## **1** Comparison of 2D and 3D oxygen-enhanced MRI of the placenta

2

3	Penny L. Hubbard Cristinacce <sup>1</sup> , Minal Patel <sup>2</sup> , Alexander Oh <sup>1</sup> , Josephine H. Naish <sup>1</sup> , Edward D.
4	Johnstone <sup>2</sup> , Emma Ingram <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Division of Cardiovascular Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of
- 6 Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PT, UK.<sup>2</sup> Division of Developmental Biology and Medicine, Faculty
- 7 of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PT, UK.
- 8

```
9 Abstract
```

10 Oxygen-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (OE-MRI) of the human placenta is potentially a

11 sensitive marker of in vivo oxygenation. This methodological study shows that full coverage of the

12 placenta is possible using 3D mapping of the change in longitudinal relaxation rate ( $\Delta R_1$ ), in a group

13 of healthy pregnant subjects breathing elevated levels of oxygen.

14 Twelve pregnant subjects underwent a comparison of 2D and 3D OE-MRI.  $\Delta R_1$  was mapped for a 15 single 2D slice (ss-2D), a single matched-slice from the 3D volume (ss-3D) and the full 3D volume 16 (vol-3D).

17 The group-average median  $\Delta R_1$  values for ss-3D (0.023 s<sup>-1</sup>) and vol-3D (0.022 s<sup>-1</sup>) do not differ

significantly from ss-2D (0.020 s<sup>-1</sup>), when compared using a two-tailed paired t-test (ss-3D (p = 0.58)

and vol-3D (p = 0.70)). However, median baseline  $T_1 (T_{1b})$  for ss-2D was higher (1603 ms) than  $T_{1b}$  for

- ss-3D (1540 ms, p=0.07) and significantly higher than vol-3D (1515 ms, p = 0.02), when compared
- using a two-tailed paired t-test. In contrast with previous studies, no correlation of median  $\Delta R_1$  with
- 22 gestation age at scan for the normal group (N = 10) was observed for ss-2D, likely due to the smaller
- 23 gestational range.

- Full volume OE-MRI maps reveal sensitivity to changes in  $\Delta R_1$ , with some participants showing an
- 25 enhanced gradient in the intermediate space between the fetal and maternal sides of the placenta in
- 26 the 3D data. This study shows that it is feasible to acquire whole placental volume OE-MRI data on a
- 27 Philips 1.5 T MRI scanner. Further work is necessary to implement this increased coverage on the
- 28 scanners of other vendors.

## 29 Introduction

30	Oxygen-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (OE-MRI) of the human placenta has shown promise
31	in examining placental function(1,2) and dysfunction(3) by being sensitive to in vivo oxygenation.
32	Abnormalities of placental function can be associated with fetal growth restriction (FGR), which
33	leads to increased neonatal morbidity and mortality (4,5). Non-invasive measurements of placental
34	hypoxia, which is associated with peripheral hypovascularity and increased vascular resistance(6),
35	have the potential to identify FGR independent of fetal size.
36	The spin-lattice relaxation time ( $T_1$ ) of tissue is shortened when a subject breathes an elevated
37	concentration of oxygen due to the paramagnetic effect of the additional dissolved oxygen. This
38	change can be quantitatively assessed by examining the change in $R_1$ (where $R_1 = 1/T_1$ ), when a
39	subject is switched from breathing air to 100% oxygen. Previous studies $(1-3)$ have investigated this
40	change by acquiring a single two-dimensional (2D) $T_1$ -weighted slice through the placenta. Here we
41	investigate the feasibility of obtaining whole placental oxygenation maps using a three-dimensional
42	(3D) volume acquisition.
43	The aim of the study was to investigate whether a 3D volume acquisition shows the same
44	quantitative trends in $R_1$ as a single 2D slice, and to assess whether the increased volume covered
45	could offer more sensitivity to placental heterogeneity. Full 3D coverage of the placenta may
46	therefore allow a more comprehensive assessment of the placental oxygenation, as well as assessing
47	known changes in gross tissue morphology.
48	

