

1 **Community Assessment of School-Based Mass Drug Administration Program for Soil-**

2 **Transmitted Helminths and Schistosomiasis in Nigeria.**

3

4 **Folahanmi T. Akinsolu^{1,2*}¶, Olunike Abodunrin^{1,3}¶, Mobolaji Olagunju⁴¶, Ifeoluwa E.**

5 **Adewole^{1&}, Nurudeen Rahman⁷¶, Anita Dabar^{1&}, Diana W. Njuguna⁵¶, Islamiat Y.**

6 **Shoneye^{6&}, Abideen Salako^{1,2*}¶, Oliver C. Ezechi^{1,2*}¶, Orsolya Varga⁸¶, Olaoluwa P.**

7 **Akinwale^{1,2}¶**

8 **¹Lead City University, Ibadan**

9 **²Nigerian Institute of Medical Research, Lagos**

10 **³Lagos State Health Management Agency, Lagos**

11 **⁴Nanjing Medical University, China**

12 **⁵Dedan Kimathi University of Technology, Kenya**

13 **⁶Ogun State Ministry of Health, Abeokuta**

14 **⁷Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Switzerland**

15 **⁸University of Debrecen, Hungary**

16

17 ***Corresponding author**

18 **Folahanmi.tomiwa@gmail.com**

19

20 **¶These authors contributed equally to this work.**

21 **&These authors also contributed equally to this work.**

22 **Abstract**

23 **Background:** Neglected tropical diseases, such as soil-transmitted helminths and
24 schistosomiasis, are prevalent in sub-Saharan Africa, particularly in Nigeria. Mass drug
25 administration is the primary control intervention, but the coverage and utilization of these
26 programs are often inadequate. This study aimed to investigate community perceptions of
27 school-based mass drug administration programs for these infections in Nigeria and to identify
28 the barriers to their utilization and coverage.

29 **Methodology/Principal Findings:** The study used a qualitative research approach, involving
30 focus group discussions and in-depth interviews with stakeholders involved in neglected
31 tropical disease control programs in Ogun State, Nigeria. A semi-structured questionnaire was
32 used to guide the exploration of ideas, and the data were analyzed using the QRS Nvivo 12
33 software package. The study found several barriers such as poor drug acceptability,
34 accessibility, and effectiveness, low knowledge and awareness of the diseases and control
35 interventions, inadequate community engagement and involvement, and weak health system
36 and partner support to the utilization and coverage of control interventions for soil-transmitted
37 helminths and schistosomiasis. The study also identified recommendations for addressing these
38 barriers, including community sensitization and engagement, improving drug distribution and
39 effectiveness, strengthening health system support, and enhancing partner collaboration and
40 coordination.

41 **Conclusions/Significance:** The study revealed correct perceptions of transmission but some
42 misconceptions about disease causation, transmission, and drug safety. Participants expressed
43 a desire for better sensitization campaigns and more assurances of their safety. To improve
44 mass drug administration programs, the study recommends strengthening health education
45 messages and increasing the visibility of on-site medical personnel. The findings have
46 implications for improving the effectiveness of these programs and reducing the burden of
47 intestinal parasitic infections in the community. The study highlights the need for community

- 48 engagement and education, health system support, and partner collaboration to ensure the
- 49 successful implementation of mass drug administration programs.

50 **Author Summary**

51 This study explored the barriers to the utilization and coverage of control interventions for soil-
52 transmitted helminths and schistosomiasis in Nigeria. Key informant interviews and focus
53 group discussions were conducted with stakeholders involved in Neglected Tropical Disease
54 school-based control programs, including community members, teachers, parents, and school-
55 aged children. The study found that the main barriers to the utilization and coverage of control
56 interventions for soil-transmitted helminths and schistosomiasis were poor drug acceptability,
57 limited accessibility to drugs, and inadequate knowledge about the diseases and the control
58 interventions. Additionally, the study found that the implementation of Neglected Tropical
59 Disease control programs was inconsistent due to a lack of support from partners. Overall, our
60 study provides important insights into the barriers to Neglected Tropical Disease school-based
61 control programs and highlights the need for improved drug acceptability, accessibility, and
62 knowledge about the diseases and control interventions. Our findings can inform the
63 development of effective interventions to improve the utilization and coverage of control
64 interventions.

65 Introduction

66 Soil-transmitted helminths (STH) and schistosomiasis (SCH) are among 20 neglected tropical
67 diseases (NTDs) identified by WHO and are responsible for approximately 150,000 deaths a
68 year (1-3). Africa accounts for 40% of the global burden of NTDs (4). In several developing
69 countries, NTDs are major public health problems including Nigeria. Nigeria has the largest
70 burden of NTDs in sub-Saharan Africa, accounting for 25% of the total burden in the region
71 (2, 5). In Nigeria, STH and SCH infections harm the overall health status and fitness of school-
72 aged children (SAC) (6). STH and SCH are poverty-related diseases, and SAC is the group at
73 the highest risk.

74 Over the last three decades, considerable attention and funding have been given to NTDs, with
75 most recent efforts being targeted towards the attainment of commitments detailed in the WHO
76 Ending the neglect to attain the Sustainable Development Goal that pursues the control and
77 elimination of selected NTDs by 2030 (7, 8). STH and SCH are primarily controlled through
78 mass drug administration (MDA) programs delivered to SAC via school-based delivery
79 platforms to reduce the occurrence, severity, and long-term consequences of morbidity (9).
80 While MDA is the primary strategy for the control and elimination of STH and SCH, the
81 treatment has been inconsistent. There are concerns regarding the ability of these intervention
82 achievements to be maintained in the face of programmatic, social, and political changes (2,
83 10). With the approaching year 2030, it is imperative to assess both the accomplishments and
84 obstacles encountered in the implementation of MDA to ensure that the goal of equitable
85 achievement of the 'end game' is attained in varied and rapidly evolving contexts.

