- 1 Full Title: Maternal adverse childhood experiences on child growth and development in
- 2 rural Pakistan: an observational cohort study
- 4 Short Title: Maternal adverse childhood experiences on child growth and development
- 5 in rural Pakistan

6

10 11

14

16

19

22

25

28 29

32

35 36

- 7 **Authors**: Esther O. Chung<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Elissa Scherer<sup>1,#a</sup>, Katherine LeMasters<sup>1,2</sup>, Lisa Bates<sup>3</sup>,
- 8 Ashley Hagaman<sup>4</sup>, Brooke S. Staley<sup>1</sup>, Lauren Zalla<sup>1,#b</sup>, Siham Sikander<sup>5,6</sup>, Joanna
- 9 Maselko<sup>1,2</sup>
  - Affiliations:
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of
- North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States
- <sup>2</sup> Carolina Population Center, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University,
- 18 New York, New York, United States
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, Yale University,
- 21 New Haven, Connecticut, United States
- <sup>5</sup> Global Institute of Human Development, Shifa Tameer-e-Millat University, Islamabad,
- 24 Pakistan
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Primary Care and Mental Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool,
- 27 United Kingdom
- <sup>#a</sup> Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Cambridge,
- 31 Massachusetts, United States
- 33 #b Department of Epidemiology, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins
- 34 University, Baltimore, Maryland, United States
- 37 \* Corresponding author
- 38 Email: echung@unc.edu (EC)

## **Abstract**

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

Maternal adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) have been associated with negative impacts on children. However, not all types or levels of adversity are similarly deleterious and research from diverse contexts is needed to better understand why and how intergenerational transmission of adversity occurs. We examined the role of maternal ACEs on child growth and development at 36 months postpartum in rural Pakistan. We used data from 877 mother-child dyads in the Bachpan Cohort, a birth cohort study. Maternal ACEs were captured using an adapted version of the ACE-International Questionnaire. Outcomes included child growth, fine motor and receptive language development, and socioemotional and behavioral development at 36 months of age. To estimate the associations between maternal ACEs and child outcomes, we used multivariable generalized linear models with inverse probability weights to account for sampling and loss to follow-up. Over half of mothers in our sample (58%) experienced at least one ACE. Emotional abuse, physical abuse, and emotional neglect were the most commonly reported. We found null relationships between the number of maternal ACEs and child growth. Maternal ACEs were associated with higher fine motor and receptive language development and worse socioemotional and behavioral outcomes. Maternal ACE domains had similarly varying relationships with child outcomes. Our findings highlight the complexity of intergenerational associations between maternal ACEs and children's growth and development. Further work is necessary to examine these relationships across cultural contexts and identify moderating factors to mitigate potential negative intergenerational effects.

### Introduction

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) refer to severely stressful exposures or experiences that occur in childhood, such as abuse, neglect, violence between caregivers, and peer or community violence [1]. The effects of ACEs start early in life and continue throughout the lifecourse, beginning with delayed child development and progressing to poor psychological and physical health outcomes in adulthood [2,3]. ACEs have been linked to numerous adult health outcomes such as cardiometabolic disease, cancer, mortality [4-6], and several negative psychological outcomes including depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, and suicide [7–10]. Severe early life stressors can lead to observable changes in brain structure and function, which has potentially permanent effects on development [11,12], and can alter physiological systems, such as the stress response axes, immune functioning and inflammation [13]. While there is considerable evidence of the harmful impact of accumulated ACEs across an individual's life course, intergenerational effects are an understudied area of concern. The intergenerational transmission of maternal childhood adversity may occur through biological embedding during pregnancy as well as through maternal mental health and parenting related pathways [14]. For example, stress-induced epigenetic alterations during a mother's childhood can alter the maternal-placental-fetal endocrine, immune, and inflammatory stress biology. This, in turn, may affect the fetal brain and ultimately, the child's physical, cognitive, and socioemotional developmental trajectories. Maternal ACEs may also impact the quality of the postnatal environment [14] and, indeed, maternal anxiety, depression, and parenting practices are key mediators between maternal ACEs and child socioemotional development [15–18].

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

In relation to parental ACEs and early childhood development, the most studied domain is socioemotional development. Worse socioemotional functioning, evident through greater externalizing and internalizing problems, has been shown to exist for children born to mothers with greater exposure to ACEs in high-income countries [15,19–21]. These socioemotional problems include higher anxiety, aggression, hyperactivity, and negative affect in the first three years of life [9,22,23]. Less research has been conducted examining the impact of maternal childhood adversity and the next generation's physical growth and cognitive development. Of the existing research, maternal ACEs have been linked to low birth weight and shorter gestational age [24], lower overall development at 12 months [25], lower parental-rated physical health at 18 months [26], and decreased problem solving, gross motor, fine motor, and communication skills at 24 months [27]. All of these studies were conducted in the United States (US) or Canada, and few studies have examined the intergenerational effects of maternal ACE exposure in low- and middle- income countries (LMICs). Addressing ACEs in LMICs is critically important for several reasons. Adversity in childhood is more prevalent in LMICs, resources to address ACEs in LMICs are limited, and the majority of the world's population resides in LMICs [28]. Finally, understanding the intergenerational effects of maternal ACEs across cultural contexts will help to identify vulnerable populations for intervention. To our knowledge, we are only aware of three studies assessing the intergenerational effects of maternal ACEs in LMICs. In one recent study, researchers reported that maternal ACEs were associated with poor fetal attachment during pregnancy across eight LMICs; however, the effects

varied across cultural contexts [29]. Researchers reported positive effect estimates of

maternal ACEs on fetal attachment in Jamaica, Pakistan, Philippines, and South Africa, no effect in Sri Lanka, and negative effect estimates in Ghana, Romania, and Vietnam. Two other studies found maternal ACEs were associated with worse socioemotional development among older children (aged 2-18 years) in Kenya [17,18]. The heterogeneity of results from these studies underscore the importance of studying the intergenerational effects of maternal childhood adversity across diverse contexts. These studies also did not investigate how maternal ACEs affected early child growth and development. Therefore, the present study examined the role of maternal ACEs in child growth and development at 36 months postpartum in rural Pakistan.

