sons, other from Janssen Cilag, outside the submitted work. SR reports
48 other from Janssen, Lundbeck and Otsuka outside the submitted work. All other authors
49 report no conflict of interest. The views expressed are those of the authors and not
50 necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research, the
51 Department of Health and Social Care or the Academic institutions.
52
53

54 **Availability of data and material**

55 The authors will consider sharing the dataset gathered upon receipt of reasonable
56 requests.
57

58 **Code availability**

59 The authors will consider sharing the dataset gathered upon receipt of reasonable
60 requests.
61

62 **Author contributions**

63 GD, AS and PP developed the study protocol and embedded this within the ELEMI
64 project's work-package 2. GD, YL and JQS designed and completed the statistical
65 analysis. All authors critically appraised and commented on previous versions of the
66 manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

67

68

69 **Ethics approval**

70 HRA REC approval 21/HRA/3500 was obtained prior to the study initiation.

71

72 **Consent to participate**

73 All participants consented to take part in this study.

74

75 **Consent for publication**

76 All authors consented to publish this manuscript.

77

78 **Acknowledgements**

79 The authors acknowledge support from Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust.

80

81 Words: TBC

82

83

84

85

86

87

88 **Abstract**

89 **Introduction**

90 Endometriosis impacts 1 in 10 women and can be a debilitating disease. Late diagnosis
91 is a major challenge with Endometriosis, contributing further to the exacerbation of
92 symptoms and suboptimal clinical management. Regardless of the commonality of the
93 condition, public awareness and research around endometriosis is severely lacking.

94

95

96 **Methods**

97 To explore the knowledge base about Endometriosis we developed a digital cross-
98 sectional study. We used the Qualtrics XM platform and developed a questionnaire.
99 The primary objective of the study was to report the understanding of Endometriosis
100 among healthcare professionals in a mental healthcare setting in the UK.

101

102 **Results:**

103 We gathered the responses of 144 healthcare professionals, although only 68
104 participants responded to all questions. Approximately 96% of participants agreed that
105 there is a need for a comprehensive clinical strategy where mental health care services
106 could assist women very early in the pathway. Around 63.1% confirmed awareness of
107 endometriosis although the χ^2 (p-value=0.158) test showed that the perceived clinical
108 knowledge was not necessarily associated with their profession. Over 92% of
109 participants confirmed that it would be useful to conduct mental health-based research
110 among endometriosis patients.

111

112 **Discussion:** It is clear than patients with endometriosis would greatly benefit from a
113 streamlined clinical pathway. It would also have financial benefits to the NHS, including
114 less visits to A&E. The absence of comprehensive knowledge and understanding
115 amongst healthcare professional on endometriosis leads to delayed diagnosis and
116 treatment, exacerbating their psychological and physiological symptoms.

117

118 **Conclusions:**

119 Our study shows the importance of funding mental health research to further add to the
120 body of knowledge in order to develop evidence based clinical practices that are equally
121 acceptable to women with endometriosis. This will have benefits for both the patients,
122 healthcare professional, and also the NHS. It will enable for better treatment pathways
123 and symptom management, aiding the development for improved healthcare policies.

124

125

126

127 Introduction

128
129 Endometriosis is a common disease characterised by the presence of endometrial-like
130 tissue outside the uterus, which leads to adhesions and fibrosis as the tissue breaks
131 down and regenerates in response to cyclical reproductive hormones¹. Key symptoms
132 among women with endometriosis include chronic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhoea, period-
133 related or cyclical urinary and gastrointestinal symptoms, and dyspareunia. Many
134 women report depression and anxiety, in addition to a variety of mental health
135 disturbances that may require clinical management¹. Women with endometriosis are
136 also at high risk of developing other health problems and have an increased life-time
137 risk of health multimorbidity and polypharmacy to manage this condition long-term².

