¹**ARTICLE**

²**Implications of predator species richness in terms of zoonotic spillover**

³**transmission of filoviral hemorrhagic fevers in Africa**

```
Taehee Chang<sup>1</sup> (Orcid; 0000-0001-9224-6961), Sung-il Cho<sup>1,2</sup> (Orcid; 0000-0003-4085-1494),<br>
6 Kyung-Duk Min<sup>3</sup>* (Orcid: 0000-0002-1000-2187)
       Kyung-Duk Min<sup>3</sup>* (Orcid; 0000-0002-1000-2187)
```
- ¹Department of Public Health Sciences, Graduate School of Public Health, Seoul National University,
- 8 Seoul, Republic of Korea
- ²Institute of Health and Environment, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea
- 3 10³ College of Veterinary Medicine, Chungbuk National University, Republic of Korea

11

¹²**Abstract**

13 Previous studies found that higher species richness of predators could reduce spillover risks of
14 rodent-borne diseases. However, the effects on bat-borne diseases remains to be investigated. To this rodent-borne diseases. However, the effects on bat-borne diseases remains to be investigated. To this 15 regard, we evaluated associations between predator species richness and the spillover events of ¹⁶*Ebolavirus* and *Marburgvirus,* the highly pathogenic bat-borne diseases in Africa. Stacked species 17 distribution model approach was used to estimate predator species richness and Logistic regression
18 analyses that considered spatiotemporal autocorrelations were conducted. The results showed that the analyses that considered spatiotemporal autocorrelations were conducted. The results showed that the 19 third quartile (OR = 0.02, 95% CI 0.00–0.84) and fourth quartile (0.07, 0.00–0.42) of species richness
20 of Strigiformes and the third quartile (0.15, CI 0.01–0.73) and fourth quartile (0.53, 0.03–0.85) of 20 of Strigiformes and the third quartile (0.15, CI 0.01–0.73) and fourth quartile (0.53, 0.03–0.85) of
21 Colubridae showed significantly lower risks of spillover transmission of *Ebolavirus*. However, no 21 Colubridae showed significantly lower risks of spillover transmission of *Ebolavirus*. However, no
22 significant association was found between predator species richness and *Marburevirus* spillover. The ²²significant association was found between predator species richness and *Marburgvirus* spillover. The 23 results support a possible effect of predator species diversity on spillover suppression.

25 25

²⁷**Introduction**

²⁸*Ebolavirus* and *Marburgvirus* are non-segmented, negative-stranded RNA viruses belonging to the 29 family Filoviridae, a subgroup of the order Mononegavirales (1). There are six virus species in the
20 *Ebolavirus* genus (Ebola virus, Sudan virus, Bombali virus, Tai Forest virus, Bundibugvo virus, and 30 *Ebolavirus* genus (Ebola virus, Sudan virus, Bombali virus, Tai Forest virus, Bundibugyo virus, and
31 Reston virus) and two species in the *Marburgvirus* genus (Marburg virus and Ravn virus) (2). With 31 Reston virus) and two species in the *Marburgvirus* genus (Marburg virus and Ravn virus) (2). With
32 the exception of the Reston virus, the viruses are considered indigenous to Africa, where multiple 32 the exception of the Reston virus, the viruses are considered indigenous to Africa, where multiple
33 human outbreaks have occurred (3, 4). Filovirus epidemics cause catastrophic losses of human and 33 human outbreaks have occurred (3, 4). Filovirus epidemics cause catastrophic losses of human and
34 animal life given the high case fatality rates, which are typically 60–70% but can reach 90% (1). animal life given the high case fatality rates, which are typically 60–70% but can reach 90% (1).

35 Significant progress in shortening the list of potential filovirus reservoir hosts has been made
36 during the past decade. Apart from the *Rousettus aegypticus* fruit bat, which has repeatedly tested 36 during the past decade. Apart from the *Rousettus aegypticus* fruit bat, which has repeatedly tested
37 positive for *Marburgvirus*, antibodies against various Ebola species have been found in at least 14 37 positive for *Marburgvirus*, antibodies against various Ebola species have been found in at least 14
38 other species of bats: however, only *Epomons franqueti*, *Hypsignathus monstrosus*, and *Myonycteris* 38 other species of bats; however, only *Epomops franqueti*, *Hypsignathus monstrosus*, and *Myonycteris torquata* tested positive using PCR methods (5-8). The viruses may spread to other animals, including 39 *torquata* tested positive using PCR methods (5-8). The viruses may spread to other animals, including
40 non-human primates, duikers (antelopes), or humans, from bat species shown to be vulnerable to 40 non-human primates, duikers (antelopes), or humans, from bat species shown to be vulnerable to
41 filoviruses. Humans might contract the virus by handling or eating so-called bushmeat, such as 41 filoviruses. Humans might contract the virus by handling or eating so-called bushmeat, such as
42 roosting bats close to human dwellings, or via contact with infected mammalian bodily fluids (1). roosting bats close to human dwellings, or via contact with infected mammalian bodily fluids (1).