86 A better understanding of the facilitators and barriers of integration within existing integrated
87 programs can enhance public health integration efforts in Nigeria. The integration of NTD
88 control programs has been identified as a highly promising approach toward achieving the
89 London Declaration goals and has been recommended by the WHO for NTD-endemic
90 countries to optimize program implementation (9). Since STH and SCH NTD control programs
91 rely on MDA, it is crucial to comprehend the factors that impact the different stages of

92 implementation, such as acceptability, coverage, and compliance. Moreover, identifying and
93 assessing the factors that influence implementation outcomes is essential to ensure the
94 successful implementation of NTD control programs. In the past, separate MDA programs
95 were implemented for schistosomiasis and STH control in Ogun State. However, an integrated
96 school-based MDA program for both diseases was introduced in 2016 (11). Despite significant
97 progress in eradicating schistosomiasis and STH, there is a need to investigate the
98 implementation challenges that hinder the uptake, utilization, and coverage of the integrated
99 MDA programs at the community level. Understanding the community's perceptions can
100 provide valuable insights for program managers to improve current control strategies(12).

101 The primary aim of this study was to understand the community's perceptions of the school-
102 based MDA control programs for STH and SCH infections and how these perceptions could
103 impact the control and elimination of these infections. Moreover, as the primary targets of the
104 school-based MDA control programs, the SAC themselves possess knowledge, attitudes, and
105 practices that must be understood and corrected to help eliminate these infections. Therefore,
106 the study also includes exploring the community's perceptions of SAC regarding the MDA
107 control programs.

108 **Methods and materials**

109 **Ethical statement**

110 The study was conducted following the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki, and
111 all participant data was treated with strict confidentiality throughout the study. The study
112 received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board of the Nigerian Institute of
113 Medical Research, Lagos, Nigeria (reference number IRB/21/004, approval date February 16,
114 2021), and social approval from the Department of Health Planning, Research, and Statistics,
115 Ogun State Ministry of Health, Ogun State, Nigeria (reference number HPRS/351/388,
116 approval date June 16, 2021). Verbal informed consent was obtained from all study participants
117 after the objective and purpose of the study were explained to them. Informed assent forms
118 were provided to children and minors, and informed parental consent was obtained for their
119 parents or local guardians. For illiterate participants, impartial witnesses were enlisted to assist
120 with the consenting process. Participants were included in the study only after they had
121 individually signed the informed consent/assent form and received a paper copy of it.

122 **Study settings**

123 Ogun State, Nigeria was selected as the study location due to its high prevalence of STH and
124 SCH, and the inconsistent support of partner programs for NTD control. Four local government
125 areas (LGAs) in Ogun State were purposively selected based on recommendations from the
126 Department of Public Health, Ogun State Ministry of Health. The selected LGAs were Ikenne,
127 Abeokuta North, Abeokuta South, and Obafemi Owode.

128 **Study Design and participants**

129 This study employed a qualitative research approach to explore barriers to the utilization and
130 coverage of control interventions in neglected tropical disease (NTD) control programs. The
131 study focused on stakeholders involved in NTD control programs in Ogun State, Nigeria, where
132 STH and SCH are endemic. Purposive sampling was used to select key informants and focus
133 group participants based on their engagement in various positions in the health system and
134 community, as well as their involvement in the management of NTDs. The study conducted

135 in-depth Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) with community members such as the NTD focal
136 persons. Additionally, the study conducted Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) with parents,
137 teachers, town announcers, opinion leaders, enrolled school-aged children (ESAC), and non-
138 enrolled school-aged children (NESAC). A semi-structured questionnaire was used as a tool
139 during these sessions to guide the exploration of ideas. Thematic analysis was used to extract
140 key themes and sub-themes from the data. This methodology enabled a comprehensive
141 understanding of the challenges faced by NTD control programs in addressing STH and SCH
142 and provided valuable insights into the barriers to achieving effective control interventions.

143 **Sample size determination**

144 As a qualitative study, the authors did not perform sample size calculation; instead, they
145 predetermined the number of Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) and Key Informant Interviews
146 (KIIs) necessary to achieve ideal saturation. The authors planned to conduct 12 FGDs and 15
147 in-depth interviews to reach this goal.

148 **Data collection**

149 To ensure the reliability of the data collected, the semi-structured and FGDs interview topics
150 were developed and pretested (See Supplementary Materials 1-3). Audio tape recorders were
151 used to capture all the information obtained during the KIIs and FGDs. The interviewers also
152 made observations and took notes while recording to ensure accuracy. The interviews were
153 conducted in both English (the national language of Nigeria) and Yoruba (the local language
154 of the study participants) in various settings, including small halls, private rooms, and offices.
155 The duration of each KII ranged from 20 to 40 minutes. FGDs were conducted in the
156 participants' respective LGAs.

157 **Data processing and analysis**

158 Data from FGDs and KIIs were transcribed, coded, and analyzed thematically based on the
159 emerging themes of barriers, drugs, knowledge, and recommendations. The recorded audio in
160 the Yoruba language was transcribed into the English language. The transcription was checked
161 independently by the team members for verification and accuracy with simultaneous audio

162 playing. The study content was analyzed thematically, indexed, and coded inductively using
163 the QRS Nvivo 12 software package (QRS International, Doncaster, Australia) for the content
164 analysis of unstructured qualitative data. The initial open codes were sorted into sub-themes
165 based on their similarity. These sub-themes were clustered and refined to form broad themes
166 and debated within the research team. The nodes under drugs were categorized into three
167 (acceptability, accessibility, and effectiveness) according to the participant's responses. The
168 knowledge nodes were categorized into awareness, causes, drugs, MDA, prevalence, mode of
169 transmission, symptoms, and treatments. Data collection, analysis, and reporting followed the
170 Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SPQR) guidelines.

171 To ensure consistency during protocol preparation, data collection, development of a coding
172 system, interrater reliability, and data analysis, Guba and Lincoln's criteria for determining
173 rigor in qualitative research were used (13). Two interviews per group were coded by two
174 authors (FT, DN), for which the degree of similarity was determined by calculating the
175 interrater reliability using the QRS Nvivo 12 software package (QRS International, Doncaster,
176 Australia). Cohen proposed that Kappa scores should be interpreted using the following: values
177 ≤ 0 indicate lack of agreement, values 0.01 – 0.20 indicate no or little agreement, values 0.21
178 – 0.40 indicate fair agreement, values 0.41–0.60 indicate moderate agreement, values 0.61–
179 0.80 indicate substantial agreement, and values 0.81 – 1.00 indicate nearly perfect agreement.
180 Kappa's score for the thematic analysis was 1.00, indicating nearly perfect agreement.

181 **Data quality control**

182 To ensure the quality of data, the KIIs and FGDs questions were well discussed by the team
183 members before actual data collection. An intensive three-day training was given for data
184 collectors on how to conduct in-depth interviews and FGDs. Supervision was done throughout
185 the data collection process by the investigators.