## **Methods**

## **Study Population and Participants**

We used data from the Bachpan Cohort, a birth cohort study based in Kallar Syedan, a rural subdistrict in Rawalpindi, Punjab Province [30,31]. Kallar Syedan has a population of roughly 200,000 people and the average household size is six individuals [32]. Literacy rates for men and women in rural Punjab province are 70% and 53%, respectively. Women in our sample had higher educational attainment compared to the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) rates in rural areas of the Punjab province (50% in our sample achieved secondary school or higher vs. 20% in the DHS) [33].

Pregnant women in their third trimester were invited for depression screening from 2014-2016. Women who screened positive for depression were invited to participate in the trial portion of the study, and a random sample of a third of women who screened negative for depression were asked to participate in the cohort only

portion, which created a population-representative sample. Mother-child dyads were enrolled in their third trimester and followed up at 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months postpartum. Of the 1,154 women assessed at baseline, 265 were lost to follow-up by 36 months and 12 were missing outcome measurements, resulting in 877 mother-child dyads with complete data.

### **Exposure**

We measured maternal ACEs using an adapted version of the ACE-International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ) [34], a self-reported retrospective measure that has been validated in other low-resource contexts (S1 Table) [35,36]. The ACE-IQ was adapted from the original ACE measure developed in the US [37] to include items on peer violence, exposure to collective violence and witnessing community violence. Due to potential risks to the participant and expected underreporting, we removed the sexual abuse questions. At 36 months postpartum, mothers retrospectively reported their experiences of 12 adverse exposures in childhood.

We operationalized maternal ACEs in multiple ways. We created a summed score ranging from 0-12, a categorical variable with the number of experiences (0, 1, 2, 3, 4 or more), and domain-specific indicators for neglect (emotional and physical), family psychological distress (alcohol and/or drug abuser in the household; incarcerated household member; someone depressed, mentally ill, institutionalized or suicidal), home violence (physical abuse; emotional abuse; household member treated violently), and community violence (bullying; community violence; collective violence). For each domain-specific indicator, women received a "Yes" if they experienced any of the ACEs

within each domain. Twenty women responded "Do not remember" to some ACE questions and these were recoded as "No".

#### **Outcomes**

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

Our main outcomes were child growth and development (fine motor, receptive language, and socioemotional) at 36 months. We used the World Health Organization's standards to measure physical growth z-scores using length-for-age (LAZ), weight-forage (WAZ), and weight-for-length (WLZ) [38]. We measured fine motor and receptive language development using the Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development [39]. Scaled scores were calculated using a reference population in the US by the child's age group; scores range from 1-19 with a mean of 10 and standard deviation of three. Socioemotional development was captured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire: Socioemotional (ASQ:SE) [40]. The ASQ:SE is a 30-item parent-reported measure. ranging from 0-270, with higher scores indicating worse child socioemotional development. Behavioral outcomes were assessed using the parent-reported Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) [41]. The SDQ covers 25 questions in five domains: hyperactivity, emotional, conduct, and peer problems, and pro-social behaviors. A total score is calculated by summing the first four domains and ranges from 0-40, with higher scores indicating worse behavioral outcomes.

### **Confounders**

We included the following baseline confounders informed by a directed acyclic graph (DAG) [42,43] to estimate the total effect of maternal ACEs on early child growth

and development: maternal age, education, and family history of mental illness. We aimed to estimate the total effect of maternal ACEs on child outcomes; therefore, we did not control for potential variables, such as maternal depression or child health, which we identified as mediators using the DAG. We used maternal education as a proxy for maternal childhood socioeconomic status and operationalized it as a categorical variable (none, primary or middle school, secondary education or higher). We asked mothers to report if anyone in her immediate natal family had an existing mental illness and used it as a proxy for the mother's family history of mental illness.

## **Statistical Analysis**

We constructed multivariable generalized linear models to assess the relationship between maternal ACEs and child growth and development. In addition to confounders, we also included child gender, trial arm, and assessor in all models to improve precision [44]. We used cluster robust standard errors to account for clustering by village, and cluster-specific sampling weights to account for unequal sampling probabilities by baseline depression. In a given cluster, all non-depressed women were weighted by the inverse of their sampling fraction (i.e., the proportion that were screened for depression at recruitment and subsequently enrolled), and depressed women were each given a weight of 1. Therefore, non-depressed women were upweighted to account for their lower probability of selection into the study.

To account for informative censoring between baseline and 36 months postpartum, we used stabilized inverse probability of censoring weights (IPCW) [45].

IPCW are estimated as the inverse of the probability that a woman was not censored at

36 months, based on observed characteristics. Women who were not loss to follow-up were upweighted to represent those who were lost to follow-up. In the IPCW model, we included baseline confounders (maternal age, education, and family history of mental illness) and baseline characteristics associated with censoring using a p-value <0.10 (maternal depression, child's grandmother co-residence, number of people per room, and nuclear vs. joint family), with household asset scores included to increase precision [46]. IPCW were stabilized by using the marginal probability of being observed in the numerator. Sampling weights and IPCW were multiplied to create a final weight used in all models [47]. The final weight was also used to estimate means and frequencies in descriptive tables; numbers were unweighted. Analyses were conducted in Stata 14 and R.

