1	
2	Reliability and validity of clinical tests of cardiorespiratory fitness: A systematic review and
3	meta-analysis
4	
5	Samuel Harkin ^{1,2¶*} , Stephen Cousins ^{1¶} , Simon Locke ^{1,3¶} , Brett Gordon ^{1¶}
6	
7	¹ Holsworth Research Initiative, La Trobe Rural Health School, La Trobe University, Bendigo, Victoria, Australia.
8	² Sportsmed Biologic, Box Hill, Victoria, Australia
9	³ Bendigo Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine, Bendigo, Victoria Australia
10	
11 12	* Corresponding author E-mail: drsamharkin@gmail.com (SH)
13	
14	[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

21 Abstract

22 Introduction:

23 Insufficient physical activity is a significant contributor to non-communicable disease

- 24 amongst the global population. Insufficient physical activity is directly linked with reduced
- 25 cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF). CRF is as strong a predictor of mortality as well-established
- risk-factors such as smoking, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, and type 2 diabetes mellitus,
- 27 however, it remains the only major risk factor not routinely assessed in primary health care
- 28 settings. The aim of this review was to assess the validity and reliability of existing
- 29 submaximal tests of CRF which can be employed in a standard medical consultation for the
- 30 estimation of CRF and physical function in adults.

31 Methods:

32 A systematic review of the scientific literature was undertaken to find all studies reporting 33 the reliability and/or validity of submaximal tests of CRF and physical function. Studies 34 published up to 12 January 2023 were included in the search of the Medline, Embase, 35 Cinahl, SPORT discus, Cochrane library, Informit Health and Web of Science databases. Risk 36 of bias was assessed using the JBI critical appraisal checklist for analytical cross-sectional 37 studies. Data including reliability of the submaximal protocols as measured by test-retest 38 Pearson's r (r) or Intraclass co-efficient (ICC); and validity as measured by the correlation between the submaximal protocol results and the graded exercise test results (r) was 39 40 extracted. Meta-analyses were performed to determine the overall mean r of the correlation coefficients. 41

43 **Results:**

- 44 In total 1754 studies were identified. Following screening, 143 studies including 15,760
- 45 participants were included. All clinical tests included in meta-analysis demonstrated strong
- 46 reliability. The Siconolfi step test (r=0.81), Incremental shuttle walk test (r=0.768) and 1-
- 47 minute sit-to-stand test (r=0.65) demonstrated strongest validity following meta-analysis.
- 48 **Conclusion:** Based on the validity of the tests outlined, these can be used as an acceptable
- 49 method of estimating VO2peak in a broad population, without the cost and access issues of
- 50 formal GXT.
- 51

52

53 **1. Introduction**

54 Insufficient physical activity is a significant contributor to non-communicable disease 55 amongst the global population, including obesity, many types of cancer, metabolic syndrome 56 and cardiovascular disease, as well as all-cause mortality (1). In Australia, two thirds of adults 57 report as overweight or obese and over half of Australian adults do not participate in 58 sufficient physical activity to meet the Australian government's recommended Physical 59 Activity and Sedentary Behaviour Guidelines for Adults (2, 3). The economic cost of physical 60 inactivity to the Australian health system is estimated at around \$850 million annually, 61 accounting for between 38,400 and 174,000 disability adjusted life years (DALYs) per year (4).

62

63 Insufficient physical activity is directly linked with reduced cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and 64 reduced physical function (1, 5). Those with low cardiorespiratory fitness face a 70% higher 65 risk of all-cause mortality and a 56% greater risk of cardiovascular disease mortality (5). CRF 66 is as strong a predictor of mortality as well-established risk-factors such as cigarette smoking, hypertension, high cholesterol, and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (6). Evidently, 67 68 measurement of CRF and tracking of progress towards improving CRF should become a 69 standard part of clinical consultations, as is standard of care with hypertension, dyslipidaemia, 70 diabetes monitoring and smoking cessation (6).

71

Whilst the cost of inactivity is high, there is significant potential for improvement across global
populations. CRF can be measured directly via graded exercise testing (GXT) and expressed as

74 maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max), or estimated from peak work rate achieved in GXT 75 (VO2peak) or through submaximal testing via algorithm or correlation. Oxygen consumption 76 can be converted to metabolic equivalents (MET), with most activities having an estimated 77 MET value(7). There is no 'lower threshold' for the relative risk reduction benefit of regular 78 exercise (1). For example, a 20% reduction in mortality attributable to cardiac causes is 79 observed for every 1-MET increase in exercise capacity (8). Incidence of falls can be reduced by more than 50% with simple exercise interventions (9). To date, to our knowledge, no study 80 81 has assessed the validity and reliability of submaximal testing that can be performed in a 82 standard medical consultation, limiting the utility of CRF within clinical consultations.

83

84 CRF is recognised as an important marker of functional ability and cardiovascular health; 85 however, it remains the only major risk factor not routinely assessed or regularly monitored in primary health care settings (10). The direct assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness via 86 87 maximal testing is costly, requires equipment and trained personnel, as well as demanding a 88 maximal effort which is frequently unattained in non-athletic participants (11, 12). 89 Consequently, a large number of submaximal exercise protocols have been developed, 90 involving stationary cycling, running, walking, arm ergometry and stepping; however, time, 91 space or equipment requirements deem many inappropriate for regular medical clinical utility 92 ((12)). Regular and routine clinical testing of physical function and CRF would allow clinicians 93 to determine the CRF and functional capacity of their patients to aid in exercise prescription 94 and counselling, whilst providing the patient with motivation and accountability to improve 95 their health outcomes.

97 The aim of this review was to assess the validity and reliability of existing submaximal tests of
98 CRF which can be employed in a standard medical consultation for the estimation of CRF and
99 physical function.

100

101

102 **2. Methods**

103 **2.1 Review Strategy**

104 A systematic review of the scientific literature was undertaken to find all studies reporting 105 the reliability and/or validity of submaximal tests of CRF and physical function. The study was registered with Prospero registration CRD42022368963 and protocol can be accessed via 106 107 https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=368963. Studies 108 published up to 12 January 2023 were included in the search of the Medline, Embase, Cinahl, 109 SPORTdiscus, Cochrane library, Informit Health and Web of Science databases . The broad 110 search strategy involved the following terms, limited to English language: 'Physical function 111 test' OR 'exercise test' OR 'graded exercise test' OR 'GXT' OR 'fitness test' OR 'squat test' OR 112 'sit to stand' OR 'step test' AND 'exercise tolerance' OR 'exercise capacity' OR 'cardiorespiratory fitness' OR 'aerobic fitness' OR 'aerobic capacity' OR 'time to fatigue' AND 113 114 'validity' OR 'valid' OR 'reliable' OR 'reliability'.

115

116 **2.2 Eligibility criteria**

117 Eligible studies met the following inclusion criteria: (1) English language; (2) published any 118 time from database establishment until 12 January 2023; (3) investigating a clinical test of 119 physical function in (4) participants 18 years of age or older. In order to ensure that studies 120 relevant to the aim of this review were analysed, particularly with regards to tests applicable 121 in a clinical setting, studies were excluded on the following basis: (1) Study type - case reports, 122 not original research, not in English language, conference proceedings; (2) Clinical test -123 equipment requirement beyond scope of that available in a standard Australian medical clinic 124 (e.g. a step, chair or stopwatch), duration greater than ten minutes to administer, expense or 125 technical expertise required to administer; (3) Validity and reliability descriptors – no relevant statistical analysis; (4) Outcomes of interest - no mention of one or more of heart rate, time 126 127 to fatigue, VO₂ peak, VO₂ max, METs, number of repetitions.

128

129 **2.3 Study selection**

Studies were selected based on the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Assessment of study eligibility was performed using Covidence systematic review software (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia, available at <u>www.covidence.org</u>) and conducted independently by two reviewers. Studies were excluded based on title and abstract and the reason for exclusion was recorded, with any disagreement resolved by a third reviewer. Full texts of the remaining studies were assessed by two reviewers, with all authors discussing any disagreements to achieve a consensus view.

137

138 **2.4 Data extraction**

One author extracted all relevant data from included studies using a standardised form, with 139 140 quality control performed on a random sample of 10 papers by two other reviewers. Data 141 extracted included author, year and location of publication; population studied including 142 mean age(standard deviation)[SD], gender split, BMI(SD) and medical condition (where 143 relevant to the study) of participants; inclusion and exclusion criteria; sub-maximal protocol 144 undertaken; graded exercise test undertaken; reliability and validity statistics; and other outcome measures of note (HR, VO₂peak, RPE, 6MWD, sit-to-stand repetitions). The primary 145 146 outcomes of interest were reliability of the submaximal protocols as measured by test-retest Pearson's r (r) or Intraclass co-efficient (ICC); and validity as measured by the correlation 147 148 between the submaximal protocol results and the graded exercise test results (r).