## 49 Methods

50 Twelve subjects were recruited from St Mary's Hospital, Manchester, following informed consent

51 (ethical approval REC:09/H1013/77 and 14/NW/0195). A summary of subject demographic and

52 pregnancy outcome is given in Table 1. All subjects had normal uterine artery Doppler flow

(pulsatility index <95<sup>th</sup> centile at 22-24 weeks) and normal umbilical artery Doppler flow (pulsatility
index <95th percentile and positive end-diastolic flow)(9). Pregnancies were subsequently classified</li>
as potentially abnormal based on an individualised birth ratio (IBR) <5<sup>th</sup> percentile (Gestation-related
Optimal Weight (10)). Two women did not meet the outcome criteria for assignment to the normal
group.

58

Participant No.	Maternal Age Range (years)	BMI	Gestational age at scan (days)	Placental position	Delivery Gestation (days)	Birth weight (g)	IBR (centile)
1	31-35	24.3	196	Posterior	266	2730	10.8
2	16-20	29	169	Anterior	280	3289	19.7
3	26-30	36.9	176	Anterior	292	2900	1.3*
4	27-30	37.9	172	Posterior	265	2900	17
5	36-40	28.3	195	Posterior	270	3420	59.4
6	21-25	25	169	Anterior	282	3674	41
7	36-40	18.1	178	Posterior	273	3682	81
8	26-30	29.7	174	Posterior	236	2660	98
9	26-30	26.8	170	Anterior	275	2500	1.4*
10	31-35	17.4	176	Posterior	286	2950	12
11	26-30	21.3	106	Posterior	287	3106	15
12	31-35	21.5	194	Anterior	272	2830	7

<sup>59</sup> 

 Table 1 Subject demographic and pregnancy outcomes.

61

62 Subjects were scanned using a 1.5T Philips Achieva MRI scanner (Philips Medical Systems Best, NL)

63 whilst supine with a left lateral tilt, to reduce aortocaval compression by the gravid uterus. A cardiac-

64 receiver coil was placed on the abdomen, covering the entire uterus. Non-rebreathing masks

65 (Intersurgical, Wokingham, UK) delivered medical air or 100% oxygen at a flow rate of 15 L/min to

66 the subjects and respiratory triggering was used to minimise motion from maternal breathing.

<sup>60 \*</sup>Abnormal based on an individualised birth ratio (IBR) <5<sup>th</sup> percentile.

67	$T_2$ -weighted structural scans were used to determine the position of the placenta and plan the OE-
68	MRI slices. Two inversion recovery OE-MRI protocols were performed: a 3D turbo-spin echo with
69	half Fourier acquisition (3D-HASTE) for full placental coverage and a 2D turbo-spin echo (2D-TSE), as
70	used in previous studies(2,3). The single 2D slice positioned perpendicular to the placenta at the
71	level of the cord insertion. The orientation of the 3D-HASTE matched that of the 2D-TSE. For both
72	OE-MRI protocols a $T_1$ map was calculated using a set of inversion recovery images, followed by a
73	dynamic series of $T_1$ -weighted images during which the gas supply to the subject is switched from
74	medical air (21%) to 100% oxygen in order to determine $\Delta R_1$ .
75	3D OE-MRI protocol
76	The majority of the data were acquired as follows: $T_1$ mapping data for the 3D-HASTE acquisitions
77	consisted of 5 separate acquisitions with inversion times (TI): 50, 300, 1100, 2000 ms and no