## **Ethics approval**

The Bachpan Cohort study received ethical approval from the institutional review boards at the Human Development Research Foundation (IRB/1017/2021), Duke University, and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (#20-1433). Written informed consent, or witnessed informed consent if the participant was illit- erate, was obtained before study participation.

### Results

### **Descriptive statistics**

There were 877 women and children in our analytic sample (Table 1). After applying weights, on average, women were 27 years old and half had completed secondary school education or higher at baseline. Roughly 28% of mothers were diagnosed with depression at baseline and 9% reported having a family history of mental illness. The majority of households were joint or extended families and 68% of children were co-residing with a grandmother. Almost half of the children were female and mean LAZ and WAZ were -0.99 (SD=1.10) and -0.96 (SD=0.99), respectively. Mean fine motor and receptive language scaled scores were slightly higher than the average of 10 (10.43 [2.79]; 11.45 [4.03], respectively). Mean ASQ-SE and SDQ scores were 38.83 (SD=17.03) and 14.02 (SD=6.30), respectively.

Table 1. Sample characteristics, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan, n=877				
	Mean or N	SD or %	Range	
Maternal characteristics (baseline)	26.60	4.29	18 - 45	
Maternal age				
Maternal education				
None	124	13.60		
Primary or middle school	338	36.27		
Secondary school or higher	413	50.14		
Natal family history of mental illness	95	8.93		
Depression diagnosis (SCID)	314	27.56		
Household characteristics (baseline)				
People per room	2.37	1.87	0 - 23	
Nuclear family (vs. joint or extended)	111	13.16		
Grandmother coresidence	609	68.29		
Trial arm: Intervention (vs. control)	426	51.68		
Household assets				
Lowest	165	17.58		
Lower middle	176	18.37		
Middle	184	19.68		
Upper middle	173	21.99		
Highest	177	22.38		
Child characteristics (36 months)				
Gender: Female	432	48.37		
Length-for-age z-score	-0.99	1.10	-4.59 - 2.87	
Weight-for-age z-score	-0.96	0.99	-4.64 - 4.23	
Weight-for-height z-score	-0.61	1.12	-5.08 - 5.65	
BSITD receptive language scaled score	10.43	2.79	5 - 19	
BSITD fine motor scaled score	11.45	4.03	2 - 19	
ASQ-SE total score	38.83	17.03	10 - 170	
SDQ total difficulties score	14.02	6.30	3 - 34	

<sup>\*</sup> Sampling probability and inverse probability weights were used to calculate means, SDs, and percentages.

Abbreviations: Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development (BSITD); Ages and Stages Questionnaire-Socioemotional (ASQ-SE); Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)

After applying weights, over 58% of women (n=512) experienced at least one ACE (Table 2). Among the 12 ACE questions, emotional abuse (n=290, 32%), physical abuse (n=206, 23%), emotional neglect (n=131, 15%), and witnessing a household member being treated violently (n=128, 15%) were the most common. With respect to ACE domains, more than one in three women were exposed to violence in their childhood home (n=344, 39%) and one in five experienced emotional or physical neglect during their childhood (n=170, 19%). Roughly 16% (n=141) experienced family psychological distress. Community violence was far less common (n=63, 6.9%).

Table 2. Maternal Adverse Childhood Experiences (n=877)*			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N	%	
Number of ACEs			
None	363	41.49	
1	235	26.86	
2	137	15.66	
3	81	9.26	
4 or more	59	6.74	
Neglect			
Physical neglect	49	5.60	
Emotional neglect	131	14.97	
Family psychological distress			
One or no parents, parental separation or divorce	96	10.97	
Alcohol/drug abuser in the household	23	2.63	
Someone chronically depressed, mentally ill	21	2.40	
Incarcerated household member	16	1.83	
Home violence			
Household member treated violently	128	14.63	
Physical abuse	206	23.54	
Emotional abuse	290	33.14	
Community violence			
Bullying	12	1.37	
Community violence	59	6.74	
Collective violence	4	0.46	
ACE domains**			
Neglect	170	19.43	
Family psychological distress	141	16.11	
Home violence	344	39.31	
Community violence	63	7.20	
•	Mean	SD	
Total number of ACEs (range: 0-10)	2.37	1.38	

<sup>\*</sup> Sampling probability weights and inverse probability weights were used.

243

244

<sup>\*\*</sup> Domains were binary categories describing experience of any individual ACEs.

We highlight the effects of maternal ACEs on child growth and development below. Full estimates and precision are presented in S2 and S3 Tables.

#### **Growth outcomes**

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

Maternal ACEs and child growth were not strongly associated (Fig 1, S2 Table); our estimates were noisy, however, we found some patterns. There were small. negative relationships between maternal ACEs and child LAZ (Fig 1A). We found a negative trend with child LAZ as maternal ACEs increased with four or more maternal ACEs were associated with a 0.13 SD decrease in child LAZ (95% CI: -0.42, 0.15). Except for the neglect domain, family distress, home violence, and community violence had negative associations with child LAZ (Fig 1A). We found largely null relationships between maternal ACEs and child WAZ (Fig 1B); however, neglect was positively associated with WAZ (0.25, 95% CI: -0.04, 0.53). Maternal ACEs and WLZ were slightly positively associated (Fig 1C). Any maternal ACE compared to none was associated with a 0.15 SD increase in WLZ (95% CI: -0.02, 0.32). There was no clear stepwise trend between incremental ACEs and WLZ; however, four or more maternal ACEs were associated with a 0.23 SD higher WLZ (95% CI: -0.06, 0.52). Maternal childhood experience of neglect and community violence were also associated with a 0.23 increase in child WLZ (95% CI: 0.01, 0.45; -0.05, 0.52, respectively).

