- Examining the governance arrangements for healthcare worker COVID-19 protection in 1
- 2 **Kenya: A Scoping Review**

13

- Jacob Kazungu^{1*}, Nancy N Kagwanja^{2,§}, Huihui Wang³, Jane Chuma⁴, Kenneth Munge⁴ 4
- 5 ¹ Health Economics Research Unit, Kenya Medical Research Institute-Wellcome Trust, P.O
- Box 43640-0010, Nairobi, Kenya 6
- ² Health Systems and Research Ethics Department, Kenya Medical Research Institute-7
- Wellcome Trust Research Programme, PO Box 43640, Nairobi 00100, Kenya 8
- 9 ³ The World Bank, Washington DC
- ⁴The World Bank, Kenya Country Office, Nairobi, Kenya 10
- * Corresponding Author 11
- § Joint First Author 12

Abstract

15

- Healthcare workers (HCWs) face a high risk of infection during pandemics or public health
- 17 emergencies as demonstrated in the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Understanding how
- 18 governments respond can inform public health control measures and support health
- 19 system functioning. An economic impact analysis examining HCW COVID-19 infections in
- 20 Kenya and three other countries estimated that the total economic costs related to HCW
- 21 COVID-19 infections costs and deaths in Kenya were US\$113.2 million (range US\$35.8-
- US\$246.1). We examined the governance arrangements for HCW protection during the
- 23 COVID-19 pandemic in Kenya between March 2020 and March 2021.
- 24 Governance arrangements were examined following a scoping review of 44 policy and
- 25 legislative documents and reports of HCW protection and 22 media articles. We adopted
- 26 the transparency, accountability, participation, integrity and capacity (TAPIC) governance
- 27 framework to analyse and summarize our findings into policy gaps and implementation
- 28 challenges.
- 29 Policy design gaps included inadequate provisions for emerging threats, inconsistencies
- 30 with the devolved context and inadequate structures to monitor, inform and respond to
- 31 HCW COVID-19 infections. Implementation challenges were attributed to inadequate
- 32 quantity and quality of PPE, difficulty in accessing medical care for HCWs, delays in HCW
- remuneration, insufficient infection prevention and control measures, the top-down
- 34 application of plans, difficulties in working in a decentralized context, and pre-existing
- 35 public finance management (PFM) bottlenecks.
- 36 Implementation of HCW protection during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond could
- 37 leverage the revamping of current legislation on labour relations to reflect devolved
- 38 governance and develop a broader and long-term approach to occupational health and
- 39 safety implementation that considers all HCWs. Improvements in PFM arrangements
- 40 coupled with increased investment in the health sector and attention to efficient use of
- 41 resources will also impact positively on HCW protection.

Keywords

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

COVID-19, Healthcare worker protection, TAPIC framework, Governance, Kenya

INTRODUCTION

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

Healthcare workers (HCWs) are a key component of preparedness and response to public health emergencies including pandemics. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted that HCWs are, among other things, at high risk of exposure to infection, and other impacts of pandemics, due to their role in front-line service delivery. For instance, existing evidence indicated that HCWs were over 11 times more likely to test positive for COVID-19 compared to the general population (1). In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) such as Kenya, pre-existing HCW challenges such as low staffing ratios (2), maldistribution, and general resource constraints can exacerbate these impacts (3).

As of November 30, 2021, a total of 7, 848 COVID-19 infections and 53 deaths among HCWs were reported in Kenya (4) (Figure 1). Every HCW death and infection due to COVID-19 is not only regrettable but also concerning given the economic impact related to treatment, transmission to others, absence from work by the affected HCWs, and compromised quality of care during the COVID-19 pandemic. An economic impact analysis examining HCW COVID-19 infections in Kenya and three other countries reported elsewhere estimated that the total economic costs related to HCW COVID-19 infections costs and deaths were US\$113.2 million (range US\$35.8-US\$246.1) (5). The costs of infections of HCW, secondary infections to others and indirect costs due to maternal and child deaths were 4.6%, 13.2% and 82.2% respectively. The total cost was equivalent to 2% of total health expenditure.




Figure 1: COVID-19 infection trends among HCWs as of November 30, 2021; Source: World Bank from MoH Kenya (2021), COVID-19 Outbreak in Kenya Daily Situational Report. Orange bars indicate the study period

This paper explores governance arrangements for HCW protection and implementation of COVID-19 HCW protections between March 2020 and March 2021. Specifically, we examined existing policy and legislative frameworkand implementation of HCW protection during the COVID-19 pandemic from a governance perspective. Brinkerhoff and Bossert (2008) describe governance as the rules determining the distribution and performance of roles and responsibilities among government, health service providers and beneficiaries (6). In this study, we draw on the transparency, accountability, participation, integrity and capacity (TAPIC) framework (Table 1) to examine how governance worked to achieve healthcare worker protections during the COVID-19 pandemic in Kenya (7). Specifically, we highlight the policy design gaps and implementation challenges identified across the TAPIC framework components.

Table 1: The components of the TAPIC framework (7).

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

	1			
Component	Details			
Transparency	Decisions, their grounds and their decision-makers are made clear.			
	Access to public information for citizens is made clear.			

