- 1 Use of cfDNA and exovesicle-DNA for the molecular diagnosis of chronic Chagas
- 2 disease.
- Noelia Lozano^{1, 2}; Mercedes Gomez Samblas ^{3*}, Eva Calabuig⁴, María José Giménez
- 4 Martí ², Maria Dolores Gómez Ruiz², José Miguel Sahuquillo Arce², José Miguel Molina
- 5 Moreno², M. Trelis ^{1, 5} y Antonio Osuna³*
- 6 1.- Area of Parasitology. Department of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Technology and
- 7 Parasitology. University of Valencia. 46010 Valencia, Spain.
- 8 2.- Servicio de Microbiología y Parasitología Clínica. Hospital Universitario y Politécnico
- 9 La Fe-IIS La Fe, 46010 Valencia, Spain.
- 10 3.- Grupo de Bioquímica y Parasitología Molecular (CTS 183), Departamento de
- 11 Parasitología, Campus de Fuentenueva, Instituto de Biotecnología, Universidad de
- 12 Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain.
- 13 4.- Unidad de Enfermedades Infecciosas. Servicio de Medicina Interna. Hospital
- Universitario y Politécnico La Fe-IIS La Fe. Valencia 46010, Spain.
- 5.- Joint Research Unit on Endocrinology. Nutrition and Clinical Dietetics, University of
- Valencia-Health Research Institute La Fe, Valencia 46010, Spain.
- 17 * Authors for correspondence: Antonio Osuna, Email: aosuna@ugr.es and Mercedes
- 18 Gomez-Samblas. Email: msambla@ugr.es
- 20 key words: Trypanosoma cruzi, chronic Chagas disease, PCR diagnosis, plasma
- 21 exovesicles, cfDNA.

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

Abstract Chagas disease is, among others, considered a neglected tropical disease. Given the magnitude of the human movements that have occurred in recent years from Central and South America to other countries. Chagas should now be considered a disease of worldwide distribution, in which the transmission of the parasite is restricted to transplacental transmission or blood or organ donations from infected people. Parasite detection in chronically ill patients is restricted to serological tests that only determine previous contact and not the presence of the parasite, especially in those patients undergoing treatment evaluation or in newborns. In this study, we evaluate the use of nucleic acids from both circulating serum exovesicles and cell-free DNA (cfDNA) from 448 serum samples from immunologically diagnosed chronic chagasic patients, which were re-evaluated by nested PCR on the amplicons resulting from amplification with kDNA-specific primers 121F-122R. Of the total number of samples selected, 50 were used to isolate and purify exovesicles from circulating serum and cell-free DNA (cfDNA). When the nucleic acids thus purified were assayed as a template and amplified with primers 121F-122R and SAT, a percentage positivity of 100% was obtained for all positive samples assayed with the kDNA-specific primers and 96% when SAT primers were used. However, isolation of cfDNA for *T. cruzi* and amplification with SAT primers also showed 100% positivity. Hence, both samples can be used in those cases where it is necessary to demonstrate the active presence of the parasite. INTRODUCTION Trypanosoma cruzi is a flagellated protozoan etiologically responsible for American

trypanosomiasis or Chagas Disease (CD); a disease considered a zoonosis within the

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

so-called neglected tropical diseases. In addition to humans, CD involves wild or domestic mammals. Hematophagous insects belonging to the Triatominae family (Reduviid) are responsible for CD transmission, acting as invertebrate hosts or vectors. The protozoa multiply in the vector's midgut as epimastigote forms and finally reach the end of the intestine and rectal ampulla giving rise to the infective form or metacyclic trypomastigotes. With insect defecation after biting, these infective forms are deposited on the skin and they will access the mammalian host through the wound or through mucous membranes invading the nucleated cells. Then, they can multiply as amastigote forms. Parasites differentiate into trypomastigotes, which disrupt the host cell, invade intercellular spaces and penetrate neighboring cells or enter the bloodstream, disseminating the infection through the blood. Blood trypomastigote forms will wait to be ingested by a new vector. Non-vectorial human infection can occur by oral, transfusional (1,2), or vertical routes from mother to fetus (3). These last two routes are of epidemiological importance in areas without vectorial transmission. Chagas disease is endemic in a total of 21 countries in the Americas, from the southern United States to Argentina and Chile (4,5), with about 10 million people infected, and an annual incidence of 50,000 to 200,000 infections (5). The disease, considered for many years to be restricted to Meso- and South America, has spread to other parts of the world due to migratory processes. Human-to-human cases have been described in the United States, Canada, European countries, Australia or Japan, areas with varying degrees of migration from endemic areas (6), turning Chagas into a public health problem in European countries such as Spain, Italy or Sweden, among others, where strict control measures have thus been taken in blood banks (7), gynecological services (8) and transplant surgeries (9–11).

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

The course of the disease has two marked phases: acute and chronic. Acute Chagas disease (ACD) occurs within the first eight weeks, immediately after the infection. It usually presents with a high number of parasites circulating in the blood. The chronic phase (CCD), which develops about 3 and 8 weeks after infection, can last for decades with no or mild symptomatology and a very low parasitemia level. It is estimated that only 30% of cases develop pathognomonic symptoms of the disease (12-14). Such symptoms include cardiac and/or gastrointestinal symptoms disorders (15). These chronic patients (CCD) constitute the greatest epidemiological risk for disease transmission in countries where infection by insect vectors does not occur. Diagnostic methods vary from direct test, such as xenodiagnosis including the combination with molecular techniques, (16) to immunological techniques, in which different antigens (native or recombinant) are used (17,18). High variability in the sensitivity and specificity among techniques has been related to, not only to the type of laboratory technique or antigen used, but also to geographical differences in parasite strains, as well as genetic differences between human populations, which may contribute to discrepancies in serological tests (19). This has led to a series of recommendations by the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) and national guidelines, (20-22) recommending the confirmatory use of two serological tests in parallel, with a sensitivity of at least 98% (23) for a correct diagnosis of the disease. Even so, when serological tests are inconclusive, some guidelines recommend molecular tests, such as PCR, the technique of choice for the confirmatory diagnosis of T. cruzi parasitemia (24). WHO recommends PCR as a confirmatory test after screening of blood donors, in the diagnosis of acute or congenital infection, or for therapeutic follow-up after diagnosis of acute infection (23). However, conventional PCR is

unsuitable for chronic CD diagnosis due to low parasitemia and parasite DNA blood levels in the chronic phase, together with the different DNA extraction and purification systems, that bring PCR sensitivity to about 50-90%, whereas its specificity stays close to 100% (25). Due to the aforementioned drawbacks and despite its high specificity, PCR is recommended only when serological tests are inconclusive according to the recommendations of expert committees in different countries, such as Brazil, Chile, USA or Spain (20–22).