- inversion pulse (≈TR of 8 s, dependant on triggering), TE of 9 ms, 450 x 450 mm<sup>2</sup> FOV, matrix size 176
- x 176, voxel size 2.56 x 2.56 mm<sup>2</sup>, slice thickness 10 mm and 48 slices. Respiratory-triggered  $T_1$ -
- 80 weighted images were acquired dynamically at 40 time points with a temporal resolution of ~8 s
- 81 (triggered off of every other breath), a TI of 1400 ms, with matching TE, FOV, matrix size, voxel size,
- 82 slice thickness and number of slices. On the 10<sup>th</sup> dynamic, medical air was switched to 100% oxygen.
- 83 On completion of the 3D dynamic acquisition, the supply was switched back to medical air and a 2-
- 84 minute interval was observed to return the oxygen levels to baseline.
- 85 Updated protocol:
- 86 In 2 data sets (subjects 11 and 12) the first TI, matrix size and voxel size were changed to match the

87 2D OE-MRI protocol below (60 ms, 128 x 128, 3.52 x 3.52 mm<sup>2</sup>).

## 88 2D OE-MRI protocol

T<sub>1</sub> maps for the 2D-TSE acquisitions consisted of 5 inversions times (TI): 60, 300, 1100, 2000 ms and no TI. TR = TI + 8000 ms, TE of 5.4 ms, 450 x 450 mm<sup>2</sup> FOV, matrix size 128 x 128, voxel size 3.52 x

- 91  $3.52 \text{ mm}^2$  and slice thickness 10 mm. Respiratory-triggered T<sub>1</sub>-weighted images were acquired
- 92 dynamically at 40 time points with a temporal resolution of ~9.4 s and a TI of 1400 ms. On the 10<sup>th</sup>
- 93 dynamic, medical air was switched to 100% oxygen.

94 Analysis

- 95 Data were analysed using in-house Python code. An ROI was defined on the TI = 300 ms image,
- 96 which incorporated the entire placenta as observed on each slice. Care was taken on the fetal side of
- 97 the placenta and at each end to exclude areas likely to be affected by motion. A T<sub>1</sub> map was created
- 98 by fitting a 3-parameter inversion recovery model to the data on a voxel-wise basis. The baseline/on
- 99 air  $T_1(T_{1b})$  was used to calculate the change in  $R_1(\Delta R_1)$  for each dynamic in the  $T_1$ -weighted series, as
- described elsewhere(1). The single slice from the 2D OE-MRI (ss-2D) was compared with a single
- 101 matched slice from the 3D volume (ss-3D) and the entire volume (vol-3D). The slice was matched by
- 102 using the 3D slice with the closest slice location, according to the DICOM header and checked
- 103 visually.
- 104 Voxel-wise  $\Delta R_1$  was calculated by taking the mean of the last 20 dynamics during the oxygen plateau
- and plotted as maps for both 2D and 3D data. Median and inter-quartile range (IQR) across the ROI
- 106 of  $\Delta R_1$  and  $T_{1b}$  were calculated for each subject, to limit the influence of outliers in the voxel-wise
- 107 maps. The correlation was calculated for median and IQR  $\Delta R_1$  and  $T_{1b}$  against gestational age at the
- scan, as in (1–3). The significance of the correlation was measured by using the Pearson correlation
- 109 coefficient and presented as r and *P* values.
- 110 Bland-Altman plots were produced to quantitatively assess the agreement between the two
- 111 methods, with 95% confidence intervals (limits of agreement) calculated as the mean difference ±
- 112 (1.96 × standard deviation of the difference). The ROIs for subjects identified as outliers on these
- 113 plots were inspected visually on the dynamic data.

114 Example videos of the 3D  $\Delta R_1$  maps can be found in the online supplementary material.

- 115 Two women who did not meet the outcome criteria for assignment to normal group (IBR <5<sup>th</sup>
- percentile) were removed from the gestational correlation analysis. All 12 subjects remained in all
- 117 other comparisons.
- 118 Results
- 119 In Figure 1 the subject-average  $\Delta R_1$  is plotted against dynamic number for the ss-2D data and the vol-
- 120 3D data. For both, the signal increases from baseline after the oxygen concentration is increased
- 121 (10<sup>th</sup> dynamic) and plateaus by the 20<sup>th</sup> dynamic image. The ss-2D and vol-3D curves plateaus around
- 122 the same  $\Delta R_1$  with a similar standard deviation on each dynamic  $\Delta R_1$ .