Accountability	Process of holding individuals, agencies, and organisations (public,				
	private, and civil society) responsible for executing their powers.				
Participation	Engagement of affected parties/interests in decision-making				
Integrity	Good public management such as meritocratic hiring, clear				
	performance, standards, and clear organizational missions				
Capacity	A mix of technical skills and networks, across governments and among				
	experts and civil society. Also refers to the ability to formulate				
	evidence-based and politically sensible recommendations for health				

Key terms used in this paper are summarised in Box 1:

- **Employer:** Any public body including national and county governments, and their agencies, or private organisation which has entered a contract of service to employ healthcare workers.
- **Healthcare worker (HCW):** Everyone working in the healthcare sector and involved in the delivery of healthcare in any capacity, which includes but is not limited to doctors, nurses, laboratory staff, nutritionists, hospital cleaners, ambulance drivers, and administrative staff who support health service delivery.
- Healthcare worker protection: All the measures to promote the safety, welfare, and well-being of healthcare workers, and includes the ordinary basic or minimum wage or pay and emoluments payable, directly, or indirectly whether cash or in kind, by an employer to an employee arising out of the employment of that employee, medical benefits, provision of personal protective equipment (PPE) and a safe working environment. These protections are those that should be in place including during the COVID-19 pandemic and are drawn from Kenyan legislation and the guidelines on COVID-19 by WHO and ILO.

Box 1: Summary of key terms

METHODS

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

We conducted a scoping review of the governance arrangements and implementation of HCW protections for the COVID-19 response in Kenya. The documents related to proposed plans, reports and implementation of HCW protection were restricted to the period between March 2020 and March 2021 given the first officially reported case of COVID-19 in Kenya was recorded on March 13, 2020. However, we referred to documents published before March 2020 to examine existing governance arrangements for HCW protection before COVID-19.

Scoping Review

To describe and analyse the Kenyan governance context for the protection of healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic, we conducted a scoping review guided by the following questions:

- 1. What are the laws, policies and mechanisms that govern the protection of health workers in Kenya?
- 2. What are the roles and responsibilities of different levels of government and other institutions/stakeholders?
- 3. What are the decision-making, funding, oversight, monitoring and evaluation processes in place for HCW protection?
- 4. How and what provisions were made to enhance the protection of health workers during the COVID-19 pandemic?
 - 5. To what extent did these provisions meet the needs of health workers during the COVID-19 pandemic, viewed through the lens of the TAPIC framework?

Search strategy

- We conducted purposive searches for articles and documents relevant to healthcare worker protection in two iterative phases (Table 2 summarises the search terms applied):
 - i) A search of the websites of various institutions to identify legislation, policy and guidelines on healthcare worker protection. These institutions included the Ministry of Health (MoH), Ministry of Labour and Social Protection (MoLSP), county government websites, World Health Organization (WHO), research institutions (e.g., Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI)), civil society groups (e.g., the Kenya Legal and Ethical Issues Network (KELIN)), and HCW unions and associations. The same websites were searched for reports of incidents related to COVID-19 exposure among HCWs (for example infection rates, deaths, stigma) and practices of HCW protection during the COVID-19 pandemic.
 - ii) A search of media articles reporting on proposed and implemented HCW protection measures and on issues influencing the implementation of HCW protections during the COVID-19 pandemic period. This search was conducted on Google and the following search terms were applied.

Table 2: Search terms applied

Term	Protection	Health care worker
Outbreak	Variants combined by	Variants combined by OR
Variants combined by OR	OR	
COVID-19, coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2	Protection,	Health provider, nurse*
pandemic, emergency, outbreak,	Intervention*,	doctor*, clinician*,
epidemic	Infection, stress, burn-	healthcare staff,
	out, support, safety,	healthcare provider*
	mental health	

Inclusion criteria

138

139

140

141

142

143

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

154 155

156

157

160

- The following inclusion criteria were applied to the documents and articles. 144
 - 1. Kenyan legislation and policy documents related to healthcare worker protection.
 - 2. Reports, guidelines, and journal articles related to healthcare worker protection during the COVID-19 pandemic response were published/released between March 2020 (when COVID-19 was declared a global health threat and Kenya reported her first case) and March 2021.
 - 3. Media articles were included in the review if they were published between March 2020 and March 2021.
- Overall, 44 policy documents (legislation, public sector and health sector policy, COVID-19 152 related guidelines and reports) and 22 media articles were included in this review. 153

RESULTS

Governance of HCW Protections

- Role of national and county governments in HCWs protections
- HCW protection in Kenya is enshrined in the Constitution, specific legislation, regulations 158
- and guidance (Table 3). 159
- Table 3: Provision for HCW protection drawn from legislation and policies. 161