149

150

0 2.5 Risk of bias assessment

The risk of bias of included studies was assessed using the JBI critical appraisal checklist for analytical cross sectional studies (JBIC) (13). This checklist assesses specific domains of the studies to determine the potential risk of bias that can be answered with yes, no, or unclear. If the answer was yes, the question was assigned a score of 1. If the answer was no, unclear, or not applicable, it was assigned a score of 0. Studies with a score of 7-8 were deemed low risk of bias, 4-6 moderate and 0-3 high. No studies were excluded on the basis of risk of bias assessment.

158

159 **2.6 Data synthesis**

Meta-analyses were performed to determine the overall mean r of the correlation coefficients. Data including reliability of the submaximal protocols as measured by test-retest Pearson's r (r) or Intraclass co-efficient (ICC); and validity as measured by the correlation between the submaximal protocol results and the graded exercise test results (r), was pooled using a random-effects model. Jamovi Version 2.2 (Computer Software retrieved from https://www.jamovi.org) was used for statistical analysis.

166

167 **3. Results**

168 **3.1 Paper identification**

169 In total 1754 studies (title and abstract) were identified following deletion of duplicates 170 (n=80). Following screening, 1466 were excluded, with 283 full texts obtained for further 171 eligibility assessment. 143 full-text papers were included for review (*Figure 1*).

172 Fig 1: PRISMA diagram of included studies

3.2 Sub-maximal test characteristics

The 143 included papers studied 49 different clinical tests of physical function. 75 studies assessed the 6-minute walk test (6MWT) (14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87). Fifteen studies analysed the incremental shuttle walk test (ISWT) (18, 37, 56, 58, 68, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97). The 1-minute sit to stand(1mSTS) was studied in eight papers, the 6-minute step test (6MST) in seven, 'timed up

181	and go test' (TUGT) in six, and the Siconolfi step test (SST) in five (70, 80, 86, 87, 98, 99, 100,
182	101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114). Seven tests were studied
183	in three papers, five tests were studied twice and fifty once. A degree of heterogeneity existed
184	amongst the exact test protocols for many of the clinical tests described by the same name,
185	however they were extracted as named by the authors.

186

187 **3.3 Graded exercise test characteristics**

A graded exercise test was included in 71 of the 143 studies included. Of these, 38 included a
cycle ergometry based graded exercise test, with one additional study recumbent cycle
ergometry. There were 32 treadmill based graded exercise tests included.

191

192 **3.4 Quality assessment**

193 Table 1 provides a summary of how each individual paper rated per the JBI critical appraisal

194 checklist. Fifty (34.9%) included studies scored 7 or 8 to be deemed low risk of bias. Eighty-

three (58.2%) had a moderate risk, and 10 (6.9%) high risk of bias.

Study IDQ1Q2Q3Q4Q5Q6Q7Q8Cheng 2020YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesBarbosa 2022YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesCooney 2011YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYes	Total 8 8
Cheng 2020YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesBarbosa 2022YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesCooney 2011YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesYes	8
Barbosa 2022YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYesCoopey 2011YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYes	8
Cooney 2011 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	-
	8
Cooney 2013YesYesYesYesYesYesYes	8
Crook 2017 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	8
DeCamargo 2013 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	8
Bonnevie 2019YesYesYesYesYesYesYes	8
Brinklov 2016YesYesYesYesYesYesYes	8
Granger 2015 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	8
Reed 2020YesYesYesYesYesYesYes	8

Grosbois 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Guazzi 2009	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Hansen 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Maldonado- Martin 2006	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Manali 2010	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Shulman 2019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Vancampfort 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Lee 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8
Freene 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Tremblay- Labrecque 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Munari 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Marinho 2021	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Tsuji 2022	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
DalCorso 2012	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
DiThommazo- Luporini 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Lee 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Beckerman 2019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Beekman 2013	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Buch 2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Fowler 2011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Gayda 2003	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Gomberg- Maitland 2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
OzcanKahraman 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Peloquin 1998	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
PereiradeSousa 2008	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Radtke 2017	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Hansen 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Hornby 2019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Kehmeier 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Keren 1980	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Leung 2006	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Metz 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Muller 2015	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Nielsen 1997	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Sartor 2016	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Schmidt 2013	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7

Scivoletto 2011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Simonsick 2006	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Tarrant 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	7
Vancampfort 2015	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7
Kakitsuka 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Ang 2022	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Vancampfort 2021	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
Diaz-Balboa 2022	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Gephine 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
daCunha-Filho 2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
DalCorso 2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Kervio 2003	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Kervio 2004	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Knight 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Lemanska 2019	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Asakuma 1999	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Carbonell-Baeza 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Carvalho 2011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Giacomantonio 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Olper 2011	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	6
Pankoff 2000	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Péloquin 1998	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Radtke 2016	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Reilly 2010	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
Guo 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Hwang 2016	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Irisawa 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Jehn 2009	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Jette 1992	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Lin 2008	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Magalhaes 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Mazzoni 2018	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Mercer 1998	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Modai 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Reychler 2018	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Rodrigues 2016	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Simmonds 2002	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	6
Spagnuolo 2010	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6

Sykes 2004	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
Vancampfort	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
2020									
Vancampfort	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
2011									
Webb 2014	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Zanini 2015	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	6
Lazaro-Martínez 2022	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Quintino 2021	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Vilarinho 2022	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	5
Chow 2022	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Cox 1992	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Curb 2006	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5
Eiser 2003	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Kierkegaard 2007	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Kieu 2020	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Kon 2013	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Bardin 2012	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	5
BenSaad 2009	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Beretta 2007	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	5
Boer 2016	Yes	Yes	Unclear	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Bronner 2014	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Hong 2019	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Jones 2018	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Keisuke 2015	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Mänttäri 2018	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	5
Marcora 2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
Mossberg 2003	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Nathan 2015	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	5
Rolland 2004	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	5
Santo 2003	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5
Vagaggini 2003	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	5
deJesus 2022	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	4
Correa 2013	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4
Kohlbrenner 2020	Yes	Yes	No	Unclear	No	No	Yes	Yes	4
Bauman 1997	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Unclear	Yes	Yes	4
Berghmans 2013	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Unclear	Yes	4
Beutner 2015	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4
Borel 2010	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4
Cabeza-Ruiz 2019	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	4
Carter 2002	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	4
		·							

Francis 1988	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	No	Yes	Yes	4
Hanson 2012	No	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	4
Levesque 2019	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	4
Ljungquist 2003	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4
Siconolfi 1985	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	No	No	Yes	Yes	4
Thornton 2017	No	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4
Wanwisa 2015	No	No	Yes	Unclear	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4
Witham 2012	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4
deMelo 2022	No	Yes	No	N/A	No	No	Yes	Yes	3
Aadahl 2013	Yes	No	No	No	Unclear	No	Yes	Yes	3
Chatterjee 2005	No	No	Yes	N/A	No	No	Yes	Yes	3
Kristjánsdóttir	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	3
2004									
Grant 1999	No	No	Yes	N/A	No	No	Yes	Yes	3
Montgomery	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	3
1992									
Selig 2000	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	3
Donaldson 2019	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	2
Benton 2009	No	No	No	No	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Yes	2
VanGraan 1970	No	No	Yes	N/A	No	No	Unclear	No	1

Table 1: Quality assessment JBI critical appraisal checklist.

197 **3.4 Participant characteristics**

198 In total 15,670 participants were included across the 143 studies. The smallest study included

199 5 participants (39), the largest 5287 (115). Six studies reported no sex distribution, of the

200 studies reporting, 5588 participants were female (approximately 37%).

201

202 **3.4.1 Special populations**

Thirty-nine studies included no specific medical condition inclusion criteria. Participants selected for respiratory conditions (including COPD, restrictive lung disease and sleep apnoea) were studied in 29 papers. Cardiovascular conditions were the focus in 21.

206 Musculoskeletal, neurological, auto-immune, psychological and age based sub-groups were 207 also studied in the remaining papers.

208

3.5 Measures – All included studies reported clinical test performance correlation
 with GXT performance in terms of Pearson's r. Test-retest reliability was reported in terms of
 ICC or Pearson's r.