Dynamic  $\Delta R_1$  curves

123

**Figure 1** Mean (line) and standard deviation of the dynamic  $\Delta R_1$  curves against dynamic image

125 number for ss-2D (grey) compared with vol-3D (green).

- 127 Table 2 shows the group average median  $\Delta R_1$  for ss-2D (0.020 s<sup>-1</sup>), ss-3D (0.023 s<sup>-1</sup>) and vol-3D (0.022
- 128  $s^{-1}$ ) and that ss-3D (p = 0.58) and vol-3D (p = 0.70) do not differ significantly from ss-2D when
- 129 compared using a two-tailed paired t-test. However, median T<sub>1b</sub> for ss-2D was higher (1603 ms) than
- 130  $T_{1b}$  for ss-3D (1540 ms, p=0.07) and significantly higher than vol-3D (1515 ms, p = 0.02), when
- 131 compared using a two-tailed paired t-test. Correlation of median  $\Delta R_1$  with gestation age at scan for
- the normal group (N = 10) revealed no trend with gestational age for ss-2D (p = 0.99), ss-3D (p =
- 133 0.14) and vol-3D (p = 0.24).

	ss-2D	ss-3D	vol-3D
median ΔR1, s <sup>-1</sup>	0.020	0.023	0.022
	(0.004-0.038)	(-0.006-0.047)	(-0.003-0.048)
IQR ∆R1, s <sup>-1</sup>	0.024	0.025	0.027
	(0.012-0.048)	(0.015-0.045)	(0.015-0.038)
median $\Delta R_1$ – gestation	r 0.00	r -0.25	r -0.17
	p 0.99	p 0.14	p 0.24
IQR $\Delta R_1$ – gestation	r -0.18	r -0.45	r -0.64
	p 0.23	p 0.03	p 0.005
median T <sub>1b</sub> , ms	1603	1540	1515
	(1305-1679)	(1418-1693)	(1374-1723)
IQR T <sub>1b</sub> , ms	177	196	217
	(86-275)	(122-338)	(152-340)
median $T_{1b}$ – gestation	r 0.22	r 0.11	r 0.00
	р 0.17	р 0.77	p 0.99
IQR T <sub>1b</sub> – gestation	r 0.19	r -0.39	r -0.45
	p 0.20	p 0.05	p 0.03

134 **Table 2** Group average median and IQR  $\Delta R_1$  and  $T_{1b}$  values (12 subjects) and group average median

and IQR  $\Delta R_1$  and T<sub>1b</sub> values against gestational age at scan (10 subjects) for ss-2D, ss-3D and vol-3D.

136

137 Table 2 also shows the inter-quartile range (IQR) of  $\Delta R_1$ , a measure of heterogeneity. The ss-2D

values are not significantly different from ss-3D (p = 0.94) or vol-3D (p = 0.36) when compared using

139 a two-tailed paired t-test. Correlation of IQR  $\Delta R_1$  with gestation age at scan revealed lower values at

longer gestation for the 3D data, ss-3D (p = 0.03) and vol-3D (p = 0.005).

- 141 A Bland–Altman plot shown in Figure 2 was used to investigate the agreement between  $\Delta R_1$  for ss-
- 142 2D with ss-3D and vol-3D for the individual subjects. The plots show no outliers for ss-2D and ss-3D
- 143 or ss-2D and vol-3D. The 2D 3D difference in  $\Delta R_1$  is a smaller magnitude than the mean  $\Delta R_1$ . The
- 144 average difference appears to decrease slightly as  $\Delta R_1$  increases. Figure 3 show the Bland–Altman
- plots comparing the T<sub>1b</sub> values acquired from the 2D and 3D scans. The plots show a single outlier for
- 146 ss-2D and ss-3D and no outliers for ss-2D and vol-3D. The mean difference shows the trend towards
- 147 lower T<sub>1b</sub> values for ss-3D and vol-3D, compared with ss-2D.