			,	
Legislation	Provision for HC\	N protection		

Tl	Autilian (F and an all autilia to fair labor and action Theory
The Constitution, 2010	Article 41: 'Every person has the right to fair labour practices. These include the right to; fair remuneration, reasonable working conditions, join and participating in trade union activities (except the Police and Defence Forces) which includes participating in a lawful strike (Article 41) (8).
Employment Act, 2007	Requires employers (both public and private sectors), to provide medical treatment for employees including transport to and from the hospital at the employer's cost unless provided free by the Government (Section 46((1); Protects employees against dismissal for participating in trade union activities including strikes (46) (d)(i) (9).
Work Injury Benefits Act, 2007	Requires public and private sector employers to obtain and maintain an insurance policy, to cover any liability that the employer may incur under this Act to any of his employees; (Section 38 (1) Confers an employee with entitlements to compensation if the employee contracts a disease that arose out of and during the employee's employment (Section 38(b)
Occupational Safety and Health Act, 2007	Requires: -Occupiers to ensure the safety, health, and welfare at work of all persons working in their workplace. (Section 6) -Employer to maintain a register of all occupational diseases/injuries (Section 21 (2) -Employer to provide protective personal equipment at the workplace -Medical surveillance which includes planned periodic examination of employees, through clinical examination or medical tests of employees at the start, during and at the exit of employment (Section 2).
County Public Service and Human Resource Manual, 2013.	-Identifies the county government as an employer with the responsibility to provide medical cover to an employee and his family (pg. 48) -Establishes a consultative committee consisting of up to three members from the County Government and three members from employees' unions. The main functions of the Committee are to provide a platform for negotiation and secure the greatest cooperation between employers and employees (pg. 60) -Chief Officer has a responsibility to ensure a safe working environment, provision of PPE to those working in hazardous working environments (pg. 63) -Provision to make claims for workplace injuries and diseases acquired in the course of employment (pg. 64)
Public Service and Human Resources Manual, 2016.	-Has provision for medical benefits for government employees and their dependents including medical ex-gratia assistance, where an employee exceeds in-patient medical cover (pg. 58-59) -Requires all state departments and their agencies to have a health and safety committee (pg. 96)

	-Requires employees to be provided with personal protective				
	equipment (pg. 98);				
	-Highlights compensation for occupational disease, injury or death				
	arising out of the course of employment (pg. 98)				
	-Identifies the National Treasury as the source of funds for				
	compensation for work injuries and occupational diseases. (pp 101)				
Healthcare	-Establishes technical teams to guide Healthcare waste management				
Waste	to national and county governments (pg. 34)				
Management	-Identifies facility level (Infection Prevention and Control (IPC)				
Strategic Plan	committees as the structures that guide Healthcare waste				
2015-2020	management (pg. 20)				

Document reviews suggested that both the Public Service and Human Resource Policies and Procedures Manual (10) and the County Public Service and Human Resource Manual (11) are in use for the management of public sector healthcare workers at the national and county level respectively (12, 13). However, the county-level guidance did not address key Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) structures and processes such as OSH Committees at workplaces; funding sources, or funds and processes for accessing employee medical benefits even though these are included in the national level guidance (11, 14).

In practice, governance arrangements for HCW protection are shared by the national and county governments (Figure 2). Our review also highlighted an important role for non-state actors including labour unions, civil society organizations, and the media.




Figure 2: Governance architecture for HRH protection Source: World Bank

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

At the national level, the Public Service Commission (PSC) has delegated the role of Human Resources for Health (HRH) management to the MoH's Directorate of Human Resources (15). The Directorate is responsible for the development of HRH policies and capacity building of counties for HRH management. MoH's semi-autonomous agencies such as national referral hospitals have human resource management units within their organizational structures. At the county level, HRH management is a joint responsibility of the County Public Services Board (CPSB) and the County Department of Health (CDoH). The CPSB has overall

oversight and management of all the county public service employees (11). The county executive committee (CEC) member for health heads the CDOH and is responsible for the management and coordination of the county administration and its departments including the development and implementation of county policies. Every department including the County Department of Health (CDoH) has a Chief Officer who reports upwards to the CEC and Governor and is responsible for efficient management, administration and utilization of resources and public funds. The Chief Officer works closely with the County Director of Health and the County Health Management Team (CHMT) which comprises technical managers who provide support supervision and management for the sub-county levels and the Sub-County Health Management Team (SCHMT). Some counties have an HRH unit in keeping with MoH recommendations (16) whose function is to provide input on salary and benefits administration, be responsible for county-level HRH record management, disseminate HR policies and guidelines for the health sector, manage disputes between healthcare workers and county governments and ensure that healthcare workers have a safe, clean, healthy working environment (17). The unit is headed by an HRH manager who reports to the Chief Officer of Health.

Private sector HCW protections are provided through national legislation and regulation, and internal HR policies of the respective private sector entity. Additional oversight is through the Ministry of Labour and Social Protections' (MoLSP) Directorate of Occupational Safety and Health Services (DOSHS). MoH guidance on staff qualifications

and requirements for the performance of certain services or procedures also influence HWC protections in the private sector. Government measures enacted towards HCW protections The government adopted several measures to ensure healthcare worker protection during the COVID-19 pandemic. We classified these using the lens of the TAPIC framework and identified their influence on HCW protection (Table 4).