212

- **3.6 Clinical tests**
- 214 **3.6.1 Walk test protocols**

215 **3.6.1.1 6MWT**

216 A total of 71 included papers studied the 6MWT, with 21 cohorts from a total of 19 studies meeting inclusion in the meta-analysis for validity with correlation between 6MWT 217 and directly measure VO2 peak on GXT results included. In total these studies included 1836 218 219 participants with a broad range of medical conditions. Overall the 6MWT demonstrated 220 moderate positive correlation with performance on the graded exercise test (GXT), with 221 r=0.581 (figure 2). The largest study, Shulman et al studied 574 adults older than 40 years 222 awaiting elective non-cardiac surgery, and all participants had at least one cardiac risk factor, 223 with low positive correlation overall r=0.36 (28). Kervio et al's study of 24 patients of mean 224 age 65 with NYHA grade II or III congestive cardiac failure (CCF) found the strongest 225 association with GXT performance r=0.8 (16). Granger et al's study of a cohort of 20 male lung 226 cancer patients found the lowest correlation, r = 0.24 (58). Pankoff et al's study of a

227	fibromyalgia cohort pre and post exercise program found the pre-exercise cohort correlation
228	of r=0.33 (30). The only included study of a 'healthy' population, Hong et al, involved a cohort
229	of 73 healthy adults (37male, 36 female), mean age 30.8 who were screened for
230	cardiorespiratory, orthopaedic and musculoskeletal conditions prior to participation, and
231	found a correlation between 6MWT and GXT performance of r=0.671. Overall four studies of
232	five CCF populations were included, with correlations ranging from 0.54 to 0.8 (16, 17, 23,
233	25). Two studies analysed pulmonary artery hypertension populations, with strong
234	correlation noted r=0.77 and r=0.72 (18, 19).
235	Fig 2: 6MWT performance vs GXT correlation forest plot
236	Test-retest reliability of the 6MWT was studied in 27 studies of 1506 participants. In meta-
237	analysis of 22 studies of 965 patients, with reliability measured by ICC, an overall ICC of 0.95,
238	indicating strong test-retest reliability. For the remaining five studies of 541 participants
239	r=0.93.
240	Fig 3: 6MWT reliability (pearson's r) forest plot
241	Fig 4: 6MWT reliability (ICC) forest plot
242	
243	
244	3.6.1.2 ISWT
245	Fourteen studies included the ISWT, with 5 studies meeting inclusion in the meta-
246	analysis for validity (18, 58, 88, 92, 93, 94). In total these studies included 114 participants.

247 Overall the ISWT demonstrated strong positive correlation with performance on GXT, with

248	r=0.768. De Camargo et al's study of a cohort of 75 patients with bronchiectasis was the
249	largest cohort, with r=0.72 compared with a cycle ergometer GXT (88). Barbosa et al's study
250	of 50 asthma patients demonstrated the strong validity of the ISWT in this cohort at r=0.9
251	(93). Irisawa et al's study of 19 patients with pulmonary artery hypertension (PAH) also
252	demonstrated the strong validity, r=0.866, with participants recording the lowest mean ISWT
253	distance (ISWD) at 359.4m, compared with 441m and 410m for the de Camargo and Granger
254	cohorts respectively (18, 58, 88). Granger et al studied an all-male population of 20 non-small
255	cell lung cancer patients with r=0.61 (58).
256	Fig 5: ISWT performance vs GXT correlation forest plot
257	Test-retest reliability was very high across the five studies meeting inclusion criteria for
258	reliability, with ICC=0.94 from 284 participants (37, 63, 88, 93, 94).
259	Fig 6: ISWT reliability (ICC) forest plot
260	

261 **3.6.1.3 2-minute walk test (2MWT)**

In total four papers included the 2MWT, however an insufficient number met the inclusion criteria to be meta-analysed. Two studies assessed the 2MWT performance correlation with GXT. Beckerman et al studied 141 patients with multiple sclerosis, finding poor validity r=0.44 (116). Leung et al studied 45 patients with moderate to severe COPD, finding a moderate correlation r=0.56 (66).

Two studies found strong test-retest reliability for the 2MWT, with again insufficient numbers included to be meta-analysed. Vancampfort (66) and Leung respectively found ICC=0.96 and ICC=0.99 (66, 83).

270

271 **3.6.2 Step tests**

272

2 **3.6.2.1 Siconolfi step test (SST)**

- Three studies met the inclusion criteria for validity meta-analysis, with an overall population of 138 patients included and a strong correlation of r=0.81 established. Lemanska et al's study of 66 men with prostate cancer was the largest included, with the weakest correlation at r=0.69 (112). Siconolfi's original study of 48 healthy adults found a correlation of r=0.92 with a cycle ergometer GXT (114). Cooney et al found a strong correlation of r = 0.79
- in 24 patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA)(111).
- 279 Fig 7: SST vs GXT correlation forest plot

280 Reliability was very strong for the SST across 120 patients from 3 studies, with ICC =

281 0.92 demonstrating good test-retest reliability (111, 112, 113).

282 Fig 8: SST reliability (ICC) forest plot

283 **3.6.2.2 Chester step test (CST)**

Two included studies assessed the validity of the Chester step test (117, 118). Sykes et al assessed 68 healthy adults and found strong correlation between CST and GXT result, r=0.92, with a standard error of predicted estimate of aerobic capacity (VO2peak) 3.9mlO2/kg/min (118). Reed et al in their 2020 study of 47 cardiac rehabilitation participants also found high moderate correlation r=0.693 (117). Their CST involved adjustment of step height within a range between 15-30cm "suitable to participants functional level". Of note the Sykes population achieved a mean VO2Max of 52.1mlo2/kg/min, suggesting a good level
of CRF, and validity in a population with good levels of fitness.

292 Neither study included correlation reliability statistics. Sykes et al (119) reported good 293 test-retest reliability using the Bland and Altman method, finding a mean difference of -294 0.7mlO2/kg/min (119).

- 295
- 296 **3.6.2.3 6 minute step test (6MST)**

Two studies correlated GXT performance with 6MST performance to determine validity, Giacomantonio et al found a strong correlation with GXT of r=0.88 in a population of 28 participants with two or more CVD risk factors, whereas Marinho et al found a weaker r=0.59 in 27 heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) patients (64, 109). Five studies of a total of 194 participants found good reliability, ICC = 0.97 across varied populations of healthy adults, an obstructive sleep apnoea cohort, patients with COPD and the above CVD and HFrEF cohort (Figure 9) (64, 72, 105, 108, 109).

304 Figure 9: 6MST reliability (ICC) forest plot

305 **3.6.2.4 2 minute step test (2MST)**

306 One study assessed validity of the 2MST, finding a moderate correlation (r=0.54) with 307 GXT performance, in a population of 36 Australian adults (120). The 2MST has demonstrated 308 strong reliability (ICC>0.9) in two studies of 68 participants (121, 122)

309 3.6.2.4 YMCA step test (YMCAST)

310	Kieu et al demonstrated the validity of a YMCAST equation developed and validated
311	for a Korean population aged 19-64 years, in a population of Vietnamese participants, with a
312	correlation of 0.8 with treadmill VO2max (123). Santo et al (124)also earlier demonstrated
313	the validity of the YMCAST in a cohort of healthy participants via recovery heart rate
314	correlation with treadmill GXT VO2max (124), demonstrating a correlation of 0.58 using an
315	adjustable step height based on participant's height which may limit clinical applicability,
316	however remains a potentially valid predictor of CRF.
317	No included studies assessed the reliability of the YMCAST.
318	3.6.2.5 Canadian aerobic fitness test (CAFT)
319	The CAFT was assessed in two studies describing three healthy cohorts of 60 participants
320	in total (125, 126). Moderate correlation between CAFT performance and GXT performance
321	was determined, r=0.645.
322	No test re-test reliability was established in included studies.
323	

324

325 **3.6.3 Squat test**s

326 **3.6.3.1 1 minute sit to stand test (1MSTST)**

In total ten studies involving the 1MSTS were included, with three studies meeting the inclusion criteria for validity meta-analysis. Four studies of a total of 70 participants found an overall correlation of r=0.649 (98, 99, 101, 103). Radtke 2016, and Radtke 2017 studied a population with cystic fibrosis in pulmonary rehabilitation, with moderate and weak to

- moderate correlation between the STS repetitions completed and VO2peak on GXT (98, 99).
- 332 Gephine et al studied of a population with COPD demonstrated moderate to strong
- 333 correlation between STS repetitions and VO2peak on GXT at 0.71 (101).
- **Fig 10:** 1MSTST correlation with GXT forest plot
- 335 Five studies including 185 participants reported the reliability of the 1MSTST as strong,
- with an ICC on meta-analysis of 0.949 (80, 99, 100, 103, 127).
- 337 Fig 11: 1MSTST reliability forest plot
- 338

339 **3.6.3.2 30 second sit to stand test (30STST)**

340 No study of the validity of the 30STST meeting our inclusion criteria was included.

341 Three studies, Hansen et al (2018) in a cohort of patients with COPD and Ozcan-Kahraman

342 et al (2020) a cohort of patients with pulmonary hypertension, and Lázaro-Martínez et al

343 (2022) a cohort of patients with obesity, of a total of 147 participants demonstrated strong

reliability, with an ICC of 0.94 and 0.95, and r=0.91 respectively (84, 87, 128).

345 **3.6.3.3 The 5 repetition sit to stand test (5STST)**

346 No study of the validity of the 5STST meeting our inclusion criteria was included.

Meta-analysis revealed ICC=0.91 demonstrating strong reliability. The 3 included studies demonstrated strong reliability individually. Curb et al (2006) studied 210 healthy participants, and found an ICC=0.8 (71). Jones et al (2013) studied 475 participants with COPD and found very strong reliability, an ICC of 0.97 (96). De Melo et al (2022) assessed reliability in 142 ICU patients at discharge (129).

