

## Genome-wide association study of blood mercury in European pregnant women and children

Kyle Dack<sup>\*,1</sup> Mariona Bustamante,<sup>2,3</sup> Caroline M. Taylor,<sup>4</sup> Sabrina Llop,<sup>5,6</sup> Manuel Lozano,<sup>5,7</sup> Paul D Yousefi,<sup>1</sup> Regina Grazuleviciene,<sup>8</sup> Kristine Bjerve Gutzkow,<sup>9</sup> Anne Lise Brantsæter,<sup>10</sup> Dan Mason,<sup>11</sup> Georgia Escaramís,<sup>12</sup> and Sarah J Lewis<sup>13</sup>

1. Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TH, UK
2. ISGlobal, Institute for Global Health, Barcelona, Spain; Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain
3. Spanish Consortium for Research on Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain
4. Centre for Academic Child Health, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2PS, UK
5. Epidemiology and Environmental Health Joint Research Unit, FISABIO-Universitat Jaume I-Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain
6. Spanish Consortium for Research on Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain
7. Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Food Sciences, Toxicology and Forensic Medicine Department, Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain
8. Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Vytautas Magnus University, 53361 Academia, Lithuania
9. Department of Air quality and noise, Division of Climate and Environmental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, P.O. Box 222 Skoyen, NO-0213 Oslo, Norway
10. Department of Food Safety, Division of Climate and Environmental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, P.O. Box 222 Skoyen, NO-0213 Oslo, Norway
11. Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Duckworth Lane, Bradford BD9 6RJ, UK
12. CIBER in Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain; Department of Biomedical Sciences, Institute of Neuroscience, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
13. Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TH, UK

\*Corresponding author: [kyle.dack@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:kyle.dack@bristol.ac.uk)

## Abstract

### Background

Mercury (Hg) is a toxic heavy metal which humans are most commonly exposed to through food chain contamination, especially via fish consumption. Even low-level exposure can be harmful because of the poor clearance rate, particularly for methylmercury. It is likely that genetic variation modifies exposure through changes in the absorption, metabolism, and/or removal of mercury. Associations have been reported between Hg and variants at multiple genetic loci, but in many cases these results are not yet replicated.

### Methods

This study included two populations: pregnant women from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC, n=2,893) and children from the Human Early Life Exposome (HELIX, n=1,042). Genome-wide testing by cohort was performed by fitting linear regressions models on whole blood Hg levels and Haplotype Reference Consortium imputed single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). SNP heritability was estimated using linkage disequilibrium (LD)- score regression, and the biological functions of the top variants were investigated using resources which aggregate prior literature.

### Results

Hg SNP heritability was estimated to be 24.0% (95% CI: 16.9% to 46.4%) for pregnant women. The number of genetic variants independently associated with whole blood mercury levels above a suggestive p-value threshold ( $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) was 16 for pregnant women and 21 for children. However, none were replicated in both populations, nor did any pass a stronger genome-wide significant threshold ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ). Several suggestive variants had possible biological links to Hg such as rs146099921 in metal transporter *SLC39A14*, and two variants (rs28618224, rs7154700) in potassium voltage-gated channels genes.

### Discussion

There was evidence for a considerable proportion of Hg variance being attributed to genome-wide variation in pregnant women. However, results between pregnant women and children were highly discordant which could reflect differences in metabolism and a gene-age interaction with Hg levels. There were a large number of SNPs suggestively associated with Hg levels, which likely include both true associations and false positives. These interim findings will be expanded following collaboration with additional study groups.

**Keywords:** GWAS, blood mercury, pregnant women, children, ALSPAC, HELIX

## Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a toxic metal which has increased in environmental concentrations substantially over the past century, the increase largely being attributed to changing human activities (1). Hg can be found in three forms, elemental, inorganic (I-Hg), and organic mercury mainly in the form of methylmercury (MeHg) (2). Of these, MeHg is considered to be the most harmful to humans, especially in vulnerable populations such as young children (3).

I-Hg is released in atmospheric emissions from industrial processes such as fossil fuel burning and transported globally through the atmosphere and oceans (4, 5). MeHg is formed as a result of the biomethylation of I-Hg due to the action of bacteria present in the aquatic environment (6). The amount of MeHg found in fish increases along the food chain because of bioaccumulation and biomagnification, with greater levels being observed in species occupying higher trophic levels, as well as in older specimens. While Hg primarily enters the human food chain through seafood contamination (7, 8), it can also contaminate rice, cereals (9, 10), meat (11), and be found in small quantities in other food products (12).

The properties of mercury exposure and metabolism are tissue and compound dependent. Circulating blood Hg is primarily composed of MeHg, with the remainder being I-Hg (13), and commonly the two are measured together as total Hg. MeHg is primarily absorbed through diet (14), and blood Hg can therefore be indicative of recent dietary exposure. Absorbed I-Hg tends to bind to thiol-containing proteins, and is quickly transported by plasma proteins such as albumin out of circulation and into tissues throughout the body (15). MeHg on the other hand is largely circulated in erythrocytes, potentially by binding to the erythrocyte membrane, or through other transport mechanisms such as D-glucose or cysteine (15, 16). A more detailed description is discussed elsewhere (15). The majority of MeHg excretion follows its demethylation to I-Hg (17), after which it may be transported to the kidneys or secreted from blood into the intestines for excretion through urine or faeces respectively (18, 19).

Mercury once absorbed is structurally similar to common amino acids (20), which enables binding with sulfhydryl group organic compounds, lipids, proteins, and enzymes. The toxic effects of Hg are therefore broad and can harm cellular functioning and health in any tissue (21). Macro-biological consequences include increased reactive oxygen species and oxidative stress (22, 23), carcinogenesis (24), epigenetic changes (25), and cell death (26), among many others.

The toxicity of Hg means that high doses of exposure such as from occupational accidentals can lead to acute poisoning, and require immediate treatment to avoid organ failure, neurological impairment, and long-term harm (27-29). For the remainder of the population exposure is mainly through diet, which involves smaller doses of Hg but can still cause to long-term harm. This is because Hg is slow to clear from the body and over time toxic quantities may accumulate within the body. Mercury levels are associated with increased blood pressure (30), risk of heart disease (31), and kidney disease (32), and a wide range of neurological symptoms (14, 33). Babies and children are predicted to be especially vulnerable, because mercury can readily cross the placenta (34) and accumulate at a higher Hg-to-weight ratio in the child. However, the evidence linking lower-level mercury exposure to developmental harm is currently weak (35, 36).

The identification of genetic variants associated with mercury concentrations could enable new methods of assessing the health effects of exposure, by acting as randomized proxies of mercury exposure (37). Genetic variation in the form of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) could alter the rates of absorption, transport, tissue storage, or removal of mercury. Human genetic association studies have identified several genes where variation could impact Hg, such as genes involved in the glutathione metal-binding detoxification system (38-40), the metallothionein metal transport family (41), lipid-transport protein APOE (42), and iron

homeostasis (43). Metal-metal interactions occur with selenium (44), zinc (45, 46), cadmium, and lead (47, 48) and therefore variants linked to these metals may also affect mercury levels (49-51).

Hypothesis-free genome-wide association studies (GWAS) allow systematic assessment of the relationship between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) and phenotypes of interest where results are easy to meta-analyse through standardised summary data and variants can be identified not only in genes but also non-coding regions of the genome (52, 53). This method has previously been used to identify genetic variants associated with blood concentrations of copper (50), iron (54) lead (49), manganese (55), selenium (50, 51), and zinc (50).

The objective of this study was to assess the associations between SNPs and blood Hg concentrations in pregnant women and children in a GWAS. Specifically, we aimed (1) to estimate the heritability of blood Hg levels, (2) to identify genetic variants associated with Hg levels in two European populations using genome-wide association testing and explore their functions through in silico analyses, and (3) to determine associations between Hg levels and candidate variants reported in previous studies of Hg or linked metals.