207

208

209

210

211

212

Table 4: Summary of analysis of government interventions related to HCW protections

Table 4. Summary of analysis of governme		Influence on HCW protections	
Measure by GoK	TAPIC Governance Domain(s)	Positive influence	Negative Influence
Publication of the Kenya COVID-19 Contingency Plan & Executive Order No 2 of 2020: The contingency plan contained costed measures for HCW protections (e.g. training of HCWs, PPE procurement) (18) and established the National Emergency Response Committee (NERC) whose roles included co-ordination of the COVID-19 response (19).	Capacity	The potential positive influence of training was eroded by the inaccessibility of PPE in the early phases of the response (20).	Remuneration concerns including delays in regular salaries negatively influenced HCW worker protections and resulted in industrial action in several counties which increased the risk of absenteeism (20).
 Publication of various MoH Kenya COVID-19 guidelines with provisions for: HCWs' right to remove themselves from dangerous work situations, for example, working with inadequate PPE (21) Employers' responsibilities to provide, information and training on OSH and adequate IPC and PPE (22, 23); a blame-free environment for reporting incidents (22, 23); compensation during quarantine (23); separate isolation for COVID-19 infected HCWs(23); psychosocial support, insurance and support for female HCWs to focus on service delivery(21, 24) 	Capacity	The guidelines had a positive influence on HCW protections by providing a framework for use by public and private sector employers and employees.	In practice, the value of the guidelines was undermined by operational bottlenecks such as inconsistency in the availability of PPE and failure to provide medical benefits to infected staff (25-28)
Centralised procurement and distribution of PPE by the national government:	Transparency Accountability Integrity Capacity	The procured PPE should have provided additional protection for HCWs	There were gaps in the availability and quality of PPE provided linked to emergency procurement arrangements of PPE by the Kenya Medical Supplies Agency (KEMSA) confirmed by an audit of KEMSA ordered by the Senate (29) and the National Assembly Health Committee (20, 30).

		Influence on HCW protections	
Measure by GoK	TAPIC Governance Domain(s)		
		Positive influence	Negative Influence
Recruitment and distribution to county governments of additional HCWs Kshs 1 billion was allocated for the recruitment of HCWs by the national government (31).	Capacity	The recruitment of an additional 6,203 HCWs likely positively influenced HCW protections by providing much-needed support for severely stretched staff (31).	
Resource mobilization and allocation for county-level activities. The National Assembly approved Kshs 23.4 billion (Kshs 16.7 billion from government revenue, Kshs 6.7 billion from external partners) in funding for the COVID-19 response(20). The government also received donations of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) from private companies and international organisations (20)	Capacity Accountability Integrity	Increased availability of financial resources and resources in kind positively influenced HCW protections. Donated PPE was critical to improving HCW protections (32).	Despite early resource mobilisation, long-standing financial flow constraints between the national and county level (33, 34), delays in the revision of county budgets and establishment of emergency funds (34) hampered the capacity and integrity of the COVID-19 response at the county level. This resulted in delayed training for frontline HCWs, salary delays for contracted HCWs, and inequitable distribution of allowances (30).
Availability of daily situation reports the COVID- 19 contingency plan (18) and periodic media updates on the COVID-19 pandemic.	Capacity Transparency Accountability Integrity		Official MoH information on HCW infections remained publicly unavailable until January 2021 despite media reports of HCW COVID-19-related deaths (35), making it difficult to hold actors accountable for implementing HCW protections and likely negatively impacting HCW protections.

	TAPIC Governance Domain(s)	Influence on HCW protections	
Measure by GoK		Positive influence	Negative Influence
Oversight by parliamentary committees: The Senate and National Assembly) utilised existing and ad hoc mechanisms to provide oversight of the implementation of the COVID-19 response (20, 30).	Accountability Integrity Participation	Improving participation and ensuring accountability e.g., through the invitation of MoH, HCW associations and trade unions to hearings by the Ad Hoc Senate Committee on COVID-19 (30) and National Assembly Departmental Health Committee (20) likely enhanced HCW protections.	Weaknesses in enforcing and monitoring accountability, particularly at the county level may have undermined HCW protection (36, 37).
Leveraging existing health facility IPC committees: In several public hospitals, existing IPC committees and coordinators were incorporated in addressing IPC issues as part of the COVID-19 response(38).	Capacity Integrity Participation	Increased resources for IPC activities positively influenced capacity and HCW participation by improving the functionality of IPC committees.	Several issues remained inadequately addressed e.g. PPE supply, long-standing infrastructural issues (e.g. frequent breakages and leaks of taps and pipes affecting water supply), and waste management challenges (38).
Leveraging OSH structures at the county and facility level. The organisational structure for ensuring HCWs' protections included the County OSH focal person, HRH manager and Chief Officer in the CDoH, and the CPSB, (11, 15, 39).	Accountability Integrity Capacity	Improved OSH capacity and accountability with the formation of OSH focal persons at the county level (11, 15, 39).	Significant gaps in the definition of and access to medical benefits resulting from COVID-19 infection coupled with salary delays worsened HCW protections by hampering prevention measures (e.g., PPE availability and workplace changes) and limiting access to healthcare services (35, 40, 41).
Establishment of a call centre to provide psychosocial support for frontline HCWs, by the Ministry of ICT with the support of the private sector (42, 43).	Capacity Transparency	The call centre would have had a positive influence on HCW's well-being but there is little information available on its functioning.	-

Key: CDoH-County Department of Health; CPSB-County Public Service Board; CSO-Civil Society Organisations; HCWs-Health Care Workers,
HR-Human Resource; KMPDU-Kenya Medical Practitioners and Dentists Union; ICT-Information, Communication and Technology; IPCInfection Prevention and Control, MoH-Ministry of Health, NHIF-National Hospital Insurance Fund, OSH-Occupational Safety and Health