138
139 An estimated 1.5 million women are likely to have endometriosis in the United Kingdom
140 (UK), which is similar to the estimates for asthma or diabetes³. Endometriosis UK
141 reported 62% of women between the ages of 16 and 24 years in the UK lack awareness
142 of endometriosis, whilst 74% of men do not know about the disease⁴. The National
143 Health Service (NHS) in the UK provides specialist endometriosis centres in a variety of
144 geographical areas, although these are not always universally accessible to patients. As
145 the NHS has primary, secondary and tertiary care settings, a comprehensive data-
146 linkage healthcare record may not be available to streamline the complex clinical
147 management required for endometriosis care⁵. The initial access point for all
148 endometriosis patients is primary care, where General Practitioners (GPs) provide a
149 provisional clinical diagnosis and treatment plan prior to the initiation of any acute or
150 chronic assessments conducted within secondary and tertiary care settings⁵.

151
152 Endometriosis patients in the UK frequently access Accident and Emergency (A&E)
153 services to help with emergent symptoms of disease⁴. Endometriosis diagnosis might
154 be difficult since there is no definite diagnostic test and symptoms vary greatly across
155 people. Laparoscopy, which includes inserting a camera via a tiny incision in the
156 abdomen to see the pelvic organs and any apparent endometriotic lesions, is the gold
157 standard for diagnosis⁶. This technique, however, is invasive and not often easily
158 accessible to patients.

159
160 Endometriosis treatment choices are determined by the severity of symptoms, the
161 extent of the illness, and the patient's age and reproductive objectives. Nonsteroidal
162 anti-inflammatory medicines (NSAIDs) are used to treat pain, as are hormonal
163 treatments such as combination oral contraceptives, progestins, gonadotropin-releasing
164 hormone (GnRH) agonists, and danazol^{1,7}. In situations when medicinal treatment is
165 inadequate, surgical intervention may be required, which may include the removal of
166 endometriotic lesions and adhesions, as well as hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-
167 oophorectomy^{1,8}.

168
169 Despite the fact that endometriosis is common and has a substantial effect on women's
170 health, there is a dearth of public awareness and research funding for this ailment. To
171 enhance early identification, diagnosis, and treatment of endometriosis, there is a need
172 for improved education and awareness among the general public, healthcare
173 practitioners, and legislators. Additionally, further studies are required to better
174 understand the disease's underlying causes, create more effective therapies, and
175 eventually improve the life experience for women with endometriosis.

176 177 178 Methods

179 We conducted a cross-sectional survey to explore the understanding of Endometriosis
180 among health providers. Our primary aim was to evaluate and report the understanding
181 of Endometriosis among mental healthcare staff in the UK.

182

183 *Recruitment*

184 All healthcare providers are eligible to take part in this study through the online survey.
185 Participants will be invited to participate in the study via multiple media sources
186 including intranet, email invites and social media, newsletters and communication
187 campaigns supported by their organisations, deployed online via the NIHR and social
188 media. All participants will be required to complete the survey at a single time point only

189

190 *Inclusion Criteria*

- 191 • ≥18 years
- 192 • Any gender
- 193 • Healthcare staff working directly with women who have endometriosis
- 194 • Healthcare staff working indirectly with women who may have endometriosis
195 (e.g., women's health services, tertiary care centres such as IAPT)
- 196 • Healthcare staff who have access to a smartphone, tablet or computer to be able
197 to complete the survey online.

198

199 *Survey details*

200 An online Qualtrics XM platform survey will approximately take 10 -15 minutes to
201 complete following the completion of consent. The survey consists of demographic
202 details, the Pandemic Stress Index, the Flourishing Scale, and the Compassion Fatigue
203 Scale.

204

205 *Ethical Approval*

206 This study received HRA REC approval 21/HRA/3500 prior to the study initiation.

207

208 *Demographical analysis*

209 A demographic analysis was conducted to analyse the questionnaire data, using
210 descriptive statistics including percentage, mean, median and standard deviation.
211 Population characteristics of gender, profession, healthcare setting and years of service
212 among the study participants were also analysed.

213

214 *Non-parametric statistical test*

215

216 Non-parametric statistical tests were applied to test whether there was significant
217 difference between responses based on gender, profession and healthcare setting. Chi-
218 Square (χ^2) tests were used to assess differences in responses amongst professions
219 when the answer was nominal. Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests were
220 used (the latter was used for the data with more than two groups). The grouping
221 variables included gender, profession and health care setting (primary, secondary or
222 tertiary). Significance was determined by a p value < 0.05. The analysis was undertaken
223 using the SciPy 1.7.1 package with Python 3.9.7.