186

187 **Results**

188 The findings can be broadly split into four thematic areas, knowledge, barriers, drugs, and
 189 recommendations as shown in Table 1. Key components of MDA-integrated control programs
 190 such as the critical role of teachers, parents, health workers, and community members in
 191 engaging for MDA in terms of mobilization and sensitization were described by the study
 192 participants.

193 **Table 1 Themes and Sub-themes**

Themes	Sub-themes
Knowledge	1. Perception of transmission 2. Perception of symptoms 3. Misconceptions about disease causation, transmission, and drug safety 4. Drug/Mass Drug Administration
Drugs	1. Acceptability 2. Accessibility 3. Effectiveness
Barriers	1. Low knowledge and awareness of the diseases and control interventions 2. Inadequate community engagement and involvement 3. Weak health system and partner support
Recommendations	1. Community sensitization and engagement 2. Improving drug distribution and effectiveness 3. Strengthening health system support 4. Enhancing partner collaboration and coordination

194

195 **Demographic Characteristics of Participants**

196 A total of 144 community participants were involved in the study. A total of 12 FGDs were
 197 conducted amongst the community groups who have enrolled SAC and Non-enrolled SAC;
 198 teachers and parents; opinion leaders and town announcers. Table 2 below presents the
 199 composition of the KII and FGD and Table 3 the socio-demographic characteristics of the study
 200 participants in the KIIs and FGDs.

201 **Table 2 Composition of Key Informant Interview and Focused Group Discussion**

Participants	Female	Male	Total
Enrolled and non-enrolled school-aged children	31	17	48
Teachers/Parents	31	17	48
Community members	26	22	48

202

204 **Table 3 Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants in the KIIs and**

205 **FGDs**

Description	Frequency (N = 144)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	56	39.0%
Female	88	61.0%
Age in years		
6-9	8	5.60%
10-14	30	20.8%
15-19	10	6.90%
20-24	9	6.30%
25-29	8	5.60%
30-34	25	17.40%
35-39	20	13.9%
40-44	18	12.5%
45-49	12	8.30%
≥ 50	4	2.80%
Marital Status		
Single	62	43.1%
Married	77	53.5%
Divorced	5	3.50%
Religion		
		0.0
Christianity	79	54.9%
Islam	60	41.7%
Missing	5	3.50%
Occupation		
		0.0
Enrolled SAC	24	16.7%
Non-enrolled SAC	24	16.7%
Parents	24	16.7%
School teachers	24	16.7%
Opinion leaders	24	16.7%
Town announcers	24	16.7%

206

207 **Theme 1. Knowledge**

208 **Sub-theme 1.1. Perception of transmission**

209 Parents, teachers, ESAC, and NESAC were assessed on their knowledge of STH and SCH as
 210 well as the mode of transmission. Most of the parents, teachers, opinion leaders, and town
 211 announcers showed an acceptable knowledge of these diseases, and the mode of transmission
 212 was well highlighted by the teachers. The knowledge of STH and SCH and the mode of
 213 transmission was observed to be poor among the ESAC and NESAC.

214 A NESAC participant stated that schistosomiasis:

215 *“It’s a disease that worries the stomach if one hasn’t eaten.”*

216 Another NESAC opined that,

217 *“It’s something that worries the stomach if the drug isn’t being used properly”*

218 The most common response to the cause of STH infection was,

219 *“do not pee in the river to prevent”*

220 Another NESAC said that,

221 *“Not good to pee around, especially where the dog has peed. It will lead to bloody*
222 *urine.”*

223 While another said the source of schistosomiasis infection was:

224 *“contacted through water if an infected person has previously peed inside a river*
225 *another human can contact it”*

226 An ESAC said that,

227 *“worm causes malaria. It’s a bad disease that happens in Nigeria”*

228 Another ESAC said that,

229 *“What I know about worm disease is that it does not allow our body to react the way*
230 *it should. It also caused vomiting and dry body”*

231 When asked about the mode of transmission of these infections, an ESAC said that,

232 *“urinating in the river to prevent it we should not be urinating in the river again.”*

233 Another ESAC said that

234 *“It is bad for someone to be urinating everywhere because wherever a dog urinates*
235 *and a person goes to urinate there such an individual can be infected by passing out bloody*
236 *urine.”*

237 It can be said that most of the N/ESAC participants opined that the disease is caused by contact
238 with dog urine.

239 *“by urinating on the same spot, a dog urinates”*

240 *“By urinating in the same spot, a dog urinates and bathing inside an infected river”*

241 While a few others attributed it to the consumption of sugary things

242 *“if one eats many sugary things and eats dirty things”*

243 *“Eating sugary things in the morning”*

244 **Subtheme 1.2. Perception of symptoms**

245 The majority of the community members interviewed had adequate knowledge of the
246 symptoms of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminths. An ESAC gave an account of her
247 personal experience when she had the disease,

248 *“...when I had worm disease, I had stomach pain and was vomiting; a worm also
249 came out from my anus”*

250 A teacher elaborated on the symptoms of the disease.

251 *“...according to what they said, it doesn't normally show on the face but you can see
252 it when the person urinates with a touch of blood. Also, a fat person infected will be getting
253 lean. The children at times maybe he agile in class, but he will not feel agile anymore he will
254 be dizzy, reluctant, will not feel happy the behavior will change generally.”*

255 Another teacher stated that the disease influences the student's academic performance, she
256 mentioned that the students infected with the disease show sign of low assimilation.

257 *“...children were vomiting the worms out, stunted growth, poor assimilation, blood in
258 the urine, loss of urine”*

259 A NESAC stated that

260 *“... yes, there is, a particular drug given to us by the government which is being used
261 every 3 months”*

262 **Subtheme 1.3. Misconceptions about disease causation, transmission, and drug safety**

263 A parent had a major misconception about the diseases and their symptoms

264 *“I have seen two. He first is my child. He always complained of stomach upset after
265 eating and he seemed to always want to throw up. The second is my neighbor's child who
266 always screamed while taking a pee”*

267 When asked about the symptoms exhibited by children who have contracted the diseases,
268 ESACs had a popular idea in their mind that it causes stomach aches.