Experiences of HCWs in the private sector

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

An analysis of the reviewed documents provided little information on the experiences of HCWs in the private sector. Few private facilities, mainly large private hospitals within urban areas, provided COVID-19 care and treatment at the time of analysis (44). The limited engagement of private facilities in managing COVID-19 patients was linked to inadequate PPE and supplies, and an expectation that all suspected COVID-19 would be referred to public health facilities (44). Despite the lack of attention to the experiences of HCWs in the private sector, data from the KELIN studies suggest that in the early days of the COVID-19 response, there was low preparedness and responsiveness to HCW infections across both public and private sectors (26-28). These findings are however limited by the fact only 16% of 601 clinical officers, 17% of 155 nurses and 6% of 85 doctors (medical officers, pharmacists and dentists) surveyed worked in the private sector.

Role of non-state actors

As early as April 2020, two healthcare worker unions, Kenya National Union of Nurses (KNUN) and Kenya Medical Practitioners, Pharmacists and Dentists Union (KMPDU) highlighted the inadequacy of PPE and lack of isolation centres for HCWs as key gaps in HCW protections (25). Professional associations such as the Kenya Medical Association (KMA), Kenya Dentists Association (KDA) and National Nurses Association of Kenya (NNAK) also issued recommendations for employers to ensure PPE provision, withdraw vulnerable HCWs from frontline roles, and advised HCWs of their right to decline to attend to suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases if PPE was inadequate (45-47).

Civil society groups also played a prominent role in supporting the effective governance of HCW protections. Studies conducted in April 2020 by KELIN working with NNAK, KUCO and KMPDU demonstrated low levels of preparedness and response to HCW protections across various domains in both public and private sectors (26-28). The studies found that between 81-93% of HCWs lacked work injuries benefit cover; 72-82% had re-used PPE and 82-95% wanted psychosocial support.

The media also raised transparency and accountability questions through reports on the disappearance of donated PPE and other commodities (32), and poor reporting and under-

utilisation of COVID-19 funds at the county level (48, 49). Increasing HCW agitation triggered by increasing COVID-19 infections and related deaths and perceived unresponsiveness of public employers escalated to county-level HCW strikes (50) and later to nationwide HCW strikes in December 2020 (35, 40, 41, 51, 52).

DISCUSSION

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

This paper presents evidence of the governance arrangements for HCW protection in Kenya covering the first three waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in Kenya. Our review of governance capacities using the TAPIC framework found gaps in the design and implementation of measures to ensure HCW protection during the COVID-19 pandemic. These gaps likely contributed to the reported COVID-19 infection rates among HCWs that could have been avoidable.

Our analysis of the governance arrangements of HCW protections highlighted significant design gaps. Legislation concerned with employee protections did not align with decentralization arrangements, the evolution of workplaces away from industries, or the reality of emerging infectious diseases and public health emergencies (53-55). More recent policy documents, such as the MOH guidelines for OSH and National OSH policy have tried to catch up with decentralisation by including county governments as complementary actors in the delivery of HCW protection (39, 56). However, the translation of this policy to practice is constrained by insufficient monitoring and few active county OSH officers (57). Further, exposure to COVID-19 was identified as an occupational hazard for HCWs (58), a positive step in enhancing transparency and accountability during the COVID-19 pandemic, and in OSH practice for HCWs more broadly.

We also identified challenges in the implementation of HCW protections. We identified (i) fragmentation of responsibilities for OSH across multiple departments and levels of government (59, 60); (ii) inadequate operationalization of key provisions such as a medical scheme for employees; and (iii) insufficient surveillance and monitoring of OSH hazards and injuries among HCWs. Challenges with reporting of HCW OSH have been

reported in other settings and there has been a call to countries to not only track HCW infections, deaths and other related occupational hazards, but also to make such information publicly accessible (61, 62). The broader challenge of HCW information management has implications for preparedness and response. Poor information management hampered response in Kenya and other settings with challenges in confirming access to PPE (63). Better management of information would support the real-time implementation of response measures such as increasing access to PPE, supporting effective resource reallocation, and supporting the rapid investigation of measures such as vaccination as demonstrated in the current pandemic (64). Several pre-pandemic contextual factors may also have contributed to the observed shortcomings in HCW protections. Kenya scored poorly on the coordination of public health emergency preparedness and response in the Joint Inspection Report on International Health Regulations Conducted in 2015 (65). Coordination challenges between national and county-level health system actors (66, 67) may also have exacerbated the usual difficulties of top-down implementation approaches such as poor information flow and incentive misalignment (68, 69). Chronic underinvestment (70) and inefficiencies (71) in the health sector also meant that the system was less well-prepared to respond to increased demands for HCW protection. This is despite recent assessments showing the value of an investment in HCW protection through measures such as PPE (63). The establishment of the National Public Health Institute to coordinate public health

Study limitations and Strengths

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

Our findings should be interpreted in light of the following limitations. First, the scoping review was limited by a scarcity of documents that adopted a broad definition of HCWs. The majority of the reviewed study documents focused on three cadres (nurses, clinical officers, and doctors) with little attention to other cadres and non-clinical staff. Second, few documents reported on HCW experiences in the private sector even though the private sector accounts for approximately 50% of health service provision in Kenya (72) (and therefore employs a significant proportion of HCWs). Third, interviews with key

emergency preparedness and response should go some way in bridging this gap (63).

informants could have complemented the findings from the study. Despite these limitations, the article has several strengths. First, it explored legislation and policy guidelines and considered proposed policy with findings from government reports and media accounts. This broad-based review enriches the body of knowledge on HCW protection status and needs. Second, the application of a TAPIC framework allowed for an objective discussion of policy and practice and has enabled reflection on the extent to which HCW protections proposed and implemented during the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic met HCW's needs.

