Maternal characteristics and pregnancy outcomes during the global pandemic Covid-19 in indonesian tertiary referral hospital

Sofia Al Farizi¹*, Dewi Setyowati¹, Azra Fauziyah Azyanti¹, Dyah Ayu Fatmaningrum¹, Rahayu Kusuma Candrakirana¹

¹Midwifery Study Program, Faculty of Medicine, Airlangga University, Surabaya, 60132, Indonesia

* Corresponding Author: Sofia Al Farizi, Midwifery Sttudy Program, Faculty of Medicine, Airlangga University, Surabaya 60132, Indonesia. E-mail: sofia.al.farizi@fk.unair.ac.id, Phone: +62-81332921669

Sofia Al Farizi https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2594-7615, sofia.al.farizi@fk.unair.ac.id
Dewi Setyowati https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5882-4278, dewi.setyowati@fk.unair.ac.id
Azra Fauziyah Azyanti https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5882-4278, azra.fauziyah.azyanti-2018@fk.unair.ac.id

Dyah Ayu Fatmaningrum https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6014-3028, dyah.ayu.fatmaningrum-2018@fk.unair.ac.id

Rahayu Kusuma Candrakirana https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4736-4365, rahayu.kusuma.can-2019@fk.unair.ac.id

Abstract

Aim: Analyse differences in intervention and pregnancy outcomes characteristics in obstetric

patients with a diagnosis of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 at one of the Indonesia tertiary

referral hospital in East Java. Design: This was cross sectional study. Methods: This study was

performed 694 obstetric patients, the data for these patients were obtained from the hospital

medical records Sampling was used simple random. This study used Mann-Whitney test to

analyse the differences between the variables. Results: There was a significant difference in

the Length Of Stay (LOS), LOS of COVID-19 patients tends to be longer than that of non-

COVID-19 patients. More than half of the patients gave birth by caesarean delivery, 83 for

COVID-19 and 283 for Non COVID-19. Some of the most common complications among

COVID-19 patients were maternal infectious and parasitic diseases (1.3% vs 0.0%),

abnormalities of forces of labour (12.3% vs 9.6%), complication of puerperium (0.6 % vs

0.0%). 40.9% COVID-19 patient suffered Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS).

COVID-19 infection had no significant effect on pregnancy outcomes. Conclusion: Several

interventions need to be re-evaluated, such as cesarean delivery in COVID-19 and non-

COVID-19 patients. The health-care delivery system must also be re-evaluated, and the tiered

referral system must be strengthened.

Keywords: COVID-19, pregnancy, obstetrics, maternal, mortality, maternal uutcome.

Introduction

At the end of 2019, Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infected Wuhan, China, and spread

to several countries worldwide. Furthermore, World Health Organization (WHO) declared a

COVID-19 pandemic in March, 2020 (1). This virus first entered Indonesia on March 2, 2020,

after two positive cases were discovered. On April 9, 2020, COVID-19 cases began to spread

in 34 provinces in Indonesia, including East Java (2). Hospitals in East Java are experiencing problems with health services because they are constrained by the lack of infrastructure, the availability of isolation rooms, and personal protective equipment (PPE) (3). As one of the referral hospitals in East Java experienced a density of patients during the COVID-19 explosion. Because of the large number of health workers who have contracted the COVID-19 virus, the number of health workers available to provide services is very limited, causing services to run inefficiently (4). The referral system in Indonesia so far also cannot run during the COVID-19 pandemic. Coordination between facilities and efforts to prevent primary health care is not running optimally (5). More research is needed to determine the impact of COVID-19 on mothers and babies. According to a retrospective review from Wuhan, China, pregnant women's clinical characteristics are the same as those of non-pregnant women. Intrauterine transmission has also not been demonstrated (6). According to a UK study, COVID-19 has no significant negative impact to pregnant women, and the need for respiratory support is minimal COVID-19 does not worsen the women's condition and is not proven to cause (7).transmission to the baby (8). Several researchers in Indonesia are taking an interest in this case. Previously, research at a secondary referral hospital in Surabaya, East Java, found that clinical manifestations and laboratory test results were similar in COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. However, this study demonstrates that the risk of death in COVID-19 patients is high (5). Another study conducted at a hospital in Surakarta, Central Java, found that pregnant women infected with COVID-19 did not exhibit severe signs and symptoms (9).