Therefore, in this paper we present different methodologies, different primers, the combination of nested PCR on non-visible PCR amplicons, the use of cell-free circulating DNA (cfDNA), and the extraction of DNA from exovesicles circulating in the serum (EVs-DNA) of chronic patients in order to try to solve diagnostic problems and facilitate the detection of CD in the hospital setting.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Human blood samples

This study was conducted at the Microbiology and Parasitology department of Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe (HUyP-La Fe), Valencia, Spain and at Parasitology department Institute of Biotecnology University of Granada Spain, from 2011 to 2020. CD serologically positive serum samples screened with the LiaisonXL murex chemiluminescence kit and tittered with the Trinity Biotech IFA kit were used. Samples (n 448) included patients with suspected disease or with manifest symptoms. They can be considered representative of the different groups of the population affected by CD, most of whom adults (n 313), mostly born in Bolivia, except for the children born in Spain from chagasic mothers (n 135). Some corresponded to patients who had received treatment for CD and who came for re-evaluation as follow-up, and patients with

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

compromised immunity with post-transplant immunosuppression therapy and one of the patients was HIV seropositive. According to sex, 302 (67.4%) patients were female and 146 (32.6%) were male. All of the patients gave written informed consent before starting the study and all protocols were approved on March 4, 2011, the study was expanded to include the use to isolate and purify exovesicles from circulating serum and cell-free DNA (cfDNA) on September 26, 2018, with registration numbers 201102400000408 and 672/CEIH/2018 respectively, by the ethical committee of Granada University. DNA isolation method from peripheral blood. Peripheral blood samples (5 mL) were collected in Vacutainer vacuum blood tubes with EDTA and then subjected to a specific lysis pretreatment before proceeding to the DNA isolation methods. Pretreatment consisted of mixing 1:1 blood and denaturing lysis buffer (6M quanidine hydrochloride, 10 mM urea, 10 mM TRIS-HCL, 10 mM TRIS-HCL, 20% (v/v) Triton X-100; pH was adjusted to 4.4) through brief shaking. The samples were incubated at 70°C for 10 min. Before proceeding to the next steps, the samples were kept for at least 48 h at room temperature. The automated purification protocol of the Maxwell Blood DNA Purification kit (Promega Biotech) was chosen according to the guidelines approved by HUyP-La Fe and was used following the manufacturer's instructions. The initial volume for each of the blood sample was 400 µL of pretreated peripheral blood. Isolation of circulating cell-free parasite DNA (cfDNA) from serum For the serum samples, 5 mL of blood were taken in the BD Vacutainer SST II Advance tubes (Reference, 366468). Once vortexed for 5 min, each tube was centrifuged at 1,500 x g for 10 min and approximately 2.0 mL of serum were collected to perform the different tests.

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

The samples used for the assay of this protocol were 4 serum pools and two individual serum samples from patients with digestive disorders. Two pools were formed by three patients with cardiac pathology each, and two pools formed by three patients with nonspecific symptoms. (Table S1). For the isolation of circulating DNA (cfDNA) of the parasite from the patient's serum, the MagMAXTM cell-free DNA isolation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used and the manufacturer's instructions were followed. First, the 100 µL serum pools were centrifuged at 1,600 x g 10 min at 4°C. Once the first centrifugation was completed, the supernatant was subjected to a second centrifugation at the same speed and time as the previous one in order to remove any cellular debris in the serum. Next, the proteins contained in the supernatant were digested for 20 min at 60°C with 2 µL of Proteinase k (20 μg/mL). To the digestion product, 150 μL of buffer (30mTris-HCl pH 8.0, 10mM EDTA, 1% SDS) were added together with 5 µL of magnetic bead solution. The resulting volume (255 µL) of the mixture was vortexed intensively for 10 min and then centrifuged at 14,000 x g for 10 s. The resulting pellet was washed twice, first with 500 µL of wash buffer and then with 500 µL of 80% ethanol, and subjected to centrifugation (20 s at 1,3000 x g). Finally, the pellet was dried and resuspended in 50 µL Milli-Q water. DNA isolation from serum exovesicles (EVs-DNA) To improve the detection of parasite DNA in *T. cruzi* infected patients, DNA was isolated from exovesicles (EVs) present in serum. For this assay, 25 nested PCR negative and 25 nested PCR positive samples were selected as described below. Purification of circulating EVs from serum was performed following the methods

previously described by Diaz et al. (26) and Retana et al. (27), by a mixed

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

ultracentrifugation-ultrafiltration procedure. For this purpose, 1 mL serum samples were each diluted (1:1) with PBS previously ultrafiltered through 0.22 µm pore filters. The diluted samples were first centrifuged at 3,500 x g for 10 minutes (4°C) to eliminate contamination by cells or cellular debris from the serum. The pellet obtained was discarded and the supernatant was ultrafiltered through sterile 0.45 µm pore filters (Millipore, USA) in order to remove apoptotic debris and particles remaining in the supernatant from the first centrifugation. It was subsequently ultracentrifuged in microtubes (Hitachi No 1508) at 110,000 x g for 2 h at 4°C in a Sorwal WX80 centrifuge with fixed-angle rotor (Fiberlite[™] F50L-24 x 1.5). The resulting pellet was washed three times by ultracentrifugation in sterile PBS as described above and evaluated by transmission electron microscopy and NTA nanoparticle tracking analysis, as described in a previous paper (28). For the isolation of DNA from EVs-DNA, the method described by Orrego et al. in 2020 was followed. To do so, the sediment containing EVs from serum was treated with a total of 2 units of DNAase I at 37°C for 30 min to remove external DNA from the EVs. After treatment, the enzyme was inactivated with heat at 70°C in a solution containing 50 mM EDTA. After removal of free nucleic acids from the EVs suspension, 100 µL of EVs were lysed with 200 µL of lysis buffer (30 mMTris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) supplemented with 20 µL of proteinase K (0.1 mg/mL), shaken by vigorous pipetting and incubated at 56°C for 1 h. Finally, nucleic acid purification and precipitation were performed following the traditional phenol-chloroform method by mixing 320 µL of lysed EVs suspension with 320 µL of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl reagent, 25:24:1 (v/v) (Sigma). The mixture was shaken briefly and centrifuged at 14,000 x g for 10 min. The aqueous phase was then collected and the DNA was precipitated with 1/10 vol of 3M sodium acetate pH 5 and 2.5 vol of HPLC grade absolute ethanol. The mixture was incubated overnight at -20°C and centrifuged at 15,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded and the precipitate was washed twice with cold 70% ethanol. Finally, the pellet was dried in a Jouan Thermo speed Vac (RC1010) at 20°C and diluted in 20 μ l Milli-Q water.