352 **Fig 12:** 5STST reliability (ICC)

353

354 **3.6.3.4 Ruffier-Dickson squat test (RDST)**

One study of 40 healthy adults, performed by Guo et al found a correlation of 0.82

between a model incorporating test performance as quantified using participants' height, sex,

age and resting, immediate post-test and one minute post-test HR, and GXT VO2max (130).

358 Sartor et al (2016) found the RDST demonstrated good reliability in a population of 81

healthy adults (12). Reliability was calculated measuring both HRpeak (ICC = 0.86) and via the

360 Ruffier-Dickson Index (RDI), incorporating resting, post-test and one minute post-test HR.

361

362 **3.6.4 Others**

363 **3.6.4.1 Timed up and go test (TUGT)**

364 No included study of the validity of the TUGT met our inclusion criteria.

Four studies including a total of 417 participants demonstrated strong reliability, with a meta-analysis ICC of 0.94 (35, 86, 87, 90). This population included health adults (Spagnuolo et al), a cohort of patients with pulmonary hypertension (Ozcan-Kahraman et al), a cohort of patients with Down syndrome (Cabeza-Ruiz et al) and a CCF cohort (Hwang et al)(35, 86, 87, 90).

370 **3.6.4.2 1RM leg press**

Three studies analysing the 1RM leg press met the inclusion criteria, however none included reliability or validity statistics relevant to this review (75, 81, 131).

373

374

375 **4. Discussion**

376 The purpose of this review was to provide clinicians with information regarding the validity and reliability of submaximal tests of CRF that can be employed in a brief primary health care 377 378 consultation with equipment that is readily available. This review included 143 studies of 49 379 clinical tests. Diverse populations of 15,670 total participants were included from studies meeting the inclusion criteria, as guided by the aims of the broad review, with a view to 380 maintaining clinical relevance and applicability. Overall reliability of all tests included in meta-381 382 analyses were strong. Strongest validity was found for the SST, ISWT and 1MSTST on meta 383 analysis.

384

385 **4.1 Reliability**

This review provides strong evidence for the reliability of the included clinical tests. The testretest reliability of all included tests was high on meta-analysis. Meta-analysis revealed the 6MST was the most reliable test, however overall, all studies meta-analysed had a reliability of >0.9, and there was little difference between them. Of the studies included but in insufficient number to meta-analyse, good reliability was demonstrated for the 30STST, 5STST and RDST (12, 71, 84, 87, 96, 128, 129). The high test-retest reliability of the majority of the tests suggests they can be used to monitor changes in CRF over time as the results areevidently repeatable.

394

395 **4.2 Validity**

This study has demonstrated moderate to strong evidence regarding the efficacy of a variety of clinical tests to estimate CRF in adults. Amongst those included in meta-analysis, the Siconolfi step test demonstrated strongest validity from three studies of 138 participants of varied populations, including those with oncological and rheumatological conditions, as well as a healthy population (111, 112, 114).

401

402 Overall the walking tests, whilst the most studied, demonstrated poorer validity than the step 403 or squat based tests. A high proportion of the included studies assess populations with generally poorer CRF as the test was intended, however the overall meta-analysis result 404 405 supports the well documented ceiling effect of the 6MWT, and limiting the clinical 406 applicability to the broader population (132, 133). This result supports that it may have more clinical utility in the rehabilitation setting, involving participants with lower VO2 peak. The 407 408 ISWT demonstrated good validity and reliability on meta-analysis across five studies, and 409 given this should be considered by clinicians (18, 134).

410

411 Step tests demonstrated good validity across studies of diverse populations, and have good 412 clinical translation potential having to date been investigated to a lesser extent than walk 413 tests. Of individual included studies, Sykes' Chester step test paper demonstrates the

strongest correlation with CRF(118). This may suggest that tests relying on HR measures conducted during test are superior to post/HR recovery based tests, and warrants further investigation. The three studies investigating the Siconolfi step test demonstrated highest correlation on meta-analysis, but with only 133 participants total, further investigation is warranted to further demonstrate the test's value to the clinician(111, 112, 114). The 2MST demonstrated only moderate correlation with GXT CRF in the single included study, which may suggest there is insufficient duration to differentiate CRF levels in a well population (120).

421

Of the included squat tests, only one, 1MSTST, included sufficient data for meta-analysis.
Seventy participants completing the 1MSTS with a moderate to strong correlation with CRF.
The single papers were contrasting in their correlation with GXT, such as that of Guo et al
(130)(RDST) demonstrated the RDST has good validity (0.82) using the author's predictive
model, however Diaz-Balboa's (135) paper (30STST) demonstrated poor to moderate
correlation – perhaps highlighting squat tests' perceived limitations of relying more heavily
on patients functional status, and reliance on HR recovery (130, 135).

429

430 4.3 Risk of bias

Overall quality of included studies was good, with high quality reporting to allow scientific replication. The vast majority of papers meta-analysed were moderate to low risk of bias, providing confidence that the results were unbiased. Many studies didn't report or control for confounding factors, which may have influenced outcomes in some cases. In order for

further adaptation of clinical tests of CRF in clinical practice, larger high-quality studiescontrolling confounding factors must be undertaken.

437

438

439

4.4 Strengths and limitations

440 The aim of this meta-analysis was to aggregate a wide array of populations and submaximal 441 tests of CRF to maximise clinical application. Omission of studies of tests longer than 10 442 minutes, and requiring minimal but not the absence of equipment increases the risk of bias, 443 and may have excluded highly relevant studies. This however allowed the goal of maintaining 444 a clinical applicability lens to be met. The comparison between studies may have been limited 445 by the heterogeneity of GXT protocols, as has been discussed by authors previously, however 446 with a view to pragmatism, GXT performance was taken at face value from the included studies (136, 137). The selection criteria applied included only adult data in the analysis, and 447 448 where papers included paediatric participants, adult data were extracted where possible and the study included, however in some cases the adult data could not be extracted in isolation 449 450 and so that data set excluded, potentially impacting overall study results. The review included 451 only English language papers, potentially excluding relevant studies. By design, this study 452 included a broad population and large number of clinical tests which can be utilised in a 453 standard primary care consultation. Further research is warranted into the applicability of valid and reliable clinical tests in specific populations. 454

455

456

5. Conclusion

The safe, cost-effective and accurate assessment and regular monitoring of CRF in the primary health care setting presents the clinician with the opportunity to personalise exercise prescription and counselling for their patient, whilst providing the patient with motivation and accountability to improve their health outcomes. This review has identified a number of submaximal tests of CRF which can be employed in a standard medical consultation. The SST and CST demonstrate their potential for clinical translation with further investigation in larger populations. The ISWT appears superior to the 6MWT and should be considered by clinicians. Based on the validity of the tests outlined, these can be used as an acceptable method of estimating VO2peak in a broad population, without the cost and access issues of formal GXT. The high test-retest reliability of the majority of the tests suggests they can be used to monitor changes in CRF over time. Further research is needed to optimise the translation of research-based exercise testing into regular clinical practice.

478 Reference:

479 Brown WJ, Bauman A.E., Bull, F.C., Burton N.W. Development of Evidence-based 1. 480 Physical Activity Recommendations for Adults (18-64 years). . Report prepared for the 481 Australian Government Department of Health, August 2012. 2012. 482 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australia's health 2018. Canberra: AIHW. 2. 483 2018. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australian Burden of Disease Study: 484 3. 485 impact and causes of illness and death in Australia 2015. Canberra: AIHW. 2019. 486 4. Crosland P, Ananthapavan, J., Davison, J., Lambert, M., Carter, R. The economic cost 487 of preventable disease in Australia: a systematic review of estimates and methods. 488 Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health. 2019;43(5):484-95. 489 Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, Maki M, Yachi Y, Asumi M, et al. Cardiorespiratory 5. 490 Fitness as a Quantitative Predictor of All-Cause Mortality and Cardiovascular Events in 491 Healthy Men and Women: A Meta-analysis. JAMA. 2009;301(19):2024-35. 492 6. Ross R, Blair SN, Arena R, Church TS, Després JP, Franklin BA, et al. Importance of 493 Assessing Cardiorespiratory Fitness in Clinical Practice: A Case for Fitness as a Clinical Vital 494 Sign: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 495 2016;134(24):e653-e99. 496 7. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, Meckes N, Bassett Jr DR, Tudor-Locke C, et 497 al. 2011 Compendium of Physical Activities: a second update of codes and MET values. Med 498 Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43(8):1575-81. 499 Myers J, Prakash M, Froelicher V, Do D, Partington S, Atwood JE. Exercise Capacity 8. 500 and Mortality among Men Referred for Exercise Testing. New England Journal of Medicine. 501 2002;346(11):793-801. 502 9. Cadore E, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Sinclair A, Izquierdo M. Effects of different exercise 503 interventions on risk of falls, gait ability, and balance in physically frail older adults: a 504 systematic review. Rejuvenation Res. 2013;16(2):105-14. 505 10. Kaminsky LA, Arena R, Beckie TM, Brubaker PH, Church TS, Forman DE, et al. The 506 Importance of Cardiorespiratory Fitness in the United States: The Need for a National 507 Registry. Circulation. 2013;127(5):652-62. 508 11. Poole DC, Wilkerson DP, Jones AM. Validity of criteria for establishing maximal O2 509 uptake during ramp exercise tests. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2008;102(4):403-10. 510 Sartor F, Bonato M, Papini G, Bosio A, Mohammed RA, Bonomi AG, et al. A 45-12. 511 second self-test for cardiorespiratory fitness: Heart rate-based estimation in healthy 512 individuals. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(12). 13. 513 Aromataris E, (Editors) MZ. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI. 2020. 514 14. Kristjánsdóttir AR, M.; Einarsson, M. B.; Torfason, B. A comparison of the 6-minute 515 walk test and symptom limited graded exercise test for Phase II cardiac rehabilitation of 516 older adults. J Geriatr Phys Ther. 2004;27(2):65-8. 517 Mänttäri AS, Jaana;Sievänen, Harri;Husu, Pauliina;Vähä-Ypyä, Henri;Valkeinen, 15. 518 Heli;Tokola, Kari;Vasankari, Tommi. Six-minute walk test: a tool for predicting maximal 519 aerobic power (VO2 max) in healthy adults. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging. 2018;38(6):1038-45. 520 Kervio GV, N. S.;Leclercq, C.;Daubert, J. C.;Carre, F. Intensity and daily reliability of 16. 521 the six-minute walk test in moderate chronic heart failure patients. Archives of Physical 522 Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2004;85(9):1513-8.