149 Figure 2 Bland–Altman plots of mean  $\Delta R_1$  against the difference between 2D and 3D  $\Delta R_1$  for (a) ss-2D

and ss-3D and (b) ss-2D and vol-3D. The black dotted line represents the mean difference and the

151 grey dotted lines the limits of agreement (95% confidence interval).

152



153

Figure 3 Bland–Altman plots of mean  $T_{1b}$  against the difference between 2D and 3D  $T_{1b}$  for (a) ss-2D and ss-3D and (b) ss-2D and vol-3D. The black dotted line represents the mean difference and the

156 grey dotted lines the limits of agreement (95% confidence interval).

157

158 Figure 4 shows maps comparing the voxel-wise  $\Delta R_1$  for ss-2D and a matching slice from the 3D

volume for an example anterior (Figures 4a and 4b) and posterior (Figures 4c and 4d) placenta. The

- 160 3D images exhibit similar features to the 2D maps for both of the placenta, however there are
- amplitude differences for the posterior placenta. Qualitatively, the ss-3D and vol-3D maps are less
- 162 noisy and more blurred.



163

- 164 **Figure 4** Top:  $\Delta R_1$  (s<sup>-1</sup>) maps of an example anterior placenta for (a) ss-2D and a matching (b) ss-3D;
- 165 Bottom:  $\Delta R_1$  (s<sup>-1</sup>) maps of an example posterior placenta for (a) ss-2D and a matching (b) ss-3D.

166

167

168

169

#### 171 Discussion

172	This study shows that it is feasible to acquire whole placental volume OE-MRI data on a Philips 1.5 T
173	MRI scanner. All scans were well tolerated by the pregnant subjects, even though increasing the
174	coverage to 3D required the use of a cardiac-receiver coil in addition to the non-rebreathing mask.
175	There were no significant differences between median $\Delta R_1$ for the original single slice 2D protocol
176	(ss-2D) compared with a matched single slice from the 3D data (ss-3D) or the full 3D volume (vol-
177	3D), the individual difference between the 2D and 3D data was on the same order of magnitude as
178	the group average and showed some scatter. All subjects fall within the limit of agreement (95% CI)
179	on the $\Delta R_1$ Bland–Altman plots in Figure 2. Only subject 1 falls outside the limit for the ss-2D v ss-3D
180	comparison of $T_{1b}$ (Figure 3). This subject has $T_{1b}$ in the normal range for ss-3D and vol-3D, but the
181	2D data shows a low median $T_{1b}$ (ss-2D 1305 ms compared to an average of 1603 ms).
182	Previous studies have shown a negative correlation of $\Delta R_1$ (1–3) and $T_{1b}$ (11,12) with gestational age
183	at scan. Here no correlation was significant in this study. However, this study included a relatively
184	small group of subjects (10 compared with between 9(2) and 41(12)) and much smaller gestational
185	window (169-196 days compared with up to 145-2671 days(2)). The study cohort was primarily
186	chosen to allow the quality of the data acquired using the 3D protocol to be compared with that of
187	the original 2D protocol, not to test for correlation with gestation. However, a negative trend in IQR
188	$\Delta R_1$ and IQR T <sub>1b</sub> was apparent for 3D data (Table 2) corresponding to a reduction in heterogeneity
189	with gestation. The two subjects that were removed from correlation of median $R_1$ with gestational
190	age at scan, due to an IBR < 5%, had relatively low or abnormal $\Delta R_1$ . This is in qualitative agreement
191	with the results of (3) (see maps in supplementary material – subjects 5 and 9), however the number
192	of subjects is too small to perform a quantitative comparison.
193	Figure 4 shows that the underlying images are of poorer quality for the 3D data (b and d) than for
194	the 2D data (a and c), with more artefact and significant T <sub>2</sub> blurring due to the long echo train and/or

undersampling. However, similar features are observed for both the 2D and 3D  $\Delta R_1$  maps.  $\Delta R_1$ 