43 Predators impact prey density, distribution, and behavior both directly and indirectly. Theoretically,
44 such impacts might cascade to lower trophic levels and thus reduce the risk of zoonotic spillover (9. 44 such impacts might cascade to lower trophic levels and thus reduce the risk of zoonotic spillover (9, 45 10). Generalist predators (e.g., certain snakes, cats, owls, and raptors) that are either non-specialized ⁴⁵10). Generalist predators (e.g., certain snakes, cats, owls, and raptors) that are either non-specialized 46 in terms of prey selection and can thus move among target species, or that are highly mobile and
47 therefore wander in search of better hunting grounds, have been suggested to chronically suppress 47 therefore wander in search of better hunting grounds, have been suggested to chronically suppress
48 orev numbers and thus stabilize population dynamics (9, 11). Predator non-lethal effects can influence 48 prey numbers and thus stabilize population dynamics (9, 11). Predator non-lethal effects can influence
49 the behavioral patterns of prev and reduce prev fitness. The predatory risk cues detected by prev. the behavioral patterns of prey and reduce prey fitness. The predatory risk cues detected by prey, 50 including visual, auditory, or chemical signals, allow them to identify the presence of predators and 51 consequently alter their behavior in response to the danger of predation (12, 13). Few vertebrate
52 predators specialize in hunting bats, and bat predation appears to be mainly opportunistic in nature. 52 predators specialize in hunting bats, and bat predation appears to be mainly opportunistic in nature.
53 However, generalist and opportunistic predators may exert substantial effects on bat ecology. 53 However, generalist and opportunistic predators may exert substantial effects on bat ecology,
54 eventually reducing the rate of contact between reservoir hosts and humans and thus mitigating the ⁵⁴eventually reducing the rate of contact between reservoir hosts and humans and thus mitigating the 55 risk of zoonotic spillover $(9, 12, 14)$.

⁵⁶We hypothesized that high predator species richness will reduce the zoonotic spillover of 57 filoviruses in Africa. We examined the associations between predator species richness and historical

58 spillovers of *Ebolavirus* and *Marburgvirus* based on distributional data from known predators of bats only, as well as satellite-derived environmental data.

only, as well as satellite-derived environmental data.

60

⁶¹**Methods**

⁶²**Study design and study area**

⁶³In this ecological study, we used stacked species distribution models and the maximum entropy 64 method (Maxent modeling) to calculate the number of predator species. We considered potential
65 confounding factors when conducting logistic regression analyses of the relationship between predator 65 confounding factors when conducting logistic regression analyses of the relationship between predator
66 species richness and spillover risk. We included all African countries with at least one reported human species richness and spillover risk. We included all African countries with at least one reported human 67 case of Ebola or Marburg infection. We confined the study regions to areas proposed in previous studies to harbor the reservoir species E , franqueti, H , monstrosus, and M , torquata of E bolavirus and 68 studies to harbor the reservoir species *E. franqueti*, *H. monstrosus*, and *M. torquata* of *Ebolavirus* and
69 the *R. aegypticus* fruit bat of *Marburgyirus* (5-8). The distribution ranges were constructed using the 69 the *R. aegypticus* fruit bat of *Marburgvirus* (5-8). The distribution ranges were constructed using the properablical database of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (15). To 70 geographical database of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (15). To
71 examine the relationship between predator species richness and filovirus cases, three datasets were 71 examine the relationship between predator species richness and filovirus cases, three datasets were
72 compiled: (i) a comprehensive list of index case locations. (ii) geographical information on the 72 compiled: (i) a comprehensive list of index case locations, (ii) geographical information on the
73 distributions of predators and reservoir hosts, and (iii) environmental factors suggested to be 73 distributions of predators and reservoir hosts, and (iii) environmental factors suggested to be
74 ecologically significant. R software (v. 4, 2, 1) (16) was used for all data processing and analyses. The 74 ecologically significant. R software (v. 4. 2. 1) (16) was used for all data processing and analyses. The
75 "dismo" package (17) was employed to model species niches. Bayesian parameter estimations that ¹⁵ "dismo" package (17) was employed to model species niches. Bayesian parameter estimations that
¹⁶ considered spatial and spatiotemporal autocorrelations were conducted using the "CARBayes" and ⁷⁶considered spatial and spatiotemporal autocorrelations were conducted using the "CARBayes" and 77 "CARBayesST" packages (18).

⁷⁸**Outcome definitions**

79 We identified index cases and rebuilt zoonotic spillover cases in both space and time. We searched
80 the formal scientific literature using PubMed and the Web of Science for data on all historical 80 the formal scientific literature using PubMed and the Web of Science for data on all historical
81 filovirus outbreaks (3-8, 19). We sought to recreate the outbreaks in detail and locate the most likely 81 filovirus outbreaks (3-8, 19). We sought to recreate the outbreaks in detail and locate the most likely
82 index cases, thus infected humans who had interacted with disease-causing non-human sources. Cases 82 index cases, thus infected humans who had interacted with disease-causing non-human sources. Cases
83 reported between 2000 and 2021 were included in analysis because the environmental covariates used 83 reported between 2000 and 2021 were included in analysis because the environmental covariates used
84 in the present report share their temporal ranges since that time. On the map of the study regions, we 84 in the present report share their temporal ranges since that time. On the map of the study regions, we
85 eenerated $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grids and classified them in terms of their intersections with the point locations of generated $1° \times 1°$ grids and classified them in terms of their intersections with the point locations of 86 index cases.