CONCLUSIONS

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

339

340

341 342 The study revealed how implementation factors such as capacity, transparency, and accountability gaps interacted with policy design gaps to undermine HCW protection. Existing laws and policies promoting HCW protections are a strength that Kenya can build on for greater responsiveness to HCWs' protections even beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. Consequently, it is paramount to (i) update relevant labour relations and OSH legislation and policies to reflect devolved governance and identify infectious diseases as workplace hazards for HCWs; (ii) enhance the functionality of operational structures for HCW occupational safety and health during emergencies specifically through legal and administrative provisions for national-level coordination and implementation; (iii) strengthen information management around HCW safety and health including a broader definition of HCWs and a broader view of health including mental health; (iv) develop a plan to institutionalize financial and health system responsiveness during public health emergencies e.g., to account for fast-tracked procurement procedures or funds flows; and (v) develop a costed plan for the preparedness and response measures for HCW protection during public health emergencies e.g., to account for access to PPE and emerging measures such as COVID-19 vaccination.

Funding

Funding for the analysis came from the World Bank Kenya. The funders had no role in the study design, analysis, interpretation of the data, the decision to publish, or preparation of the. manuscript

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. 344

Ethics 346

343

345

Not applicable. The study utilised secondary sources of data. 347

REFERENCES

- 356 Nguyen LH, Drew DA, Graham MS, Joshi AD, Guo C-G, Ma W, et al. Risk of COVID-19 among 357 front-line health-care workers and the general community: a prospective cohort study. The Lancet 358 Public Health. 2020;5(9):e475-e83.
- 359 2. WHO. The 2018 Update: World Health Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2018.
- 360 Chersich MF, Gray G, Fairlie L, Eichbaum Q, Mayhew S, Allwood B, et al. COVID-19 in Africa: 3. 361 care and protection for healthcare workers. Globalisation and Health. 2020;16(46).
- MoH. COVID-19 Daily Situation Report, April 17, 2021. Nairiobi: Ministry of Health; 2021. 362
- 363 5. Huihui Wang WZ, Kenneth Munge Kabubei, Jennifer Rasanathan, Jacob Kazungu,, Sandile
- 364 Ginindza SM, Luis Ernesto Salinas, Amanda McClelland, Marine, Buissonniere CL, Jane Chuma, Jeremy
- 365 Veillard, Thulani Matsebula, Mickey Chopra. The economic burden of COVID-19 infections amongst
- 366 health care workers in the first year of the pandemic in Kenya, Colombia, Eswatini, and South Africa.
- 367 2023.
- Brinkerhoff DW, Bossert TJ. Health governance: concepts, experience, and programming 368 6. options. Bethesda: Health Systems. 2008;20:20. 369
- 370 Greer SL, Wismar M, Kosinska M, Organization WH. Towards intersectoral governance:
- 371 lessons learned from health system governance. Public Health Panorama. 2015;1(02):128-32.
- 372 8. Kenya LO. The constitution of Kenya: 2010: Chief Registrar of the Judiciary; 2013.
- 373 9. GoK. The Employment Act, 2007. 2007.
- 10. 374 PSC. Human Resource Policies and Procedures Manual for the Public Service. Nairobi Kenya:
- 375 Public Service Commission; 2016.
- 376 11. PSC. County Public Service Human Resource Manual Nairobi: Public Service Commission of
- 377 Kenya; 2013 [
- 378 12. MoH. Kenya's Human Resources for Health Commitments at the 2013 Third Global HRH Forum
- 379 in Brazil. Nairobi: Ministry of Health; 2013.
- 380 Muriuki J, Thuku M, Ndolo M, Muraguri N, Njoroge D, Mulwa A, et al. Kenya Makes Progress
- 381 Towards HRH Commitments in a Devolved System. Washington DC: Intrahealth Institution and
- 382 Ministry of Health, Kenya; 2015.
- 383 14. PSC. Human Resources Policies and Procedure Manual for the Public Service. Nairobi, Kenya:
- 384 Public Service Commission; 2016 1 May 2016.
- 385 MoH. Devolved HRM Policy Guidelines on Human Resources for Health Nairobi, Kenya:
- 386 Ministry of Health; 2015 [updated February.
- 387 MoH. Devolved Human Resource Management Guidelines on Human Resources for Health.
- 388 Nairobi: Ministry of Health; 2015.
- 389 17. Thuku MK, Muriuki J, Ummuro A, Oyucho L, Nelson D. Coordinating health workforce
- 390 management in a devolved context: lessons from Kenya. Huamn Resources for Health. 2020;18.