This study aimed to analyse the characteristics of intervention and pregnancy outcomes in obstetric (maternal) patients in COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients in one of Indonesian

tertiary referral hospital.

Methods

Design

This study was cross-sectional that utilized secondary data from medical records in one of the

tertiary hospitals in East Java, Indonesia in 2020. The hospital was selected because it was one

of the largest hospitals in Indonesia, so the number of patients with Covid-19 was found.

Samples

The required sample size was calculated using simple random sampling. The sample size was

estimated by Slovin's formula. The population of this study were pregnant, labour and

postpartum women, with as many as 1265 (N), 8 pregnant women, 391 labour women and 301

postpartum women. The used margin of error was 0,05 (e).

sample size:
$$n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2}$$

As a result, we decided to include 700 patients in this study. Because, in simple random

sampling, the strong representativeness of the target population can increase with a large

sample size (10) The sampling method was a simple random technique with several inclusion

criteria such as obstetric patients (pregnancy, labour and postpartum), available medical record

data, and all required information was complete. While the exclusion criteria were not obstetric

cases, such as gynecological cases. Data collection was carried out from May 30, until August

1, 2021. The dataset was compiled from hospital patient records from March, 03, 2020 until

February, 28, 2021.

Data Collection

The medical record system in this hospital used electronic medical records and was equipped

with documents to add data. Since this hospital was a teaching hospital, the accuracy and validity of the data in the medical records were actually maintained.

This study compared several variables in COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 women, including maternal demographic data (age, educational attainment, occupation and residence distribution), hospitalization characteristics (hospitalization admission procedure, delivery method, length of stay, diagnostic), and maternal outputs. In the maternal demographic data, we compared regions into four groups; Surabaya and Sidoarjo are two areas in East Java Province which was have big population. The categorization of diagnoses in this study was based on the ICD-10. Beside obstetric diagnosis, we also included several diagnoses related to COVID-19, such as acute respiratory distress syndrome, renal failure, and cardiac events.

Data Analysis

In this study, general descriptive statistics such as frequency distributions were used. After that we compared the differences in several variables in the two groups. Mann Whitney analysis was used to compare the two research variables with p-value <0.05. SPSS ver. 25 (IBM; Armonk, NY, USA) was used to analyze the data.

Ethical Statement

This research was approved by the Health Research Ethics Commission of RSUD Dr. Soetomo with No. 0495/LOE/301/.4.2/VI/2021.

Results

The study included 694 pregnant, labour and postpartum women in total (154 mothers with COVID-19 and 540 mothers without COVID-19).

Table 1. Maternal Demographic Characteristics

Maternal Demographic	Category	COVID-19 n (%)	Non COVID- 19 n (%)	Total	P-Value
Maternal Age	< 15	6 (3.9)	35 (6.5)	41 (5.9)	0.605
(years)	15-20	29 (18.8)	98 (18.1)	127 (18.3)	