⁸⁷**Niche modeling and diversity maps**

88 The suitability of habitats for natural predators of bats, i.e., the order Accipitriformes, Strigiformes, 89 and Carnivora and family Colubridae and reservoir hosts in the order Chiroptera, was predicted using 90 a simple species distribution modeling strategy (also termed ecological niche modeling), which
91 integrates the reported occurrences of species with local climatic and geographic information. We 91 integrates the reported occurrences of species with local climatic and geographic information. We
92 used a maximum entropy approach (Maxent modeling) (20): this is one of the most widely used 92 used a maximum entropy approach (Maxent modeling) (20); this is one of the most widely used
93 models when identifying species distributions. The approach employs presence-only data, which are 93 models when identifying species distributions. The approach employs presence-only data, which are
94 helpful when modeling small and mobile species because it is (appropriately) challenging to establish 94 helpful when modeling small and mobile species because it is (appropriately) challenging to establish
95 their absence. their absence.

96 The occurrence data of included species within the study area (thus the African continent: 12.69 to – 97 22.42 N and 41.57 to –14.97 E) were those of the Global Biodiversity Information Facility. Species 97 22.42 N and 41.57 to –14.97 E) were those of the Global Biodiversity Information Facility. Species
98 that occurred at more than 10 points were included in the models. Climatic and geographical data 98 that occurred at more than 10 points were included in the models. Climatic and geographical data
99 served as predictive variables when simulating the distributions of the species. The bioclimatic 99 served as predictive variables when simulating the distributions of the species. The bioclimatic
100 variables were derived from WorldClim ver. 2.0 (21) and the elevation data from the Shuttle Radar 100 variables were derived from WorldClim ver. 2.0 (21) and the elevation data from the Shuttle Radar
101 Topography Mission (ver. 4) (22) with a spatial resolution of 2.5 minutes (\sim 20 km²). The variables Topography Mission (ver. 4) (22) with a spatial resolution of 2.5 minutes (\sim 20 km²). The variables included in the modeling process were considered ecologically crucial in terms of species distribution. 102 included in the modeling process were considered ecologically crucial in terms of species distribution,
103 and they evidenced one-to-one intercorrelations < 0.7 . These variables were the mean diurnal range 103 and they evidenced one-to-one intercorrelations < 0.7 . These variables were the mean diurnal range 104 (Bio02), temperature seasonality (Bio04), maximum temperature in the warmest month (Bio05). 104 (Bio02), temperature seasonality (Bio04), maximum temperature in the warmest month (Bio05),
105 precipitation in the wettest quarter (Bio16), precipitation in the warmest quarter (Bio18), precipitation 105 precipitation in the wettest quarter (Bio16), precipitation in the warmest quarter (Bio18), precipitation 106 in the coldest quarter (Bio19), and the elevation. When fitting the models for each species, all 106 in the coldest quarter (Bio19), and the elevation. When fitting the models for each species, all 107 variables were verified using the Jackknife test (20). variables were verified using the Jackknife test (20).

108 We used k-fold cross-validation to assess the models. Next, we converted the habitat suitability into
109 a binary value (suitable habitat 1; unsuitable habitat 0). The threshold was the modeled prevalence 109 a binary value (suitable habitat 1; unsuitable habitat 0). The threshold was the modeled prevalence
110 closest to the observed prevalence. The numbers of species for which suitable habitat pixels in each 110 closest to the observed prevalence. The numbers of species for which suitable habitat pixels in each 111 grid exceeded 50% of the total grid areas were counted. grid exceeded 50% of the total grid areas were counted.

¹¹²**Data acquisition and preprocessing**

113 Global climatic data from 1970 to 2000 were collected from WorldClim ver. 2.0 (21), which features
114 a spatial resolution of 2.5 minutes ($\sim 20 \text{ km}^2$) and offers monthly average precipitation and 114 a spatial resolution of 2.5 minutes ($\sim 20 \text{ km}^2$) and offers monthly average precipitation and temperature data in raster format. The average annual precipitation and temperature for each grid 115 temperature data in raster format. The average annual precipitation and temperature for each grid
116 region were computed. region were computed.

117 The geographical confounding factors collected included elevation land cover, agricultural land use,
118 and forest cover data. We obtained elevation data from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (22), 118 and forest cover data. We obtained elevation data from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (22),
119 which offers 90-m-scale worldwide elevation data in raster format. The values for each grid were 119 which offers 90-m-scale worldwide elevation data in raster format. The values for each grid were
120 averaged. Data on agricultural land use during 2000–2021 were gathered in raster format (23). The 120 averaged. Data on agricultural land use during 2000–2021 were gathered in raster format (23). The
121 dataset contains the most likely International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme class for each ¹²¹dataset contains the most likely International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme class for each 122 0.05°pixel, and we calculated the proportion of each International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme
123 class for all grids of interest. The Global Forest Change (GEC) (24) data vielded forest cover 123 class for all grids of interest. The Global Forest Change (GFC) (24) data yielded forest cover
124 information. In terms of tree canopy cover, the likelihood of a tree canopy is presented in raster 124 information. In terms of tree canopy cover, the likelihood of a tree canopy is presented in raster 125 format and ranges from 0 to 100. We used 75 as the cutoff when determining whether a raster cell 125 format and ranges from 0 to 100. We used 75 as the cutoff when determining whether a raster cell
126 included a forest. We collected data on forest loss, defined as a change from a forest to a non-forest 126 included a forest. We collected data on forest loss, defined as a change from a forest to a non-forest 127 state, during 2000–2021. We then computed the proportion of forest coverage in each grid by 127 state, during 2000–2021. We then computed the proportion of forest coverage in each grid by
128 subtracting the area of forest loss from that of the tree canopy cover. subtracting the area of forest loss from that of the tree canopy cover.