Transmission electron microscopy

To corroborate by electron microscopy the purification of EVs from serum, the ultracentrifuged button resulting from the purification process described above was fixed for 2h at room temperature in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in cacodylate buffer pH 7.2 and then resuspended in PBS for sample processing by negative staining. For this purpose, approximately 15 µL of the suspension were deposited on nickel 300 mesh grids, coated with a charcoal layer, for 10 min. The grids were then washed twice with ultrapure water for 1 min. The grids were negatively stained with 1% uranyl acetate for 1 min. After staining, the samples were dried on filter paper and observed under a Zeiss Libra 120 Plus transmission electron microscope at 120KV at the Centro de Instrumentación Científica (University of Granada facilities).

Detection of *T. cruzi* DNA in biological samples by different PCR strategies

Genes used in the study

In this work, two specific, conserved and highly repeated genes in the *T. cruzi* genome, widely used in the diagnosis of Chagas disease, were analyzed: the kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) minirepeat regions, which represent the major sequence component of kDNA with about 120,000 copies per parasite (29,30), and the nuclear satellite DNA with 10⁴ to 10⁵ copies in the highly conserved parasite genome (31).

PCR strategies

The kDNA minirepeat regions and nested PCR

DNA from the 448 serologically positive samples, extracted using the Maxwell Blood DNA Purification Kit automated protocol (Promega Biotech), and the minirepeated region of the kDNA was amplified. The reaction mixture was made up of Go Taq1flexi 1X amplification buffer (25 mM dNTPs, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 1.0 U of Go Taq1(Promega, USA), 10 pmol of specific primers 121F and 122R (Table 1) and 10 μL of template. The final volume was 50 μL according to the methodology described previously (32). Amplification conditions consisted of two cycles, each of 1 min at 98°C and 2 min at 64°C, followed by 33 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, and 1 min at 64°C, before a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. In all cases, a DNA sample of *T. cruzi* from the culture as a positive control and a non-template control were used.

Table 1. Sequences of primers used in this study.

Name	5'→3' Sequence	Tm (°C) Type	Type (5'→3')	Product length (bp)
SATF	GCAGTCGGCKGATCGTTTTCG	60.1 PCR	F	120
SATR	TTCAGRGTTGTTTGGTGTCCAGTG	58.5 PCR	R	
121F	AAATAATGTACCGGKGAGATGCATGA	65 PCR	F	330
122R	GGTTCGATTGGGGTTGGTGTAATATA	66 PCR	R	
T3	TC TTT GGT GTG ATC GTT AC	58 nest	ed PCR F	150
T4	TAC ATT CTA TTT CTT CTC TG	52 nest	ed PCR R	

In all instances, the amplification result, although not visible on DNA electrophoresis, was subjected to nested PCR amplification. To this end, 2 μ L of PCR product were used as template, 0.2 μ M concentration of T3 and T4 primers (Table 1), 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 3 mM MgCL₂, 0.5 μ L of GoTaq® Flexi DNA Polymerase and the final volume was 50 μ L. The nested PCR conditions were (1) 94°C 5 min, (2) (94°C 1 min, 48°C 1 min, 72°C 1 min) × 35, (3) 72°C 7 min, (4) 12°C indefinite.

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

Amplifications were performed on a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 thermal cycler, AB Applied Biosystems and the nested PCR amplification product was sequenced on a GenomeLab GeXP system. The results obtained from sequencing were aligned using BLAST programs and the identity and coverage of these sequences were studied. **EVs-DNA and cfDNA PCR** Both the EVs-DNA present in the serum and the cfDNA were amplified indistinctly with the primer pair 121F-122R corresponding to the kDNA and with the SATF and SATR primers for the nuclear satellite regions (Table 1) using for these amplifications 0, 5 µM of the primers, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.005 µL DMSO, 0.125 µL GoTaq Flexi DNA Polymerase enzyme and 2 µL as DNA template from DNA purification, the final reaction volume was 25 µL. For the SAT primers, the protocol was (1) 95°C 5 min, (2) (94°C 10 sec, 65°C 10 sec, 72°C 10 sec) × 40, (3) 72°C 5 min, (4). Samples were kept at 12°C. The final products were visualized on a 2% agarose gel stained with Safe Sybr and developed on a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Biorad). Electrophoresis was performed at 100 mV for 30 min. RESULTS Amplification of DNA from peripheral blood The sera of 448 immunologically positive subjects, as indicated above, came from patients who had visited the different medical services of the Hospital La Fe; 67.4% corresponded to samples from female patients and 146 samples to males (32.6%). The majority (313) of whom were born in American countries, mostly in Bolivia. While 135, namely the youngest, were born in Spain but of Latin American mothers.

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

Figure 1A shows the results of the first PCR with amplicons of 330 bp. Nested PCR (corresponding to the kDNA) gave a positive result for 72 (16%) samples in which the 150 bp amplicon was visible in agarose electrophoresis (Figure 1 B). Sequencing results of this amplicon are shown in Figure 1 C. The results of the NCBI BLAST analysis of these sequences verified that the amplicon corresponded to a kDNA region of T. cruzi, 150 bp with coverage of 93% and an E of 2e-44 and an identity percentage of 97.4% (Figure 1 C). Figure 1. A: 2% agarose gel analysis of PCR-amplified products showing a 330 pb with 121 and 122 primers. PCR performed with peripheral blood DNA. Line 1, Hipper ladder II, Line 2, positive control, line 3 to 20 samples from 1 to 18 patients consecutively. B: 2% agarose gel showing a 150 pb band which belongs to nested PCR performed with T3 and T4 primers. C: Sequence alignment using as reference sequence the kDNA T. cruzi sequence with accession number DQ835656. Analysis of the 72 samples, in which sample positivity was verified by nested PCR, resulted in 49 patients being female and 23 males. Mean age was 36.6 years (35 median) for females and 35.7 years (34 median) for males. Furthermore, positive patients were classified into 4 age ranges as shown in Table S2. Thirty-four (5.6%) women were of childbearing age and 17 of whom were pregnant. Also, T. cruzi DNA was detected in the peripheral blood sample in the three children who were between 0 to 2 years old. Most of CD patients were born in Bolivia (n 70), one patient was from El Salvador and another one from Ecuador. Table S3 shows the distribution of origin in Bolivia, with Santa Cruz being the most represented region with 44.2% of the patients, followed by