196	appears to be greater in the intermediate section of the placenta, with a decreasing gradient
197	towards both the fetal and maternal sides. The 3D $\Delta R_1$ video maps in supplemental material show
198	that the gradient is observable throughout the extent of the placenta. The higher values of $\Delta R_1$
199	appear in the intermediate region where the villous tree exchanges oxygen between the maternal
200	and fetal blood(13). This gradient may be visible as a result of the higher signal-to-noise ratio of the
201	3D volume acquisition compared with the 2D, but it may be artificially enhanced by partial volume
202	effects at the boundary between the placenta and the surrounding tissue due to image blurring. No
203	statistically significant difference in the heterogeneity measurement IQR between the 2D and the 3D
204	$\Delta R_1$ data was observed, however the correlation of IQR with gestational age shows a statistically
205	significant decrease for both the ss-3D ( $p = 0.03$ ) and vol-3D ( $p = 0.005$ ), but not for ss-2D. This may
206	be a result of an overall lower $\Delta R_1$ at later gestation leading to a smaller range or it may reflect a real
207	increase in the homogeneity of oxygenation with increasing gestation to which the 3D data is
208	sensitive.
209	There are number of limitations of the study. Firstly, the ROI was drawn on the TI = 300ms and
210	applied to the dynamic series of data. The use of the 300ms data for region definition allowed the
211	placenta to be differentiated more clearly, however any gross motion between this scan and the
212	dynamic acquisition used to calculate $\Delta R_1$ could have a detrimental effect on the resulting maps and
213	statistics. Secondly, unlike in previous OE-MRI studies (2,3) where the ROI has been trimmed to only
214	include voxels where placental tissue was present throughout the whole dynamic, the placental
215	volume for each slice was included without trimming. Care was taken on the fetal side of the
216	placenta to not include approximately one voxel around the amniotic sac to reduce the effects of
217	fetal motion. Any significant motion was accepted as noise on the $\Delta R_1$ curve, with the intention of
218	not unnecessarily removing placental tissue and biasing the analysis by drawing small ROIs. Thirdly,
219	the 3D protocol was updated during the study to match the dimensions of the 2D protocol. This does
219 220	the 3D protocol was updated during the study to match the dimensions of the 2D protocol. This does not appear to have any significant effect on the quality of the data or the resulting maps, but the

222 matching to obtain the ss-3D data was performed by using the slice location from the DICOM

223 header. This does not take into account gross motion between scans and is only an approximate due

to even number of slices in 3D.

225 The aetiology of FGR is variable with specific phenotypes due to chromosomal, infectious, vascular 226 disease and inflammatory-related causes. It is hoped that whole volume OE-MRI acquisition may 227 allow for in utero placental phenotyping in FGR, thereby improving disease stratification, therapeutic 228 intervention and outcome management. FGR placentas are known to have poor peripheral 229 vascularisation and visible hypo-vascular regions(6)<sup>°</sup> Other gross lesions evident in maternal vascular 230 malperfusion include placental hypoplasia, infarction and altered villous development(14). These 231 abnormalities are likely to lead to heterogeneity in the oxygenation across the placental volume that 232 will be more readily observable when imaging the whole placental volume as opposed to a single 233 central slice. A reliable measure of placental oxygenation across the entire placenta is a welcome 234 addition to the MRI toolbox and could be utilised with other clinical markers and imaging to aid 235 identification of the placental phenotype of FGR and improve fetal outcomes. Here we show that it 236 is possible to obtain a measure of oxygenation via  $\Delta R_1$  across the entire placenta. The 3D OE-MRI 237 measurement is sensitive to changes in placental oxygenation and allow mapping of the whole 238 placental volume.