## Methods

### Overview

Genome-wide associations were estimated between genetic variants and blood Hg concentrations in two separate European studies, one of pregnant women and one of children. An overview of study characteristics, analysis methods, and exclusions is provided in Supplementary Table S1.

### *The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC)*

ALSPAC is a multi-generational birth cohort in the former Avon Health Authority area in the UK. All pregnant women living within this area with expected dates of delivery between 1<sup>st</sup> April 1991 and 31<sup>st</sup> December 1992 were invited to take part in the study. From 20,248 pregnancies identified as eligible, 14,541 were initially enrolled which after accounting for multiple pregnancies resulted in 14,203 unique mothers. This was expanded with additional phases of recruitment to provide a total of 14,833 unique women in the study. Full details of the recruitment process and sample profile are described elsewhere (56, 57). Details of all the data that is available from the study are available in a fully searchable online data dictionary and variable search tool:

<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/>. Participant characteristics were representative of the majority of UK women. However, women were predominantly of European ancestry, and only those women were included in this GWAS analysis, which limits the generalizability of findings to populations with different ancestry (56).

Whole blood samples were taken from 4,844 pregnant women during early antenatal care visits, with a median visit time of 11 weeks of gestation (IQR: 4 weeks). A vacutainer system was operated by midwives to draw the samples, which were stored at 4°C for 1-4 days before being sent to the central Bristol laboratory. Samples were transported for up to 3 hours at room temperature, and then stored at 4°C until the time of analysis.

Whole blood Hg was measured using inductively coupled plasma dynamic reaction cell mass spectrometry (ICP-DRC-MS) at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Bethesda, CDC method 3009.1. Quality control measures are described in earlier studies (50, 58), which after exclusions left 4,131 measurements. One sample was below the limit of detection for Hg (0.24 µg/L) and was assigned a value 0.7 times the lower limit of detection (59).

Blood samples for DNA analysis were taken during pregnancy from 10,015 women (60). Samples were genotyped by Centre National de Génotypage (CNG) using the Illumina Human660W-Quad Array. Genotype annotation was performed using Illumina GenomeStudio (61), and aligned to GRCh37 with the software Burrows-Wheeler Aligner. Quality control procedures were applied to the genotyped data using Plink v1.07 (62). SNPs were excluded if they were missing from more than 5% of individuals, had a Hardy-Weinberg (HWE) equilibrium  $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-07}$ , or a minor allele frequency (MAF) of less than 1%. Individuals were excluded if they were missing more than 5% of SNPs, had indeterminate X chromosome heterozygosity or extreme autosomal heterozygosity ( $> 3$  standard deviations from population mean), were population outliers using four HapMap populations as reference, or had a cryptic relatedness estimate equivalent to first cousin or closer ( $IBD > 0.125$ ) with another individual in the sample (63, 64). Directly genotyped SNPs were imputed to the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC r1.1) panel of approximately 31,000 phased whole genotypes. Phasing was performed using Shapelt v2 (65) and imputation using Impute V3 on the Michigan Imputation Server (66). SNPs were excluded following imputation where  $MAF < 1\%$  or imputation quality score (INFO)  $< 0.9$ .

### *The Human Early-Life Exposome (HELIX)*

HELIX comprises subcohorts of mother-child pairs from six European birth cohorts (67, 68). The cohorts enrolled approximately 32,000 pairs between 1999 and 2010 in the UK, France, Spain, Lithuania, Norway (69), and Greece. From these studies, 1,301 children were included in HELIX subcohort which measured a variety of pre- and postnatal exposures, health outcomes, and genome-wide genotypes. The current study only included children with genetic data, Hg levels, and who were of European ancestry (determined from genome-wide genetic information) ( $n=1,042$ ).

Child blood samples were collected during follow-up clinic visits between December 2013 to February 2016, when the children were aged 6 to 12 years old (70). All cohorts followed the same procedures and analysis protocols. Whole blood was stored in EDTA vacutainers, and analysed for trace element testing and DNA extraction at ALS Scandinavia (Sweden). Total Hg levels were measured using double focusing sector field inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-SFMS) as described elsewhere (71). The limit of detection was 0.02  $\mu\text{g/L}$ .

The Infinium Global Screening Array (GSA) (Illumina) was used for genome-wide genotyping at the Human Genomics Facility (HuGe-F), Erasmus MC ([www.glimdna.org](http://www.glimdna.org)). GenomeStudio software with the GenTrain2.0 algorithm was used for genotype calling, and annotation on GRCh37 using the GSAMD-24v1-0\_20011747\_A4 manifest. Samples were excluded if there was SNP missingness  $> 3\%$ , sex mismatch, heterozygosity ( $> 4$  SD), cryptic relatedness ( $\hat{\pi} > 0.185$ ), or duplicates. SNPs were excluded if missing from  $> 5\%$  individuals,  $MAF < 1\%$ , or  $HWE P < 1.0 \times 10^{-06}$ .

Genotype information was phased to HRC r1.1 using Eagle v2.4, and imputed using Minimac4 and the Michigan Imputation Server (72). Post imputation filtering was applied to exclude SNPs with low imputation quality ( $R^2 < 0.9$ ), allele frequency ( $MAF < 1\%$ ), or  $HWE P > 1.0 \times 10^{-07}$ .

### *Estimation of SNP-heritability*

The LD Score regression tool (73, 74) was used to estimate phenotype SNP heritability ( $h^2_g$ ) according to the summary statistics of each GWAS. In brief, the method involved regressing summary statistics from each GWAS study against an LD score reference panel computed using

1000 Genomes Project European data (75). The reference panel was accessed from <https://data.broadinstitute.org/alkesgroup/LDSCORE> with the filename 'eur\_w\_ld\_chr'.

### *Genome wide association studies*

Genome-wide association testing was performed to estimate the association between each SNP and a continuous Hg phenotype. In ALSPAC, the GWAS of pregnant women was conducted in SNPTEST version 2.5.2 using the frequentist option and “score” method of accounting for genotype uncertainty (76). In HELIX the GWAS of children was ran using PLINK version 1.0 with the “--linear” option (62). Each analysis adjusted for age at the time when blood was taken and eigenvectors for the first 10 principal components estimated from GWAS data. The continuous linear models assumed an additive effect of SNPs and a normal distribution of phenotype residuals. The distribution of Hg had a strong right skew and if regressed in its raw form was unlikely to meet the latter assumption, and this could have biased the model standard error and p-values. To address this, Hg measurements were log<sub>2</sub> transformed to approximate a normal distribution.

Follow-up analysis was performed in R version 4.1.0 unless otherwise stated. The reference SNP IDs were missing from all ALSPAC results and some HELIX, and therefore chromosome and location were used to identify ID labels valid for GRCh37 using the “*SNP locations for Homo sapiens (dbSNP Build 144)*” reference table and “*BSgenome*” R packages (77, 78). Results were visualised with quantile-quantile (QQ) and Manhattan plots generated using the “*qqman*” package (79). SNPs were classified as genome-wide significant if  $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ , and suggestively significant if p was between  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $5 \times 10^{-8}$ . Variants in linkage disequilibrium ( $R^2 > 0.1$  and 250 kb range) were grouped using the *ld\_clump* function of the MRC IEU GWAS R package (80) and the most significant SNP kept. The strongest results from each GWAS were compared.

In addition to identifying suggestive and significant SNPs, summary statistics were extracted and reported for 13 variants of interest which were previously identified as (a) associated with Hg levels in candidate gene studies or (b) associated with metals which may interact with Hg levels in genome-wide association studies (Supplementary Table S2).