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

Sucre with 12.8%, Cochabamba with 10%, Potosí with 5.7%, La Paz (1.4%), Tarija (1.4%) and unidentified regions 25.2%. Table S1 shows the conditions and age corresponding to the 72 positive nested PCR patients used in the study. Most of whom presented indeterminate symptoms (n 53), two had digestive disorders and nine patients suffered heart conditions. Of the total 72 samples, 25 corresponded to patients who had not yet been treated with benznidazole, and it is noteworthy that of the 47 that had been treated only three remained PCR positive for *T. cruzi*. DNA serum EVs and cfDNA amplification Confirmation of circulating serum EVs TEM visualization of EVs from serums pool isolated by ultracentrifugation is shown in Figure 2A. The mean size of the EVs was 119.92 ±18.41 nm. NTA analysis (Figure 2 B) revealed the different populations of these EVs in which the majority peak revealed a size of 163 nm. A second population less numerous of EVs with an average size of 231 nm was observed. Analysis of the total population of EVs showed a mode size of 208±84.8 nm and the mode was 163 nm. Also, few populations of larger size were observed which could be considered aggregates of the EVs purified from the circulating EVs in the serum of the patients studied. Figure 2. EVs characterization. A: isolated serum pool EVs observed under TEM. B; NTA showing the EVs populations. Liquid biopsy A total of 50 sera selected from the initial 448 serologically positive patients were used for these experiments. Twenty-five of these corresponded to patients who were not positive by nested PCR, while the remaining 25 sera were from patients positive by

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

nested PCR. Serum EV DNA (EVs-DNA) and circulating free cellular DNA (cfDNA) were purified from the selected samples. To perform PCR and as indicated in the Material and methods section, primers 121F-122R and those corresponding to SAT satellite DNA were used indistinctly with the DNA purified by these methods. PCR analysis using DNA-EVs The results of parasite EVs-DNA circulating in serum showed that twenty-five patients were positive for primers 121F-122R (Figure 3 A) and all but one (24) were positive for SAT primers (Figure 3 B). Figure 3. 2% agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of PCR-amplified products. A: PCR performed with 121 and 122 primers and EVs-DNA. Line M, Hipper ladder II; Line 6, C+, positive control; line 2 to 5 and lines 7 to 11 samples of patients. B: PCR performed with SAT primers and EVs-DNA. Line M. Marker, Line 2, positive control; line 3 to 10 samples 1, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 21 respectively. C: PCR performed with SAT primers and cfDNA. Line C+, positive control; Line C- non-template control; Line 3 to 5 samples 1a, 2a, 3a; line 6 non-template control; line 7 to 9 samples 1b, 2b, 3b. PCR analysis using cfDNA Isolated cfDNA was amplified by PCR with SAT primers. Figure 3 C shows six positive samples (Table S1) corresponding to chagasic patients with cardiac, digestive and indeterminate conditions. The same samples were negative when amplified by PCR with primers 121F-122R. DISCUSSION In the last decades, the distribution of CD has spread due to human migration for economic reasons from geographical areas of Central and South America, where

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

hematophagous vector transmission is still the case, to non-endemic areas of North America, Europe, Japan or Australia. Spain, Italy and Switzerland are the three European countries (33) with highest levels of Latin American communities, especially Spain, related to their common language as well as historical and cultural links. The health authorities in Spain and Tuscany (Italy), areas with a high level of Latin American migrants have therefore adopted standards and recommendations on hemodonations (34), and transplant donors with regard to the detection of chaqasic patients in order to prevent human-to-human transmission of the disease (2,35). Similarly, regulations have been adopted for the screening of pregnant women originating from endemic countries and for which both the mother's and newborn's blood are tested (36,37) in order to establish a treatment, which is well tolerated and effective in this type of patient. All this has prompted the standardization of diagnostic methods for CD in health centers and especially for chronically ill patients, in whom parasitemia levels are practically nonexistent. Classical parasitological diagnostic methods, such as visualization of trypomastigote forms in blood smears or thick drop, or even trypomastigote concentration techniques by centrifugation in microhematocrit tubes, have been used to diagnose CD (38) (39). They show high specificity but very low sensitivity, especially in chronic patients. These methods may be applicable in diagnosis when the disease is developed with high parasitemia in the acute phase or after reactivation of circulating parasitemia in immunosuppressed chronic patients (38). Only culture and xenodiagnosis can be used to directly detect *T. cruzi* infections in the chronic phase. But these techniques are not useful in clinical practice, especially in Europe, due to the limitations of insect vector handling and the delay in obtaining results, which limits their usefulness in diagnostic

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

studies and in evaluating treatment efficacy (40,41). Moreover, the evaluation of different techniques shows that in the case of parasitemia, PCR is at least 27 times more sensitive than blood culture (42). The course of the disease is characterized by elevated IgM levels during the initial acute phase of the disease and the appearance of IgGs that persist throughout the disease (43). Thus, immunological diagnostic techniques are the gold standard for the diagnosis of CD in chronic patients, even in asymptomatic cases. The limiting factors in serological studies are the possible cross-reactivity with Leishmania spp. or Trypanosoma rangeli infections in those geographical areas where the disease was acquired and these trypanosomatids coexist (40,44). Another drawback could be the lack of sensitivity to the different parasite strains that affect humans throughout the Americas. They may present antigenic variability, which requires, if possible, the use of antigens of different geographical origin and different nature (19,45) according to the recommendations of the different committees on CD. Individuals are considered infected when they have a positive result in at least two serological techniques using different antigens. A third technique is required in case of discrepancy of indeterminate immunological results (20-23), or the use of a mixture of antigens that minimize discrepancies (17,46). The other significant case that invalidates immunological techniques is the diagnosis in newborns from infected mothers due to the presence of IgGs from the mother to the fetus by transplacental transfer (42,47–49). In cases that require the detection of the direct presence of the parasite in blood, when doubtful or inconsistent serological results are obtained and when the efficacy of the patient's treatment is evaluated, PCR methods capable of detecting the presence of parasite nucleic acids in blood (50) are needed to determine the unequivocal presence