269 *“When I am sick with worm, I have a stomach ache and feel vomiting”*

270 *“One goes to defecate all the time when infected with a worm.”*

271 *“When infected with the worm, you urinate and vomit most times and sleep a lot.”*

272 *“It causes the stomach to be a big, thin body and big head.”*

273 Parents had a better opinion of the symptoms exhibited by children who contracted the diseases

274 however some wrong ideas given include:

275 *“blood in the urine”*

276 *“severe stomach ache (sugary things)”*

277 *“Discharge during urination”*

278 *“blood in urine, do not pee in the river to prevent”*

279 Some first-hand experience by some non-enrolled children on the symptoms that they

280 experience.

281 *“What I know about worm disease is that it does not allow our body to react the way*

282 *it should. It also caused vomiting and a dry body.*

283 *“vomiting, being irritated, various tummy complaints, Stony saliva in the mouth”*

284 *“Stony saliva in the mouth, nausea, vomiting, running stomach, weight loss”*

285 *“When I had worm disease, I had stomach pain and was vomiting; a worm also came*

286 *out from my anus. My dad took me to the hospital.”*

287 **Theme 1.4. Mass Drug Administration**

288 The MDA program was well accepted by the community. One respondent said:

289 *“...Socialization meetings are being held every month, there’s good cooperation*

290 *between us about the MDA.”*

291 An opinion leader also confirmed that the community members cooperate with them to make

292 the MDA program effective. He stated that:

293 *“...no direct communication, but there are representatives we get through. But there’s*

294 *effective cooperation”*

295 Another respondent stated that:

296 *“Through the records, it’s of high acceptability, and there has been positive support*
297 *from people in the community.”*

298 A teacher who was involved in the program shared her experience which shows that there had
299 been improvement in the MDA programs.

300 *“...Eh! Well... it is effective, the reason I said that is that last year they give me the*
301 *drug about 400 and something, but it is only 100 they used because they reject it but this time*
302 *to God be the glory, I worked hard, talked to the parents on the benefits so now it is*
303 *effective.”*

304 The reasons mentioned for poor mass drug administration first was that people heard that the
305 drug killed a student who took the drug. An ESAC stated that,

306 *“... a primary 4 pupil brought noodles. She slept after taking the drugs till closing*
307 *time, and the next day we were told she died”*

308 When an opinion leader was asked about the incident during the FGD sessions, he said that it
309 was a rumor which was later debunked.

310 *“...though there were rumors and rejection, people were later educated on the drugs,*
311 *and it’s always administered in the morning not far from breakfast.”*

312 Another respondent, a parent also confirmed it and emphasized that the drug was given to them
313 at no cost.

314 *“...government gives drugs which are not being sold just for worm alone and for*
315 *schistosomiasis”*

316 Regarding the knowledge of the drug given to them, the majority of the ESAC and NESAC
317 spoke about the color of the drug but only a few of them were able to mention the drug’s name.

318 Although, the teachers and some parents were able to provide the names of the drugs.

319 A NESAC student stated that,

320 *“The administrators ask about our ages and they measure our heights which then*
321 *corresponds to the type of drug we will be given. Generally, these tablets are of different*
322 *shapes: one is a little bit longer while the other is round in shape”.*

323 An ESAC stated that,

324 *“...it’s white in color and long and the name of the drug is Albendazole”*

325 Furthermore, the ESAC and NESAC cited that before they can take the drug they are supposed
326 to seek their parent’s consent. An ESAC said that,

327 *“...our teachers instructed us to bring solid food to school. Mother was aware. Took*
328 *‘Eba’ to school. One minute after food, we were measured and drugs were given according*
329 *to age and height. It was not easy to swallow.”*

330 Although few of the parents insisted that their child should not be given the drugs due to the
331 side effects, a parent said:

332 *“...there are some side effects but it’s being effective and successful, it’s a very*
333 *powerful drug and if one didn’t eat a solid food it may cause dizziness or faint”*

334 According to an opinion leader, there are different drugs given for STH and SCH. He
335 mentioned some of the drugs given.

336 *“... Mectizan for eye worms, Albendazole for worms, mebendazole for*
337 *schistosomiasis. When the disease is cleared people will be free to do what they want to do”*

338 Another opinion leader said,

339 *“...before drugs, they should ensure they eat well because the drug is strong”*

340 Contrary to the treatment provided by the government, the respondents also mentioned the
341 alternative treatment available in the community. A parent said,

342 *“... people get treated, through herbal treatment (Yoruba herbs) and clinic care”*

343 Another parent explained further the alternative treatment provided by their traditional healers.
344 She believed that although the treatment works there is a problem related to the usage of herbs
345 as its dosage cannot be measured compared with clinical prescription. This view is depicted in
346 a quote by a parent.

347 *“The herbs, we have our traditional healers that they are using herbs for it.*

348 *They cook and drink it without measurement and as they are drinking it, it will just come out*
349 *through urine or feces.”*

350 Another parent also felt that,

351 *“...although I always use the modern method because we have been advised to do so.*
352 *Locally made drugs do not have dosage and that might even cause more harm to our children*
353 *than good. I, therefore, believe that modern medication is the right medication for these*
354 *diseases”.*

355 An opinion leader said,

356 *“...I do not go for herbal concoction. In the hospital, there's no significant cost,*
357 *just card fees”*

358

359 **Theme 2: Drugs**

360 **Sub-theme 2.1. Drugs acceptability**

361 Beliefs and perceptions about the drugs and their side effects were found to be critical in
362 shaping acceptance. When asked about their opinion on drug acceptance in their community.
363 The participants gave the following reasons.

364 *“So the worm won't worry them again, we will accept”*

365 *“not to get infected with it again or come in contact again”*

366 A participant mentioned that he accepted it because a friend accepted it, and there were no side
367 effects.

368 *“.....because she has used it before and didn't worry her”*

369 However, some NESAC said they would not accept the drug stating some reasons which were
370 mostly related to the side effects of the drugs. Some of the side effects highlighted were:

371 *“fear of vomiting, bitter taste, and laziness”*

372 *“Some people think the drug might make them vomit or stool”*

373 *“Some set of people that received these medications complained of headache”*

374 *“Some people who are already on medications refused to take these drugs and also,*
375 *some felt taking the drugs will make them weak”*

376 From the responses of the NESAC, it was observed that most of them were skeptical about the
377 drug and its side effects. Also, the ESAC shared similar sentiments on the side effects of the
378 drug. For instance, a participant reported an adverse effect a brother had after using the drug.