### *In silico functional analysis*

All variants with  $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$  were mapped to the nearest gene using Functional Mapping and Annotation of Genome-Wide Association Studies (FUMA) SNP2Gene function (81) and NCBI Sequence Viewer (82). The potential biological mechanisms of how the variants may affect Hg were investigated using tools that aggregated prior genetic research. Variant-phenotypes associations were explored using FUMA eQTL (81), LDtrait (83) and PhenoScanner (84, 85). Gene functions were explored in GeneCards database (86), tissue expression using GTEx portal (87, 88), and gene-phenotype associations in the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man database (OMIM) (89).

## **Results**

### *Study characteristics*

There were 2,893 pregnant women included in this study, from the wider ALSPAC population with both Hg measurements (total  $n = 4,014$ ) and imputed genotype ( $n = 8,196$ ) data.

From HELIX there were 1,042 children included, from 1,298 Hg and 1,303 imputed genotype measurements. Full sample size derivation is provided in Supplementary Table S3.


Pregnant women were a median age of 28.0 years (IQR: 6.0), and children 8.0 years (IQR: 2.4). The child population were 54.6% male and from six cohorts and countries (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Composition of the study populations.

	Country	Size
<b>Children (HELIX)</b>	-	1,042
Born in Bradford (BiB)	United Kingdom	90
Étude des Déterminants pré et postnatals du développement et de la santé de l'ENfant (EDEN)	France	135
INfancia y Medio Ambiente (INMA)	Spain	198
Kaunas birth cohort (KANC)	Lithuania	196
The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child cohort study (MoBa)	Norway	237
The Rhea Mother-Child Cohort in Crete (Rhea)	Greece	186
<b>Pregnant women (ALSPAC)</b>	United Kingdom	2,983

For pregnant women, the mean Hg was 2.09 µg/L (standard deviation, SD: 1.08) and median 1.89 µg/L (interquartile range, IQR: 1.16). There was a lower mean (1.35 µg/L) and median (0.82 µg/L) for children, but greater variance (IQR: 1.27). As seen in Figure 1, in both studies the distribution of Hg was right skewed.

**Figure 1.** Blood mercury concentrations in (a) 2,893 pregnant women in ALSPAC and (b) 1,042 children aged 6-11 years old in HELIX. Samples with Hg > 8 µg/L are excluded for readability (n=7, Figure S1 for same figure with no exclusions).



## SNP-heritability

Genome-wide SNP heritability ( $h^2_g$ ) of Hg was calculated from GWAS summary statistics. There were summary statistics available for 6,620,135 SNPs from 2,893 pregnant women, and 6,138,843 SNPs for 1,042 children. These were regressed on LD Scores from the 1000 Genome Project Europeans reference panel. After merging with the reference panel, the LD Score regression included 1,137,154 and 965,135 SNPs for pregnant women and children, respectively.

The estimated  $h^2_g$  for pregnant women was 24.0% (95% confidence interval = 16.9% to 46.4%,  $p = 0.01$ ). For children, the estimated was 4.8% but this was not statistically significant ( $p = 0.85$ ) and confidence intervals overlapped with zero (95% CI: -45.7% to 55.4%).

## Genome-wide association studies

Manhattan plots summarising GWAS results in each population, and QQ plots of expected and observed p-values are shown in Supplementary Figures S3-4. There did not appear to be notable p-value inflation or deflation in QQ plots, or their lambda statistics which were 1.01 for pregnant women and 1.00 for children.

For pregnant women no genetic locations were found which were associated at genome-wide significant levels with Hg ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ), but 16 independent loci were found with at least 1 variant suggestively significant ( $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Similarly for children no genome-wide significant locations were found but there were 21 independent genetic loci which were suggestively associated with Hg. Summary statistics of suggestively significant variants are presented in Table 2.

None of the 37 suggestively associated SNPs were also associated with Hg in the other population even at a more relaxed p-value threshold of  $p > 1 \times 10^{-2}$ . Results for 15 of these SNPs were not available in the other study, including 9 which were low frequency (MAF < 5%) and likely excluded during earlier stages of the analysis.

**Table 2.** Summary statistics for the variants suggestively associated ( $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) with blood Hg concentrations, pruned to the most significant SNP per independent genetic loci.

<b>Pregnant women, ALSPAC (n = 2,893, nSNP = 6,620,135)</b>											
SNP	Chr	Position (GRCH37)	Gene	Effect allele	Other allele	MAF	Beta	SE	P-value	HELIX beta	HELIX P-value
rs4853739	2	191698516	-	T	C	0.24	0.10	0.02	$2.32 \times 10^{-06}$	-	-
rs11709754	3	149615531	<i>RNF13</i>	T	A	0.21	-0.10	0.02	$9.39 \times 10^{-06}$	-	-
rs361166	4	152792791	-	A	G	0.41	0.09	0.02	$5.78 \times 10^{-06}$	0.05	0.53
rs6859392	5	63241481	-	G	C	0.30	-0.09	0.02	$3.31 \times 10^{-06}$	-	-
rs1372504	5	103749428	<i>RP11-6N13.1</i>	A	G	0.38	-0.09	0.02	$1.70 \times 10^{-06}$	0.06	0.53
rs2246509	6	156058999	-	G	A	0.46	0.08	0.02	$8.69 \times 10^{-08}$	0.00	0.98
rs1845418	7	24095415	-	T	C	0.14	0.14	0.03	$8.68 \times 10^{-08}$	-0.09	0.48
rs146099921	8	22254947	<i>SLC39A14</i>	G	T	0.14	-0.12	0.03	$8.21 \times 10^{-06}$	0.19	0.14
rs7900717	10	122034207	-	C	T	0.25	-0.09	0.02	$6.02 \times 10^{-06}$	-0.21	0.31
rs7301395	12	116402976	<i>MED13L</i>	A	G	0.02	0.33	0.07	$5.06 \times 10^{-06}$	-	-
rs12874443	13	38304884	<i>TRPC4</i>	T	G	0.45	-0.08	0.02	$7.73 \times 10^{-06}$	0.00	0.99
rs113202356	16	27891845	<i>GSG1L</i>	A	G	0.10	-0.14	0.03	$8.45 \times 10^{-06}$	-	-
rs74450576	16	73918519	-	A	G	0.04	0.21	0.05	$7.40 \times 10^{-06}$	-0.05	0.80
rs11643897	16	78221370	<i>WWOX</i>	C	T	0.32	0.08	0.02	$9.70 \times 10^{-06}$	0.02	0.87
rs35522803	19	3592734	<i>GIPC3</i>	T	C	0.08	-0.15	0.03	$3.83 \times 10^{-06}$	0.04	0.82
rs60192794	20	52317186	-	C	T	0.07	-0.16	0.04	$5.66 \times 10^{-06}$	-	-
<b>Children, HELIX (n = 1,042, nSNP = 6,138,843)</b>											
SNP	Chr	Position (GRCH37)	Gene	Effect allele	Other allele	MAF	Beta	SE	P-value	ALSPA C beta	ALSPAC P-value
rs7526817	1	28195486	-	A	T	0.09	-0.67	0.15	$5.02 \times 10^{-06}$	0.06	0.06
rs79810835	1	146999434	-	A	G	0.02	1.37	0.31	$6.83 \times 10^{-06}$	-	-