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

of the parasite. However, this technique, has a number of limitations that can alter the results and that must be considered (51.52) such us the volume of blood processed, the correct handling of the blood (use of the chaotropic agent guanidine, time and treatment of the lysis process), the manual or automatic DNA extraction procedures, the presence and especially the elimination of PCR inhibitors, the primers used, etc. For all of these reasons, the quest for new procedures and methodologies in the extraction of nucleic acids to be applied to minimize false negative results continues. The samples reflect the Latin American population living and working in the area of influence of the Hospital La Fe in Valencia. Data from INE (National Institute of Statistics) for the year 2021 show that 17,847 people born in Bolivia reside in the Valencian Community, 39.1% are male and 60.9% are female. A nested PCR was performed on all the samples, in which the primers T3 and T4 designed for this purpose were used and which would amplify a band of 150 bp from the internal zone of the amplicon produced in the first PCR. The use of nested PCR using other genes with the products of the first PCR was already studied by Pereira et al. (53) and Ribeiro et al. (54), who concluded that the sensitivity of a series of 3 nested PCRs using primers specific for conserved regions of the Kinetoplast is able to detect 1 parasite per ml of blood, increasing the sensitivity of the PCR by about 10,000 times. Other authors such as Marcon et al., 2002 (26), or Riera et al., 2006 (55) employed nested PCR after conventional PCR using TCZ1 and TCZ2 and internal primers TCZ3 and TCZ4 to evidence parasitization in a neonate. Also, Pereira et al., 2016 (53) used nested PCR for the products of a PCR using the TCZ1 and TCZ2 primers previously mentioned to detect CD positivity in a population of elderly people suffering from cardiac

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

problems and in whom the involvement of *T. cruzi* in these pathologies was not suspected. Sixteen percent of the total 448 immunologically positive patients tested positive in nested PCR. The amplicons obtained were visualized by electrophoresis resulting in a band of 150 bp. Sequencing of the amplicons showed that they correspond to an internal region of the T. cruzi kDNA described by Burgos et al. (56), with a coverage of 93% and a percentage of identity of 97.4%, Figure (1). The treatment of choice usually prescribed for CD-positive patients in Spain consists of 5 mg/kg benznidazole (BZ; N-benzyl-2-nitroimidazole acetamide) daily for 60 days. It is noteworthy that, of the 47 selected patients who had been treated, three tested positive post-treatment in nested PCR for *T. cruzi*. It was not ruled out that the treatment was not completed as a consequence of the side effects involved and the consequent interruption by the patient, and in one case the patient stated that he had returned to his country, not ruling out reinfection. We also evaluated whether cfDNA present in the serum of CCD patients could be used as a template for specific PCRs to improve diagnosis in CCD and in neonates where parasitaemia is very low and almost undetectable by other diagnostic procedures. The results of the analysis were 100% positive. This confirms that serum is a good sample to carry out DNA extraction to be used in the PCR detection of *T. cruzi*, which was already determined by Russomando et al. (57) and in the review carried out by Weerakoon et al. (58) where the use of cfDNA in different biological samples in the diagnosis of different parasitoses was encouraged.

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

The results obtained in our cfDNA (nuclear DNA or mitochondrial KDNA) of parasitic origin would come from circulating parasites coming from either lysis or apoptosis of free or intracellular parasitic forms, or transported in elements secreted by the parasitic forms. With these sera we proceeded to the purification of EVs circulating in the serum, and the purification of the EVs from the serums of the patients was evidenced both by electron microscopy and by NTA. The term EVs designates the set of various EVs released by cells and delimited by a lipid bilayer that are released into the extracellular space by prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells (59). EVs constitute cellular mechanisms of cell-to-cell communication over short or long distances between cells acting as endocrine, paracrine, juxtacrine or autocrine signaling (27), through uptake of EVs or receptor-mediated interactions. Evidently, blood is the mechanism of transport and diffusion of these EVs. EVs are classified by their size, biogenesis and composition, exosomes with sizes between 20 to 200 nm, ectosomes between 200 to 1000 nm and apoptotic vesicles of a larger size (60). Exosomes contain functional molecules (including proteins, nucleic acids and lipids) derived from their cells of origin, being present in all biological fluids where they have been sought, urine and saliva and undoubtedly in blood (61-63). Due to the protection of the lipid bilayer, exosomes are relatively stable and proteins and other molecules transported in these small vesicles are protected from degradation. Their composition is guite complex, including a wide variety of lipids, proteins, different populations of RNAs, ssDNA, and metabolites (28). Typically, the internal volume of an exosome ranges from 20 to 90 nm³, suggesting that a prototypical exosome would contain approximately 100 proteins and 10,000 nucleotides (64).

Two PCRs were performed with the DNA extracted from the serum EVs, one with the primers (121F-122R) and the other PCR with the SATF and SATR primers; with the former, 50% positivity was obtained and with the SATF and SATR primers, 48% of the patients were positive. The presence of parasite EVs in the serum of patients with CD was already described by some of us in 2017 (26) and these EVs appeared circulating in the serum forming immunocomplexes in patients with different Chagas pathologies, which denoted the presence of metabolically active forms of the parasite and capable of actively releasing these EVs. EVs have been employed as liquid biopsy in numerous assays for tumor diagnosis (65-67), however the use of EVs-DNA for the diagnosis of pathogens and especially intracellular pathogens has hardly been addressed so far. Cho et al., 2020 (68) showed that PCR using exosome DNA from isolates of patients affected by tuberculosis shows higher sensitivity than conventional PCR diagnosis using total DNA for PCR. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report the detection of *T. cruzi* DNA from circulating EVs in the serum of patients using two sets of primers, i.e., those that detect kinetoplast DNA minicircles (121F-122R) and those that recognize nuclear DNA with the SATF and SATR primers. From the results of the present work, it is evident that both cfDNA and EVs-DNA from the serum of infected patients constitute useful and effective biological samples to demonstrate, by PCR using conventional primers, the active presence of the parasite, in clinical cases (neonates and studies of the efficacy of treatment) where it is necessary to demonstrate the presence of the parasite.

FUNDING AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

This research was funded by the ERANet program, Research in prevention of congenital Chagas disease: parasitological, placental and immunological markers (ERANet17/HLH-0142 (Cochaco). Instituto Carlos III, Ministerio de Sanidad, Gobierno de España; Fundación Ramón Areces "Interactoma de las exovesículas de *T. cruzi* y de los inmunocomplejos que forman con las células del hospedador: implicaciones en la patología de la enfermedad de Chagas (2019)". Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología of the government of Spain funded the project PGC2018-099424-B-I00 and The financial support given by the proyect A-BIO-350-UGR18 I+D+i Proyect "Programa Operativo FEDER de Andalucía JJAA" 2014-2020.