129 The sociodemographic factors analyzed were the gross domestic product, human development index,
130 population density, and human footprint score. Gross domestic product and human development index 130 population density, and human footprint score. Gross domestic product and human development index
131 data from 1990–2015 were gathered, and the average values for each grid computed (25). The impact 131 data from 1990–2015 were gathered, and the average values for each grid computed (25). The impact
132 of human activity on the environment during 2000–2018 was measured using the human footprint 132 of human activity on the environment during 2000–2018 was measured using the human footprint
133 score, which presents more significant anthropogenic pressures as higher scores (26). The values for 133 score, which presents more significant anthropogenic pressures as higher scores (26). The values for
134 each grid were averaged. Population density data were acquired from the WorldPop website (27). A 134 each grid were averaged. Population density data were acquired from the WorldPop website (27). A
135 population count dataset of the unconstrained global mosaics from 2000–2020 at a resolution of 1 km 135 population count dataset of the unconstrained global mosaics from 2000–2020 at a resolution of 1 km
136 was used to calculate the population density for each grid. was used to calculate the population density for each grid.

137 Some variables did not cover the entire period from 2000 to 2021; in such cases, data from previous
138 vears were used to fill in for missing data. Detailed descriptions of each variable, including the 138 years were used to fill in for missing data. Detailed descriptions of each variable, including the
139 temporal range and spatial resolution, can be found in (Supplementary Table 1). temporal range and spatial resolution, can be found in (Supplementary Table 1).

¹⁴⁰**Statistical analysis**

141 We developed logistic regression models for the study grids to determine odds ratios (ORs) with 95%
142 confidence intervals (95% CIs) for the relationship between predator species richness and filovirus 142 confidence intervals (95% CIs) for the relationship between predator species richness and filovirus
143 cases. We adjusted for all possible confounders except for variables with a Pearson correlation 143 cases. We adjusted for all possible confounders except for variables with a Pearson correlation
144 coefficient > 0.7 and variance inflation factor (VIF) > 10 . To handle potential species richness coefficient > 0.7 and variance inflation factor (VIF) > 10 . To handle potential species richness 145 overestimation, the indicators of predator species richness were entered into saturated models as 146 categorical variables. We defined the Ebolavirus categories by quartiles and the Marburgvirus

147 categories by medians. The categories with the lowest number of predator species served as the base
148 categories. categories.

- 149 We used Bayesian spatiotemporal models to derive the spatial and temporal patterns over 100,000
150 iterations with a burn-in of 95,000 when the model residuals were autocorrelated as revealed by the
- 150 iterations with a burn-in of 95,000 when the model residuals were autocorrelated as revealed by the 151 Moran I test and Durbin–Watson test. The following are the mathematical expressions of the models:
- Moran I test and Durbin–Watson test. The following are the mathematical expressions of the models:

152 Model 1:
$$
\ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta X + v
$$

153 Model 2:
$$
\ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta X + v + u
$$

154 Model 3:
$$
\ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta X + v + u + 1t
$$

155 Model 4:
$$
\ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta X + v + u + Y
$$

156 Model 5:
$$
\ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta X + v + u + Y + \varphi
$$

157 Where p is the probability of filovirus emergence; the constant β_0 is the intercept; β_n is the regression coefficient; X_n is the set of predictive variables; v_n is the non-spatial random component for grid 158 coefficient; *X*_n is the set of predictive variables; v_n is the non-spatial random component for grid n; *u*_n 159 is the structured spatial random component for grid n; *t* is the temporal trend of the data with is the structured spatial random component for grid n; t is the temporal trend of the data with a 160 constant term *a*₁; Υ_m is the temporal random-walk component; and the random effect φ_{mn} is the 161 space–time interaction term. To choose the model affording the best performance in terms of the space–time interaction term. To choose the model affording the best performance in terms of the 162 Bayesian framework, we compared Models 1–5 using the deviance information criterion (DIC) and
163 the Watanabe–Akaike information criterion (WAIC). the Watanabe–Akaike information criterion (WAIC).

¹⁶⁴**Sensitivity analysis**

165 We performed sensitivity analysis using the "R-INLA" package for Bayesian parameter estimation
166 (28). We estimated species richness using a geographical database from the IUCN website (15). Only 166 (28). We estimated species richness using a geographical database from the IUCN website (15). Only 167 species categorized as 'extant' that overlapped the species included in Maxent modeling were used. 167 species categorized as 'extant' that overlapped the species included in Maxent modeling were used.
168 The numbers of species, the distribution ranges of which spanned more than 50% of a specific grid, 168 The numbers of species, the distribution ranges of which spanned more than 50% of a specific grid,
169 were counted after intersecting the polygons representing the range data for the species. Finally, we 169 were counted after intersecting the polygons representing the range data for the species. Finally, we
170 constructed models using the species richness variables calculated via Maxent modeling and included 170 constructed models using the species richness variables calculated via Maxent modeling and included
171 only species reported to prey on bats. only species reported to prey on bats.