	21-25	51 (33.1)	134 (24.8)	185 (26.7)	
	26-30	39 (25.3)	170 (31.5)	209 (30.1)	
	36-40	27 (17.5)	85 (15.7)	112 (16.1)	
	41-45	2 (1.3)	17 (3.1)	19 (2.7)	
	51-55	0(0.0)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	
	< 15	6 (3.9)	35 (6.5)	41 (5.9)	
Maternal	Uneducated	3 (1.9)	10 (1.9)	13 (1.9)	0.469
Educational	Complete	10 (6.5)	52 (9.6)	62 (8.9)	
Attainment	Elementary	, ,	, ,	` ,	
	School				
	Complete	35 (22.7)	74 (13.7)	109 (15.7)	
	Junior High				
	School				
	Complete	78 (50.6)	306 (56.7)	384 (55.3)	
	Senior High				
	School				
	Complete	9 (5.8)	25 (4.6)	34 (4.9)	
	Vocation				
	Degree				
	Complete	18 (11.7)	71 (13.1)	89 (12.8)	
	bachelor degree			- /- 1)	
	Complete	1 (0.6)	2 (0.4)	3 (0.4)	
	magister degree	10 (0.0)	100 (00 ()	1 (0 (00 0)	
Mother	Private	40 (26)	122 (22.6)	162 (23.3)	0.807
Occupation	employees	0 (0 0)	7 (1.2)	7 (1.0)	
	Civil servant	0 (0.0)	7 (1.3)	7 (1.0)	
	Entrepreneur	3 (1.9)	31 (5.7)	34 (4.9)	
	Teacher	4 (2.6)	9 (1.7)	13 (1.9)	
	College lecturer	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	
	Doctor	1 (0.6)	3 (0.6)	4 (0.6)	
	Farmer	0 (0.0)	3 (0.6)	3 (0.4)	
	College student	8 (5.2)	12 (2.2)	20 (2.9)	
	Housewife	89 (57.8)	327 (60.6)	416 (59.9)	
	Unemployed	2 (1.3)	7 (1.3)	9 (1.3)	
	Other	6 (3.9)	19 (3.5)	25 (3.6)	
Residence	Surabaya	108 (70.1)	254 (47.0)	362 (52.2)	0.000
Distribution	Sidoarjo	9 (5.8)	34 (6.3)	43 (6.2)	
	Other districts	33 (21.4)	225 (41.7)	258 (37.2)	
	in East Java	. ,		. ,	
	Province				
	Other districts	4 (2.6)	27 (5.0)	31 (4.5)	
	outside East			•	
	Java Province				

The Maternal Demographic Characteristics

The maternal demographic characteristics who involved in this study showed in Table 1. Several variables showed the same trend in both groups, and there was no significant difference in both. Respondents in this study were dominated by women aged 21-25 years and 26-30 years of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients, respectively. COVID-19 patients aged 21 to 25 years was 33.1%, and as many as 25.3% are aged 26-30 years. The same data was also

shown by non-COVID-19 patients, as many as 24.8% of mothers aged 21-25 years and 31.5% aged 26-30 years. There were no significant differences in each age group in women with COVID-19 and non-COVID-19. The same data was indicated by maternal education attainment, and there was no significant difference between the two groups. Almost half of COVID-19 (50.6%) and non-COVID-19 patients (56.7%) have a complete vocation degree education level. This trend was also shown by mother occupation; most of the occupations in both groups are housewives, 57.8% of women suffering from COVID-19 are housewives, and 60.6% of women who are not COVID-19 patients are housewives. However, a different phenomenon was shown in the group of patients suffering from COVID-19. College students in this group were more in quantity than in the group of patients who did not suffer from COVID-19. From the operational definition we got in the hospital's medical record, this college student is a resident student.

Residence distribution in the two groups shows a significantly different percentage. COVID-19 patients are dominated by women from Surabaya and Sidoarjo. 70.1% of COVID-19 patients came from the Surabaya area, while for non-COVID-19 patients, only 47.0%. Patients from other districts in East Java Province were actually more in the non-COVID-19 patient group, which was 41.7%. Patients from other areas outside of East Java were also more in the non-COVID-19 patient group, which was 5.0%.

The Hospitalization Characteristics

Table 2 explained the hospitalization characteristic. There was a significant difference in the hospital admission procedure between the two groups. In patients without COVID-19, the referral process was still going well, 15.2% of patients were outpatient referrals. This is different from COVID-19 patients, only 5.2% were outpatient referrals. Most of the COVID-19 patients were emergency installation referrals (92.9%), while 1.9% were patients without referrals/inpatients. Indeed, most of the patients during the COVID-19 pandemic were

dominated by emergency installation referrals.

Delivery by caesarean delivery dominated in both groups, 53.9% of COVID-19 patients and 26.3% of non-COVID-19 patients. There was no significant difference in labour characteristics between the two groups. Vaginal delivery by forceps, vacuum extractor, and assisted delivery also showed almost the same numbers in the group, 36.4% in COVID-19 patients and 26.3% in non-COVID-19 patients. Meanwhile, spontaneous vaginal delivery was only available in non-COVID-19 patients, which was 0.4%. LOS in the two groups was significantly different, and COVID-19 patients tended to have a longer LOS when compared to non-COVID-19 patients. 31.2% of COVID-19 patients had a LOS of 10-12 days, while most non-COVID-19 patients (36.9%) had a shorter LOS of 0-3 days.