- 477 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
- The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 479 REFERENCES

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

- 1. Moncayo A. Chagas disease: current epidemiological trends after the interruption of
- vectorial and transfusional transmission in the Southern Cone countries. Mem Inst
- 482 Oswaldo Cruz. 2003;98(5):577–91.
- 2. Pati I, Cruciani M, Masiello F, Barone F, Silvioli G, La Raja M, et al. Chagas Disease
- and Transfusion Risk in Italy: The Results of a National Survey. Pathogens.
- 485 2022;11(11):1229.
- 486 3. Kemmerling U, Osuna A, Schijman AG, Truyens C. Congenital Transmission of
- Trypanosoma cruzi: A Review About the Interactions Between the Parasite, the
- 488 Placenta, the Maternal and the Fetal/Neonatal Immune Responses. Front Microbiol.
- 489 2019;10:1854.

- 490 4. Coura JR, Dias JC. Epidemiology, control and surveillance of Chagas disease: 100
- 491 years after its discovery. 2009;104:31–40.
- 492 5. World Health Organization. Regional Office for South-East Asia. (2021). Strategic
- Framework for the South-East Asia Regional Vaccine Action Plan 2022–2030 as
- aligned with the global Immunization Agenda 2030. World Health Organization.
- Regional Office for South-East Asia. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/343756
- 496 6. Tanowitz HB, Weiss LM, Montgomery SP. Chagas Disease Has Now Gone Global.
- 497 PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011 Apr 26;5(4):e1136.
- 7. Requena-Méndez A, Albajar-Viñas P, Angheben A, Chiodini P, Gascón J, Muñoz J,
- et al. Health Policies to Control Chagas Disease Transmission in European
- 500 Countries. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014 Oct 30;8(10):e3245.
- 8. Ramos JM, Milla A, Rodríguez JC, López-Chejade P, Flóres M, Rodríguez JM, et al.
- Chagas disease in Latin American pregnant immigrants: experience in a non-
- endemic country. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2012 Apr 1;285(4):919–923.
- 9. Kransdorf EP, Zakowski PC, Kobashigawa JA. Chagas disease in solid organ and
- heart transplantation. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2014;27(5):418–424.
- 10. Balderramo D, Bonisconti F, Alcaraz A, Giordano E, Sánchez A, Barrabino M, et al.
- 507 Chagas disease and liver transplantation: Experience in Argentina using real-time
- quantitative PCR for early detection and treatment. Transpl Infect Dis. 2017 Dec
- 509 1;19(6):e12782.

- 11. Pierrotti LC, Carvalho NB, Amorin JP, Pascual J, Kotton CN, López-Vélez R. Chagas
- Disease Recommendations for Solid-Organ Transplant Recipients and Donors.
- 512 Transplantation. 2018;102(2S):S1–7.
- 12. Kirchhoff L. Chagas disease. American trypanosomiasis. Infect Dis Clin North Am.
- 514 **1993**;**7**(3):487–502.
- 13. Coura JR. Chagas disease: what is known and what is needed A background
- article. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2007;112(1).
- 14. Prata A. Clinical and epidemiological aspects of Chagas disease. Lancet Infect Dis.
- 518 2001 Sep 1;1(2):92–100.
- 15. Messenger LA, Miles MA, Bern C. Between a bug and a hard place: Trypanosoma
- 520 cruzi genetic diversity and the clinical outcomes of Chagas disease. Expert Rev Anti
- 521 Infect Ther. 2015 Aug 3;13(8):995–1029.
- 16. Zulantay I, Apt W, Gil LC, Rocha C, Mundaca K, Solari A, et al. The PCR-based
- detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in the faeces of Triatoma infestans fed on patients
- with chronic American trypanosomiasis gives higher sensitivity and a quicker result
- than routine xenodiagnosis. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2007 Dec 1;101(8):673–679.
- 17. De Marchi CR., Di Noia JM., Frasch AC., Amato Neto V, Almeida IC., Buscaglia CA.
- 527 Evaluation of a Recombinant *Trypanosoma cruzi* Mucin-Like Antigen for
- Serodiagnosis of Chagas' Disease. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2011 Nov 1;18(11):1850–
- 529 **1855**.

- 18. Afonso AM, Ebell MH, Tarleton RL. A Systematic Review of High Quality Diagnostic
- Tests for Chagas Disease. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012 Nov 8;6(11):e1881.
- 19. Truyens C, Dumonteil E, Alger J, Cafferata ML, Ciganda A, Gibbons L, et al.
- Geographic Variations in Test Reactivity for the Serological Diagnosis of
- Trypanosoma cruzi Infection. J Clin Microbiol. 2021 Nov 18;59(12):e0106221.
- 20. Pinto Dias JC, Novaes Ramos AJ, Dias Gontijo E, Shikanai-Yasuda MA, Rodrigues
- Coura J, Morais Torres R, et al. Brazilian Consensus on Chagas disease. Rev Soc
- 537 Bras Med Trop. 2005;38(3):7–29.
- 21. Bern C, Montgomery SP, Herwaldt BL, Rassi A, Marin-Neto JA, Dantas RO, et al.
- Evaluation and Treatment of Chagas Disease in the United States. A Systematic
- 540 Review. JAMA. 2007 Nov 14;298(18):2171–2181.
- 22. Apt W, Heitmann I, Jercic MI, Jofré L, Muñoz P, Noemí I, et al. Guías clínicas de la
- enfermedad de Chagas 2006: Parte V. Diagnóstico de laboratorio. Rev Chil Infectol.
- 543 **2008;25:378–383**.
- 23. World Health Organization (WHO). Control of Chagas disease □: second report of
- the WHO expert committee. Technical Report 109. Geneva: WHO; 2002.
- http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO_TRS_905.pdf
- 24. Murray KO, Saldaña MA, Gunter SM, Manzanero R, Zielinski-Gutierrez E, Herrera C,
- et al. Diagnosis of Acute Chagas Disease in a Belizean Child with Evidence of a
- Multiclonal *Trypanosoma cruzi* Infection. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2022 Nov
- 550 **14**;**107**(**5**):**992**–**995**.