¹⁷³**Results**

¹⁷⁴**Processing datasets**

175 In total, 32 index cases of *Ebolavirus* and 12 of *Marburgvirus* were identified across the African
176 continent. The times of disease occurrence span the last four decades, starting with the first 176 continent. The times of disease occurrence span the last four decades, starting with the first 177 *Marburgyirus* case in 1976 (Figure 1A). The locations of the outbreaks spanned from Guinea in West

177 *Marburgvirus* case in 1976 (Figure 1A). The locations of the outbreaks spanned from Guinea in West
178 – Africa to Uganda and Kenya in East Africa (Figure 1B). We classified study grids by their

178 Africa to Uganda and Kenya in East Africa (Figure 1B). We classified study grids by their
179 intersections with the point locations of index cases reported between 2000 and 2021 (Figure 2A, B).

intersections with the point locations of index cases reported between 2000 and 2021 (Figure 2A, B).

Fig 1. The locations and points of occurrence of filovirus outbreaks in Africa. (A) Shows the reported outbreaks of *Ebolavirus* and *Marburgvirus* through time, with its height along the y-axis 183 reported outbreaks of *Ebolavirus* and *Marburgvirus* through time, with its height along the y-axis
184 reflect the number of cases. (B) Illustrates a map of the index cases for each outbreak, categorized by 184 reflect the number of cases. (B) Illustrates a map of the index cases for each outbreak, categorized by
185 genus and species of the viruses. The map data of the African continent was employed to draw base 185 genus and species of the viruses. The map data of the African continent was employed to draw base
186 maps in the figure. (Available from: https://www.diva-gis.org/). maps in the figure. (Available from: https://www.diva-gis.org/).

190 **Fig 2. Study area and study units**. (A) Shows the study grids with and without *Ebolavirus* index cases. (B) Shows the study grids with and without *Marburgvirus* index cases. The grids with dark 191 cases. (B) Shows the study grids with and without *Marburgvirus* index cases. The grids with dark
192 orange color represent that the region contains filovirus index cases. The study area is confined to orange color represent that the region contains filovirus index cases. The study area is confined to 193 countries with at least one filovirus case. We clipped the area with the reservoir species' 194 distribution ranges. The map data of the African continent was employed to draw base maps in the figure. (Available from: https://www.diva-gis.org/). figure. (Available from: https://www.diva-gis.org/).

Descriptive analysis

²¹⁰**Table 1. Summary of descriptive analysis for** *Ebolavirus* **index cases.**

211

²¹³**Table 2. Summary of descriptive analysis for** *Marburgvirus* **index cases.**

214

²¹⁵**Model selection and validation**

216 We constructed saturated models using all available predictive variables and evaluated those
217 variables from an epidemiological perspective (Supplementary Fig. 3). To derive the association 217 variables from an epidemiological perspective (Supplementary Fig. 3). To derive the association
218 between predator species richness and the historical incidence of *Ebolavirus* and *Marburevirus* in 218 between predator species richness and the historical incidence of *Ebolavirus* and *Marburgvirus* in 219 Africa from 2000 to 2021, we used the average values of the predictive variables and the total 219 Africa from 2000 to 2021, we used the average values of the predictive variables and the total
220 emergence counts for each grid over that period. At the grid level, the spatial dependencies of filovirus 220 emergence counts for each grid over that period. At the grid level, the spatial dependencies of filovirus
221 incidences were assessed using the Moran I statistic: the values for *Ebolavirus* and *Marburevirus* 221 incidences were assessed using the Moran I statistic; the values for *Ebolavirus* and *Marburgvirus*
222 were 0.06 and -0.03. respectively, when using a row-standardized neighborhood structure 222 were 0.06 and −0.03, respectively, when using a row-standardized neighborhood structure
223 (Supplementary Fig. 4-5). The Moran I statistic indicated that only the *Ebolavirus* incidence had a ²²³(Supplementary Fig. 4-5). The Moran I statistic indicated that only the *Ebolavirus* incidence had a 224 statistically significant spatial or temporal dependency (Supplementary Fig. 4). The Durbin–Watson
225 test results showed that the *Ebolavirus* incidence data were autocorrelated in terms of the residuals of 225 test results showed that the *Ebolavirus* incidence data were autocorrelated in terms of the residuals of the models, with p-values < 0.05 (Supplementary Table 2). The performances of the models in terms 226 the models, with p-values < 0.05 (Supplementary Table 2). The performances of the models in terms 227 of spatial and spatiotemporal autocorrelations are shown (Supplementary Table 3). Smaller DIC and 227 of spatial and spatiotemporal autocorrelations are shown (Supplementary Table 3). Smaller DIC and
228 WAIC values indicate better performance. Based on these results, we fitted Models 1–5 to display the 228 WAIC values indicate better performance. Based on these results, we fitted Models 1–5 to display the
229 association between predator species richness and the historical incidence of *Ebolavirus*. For 229 association between predator species richness and the historical incidence of *Ebolavirus*. For
230 *Marburevirus*, we fitted the model with the average values in line with the Moran I and Durbin-230 *Marburgvirus*, we fitted the model with the average values in line with the Moran I and Durbin–
231 Watson test results. Watson test results.