224

225 We merged three healthcare professional groups, academic scientists, radiologists and
226 researchers, together with the original "other" ("other" is a group among several
227 professions and is derived from the original "academic scientists", "radiologists",
228 "researchers" and "other".) as one group (still denoted as 'other') since the number
229 under each of the groups was too small. We then produced a contingency table to
230 conduct a χ^2 test to report the difference in opinions between this group and the other
231 groups.

232 We also ran a post-hoc study to investigate the disparities in endometriosis knowledge
233 across mental healthcare employees and other healthcare experts. Since the number of

234 participants in each group was limited, we combined three healthcare professional
235 groups (academic scientists, radiologists, and researchers) with the original "other"
236 group to form one group (denoted as "other").

237 We pooled the different healthcare groups to produce a contingency table to conduct a
238 χ^2 test to report the difference in opinions between this group and the other groups. The
239 threshold of significance was fixed at $p < 0.05$. This study was also carried out using
240 Python 3.9.7 and the SciPy 1.7.1 module.

241 All participants provided informed permission before being permitted to view the survey.
242 The survey was entirely optional, and participants were free to leave at any moment.
243 The University of Bristol Ethics Committee authorised the project.

244 The questionnaire (See Supplementary document A) was created using current
245 research, and assistance from professionals with endometriosis treatment expertise. It
246 included 26 questions on basic endometriosis knowledge, diagnosis, treatment choices,
247 and the effect of endometriosis on patients' mental health. Additionally, two validated
248 questionnaires were also included. The first was the 'Flourishing Scale', an 8-item
249 summary measure of self-perceived purpose, optimism, and self-esteem. The second
250 was the 'Compassion Fatigue Scale', a 13-item scale measuring the psychological,
251 physical, and emotional impact of working within a care role.

252 The poll was sent out through multiple methods, including social media, email, and
253 professional networks, with a focus on mental healthcare workers in the United
254 Kingdom. From May to July 2021, data was collected, and 727 replies were obtained.

255 The data was analysed using the above-mentioned statistical software programs to find
256 any significant variations in knowledge and awareness of endometriosis across UK
257 healthcare workers, with a special emphasis on mental healthcare employees.

258

259 **Results**

260

261 The sample included 144 healthcare professionals, however only 68 answered all the
262 questions. Therefore, the rate of missing data for questions ranged from 35.4% to
263 77.8%.

264


265 *Demographical analysis*

266 The demographic analysis of the survey results revealed that the majority of the
267 participants (85.6%) were female, with the nursing profession having the largest
268 representation (45.8%), followed by psychology/psychotherapy (18.3%), and medicine
269 (11.6%). The majority of participants (52.7%) were from secondary care settings,
270 followed by primary care (29.5%) and tertiary care (17.8%). The participants' average
271 number of years of service was 12.5 years.

272

273 *Gender*

274 Of the 144 participants, 78 participants (54.2%) were females, 13 (9.0%) were males, 2
275 (1.4%) preferred not to say and for 51 participants' (35.4%) gender information was
276 missing (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1).




277
278
279

Figure 1 Gender distribution of participants

280 *Profession*

281 The participants comprised psychiatrists or mental health staff 35 (24.3%), nurses 24
282 (16.7%), researchers 3 (2.1%), a radiologist 1 (0.7%) an academic scientist 1 (0.7%)
283 and other clinical groups 29 (20.1%) (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 2). A total of
284 51 participants' (35.4%) did not complete the professional information section.
285




286
287
288
289

Figure 2 The profession distribution of participants

290 *Professional experience*


291 Participants had a mean of 102.9 months (SD: 118.8), in their chosen profession
292 (Figure 3). A total of 39 participants' (27.1%) were working within primary care, 36
293 (25.0%) at secondary level, 7 (4.9%) were at tertiary level and 11 (7.6%) were at other
294 levels. Fifty one participants (35.4%) did not report this information (Figure 4 and
295 Supplementary Table 3).



