# Has the COVID-19 pandemic ended or not? opinions from the public in the U.S.

Yong Yang 1\*

Yong Yang, Ph.D., Associate Professor 203 Robison Hall, School of Public Health, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, 38152 Yyang15@memphis.edu

Telephone: 901-678-5018, Fax: 901-678-0172

## Keywords

COVID-19 pandemic, emergency declaration, the public, opinion, associated factors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PhD, School of Public Health, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, 38152

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author:

### **Abstract**

Recently President Joe Biden announced the end to the COVID-19 pandemic in the US but some scientists expressed different opinions. This study aimed to examine the view of the end of the COVID-19 pandemic among the public. Data were collected in September 2022 from an online crowdsourcing platform, and respondents answered if they believed that the pandemic has ended in the United States or not. Logistic regressions were used to estimate the likelihood of agreeing on the end of the pandemic, adjusted by demographics and several related variables. Among 2983 respondents, 78.1% believed that the COVID-19 pandemic had ended, and the percentage decreased to 66.5% after adding weights. Males, younger adults, Hispanics, those with higher levels of educational attainment, those with middle levels of household income, those living in suburban or rural areas, and those living in states that voted for the Republican party in the 2020 Presidential Election were more likely to believe that the pandemic had ended, compared with their counterparts. With about one-third of Americans did not agree that the pandemic had ended and marked demographical and geographical differences, the timing and the way of the pandemic end announcement should be deliberately cautious.

## Introduction

After longer than two and half years [1], with more than 6.5 million lives being claimed, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic may "end in sight" [2]. On September 18, 2022, President Joe Biden announced an end to the COVID-19 pandemic in the US [3]. However, scientists expressed different opinions [4], and some believed that the President's announcement was premature and harmful to the country's effort to control COVID-19 [5].

Pandemic, epidemic, and endemic are distinct in theory, however, there are no quantifiable and standard definitions of these terms [4]. The transition from pandemic to epidemic and endemic is a continuous process and the end of a pandemic is subjective to people's judgment. We argue that most people would agree that a pandemic ends when the disease is under control and cannot disrupt our lives. Here, influenza could be a comparable case. When the risk to health and disruption to daily life from COVID-19 are perceived to be at a similar level to influenza, we may call an end to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Whether the pandemic has ended or not is important because many health, social, and economic policies and regulations could be influenced by the end announcement to some degree. One example is the ongoing COVID-19 public health emergency declaration that has implications for not only COVID-19-related treatments but also many other healthcare services. At the individual level, the end announcement could influence health behaviors such as social distancing, mask-wearing, vaccine uptake, etc.

This study aims to examine the view on the end of the COVID-19 pandemic among the public and the associated factors.

### Methods

Respondents were recruited from Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk) [6], an online crowdsourcing platform. Data were collected at the end of September 2022. The Institutional Review Board at the University of Memphis approved this study. For the end of the COVID-19 pandemic, we asked "in your opinion, has the COVID-19 pandemic ended in the United States? by 'end', we mean although there are still new infections emerging, these cases are largely under the control, and the spread of coronavirus looks similar to seasonal influenza". Participants chose either yes or no.

Besides demographics, we collected participants' residential zip codes, then extracted their residential state, and urbanicity (urban, suburban, rural) [7]. Participants also reported if he/she had ever been infected by COVID-19, physical activity for leisure and for housework using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) [8], and mental health outcomes including anxiety, depression, and loneliness using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-2 items (GAD-2) and GAD-7 [9], the Patient Health Questionnaire-2 items (PHQ-2) and PHQ-8 [9], and R-UCLA Loneliness Scale [10].

We estimated the percentage of people who agreed on the end of the pandemic by adding weights to ensure the demographics of the weighted sample match with the US general population by the 2020 Census. A two-step logistic regression modeling was used to estimate the likelihood of agreeing on the end of the pandemic, with the base model including demographical variables, and the final model in which variables including COVID-19 infection, physical activity, and mental health were added to the base model.

#### Results

The sample included 2983 participants, and females, older adults, Blacks, those with low educational attainment, and those living in suburban and rural areas were underrepresented in the sample (see Table 1). There were 74.1% of the participants had been infected by COVID-19 during the pandemic, and the majority of participants had mental health disorders, and had a moderate or high level of physical

activity. Overall, 2327 participants (78.1%) believed that the COVID-19 pandemic had ended in the US, and the percentage decreased to 66.5% after adding the weights.