- 25. Brasil PE, De Castro L, Hasslocher-Moreno AM, Sangenis LH, Braga JU. ELISA
- versus PCR for diagnosis of chronic Chagas disease: systematic review and meta-
- analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2010 Nov 25;10(1):337.
- 26. Díaz Lozano IM, De Pablos LM, Longhi SA, Zago MP, Schijman AG, Osuna A.
- Immune complexes in chronic Chagas disease patients are formed by exovesicles
- from *Trypanosoma cruzi* carrying the conserved MASP N-terminal region. Sci Rep.
- 557 **2017 Mar 15;7:44451–44451**.
- 558 27. Retana Moreira L, Rodríguez Serrano F, Osuna A. Extracellular vesicles of
- 559 Trypanosoma cruzi tissue-culture cell-derived trypomastigotes: Induction of
- physiological changes in non-parasitized culture cells. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019
- Feb 21;13(2):e0007163.
- 28. Retana Moreira L, Prescilla-Ledezma A, Cornet-Gomez A, Linares F, Jódar-Reyes
- AB, Fernandez J, et al. Biophysical and Biochemical Comparison of Extracellular
- Vesicles Produced by Infective and Non-Infective Stages of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Int J
- 565 Mol Sci. 2021;22(10).
- 29. Degrave W, Fragoso SP, Britto C, van Heuverswyn H, Kidane GZ, Cardoso MAB, et
- al. Peculiar sequence organization of kinetoplast DNA minicircles from *Trypanosoma*
- 568 *cruzi*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1988 Jan 1;27(1):63–70.
- 30. Vergara C, Muñoz G, Martínez G, Apt W, Zulantay I. Detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi*
- by PCR in adults with chronic Chagas disease treated with nifurtimox. PLOS ONE.
- 571 2019 Aug 21;14(8):e0221100.

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

31. Duffy T, Bisio M, Altcheh J, Burgos JM, Diez M, Levin MJ, et al. Accurate Real-Time PCR Strategy for Monitoring Bloodstream Parasitic Loads in Chagas Disease Patients. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2009 Apr 21;3(4):e419. 32. Ledezma AP, Blandon R, Schijman AG, Benatar A, Saldaña A, Osuna A. Mixed infections by different Trypanosoma cruzi discrete typing units among Chagas disease patients in an endemic community in Panama. PLOS ONE. 2020 Nov 12;15(11):e0241921. 33. World Health Organization (WHO). Control and prevention of Chagas disease in Europe. Report of a WHO Informal Consultation (jointly organized by WHO headquarters and the WHO Regional Office for Europe) Geneva, Switzerland, 17–18 December 2009. Geneva: WHO; 2010. Report No: WHO/HTM/NTD/IDM/2010.1 http://www.fac.org.ar/1/comites/chagas/Chagas WHO Technical%20Report 16 06 _10.pdf 34. Real Decreto 1088/2005, de 16 de septiembre, por el que se establecen los requisitos técnicos y condiciones mínimas de la hemodonación y de los centros y servicios de transfusión. [Royal Decree 1088/2005 of 16 September establishing the minimum technical requisites and conditions for blood donation and for blood transfusion centres and services]. Boletín Oficial del Estado. 2005;225:31288-304. Madrid: Ministerio de Sanidad Consumo; 2005. У http://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2005/09/20/pdfs/A31288-31304.pdf. Spanish. 35. Abras A, Ballart C, Fernández-Arévalo A, Pinazo MJ, Gascón J, Muñoz C, et al. Worldwide Control and Management of Chagas Disease in a New Era of Globalization: a Close

Look at Congenital Trypanosoma cruzi Infection. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2022 Mar 594 595 3:35(2):e00152-21. 596 36. Autonomous Government of Catalonia. Protocol for screening and diagnosing 597 Chagas disease in pregnant Latin American women and their newborns. Departament Salut, Genaralitat de 2010. 598 de Catalunya: 599 http://www.gencat.cat/salut/depsalut/html/en/dir3559/chagasprot_eng.pdf 37. Consellería de Sanitat de la Generalitat Valenciana. [Control regulation of congenital 600 and perinatal infections in Valencia Community]. Regulación del control de las 601 602 infecciones congénitas y perinatales en la Comunidat Valenciana. Circular 3/2007, 8-1-8/8. 2007. http://www.sp.san.gva.es/DgspPortal/docs/CIRCULAR_3_2007.pdf. 603 Spanish. 604 38. Maldonado C, Albano S, Vettorazzi L, Salomone O, Zlocowski JC, Abiega C, et al. 605 606 Using polymerase chain reaction in early diagnosis of re-activated *Trypanosoma* 607 cruzi infection after heart transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2004 Dec 608 1;23(12):1345–1348. 39. Feilij H, Muller L, Gonzalez Cappa SM. Direct micromethod for diagnosis of acute 609 and congenital Chagas' disease. J Clin Microbiol. 1983 Aug 1:18(2):327-330. 610 40. Gomes YM, Lorena VM, Luquetti AO. Diagnosis of Chagas disease: what has been 611

achieved? What remains to be done with regard to diagnosis and follow up studies?

612

613

2009;104(1):115-121.

- 41. das Neves-Pinto AY, da Costa-Valente V, Coura JR, Aldo-da Silva Valente S,
- Verissimo-Junqueira AC, Santos LC, et al. Clinical Follow-Up of Responses to
- Treatment with Benznidazol in Amazon: A Cohort Study of Acute Chagas Disease.
- PLOS ONE. 2013 May 27;8(5):e64450.
- 42. Mora MC, Sanchez-Negrette O, Marco D, Barrio A, Ciaccio M, Segura MA, et al.
- Early diagnosis of congenital *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection using PCR, hemoculture,
- and capillary concentration, as compared with delayed serology. J Parasitol. 2005
- 621 Dec 1;91(6):1468–1473.
- 43. Umezawa ES, Shikanai-Yasuda MA, Gruber A, Pereira-Chioccola VL, Zingales B.
- Trypanosoma cruzi defined antigens in the serological evaluation of an outbreak of
- acute Chagas disease in Brazil (Catolé do Rocha, Paraíba). Mem Inst Oswaldo
- 625 Cruz. 1996 Feb;91(1):87–93.
- 44. Wincker P, Britto C, Pereira JB, Cardoso MA, Oelemann W, Morel CM. Use of a
- Simplified Polymerase Chain Reaction Procedure to Detect *Trypanosoma cruzi* in
- Blood Samples from Chronic Chagasic Patients in a Rural Endemic Area. Am J Trop
- Med Hyg. 1994 Dec 1;51(6):771–777.
- 45. Zingales B. *Trypanosoma cruzi* genetic diversity: Something new for something
- known about Chagas disease manifestations, serodiagnosis and drug sensitivity.
- Recent Adv Genet Mol Diagn Parasit Protozoa. 2018 Aug 1;184:38–52.
- 46. Del-Rei RP, Leony LM, Celedon PAF, Zanchin NIT, Reis MG dos, Gomes Y de M, et
- al. Detection of anti-*Trypanosoma cruzi* antibodies by chimeric antigens in chronic