Fig 1. Maternal ACEs and child growth, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877). We used weighted generalized linear models with cluster robust standard errors. Sampling

and inverse probability censoring weights were combined. All models controlled for baseline maternal age, maternal education, trial arm, assessor, and child gender.

### Fine motor and receptive language outcomes

We found positive associations between maternal ACEs and children's fine motor and receptive language scores (Fig 2, S3 Table). Any maternal experience of ACEs was associated with both higher fine motor and receptive language scores compared to no maternal ACE. There was not a clear stepwise trend in incremental maternal ACE exposure and fine motor scores (Fig 2A). In contrast, we saw a small stepwise trend where, compared to no maternal ACEs, experience of two, three, and four or more ACEs was associated with incremental increases in children's receptive language scores (Fig 2B). Maternal experiences of neglect and family distress during her childhood were associated with higher child fine motor and receptive language scores (Fig 2). Home violence was not associated with fine motor or receptive language scores. Community violence was associated with decreased fine motor scores and increased receptive language scores; however, these estimates were imprecise with large confidence limit differences (Fig 2).

Fig 2. Maternal ACEs and child fine motor and receptive language, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877). We used weighted generalized linear models with cluster robust standard errors. Sampling and inverse probability censoring weights were combined. All models controlled for baseline maternal age, maternal education, trial arm, assessor, and child gender.

### Socioemotional outcomes

Maternal ACEs were associated with worse child socioemotional and behavioral development; higher ASQ:SE and SDQ scores suggest greater socioemotional problems (Fig 3, S3 Table). Compared to children of mothers who experienced no ACE, children whose mothers experienced any ACE scored 3.30 points higher on the ASQ:SE (95% CI: 0.98, 5.62). We found a similar effect on SDQ; however, the mean difference was substantially smaller (Fig 2B, MD=0.59, 95% CI: -0.27, 1.44). There was an increasing trend between incremental maternal ACE exposure on ASQ:SE, but not for SDQ (Fig 3A and 3B). Maternal experience of all four domains (neglect, family distress, home violence, and community violence) were associated with higher ASQ:SE scores; however, our confidence intervals were wide with confidence limit differences ranging from 3.95 to 7.43 (Fig 3A). For SDQ, maternal childhood experience of home violence was associated with higher scores, while maternal childhood experience of neglect and family distress had small negative associations with child SDQ.

Fig 3. Maternal ACEs and child socioemotional and behavioral outcomes,

Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877). We used weighted generalized linear models with
cluster robust standard errors. Sampling and inverse probability censoring weights were
combined. All models controlled for baseline maternal age, maternal education, trial
arm, assessor, and child gender.

## **Discussion**

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

The goal of our analysis was to estimate the effects of maternal ACEs on her child's development at 36 months of age. Over half of the mothers in our sample in rural Pakistan experienced at least one ACE. Maternal ACEs were not associated with child growth. We found an unexpected relationship between maternal ACEs and child fine motor and receptive language development, where maternal childhood experience of adversity was associated with more positive child development. Maternal ACEs were associated with worse child socioemotional and behavioral outcomes.

Mothers most commonly reported experiences of emotional abuse, physical abuse, emotional neglect, or seeing a household member treated violently during their childhood. The prevalence of any maternal ACEs in our sample (58%) was lower than in other LMICs using the same measure (ACE-IQ, roughly 80% in Saudi Arabia, Vietnam, Tunisia, and Lebanon) [48]. Other studies in Pakistan have also reported lower levels of ACEs. For example, one study of Pakistani university students found the mean number of ACEs among women was 0.40 (SD=0.92) [49], compared with our mean of 2.37 (SD=1.38). The authors of that study emphasized that in a collectivist and conservative context like Pakistan, the role of the family is critical even throughout adulthood, making it especially difficult to disclose sensitive topics, such as child maltreatment and family distress. Another study of pregnant women in Pakistan also reported a similar mean number of maternal ACEs in Pakistan (3.07, SD=2.37) as our study (2.37, SD=1.38) [29]. This study also found a wide range of mean ACEs across eight LMICs (from 2.54 in Vietnam to 6.42 in South Africa) [29], thus underscoring the importance of examining diverse cultural and contextual factors when assessing ACEs. Specific to measurement, another reason for the lower prevalence of ACEs in our study may be due to our

exclusion of the sexual abuse questions, which was done given potential risks to participants and expected underreporting of disclosure. Overall, cross-country variation in mean number of reported ACEs may therefore be due to both differential reporting and true variation in ACE exposures.

In our study, maternal ACEs were not associated with child growth outcomes at 36 months. If a key mechanism through which maternal ACEs influence growth is through the intrauterine environment in pregnancy, it is possible that any such effects would be observed closer to birth. The potential negative effects of maternal ACEs on children's physical development could have also been remedied between birth and 36 months through moderating factors such as improved nutrition. During the first three years of life, other external factors might have stronger influences on child growth. In low-resource contexts, other forms of early life adversity not captured by ACEs, such as extreme poverty, may have a greater effect on children's physical development than maternal ACEs.

Notably, maternal ACEs were associated with better children's fine motor and receptive language scores. There is limited literature examining the intergenerational transmission of maternal ACEs on children's overall developmental outcomes. Existing research from high-income countries are mixed. In two studies from the US and Canada, maternal ACEs were associated with worse overall development and greater risk of developmental delay [25,27], while another US study found no relationship between maternal ACEs and child development [50]. We found no prior study investigating maternal ACEs and overall child development in LMICs. While more research is needed to replicate these findings, the idea of post-traumatic growth may, in

theory, explain a positive relationship between maternal ACEs and better child development. Post-traumatic growth is defined as a positive psychological change following traumatic events [51–53]. Prior work has found that individuals who experience past adversity have increased empathy and altruism for others [54–56]. In support of this hypothesis, Brown et al (2021) found a positive effect of maternal ACEs on fetal attachment among Pakistani mothers, although they did not examine child development. Importantly, the exact mechanisms through which this would be linked to improved developmental outcomes remains unknown. Assessing the ways in which post-traumatic growth among mothers who experienced ACEs affects health and social behaviors across the lifecourse would be invaluable.