As the results of the baseline model (see Table 2) shows, males, younger adults, Hispanics, those with higher levels of educational attainment, those with middle levels of household income, those living in suburban or rural areas, and those living in states that voted for the Republican party in 2020 presidential election were more likely to believe that the pandemic had ended, compared with their counterparts. In the final model, variables including age groups and educational attainments were not significantly associated with the outcome as they were in the baseline model anymore. Participants who had been infected by COVID-19 during the pandemic (OR=2.00, 95% CI=1.60-2.50), those who had depression (OR=2.38, 95% CI=1.57-3.23), and those who had a moderate (OR=1.90, 95% CI=1.42-2.54) or high (OR=2.37, 95% CI=1.53-3.66) levels of physical activity for leisure were more likely to believe that the pandemic has ended, compared with their counterparts. Several parameters for model fitting indicated that the final model fit data better by adding COVID-19 infection, physical activity, and mental health disorders than the baseline model.

### Discussion

Overall, our results indicate that the majority (about two third) of the US population believed that the COVID-19 pandemic had ended. This is understandable considering the much lower numbers of new cases and deaths over the past several months compared with the several peak times, most Americans have received at least one dose of COVID-19 vaccine, and many restrictions such as social distancing were relaxed in many places, and most people do not wear masks anymore. However, the statistics of COVID-19 are still dire, one major reason that the announcement of the pandemic end was perceived as "premature". During the last week of September 2022, on average, each day saw about 50000 new cases and above 400 deaths due to COVID-19. This inconsistency may reflect that with the pandemic running much longer than most people expected and people may be habituated to the situation, and people's desire

to back to a "normal life" is strong enough and people would rather tolerate a higher level of risk than what people generally accept before the pandemic.

The different opinions for the end of the pandemic may be explained by at least three reasons. First, people whose health and life had been impacted more during the pandemic may be more likely to agree with the end of the pandemic after the negative impact was relieved, for example, those who had been infected and then recovered from the COVID-19 compared with those who had never been infected. Participants with middle levels of household income were more likely to agree with the end of the pandemic and a possible reason is that although both middle and low levels income groups were more likely to endure financial hardship at the beginning of the pandemic [11], people with middle income have higher resilience to recover over time compared with those with low levels of income. The second reason is politics. Those living in states that voted for the Republican party in the 2020 presidential election and those living in suburban or rural areas were more likely to be Republicans or lean towards the republication party, and they were more likely to believe the pandemic was exaggerated [12, 13]. Third, some groups tend to be more optimistic than others. For example, we found males and Hispanics were more likely to believe at the end of the pandemic. This is consistent with some evidence that males and Hispanics are more optimistic than their counterparts for various issues [14-16], and importantly, a recent study conducted at the end of 2021, reported that males were more optimistic about the ending of the pandemic than females and Hispanics were more optimistic for the ending of the pandemic than other racial/ethnical groups [17]. Interestingly, we found physical activity and depression were significantly associated with the outcome. Probably people who believe that the pandemic is still ongoing tend to limit their physical activity for leisure at least outdoors. Unfortunately, we could not provide a plausible explanation of why depression but not anxiety and loneliness were associated with people's opinions at the end of the pandemic, and further studies may be needed.

Our findings may provide implications for COVID-19 policies. With about one-third of Americans did not agree that the pandemic has ended and marked demographical and geographical

differences, the timing and the way of the pandemic end announcement should be deliberately cautious. For example, it may take several steps at the federal level, follow and combine with various levels of epidemic and endemic at state and local levels because COVID-19 is unlikely to disappear in a short term, but rather, will keep emerging somewhere occasionally, sometimes serious, similar to influenza. Indeed, whether the pandemic has ended or not, the mitigation effort to reduce the negative influence of the pandemic will continue for a long period.

One limitation of this study is that our sample is not representative of the US population, although weights were added to match the US general population for major demographics, some groups, for example, people who have no access to the Internet or are illiterate were excluded from the survey. Another limitation is that our study did not collect information about how various aspects of daily life was impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic that may help to explain people's different view on the end of the pandemic.