rs59436870	2	101830050	<i>TBC1D8</i>	T	C	0.18	-0.52	0.11	5.16x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.00	0.74
rs9852537	3	98658085	<i>CTD-2021J15.1</i>	T	C	0.03	1.29	0.29	7.62x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.02	0.66
rs186276942	3	115279279	-	G	A	0.01	2.87	0.63	5.29x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-	-
rs62287513	3	184104050	<i>CHRD</i>	A	G	0.31	0.44	0.09	7.69x10 <sup>-07</sup>	-	-
rs28618224	4	21041710	<i>KCNIP4</i>	C	A	0.03	1.47	0.32	5.03x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.09	0.11
rs115812569	4	42726300	-	G	A	0.01	1.82	0.40	6.42x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-0.04	0.56
rs2904271	4	90284516	-	C	A	0.37	-0.41	0.09	1.84x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.00	0.79
rs113384484	5	151015719	-	A	G	0.04	1.03	0.22	1.50x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.11	0.02
rs79340261	7	35559836	-	A	C	0.02	1.93	0.40	1.08x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-	-
rs75847252	9	71483481	<i>PIP5K1B</i>	C	T	0.02	1.22	0.26	2.96x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-	-
rs73510541	11	69313573	-	A	G	0.13	0.54	0.12	3.72x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.02	0.50
rs9510838	13	24317031	-	T	G	0.17	0.58	0.12	3.43x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-0.00	0.88
rs9563673	13	34239526	<i>RP11-141M1.3</i>	C	T	0.07	-0.90	0.19	5.90x10 <sup>-06</sup>	0.05	0.21
rs17106291	14	37559401	<i>SLC25A21</i>	G	A	0.02	2.32	0.52	7.53x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-	-
rs7154700	14	63201771	<i>KCNH5</i>	T	C	0.01	1.61	0.34	2.15x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-	-
rs116971963	17	14961821	-	A	G	0.01	1.57	0.34	4.91x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-	-
rs145982353	19	54257385	-	G	C	0.03	1.27	0.27	4.07x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-	-
rs6075980	20	288233	-	G	A	0.38	0.42	0.09	2.31x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-0.02	0.24
rs148653405	20	5594216	-	A	G	0.01	1.77	0.40	8.35x10 <sup>-06</sup>	-0.06	0.34
“-“ indicates the variant was not available in the other study.											
Variants annotated to genes only if the SNP is in the gene body.											
MAF: Minor allele frequency											
SE: Standard error											

### *In silico functional analysis*

37 SNPs which were suggestively significant in the two GWAS were mapped to the nearest genes using NCBI Sequence Viewer and FUMA SNP2Gene (Supplementary Table S4). Additionally, we identified variants associated with gene expression ( $P > 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) using Phenoscanner, and included these genes in the following analyses.

SNPs were most commonly associated with gene expression, histone modification and methylation at genes or CpG sites close to the SNP locations. No SNP or variants in strong LD ( $r^2 > 0.8$ ) were found to have known links to Hg metabolism. Results for each SNP are summarised in Supplementary Table S5.

In ALSPAC the T allele of intronic variant rs146099921 was associated with -0.12 log Hg ( $p = 8.21 \times 10^{-6}$ ). This variant is in the gene Solute Carrier Family 39 Member 14 (*SLC39A14*). This variant was associated with reduced DNA methylation at cg14348540 in *SLC39A14* (90, 91), and exon expression in *SLC39A14* (92). The gene is a metal transporter linked to cellular uptake of cadmium, iron, manganese, and zinc, and also referred to as *ZIP14* (93). Mutations are associated with the impairment of manganese transport and homeostasis, leading to toxic accumulation (94-96). There is evidence that the gene also functions as a transporter of zinc (97), and mediates iron and cadmium uptake (98, 99), with a detailed review of metal transport functions available elsewhere (93). According to data available in GTEx Portal (88), the gene is expressed throughout the body but most highly in the liver followed by the adipose tissue, the arteries, and pancreas.

There were further links between suggestive variants and genes with functions potentially affecting Hg levels, such as for rs17106291 (Solute Carrier Family 25 Member 21, *SLC25A21*) which transports dicarboxylates across the inner membranes of mitochondria (100). Two variants (rs28618224, rs7154700) were in potassium voltage-gated channels genes, and two variants were near to genes affecting glutamate (rs361166) and phospholipid (rs115812569) transport.

### *Associations in previous candidate variants*

GWAS summary statistics were extracted for 14 variants which were identified in previous genetic association studies or GWAS of metals that may interact with mercury (Supplementary

Table S2). These variants are reported in Table 3 with summary statistics from ALSPAC and HELIX GWAS. The minor C allele of rs10636 was associated with increased blood Hg in pregnant women ( $p=0.01$ ), and the minor C allele rs9936741 was associated with lower Hg in children ( $p = 0.01$ ). Neither variant showed strong evidence of association in the alternate GWAS, and no association was found in variants previously reported as significant in GWAS of blood lead, selenium, or zinc (Table 3).

**Table 3.** SNP-Hg summary statistics for candidate variants identified in previous studies of Hg or other metal GWAS.

SNP	Gene	Source study, direction of effect and biological matrix	Effect Allele	Other allele	Study	Beta	SE	P-value
<b>Hg – gene association studies</b>								
rs3811647	<i>TF</i>	A allele, lower cord tissue Hg (43)	A	G	ALSPAC	0.00	0.02	0.83
					HELIX	-0.14	0.09	0.11
rs761142	<i>GCLC</i>	GG vs TT, lower hair Hg (101)	C	A	ALSPAC	-0.01	0.02	0.63
					HELIX	0.06	0.10	0.57
rs41307970	<i>GCLM</i>	CT + TT vs TT, lower blood Hg (102)	C	G	ALSPAC	0.00	0.05	0.93
					HELIX	0.20	0.25	0.41
rs10636	<i>MT2A</i>	C allele, higher urine Hg (103)	C	G	ALSPAC	0.05	0.02	<b>0.01</b>
					HELIX	-0.06	0.10	0.53
rs2270837*	<i>MT1M</i>	A allele, higher urine Hg (103)	G	A	ALSPAC	0.01	0.03	0.57
rs8052394	<i>MT1A</i>	A allele interacts with fish intake for higher hair Hg (103)	G	A	ALSPAC	0.00	0.03	0.96
					HELIX	0.05	0.13	0.69
rs9936741	<i>MT1M</i>	T allele interacts with fish intake for higher hair Hg (103)	C	T	ALSPAC	-0.08	0.06	0.17
					HELIX	-0.95	0.37	<b>0.01</b>
<b>Lead – GWAS</b>								
rs1805313	<i>ALAD</i>	G allele, lower blood lead (49)	G	A	ALSPAC	0.01	0.02	0.57
					HELIX	0.10	0.09	0.25
<b>Selenium – GWAS</b>								
rs672413	<i>ARSB</i>	G allele, lower blood selenium (51)	G	A	ALSPAC	0.00	0.02	0.92
					HELIX	-0.01	0.09	0.95
rs705415*	Intergenic	T allele, lower blood selenium (51)	T	C	HELIX	0.15	0.17	0.37
rs6586282	<i>CBS</i>	T allele, lower blood selenium (51)	T	C	ALSPAC	-0.03	0.02	0.27
					HELIX	-0.06	0.11	0.61
<b>Zinc – GWAS</b>								
rs2120019	<i>PPCDC</i>	C allele, lower blood zinc (50)	C	T	ALSPAC	0.00	0.02	0.94
					HELIX	0.07	0.10	0.50
rs1532423	<i>CA1</i>	G allele, lower blood zinc (50)	G	A	ALSPAC	-0.03	0.02	0.07
					HELIX	-0.08	0.09	0.36
* Unavailable in HELIX.								