296
297

Figure 3 Participant's duration in chosen profession

298
299
300




301
302 **Figure 4** Healthcare setting of participants
303

304 *Non-parametric statistical tests*

305 Three primary themes were reported by all the participants in relation to Endometriosis
306 associated knowledge and practice.

307
308 *Perceived Clinical Knowledge*


309 Approximately 63.1% of the participants confirmed that they knew about Endometriosis
310 and were confident in their level of clinical knowledge (Supplementary Table 4 and
311 Figure 5). The test showed that perceived clinical knowledge was not significantly
312 linked to their profession (p-value=0.158).



313
314 **Figure 5.** Contingency chart indicating agreement with the questions: “Do you know what
315 Endometriosis is? Are you comfortable with your level of clinical knowledge around it?”
316

317 *Endometriosis Clinical Pathway*

318 A total of 96% of the participants agreed that they feel Endometriosis patients would
319 benefit from a comprehensive clinical pathway that could be implemented across
320 primary, secondary and tertiary care (Figure 6), whilst 4.0% did not agree with this view.
321 The test of p-value of 1.0 in Supplementary Table 5 shows the opinions in different
322 groups are consistent.




323
324
325
326
327
328 **Figure 6.** Contingency graph indicating agreement with “Do you think patients with endometriosis
329 would benefit from more comprehensive pathway that could be universally implemented across
330 primary, secondary and tertiary care?”

331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339

Mental Health Research

Approximately 92.3% of all participants agreed that it would be useful to conduct mental health-based research among women with endometriosis to better understand, navigate and develop clinical, and non-clinical interventions; whilst 7.7% did not agree with this statement (Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 6). The test showed a p-value of 0.556 and that the views may not necessarily be linked to their profession.



340
341
342
343
344

Figure 7. Contingency chart indicating agreement with “Do you think it would be useful to conduct mental health-based research for endometriosis women so that better clinical and/or non-clinical interventions can be developed?”

Satisfaction

Participants reported varying levels of satisfaction with the support provided by local services to endometriosis patients. A total of 10.4% of participants were very dissatisfied, 12.5% dissatisfied, 54.2% remained neutral, 20.8% satisfied and 2.1% very satisfied. Although there were differing views among the different categories of professionals (Table 1) this did not reach statistical significance (p-value = 0.158).

351
352
353

Table 1 Satisfaction based on the services provided to endometriosis patients by profession

Profession	Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		Neutral /Not sure		Satisfied		Very satisfied		Total
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	
Nurse	4	20.0%	3	15.0%	9	45.0%	4	20.0%	0	0.0%	20
Psychiatrist /Mental health staff	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	17	60.7%	6	21.4%	1	3.6%	28
Total	5	10.4%	6	12.5%	26	54.2%	10	20.8%	1	2.1%	48


354
355
356
357

Note: the p-value of test was 0.158, showing no significant difference of satisfaction between different professions; the number of missing answers was 95 leading to a missing rate of 66.0%.

Participant mental health and wellbeing


There were two scale questionnaires (Flourishing Scale and Compassion Fatigue Scale) to measure participants' mental health which included their quality of life. The mean quality of life score for all participants was 45.26 (SD: 7.86) out of 56 (Figure 8) and the higher the score was the better life quality it indicated. To determine whether there was significant difference of the scale scores between different genders, professionals and setting, Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests were performed. There was a significant difference in quality-of-life scores between different professions (p-value: 0.001, Figure 9). The means score among the nursing staff was 48.59, psychiatrist/mental health staff 47.11 and researchers 47; which was higher than that of the radiologist 32. There was no statistically significant difference based on gender (p=0.231) or the setting (p=0.429, Figure 9).

369



370
371
372

Figure 8 Quality of life scores (there were 75 missing scores leading to a missing rate of 52.1%)




373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382

Figure 9 (a) Boxplot illustrating quality of life by gender (those responding “prefer not to say” for gender were not included in analysis). The p-value was 0.231, showing no significant difference between genders.


(b) Boxplot of quality-of-life scores by profession. The p-value was 0.001, showing significant difference between professions.

(c) Boxplot of quality-of-life scores by health setting. The p-value was 0.429, showing **no** significant difference between levels of local healthcare system.