Table 1. Characteristics of participants (N=2983)

Category	Item	Percent
Gender	Male	60.5
	Female	39.5
Age	18-34 years old	55.3
	35-59 years old	39.3
	60 years old and above	5.5
Race/ethnicity	Non-Hispanic White	62.0
	Non-Hispanic Black	5.3
	Non-Hispanic Asian	5.0
	Hispanic	26.3
	Others and mixed	1.4
Educational attainment	High school and below	8.3
	Above high school and below bachelor	9.5
	Bachelor's degree	63.1
	Master's degree and above	19.1
	Less than \$24,999	11.9
	\$25,000 to \$49,999	27.9
Household income level	\$50,000 to \$74,999	31.1
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	18.3
	\$100,000 or more	10.8
<sup>1</sup> Urbanization level	Urban	79.0
	Suburban	15.8
	Rural	5.2
<sup>2</sup> Dhysical activity for	Low (ref)	13.0
<sup>2</sup> Physical activity for leisure	Moderate	68.6
	High	18.4
<sup>2</sup> Physical activity for homework	Low (ref)	20.2
	Moderate	62.0
	High	17.8
If had been infected by COVID-19 during the pandemic		74.1
<sup>3</sup> If having anxiety		65.0
<sup>3</sup> If having depression		67.6
<sup>4</sup> If feeling lonely	65.7	
If believed that COVID-19	78.1	
If believed that COVID-19	66.5	

Notes: <sup>1</sup> the urbanization level was classified using the rural-urban commuting area (RUCA) codes [7] from the home address' zip-codes, as urban (RUCA code 1). suburban (RUCA codes 2-6), and rural (RUCA codes 7-10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Participants were asked both the frequency and duration of any vigorous-intensity and moderate-intensity PA for more than ten continuous minutes in the past seven days. We computed participants' MET-min per week as the sum of vigorous PA (weighted as 8 METs), moderate PA (weighted as 4 METs), and walking (weighted 3.3 METs). The physical activity of the two domains were classified into three different levels including low (<600 MET-minutes/week); moderate (>600 and <3000 MET-minutes/week) and high (>=3000 MET-minutes/week).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For depression, participants first completed the PHQ-2 and, if scored  $\geq$  3, followed by the PHQ-8. For anxiety, participants first completed GAD-2 and, if scored  $\geq$  3, followed by the GAD-7. The optional cutoff for PHQ-8 and the GAD-7 was  $\geq$ 10 for identifying cases of major depression and anxiety, respectively [18, 19].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Questions from the R-UCLA Loneliness Scale [10] were used and participants answered how often they felt "lack companionship," "left out," and "isolated from others" on a 3-point Likert scale (hardly ever, some of the time, or often). A composite score ranges from 3 to 9, with a higher score corresponding to a higher level of loneliness. A cutoff (>=6) was used for identifying cases of feeling lonely.

Table 2. Results (odd ratios) of logistics regression models for the associations between variables and if a participant agreed on the end of the pandemic.