## Discussion

Genome-wide association analyses of blood Hg in British pregnant women and in children from six European cohorts did not find robust associations with any genetic variants. Despite no single variant being strongly linked to Hg, genome-wide SNP heritability was estimated to explain a considerable proportion of Hg variance in pregnant women (24.0%, 95% CI: 16.9 to 46.4). Considering that Hg is highly reactive with a wide range of molecules and exposure is affected by numerous biological processes, we anticipated a substantial component of its metabolism would be heritable and our finding is consistent with animal and plant studies (104, 105) which estimated large genetic components of phenotypic variation.

Although no variants passed genome-wide significance thresholds, there were 37 independent loci detected in the two studies with SNPs significant at a lower suggestive threshold. Yet these results were in low concordance between pregnant women and children, with several possible reasons. First, differences may be due to qualitative differences in Hg metabolism between the two populations if metabolism changes with age. This does not appear to have been studied in human populations, but animal studies have reported changes in early-life absorption and excretion (106, 107). Secondly, the analyses may have been underpowered, which would restrict the ability for the GWAS to estimate true associations. The sample of children was considerably smaller and had lower median Hg levels than pregnant women, and in our heritability analysis there was very wide uncertainty ( $h^2_g = 4.8\%$ , 95% CI: -45.7% to 55.4%) which is indicative of low power. Finally, if there are non-linear associations between variants and Hg this may have contributed to the heterogeneity we found, because as seen in Figure 1, Hg distributions were not identical.

From the suggestive variants, the most biologically plausible link to Hg was with rs146099921 in gene *SLC39A14*. The variant modifies expression of *SLC39A14* (92), and local methylation (90, 91) and exon expression (92). Most SLC39 genes are responsible for the cellular uptake of zinc (108), but studies suggest *SLC39A14*, also referred to as *ZIP14*, is associated with changes in cadmium, iron, and manganese levels (93, 94, 98). It is possible the gene impacts Hg levels indirectly through these metals, each of which may interact with Hg - for example increased cellular zinc induces metallothionein synthesis and may increase removal of Hg (109). Alternatively, the gene may directly affect the transport of Hg, but this does not appear to be reported in prior studies. In children the variant rs17106291 in another SLC gene (*SLC25A21*) was also related to Hg levels at suggestive significance, which is a transport of C5-C7 oxodicarboxylates to mitochondria. Other members of the *SLC* gene family have been linked to kidney uptake of I-Hg (110) and the intestinal transport of MeHg (15).

Several other suggestively significant SNPs were annotated to genes with possible connections to Hg metabolism. In children, variants were located in potassium voltage-gated channels genes *KCNH5* and *KCNIP4*, in ALSPAC a calcium ion channel gene *TRPC4*. The expression of MeHg and I-Hg toxicity may be linked to inhibited potassium or calcium channels (109), although it is unclear how variation in these genes would impact Hg blood levels. Finally, in pregnant women there were variants located near to genes affecting glutamate (*GLS*, *GATB*). Hg both inhibits glutamate uptake (111) and stimulates its release (112).

This study did not replicate many of the findings reported in previous gene association studies of Hg. From the literature, we identified 7 variants of interest to Hg metabolism, located in genes *GCLM*, *GCLC*, *TF*, *MT1A*, *MT1M*, and *MT2A*. In both pregnant women and children, most variants were not significantly associated with blood Hg, with two exceptions.

First, in pregnant women the variant rs10636 (*MT2A*) was nominally associated with Hg in the same direction as in a previous study (103). Secondly, in children we found that rs9936741 (*MT1M*) was found to be associated with child Hg in the opposite direction to that reported previously (41), which could be due to the use of hair Hg and an interaction term with diet in the original study. There is a biologically plausible role for these variants, as metallothionein genes generate proteins which bind to Hg and aid clearance (113). However, neither result was replicated in both cohorts and multiple testing may have increased the likelihood of finding variants with low p-values.

The overall lack of replication between this study and previous gene association studies may be because of the smaller sample sizes used in the earlier candidate gene studies (Supplementary Table S2 for details). Finally, an epigenome-wide analysis of umbilical cord Hg

identified associations in the genes *GGH*, *MED31*, and *GRK1* and DNA methylation (114). The direction of causality is unclear, but in this study no strong signals were found in variants near the reported CpG sites.

Limitations of this study were as follows. First, the lack of genome-wide significant SNPs and high heterogeneity between studies suggests the analyses may have been underpowered. By comparison, larger sized GWAS identified one loci associated with blood lead levels (n=5,433) (49) and two with selenium levels (n=9,639) (51). The required sample size will also vary by the variation of the trait, as demonstrated by an arsenic GWAS in Bangladesh which was able to identify five independent loci from 1,313 arsenic-exposed individuals (115). The low power may be part of the reason for the discordance between ALSPAC and HELIX results, and in particular for SNPs with low MAF.

A second caveat of this study is that blood Hg is primarily in the form of MeHg, with a smaller proportion possibly as I-Hg (116, 117). Blood Hg reflects relatively short-term exposure and therefore is subject to day-to-day variation, which increases residual error in linear models and reduces study power. Other biological matrices such as hair or nail Hg may be more representative of long-term Hg exposure and therefore avoid this issue, and this is something future studies should consider.

Third, the HELIX study comprised 6 subcohorts located in different countries. These populations will have different patterns of Hg exposure from dietary and environmental variation, and this may have introduced heterogeneity into the pooled analysis. Finally, this GWAS was conducted on a population that is mostly of European ancestry, and where exposure to Hg is likely to be primarily through fish consumption. Populations with different ancestries or where there are different sources of exposure such as local environmental exposure may have different genotype-Hg associations.

## Conclusion

This study found that SNP-heritability was associated with a considerable amount of Hg variance in pregnant women. No genome-wide significant variants were found, but rs146099921 was located in a transporter of multiple metals (*SLC39A14*) was suggestively associated with Hg levels in pregnant women. There was low correlation between results from pregnant women and children, which could reflect changes in Hg metabolism during development. The study is continuing to seek collaboration with other institutes that may have comparable populations, to increase the quantity and accuracy of variants identified.

## Data Availability

GWAS summary statistics for LD clumped SNPs which were below a suggestive p-value threshold are reported in Table 2. Full summary statistics may be released at a later date following final publication of the study.

## Funding

This research was supported by the following sources. KD is supported by a Ph.D. studentship from the MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol (faculty matched place for MRC and Peter and Jean James Scholarship). CMT is supported by an MRC Career Development Award (MR/T010010/1). SL and ML were funded by Generalitat Valenciana (GV/2021/111), Ministry of Universities (CAS21/00008 and NextGeneration EU), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (FIS-FEDER: 13/1944, 16/1288, 17/00663 and 19/1338; FIS-FSE: 17/00260; Miguel Servet-FSE: MSII20/0006). PY is supported by the Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol (MC\_UU\_00011/5). SJL was supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol.

ALSPAC: The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors and K.D. will serve as guarantor for the contents of this paper. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website:

<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf>; This research was specifically funded by Wellcome Trust Grant (WT088806) [genotyping] and NIHR (NF-SI-0611-10196) [blood samples]. The assays of the maternal blood samples were carried out at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention with funding from NOAA, and the statistical analyses were carried out in Bristol with funding from NOAA and support from the Intramural Research Program of NIAAA, NIH.