²³³**Model-estimated association of predator species richness and zoonotic** ²³⁴**spillover of filoviruses**

235 The results of the final models are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The coefficients and ORs of all
236 covariates are listed (Supplementary Table 4-8). Of all models. Model 2 for *Ebolavirus* exhibited the 236 covariates are listed (Supplementary Table 4-8). Of all models, Model 2 for *Ebolavirus* exhibited the
237 smallest DIC and WAIC in terms of spatial autocorrelation. In this model, the fourth quartile (OR = 237 smallest DIC and WAIC in terms of spatial autocorrelation. In this model, the fourth quartile (OR = 0.04 , 95% CI $0.00-0.98$) of Strigiformes species richness and the third quartile (OR = 0.15 , 95% CI 238 0.04, 95% CI 0.00–0.98) of Strigiformes species richness and the third quartile (OR = 0.15, 95% CI 239 0.00–0.81) of Colubridae species richness exhibited significantly lower odds of *Ebolavirus* index 239 0.00–0.81) of Colubridae species richness exhibited significantly lower odds of *Ebolavirus* index
240 cases (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table 5). This trend was maintained in Model 5, which showed the 240 cases (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table 5). This trend was maintained in Model 5, which showed the
241 lowest DIC and WAIC of all models in terms of spatiotemporal autocorrelation. In this Model, the 241 lowest DIC and WAIC of all models in terms of spatiotemporal autocorrelation. In this Model, the
242 third quartile (OR = 0.02 , 95% CI 0.00–0.84) and fourth quartile (OR = 0.07 , 95% CI 0.00–0.42) of 242 third quartile (OR = 0.02, 95% CI 0.00–0.84) and fourth quartile (OR = 0.07, 95% CI 0.00–0.42) of
243 Strigiformes species richness, the third quartile (OR = 0.15, 95% CI 0.01–0.73) and fourth quartile 243 Strigiformes species richness, the third quartile (OR = 0.15, 95% CI 0.01–0.73) and fourth quartile (OR = 0.53, 95% CI 0.03–0.85) of Colubridae species richness, and the second quartile (OR = 0.23, 244 (OR = 0.53, 95% CI 0.03–0.85) of Colubridae species richness, and the second quartile (OR = 0.23, 245 – 95% CI 0.05–0.94) of Carnivora species richness evidenced significantly lower odds of *Ebolavirus* 245 95% CI 0.05–0.94) of Carnivora species richness evidenced significantly lower odds of *Ebolavirus* 246 index cases (Figure 3E, Supplementary Table 8). However, none of the estimated parameters were 246 index cases (Figure 3E, Supplementary Table 8). However, none of the estimated parameters were
247 significant for the other quartiles of Carnivora, Colubridae, and Strigiformes. In the models for 247 significant for the other quartiles of Carnivora, Colubridae, and Strigiformes. In the models for
248 *Marburevirus*, we found no evidence of an association between predator species richness and 248 *Marburgvirus*, we found no evidence of an association between predator species richness and
249 *Marburgvirus* spillover (Figure 4. Supplementary Table 9). In addition, negative associations between 249 *Marburgvirus* spillover (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 9). In addition, negative associations between
250 **produces** richness and *Ebolavirus* emergence were significant for some of the model quartiles 250 predator species richness and *Ebolavirus* emergence were significant for some of the model quartiles
251 when the "R-INLA" package was used, or when the species richness variables were calculated using 251 when the "R-INLA" package was used, or when the species richness variables were calculated using
252 the IUCN polygons (Supplementary Fig. 6, Supplementary Table 10-14: Supplementary Fig. 7, 252 the IUCN polygons (Supplementary Fig. 6, Supplementary Table 10-14; Supplementary Fig. 7, 253 Supplementary Table 15-19) Models using the species richness variables including predator species 253 Supplementary Table 15-19). Models using the species richness variables including predator species
254 reported to prev on bats did not support any significant association. (Supplementary Fig. 8, 254 reported to prey on bats did not support any significant association. (Supplementary Fig. 8, 255 Supplementary Table 20-24). No significant association was revealed in the sensitivity analyses for 255 Supplementary Table 20-24). No significant association was revealed in the sensitivity analyses for 256 *Marburevirus* (Supplementary Fig. 9-10, Supplementary Table 25-26). ²⁵⁶*Marburgvirus* (Supplementary Fig. 9-10, Supplementary Table 25-26).

260 **Fig 3. Estimated ORs for** *Ebolavirus* **incidence according to the degree of species richness.** (A) 261 The result of Model 1. (B) The result of Model 2. (C) The result of Model 1. 261 The result of Model 1. (B) The result of Model 2. (C) The result of Model 3. (D) The result of Model 2.
262 4. (E) The result of Model 5. Model 2 and Model 5 were the best-fitting models with the greatest DIC 262 4. (E) The result of Model 5. Model 2 and Model 5 were the best-fitting models with the greatest DIC
263 and WAIC, considering spatial and spatio-temporal autocorrelation, respectively. The dots indicate the 263 and WAIC, considering spatial and spatio-temporal autocorrelation, respectively. The dots indicate the estimated ORs, with error bars representing the corresponding 95 % Wald's credible intervals. Red 264 estimated ORs, with error bars representing the corresponding 95 % Wald's credible intervals. Red
265 means that the error bar does not intersect 1. The y-axis is shown on a logarithmic scale. The authors 265 means that the error bar does not intersect 1. The y-axis is shown on a logarithmic scale. The authors generated draws of each predator. generated draws of each predator.