523 17. Guazzi MD, K.; Vicenzi, M.; Arena, R. Six-minute walk test and cardiopulmonary 524 exercise testing in patients with chronic heart failure: a comparative analysis on clinical and 525 prognostic insights. Circ. 2009;2(6):549-55. 526 Irisawa HT, K.;Inui, N.;Miyakawa, S.;Morishima, Y.;Mizushima, T.;Watanabe, H. 18. 527 Incremental shuttle walk test as a valuable assessment of exercise performance in patients 528 with pulmonary arterial hypertension. Circulation Journal. 2014;78(1):215-21. 529 Fowler RMJ, S. C.; Maiorana, A. J.; Gain, K. R.; O'Driscoll, G.; Gabbay, E. Measurement 19. 530 properties of the 6-min walk test in individuals with exercise-induced pulmonary arterial 531 hypertension. Internal Medicine Journal. 2011;41(9):679-87. 532 Kehmeier ESS, M. H.; Galonska, A.; Zeus, T.; Verde, P.; Kelm, M. Diagnostic value of the 20. 533 six-minute walk test (6MWT) in grown-up congenital heart disease (GUCH): Comparison 534 with clinical status and functional exercise capacity. International Journal of Cardiology. 535 2016;203:90-7. 536 21. Pereira de Sousa LAB, R. R.; Ribeiro, A. L.; Baracho, S. M.; da Costa Val Barros, 537 V.;Carvalho, V. T.;Parreira, V. F. Six-minute walk test in patients with permanent cardiac 538 pacemakers. J Mol Signal. 2008;28(4):253-7. 539 Carvalho EEC, D. C.;Crescencio, J. C.;Santi, G. L.;Papa, V.;Margues, F.;Schmidt, 22. 540 A.;Marin-Neto, J. A.;Simoes, M. V.;Gallo Junior, L. Heart failure: comparison between six-541 minute walk test and cardiopulmonary test. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia. 542 2011;97(1):59-64. 543 23. Schmidt KV, L.; Thiel, C.; Jager, E.; Banzer, W. Validity of the six-minute walk test in 544 cancer patients. International journal of sports medicine. 2013;34(7):631-6. 545 Mossberg KAF, E. Responsiveness and validity of the six-minute walk test in 24. 546 individuals with traumatic brain injury. Physical therapy. 2012;92(5):726-33. 547 25. Maldonado-Martin SB, P. H.; Kaminsky, L. A.; Moore, J. B.; Stewart, K. P.; Kitzman, D. 548 W. The relationship of a 6-min walk to VO(2 peak) and VT in older heart failure patients. 549 Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2006;38(6):1047-53. 550 Carter RH, D. B.; Grothues, C.; Nwasuruba, C.; Stocks, J.; Tiep, B. Criterion validity of the 26. 551 Duke Activity Status Index for assessing functional capacity in patients with chronic 552 obstructive pulmonary disease. Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation. 2002;22(4):298-553 308. 554 Vancampfort DB, R.; Sienaert, P.; Wyckaert, S.; De Herdt, A.; De Hert, M.; Probst, M. 27. 555 Validity of the 6min walk test in outpatients with bipolar disorder. Psychiatry research. 556 2015;230(2):664-7. 557 Shulman MAC, B. H.; Wijeysundera, D. N.; Pearse, R. M.; Thompson, B.; Torres, E.; et 28. 558 al. Using the 6-minute walk test to predict disability-free survival after major surgery. British 559 Journal of Anaesthesia. 2019;122(1):111-9. 560 Metz LT, David; Peirrera, Bruno; Richard, Ruddy; Julian, Valérie; Duclos, Martine. A new 29. 561 equation based on the 6-min walking test to predict VO2peak in women with obesity. 562 Disabil Rehabil. 2018;40(14):1702-7. 563 Pankoff BO, T.;Lucy, D.;White, K. Validity and responsiveness of the 6 minute walk 30. 564 test for people with fibromyalgia. Journal of Rheumatology. 2000;27(11):2666-70. 565 Beretta LS, A.;Lemos, A.;Masciocchi, M.;Scorza, R. Validity of the Saint George's 31. 566 Respiratory Questionnaire in the evaluation of the health-related quality of life in patients 567 with interstitial lung disease secondary to systemic sclerosis. Rheumatology. 568 2007;46(2):296-301.

569 32. Donaldson SW, L.;Day, A.;Weiford, B. Validity of a Submaximal 6-min Recumbent 570 Stepper Test for Cardiac Rehabilitation. Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and 571 Prevention. 2019;39(2):E14-E7. 572 33. Lin SJB, N. H. Six-minute walk test in persons with transtibial amputation. Arch Phys 573 Med Rehabil. 2008;89(12):2354-9. 574 Olper LC, P.; De Santi, F.; Meloni, C.; Gatti, R. Validation of the treadmill Six-Minute 34. 575 Walk Test in people following cardiac surgery. Physical therapy. 2011;91(4):566-76. 576 Cabeza-Ruiz RA-C, F. J.; Ruiz-Gavilan, I.; Sanchez-Lopez, A. M. Feasibility and reliability 35. 577 of a physical fitness test battery in individuals with down syndrome. International Journal of 578 Environmental Research and Public Health. 2019;16(15). 579 Ben Saad HP, C.; Tabka, Z.; Mtir, A. H.; Chemit, M.; Hassaoune, R.; Ben Abid, T.; Zara, 36. 580 K.;Mercier, G.;Zbidi, A.;Hayot, M. 6-minute walk distance in healthy North Africans older 581 than 40 years: influence of parity. Respiratory Medicine. 2009;103(1):74-84. 582 37. da Cunha-Filho ITP, D. A.; de Carvalho, A. M.; Campedeli, L.; Soares, M.; de Sousa 583 Freitas, J. The reliability of walking tests in people with claudication. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 584 2007;86(7):574-82. 585 Hanson LCM, H.; Taylor, N. F. The retest reliability of the six-minute walk test in 38. 586 patients referred to a cardiac rehabilitation programme. Physiotherapy Research 587 International. 2012;17(1):55-61. Nielsen KEN, D. H.; Lin, S.; Fieseler, K. C. R.; Sterling, T. M.; Ver Hoef, R. L.; Knipper, J. 588 39. 589 S.; Wilson, J. S.; Foxen, M. F. Changes in exercise responses and tolerance following an eight 590 week pulmonary rehabilitation program. Cardiopulmonary Physical Therapy Journal 591 (American Physical Therapy Association, Cardiopulmonary Section). 1997;8(4):3-11. 592 Buch MHD, C. P.;Furst, D. E.;Guillevin, L.;Rubin, L. J.;Wells, A. U.;Matucci-Cerinic, 40. 593 M.;Riemekasten, G.;Emery, P.;Chadha-Boreham, H.;Charef, P.;Roux, S.;Black, C. M.;Seibold, 594 J. R. Submaximal exercise testing in the assessment of interstitial lung disease secondary to 595 systemic sclerosis: Reproducibility and correlations of the 6-min walk test. Annals of the 596 Rheumatic Diseases. 2007;66(2):169-73. 597 41. Borel BF, C.;Saison, S.;Bart, F.;Grosbois, J. M. An original field evaluation test for 598 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease population: the six-minute stepper test. Clinical 599 rehabilitation. 2010;24(1):82-93. 600 Nathan SDDB, R. M.; Albera, C.; Bradford, W. Z.; Costabel, U.; Kartashov, A.; Noble, P. 42. 601 W.;Sahn, S. A.;Valeyre, D.;Weycker, D.;King, T. E. Validation of test performance 602 characteristics and minimal clinically important difference of the 6-minute walk test in 603 patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Respiratory Medicine. 2015;109(7):914-22. 604 43. Kervio GC, F.; Ville, N. S. Reliability and intensity of the six-minute walk test in healthy 605 elderly subjects. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 2003;35(1):169-74. 606 Correa FRdSA, M. A.; Bianchim, M. S.; Crispim de Aquino, A.; Guerra, R. L.; Dourado, V. 44. 607 Z. Heart rate variability during 6-min walk test in adults aged 40 years and older. 608 International journal of sports medicine. 2013;34(2):111-5. 609 Bauman HCA, H. M. Relationship between functional exercise capacity and general 45. 610 quality of life in nonsurgical patients with lower-extremity peripheral arterial disease. 611 Journal of vascular nursing : official publication of the Society for Peripheral Vascular 612 Nursing. 1997;15(1):21-8. 613 46. Kierkegaard MT, A. Reliability and feasibility of the six minute walk test in subjects 614 with myotonic dystrophy. Neuromuscul Disord. 2007;17(11-12):943-9.