In contrast to the findings with overall development, maternal ACEs in our sample were associated with worse child socioemotional development and behavioral outcomes. This finding is more consistent with the existing literature. In a systematic review, authors found maternal ACEs were associated with child externalizing behavior problems and the majority of included studies found relationships with child internalizing problems [57]. Similar results have been found in Kenya among older aged children (2-18 years) [17,18]. These links can potentially be explained through both biological and psychological pathways. Potential biological mechanisms suggest maternal experience of childhood adversity may influence maternal HPA-axis functioning during pregnancy, leading to changes in the gestational environment and the development of fetal stress response systems [58,59]. The hormonal changes during pregnancy may themselves, in turn, influence the woman's mental health and health behaviors [60,61], which can then affect infant stress regulation and behavioral difficulties [62]. Psychosocial

mechanisms posit that maternal ACEs can lead to poor attachment and development of healthy relationships between mothers and children [57]. Mothers who experience childhood trauma may be predisposed to mental health conditions such as anxiety or depression, and poor maternal mental health has been linked to increased parenting stress, impaired mother-child interactions, and harsher maternal parenting practices [63]. Furthermore, in the context of LMICs, economic and parenting resources may be scarce and this may exacerbate stress in the home environment. This, in turn, may lead to suboptimal caregiving (lack of warmth and responsiveness) and ultimately, worse child socioemotional development and behavior outcomes.

Finally, maternal ACE domains (Neglect, Family distress, Home violence, and Community violence) had varying relationships with child development. Maternal childhood exposure to neglect was associated with better child growth, fine motor, and receptive language development, but worse child socioemotional development.

Maternal childhood experience of family distress, home violence, and community violence had varied relationships with child outcomes. It is important to note that deprivation (absence of cognitive and social inputs) and threat (presence of threatening experiences) likely have distinct influences on neural development [64], and research has shown differential impacts on cognitive and emotional outcomes in children as well as pregnant women [65–67]. As previously mentioned, post-traumatic growth and compensatory parenting practices may help to explain the positive relationships of past maternal neglect on her own child's outcomes [51,52,68]. Positive experiences, such as supportive relationships and prosocial activities, can help to mitigate the impact of ACEs by serving as a promotive resilience factor [68]. Prior research demonstrates that adults

who had positive childhood experiences are more likely to have resilient functioning and more compensatory behaviors (e.g. less harsh parenting attitudes, greater affection) even if they experienced ACEs [69–73]. Mothers who experienced neglect in their childhood and had positive experiences concurrently or later in childhood may develop resiliency and in turn provide more resources and improved parenting for their children. Future research in LMICs should focus on identifying differential impacts of ACE domains on the next generation and examine whether and how positive experiences can mitigate the intergenerational transmission.

Although such explorations are beyond the scope of the current paper, important factors, such as maternal depression and parenting practices, may mediate the relationship between maternal ACEs and child outcomes. Previous work from our group demonstrated that ACEs were associated with greater depressive symptoms and major depressive episodes [74]. Studies in Kenya found that maternal mental health mediated the relationship between maternal ACEs and child mental health problems [17,18]. A study among pregnant mothers across eight LMICs found prenatal depression fully mediated the effect of maternal ACEs on fetal attachment using the full sample [29]. However, at the country-level, direct effects varied; in Vietnam, maternal ACEs directly and negatively affected fetal attachment and maternal prenatal depression did not mediate the relationship [29]. Other caregivers, such as fathers and grandmothers, are also important to consider. Their contributions may buffer any negative effects of maternal ACEs on children by improving maternal social support.

## **Strengths and limitations**

Our study had several strengths. We used standard, validated measures of child outcomes that have been previously used in our study context. Moreover, we estimated the total effect of maternal ACEs on the next generation's development as opposed to controlling for potential mediators, such as adult socioeconomic status or depression status, which may lead to biased estimates. Our study also had limitations that require discussion. First, although we used the ACE-IQ to capture maternal ACEs, it is a retrospective measure, which is vulnerable to recall bias. In the context of this study, it may be that depressed women are more likely to report ACEs in order to understand their depressive symptoms, resulting in potential differential misclassification. Moreover, the ACE-IQ may not fully measure adversities during childhood in this context. Second, while we controlled for maternal childhood SES and maternal family history of mental illness, we used proxies (maternal education and natal family mental illness history, respectively), and residual confounding is possible. Third, 265 women and children were lost to follow-up at 36 months postpartum; however, we used stabilized IPCW to account for informative censoring. When we excluded these weights, the estimates and precision did not substantially change, indicating that our results were not sensitive to weighting (S4 Table). Finally, there may be unmeasured confounders that affect this relationship, such as how mothers were raised (i.e., the caregiving practices of the mother's parents).