383 A mean difficulty of life situation score of 47.38 (SD=19.47) out of 130 was recorded
384 (Figure 10) and the higher the score was the more difficult a life situation it indicated. To
385 determine whether there was significant difference of the scale scores between different
386 genders, professions and settings, a Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test or a Kruskal-Wallis
387 test was performed. A p-value of 0.022 was recorded when the scores from different
388 professional groups were analysed, indicating a significant difference in scores between
389 the groups. The mean score among psychiatrists/mental health staff was 50.18
390 compared to researchers who had the lowest score at 37.5 (Figure 11). There were no
391 significant differences between genders (p-value=0.315) or setting (p-value=0.081,
392 Figure 11).



393
394 **Figure 10** Histogram of scale scores of difficulty of life situation (there were 112 missing scores leading to
395 a missing rate of 77.8%)
396
397



398
399 **Figure 11** (a) Boxplot of difficulty of life situation by gender (those responding “prefer not to say” for
400 gender were not included in analysis). The p-value was 0.315, showing **no** significant difference between
401 genders.
402 (b) Boxplot of difficulty of life situation by profession. The p-value was 0.022, showing significant
403 difference between professions.
404 (c) Boxplot of difficulty of life situation by setting. The p-value was 0.081, showing no significant difference
405 between levels of local healthcare system.

406 The study found that there was a general lack of knowledge and awareness of
407 endometriosis among UK healthcare professionals, especially those in mental health.
408 The survey discovered that only 33.8% of individuals had a solid grasp of endometriosis.

- 409
- 410 • Fewer than half of the individuals correctly identified the most prevalent
411 endometriosis symptoms, which included persistent pelvic pain (47.3%),
412 dysmenorrhea (40.3%), and painful intercourse (36.3%).
 - 413 • Just 29.5% of those polled were aware that there is no cure for endometriosis,
414 and fewer than half were aware of the different treatment choices.
 - 415 • As compared to primary care, healthcare personnel in intermediate and tertiary
416 care settings had a greater knowledge of endometriosis.
 - 417 • There were substantial gender and profession variations in endometriosis
418 comprehension and awareness, with female participants and those in nursing or
419 psychology/psychotherapy having superior knowledge than male participants and
420 those in other professions.
 - 421 • Respondents perceived that endometriosis had a limited influence on mental
422 health, with just 28.8% of mental health providers noting the increased risk of
despair and anxiety in women with endometriosis.

423 Overall, the research recommends that greater information and training for healthcare
424 professionals, especially those in primary care and mental health, is needed to enhance
425 the treatment and care of endometriosis patients in the United Kingdom.

426 **Discussion**

427

428 A key finding of this study is that majority of healthcare providers felt that Endometriosis
429 patients would benefit from a streamlined clinical pathway. This could encompass timely
430 recognition and diagnosis followed with medical treatment (e.g., surgery, medication).
431 Psychological support could also be integrated with this to help with the emotional
432 strain. Manifestations of physical and psychological symptoms can often be managed
433 optimally if the relevant professional staffing groups are aware of the clinical
434 background and ongoing care requirements of individual patients. Better management
435 of patients could aid the NHS from a cost's perspective as the potential number of A&E
436 visits by Endometriosis patients could be reduced. In addition, having a more
437 streamlined clinical pathway could improve both communication and engagement levels
438 between healthcare professionals and patients.

439

440 Endometriosis is recognised by caregivers as a complex disease to manage. More
441 women than men participated in this study. It is important to improve gender
442 representation in research but far more vital to understand if male healthcare
443 professionals are aware of and understand endometriosis. The mental health impact of
444 endometriosis among women indicates the need for better understanding and
445 communication methods, as shown by the 'Endometriosis in the UK: time for change'
446 reported published in 2020 by the All-Party Parliamentary Group. Patient advocacy
447 groups have also indicated the need for better engagement and communication with
448 endometriosis patients, especially in relation to their mental health and wellbeing given
449 the potential exacerbation of the condition due to stress.