379 *“because it made my brother faint which made him come back home the next day, and*
380 *she was warned by her people not to use it again”*

381 Acceptability was influenced by the decision of the mother. The following quotes from the
382 N/ESAC demonstrate this,

383 *“Last year she took it and fell ill, so her mother said No”*

384 *“Because their mum asked them not to use it, that it will make them fall sick”*

385 FGDs among the ESAC and NESAC revealed rumors and parents’ opinions have a strong
386 effect on drug acceptability.

387 Among some parents and teachers, it was observed that the drug has high acceptability as a
388 parent stated:

389 *“.....everyone in our community is using it. Even my kids”*

390 Another parent said

391 *“yes everyone in my community is using it”*

392 And similarly, the opinion leaders thought that the drug is of high acceptability in their various
393 communities as they all opined that

394 *“Through the records, it’s of high acceptability, and there has been positive support*
395 *from people in the community.”*

396 **Sub-theme 2.2. Drug accessibility**

397 The drugs are available and only administered by the health officers who summon parents to a
398 meeting before administering them in the school. A teacher expressed,

399 *“.....Yes, I believe they are health officers. They usually call for parents meeting*
400 *before school distribution.”*

401 Another teacher said,

402 “.....right now the drug is available because we have been giving it to the children in
403 school according to their age and height.”

404 **Sub-theme 2.3. Drug effectiveness**

405 The opinion leaders gave their perceptions on the accessibility and effectiveness of the drugs
406 administered, despite the identified barriers, they could attest to the efforts of the government
407 as being effective to a level. An opinion leader expressed,

408 “Yes they are given and it’s being done through the house-to-house administration
409 and also through mobilization to health centers”

410 Another one stated with emphasis the personal effort embarked on to ensure that the drugs were
411 effectively distributed in their location.

412 Similarly, another opinion leader reiterated this effort saying,

413 “Yes, we distribute ourselves into groups to increase coverage. Some go in the
414 morning, some do afternoon in rounds.”

415 However, the effectiveness of the drug was attested to by most of the opinion leaders. They
416 mentioned the effectiveness of the drug as the reason why the drug is still being accepted for
417 usage by some parents.

418 “There is a big effectiveness of the drug cause if there aren’t people won’t collect the
419 drugs to use”

420 “Yes, it is very effective, though it made some kids dizzy it’s very effective.”

421 A parent acknowledged there were side effects though the drug is effective,

422 “though there are some side effects it’s effective and successful, it’s a very powerful
423 drug and if one didn’t eat solid food it may cause dizziness or fainting. And in case of that,
424 they are told to use glucose”

425

426

427

428

429 **Theme 3: Barrier**

430 **Theme 3.1. Low knowledge and awareness of the diseases and control interventions**

431 Perceived barriers were assessed among the study participants to the acceptability of the drugs.

432 Responses from the ESAC and NESAC were consistent. ESAC noted that,

433 *“...people decline because of parents' instructions because their mum asked them*

434 *not to use it that it could make them sick.”*

435 *“.... the fear of vomiting and laziness, some children throw the drug away*

436 *because it is bitter”*

437 *“...the smell of the drug, it is not nice”*

438 A NESAC opined that,

439 *“.... some parents warned their children not to receive these medications,*

440 *saying the medications are bad”*

441 *“..... the smell of drugs is not nice, I fear vomiting, bitter taste, and laziness”*

442 In the FGDs among the parents, it was mentioned that,

443 *“...the parents are ignorant; they don't know what the drug is about.”*

444 Others stated the side effects of the drugs as barriers to the acceptability of the drug.

445 Interestingly, a parent emphasized an important factor that affects the acceptability of the drug

446 to her and some others.

447 *“Appearance shows the manner. Sometimes the medical staff appears moody and all*

448 *sorts to the parents and people. This will discourage the masses*

449 *from accepting what is being brought to them”*

450 **Theme 3.2. Inadequate community engagement and involvement**

451 The perspective of opinion leaders and town announcers were sought after being the gatekeeper

452 of the communities. They gave several reasons that could serve as a hindrance to the

453 acceptability of the drug which would help assist the providers to strategize in the distribution

454 of the drug.

455 Transportation of the drug was highlighted as one of the barriers experienced. Another potential
456 barrier identified was the late announcement/sensitization of the MDA roll-out.

457 *“.... Time allowed for campaign and education is not enough.”*

458 Another participant noted that incentives given to drug administrators are important:

459 *“The small incentive is discouraging. When there was no payment, we didn't go*
460 *around, but the turnout was poor. People said we didn't give them food to take medicine. But*
461 *now we do house-to-house. The money is small no doubt, but if it is paid on time it will be*
462 *encouraging.”*

463 A participant noted that rumors about the drug were a deterrent to the acceptability of the drug
464 stating that:

465 *“These things give a draw-back to this program because some of these rumors are not*
466 *true and didn't happen”*

467 **Theme 3.3. Weak health system and partner support**

468 Some opinion leaders opined that the drug administered from a private health facility will give
469 it more credibility. Also, it was the purchasing power of the parents when they want to buy
470 outside of the period of MDA by the state government. Someone mentioned that some religious
471 leaders preach against the usage of drugs. This is a vital issue to be explored by the MDA
472 providers.

473

474 **Theme 4.**

475 **Themes 4.1. Community sensitization and engagement**

476 Several recommendations were given to improve the MDA program. NESAC mentioned the
477 importance of financial incentives to people for using the drug.

478 *“Bribing people will ensure the usage.”*

479 *“give kids incentives”*

480 *“Distribution should be followed by incentives, which can be distributed through*
481 *schools as kids fear the teachers.”*

482 Similarly, ESAC also suggested giving incentives.

483 *“Sweets and candies should be shared with the children while distributing the drugs*
484 *to the children, they should be cajoled into taking it by promising the nice things e.g. biscuits,*
485 *sweets and telling them the drug is sweet”*

486 *“By buying things for the children; biscuits sweets... even the reluctant children will*
487 *accept the drug afterward”*

488 Some others opined that,

489 *“Awareness through radio programs and media for people to know the efficiency and*
490 *effectiveness of the drug”*

491 *“by educating them about the drug for them to know about the effectiveness”*

492 **Themes 4.2. Improving drug distribution and effectiveness**

493 Interestingly, another NESAC suggested that the drug be made more palatable to use in
494 combination with effectiveness and parents influencing their kids to use.