#### 239 Acknowledgments

This project was funded by Tommy's the baby charity. MRI scans were undertaken within Wolfson
Molecular Imaging Centre.

242

## 243 References

Huen I, Morris DM, Wright C, Parker GJM, Sibley CP, Johnstone ED, et al. R1and R2\* changes
 in the human placenta in response to maternal oxygen challenge. Magn Reson Med.

## 246 2013;70(5):1427–33.

247	2.	Ingram E, Hawkins L, Morris DM, Myers J, Sibley CP, Johnstone ED, et al. R1 changes in the
248		human placenta at 3 T in response to a maternal oxygen challenge protocol. Placenta
249		[Internet]. 2016;39:151–3. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2016.01.016
250	3.	Ingram E, Morris D, Naish J, Myers J, Johnstone E. MR Imaging Measurements of Altered
251		Placental Oxygenation in Pregnancies Complicated by Fetal Growth Restriction. Radiology
252		[Internet]. 2017 Dec;285(3):953–60. Available from:
253		http://pubs.rsna.org/doi/10.1148/radiol.2017162385
254	4.	Pallotto EK, Kilbride HW. Perinatal outcome and later implications of intrauterine growth
255		restriction. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2006;49(2):257–69.
256	5.	Bernstein IM, Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Ohlsson A, Golan A. Morbidity and mortality among very-
257		low-birth-weight neonates with intrauterine growth restriction. Am J Obstet Gynecol.
258		2000;182(1  ):198–206.
259	6.	Junaid TO, Brownbill P, Chalmers N, Johnstone ED, Aplin JD. Fetoplacental vascular alterations
260		associated with fetal growth restriction. Placenta. 2014 Oct 1;35(10):808–15.
261	7.	Toal M, Keating S, Machin G, Dodd J, Adamson SL, Windrim RC, et al. Determinants of adverse
262		perinatal outcome in high-risk women with abnormal uterine artery Doppler images. Am J
263		Obstet Gynecol. 2008;198(3):330.e1-330.e7.
264	8.	Daayana S, Sci M, Baker P, Crocker I. An Image Analysis Technique for the Investigation of
265		Variations in Placental Morphology in Pregnancies Complicated by Preeclampsia With and
266		Without Intrauterine Growth Restriction. Vol. 11, Soc Gynecol Investig. 2004.
267	9.	Gardosi J. Customized Fetal Growth Standards: Rationale and Clinical Application. Semin
268		Perinatol. 2004;28(1):33–40.

269	10.	Figueras F, Gratacós E. Update on the diagnosis and classification of fetal growth restriction
270		and proposal of a stage-based management protocol. Fetal Diagn Ther. 2014;36(2):86–98.
271	11.	Wright C, Morris DM, Baker PN, Sci B, Frcog DM, Crocker IP, et al. Magnetic Resonance
272		Imaging Relaxation Time Measurements of the Placenta at 1.5 T Europe PMC Funders Group.
273		Placenta [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2018 Sep 3];32(12):1010–5. Available from:
274		http://europepmc.org/backend/ptpmcrender.fcgi?accid=PMC3588143&blobtype=pdf
275	12.	Gowland PA, Freeman A, Issa B, Boulby P, Duncan KR, Moore RJ, et al. In vivo relaxation time
276		measurements in the human placenta using echo planar imaging at 0.5 T. Magn Reson
277		Imaging. 1998;16(3):241–7.
278	13.	Slator PJ, Hutter J, McCabe L, Gomes ADS, Price AN, Panagiotaki E, et al. Placenta
279		microstructure and microcirculation imaging with diffusion MRI. Magn Reson Med.
280		2018;80(2):756–66.
281	14.	Ernst LM. Maternal vascular malperfusion of the placental bed. APMIS. 2018;126(7):551–60.

# Dynamic $\Delta R_1$ curves



 $\Delta R1$  , s<sup>-1</sup>









(a)







(C)