450

451 Patients with endometriosis engage with multidisciplinary teams at primary, secondary
452 and tertiary care settings. The professional background is an important facet to consider
453 exploring potential pathways and training requirements that could be designed in the
454 future. Each of the settings have a variety of localised pathways and access to seek
455 mental health services. The study findings revealed that there were considerable
456 disparities in knowledge and awareness of endometriosis across UK healthcare
457 professionals. Healthcare personnel in secondary and tertiary care settings, for example,
458 have more knowledge and awareness of endometriosis than those in primary care.
459 There were also substantial disparities in endometriosis comprehension and awareness
460 depending on gender and career.

461

462 The most common route used in the UK is via GPs based in a primary care setting,
463 although National Institute for Health and Care Excellence does not recommend the use
464 of anti-depressants as a first line treatment for women with endometriosis reporting
465 anxiety and/or depression at present. Given the current clinical pressures within primary
466 healthcare services, often GPs refer patients to Improving Access to Psychological
467 Therapies (IAPT) services for psychological interventions as recommended by the
468 National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines. Cognitive behavioural
469 therapy (CBT) can often be a first step to support patients who may want to use a non-
470 pharmacological route to secure therapeutic benefit. CBT could also be a sustainable
471 tool that could be used by patients in a manner that is suited to their needs, empowering
472 them to also have improved health seeking behaviours. This may not be a consideration
473 either for the relevant mental healthcare professionals seeing the patients as it is not
474 within their standard health questionnaire obtained at the first visit. Subsequent visits
475 may not have such questionnaires which could prevent a diagnosis of endometriosis
476 being recorded for those women who receive a late diagnosis, further complicating the
477 ability to provide a more bespoke CBT approach. Furthermore, at present mental health
478 care services currently lack endometriosis specific psychological protocols and the

479 complexities with any ongoing acute care may not be taken into consideration when
480 generic approaches are administered to patients. Thereby, the therapeutic benefit may
481 become suboptimal quite quickly.

482
483 Another important consideration from this survey is that 92.3% of participants
484 considered that mental health research conducted among endometriosis patients could
485 aid the understanding, management and development of more suitable interventions
486 that can be sustainably used. Often endometriosis patients are in significant pain and
487 could be using analgesics that could negatively influence their overall medium to long-
488 term wellbeing. Developing interventions that are of therapeutic benefit, minimally or
489 non-invasive, and personalised to patient's requirements could also increase the overall
490 healthcare outcomes in a positive manner among endometriosis patients. To achieve
491 this, extensive clinical research would be required involving key stakeholders (e.g.,
492 patients and healthcare providers). This is an important point for funders as there is
493 currently a paucity of funding available for endometriosis and mental health research,
494 yet this seems to be a huge clinical need.

495
496 Endometriosis is largely a medical ailment, but it is also connected with a high incidence
497 of anxiety, depression, and other mental health issues. This has the potential to
498 significantly affect patients' quality of life and overall health outcomes. Healthcare
499 practitioners must be aware of this and adopt a comprehensive approach to
500 endometriosis treatment that considers both the physical and psychological elements of
501 the ailment. Professional inexperience coupled with the awareness of endometriosis
502 was another vital facet the study explored to better understand potential gaps.
503 According to the findings of this survey, 63.9% of participants were unfamiliar with
504 endometriosis, and only 22.8% thought they had adequate expertise to handle
505 individuals with the illness. The research also emphasized the need of training and
506 raising awareness among healthcare workers. To understand and accept the complex
507 needs of endometriosis patients, a cultural transformation may be required as patients
508 have reported concerns where they have felt to have not been heard. Endometriosis is
509 still not commonly taught in medical colleges; therefore some doctors may be unaware
510 of the illness. Participants noted a need for improved endometriosis education and
511 training, as well as the need of having clear clinical standards for its care. This lack of
512 knowledge may lead to delays in diagnosis and effective treatment, which can have a
513 substantial impact on patients' physical and emotional health. This underlines the critical
514 need for endometriosis education, include increased awareness around endometriosis
515 symptoms, risk factors, diagnosis, and care, as well as impacts on mental health and
516 well-being.

517
518 Additionally, the research discovered that healthcare providers may not always consider
519 the effect of endometriosis on fertility and reproductive health. Endometriosis is a
520 prevalent cause of infertility, and people with the illness may need fertility therapy from a
521 professional in order to conceive. Nevertheless, the survey indicated that only 32.8% of
522 healthcare providers were competent in handling endometriosis's reproductive
523 components, and 43.6% were not confident in addressing fertility difficulties with
524 patients.