HELIX: The study has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 308333 (HELIX project) and the H2020-EU.3.1.2. – Preventing Disease Programme under grant agreement no 874583 (ATHLETE project). The genotyping was supported by the projects PI17/01225 and PI17/01935, funded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III and co-funded by European Union (ERDF, “A way to make Europe”) and the Centro Nacional de Genotipado-CEGEN (PRB2-ISCIII). BiB received core infrastructure funding from the Wellcome Trust (WT101597MA) and a joint grant from the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and Economic and Social Science Research Council (ESRC) (MR/N024397/1). INMA data collections were supported by grants from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI16/1288 and PI19/1338), CIBERESP, the Generalitat de Catalunya-CIRIT and the Generalitat Valenciana (CIAICO/2021/132). KANC was funded by the grant of the Lithuanian Agency for Science Innovation and Technology (6-04-2014\_31V-66). The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study is supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services and the Ministry of Education and Research. The Rhea project was financially supported by European projects (EU FP6-2003-Food-3-NewGeneris, EU FP6. STREP Hiwate, EU FP7 ENV.2007.1.2.2.2. Project No 211250 Escape, EU FP7-2008-ENV-1.2.1.4 Envirogenomarkers, EU FP7-HEALTH-2009- single stage CHICOS, EU FP7 ENV.2008.1.2.1.6. Proposal No 226285 ENRIECO, EU- FP7- HEALTH-2012 Proposal No 308333 HELIX), and the Greek Ministry of Health (Program of Prevention of obesity and neurodevelopmental disorders in preschool children, in Heraklion district, Crete, Greece: 2011-2014; “Rhea Plus”: Primary Prevention Program of Environmental Risk Factors for Reproductive Health, and Child Health: 2012-15). ISGlobal

acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the “Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa 2019-2023” Program (CEX2018-000806-S), and support from the Generalitat de Catalunya through the CERCA Program.

## **Acknowledgements**

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in the ALSPAC and HELIX studies, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC and HELIX teams, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **Ethics approval**

Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Consent for biological samples has been collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act (2004). Informed consent for the use of data collected via questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time.

In HELIX, local ethical committees approved the studies that were conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. The ethical committees for each cohort were the following: BIB: Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, EDEN: Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé, INMA: Comité Ético de Inverticación Clínica Parc de Salut MAR, KANC: LIETUVOS BIOETIKOS KOMITETAS, MoBa: Regional komité for medisinsk og helsefaglig forskningsetikk, Rhea: Ethical committee of the general university hospital of Heraklion, Crete. Informed consent was obtained from a parent and/or legal guardian of all participants in the study. Participants did not receive any compensation.

## References

1. Gworek B, Dmuchowski W, Baczevska-Dąbrowska AH. Mercury in the terrestrial environment: a review. *Environmental Sciences Europe*. 2020;32(1):128.
2. Broussard LA, Hammett-Stabler CA, Winecker RE, Ropero-Miller JD. The Toxicology of Mercury. *Laboratory Medicine*. 2002;33(8):614-25.
3. World Health Organization. Children's exposure to mercury compounds. 2010.
4. Driscoll CT, Mason RP, Chan HM, Jacob DJ, Pirrone N. Mercury as a Global Pollutant: Sources, Pathways, and Effects. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2013;47(10):4967-83.
5. Selin NE, Jacob DJ, Park RJ, Yantosca RM, Strode S, Jaeglé L, et al. Chemical cycling and deposition of atmospheric mercury: Global constraints from observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*. 2007;112(D2).
6. Parks JM, Johs A, Podar M, Bridou R, Hurt Jr RA, Smith SD, et al. The genetic basis for bacterial mercury methylation. *Science*. 2013;339(6125):1332-5.
7. Hammerschmidt CR, Fitzgerald WF. Methylmercury in Freshwater Fish Linked to Atmospheric Mercury Deposition. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2006;40(24):7764-70.
8. Médiéu A, Point D, Itai T, Angot H, Buchanan PJ, Allain V, et al. Evidence that Pacific tuna mercury levels are driven by marine methylmercury production and anthropogenic inputs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2022;119(2):e2113032119.
9. Muñoz O, Zamorano P, Garcia O, Bastías JM. Arsenic, cadmium, mercury, sodium, and potassium concentrations in common foods and estimated daily intake of the population in Valdivia (Chile) using a total diet study. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2017;109(Pt 2):1125-34.
10. Lee H-S, Cho Y-H, Park S-O, Kye S-H, Kim B-H, Hahm T-S, et al. Dietary exposure of the Korean population to arsenic, cadmium, lead and mercury. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*. 2006;19:S31-S7.
11. Almerud P, Zamaratskaia G, Lindroos AK, Bjermo H, Andersson EM, Lundh T, et al. Cadmium, total mercury, and lead in blood and associations with diet, sociodemographic factors, and smoking in Swedish adolescents. *Environmental Research*. 2021;197:110991.
12. Golding J, Steer CD, Hibbeln JR, Emmett PM, Lowery T, Jones R. Dietary Predictors of Maternal Prenatal Blood Mercury Levels in the ALSPAC Birth Cohort Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2013; 121(10):1214-1218. 2013;121(10).
13. Mortensen ME, Caudill SP, Caldwell KL, Ward CD, Jones RL. Total and methyl mercury in whole blood measured for the first time in the U.S. population: NHANES 2011-2012. *Environ Res*. 2014;134:257-64.
14. Yokoo EM, Valente JG, Grattan L, Schmidt SL, Platt I, Silbergeld EK. Low level methylmercury exposure affects neuropsychological function in adults. *Environmental Health*. 2003;2(1):8.
15. Bridges CC, Zalups RK. Mechanisms involved in the transport of mercuric ions in target tissues. *Arch Toxicol*. 2017;91(1):63-81.
16. Yin Z, Jiang H, Syversen T, Rocha JB, Farina M, Aschner M. The methylmercury-L-cysteine conjugate is a substrate for the L-type large neutral amino acid transporter. *J Neurochem*. 2008;107(4):1083-90.
17. Clarkson TW. The three modern faces of mercury. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2002;110 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):11-23.
18. Bridges C, Zalups R. Transport of Inorganic Mercury and Methylmercury in Target Tissues and Organs. *Journal of toxicology and environmental health Part B, Critical reviews*. 2010;13:385-410.
19. Park JD, Zheng W. Human exposure and health effects of inorganic and elemental mercury. *J Prev Med Public Health*. 2012;45(6):344-52.
20. Bridges CC, Krasnikov BF, Joshee L, Pinto JT, Hallen A, Li J, et al. New insights into the metabolism of organomercury compounds: mercury-containing cysteine S-conjugates are substrates of human glutamine transaminase K and potent inactivators of cystathionine  $\gamma$ -lyase. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2012;517(1):20-9.