- 391 18. MoH. National 2019 Novel Coronavirus Contingency (Readiness and Early Response) Plan
- Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Health; 2020 [updated January.
- 393 19. GoK. Executive Order No 2 of 2020. Nairobi: Government of Kenya; 2020.
- 394 20. Parliament. Report on the Inquiry into Utilisation of COVID-19 funds by MoH, Nairobi:
- Parliament, The National Assembly; 2020 [updated October 1st. Departmental Committee on Health 396].
- 397 21. WHO. Health workforce policy and management in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic
- response Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2020 [updated December 3rd.
- 399 22. WHO. World Health Organization interim guidance, Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak:
- 400 rights, roles and responsibilities of health workers, including key considerations for occupational
- safety and health Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2020 [updated March 18th.
- 402 23. MoH. HRH protocol for the COVID-19 response Nairobi: Ministry of Health; 2020 [updated 403 April 30.
- 404 24. MoH. COVID-19: Occupational health and safety for health workers Geneva: World Health
- 405 Organisation; 2021 [updated February 2.
- 406 25. Wasike A. Nurses risking lives in COVID-19 battle, Local unions decry lack of protective
- equipment, safety measures for health workers Nairobi: AA news; 2020 [updated April 8th.
- 408 26. KELIN K. Monitoring Report on the Level of Preparendess of Healthcare Workers (Clinical
- 409 Officers) To COVID-19,. Nairobi: KELIN; 2020.
- 410 27. KELIN K. Monitoring Report on the Level of Preparendess of Healthcare Workers (KMPDU
- 411 members) To COVID-19,. Nairobi: KELIN; 2020.
- 412 28. KELIN N. Monitoring Report on the Level of Preparendess of Healthcare Workers (Nurses) To
- 413 COVID-19. Nairobi: KELIN; 2020.
- 414 29. TI. Tracking COVID-19: A report on Transparency and Accountability in Government's
- 415 Response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Nairobi: Transparency International; 2020.
- 416 30. Parliament. Third Progress Report of the Senate Ad Hoc Committee on COVID-19. Nairobi: Ad
- 417 Hoc Committee on COVID-19; 2020.
- 418 31. Transparency Internationalx. Tracking COVID-19: A report on Transparency and Accountability
- in Government's Response to the COVID-19 pandemic. 2020.
- 420 32. EastAfrican T. Scanty information on Jack Ma's Covid-19 donations to Kenya Nairobi: NMG;
- 421 2020 [updated June 20th; cited 2020 June 20]. Available from:
- 422 https://www.theeastafrican.co.ke/tea/news/east-africa/scanty-information-on-jack-ma-s-covid-19-
- 423 donations-to-kenya-1443560.
- 424 33. Budget Co. County Governments Budget Implementation Review Report For the FY
- 425 2019/2020. Nairobi, Kenya: Office of the Controller of Budget; 2020.
- 426 34. Thinkwell G. COVID-19 May 2020 Kenya Update. Nairobi: Think Well Global; 2020.
- 427 35. VoA N. Kenya Buries First Doctor to Succumb to COVID-19 Nairobi: VoA News; 2020 [cited
- 428 2020 July 14]. Available from: https://www.voanews.com/a/covid-19-pandemic kenya-buries-first-
- 429 doctor-succumb-covid-19/6192728.html.
- 430 36. Kijilwa G. Nurses, clinicians left out as doctors sign deal to end strike Nairobi: The Standard;
- 431 2020 [updated December 24.
- 432 37. MoH. Remarks by the CS, Ministry of Health on Return to Work Formula with KUCO
- 433 Nairobi2021 [updated January 01.
- 434 38. Michuki M, Auguet-Tosas O, English M, Schultz C, McKnight J. Infection prevention and
- control during the COVID-19 pandemic: challenges and opportunities for Kenyan public hospitals.
- 436 Wellcome Open Research. 2020.
- 437 39. MoH. Occupational Safety and Health Guidelines for the Health Sector. Nairobi: Ministry of
- 438 Health; 2014.
- 439 40. Kajilwa G. MP sobs over doctors' plight as committee summons Health CS Nairobi2020
- 440 [updated November 19th.