615 47. Mossberg KA. Reliability of a timed walk test in persons with acquired brain injury. 616 Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2003;82(5):385-90; guiz 91-2. 617 Vancampfort DP, M.;Sweers, K.;Maurissen, K.;Knapen, J.;De Hert, M. Reliability, 48. 618 minimal detectable changes, practice effects and correlates of the 6-min walk test in 619 patients with schizophrenia. Psychiatry Research. 2011;187(1-2):62-7. 620 Gomberg-Maitland MH, D.;Benza, R. L.;McLaughlin, V. V.;Tapson, V. F.;Barst, R. J. 49. 621 Creation of a model comparing 6-minute walk test to metabolic equivalent in evaluating 622 treatment effects in pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Heart Lung Transplant. 623 2007;26(7):732-8. 624 50. Jehn MS-T, A.;Schuster, T.;Hanssen, H.;Weis, M.;Halle, M.;Koehler, F. Accelerometer-625 based quantification of 6-minute walk test performance in patients with chronic heart 626 failure: applicability in telemedicine. Journal of Cardiac Failure. 2009;15(4):334-40. 627 Vancampfort DW, S.; Sienaert, P.; De Hert, M.; Soundy, A.; Rosenbaum, S.; Richards, 51. 628 J.; Probst, M. Test-retest study of the six-minute walk test in people with bipolar disorder. 629 Psychiatria Danubina. 2016;28(1):39-44. 52. 630 Grosbois JMR, C.; Chehere, B.; Coquart, J.; Behal, H.; Bart, F.; Wallaert, B.; Chenivesse, C. 631 Six-minute stepper test: A valid clinical exercise tolerance test for COPD patients. 632 International Journal of COPD. 2016;11(1):657-63. 53. 633 Manali EDL, P.; Triantafillidou, C.; Kolilekas, L. F.; Sotiropoulou, C.; Milic-Emili, J.;Roussos, C.;Papiris, S. A. MRC chronic Dyspnea Scale: Relationships with cardiopulmonary 634 exercise testing and 6-minute walk test in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients: a 635 636 prospective study. BMC polm. 2010;10:32. 637 Bonnevie TA, M.; Prieur, G.; Combret, Y.; Debeaumont, D.; Patout, M.; Cuvelier, 54. 638 A.; Viacroze, C.; Muir, J. F.; Medrinal, C.; Gravier, F. E. The six-minute stepper test is related to 639 muscle strength but cannot substitute for the one repetition maximum to prescribe strength 640 training in patients with COPD. International Journal of Copd. 2019;14:767-74. 55. 641 Beekman EM, I.; Hendriks, E. J. M.; Klaassen, M. P. M.; Gosselink, R.; van Schayck, O. C. 642 P.; de Bie, R. A. Course length of 30 metres versus 10 metres has a significant influence on six-minute walk distance in patients with COPD: An experimental crossover study. Journal of 643 Physiotherapy. 2013;59(3):169-76. 644 Eiser NW, D.; Dore, C. J. Reliability, repeatability and sensitivity to change of 645 56. 646 externally and self-paced walking tests in COPD patients. Respiratory Medicine. 647 2003;97(4):407-14. 648 Berghmans DDL, A. F.; Bastiaenen, C. H.; Ilhan, M.; Lencer, N. H.; Roox, G. M. 57. 649 Reliability, agreement, and responsiveness of a 6-minute walk/run test in patients with 650 heart disease. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology. 2013;20(1):135-41. 651 Granger CLD, L.; Parry, S. M.; Martin, J.; Dimitriadis, T.; Sorohan, M.; Irving, L. Which 58. 652 field walking test should be used to assess functional exercise capacity in lung cancer? An 653 observational study. BMC polm. 2015;15:89. 654 Hornby BM, Rebecca; Buckley, Lucy; Carson, Kimberley; Gooding, Tiffany; Vernon, 59. 655 Hilary J. Functional exercise capacity, strength, balance and motion reaction time in Barth 656 syndrome. Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases. 2019;14(1):N.PAG-N.PAG. 657 Modai GS, B.;Bar-Haim, S.;Hutzler, Y. Stair climbing test post-stroke: feasibility, 60. 658 convergent validity and metabolic, cardiac, and respiratory responses. Topics in stroke 659 rehabilitation. 2015;22(4):281-8.

Carbonell-Baeza AÁ-G, I. C.;Segura-Jiménez, V.;Castro-Piñero, J.;Ruiz, J. R.;Delgado-660 61. 661 Fernández, M.; Aparicio, V. A. Reliability and Feasibility of Physical Fitness Tests in Female 662 Fibromyalgia Patients. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 2015;36(2):157-62. 663 62. Boer PHM, S. J. Test-retest reliability and minimal detectable change scores of 664 twelve functional fitness tests in adults with Down syndrome. Research in Developmental 665 Disabilities. 2016;48:176-85. 666 Lee RGC, C. Y.; Hsiao, C. C.; Lin, R. Heart rate monitoring systems in groups for 63. 667 reliability and validity assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness analysis. Biomedical 668 Engineering - Applications, Basis and Communications. 2015;27(6). 669 64. Giacomantonio NM, P.;Rasmussen, R.;MacKay-Lyons, M. J. Reliability and Validity of 670 the 6-Minute Step Test for Clinical Assessment of Cardiorespiratory Fitness in People at Risk 671 of Cardiovascular Disease. J Strength Cond Res. 2020;34(5):1376-82. 672 Hong SHY, H. I.; Kim, D. I.; Gonzales, T. I.; Brage, S.; Jeon, J. Y. Validation of submaximal 65. 673 step tests and the 6-min walk test for predicting maximal oxygen consumption in young and 674 healthy participants. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 675 2019;16(23). 676 Leung ASC, K. K.; Sykes, K.; Chan, K. S. Reliability, validity, and responsiveness of a 2-66. 677 min walk test to assess exercise capacity of COPD patients. Chest. 2006;130(1):119-25. 678 67. Lee MC. Validity of the 6-minute walk test and step test for evaluation of cardio 679 respiratory fitness in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J. 2018;22(1):49-55. 680 Rodrigues ADM, Marianna; Nellessen, Aline G.; Hernandes, Nidia A.; Neder, J. 68. 681 Alberto; Pitta, Fabio. Is the six-minute walk test a useful tool to prescribe high-intensity 682 exercise in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? Heart & Lung. 683 2016;45(6):550-6. 684 69. Witham MDS, J. A.; Sumukadas, D.; Dryburgh, M.; McMurdo, M. E. A comparison of 685 the Endurance Shuttle Walk test and the Six Minute Walk test for assessment of exercise 686 capacity in older people. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2012;24(2):176-80. 687 70. Kohlbrenner DB, C.;Radtke, T. The 1-Minute Sit-to-Stand Test in Lung Transplant Candidates: An Alternative to the 6-Minute Walk Test. Respiratory care. 2020;65(4):437-43. 688 689 Curb JDC-U, C. D.;Rodriguez, B. L.;Grove, J.;Guralnik, J.;Willcox, B. J.;Donlon, T. 71. 690 A.; Masaki, K. H.; Chen, R. Performance-based measures of physical function for high-function 691 populations. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2006;54(5):737-42. 692 Magalhaes MGST, J. B.;Santos, A. M. B.;Climaco, D. C. S.;Silva, T. N. S.;Lima, A. M. J. 72. 693 Construct validity and reproducibility of the six-minute step test in subjects with obstructive 694 sleep apnea treated with continuous positive airway pressure. J Bras Pneumol. 695 2020;46(3):e20180422. 696 Vagaggini BT, M.; Severino, S.; Marcello, M.; Antonelli, S.; Brogi, S.; De Simone, 73. 697 C.; Giardina, A.; Paggiaro, P. L. Shuttle walking test and 6-minute walking test induce a similar 698 cardiorespiratory performance in patients recovering from an acute exacerbation of chronic 699 obstructive pulmonary disease. Respiration. 2003;70(6):579-84. 700 74. Vancampfort D, Vandael H, Hallgren M, Van Damme T. Test-retest reliability and 701 correlates of the 6-min walk test in people with alcohol use disorders. 2021. 702 Tsuji K, Matsuoka YJ, Kuchiba A, Suto A, Ochi E. Accuracy of exercise-based tests for 75. 703 estimating cardiorespiratory fitness and muscle strength in early-stage breast cancer

survivors in Japan. 2022.