495 *“.... if the drug is sweet if it works well in the body if parents insist they use it.”*

496 Some recommended educating parents and even the kids on the benefit of taking the drug.

497 *“Inform the child and parents about the effectiveness of the drug”*

498 *“When you educate and sensitize them and also give them gifts”*

499 Parents' and teachers' recommendations were captured under the major theme of sensitization.

500 Parents encouraged the government to increase the sensitization of the public on the drug and
501 the program.

502 *“Government should continue to do more awareness to people”*

503 *“Awareness creation and educating them more, on media about the drug”*

504 *“Continue doing the publicity because most parents are ignorant that is the*
505 *problem.”*

506 **Themes 4.3. Strengthening health system support**

507 Some proactive measures were recommended by some parents:

508 *“Appearance shows the manner. Sometimes the medical staff appears moody and all*
509 *sorts to the parents and people. This will discourage the masses from accepting what is being*
510 *brought to them”*

511 *“The medical staff should try to show love and joy while passing information across*
512 *and try to explain the benefits very well so people can understand”*

513 Other parents recommended food during the drug distribution.

514 *“Availability of food for them to use the drug”*

515 *“...If they can extend the time and continuity of the drug and ensure availability of*
516 *food during the drug administration”*

517 *“To ensure there’s the availability of food because the drug is strong”*

518 Opinion leaders gave similar recommendations in the same vein that awareness should be done
519 so rigorously.

520 *“megaphone should be given to creating awareness”*

521 *“Proper awareness through media to encourage people.”*

522 *“Education of the drug to people”*

523 *“They should make awareness and the drug should be made available and brought to*
524 *every one school and house”*

525 Some opinion leaders opined that the welfare of the frontline workers is taken more seriously.

526 *“The health care workers should be compensated and paid well for easy work and*
527 *effective awareness”*

528 *“it’s to ensure the health workers are paid and proper awareness should be made.”*

529 *“I will advise they pay health workers and take all things reported with all*
530 *seriousness”*

531 *“mobilizers need money, transportation too. Everything needs to be funded, even the*
532 *megaphones we use need a battery, so you can see money is key”*

533 *“Government shouldn’t relent and ensure funds are paid and do more of awareness*
534 *creation”*

535 Some opinion leaders even recommended that MDA administrators take the drug in the
536 presence of the student to encourage them to use it.

537 *“The drug administrators should use the medicines first”*

538 Another opinion leader recommended that there should be a repeat of the exercise for students
539 who missed the day of administration.

540 *“Mectizan should be twice a year because some are upset when they miss the drug
541 distribution due to travel”*

542 *“The interval is too long, adequate education is required before administration”*

543

544 **Discussion**

545 Mass Drug Administration for vulnerable populations has been the best approach for the
546 prevention of STH and SCH globally (14, 15). Our findings from key stakeholders and school-
547 aged children highlighted four main areas: knowledge, drug acceptability, accessibility,
548 barriers, and recommendations. The participants were drawn from different interest groups
549 whose socio-demographic characteristics demonstrated the appropriateness of each category.
550 It was important to find out if the children, the recipients of the drugs were aware of the
551 knowledge of the drugs and the process of MDA. It was difficult for the ESAC and NESAC to
552 differentiate between STH and SCH infection and their mode of transmission. The inadequacy
553 of knowledge among the SAC has been substantiated by evidence from several studies in
554 Africa for instance studies done in Malawi, Kenya, Uganda, and Tanzania (16-20). Poor
555 environmental and sanitary conditions are linked to SCH infections (21) while STH is
556 transmitted through contaminated soil (22, 23). Our findings revealed that most participants
557 associated these diseases with drinking dirty contaminated water (17). There were several
558 misconceptions like transmission through urinating where dogs have urinated and bathed inside
559 infected rivers. These findings agree with an Ethiopian study on low awareness and common
560 misconceptions about schistosomiasis (24). Limited knowledge has been highlighted as a factor
561 promoting the transmission of STH and SCH infection (25).

562 The majority of the participants demonstrated adequate knowledge of the symptoms of STH
563 and SCH infection. They pointed out bloody stools and urine, vomiting, fever, headaches,
564 abdominal aches, and generalized body aches.

565 It can be assumed that with a good knowledge of the drugs and the potential benefits, the
566 acceptance would be better and the attitude towards it would improve. In regards to the
567 treatment of SCH and STH, the majority of the respondents were aware of the importance of
568 the treatment and they affirm that the government provides drugs for them. The provision of
569 drugs by the government is an advantage to these communities as there is literature contrasting
570 this with reports of drug shortages as challenges being encountered (26, 27). The children only

571 recalled the color of the drugs in contrast to the adult respondents who were able to state that
572 there were different drugs given for STH and SCH.

573 Both STH and SCH were acknowledged as a burden among the community members especially
574 due to the knowledge deficit. This is echoed in several studies on the knowledge, attitudes, and
575 practices of SCH and STH (17, 18, 26, 28-30).

576 It was evident that people were involved in health programs in one way or another. The study
577 revealed that the people in the community understand the implication of schistosomiasis and
578 soil-transmitted diseases which makes them cooperate more and make the MDA program
579 effective thus underscoring the impact of MDA in the control of SCH and STH (23, 31).

580 The acceptability of the administered drug during the MDA program was assessed among the
581 ESAC and NESAC by asking if the children would accept the drugs or not. It was noted that
582 the majority of the NESAC accepted the drugs because of the benefit of the drug. Some others
583 did not accept the drug based on the color, bitterness, or bad taste and others mentioned side
584 effects like headaches. These findings are in line with Kimani et.al. on the acceptability of
585 praziquantel in the treatment of *Schistosoma haematobium* in preschool children (32). The
586 family has a great influence on the acceptability of MDA(33), our findings revealed that the
587 decision to accept the MDA was based on the influence of the mothers. On the accessibility,
588 the children could not tell categorically how it could be accessed, however, the parents and the
589 teachers expressed that the drugs were accessible in their various communities and were only
590 administered by the health officers who summoned the parents to a meeting in school before
591 the MDA exercise.