21. Bernhoft RA. Mercury toxicity and treatment: a review of the literature. *J Environ Public Health*. 2012;2012:460508-.
22. Grotto D, Valentini J, Fillion M, Passos CJ, Garcia SC, Mergler D, et al. Mercury exposure and oxidative stress in communities of the Brazilian Amazon. *Sci Total Environ*. 2010;408(4):806-11.
23. Syversen T, Kaur P. The toxicology of mercury and its compounds. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2012;26(4):215-26.
24. Zefferino R, Piccoli C, Ricciardi N, Scrima R, Capitanio N. Possible Mechanisms of Mercury Toxicity and Cancer Promotion: Involvement of Gap Junction Intercellular Communications and Inflammatory Cytokines. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*. 2017;2017:7028583-.
25. Khan F, Momtaz S, Abdollahi M. The relationship between mercury exposure and epigenetic alterations regarding human health, risk assessment and diagnostic strategies. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2019;52:37-47.
26. Orr SE, Barnes MC, Joshee L, Uchakina O, McKallip RJ, Bridges CC. Potential mechanisms of cellular injury following exposure to a physiologically relevant species of inorganic mercury. *Toxicology Letters*. 2019;304:13-20.
27. Ye B-J, Kim B-G, Jeon M-J, Kim S-Y, Kim H-C, Jang T-W, et al. Evaluation of mercury exposure level, clinical diagnosis and treatment for mercury intoxication. *Ann Occup Environ Med*. 2016;28:5-.
28. Do SY, Lee CG, Kim JY, Moon YH, Kim MS, Bae IH, et al. Cases of acute mercury poisoning by mercury vapor exposure during the demolition of a fluorescent lamp factory. *Ann Occup Environ Med*. 2017;29(1):19.
29. Asano S, Eto K, Kurisaki E, Gunji H, Hiraiwa K, Sato M, et al. Review article: acute inorganic mercury vapor inhalation poisoning. *Pathol Int*. 2000;50(3):169-74.
30. Hu XF, Singh K, Chan HM. Mercury Exposure, Blood Pressure, and Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Dose-response Meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2018;126(7):076002.
31. Hu XF, Lowe M, Chan HM. Mercury exposure, cardiovascular disease, and mortality: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *Environ Res*. 2021;193:110538.
32. Bridges CC, Zalups RK. The aging kidney and the nephrotoxic effects of mercury. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev*. 2017;20(2):55-80.
33. Masley SC, Masley LV, Gualtieri C. Effect of mercury levels and seafood intake on cognitive function in middle-aged adults. *Integr Med*. 2012;11:32-40.
34. National Research Council. *Toxicological Effects of Methylmercury*. Washington (DC): The National Academies Press; 2000.
35. Dack K, Fell M, Taylor CM, Havdahl A, Lewis SJ. Mercury and Prenatal Growth: A Systematic Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(13).
36. Dack K, Fell M, Taylor CM, Havdahl A, Lewis SJ. Prenatal Mercury Exposure and Neurodevelopment up to the Age of 5 Years: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022;19(4).
37. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ*. 2018;362:k601.
38. Barcelos GR, Grotto D, de Marco KC, Valentini J, Lengert Av, Oliveira AÁ, et al. Polymorphisms in glutathione-related genes modify mercury concentrations and antioxidant status in subjects environmentally exposed to methylmercury. *Science of The Total Environment*. 2013;463-464:319-25.
39. Schläwicke Engström K, Strömberg U, Lundh T, Johansson I, Vessby B, Hallmans G, et al. Genetic variation in glutathione-related genes and body burden of methylmercury. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116(6):734-9.
40. Gundacker C, Komarnicki G, Jagiello P, Gencikova A, Dahmen N, Wittmann KJ, et al. Glutathione-S-transferase polymorphism, metallothionein expression, and mercury levels among students in Austria. *Science of The Total Environment*. 2007;385(1):37-47.
41. Wang Y, Goodrich JM, Gillespie B, Werner R, Basu N, Franzblau A. An investigation of modifying effects of metallothionein single-nucleotide polymorphisms on the association between mercury exposure and biomarker levels. *Environmental health perspectives*. 2012;120(4):530-4.



42. Ng S, Lin C-C, Hwang Y-H, Hsieh W-S, Liao H-F, Chen P-C. Mercury, APOE, and children's neurodevelopment. *NeuroToxicology*. 2013;37:85-92.
43. Julvez J, Smith GD, Golding J, Ring S, St. Pourcain B, Gonzalez JR, et al. Prenatal Methylmercury Exposure and Genetic Predisposition to Cognitive Deficit at Age 8 Years. *Epidemiology*. 2013;24(5).
44. Bjørklund G, Aaseth J, Ajsuvakova OP, Nikonorov AA, Skalny AV, Skalnaya MG, et al. Molecular interaction between mercury and selenium in neurotoxicity. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*. 2017;332:30-7.
45. Franciscato C, Moraes-Silva L, Duarte FA, Oliveira CS, Ineu RP, Flores EMM, et al. Delayed biochemical changes induced by mercury intoxication are prevented by zinc pre-exposure. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 2011;74(3):480-6.
46. Mesquita M, Pedroso TF, Oliveira CS, Oliveira VA, do Santos RF, Bizzi CA, et al. Effects of zinc against mercury toxicity in female rats 12 and 48 hours after HgCl<sub>2</sub> exposure. *EXCLI J*. 2016;15:256-67.
47. Singh N, Gupta VK, Kumar A, Sharma B. Synergistic Effects of Heavy Metals and Pesticides in Living Systems. *Frontiers in Chemistry*. 2017;5.
48. Hernandez AF, Buha A, Constantin C, Wallace DR, Sarigiannis D, Neagu M, et al. Critical assessment and integration of separate lines of evidence for risk assessment of chemical mixtures. *Arch Toxicol*. 2019;93(10):2741-57.
49. Warrington NM, Zhu G, Dy V, Heath AC, Madden PAF, Hemani G, et al. Genome-wide association study of blood lead shows multiple associations near ALAD. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015;24(13):3871-9.
50. Evans DM, Zhu G, Dy V, Heath AC, Madden PAF, Kemp JP, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies loci affecting blood copper, selenium and zinc. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2013;22(19):3998-4006.
51. Cornelis MC, Fornage M, Foy M, Xun P, Gladyshev VN, Morris S, et al. Genome-wide association study of selenium concentrations. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015;24(5):1469-77.
52. Duncan LE, Ostacher M, Ballon J. How genome-wide association studies (GWAS) made traditional candidate gene studies obsolete. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2019;44(9):1518-23.
53. Tam V, Patel N, Turcotte M, Bossé Y, Paré G, Meyre D. Benefits and limitations of genome-wide association studies. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2019;20(8):467-84.
54. Bell S, Rigas AS, Magnusson MK, Ferkingstad E, Allara E, Bjornsdottir G, et al. A genome-wide meta-analysis yields 46 new loci associating with biomarkers of iron homeostasis. *Communications Biology*. 2021;4(1):156.
55. Ng E, Lind PM, Lindgren C, Ingelsson E, Mahajan A, Morris A, et al. Genome-wide association study of toxic metals and trace elements reveals novel associations. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015;24(16):4739-45.
56. Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Davey Smith G, et al. Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;42(1):97-110.
57. Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, et al. Cohort Profile: the 'children of the 90s'--the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;42(1):111-27.
58. Taylor CM, Golding J, Hibbeln J, Emond AM. Environmental factors predicting blood lead levels in pregnant women in the UK: the ALSPAC study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(9):e72371-e.
59. Hornung RW, Reed LD. Estimation of Average Concentration in the Presence of Nondetectable Values. *Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*. 1990;5(1):46-51.
60. Pembrey M. The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC): a resource for genetic epidemiology. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2004;151 Suppl 3:U125-9.
61. Steinhorsdottir V, McGinnis R, Williams NO, Stefansdottir L, Thorleifsson G, Shooter S, et al. Genetic predisposition to hypertension is associated with preeclampsia in European and Central Asian women. *Nature Communications*. 2020;11(1):5976.
62. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(3):559-75.