The diagnosis in the two groups had significantly different characteristics. Most of the COVID-19 patients had a diagnosis of ARDS (40.9%). Another obstetric diagnosis that exists in COVID-19 patients is abnormalities of forces of labour, and this complication is greater in COVID-19 patients than in non-COVID-19 patients (12.3% vs 9.6%). Most of the non-COVID-19 patients were diagnosed hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, childbirth and puerperium (17.8%). As for the case of death, the mortality of COVID-19 patients (4.5%) was more significant than non-COVID-19 patients (3.3%), but this difference was not significant.

Table 2. Hospitalization Characteristic

Hospitalization Characteristics	Category	COVID-19 n (%)	Non COVID- 19 n (%)	Total	P-Value
Hospital Admission	Emergency installation referral	143 (92.9)	451 (83.5)	594 (85.6)	0.005
Procedure	Outpatient referral	8 (5.2)	82 (15.2)	90 (13.0)	
	Without referral/inpatient	3 (1.9)	7 (1.3)	10 (1.4)	
Delivery Method	Spontaneous vaginal delivery	0 (0.0)	2 (0.4)	2 (0.4)	0.184
	Vaginal delivery by forceps, vacuum extractor, assisted delivery	56 (36.4)	142 (26.3)	198 (28.5)	

	Caesarean delivery	83 (53.9)	283 (52.4)	366 (52.7)
	Not childbirth	15 (9.7)	113 (20.9)	128 (18.4)
LOS (Days)	0-3 4-6 7-9	10 (6.5) 21 (13.6) 37 (24.0)	199 (36.9) 181 (33.5) 77 (14.3)	209 (30.1) 0.00 202 (29.1) 114 (16.4)
	10-12 13-15 16-18 19-21 22-24	48 (31.2) 18 (11.7) 8 (5.2) 4 (2.6)	41 (7.6) 14 (2.6) 9 (1.7) 7 (1.3) 0 (0.0)	89 (12.8) 32 (4.6) 17 (2.4) 11 (1.6) 2 (0.3)
	25-27 28-30 >31	2 (1.3) 1 (0.6) 1 (0.6) 4 (2.6)	2 (0.4) 2 (0.4) 8 (1.5)	3 (0.4) 3 (0.4) 12 (1.7)
Diagnostic	ARDS Renal failure Cardiac event/outcomes	63 (40.9) 1 (0.6) 0 (0.0)	2 (0.4) 0 (0.0) 9 (1.7)	65 (9.4) 0.00 1 (0.1) 9 (1.3)
	Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium	4 (2.6)	96 (17.8)	100 (14.4)
	Obstetric Haemorrhage	3 (1.9)	21 (3.9)	24 (3.5)
	Complications following abortion and ectopic and molar pregnancy	6 (3.9)	32 (5.9)	38 (5.5)
	Maternal infectious and parasitic diseases classifiable elsewhere but complicating pregnancy	2 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.3)
	Maternal care for known or suspected abnormality of pelvic organs	5 (3.2)	55 (10.2)	60 (8.6)
	Maternal care for known or suspected malpresentation of fetus	4 (2.6)	56 (10.4)	60 (8.6)
	Abnormalities of forces of labour	19 (12.3)	52 (9.6)	71 (10.2)
	Premature rupture of membranes	9 (5.8)	44 (8.1)	53 (7.6)
	Placenta previa	2 (1.3)	45 (8.3)	47 (6.8)
	Complications of the puerperium, not elsewhere classified	1 (0.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)
	Maternal care for other known or suspected fetal	1 (0.6)	16 (3.0)	17 (2.4)

2 (1.3)	19 (3.5)	21 (3.0)
32 (20.8)	55 (10.2)	87 (12.5)
0 (0.0)	12 (2.2)	12 (1.7)
0 (0.0)	2 (0.4)	2 (0.3)
0 (0.0)	20 (3.7)	20 (2.9)
0 (0.0)	3 (0.6)	3 (0.4)
0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.1)
	32 (20.8) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	32 (20.8) 55 (10.2) 0 (0.0) 12 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 0 (0.0) 20 (3.7) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.6)