705 76. Dourado VZ, Nishiaka RK, Simoes M, Lauria VT, Tanni SE, Godoy I, et al. Classification
706 of cardiorespiratory fitness using the six-minute walk test in adults: Comparison with
707 cardiopulmonary exercise testing. 2021.

708 77. Cheng DK, Nelson M, Brooks D, Salbach NM. Validation of stroke-specific protocols
709 for the 10-meter walk test and 6-minute walk test conducted using 15-meter and 30-meter
710 walkways. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2020;27(4):251-61.

711 78. Kakitsuka EE, Morita AA, Itakussu EY, Kuwahara RM, Anami EHT, Pitta F, et al. Six712 minute walk test in burned subjects: Applicability, reproducibility and performance at
713 hospital discharge. Burns. 2020;46(7):1540-7.

714 79. Reychler GA, N.;Dewulf, S.;Morale Mestre, N.;Caty, G. Validation of 6min step test 715 and 4-m gait speed in children: A randomized cross-over study. Gait Posture. 2018;61:19-24.

716 80. Crook SB, G.;Schultz, K.;Lehbert, N.;Jelusic, D.;Keusch, S.;Wittmann, M.;Schuler,

M.;Radtke, T.;Frey, M.;Turk, A.;Puhan, M. A.;Frei, A. A multicentre validation of the 1-min
sit-to-stand test in patients with COPD. European Respiratory Journal. 2017;49(3).

719 81. Zanini AA, M.;Cherubino, F.;Zampogna, E.;Azzola, A.;Chetta, A.;Spanevello, A. The

one repetition maximum test and the sit-to-stand test in the assessment of a specific

pulmonary rehabilitation program on peripheral muscle strength in COPD patients.International Journal of Copd. 2015;10:2423-30.

Simmonds MJS, Maureen J. Physical function in patients with cancer: psychometric
characteristics and clinical usefulness of a physical performance test battery. Journal of Pain
& Symptom Management. 2002;24(4):404-14.

726 83. Vancampfort DB, D.;Kimbowa, S.;Firth, J.;Schuch, F.;Van Damme, T.;Mugisha, J. Test727 retest reliability, validity, and correlates of the 2-min walk test in outpatients with

depression. Physiotherapy research international : the journal for researchers and cliniciansin physical therapy. 2020;25(2):e1821.

Hansen HB, N.;Frolich, A.;Godtfredsen, N.;Bieler, T. Intra- and inter-rater
reproducibility of the 6-minute walk test and the 30-second sit-to-stand test in patients with
severe and very severe COPD. International Journal of Copd. 2018;13:3447-57.

Reilly TT, M. A sub-maximal occupational aerobic fitness test alternative, when the
use of heart rate is not appropriate. Work (Reading, Mass). 2010;36(3):333-7.

86. Hwang RM, N. R.;Mandrusiak, A.;Mudge, A.;Suna, J.;Adsett, J.;Russell, T. Timed Up
and Go Test: A Reliable and Valid Test in Patients With Chronic Heart Failure. Journal of
Cardiac Failure. 2016;22(8):646-50.

738 87. Ozcan Kahraman BO, I.;Akdeniz, B.;Ozpelit, E.;Sevinc, C.;Acar, S.;Savci, S. Test-retest
739 reliability and validity of the timed up and go test and 30-second sit to stand test in patients
740 with pulmonary hypertension. International Journal of Cardiology. 2020;304:159-63.

741 88. De Camargo AAR, S. Z.;Athanazio, R. A.;Amaral, T. S.;De Cordoba Lanza, F.;Selman, J.

P. R.;Cukier, A.;Fernandes, F. L. A.;Carvalho, C. R. F.;Stelmach, R.;Dal Corso, S. Incremental
 shuttle walking test: A reproducible and valid measure of exercise tolerance in adult

subjects with non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis (nCF-BCt). European Respiratory Journal

745 Conference: European Respiratory Society Annual Congress. 2013;42(SUPPL. 57).

89. Bardin MGD, V. Z. Association between the occurrence of falls and the performance
on the incremental shuttle walk test in elderly women. Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy.
2012;16(4):275-80.

90. Spagnuolo DLJ, S. P.;Iwama, A. M.;Dourado, V. Z. Walking for the assessment of
balance in healthy subjects older than 40 years. Gerontology. 2010;56(5):467-73.

751 91. Thornton HEW, T. Harcourt. Using simple exercise tests in the field to assess 752 susceptibility to Acute Mountain Sickness; the Chester Step Test may be a useful predictor. 753 Journal of the Royal Naval Medical Service. 2017;103(3):175-82. 754 92. Ang WQ, Tan HT, Goh SM, Seng SW, Huang KS, Chan MY, et al. Chinese (Mandarin) 755 translation of the incremental shuttle walk test and its validity and reliability: A cross-756 sectional study. Hong Kong Physiotherapy Journal. 2022;42(02):137-49. 757 Barbosa RCC, Silva RA, Lunardi AC, Silva STC, Corso SD, Fonseca AJ, et al. 93. 758 Reproducibility, validity, and reliability of the incremental step test for subjects with 759 moderate to severe asthma. 2022. 760 94. Quintino LF, Aguiar LT, de Brito SAF, Pereira AS, Teixeira-Salmela LF, de Morais Faria 761 CDC. Reliability and validity of the incremental shuttle walking test in individuals after 762 stroke. 2021. 763 95. Kon SSP, M. S.; Canavan, J. L.; Clark, A. L.; Jones, S. E.; Nolan, C. M.; Cullinan, P.; Polkey, 764 M. I.; Man, W. D. Reliability and validity of 4-metre gait speed in COPD. European 765 Respiratory Journal. 2013;42(2):333-40. 766 96. Jones SE, Kon SS, Canavan JL, Patel MS, Clark AL, Nolan CM, et al. The five-repetition 767 sit-to-stand test as a functional outcome measure in COPD. Thorax. 2013;68(11):1015-20. 768 Lee ALC, N.;Holland, A. E.;Hill, C. J.;McDonald, C. F.;Burge, A. T.;Rautela, 97. 769 L.; Thompson, P. J.; Stirling, R. G.; Jenkins, S. Field walking tests are reliable and responsive to 770 exercise training in people with non'cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis. Journal of 771 Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention. 2015;35(6):439-45. 772 98. Radtke T, Hebestreit H, Puhan M, Kriemler S. The 1-min sit-to-stand test in cystic 773 fibrosis - Insights into cardiorespiratory responses. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis. 774 2017;16(6):744-51. 775 99. Radtke T, Puhan M, Hebestreit H, Kriemler S. The 1-min sit-to-stand test-A simple functional capacity test in cystic fibrosis? Journal of Cystic Fibrosis. 2016;15(2):223-6. 776 777 100. Reychler GB, E.; Peran, L.; Pichon, R.; Le Ber-Moy, C.; Ouksel, H.; Liistro, G.; Chambellan, 778 A.;Beaumont, M. One minute sit-to-stand test is an alternative to 6MWT to measure 779 functional exercise performance in COPD patients. Clin Respir J. 2018;12(3):1247-56. 780 Gephine S, Bergeron S, Tremblay-Labrecque P-F, Mucci P, Saey D, Maltais F. 101. Cardiorespiratory Response during the 1-min Sit-to-Stand Test in Chronic Obstructive 781 782 Pulmonary Disease. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2020; Publish Ahead of Print:1. 783 102. Tarrant BJR, R.; Maitre, C. L.; Poulsen, M.; Corbett, M.; Snell, G.; Thompson, B. 784 R.;Button, B. M.;Holland, A. E. The Utility of the Sit-to-Stand Test for Inpatients in the Acute 785 Hospital Setting After Lung Transplantation. Physical therapy. 2020;13. 786 103. Tremblay Labrecque PF, Harvey J, Nadreau E, Maltais F, Dion G, Saey D. Validation 787 and Cardiorespiratory Response of the 1-Min Sit-to-Stand Test in Interstitial Lung Disease. 788 2020. 789 104. Levesque JA, A.;Li, P. Z.;Herengt, F.;Brosson, C.;Grosbois, J. M.;Bernady, A.;Bender, 790 A.;Favre, M.;Guerder, A.;Surpas, P.;Similowski, T.;Aguilaniu, B. Minimal clinically important 791 difference of 3-minute chair rise test and the DIRECT questionnaire after pulmonary 792 rehabilitation in COPD patients. International Journal of Copd. 2019;14:261-9. 793 105. Selig SEG, C. M.; Carlson, J. S. A multi-stage step test protocol for people with low 794 exercise capacity. Clinical Kinesiology. 2000;54(3):67-71. 795 106. Dal Corso SD, S. R.;Neder, J. A.;Malaguti, C.;de Fuccio, M. B.;de Castro Pereira, C. 796 A.;Nery, L. E. A step test to assess exercise-related oxygen desaturation in interstitial lung 797 disease. European Respiratory Journal. 2007;29(2):330-6.