592 The parents and teachers voiced that fears about the drugs and acceptability of the drug are a
593 hindrance to the acceptance and uptake of the MDA program among them even as stakeholders
594 to the children. The majority of parents and teachers noted that there is a need for further
595 enlightenment on the program and the effects of the drug especially. Further, our findings
596 revealed drug side effects, parental instructions against usage, and unpalatable drug
597 characteristics as barriers. Similar barriers have been identified in other studies(26, 31, 34).

598 Some recommendations were fronted by the study participants; categorized as improving the
599 welfare package of the frontline health workers on the program, revisiting of distribution
600 strategy, increasing awareness levels, and giving incentives to children. To increase
601 effectiveness, there is a need for clear, concise, and consistent sensitization to the communities
602 (17, 28, 31).

603 In this community perspective study, we found that participants generally had correct
604 perceptions of STH and SCH transmission. However, some misperceptions persisted regarding
605 disease causation and transmission, as well as fear and distrust surrounding the deworming
606 drugs used in mass drug administration (MDA). Specifically, participants were concerned
607 about how to handle side effects and adverse effects, the capabilities of MDA providers to
608 address them, and perceived inferiority to commercially available anthelmintic drugs. Despite
609 these challenges, participants acknowledged a knowledge gap and expressed a desire for better
610 sensitization campaigns, information dissemination, and assurances of their safety. To address
611 these issues, we recommend strengthening health education messages and increasing the
612 visibility or availability of on-site medical personnel. By understanding how parents and
613 children perceive MDA activities, policymakers and program implementors can work to
614 eliminate barriers to compliance and achieve the target coverage rate for administering chemo-
615 preventive drugs for intestinal parasites. Overall, our findings have important implications for
616 improving MDA programs and increasing their effectiveness in reducing the burden of
617 intestinal parasitic infections in this community.

618 The study had some limitations. Firstly, it was conducted in communities in Ogun State,
619 Nigeria and therefore the findings may not be generalizable to other populations or
620 communities with different socioeconomic or cultural backgrounds. Secondly, participants
621 may have provided socially desirable responses, leading to potential social desirability bias.
622 Thirdly, the study relied on self-reported data which may have been subject to recall bias or
623 misreporting. Fourthly, the study only collected cross-sectional data at a single point in time,
624 and longitudinal data would have allowed for a better understanding of changes in community

625 perceptions and behaviors over time. Lastly, language barriers may have affected the quality
626 and accuracy of the data collected, as the study was conducted in a language that may not have
627 been familiar or comfortable for all participants.

628 **Acknowledgment**

629 This publication was supported by a grant from the African Research Network for Neglected
630 Tropical Diseases (ARNTD) through United States Agency for International Development
631 (USAID), UK aid from the British people, and the Coalition for Operational Research on
632 Neglected Tropical Diseases (COR-NTD). Its content is solely the responsibility of the authors
633 and does not necessarily represent the views of ARNTD, COR-NTD, UK aid, or USAID.

634

635

636 **References**

- 637 1. Oluwole A, Dean L, Lar L, Salami K, Okoko O, Isiyaku S, et al. Optimising the performance
638 of frontline implementers engaged in the NTD programme in Nigeria: lessons for strengthening
639 community health systems for universal health coverage. 2019;17(1):1-16.
- 640 2. Adekeye O, Ozano K, Dixon R, Elhassan EO, Lar L, Schmidt E, et al. Mass administration of
641 medicines in changing contexts: Acceptability, adaptability and community directed approaches in
642 Kaduna and Ogun States, Nigeria. J PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2020;14(11):e0008857.
- 643 3. Akinsolu FT, Nemieboka PO, Njuguna DW, Ahadji MN, Dezso D, Varga O. Emerging
644 resistance of neglected tropical diseases: a scoping review of the literature. J International Journal of
645 Environmental Research
646 Public Health. 2019;16(11):1925.
- 647 4. World Health Organisation WHO. Combatting neglected tropical diseases in Africa 2022
648 [cited 2023 26/02/2023]. Available from: [https://whotogo-
649 whoafroccmaster.newsweaver.com/jku37xzkcl/dxuo9ees7uk6ct8pa3ilzy?email=true&lang=en&a=2&
650 p=61184112&t=32479143](https://whotogo-whoafroccmaster.newsweaver.com/jku37xzkcl/dxuo9ees7uk6ct8pa3ilzy?email=true&lang=en&a=2&p=61184112&t=32479143).
- 651 5. Hotez PJ, Kamath AJPntd. Neglected tropical diseases in sub-Saharan Africa: review of their
652 prevalence, distribution, and disease burden. 2009;3(8):e412.
- 653 6. Dizon J, Grimmer K, Louw Q, Kredo T, Young T, Machingaidze SJSAMJ. South African
654 Guidelines Excellence (SAGE): adopt, adapt, or contextualise? 2016;106(12):1177-8.
- 655 7. Souza AA, Ducker C, Argaw D, King JD, Solomon AW, Biamonte MA, et al. Diagnostics
656 and the neglected tropical diseases roadmap: setting the agenda for 2030. 2021;115(2):129-35.
- 657 8. Casulli A. New global targets for NTDs in the WHO roadmap 2021–2030. J PLOS Neglected
658 Tropical Diseases: Public Library of Science San Francisco, CA USA; 2021. p. e0009373.
- 659 9. Means AR, Jacobson J, Mosher AW, Walson JLJPntd. Integrated healthcare delivery: a
660 qualitative research approach to identifying and harmonizing perspectives of integrated neglected
661 tropical disease programs. 2016;10(10):e0005085.
- 662 10. Bockarie MJ, Kelly-Hope LA, Rebollo M, Molyneux DH. Preventive chemotherapy as a
663 strategy for elimination of neglected tropical parasitic diseases: endgame challenges. J Philosophical
664 Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2013;368(1623):20120144.