Table 3. Maternal Outcomes

Maternal Output	COVID-19 n (%)	Non COVID-19 n (%)	Total	p-value
Death	7 (4.5)	18 (3.3)	25 (3.6)	0.447
Not Death	147 (95.5)	522 (96.7)	669 (96.4)	

Maternal Outcomes

The maternal outcomes show in Table 3. There was no significant difference in maternal mortality (p = 0.47). However, the percentage of maternal mortality in COVID-19 patients tends to be higher than in non-COVID-19 patients (4.5% vs 3.3%).

Discussion

The tiered referral system for maternal health services cannot run optimally during pandemic COVID-19. As a tertiary hospital, this hospital should be a patient referral centre in East Java. However, this was not seen in pregnant, maternity and postpartum patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Patients from Surabaya and Sidoarjo dominate the treatment

Emergency installation referral became the dominant hospital admission procedure in both groups. The characteristics of the hospital admission procedure in the two groups were significantly different. One of the studies stated that most patients came to the obstetric emergency room for delivery purposes, so it will be challenging to find patients who have COVID-19 symptoms (11). COVID-19 patients without referral/inpatient are higher than non-COVID-19 patients. This condition can be an illustration that the referral system that Indonesia has implemented is not working well (12). The referral system during the COVID-19 pandemic is still showing a weak point. In reality, 76% of village health posts and 41% of home visits were closed. Primary health services cannot run optimally during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as family planning, immunization, and other routine maternal and child healthcare services. Impaired mobility due to travel restrictions is one of the factors for this. In addition to the fear of officers against transmission, primary and secondary health services do not run optimally (5,12).

More than half of COVID-19 patients (53.9%) gave birth by caesarean delivery method. Previous research also stated that there was no significant difference in the mode of delivery in -19 patients who had symptoms or not (11). Other referral hospitals also showed the same trend, that caesarean delivery dominated in COVID-19 patients (3). At the beginning of the pandemic, several referral hospitals in Indonesia did recommend caesarean delivery for COVID-19 patients, aiming to reduce the risk of transmission to health workers early in the pandemic (3,11). Some hypotheses suggest that the increase in caesarean delivery is due to regulatory changes to adapt to the COVID-19 pandemic (13). The National Obstetrics Gynaecology Association (POGI) recommends caesarean deliveries to COVID-19 patients (14). Vaginal delivery is considered to increase contact between patients and health workers because it requires longer observation, besides vaginal delivery contributes droplets to health

workers when entering the 2nd stage of labour (3,15). Indeed, several countries have also made COVID-19 a medical indication for caesarean delivery interventions so that this method of delivery dominates (15). Several meta-analyses and systematic reviews also state that more than half of COVID-19 patients give birth by caesarean method (8,16). Overall, caesarean delivery did dominate during the COVID-19 pandemic, 52.7% of deliveries to mothers were caesarean. When viewed from the study results, caesarean delivery also dominated non-COVID-19 maternity mothers (52.4%). China is a country that has the same trend, caesarean delivery is the dominant medical intervention for women who are in lockdown (17). Although this action is medically justified, the relationship between caesarean delivery and neonatal maternal mortality is only 20% (18). The World Health Organization (WHO) also recommends that the limit of caesarean delivery does not exceed 15-20% (19).

COVID-19 patients tend to have significantly longer LOS when compared to non-COVID-19 patients. Most patients with COVID-19 had LOS for 10-12 days (31.2%), while 36.9% of non-COVID-19 patients had LOS for 0-3 days. In fact, prolonged length of stay occurs if the duration of treatment is three days after birth. So it can be concluded that COVID-19 patients tend to experience a prolonged length of stay compared to non-COVID-19 patients (20). Some countries show different phenomena, LOS during the pandemic decreased compared to before the pandemic, and LOS for COVID-19 patients tended to be short (20,21). However, it should be considered that the clinical degree of a disease can also indicate a prolonged LOS (22). Moreover, if the patient comes to the hospital in an already severe condition. Considering the data states that the entry procedure for COVID-19 patients is dominated by emergencies.