107. Di Thommazo-Luporini LPC, L.;Luporini, R.;Trimer, R.;Falasco Pantoni, C. B.;Catai, A.
M.;Arena, R.;Borghi-Silva, A. The six-minute step test as a predictor of cardiorespiratory
fitness in obese women. European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine.
2015;51(6):793-802.

108. Munari AB, Silva I, Gulart AA, Venancio RS, Klein SR, Zanotto J, et al. Reproducibility of the 6-Min Step Test in Subjects With COPD. 2021.

- 804 109. Marinho RS, Jurgensen SP, Arcuri JF, Goulart CL, Santos PBD, Roscani MG, et al.
- 805 Reliability and validity of six-minute step test in patients with heart failure. 2021.
- 110. Cooney JKA, Y. A.; Moore, J. P.; Lemmey, A. B.; Jones, J. G.; Madisson, P. J.; Thom, J. M.
 Validity and reliability of the siconolfi step test for estimating cardiorespiratory fitness in
 rheumatoid arthritis patients. Rheumatology. 2011;50:iii84.
- 809 111. Cooney JKM, J. P.; Ahmad, Y. A.; Jones, J. G.; Lemmey, A. B.; Casanova, F.; Maddison, P.
- J.;Thom, J. M. A simple step test to estimate cardio-respiratory fitness levels of rheumatoid
 arthritis patients in a clinical setting. International Journal of Rheumatology. 2013;2013 (no
- 812 pagination).
- 813 112. Lemanska AP, K.; Aning, J. J.; Griffin, B. A.; Manders, R.; Saxton, J. M.; Wainwright,
- J.;Faithfull, S. The Siconolfi step test: a valid and reliable assessment of cardiopulmonary
 fitness in older men with prostate cancer. Eur. 2019;16:1.
- 816 113. Marcora SMC, F.; Fortes, M. B.; Maddison, P. J. Validity and reliability of the Siconolfi
- Step Test for assessment of physical fitness in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus.
 Arthritis Rheum. 2007;57(6):1007-11.
- 819 114. Siconolfi SFG, C. E.; Lasater, T. M.; Carleton, R. A. A simple, valid step test for
 820 estimating maximal oxygen uptake in epidemiologic studies. American Journal of
 821 Epidemiology. 1985;121(3):382-90.
- 822 115. Jette MS, K.;Quenneville, J.;Landry, F. Relation between cardiorespiratory fitness and
- selected risk factors for coronary heart disease in a population of Canadian men andwomen. Cmaj. 1992;146(8):1353-60.
- 825 116. Beckerman HH, M.;Van Den Akker, L. E.;De Groot, V. The 2-minute walk test is not a
 826 valid method to determine aerobic capacity in persons with Multiple Sclerosis.
 827 NeuroRehabilitation. 2019;45(2):239-45.
- 828 117. Reed JLC, L. M.;Cole, C. A.;Harris, J.;Moran, B.;Scott, K.;Terada, T.;Buckley, J. P.;Pipe,
- A. L. Submaximal Exercise Testing in Cardiovascular Rehabilitation Settings (BEST Study).
 Frontiers in Physiology. 2020;10 (no pagination).
- 831 118. Sykes KR, A. The Chester step test -- a simple yet effective tool for the prediction of
 832 aerobic capacity. Physiotherapy. 2004;90(4):183-8.
- 833 119. Bland JM AD. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of834 clinical measurement. Lancet 1986;5:307–10.
- 835 120. Freene N, Pike A, Smith D, Pradhananga A, Toohey K. Criterion Validity of the Older-
- adults 2-minute Step Test in Community-dwelling Middle-aged Adults. Measurement in
 Physical Education and Exercise Science. 2021;25(4):335-43.
- 838 121. Chow JJL, Fitzgerald C, Rand S. The 2 min step test: A reliable and valid measure of 839 functional capacity in older adults post coronary revascularisation. 2022.
- 840 122. de Jesus SFC, Bassi-Dibai D, Pontes-Silva A, da Silva de Araujo A, de Freitas Faria Silva
- 841 S, Veneroso CE, et al. Construct validity and reliability of the 2-Minute Step Test (2MST) in
- 842 individuals with low back pain. 2022.

843 Kieu NTVJ, S. J.;Shin, S. W.;Jung, H. W.;Jung, E. S.;Won, Y. H.;Kim, Y. G.;Chae, S. W. 123. 844 The Validity of the YMCA 3-Minute Step Test for Estimating Maximal Oxygen Uptake in 845 Healthy Korean and Vietnamese Adults. J. 2020;10(1):21-9. 846 124. Santo ASG, L. A. Predicting maximum oxygen uptake from a modified 3-minute step 847 test. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2003;74(1):110-5. 848 Cox MHT, S. G.; Weller, I. M.; Corey, P. Reliability and validity of a fitness assessment 125. 849 for epidemiological studies. Canadian journal of sport sciences = Journal canadien des 850 sciences du sport. 1992;17(1):49-55. 851 Grant JAJ, A. N.; Campagna, P. D. The prediction of VO2max: a comparison of 7 126. indirect tests of aerobic power. Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research (Allen Press 852 853 Publishing Services Inc). 1999;13(4):346-52. 854 Tarrant BJ, Robinson R, Le Maitre C, Poulsen M, Corbett M, Snell G, et al. The Utility 127. 855 of the Sit-to-Stand Test for Inpatients in the Acute Hospital Setting After Lung 856 Transplantation. 2020. 857 128. Lázaro-Martínez S, Orueta-Jiménez TJ, Arias-Vázquez PI, Castillo-Avila RG, Tovilla-858 Zárate CA, Hernández-Gil KDC, et al. Reproducibility and safety of the 30" sit to stand test in 859 individuals with obesity and cardiovascular risk factors. Obes Res Clin Pract. 2022;16(6):533-860 5. 861 129. de Melo ATA, Silva Guimaraes BF, Lapa ESCJR. The five times sit-to-stand test: safety, 862 validity and reliability with critical care survivors's at ICU discharge. 2022. 863 130. Guo YB, J.;Li, Q.;Leavitt, T.;Rosenberg, E. I.;Buford, T. W.;Smith, M. D.;Vincent, H. 864 K.;Modave, F. A 3-minute test of cardiorespiratory fitness for use in primary care clinics. 865 PLoS ONE. 2018;13(7). 866 Benton MJA, J. L. Validation of functional fitness tests as surrogates for strength 131. 867 measurement in frail, older adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Phys 868 Med Rehabil. 2009;88(7):579-83; quiz 84-6, 90. 869 132. Puente-Maestu L, Stringer W, Casaburi R. Exercise testing to evaluate therapeutic 870 interventions in chronic respiratory diseases. Barcelona Respiratory Network. 2018;4. 133. 871 Frost AE, Langleben D, Oudiz R, Hill N, Horn E, McLaughlin V, et al. The 6-min walk 872 test (6MW) as an efficacy endpoint in pulmonary arterial hypertension clinical trials: 873 demonstration of a ceiling effect. Vascul Pharmacol. 2005;43(1):36-9. 874 de Camargo AAA, T. S.;Rached, S. Z.;Athanazio, R. A.;Lanza, F. C.;Sampaio, L. M.;de 134. 875 Carvalho, C. R.; Cukier, A.; Stelmach, R.; Dal Corso, S. Incremental shuttle walking test: a 876 reproducible and valid test to evaluate exercise tolerance in adults with noncystic fibrosis 877 bronchiectasis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014;95(5):892-9. 878 135. Diaz-Balboa E, Gonzalez-Salvado V, Rodriguez-Romero B, Martinez-Monzonis A, 879 Pedreira-Perez M, Cuesta-Vargas AI, et al. Thirty-second sit-to-stand test as an alternative 880 for estimating peak oxygen uptake and 6-min walking distance in women with breast 881 cancer: a cross-sectional study. 2022. 882 136. Myers J, Bellin D. Ramp exercise protocols for clinical and cardiopulmonary exercise 883 testing. Sports Medicine. 2000;30(1):23-9. 884 137. Bayonas-Ruiz A, Muñoz-Franco FM, Ferrer V, Pérez-Caballero C, Sabater-Molina M, 885 Tomé-Esteban MT, et al. Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test in Patients with Hypertrophic

886 Cardiomyopathy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Clinical Medicine.

887 2021;10(11):2312.











0.93 [0.91, 0.95]

