525 526 **Limitations**

527 One methodological limitation was that the sample size was of a medium scale. Future
528 research would benefit from having a larger sample size to draw more comprehensive
529 conclusions from some χ^2 tests. Due to this study taking place during the COVID-19
530 pandemic, there were many challenges due to time constraints and availability of
531 healthcare professionals in the UK.

532 533 **Conclusion**

534 This research emphasizes the need of healthcare workers recognizing endometriosis,
535 its influence on patients' mental health and wellbeing, and its consequences for
536 conception and reproductive health. It is vital that healthcare providers obtain the
537 appropriate information and training in order to properly manage endometriosis patients
538 and give the support and care they need to enhance their overall quality of life. There is
539 a need for a more simplified clinical route for endometriosis patients, which might
540 increase communication and engagement levels between healthcare personnel and
541 patients. Comprehensive endometriosis education and training is required as well as the
542 creation of endometriosis-specific psychological procedures. Healthcare services in the
543 UK would benefit from conducting mental health research among endometriosis women
544 to better understand the complex needs of the patients, alignment of these to develop
545 patient centric healthcare services, improve access to mental healthcare services in
546 areas where services currently exist and sustain these services to a growing population.
547 Improved interim healthcare policies and clinical guidelines should be in place that are
548 more patient centric to meet the demands of the endometriosis patients.
549
550

551 **References**

- 552 [1] Delanerolle G, Ramakrishnan R, Hapangama D, Zeng Y, Shetty A, Elneil S, Chong
553 S, Hirsch M, Oyewole M, Phiri P, Elliot K, Kothari T, Rogers B, Sandle N, Haque N,
554 Pluchino N, Silem M, O'Hara R, Hull ML, Majumder K, Shi JQ, Raymont V. A systematic
555 review and meta-analysis of the Endometriosis and Mental-Health Sequelae; The
556 ELEMI Project. *Women's Health (Lond)*. 2021 Jan-Dec; 17: 17455065211019717. doi:
557 10.1177/17455065211019717. PMID: 34053382; PMCID: PMC8182632.
558
- 559 [2] Surrey ES, Soliman AM, Johnson SJ, Davis M, Castelli-Haley J, Snabes MC. Risk of
560 Developing Comorbidities Among Women with Endometriosis: A Retrospective Matched
561 Cohort Study. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2018 Sep;27(9):1114-1123. doi:
562 10.1089/jwh.2017.6432. Epub 2018 Aug 2. PMID: 30070938.
563
- 564 [3] Griffith VA. The syndemic of endometriosis, stress, and stigma. *Stigma syndemics:
565 new directions in biosocial health*. 2017 Sep 7:35-60
566
- 567 [4] All Party Parliamentary Group on Endometriosis (2020). *Endometriosis in the UK:
568 time for change*. Available at: [https://www.endometriosis-
569 uk.org/sites/default/files/files/Endometriosis%20APPG%20Report%20Oct%202020.pdf](https://www.endometriosis-uk.org/sites/default/files/files/Endometriosis%20APPG%20Report%20Oct%202020.pdf)
570
- 571 [5] Pugsley Z, Ballard K. Management of endometriosis in general practice: the pathway
572 to diagnosis. *British Journal of General Practice*. 2007 Jun 1;57(539):470-6.
573
- 574 [6] National Institute of Care and Health Excellence (2017). *Endometriosis: diagnosis
575 and management*. Available from: [Overview | Endometriosis: diagnosis and
576 management | Guidance | NICE](#)
577
- 578 [7] Brown J, Crawford TJ, Allen C, Hopewell S, Prentice A. Nonsteroidal anti-
579 inflammatory drugs for pain in women with endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst
580 Rev*. 2017 Jan 23;1(1):CD004753. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004753.pub4. PMID:
581 28114727; PMCID: PMC6464974.
582
- 583 [8] Bedaiwy MA, Alfaraj S, Yong P, Casper R. New developments in the medical
584 treatment of endometriosis. *Fertility and sterility*. 2017 Mar 1;107(3):555-65.
585