### Conclusion

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

Our findings suggest the intergenerational transmission of childhood adversity is complex and may differentially influence physical, cognitive, and socioemotional

domains of child development. To improve child health and development, it is necessary to understand maternal life histories, including her childhood experiences, rather than only capturing her experiences during pregnancy and postpartum. Future work should examine the intergenerational relationship of childhood adversity across cultural contexts in order to understand specific risk and protective factors and develop tailored interventions. Identifying moderating factors, such as promoting maternal responsive caregiving and improving maternal social support via other caregivers, can help inform strategies to disrupt the intergenerational cycle of adversity that is responsive to traumatic histories.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors are deeply grateful to the women, children, and communities of the Bachpan cohort. We would also like to thank the team at the Human Development Research Foundation (HDRF) including Rakshanda Liaqat, Tayyiba Abbasi, Maria Sharif, Samina Bilal, Quratul-Ain, Anum Nisar, Amina Bibi, Shaffaq Zufi- qar, Sonia Khan, Ahmed Zaidi, Ikhlaq Ahmad, and Najia Atif for their meaningful contributions to the study's design and implementation. We also thank the larger Bachpan and SHARE CHILD study teams. We are grateful for Paul Zivich's advice and guidance for implementing inverse probability weights.

## References

469

488

470 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing Adverse Childhood 471 Experiences. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, 472 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2019. 2. Cprek SE, Williamson LH, McDaniel H, Brase R, Williams CM. Adverse Childhood 473 Experiences (ACEs) and Risk of Childhood Delays in Children Ages 1–5. Child 474 475 Adolesc Soc Work J. 2020;37(1):15-24. 476 3. Shonkoff JP, Garner AS, Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of C, Family H, 477 Committee on Early Childhood A, Dependent C, et al. The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. Pediatrics. 2012 Jan;129(1):e232-46. 478 4. Brown DW, Anda RF, Tiemeier H, Felitti VJ, Edwards VJ, Croft JB, et al. Adverse 479 childhood experiences and the risk of premature mortality. Am J Prev Med. 2009 480 481 Nov;37(5):389–96. 482 5. Brown MJ, Thacker LR, Cohen SA. Association between adverse childhood 483 experiences and diagnosis of cancer. PloS One. 2013;8(6):e65524. 484 6. Jakubowski KP, Cundiff JM, Matthews KA. Cumulative childhood adversity and adult cardiometabolic disease: A meta-analysis. Health Psychol Off J Div Health Psychol 485 Am Psychol Assoc. 2018 Aug;37(8):701–15. 486 7. Atzl VM, Narayan AJ, Rivera LM, Lieberman AF. Adverse childhood experiences 487

and prenatal mental health: Type of ACEs and age of maltreatment onset. J Fam

489 Psychol JFP J Div Fam Psychol Am Psychol Assoc Div 43. 2019 Apr;33(3):304-14. 490 8. Chapman DP, Whitfield CL, Felitti VJ, Dube SR, Edwards VJ, Anda RF. Adverse 491 492 childhood experiences and the risk of depressive disorders in adulthood. J Affect Disord. 2004 Oct 15;82(2):217-25. 493 9. Letourneau N, Dewey D, Kaplan BJ, Ntanda H, Novick J, Thomas JC, et al. 494 Intergenerational transmission of adverse childhood experiences via maternal 495 496 depression and anxiety and moderation by child sex. J Dev Orig Health Dis. 2019 497 Feb;10(1):88–99. 498 10. Pournaghash-Tehrani SS, Zamanian H, Amini-Tehrani M. The Impact of Relational 499 Adverse Childhood Experiences on Suicide Outcomes During Early and Young 500 Adulthood. J Interpers Violence. 2019 May 29;886260519852160. 501 11. Juster RP, McEwen BS, Lupien SJ. Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and 502 impact on health and cognition. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2010;35(1):2-16. 503 12. McEwen BS, Gianaros PJ. Central role of the brain in stress and adaptation: links 504 to socioeconomic status, health, and disease. Ann N Acad Sci. 2010;1186:190. 505 13. Berens AE, Jensen SKG, Nelson CA. Biological embedding of childhood adversity: 506 from physiological mechanisms to clinical implications. BMC Med. 2017 Jul 507 20;15(1):135.

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

14. Buss C, Entringer S, Moog NK, Toepfer P, Fair DA, Simhan HN, et al. Intergenerational Transmission of Maternal Childhood Maltreatment Exposure: Implications for Fetal Brain Development, J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017 May;56(5):373–82. 15. Cooke JE, Racine N, Plamondon A, Tough S, Madigan S. Maternal adverse childhood experiences, attachment style, and mental health: Pathways of transmission to child behavior problems. Child Abuse Negl. 2019 Jul;93:27-37. 16. Shih EW, Ahmad SI, Bush NR, Roubinov D, Tylavsky F, Graff C, et al. A path model examination: maternal anxiety and parenting mediate the association between maternal adverse childhood experiences and children's internalizing behaviors. Psychol Med. 2021 May 18;1-11. 17. Kumar M, Amugune B, Madeghe B, Wambua GN, Osok J, Polkonikova-Wamoto A, et al. Mechanisms associated with maternal adverse childhood experiences on offspring's mental health in Nairobi informal settlements: a mediational model testing approach. BMC Psychiatry. 2018 Dec;18(1):1–10. 18. Rieder AD, Roth SL, Musyimi C, Ndetei D, Sassi RB, Mutiso V, et al. Impact of maternal adverse childhood experiences on child socioemotional function in rural Kenya: Mediating role of maternal mental health. Dev Sci. 2019 Sep;22(5):e12833. 19. McDonnell CG, Valentino K. Intergenerational Effects of Childhood Trauma: Evaluating Pathways Among Maternal ACEs, Perinatal Depressive Symptoms, and Infant Outcomes. Child Maltreat. 2016 Nov;21(4):317–26.