- 441 41. Yusuf M. Kenyans doctors strike over pay and working conditions Nairobi: VoA News; 2020
- 442 [updated December 6th
- 443 42. Ben-hur J. Health Care workers to receive psychosocial support Nairobi: The Standard; 2020
- 444 [updated June 25th.
- 445 43. MoH. CS ICT launches Covid-19 call centre for health care workers Nairobi: Ministry of Health;
- 2020 [updated June 25; cited 2020 June 25]. Available from: https://www.health.go.ke/cs-ict-
- 447 <u>launches-covid-19-call-centre-for-health-care-workers/.</u>
- 448 44. Collaborative H. Private Sector Engagement in the COVID-19 Response: Kenya Country
- Experience: World Health Organisation Private Health Sector Engagement for COVID-19 Initiative;
- 450 2020 [Available from: https://hsgovcollab.org/en/node/4511.
- 451 45. KMA. KMA COVID-19 Response Advisory Committee (CRAC) Meeting Resolution Nairobi2020
- 452 [updated April 11. Available from: https://kma.co.ke/images/2020/CRAC 1 RESOLUTION 26-8-
- 453 <u>20 ii.pdf</u>.
- 454 46. KDA. COVID-19 Kenya Dentists Association Recommendation Nairobi: Kenya Dental
- 455 Association; 2020 [updated March 23; cited 2020 March 23]. Available from:
- 456 https://kma.co.ke/Documents/COVID-
- 457 <u>19%20KDA%20Recommendation%2023rd%20March%20(2).pdf.</u>
- 458 47. Nyarangi E, Abuga E, Omoro J. Plea for pregnant frontline workers as nurse is buried: The
- 459 Standard; 2020 [updated August 08.
- 460 48. Otieno J. Irony of Covid-19 billions lying idle in counties Nairobi: The Star; 2020 [updated
- 461 August 28.
- 462 49. Oketch A. Medics suffer as PPEs waste away Nairobi: Nation Media Group; 2020 [updated
- 463 November 29th.
- 464 50. Obulutsa G, Ratner B. Doctors strike in Nairobi over pay, lack of COVID protection Nairobi:
- 465 Reuters; 2020 [updated August 21st.
- 466 51. BBC N. Kenya doctors' fury over COVID-19 deaths Nairobi, Kenya: BBC News; 2020 [updated
- November 16th; cited 2020 November 16]. Available from: https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-
- 468 54960146.
- 469 52. Ben-hur J. Nurses issue two-week strike notice Nairobi: The Standard Health; 2020 [updated
- 470 November 24.
- 471 53. ILO. Ebola Virus Disease: Occupational Safety and Health Joint WHO/ILO briefing note for
- workers and employees. Geneva, Switizerland: International Labour Organisation; 2014.
- 473 54. ILO. SARS: Practical and administrative responses to an infectious disease. Geneva,
- 474 Switzerland: International Labour Organization; 2009.
- 475 55. ILO. ILO Standards and COVID-19 (coronavirus) Geneva: International Labour Organisation;
- 476 2020 [updated May 29.
- 477 56. Ministry L. National Occupational Safety and Health Policy. Nairobi: Ministry of Labour; 2012.
- 478 57. ILO. National Profile on Occupational Safety and Health, Kenya. Geneva, Switzerland:
- 479 International Labour Organisation; 2013.
- 480 58. MoH. Kenya COVID-19 Emergency Response Project: Labour management procedures.
- 481 Nairobi: MoH; 2021.
- 482 59. Ncube F, Kanda A. Current Status and the Future of Occupational Safety and Health Legislation
- in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. Safety and Health At Work. 2018;9(4):365-71.
- 484 60. Moyo D, Zungu M, Kgalamono S, Mwila CD. Review of occupational health and safety
- organisation in expanding economies. Annals of Global Health. 2015;81(4):495-502.
- 486 61. Amnesty I. Exposed, Silenced and Attacked: Failures to protect Health and Essential Workers
- During the COVID-19 Pandemic. London: Amnesty International; 2020.
- 488 62. ICN. More than 600 nurses die from COVID-19 worldwide: International Council of Nurses;
- 489 2020 [updated June 3rd. Available from: https://www.icn.ch/news/more-600-nurses-die-covid-19-
- 490 <u>worldwide</u>.

- 491 63. Kazungu J, Munge K, Werner K, Risko N, Vecino-Ortiz Al, Were V. Examining the cost-
- 492 effectiveness of personal protective equipment for formal healthcare workers in Kenya during the
- 493 COVID-19 pandemic. BMC health services research. 2021;21(1):1-7.
- 494 64. Orangi S, Ojal J, Brand SP, Orlendo C, Kairu A, Aziza R, et al. Epidemiological impact and cost-
- 495 effectiveness analysis of COVID-19 vaccination in Kenya. medRxiv. 2022.
- 496 Talisuna A, Yahaya AA, Rajatonirina SC, Stephen M, Oke A, Mpairwe A, et al. Joint external
- 497 evaluation of the International Health Regulation (2005) capacities: current status and lessons learnt
- 498 in the WHO African region. BMJ Global Health. 2019;4(6):e001312.
- 499 Tsofa B, Molyneux S, Gilson L, Goodman C. How does decentralisation affect health sector 66.
- 500 planning and financial management? a case study of early effects of devolution in Kilifi County, Kenya.
- 501 International Journal of Equity in Health. 2017;16(151).

- 502 Barasa Edwine MA, Molyneux Sasst, Tsofa Benjamin. Recentralization with decentralization:
- 503 county hospital autonomy under devolution in Kenya. Plos One. 2017;12(8).
- 504 Hudson B, Hunter D, Peckham S. Policy failure and the policy-implementation gap: can policy 68.
- 505 support programs help? Policy Design and Practice. 2019;2(1):1-14.
- 506 69. NAO. Over-Optimism in Government Projects. London; 2013.
- 507 70. MoH. Kenya National Health Accounts 2015/2016. Nairobi: MoH; 2019.
- 508 71. Mulaki A, Muchiri S. Kenya Health System Assessment. Washington DC: Palladium; 2019.
- 509 72. Barnes J, O'Hanlon B, Feeley F, McKeon K, Gitonga N, Decker K. Private Health Sector
- 510 Assessment in Kenya. World Bank Working Paper No 193. Washington DC: World Bank; 2010.