635 Chagas disease-individuals from endemic South American countries. PLOS ONE.

- 636 2019 Apr 18;14(4):e0215623.
- 47. Blanco SB, Segura EL, Cura EN, Chuit R, Tulian L, Flores I, et al. Congenital
- transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi*: an operational outline for detecting and treating
- infected infants in north-western Argentina. Trop Med Int Health. 2000 Apr;5(4):293–
- 640 **301**.
- 48. Mallimaci MC, Sosa-Estani S, Russomando G, Sanchez Z, Sijvarger C, Alvarez IM,
- et al. Early Diagnosis of Congenital *Trypanosoma cruzi* Infection, Using Shed Acute
- Phase Antigen, in Ushuaia, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Am Soc Trop Med Hyg.
- 644 2010 Jan 1;82(1):55–59.
- 49. Piron M, Fisa R, Casamitjana N, López-Chejade P, Puig L, Vergés M, et al.
- Development of a real-time PCR assay for *Trypanosoma cruzi* detection in blood
- samples. Acta Trop. 2007 Sep 1;103(3):195–200.
- 50. Marcon GEB, Andrade PD, de Albuquerque DM, Wanderley J da S, de Almeida EA,
- Guariento ME, et al. Use of a nested polymerase chain reaction (N-PCR) to detect
- 650 Trypanosoma cruzi in blood samples from chronic chagasic patients and patients
- with doubtful serologies. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2002 May 1;43(1):39–43.
- 51. Diez M, Favaloro L, Bertolotti A, Burgos JM, Vigliano C, Lastra MP, et al. Usefulness
- of PCR Strategies For Early Diagnosis of Chagas' Disease Reactivation and
- Treatment Follow-Up in Heart Transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2007
- 655 Jun;7(6):1633–1640.

- 52. Junqueira ACV, Chiari E, Whicker P. Comparison of the polymerase chain reaction
- with two classical parasitological methods for the diagnosis of Chagas disease in an
- endemic region of north-eastern Brazil. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1996
- 659 Mar;90(2):129–132.
- 53. Pereira MB, Batista AM, Aguiar C, Marcon GEB, Martins LC, Guariento ME, et al.
- The detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* by nested-PCR in elderly patients: relationship
- to the clinical and epidemiological profile. Pathog Glob Health. 2016 Aug
- 663 17;110(6):228–232.
- 54. Ribeiro-Dos-Santos G, Nishiya AS. An improved, PCR-based strategy for the
- detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in human blood samples. Ann Trop Med Parasitol.
- 666 1999 Oct 1;93(7):689–694.
- 55. Riera C, Guarro A, Kassab HE, Jorba JM, Castro M, Angrill R, et al. Congenital
- transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Europe (Spain): a case report. Am J Trop Med
- Hyg. 2006 Dec;75(6):1078–1081.
- 56. Burgos JM, Altcheh J, Petrucelli N, Bisio M, Levin MJ, Freilij H, et al. Molecular
- diagnosis and treatment monitoring of congenital transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi*
- to twins of a triplet delivery. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2009 Sep 1;65(1):58–61.
- 57. Russomando G, Figueredo A, Almirón M, Sakamoto M, Morita K. Polymerase chain
- reaction-based detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* DNA in serum. J Clin Microbiol. 1992
- 675 Nov;30(11):2864–2868.

- 58. Weerakoon KG, McManus DP. Cell-Free DNA as a Diagnostic Tool for Human
- Parasitic Infections. Trends Parasitol. 2016 May;32(5):378–391.
- 59. Woith E, Fuhrmann G, Melzig MF. Extracellular Vesicles—Connecting Kingdoms. Int
- 679 J Mol Sci. 2019;20(22):5695.
- 680 60. Théry C, Witwer KW, Aikawa E, Alcaraz MJ, Anderson JD, Andriantsitohaina R, et
- al. Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a
- position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update
- of the MISEV2014 guidelines. J Extracell Vesicles. 2018 Dec 1;7(1):1535750.
- 61. Boukouris S, Mathivanan S. Exosomes in bodily fluids are a highly stable resource of
- disease biomarkers. PROTEOMICS Clin Appl. 2015 Apr;9(3–4):358–367.
- 686 62. Cappello F, Logozzi M, Campanella C, Bavisotto CC, Marcilla A, Properzi F, et al.
- Exosome levels in human body fluids: A tumor marker by themselves? Eur J Pharm
- 688 Sci. 2017 Jan;96:93–98.
- 689 63. Taylor DD, Gercel-Taylor C. The origin, function, and diagnostic potential of RNA
- within extracellular vesicles present in human biological fluids. Front Genet. 2013 Jul
- 691 30;4:142.
- 692 64. Vlassov AV, Magdaleno S, Setterquist R, Conrad R. Exosomes: Current knowledge
- of their composition, biological functions, and diagnostic and therapeutic potentials.
- 694 Biochim Biophys Acta BBA Gen Subj. 2012 Jul;1820(7):940–948.
- 65. Inamdar S, Nitiyanandan R, Rege K. Emerging applications of exosomes in cancer
- therapeutics and diagnostics. Bioeng Transl Med. 2017 Mar;2(1):70–80.

66. Luo R, Liu M, Yang Q, Cheng H, Yang H, Li M, et al. Emerging Diagnostic Potential

of Tumor-derived Exosomes. J Cancer. 2021;12(16):5035–5045.

699 67. Wang X, Tian L, Lu J, Ng IOL. Exosomes and cancer - Diagnostic and prognostic

biomarkers and therapeutic vehicle. Oncogenesis. 2022 Sep 15;11(1):54.

68. Cho SM, Shin S, Kim Y, Song W, Hong SG, Jeong SH, et al. A novel approach for

tuberculosis diagnosis using exosomal DNA and droplet digital PCR. Clin Microbiol

Infect. 2020 Jul;26(7):942.e1-942.e5.

704 SUPPORTING INFORMATION CAPTIONS

705 Table S1. Patients information

700

702

703

- 706 Table S2. Patients age ranges
- 707 Table S3. Distribution of origin in Bolivia in regions