		Baseline model	Final model
Gender	Male (ref)	1	1
	Female	0.61(0.5,0.74)**	0.67(0.55,0.83)**
Age group, in years	18-34 (ref)	1	1
	35-59	0.76(0.62,0.93)**	0.94(0.76,1.18)
	60 and above	0.63(0.43,0.91)*	0.98(0.65,1.48)
Race /ethnicity	Non-Hispanic White (ref)	1	1
	Non-Hispanic Black	0.73(0.48,1.1)	0.65(0.41,1.02)
	Non-Hispanic Asian	0.72(0.49,1.07)	0.94(0.62,1.45)
	Hispanic	2.30(1.74,3.03)**	1.48(1.1,1.98)**
	Others and mixed	2.1(0.85,5.17)	2.65(1.07,6.61)*
Educational	High school and below	1	1
attainment	Above high school and below		
	bachelor	0.66(0.44,1.01)	0.89(0.57,1.4)
	Bachelor's degree	1.52(1.06,2.17)*	1.21(0.82,1.77)
	Master's degree and above	1.46(0.96,2.20)	1.33(0.86,2.06)
Household income	Less than \$24,999	1	1
	\$25,000 to \$49,999	1.87(1.34,2.60)**	2.06(1.44,2.93)**
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	1.71(1.22,2.37)**	1.62(1.14,2.31)**
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	1.59(1.11,2.28)*	1.68(1.14,2.48)**
	\$100,000 or more	1.07(0.73,1.57)	1.26(0.83,1.92)
<sup>1</sup> Urbanization level	Urban (ref)	1	1
	Suburban	1.32(1.01,1.73)*	1.3(0.97,1.74)
	Rural	1.96(1.16,3.31)*	2.09(1.2,3.63)**
If living in a state tha	t voted for the Republican party		, , ,
in 2020 presidential election		1.26(1.04,1.54)*	1.26(1.02,1.55)*
If had been infected by COVID-19			2.00(1.60,2.50)**
<sup>2</sup> If having anxiety (GAD-7 >=10)			1.34(1,1.81)
<sup>2</sup> If having depression (PHQ-8 >=10)			2.38(1.75,3.23)**
<sup>3</sup> If feeling lonely			0.88(0.7,1.11)
<sup>4</sup> Physical activity	Low (ref)		1
for leisure	Moderate		1.90(1.42,2.54)**
	High		2.37(1.53,3.66)**
<sup>4</sup> Physical activity	Low (ref)		1
for homework	Moderate		0.97(0.74,1.27)
	High		1.04(0.68,1.59)
<b>Model Fit Statistics</b>	_		1.0 .(0.00,1.07)
AIC		2619.56	2403.62
SC		2724.68	2555.46
-2 Log L		2583.56	2351.62

Notes: <sup>1</sup> the urbanization level was classified using the rural-urban commuting area (RUCA) codes [7] from the home address' zip-codes, as urban (RUCA code 1). suburban (RUCA codes 2-6), and rural (RUCA codes 7-10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Participants were asked both the frequency and duration of any vigorous-intensity and moderate-intensity PA for more than ten continuous minutes in the past seven days. We computed participants' MET-min per week as the sum of vigorous PA (weighted as 8 METs), moderate PA (weighted as 4 METs), and walking (weighted 3.3 METs). The

physical activity of the two domains were classified into three different levels including low (<600 MET–minutes/week); moderate (>600 and <3000 MET–minutes/week) and high (>=3000 MET–minutes/week).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For depression, participants first completed the PHQ-2 and, if scored  $\geq 3$ , followed by the PHQ-8. For anxiety, participants first completed GAD-2 and, if scored  $\geq 3$ , followed by the GAD-7. The optional cutoff for PHQ-8 and the GAD-7 was  $\geq 10$  for identifying cases of major depression and anxiety, respectively [18, 19].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Questions from the R-UCLA Loneliness Scale [10] were used and participants answered how often they felt "lack companionship," "left out," and "isolated from others" on a 3-point Likert scale (hardly ever, some of the time, or often). A composite score ranges from 3 to 9, with a higher score corresponding to a higher level of loneliness. A cutoff (>=6) was used for identifying cases of feeling lonely.

### References

- 1. WHO. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing -11 March 2020. 2020 [cited 2022 Oct 5, 2022]; Available from: <a href="https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches">https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches</a>.
- 2. WHO. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing—14 September 2022. 2022 [cited 2022 Oct 5, 2022]; Available from: <a href="https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches">https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches</a>.
- 3. CBS News. *President Joe Biden: The 2022 60 Minutes Interview*. 2022 [cited 2022 Oct 8, 2022]; Available from: <a href="https://www.cbsnews.com/news/president-joe-biden-60-minutes-interview-transcript-2022-09-18/">https://www.cbsnews.com/news/president-joe-biden-60-minutes-interview-transcript-2022-09-18/</a>.
- 4. FactCheck.org. *Is the Pandemic 'Over'? Biden Says So, But Scientists Say That's Up for Debate*.