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

20. Hetherington E. McDonal S. Tough S. Maternal Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) and child development at 5 years. Paediatr Child Health. 2019;24(Supplement 2):e36-e36. 21. Schickedanz A, Halfon N, Sastry N, Chung PJ. Parents' adverse childhood experiences and their children's behavioral health problems. Pediatrics. 2018;142(2):e20180023. 22. McDonald SW, Madigan S, Racine N, Benzies K, Tomfohr L, Tough S. Maternal adverse childhood experiences, mental health, and child behaviour at age 3: The all our families community cohort study. Prev Med. 2019 Jan;118:286–94. 23. Treat AE, Sheffield-Morris A, Williamson AC, Hays-Grudo J. Adverse childhood experiences and young children's social and emotional development: the role of maternal depression, self-efficacy, and social support. Early Child Dev Care [Internet]. 2019 Jan 1 [cited 2020 Dec 16]; Available from: https://scholars.okstate.edu/en/publications/adverse-childhood-experiences-andvoung-childrens-social-and-emot 24. Smith MV, Gotman N, Yonkers KA. Early Childhood Adversity and Pregnancy Outcomes. Matern Child Health J. 2016 Apr;20(4):790–8. 25. Racine N, Plamondon A, Madigan S, McDonald S, Tough S. Maternal Adverse Childhood Experiences and Infant Development. Pediatrics. 2018;141(4):e20172495.

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

26. Madigan S, Wade M, Plamondon A, Maguire JL, Jenkins JM. Maternal Adverse Childhood Experience and Infant Health: Biomedical and Psychosocial Risks as Intermediary Mechanisms. J Pediatr. 2017 Aug;187:282-289.e1. 27. Folger AT, Eismann EA, Stephenson NB, Shapiro RA, Macaluso M, Brownrigg ME, et al. Parental Adverse Childhood Experiences and Offspring Development at 2 Years of Age. Pediatrics. 2018;141(4):e20172826. 28. Mikton C, Butchart A. Current state of the global public health response to child maltreatment and intimate partner violence. In 2013. 29. Brown RH, Eisner M, Walker S, Tomlinson M, Fearon P, Dunne MP, et al. The impact of maternal adverse childhood experiences and prenatal depressive symptoms on foetal attachment: Preliminary evidence from expectant mothers across eight middle-income countries. J Affect Disord. 2021 Dec 1;295:612-9. 30. Turner EL, Sikander S, Bangash O, Zaidi A, Bates L, Gallis J, et al. The effectiveness of the peer-delivered Thinking Healthy PLUS (THPP+) Program for maternal depression and child socioemotional development in Pakistan: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2016 Sep 8;17(1):442. 31. Maselko J, Sikander S, Turner E, Bates L, Ahmad I, Atif N, et al. Effectiveness of a peer-delivered, psychosocial intervention on maternal depression and child development at 3 years postnatal: a cluster randomised trial in Pakistan. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 1;7:775–87.

32. Government of Pakistan. Pakistan Bureau of Statistics Census. 2017.

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

33. National Institute of Population Studies, ICF. Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18 [Internet]. Islamabad, Pakistan: NIPS/Pakistan and ICF; 2019. Available from: http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR354/FR354.pdf 34. World Health Organization. Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ) [Internet]. 2018. Available from: https://www.who.int/violence\_injury\_prevention/violence/activities/adverse\_childho od\_experiences/en/. 35. Kidman R, Smith D, Piccolo LR, Kohler HP. Psychometric evaluation of the Adverse Childhood Experience International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ) in Malawian adolescents. Child Abuse Negl. 2019 Jun;92:139–45. 36. Kazeem OT. A Validation of the Adverse Childhood Experiences Scale in Nigeria. Sex Abuse. 2015;7. 37. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, et al. Relationship of Childhood Abuse and Household Dysfunction to Many of the Leading Causes of Death in Adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. Am J Prev Med. 1998 May 1;14(4):245-58. 38. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 2006 Apr;450:76–85. 39. Bayley N. Bayley Scales of Infant Development-III. Psychological Corporation; 2006.

- Squires J, Bricker D. Ages & stages questionnaires, (ASQ-3). Parent-Complet
   Child Monit Syst 3rd Ed Baltim MD Brookes. 2009;
   Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. J Child
   Psychol Psychiatry. 1997 Jul;38(5):581–6.
   Glymour MM, Greenland S. Causal diagrams. In: Modern Epidemiology. Third.
   Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008.
   Shrier I, Platt RW. Reducing bias through directed acyclic graphs. BMC Med Res
- 597 Methodol. 2008;8:1–15.
- 598 44. Schisterman EF, Cole SR, Platt RW. Overadjustment bias and unnecessary
   599 adjustment in epidemiologic studies. Epidemiol Camb Mass. 2009 Jul;20(4):488–
   600 95.
- 45. Seaman SR, White IR. Review of inverse probability weighting for dealing with
   missing data. Stat Methods Med Res. 2013 Jun;22(3):278–95.
- 46. Brookhart MA, Schneeweiss S, Rothman KJ, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, Sturmer T.
   Variable selection for propensity score models. Am J Epidemiol. 2006 Jun
   15;163(12):1149–56.
- Dugoff EH, Schuler M, Stuart EA. Generalizing observational study results:
   applying propensity score methods to complex surveys. Health Serv Res. 2014
   Feb;49(1):284–303.

609 48. Solberg MA, Peters RM. Adverse Childhood Experiences in Non-Westernized 610 Nations: Implications for Immigrant and Refugee Health. J Immigr Minor Health. 611 2020 Feb;22(1):145-55. 49. Bokhari M, Badar M, Naseer U, Waheed A, Safdar F. Adverse Childhood 612 613 Experiences & Impulsivity in Late Adolescence & Young Adulthood of Students of 614 University of the Punjab Lahore. 2015;14. 615 50. Brown AL, McKenna BG, Cohen MF, Dunlop AL, Corwin EJ, Brennan PA. Maternal 616 childhood adversity and early parenting: Implications for infant neurodevelopment. 617 Int Public Health J. 2018;10(4):445–54. 618 51. Tedeschi RG, Park CL, Calhoun LG. Posttraumatic growth: Positive changes in the 619 aftermath of crisis. Routledge; 1998. 620 52. Tranter H, Brooks M, Khan R. Emotional resilience and event centrality mediate 621 posttraumatic growth following adverse childhood experiences. Psychol Trauma 622 Theory Res Pract Policy. 2021;13(2):165–73. 623 53. Greenberg DM, Baron-Cohen S, Rosenberg N, Fonagy P, Rentfrow PJ. Elevated 624 empathy in adults following childhood trauma. PLoS One. 2018;13(10):e0203886. 625 54. Staub E, Vollhardt J. Altruism born of suffering: The roots of caring and helping 626 after victimization and other trauma. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 2008;78(3):267–80.