There was no significant difference in the incidence of maternal mortality in COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. However, some diagnoses were found to be significantly higher in COVID-19 patients. First, maternal infectious and parasitic diseases classifiable

elsewhere but complicating pregnancy (1.3% vs 0.0%), tuberculosis is a specific complication of this diagnosis. Pregnant women who have a history of tuberculosis have a greater risk of suffering from Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), and the output obtained will be more labour intensive when compared to women who do not have this history (23). However, we did not get information on how tuberculosis travels in COVID-19 patients, so we cannot provide an analysis of whether tuberculosis appeared before they were diagnosed with COVID-19 or after. Furthermore, abnormalities of forces of labour in COVID-19 patients are also higher than in non-COVID-19 patients (12.3% vs 9.6%). In the ICD category, these complications are related to labour progress. Some complications such as inadequate contraction, uterine inertia, and hypertonic are categorized in this diagnosis. The high number of complications that affect labour progress can be a medical indication for cesarean delivery. Looking back at the recommendations made by POGI, obstacles in the progress of labour can be an indication for a cesarean delivery so that contact between health workers and patients can be minimized (14). Lastly, the diagnosis of complications of the puerperium, not elsewhere classified (0.6% vs 0.0%) was found only in patients with COVID-19. More specifically, disruption of caesarean section wound is a complication that occurs in this diagnosis. Certainly, this also correlates with the high percentage of cesarean deliveries in COVID-19 patients, so the possibility of complications due to this procedure is also higher.

Limitation of This Study

This research was conducted only through secondary data, there has been no in-depth study through direct observation of respondents. In addition, this study does not capture the

full picture of maternal health during the pandemic in Indonesia, which is about 2 years.

Conclusion

This study illustrates no significant difference in the percentage of maternal deaths in COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. However, several other output indicators show different things. The LOS of COVID-19 patients has been shown to be longer than that of non-COVID-19 patients, and the ARDS complications that can aggravate the mother's condition are also relatively high. Another thing that needs to be a concern is the high rate of caesarean delivery during the COVID-19 pandemic, both for COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients. Also, the referral process is not running properly, so there is a risk of accumulating patients in the ER because emergency referrals dominate.

The health service system in Indonesia should be prepared for a crisis such as a pandemic. The government must intensify health service innovation, such as remote technology-based services, to improve this. Likewise, health workers, such as midwives, should already have breakthroughs and capabilities related to health service innovation. This, of course, will affect the quality of services provided.

References

1. WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public: Mythbusters [Internet].

World Health Organization. 2020 [cited 2021 Aug 30]. Available from:

https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-

public/myth-busters?gclid=CjwKCAjw-

ZCKBhBkEiwAM4qfF3LWI 03MTeKJnwuFXJNosQmPiAzbdUqWJpZWPP IhSW

14

OrRRh1vr4RoCzPgQAvD BwE#virus

- 2. Ministry of Health Republic Indonesia. Penguatan Sistem Kesehatan dalam Pengendalian COVID-19 P2P Kemenkes RI [Internet]. 2021. 2021. Available from: http://p2p.kemkes.go.id/penguatan-sistem-kesehatan-dalam-pengendalian-covid-19/
- 3. Akbar MIA, Gumilar KE, Andriya R, Wardhana MP, Mulawardhana P, Anas JY, et al. Clinical manifestations and pregnancy outcomes of COVID-19 in indonesian referral hospital in central pandemic area. Obstet Gynecol Sci. 2022;65(1):29–36.
- 4. PERSI. RSUD Soetomo Keluhkan Dokter dan Perawatnya Kewalahan dan Kelelahan, Kemenkes Janji Kirim Tenaga Bantuan. Perhimpunan Rumah Sakit Indonesia. 2020.
- 5. Mahendradhata Y, Andayani NLPE, Hasri ET, Arifi MD, Siahaan RGM, Solikha DA, et al. The Capacity of the Indonesian Healthcare System to Respond to COVID-19. Front Public Heal. 2021;9(July):1–9.
- 6. Chen H, Guo J, Wang C, Luo F, Yu X, Zhang W, et al. Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. thelancet [Internet]. 2020;395:809–15. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7159281/pdf/main.pdf
- 7. Knight M, Bunch K, Vousden N, Morris E, Simpson N, Gale C, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women admitted to hospital with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK: National population based cohort study. BMJ. 2020;369.
- 8. Arshad S, Raza S, Javed I. Effects of Covid-19 Infection During Pregnancy and Neonatal Prognosis. What Is the Evidence? Int J Med Sci Diagnosis Res. 2020;17(12).
- 9. Amorita NA, Syahriarti I. Characteristics of Pregnant Women with Covid-19 and Delivery Outcomes at Kasih Ibu Hospital Surakarta. J Kesehat Reproduksi. 2021;8(1):31.
- 10. Grove SK, Cipher DJ. Statistics for Nursing Research: A Workbook for Evidence-Based