- 665 11. Oyeyemi OT, de Jesus Jeremias W, Grenfell RFQ. Schistosomiasis in Nigeria: Gleaning from
666 the past to improve current efforts towards control. *J One Health*. 2020;11:100183.
- 667 12. Vindrola-Padros C, Vindrola-Padros B. Quick and dirty? A systematic review of the use of
668 rapid ethnographies in healthcare organisation and delivery. *J BMJ Quality Safety*. 2018;27(4):321-
669 30.
- 670 13. Lincoln YS, Guba EG. But is it rigorous? Trustworthiness and authenticity in naturalistic
671 evaluation. *J New directions for program evaluation*. 1986;1986(30):73-84.
- 672 14. Gomes ECdS, Silva IEPd, Nascimento WRCd, Loyo RM, Domingues ALC, Barbosa CS.
673 Urban schistosomiasis: An ecological study describing a new challenge to the control of this
674 neglected tropical disease. *The Lancet Regional Health - Americas*. 2022;8:100144.
- 675 15. de Souza Gomes EC, da Silva IEP, do Nascimento WRC, Loyo RM, Domingues ALC,
676 Barbosa CS. Urban schistosomiasis: an ecological study describing a new challenge to the control of
677 this neglected tropical disease. *J The Lancet Regional Health-Americas*. 2022;8:100144.
- 678 16. Moyo VB, Changadeya W, Chiotha S, Sikawa D. Urinary schistosomiasis among preschool
679 children in Malengachanzi, Nkhotakota District, Malawi: Prevalence and risk factors. *Malawi Med J*.
680 2016;28(1):10-4.
- 681 17. Musuva RM, Awiti A, Omedo M, Ogutu M, Secor WE, Montgomery SP, et al. Community
682 knowledge, attitudes and practices on schistosomiasis in western Kenya--the SCORE Project. *Am J*
683 *Trop Med Hyg*. 2014;90(4):646-52.
- 684 18. Muhumuza S, Olsen A, Nuwaha F, Katahoire A. Understanding low uptake of mass treatment
685 for intestinal schistosomiasis among school children: a qualitative study in Jinja district, Uganda. *J*
686 *Biosoc Sci*. 2015;47(4):505-20.
- 687 19. Person B, Ali SM, A'Kadir FM, Ali JN, Mohammed UA, Mohammed KA, et al. Community
688 Knowledge, Perceptions, and Practices Associated with Urogenital Schistosomiasis among School-
689 Aged Children in Zanzibar, United Republic of Tanzania. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10(7):e0004814.
- 690 20. Ochola EA, Karanja DMS, Elliott SJ. The impact of Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs) on
691 health and wellbeing in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA): A case study of Kenya. *PLOS Neglected Tropical*
692 *Diseases*. 2021;15(2):e0009131.

- 693 21. Klohe K, Koudou BG, Fenwick A, Fleming F, Garba A, Gouvras A, et al. A systematic
694 literature review of schistosomiasis in urban and peri-urban settings. *PLOS Neglected Tropical*
695 *Diseases*. 2021;15(2):e0008995.
- 696 22. Alemu Y, Degefa T, Bajiro M, Teshome G. Prevalence and intensity of soil-transmitted
697 helminths infection among individuals in model and non-model households, South West Ethiopia: A
698 comparative cross-sectional community based study. *PLOS ONE*. 2022;17(10):e0276137.
- 699 23. Mascarini-Serra L. Prevention of Soil-transmitted Helminth Infection. *J Glob Infect Dis*.
700 2011;3(2):175-82.
- 701 24. Assefa A, Erko B, Gundersen SG, Medhin G, Berhe N. Low awareness and common
702 misconceptions about schistosomiasis in endemic lowland areas in Western Ethiopia: a mixed-
703 methods study. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):1064.
- 704 25. Barrow A, Badjie M, Touray J, Kinteh B, Nget M, Touray E, et al. Knowledge, Attitude, and
705 Practice of Provincial Dwellers on Prevention and Control of Schistosomiasis: Evidence from a
706 Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study in the Gambia. *J Trop Med*. 2020;2020:2653096.
- 707 26. Tuhebwe D, Bagonza J, Kiracho EE, Yeka A, Elliott AM, Nuwaha F. Uptake of Mass Drug
708 Administration Programme for Schistosomiasis Control in Koome Islands, Central Uganda. *PLOS*
709 *ONE*. 2015;10(4):e0123673.
- 710 27. Adriko M, Faust CL, Carruthers LV, Moses A, Tukahebwa EM, Lamberton PHL. Low
711 Praziquantel Treatment Coverage for *Schistosoma mansoni* in Mayuge District, Uganda, Due to the
712 Absence of Treatment Opportunities, Rather Than Systematic Non-Compliance. *Tropical Medicine*
713 *and Infectious Disease*. 2018;3(4):111.
- 714 28. Sacolo H, Chimbari M, Kalinda C. Knowledge, attitudes and practices on Schistosomiasis in
715 sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2018;18(1):46.
- 716 29. Deribe K, Meribo K, Gebre T, Hailu A, Ali A, Aseffa A, et al. The burden of neglected
717 tropical diseases in Ethiopia, and opportunities for integrated control and elimination. *Parasit Vectors*.
718 2012;5:240.
- 719 30. Yeshanew S, Bekana T, Truneh Z, Tadege M, Abich E, Dessie H. Soil-transmitted
720 helminthiasis and undernutrition among schoolchildren in Mettu town, Southwest Ethiopia. *Scientific*
721 *Reports*. 2022;12(1):3614.

- 722 31. Ssali A, Pickering L, Nalwadda E, Mujumbusi L, Seeley J, Lambert PHL. Schistosomiasis
723 messaging in endemic communities: Lessons and implications for interventions from rural Uganda, a
724 rapid ethnographic assessment study. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2021;15(10):e0009893.
- 725 32. Kimani BW, Mbugua AK, Kihara JH, Ng'ang'a M, Njomo DW. Safety, efficacy and
726 acceptability of praziquantel in the treatment of *Schistosoma haematobium* in pre-school children of
727 Kwale County, Kenya. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases.* 2018;12(10):e0006852.
- 728 33. Mitchell E, Kelly-Hanku A, Krentel A, Romani L, Robinson LJ, Vaz Nery S, et al.
729 Community perceptions and acceptability of mass drug administration for the control of neglected
730 tropical diseases in Asia-Pacific countries: A systematic scoping review of qualitative research. *PLoS*
731 *Negl Trop Dis.* 2022;16(3):e0010215.
- 732 34. Verjee MA. Schistosomiasis: Still a Cause of Significant Morbidity and Mortality. *Res Rep*
733 *Trop Med.* 2019;10:153-63.
- 734
- 735

736 **Supporting information**

737 **S1 File. Key Informant Interview Guide**

738 **S2 File. Focus Group Discussion Guide for Parents, Teachers, Opinion leaders, and**

739 **Town announcers.**

740 **S3 File. Focus Group Discussion Interview Guide for School-aged Children.**