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

55. Vollhardt JR. Altruism Born of Suffering and Prosocial Behavior Following Adverse Life Events: A Review and Conceptualization. Soc Justice Res. 2009 Mar 1;22(1):53–97. 56. Lim D, DeSteno D. Suffering and compassion: The links among adverse life experiences, empathy, compassion, and prosocial behavior. Emotion. 2016;16(2):175–82. 57. Cooke JE, Racine N, Pador P, Madigan S. Maternal Adverse Childhood Experiences and Child Behavior Problems: A Systematic Review. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2021 Sep 1 [cited 2021 Sep 12];148(3). Available from: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/148/3/e2020044131 58. Monk C, Lugo-Candelas C, Trumpff C. Prenatal Developmental Origins of Future Psychopathology: Mechanisms and Pathways. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2019 May 7;15:317–44. 59. Thomas JC, Letourneau N, Campbell TS, Giesbrecht GF, Apron Study Team. Social buffering of the maternal and infant HPA axes: Mediation and moderation in the intergenerational transmission of adverse childhood experiences. Dev Psychopathol. 2018 Aug;30(3):921–39. 60. Racine N, Devereaux C, Cooke JE, Eirich R, Zhu J, Madigan S. Adverse childhood experiences and maternal anxiety and depression: a meta-analysis. BMC Psychiatry. 2021 Jan 11;21(1):28.

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

61. Racine N. McDonald S. Chaput K. Tough S. Madigan S. Pathways from Maternal Adverse Childhood Experiences to Substance Use in Pregnancy: Findings from the All Our Families Cohort. J Womens Health 2002. 2021 Feb 1; 62. Dunkel Schetter C. Psychological Science on Pregnancy: Stress Processes, Biopsychosocial Models, and Emerging Research Issues. Annu Rev Psychol. 2011 Jan 10;62(1):531–58. 63. Herba CM, Glover V, Ramchandani PG, Rondon MB. Maternal depression and mental health in early childhood: an examination of underlying mechanisms in lowincome and middle-income countries. Lancet Psychiatry. 2016;3(10):983–92. 64. McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA, Lambert HK. Childhood Adversity and Neural Development: Deprivation and Threat as Distinct Dimensions of Early Experience. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2014 Nov;47:578-91. 65. Machlin L, Miller AB, Snyder J, McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA. Differential Associations of Deprivation and Threat With Cognitive Control and Fear Conditioning in Early Childhood. Front Behav Neurosci. 2019;13:80. 66. Greene CA, McCoach DB, Briggs-Gowan MJ, Grasso DJ. Associations among childhood threat and deprivation experiences, emotion dysregulation, and mental health in pregnant women. Psychol Trauma Theory Res Pract Policy. 2021 May;13(4):446–56. 67. Johnson D, Policelli J, Li M, Dharamsi A, Hu Q, Sheridan MA, et al. Associations of Early-Life Threat and Deprivation With Executive Functioning in Childhood and

668 Adolescence: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2021 Jul 669 26;e212511. 68. Narayan AJ, Lieberman AF, Masten AS. Intergenerational transmission and 670 prevention of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). Clin Psychol Rev. 2021 Apr 671 1;85:101997. 672 69. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Ford K, Hardcastle KA, Sharp CA, Wood S, et al. Adverse 673 childhood experiences and sources of childhood resilience: a retrospective study of 674 675 their combined relationships with child health and educational attendance. BMC 676 Public Health. 2018;18(1):1–12. 677 70. Bethell C, Jones J, Gombojav N, Linkenbach J, Sege R. Positive childhood 678 experiences and adult mental and relational health in a statewide sample: 679 Associations across adverse childhood experiences levels. JAMA Pediatr. 680 2019;173(11):e193007-e193007. 71. Crouch E, Radcliff E, Strompolis M, Srivastav A. Safe, stable, and nurtured: 681 682 Protective factors against poor physical and mental health outcomes following 683 exposure to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). J Child Adolesc Trauma. 684 2019;12(2):165–73. 685 72. Morris AS, Hays-Grudo J, Zapata MI, Treat A, Kerr KL. Adverse and Protective 686 Childhood Experiences and Parenting Attitudes: the Role of Cumulative Protection in Understanding Resilience. Advers Resil Sci. 2021 Sep 1;2(3):181–92. 687

73. Floyd K, Morman MT. Affection received from fathers as a predictor of men's affection with their own sons: Tests of the modeling and compensation hypotheses. Commun Monogr. 2000 Dec 1;67(4):347–61.
74. LeMasters K, Bates LM, Chung EO, Gallis JA, Hagaman A, Scherer E, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and depression among women in rural Pakistan. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):1–11.


**Supporting Information** 


S1 Table. Adapted Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire (ACEs-IQ)

S2 Table. Maternal ACEs and child growth, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877)

S3 Table. Maternal ACEs and child development, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877)

S4 Table. Maternal ACEs and child growth and development without censoring weights, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877)






### Adjusted (n=877)

Total score

Binary

Maternal ACE



Categorical

ACE Domains