270 **Fig 4. Estimated ORs for** *Marburgvirus* **incidence according to the degree of species richness.
271 The dots indicate the estimated ORs, with error bars representing the corresponding 95 % Wald's** 271 The dots indicate the estimated ORs, with error bars representing the corresponding 95 % Wald's
272 credible intervals. The y-axis is shown on a logarithmic scale. The authors generated draws of each 272 credible intervals. The y-axis is shown on a logarithmic scale. The authors generated draws of each predator. predator.

274

²⁷⁵**Discussion**

276 We evaluated the association between predator species richness and filovirus spillover in Africa. The results showed that higher species richness in the in the order Strigiformes and family Colubridae was 277 results showed that higher species richness in the in the order Strigiformes and family Colubridae was
278 associated with lower odds of *Ebolavirus* spillover compared with that in regions with lower predator 278 associated with lower odds of *Ebolavirus* spillover compared with that in regions with lower predator
279 species richness. Regardless of the approach taken to calculate species diversity, this association was 279 species richness. Regardless of the approach taken to calculate species diversity, this association was
280 robust. robust.

- ²⁸¹The negative association between predator species richness and the risk of *Ebolavirus* spillover
-
- 282 suggests top–down regulation of *Ebolavirus* reservoir hosts (i.e., bats) by predators. The crucial roles
283 played by predators in terms of the functional diversity of ecological communities and the control of played by predators in terms of the functional diversity of ecological communities and the control of

284 populations of disease reservoir hosts have been reported previously (9, 10, 12). The greater the predator species richness (i.e., the numbers of predator species within an area), the greater the cascade 285 predator species richness (i.e., the numbers of predator species within an area), the greater the cascade
286 effect on prev species. The growing body of research on bat predation is slowly improving our 286 effect on prey species. The growing body of research on bat predation is slowly improving our 287 understanding of bat predators and the effects of predation on bat populations (12-14). Natural bat 287 understanding of bat predators and the effects of predation on bat populations (12-14). Natural bat 288 predators may include birds, snakes, and mammals. Although few vertebrate predators are known to 288 predators may include birds, snakes, and mammals. Although few vertebrate predators are known to
289 specialize on bats, and bat predation appears to be mostly opportunistic in nature, generalist and 289 specialize on bats, and bat predation appears to be mostly opportunistic in nature, generalist and
290 opportunistic predators may substantially impact bat ecology (9, 12, 14) via both direct predation and 290 opportunistic predators may substantially impact bat ecology (9, 12, 14) via both direct predation and
291 non-lethal cascade effects, also termed trait-mediated indirect interactions. Thus, predators control the 291 non-lethal cascade effects, also termed trait-mediated indirect interactions. Thus, predators control the
292 abundance, density, and behavior patterns of prev species, eventually reducing the rate of contact 292 abundance, density, and behavior patterns of prey species, eventually reducing the rate of contact
293 between reservoir hosts and humans and thus mitigating the risk of zoonotic spillover (9). Such 293 between reservoir hosts and humans and thus mitigating the risk of zoonotic spillover (9). Such
294 suppression is relatively strong in regions wherein ecological diversity is well-maintained. suppression is relatively strong in regions wherein ecological diversity is well-maintained.

295 The predator species richness of the order Strigiformes was significantly and negatively associated
296 with the risk of *Ebolavirus* spillover. This is consistent with previous studies suggesting that owls are 296 with the risk of *Ebolavirus* spillover. This is consistent with previous studies suggesting that owls are
297 primary predators of bats (13, 14). Snakes are also supposed to prev on bats, via two strategies: 297 primary predators of bats (13, 14). Snakes are also supposed to prey on bats, via two strategies:
298 positioning themselves near bat passage routes (i.e., near the entrances to bat roosts) and entering the 298 positioning themselves near bat passage routes (i.e., near the entrances to bat roosts) and entering the
299 refuges (12). Most such behaviors have been reported in tropical regions (29), perhaps because 299 refuges (12). Most such behaviors have been reported in tropical regions (29), perhaps because
300 tropical bats roost by hiding among leaves or in open canopies that are accessible to most vertebrate 300 tropical bats roost by hiding among leaves or in open canopies that are accessible to most vertebrate
301 predators. Bat predation is poorly understood: bats fly at night and hide by day. However, it appears 301 predators. Bat predation is poorly understood; bats fly at night and hide by day. However, it appears
302 that predation of bats by snakes in our study area is more significant than previously thought. More 302 that predation of bats by snakes in our study area is more significant than previously thought. More ecological research is required. ecological research is required.