  2022 [cited 2022 Oct. 8, 2022]; Available from: <a href="https://www.factcheck.org/2022/09/scicheck-is-the-pandemic-over-biden-says-so-but-scientists-say-thats-up-for-debate/">https://www.factcheck.org/2022/09/scicheck-is-the-pandemic-over-biden-says-so-but-scientists-say-thats-up-for-debate/</a>.
- 5. Williams, M.A. Why Biden's premature COVID ending could help it surge. 2022 [cited 2022 Oct. 8, 2022]; Available from: <a href="https://thehill.com/opinion/healthcare/3658032-why-bidens-premature-covid-ending-could-help-it-surge/">https://thehill.com/opinion/healthcare/3658032-why-bidens-premature-covid-ending-could-help-it-surge/</a>.
- 6. Keith, M.G., L. Tay, and P.D. Harms, *Systems Perspective of Amazon Mechanical Turk for Organizational Research: Review and Recommendations.* Frontiers in Psychology, 2017. **8**: p. 1359.
- 7. U.S. Department of Agriculture. *Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes* 2020 April 2020]; Available from: https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/rural-urban-commuting-area-codes.
- 8. Craig, C.L., A.L. Marshall, M. Sjöström, A.E. Bauman, M.L. Booth, B.E. Ainsworth, M. Pratt, U. Ekelund, A. Yngve, J.F. Sallis, and P. Oja, *International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity.* Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2003. **35**(8): p. 1381-95.
- 9. Kroenke, K., R.L. Spitzer, J.B. Williams, and B. Löwe, *The Patient Health Questionnaire Somatic, Anxiety, and Depressive Symptom Scales: a systematic review.* Gen Hosp Psychiatry, 2010. **32**(4): p. 345-59.
- 10. Hughes, M.E., L.J. Waite, L.C. Hawkley, and J.T. Cacioppo, A Short Scale for Measuring Loneliness in Large Surveys: Results From Two Population-Based Studies. Research on aging, 2004. **26**(6): p. 655-672.
- 11. Pew Research Center. COVID-19 Pandemic Pinches Finances of America's Lower- and Middle-Income Families. 2022 [cited 2022 Oct/9, 2022]; Available from:

  <a href="https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2022/04/20/covid-19-pandemic-pinches-finances-of-americas-lower-and-middle-income-families/">https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2022/04/20/covid-19-pandemic-pinches-finances-of-americas-lower-and-middle-income-families/</a>.
- 12. Gelfand, M., R. Li, E. Stamkou, D. Pieper, E. Denison, J. Fernandez, V. Choi, J. Chatman, J. Jackson, and E. Dimant, *Persuading republicans and democrats to comply with mask wearing: An intervention tournament.* Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2022. **101**: p. 104299.
- 13. Pew Research Center. *Republicans' views on COVID-19 shifted over course of 2020; Democrats' hardly budged*. 2021 [cited 2022 Oct. 9, 2022]; Available from: <a href="https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2021/02/22/republicans-views-on-covid-19-shifted-over-course-of-2020-democrats-hardly-budged/">https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2021/02/22/republicans-views-on-covid-19-shifted-over-course-of-2020-democrats-hardly-budged/</a>.
- 14. Jacobsen, B., J.B. Lee, W. Marquering, and C.Y. Zhang, *Gender differences in optimism and asset allocation*. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 2014. **107**: p. 630-651.
- 15. Bjuggren, C.M. and N. Elert, *Gender differences in optimism*. Applied Economics, 2019. **51**(47): p. 5160-5173.
- 16. Pew Research Center. For U.S. Latinos, COVID-19 Has Taken a Personal and Financial Toll. 2021 [cited 2022 Oct.9, 2022]; Available from: <a href="https://www.pewresearch.org/race-ethnicity/2021/07/15/for-u-s-latinos-covid-19-has-taken-a-personal-and-financial-toll/">https://www.pewresearch.org/race-ethnicity/2021/07/15/for-u-s-latinos-covid-19-has-taken-a-personal-and-financial-toll/</a>.

- 17. Yang, Y., How the emergence of the omicron variant may change people's attitudes toward the *COVID-19 pandemic*. Front Psychol, 2022. **13**: p. 922470.
- 18. Spitzer, R.L., K. Kroenke, J.B. Williams, and B. Löwe, *A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7.* Arch Intern Med, 2006. **166**(10): p. 1092-7.
- 19. Kroenke, K., R.L. Spitzer, and J.B. Williams, *The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure*. J Gen Intern Med, 2001. **16**(9): p. 606-13.