- Practice [Internet]. United States America: Elsevier; 2020. Available from: https://books.google.co.id/books?id=gt3WDwAAQBAJ&pg=PR1&hl=id&source=gbs __selected_pages&cad=2#v=onepage&q&f=false
- 11. Wardhana MP, Dachlan EG, Aditiawarman, Ernawati, Maniora NC, Aditya R, et al. Maternal and perinatal outcome of covid-19 in obstetric cases: 9 months experience from east java tertiary referral hospital. New Armen Med J. 2021;15(3):39–46.
- 12. World Health Organization. Intra-action Review of Indonesia 's Response to COVID-19: Summary Report. World Heal Organ. 2021;(January 2021).
- 13. Li N, Han L, Peng M, Lv Y, Ouyang Y, Liu K, et al. Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes of Pregnant Women with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pneumonia: A Case-Control Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(16):2035–41.
- 14. POGI. Recommendations for Handling Corona Virus Infection (Covid-19) in Maternal (Pregnant, Maternity and Postpartum). Handling Corona Virus Infection in Maternal. [Internet]. Maret. 2020. p. 1–28. Available from: https://pogi.or.id/publish/rekomendasi-penanganan-infeksi-virus-corona-covid-19-pada-maternal
- 15. Sarastry R, Layarta C, Aladini U, Pramono BA. Delivery routes in pregnancy with covid-19 and the risk of intrapartum vertical transmission: A meta-analysis. Med J Indones. 2021;30(2):116–22.
- 16. Marchand G, Patil AS, Masoud AT, Ware K, King A, Ruther S, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of COVID-19 maternal and neonatal clinical features and pregnancy outcomes up to June 3, 2021. AJOG Glob Reports [Internet]. 2022;2(1):100049. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xagr.2021.100049
- 17. Zhang J, Zhang Y, Ma Y, Ke Y, Huo S, He L, et al. The associated factors of cesarean section during COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study in nine cities of China.

- Environ Health Prev Med. 2020;25(1):1–7.
- Molina G, Weiser TG, Lipsitz SR, Esquivel MM, Uribe-Leitz T, Azad T, et al.
 Relationship between cesarean delivery rate and maternal and neonatal mortality. JAMA
 J Am Med Assoc. 2015;314(21):2263–70.
- 19. WHO. Appropriate Technology For Birth. Lancet. 1985;436–7.
- 20. Townsend R, Chmielewska B, Barratt I, Kalafat E, van der Meulen J, Gurol-Urganci I, et al. Global changes in maternity care provision during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. EClinicalMedicine [Internet]. 2021;37:100947. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100947
- 21. Gurol-Urganci I, Waite L, Webster K, Jardine J, Carroll F, Dunn G, et al. Obstetric interventions and pregnancy outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic in England: A nationwide cohort study. PLoS Med. 2022;19(1):1–15.
- 22. Wang Z, Liu Y, Wei L, Ji JS, Liu Y, Liu R, et al. What are the risk factors of hospital length of stay in the novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) patients? A survival analysis in southwest China. PLoS One [Internet]. 2022;17(1 January 2022):14–23. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261216
- 23. Aggarwal AN, Agarwal R, Dhooria S, Prasad KT, Sehgal IS, Muthu V. Active pulmonary tuberculosis and coronavirus disease 2019: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One [Internet]. 2021;10:1–21. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259006