304 Predator species richness was not significantly associated with *Ebolavirus* cases in models that
305 considered only the species reported to prev on bats. This may be attributable to a lack of information 305 considered only the species reported to prey on bats. This may be attributable to a lack of information
306 on all bat predators. Although the number of known predators is increasing, such research is limited 306 on all bat predators. Although the number of known predators is increasing, such research is limited
307 by the ecological characteristics of bats, which render observations of predation difficult (12). Also, 307 by the ecological characteristics of bats, which render observations of predation difficult (12). Also,
308 *Marburgvirus* occurrences were not consistently associated with predator species richness. The 308 *Marburgvirus* occurrences were not consistently associated with predator species richness. The
309 composition of bat species in the *Marburgvirus* regions may explain these results Given the high bat 309 composition of bat species in the *Marburgvirus* regions may explain these results. Given the high bat 310 diversity in the study region, *R. aegypticus*, the primary reservoir host of *Marburgvirus*, would not be 310 diversity in the study region, *R. aegypticus*, the primary reservoir host of *Marburgvirus*, would not be the dominant bat species there. Therefore, the extent of predator richness may not have had any 311 the dominant bat species there. Therefore, the extent of predator richness may not have had any
312 discernible effect on bat activities (30). Further studies of bat ecology, diversity, and abundance, 312 discernible effect on bat activities (30). Further studies of bat ecology, diversity, and abundance,
313 especially of R *aevypticus* are needed ³¹³especially of *R. aegypticus,* are needed.

314 Despite the strengths of this ecological study, several limitations should be noted. First, we estimated
315 the diversity of predator species using stacked (aggregated) species distribution models. These models ³¹⁵the diversity of predator species using stacked (aggregated) species distribution models. These models

316 may systematically overestimate site-level species richness (31). Therefore, we adjusted for bias using
317 categorical values of predator species richness. Second, we did not include the temporal variations in 317 categorical values of predator species richness. Second, we did not include the temporal variations in
318 species numbers from 2000 to 2021. However, such temporal changes can be ignored because most species numbers from 2000 to 2021. However, such temporal changes can be ignored because most 319 species considered are classified as IUCN "Least concern" (i.e., low risk of extinction). Third, when
320 measuring species diversity, we simply calculated the numbers of species: we excluded the relative 320 measuring species diversity, we simply calculated the numbers of species; we excluded the relative
321 abundances of the predator species. Future research should employ other indicators of diversity such 321 abundances of the predator species. Future research should employ other indicators of diversity such 322 as the Simpson diversity index. Fourth, we considered only three bat species (*E. franqueti, H.* 322 as the Simpson diversity index. Fourth, we considered only three bat species (*E. franqueti*, *H.* 323 *monstrosus*, and *M. torauate*) that tested positive by PCR as primary reservoir hosts of *Ebolavirus*. ³²³*monstrosus*, and *M. torquate*) that tested positive by PCR as primary reservoir hosts of *Ebolavirus.* 324 Other probable reservoirs (bat species positive using serological methods) should be included in 325 future studies. Finally, our study units were $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grids; the use of a different scale (such as $0.5^{\circ} \$ 325 future studies. Finally, our study units were $1° \times 1°$ grids; the use of a different scale (such as 0.5° \times 326 0.5°) could have affected the results. This is the well-known modifiable area unit problem. $0.5°$) could have affected the results. This is the well-known modifiable area unit problem.

327 The world is still struggling to exit the unprecedented COVID-19 pandemic. It is predicted that the probability of pandemics caused by spillovers may increase in the coming decades, given the tectonic 328 probability of pandemics caused by spillovers may increase in the coming decades, given the tectonic
329 shifts in climate change and anthropogenic environmental degradation. However, although 329 shifts in climate change and anthropogenic environmental degradation. However, although
330 environmental and biodiversity changes may affect the spread of zoonotic diseases via various 330 environmental and biodiversity changes may affect the spread of zoonotic diseases via various
331 mechanisms, prevention of outbreaks still depends on containment, i.e., human disease surveillance. 331 mechanisms, prevention of outbreaks still depends on containment, i.e., human disease surveillance,
332 vaccines, and therapeutics. Here, we suggest that predator species richness may play a crucial role in 332 vaccines, and therapeutics. Here, we suggest that predator species richness may play a crucial role in
333 mitigating the risk of filovirus spillover. Therefore, attempts to reduce the impacts of zoonotic 333 mitigating the risk of filovirus spillover. Therefore, attempts to reduce the impacts of zoonotic
334 diseases on public health should incorporate the concept of conservation epidemiology when deriving diseases on public health should incorporate the concept of conservation epidemiology when deriving 335 sustainable solutions that both maintain biodiversity and prevent zoonotic spillover, benefiting both
336 humans and the environment. humans and the environment.

³⁴⁰**Data availability**

- 341 The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the 342 corresponding author on reasonable request.
-

³⁴⁴**Code availability**

345 We share the R codes on https://github.com/TaeHChang/R-codes-for-paper-1

346

³⁴⁷**Acknowledgements**

348 This research was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the
349 Korea government (MSIT) (no. NRF-2021R1C1C2012611). The funders had no role in the design and 349 Korea government (MSIT) (no. NRF-2021R1C1C2012611). The funders had no role in the design and
350 conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, 350 conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation,
351 review, or approval of the paper; and decision to submit the paper for publication. review, or approval of the paper; and decision to submit the paper for publication.

³⁵³**Author contributions**

354 K.D.M. and S.C. conceived, designed, and supervised the study. T.C. collected and analyzed the data.
355 T.C. wrote the drafts of the paper. K.D.M. and S.C. commented on and revised drafts of the paper. All 355 T.C. wrote the drafts of the paper. K.D.M. and S.C. commented on and revised drafts of the paper. All 356 authors read and approved the final report. authors read and approved the final report.

³⁵⁸**Competing interests**

359 The authors declare no competing interests.

³⁶⁴**References**