63. Morris TT, Davies NM, Davey Smith G. Can education be personalised using pupils' genetic data? *Elife*. 2020;9:e49962.
64. ALSPAC Team. ALSPAC OMICs Data Catalogue 2021 [Available from: [https://alspac.github.io/omics\\_documentation/alspac\\_omics\\_data\\_catalogue.html](https://alspac.github.io/omics_documentation/alspac_omics_data_catalogue.html)].
65. Delaneau O, Marchini J, Zagury J-F. A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes. *Nature Methods*. 2012;9(2):179-81.
66. Das S, Forer L, Schönherr S, Sidore C, Locke AE, Kwong A, et al. Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(10):1284-7.
67. Vrijheid M, Slama R, Robinson O, Chatzi L, Coen M, van den Hazel P, et al. The human early-life exposome (HELIX): project rationale and design. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2014;122(6):535-44.
68. Maitre L, de Bont J, Casas M, Robinson O, Aasvang GM, Agier L, et al. Human Early Life Exposome (HELIX) study: a European population-based exposome cohort. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8(9):e021311.
69. Magnus P, Birke C, Vejrup K, Haugan A, Alsaker E, Daltveit AK, et al. Cohort Profile Update: The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016;45(2):382-8.
70. Haug LS, Sakhi AK, Cequier E, Casas M, Maitre L, Basagana X, et al. In-utero and childhood chemical exposome in six European mother-child cohorts. *Environment International*. 2018;121:751-63.
71. Rodushkin I, Axelsson MD. Application of double focusing sector field ICP-MS for multielemental characterization of human hair and nails. Part I. Analytical methodology. *Science of The Total Environment*. 2000;250(1):83-100.
72. Calvo-Serra B, Maitre L, Lau CE, Siskos AP, Gützkow KB, Andrušaitytė S, et al. Urinary metabolite quantitative trait loci in children and their interaction with dietary factors. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2021;29(23):3830-44.
73. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh P-R, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J, Patterson N, et al. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics*. 2015;47(3):291-5.
74. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh P-R, et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nature Genetics*. 2015;47(11):1236-41.
75. Ni G, Moser G, Wray NR, Lee SH. Estimation of Genetic Correlation via Linkage Disequilibrium Score Regression and Genomic Restricted Maximum Likelihood. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2018;102(6):1185-94.
76. Marchini J, Howie B, Myers S, McVean G, Donnelly P. A new multipoint method for genome-wide association studies by imputation of genotypes. *Nat Genet*. 2007;39(7):906-13.
77. Pagès HS. Hsapiens. dbSNP144. GRCh37: SNP locations for Homo sapiens (dbSNP Build 144) R Packag version 099. 2017;20.
78. Huber W, Carey VJ, Gentleman R, Anders S, Carlson M, Carvalho BS, et al. Orchestrating high-throughput genomic analysis with Bioconductor. *Nature Methods*. 2015;12(2):115-21.
79. Turner S. qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using Q-Q and manhattan plots. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2018;3:731.
80. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife*. 2018;7.
81. Watanabe K, Taskesen E, van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nature Communications*. 2017;8(1):1826.
82. Rangwala SH, Kuznetsov A, Ananiev V, Asztalos A, Borodin E, Evgeniev V, et al. Accessing NCBI data using the NCBI Sequence Viewer and Genome Data Viewer (GDV). *Genome Res*. 2021;31(1):159-69.
83. Lin S-H, Brown DW, Machiela MJ. LDtrait: An Online Tool for Identifying Published Phenotype Associations in Linkage Disequilibrium. *Cancer Research*. 2020;80(16):3443.
84. Staley JR, Blackshaw J, Kamat MA, Ellis S, Surendran P, Sun BB, et al. PhenoScanner: a database of human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics*. 2016;32(20):3207-9.

85. Kamat MA, Blackshaw JA, Young R, Surendran P, Burgess S, Danesh J, et al. PhenoScanner V2: an expanded tool for searching human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics*. 2019;35(22):4851-3.
86. Safran M, Rosen N, Twik M, BarShir R, Stein TI, Dahary D, et al. The GeneCards Suite. In: Abugessaisa I, Kasukawa T, editors. *Practical Guide to Life Science Databases*. Singapore: Springer Singapore; 2021. p. 27-56.
87. Stanfill AG, Cao X. Enhancing Research Through the Use of the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Database. *Biological Research For Nursing*. 2021;23(3):533-40.
88. Carithers LJ, Ardlie K, Barcus M, Branton PA, Britton A, Buia SA, et al. A Novel Approach to High-Quality Postmortem Tissue Procurement: The GTEx Project. *Biopreserv Biobank*. 2015;13(5):311-9.
89. Hamosh A, Scott AF, Amberger J, Valle D, McKusick VA. Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM). *Hum Mutat*. 2000;15(1):57-61.
90. Gaunt TR, Shihab HA, Hemani G, Min JL, Woodward G, Lyttleton O, et al. Systematic identification of genetic influences on methylation across the human life course. *Genome Biol*. 2016;17:61.
91. Bonder MJ, Luijk R, Zhernakova DV, Moed M, Deelen P, Vermaat M, et al. Disease variants alter transcription factor levels and methylation of their binding sites. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49(1):131-8.
92. Lappalainen T, Sammeth M, Friedländer MR, t Hoen PAC, Monlong J, Rivas MA, et al. Transcriptome and genome sequencing uncovers functional variation in humans. *Nature*. 2013;501(7468):506-11.
93. Aydemir TB, Cousins RJ. The Multiple Faces of the Metal Transporter ZIP14 (SLC39A14). *J Nutr*. 2018;148(2):174-84.
94. Tuschl K, Meyer E, Valdivia LE, Zhao N, Dadswell C, Abdul-Sada A, et al. Mutations in SLC39A14 disrupt manganese homeostasis and cause childhood-onset parkinsonism–dystonia. *Nature Communications*. 2016;7(1):11601.
95. Xin Y, Gao H, Wang J, Qiang Y, Imam MU, Li Y, et al. Manganese transporter Slc39a14 deficiency revealed its key role in maintaining manganese homeostasis in mice. *Cell Discovery*. 2017;3(1):17025.
96. Jenkitkasemwong S, Akinyode A, Paulus E, Weiskirchen R, Hojyo S, Fukada T, et al. SLC39A14 deficiency alters manganese homeostasis and excretion resulting in brain manganese accumulation and motor deficits in mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2018;115(8):E1769-E78.
97. Taylor KM, Morgan HE, Johnson A, Nicholson RI. Structure-function analysis of a novel member of the LIV-1 subfamily of zinc transporters, ZIP14. *FEBS Lett*. 2005;579(2):427-32.
98. Liuzzi JP, Aydemir F, Nam H, Knutson MD, Cousins RJ. Zip14 (Slc39a14) mediates non-transferrin-bound iron uptake into cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103(37):13612-7.
99. Widhalm R, Ellinger I, Granitzer S, Forsthuber M, Bajtela R, Gelles K, et al. Human placental cell line HTR-8/SVneo accumulates cadmium by divalent metal transporters DMT1 and ZIP14. *Metallomics*. 2020;12(11):1822-33.
100. Boczonadi V, King MS, Smith AC, Olahova M, Bansagi B, Roos A, et al. Mitochondrial oxodicarboxylate carrier deficiency is associated with mitochondrial DNA depletion and spinal muscular atrophy-like disease. *Genet Med*. 2018;20(10):1224-35.
101. Wahlberg K, Love TM, Pineda D, Engström K, Watson GE, Thurston SW, et al. Maternal polymorphisms in glutathione-related genes are associated with maternal mercury concentrations and early child neurodevelopment in a population with a fish-rich diet. *Environ Int*. 2018;115:142-9.
102. de Oliveira A, de Souza MF, Lengert A, de Oliveira MT, Camargo RB, Braga G, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in glutathione (GSH-) related genes affect the plasmatic Hg/whole blood Hg partitioning and the distribution between inorganic and methylmercury levels in plasma collected from a fish-eating population. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:940952.
103. Wang Y, Goodrich JM, Gillespie B, Werner R, Basu N, Franzblau A. An investigation of modifying effects of metallothionein single-nucleotide polymorphisms on the association between mercury exposure and biomarker levels. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2012;120(4):530-4.

104. Buck KA, Varian-Ramos CW, Cristol DA, Swaddle JP. Blood Mercury Levels of Zebra Finches Are Heritable: Implications for the Evolution of Mercury Resistance. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(9):e0162440.
105. Paape T, Heiniger B, Santo Domingo M, Clear MR, Lucas MM, Pueyo JJ. Genome-Wide Association Study Reveals Complex Genetic Architecture of Cadmium and Mercury Accumulation and Tolerance Traits in *Medicago truncatula*. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2022;12.
106. Hirayama K, Yasutake A. Sex and age differences in mercury distribution and excretion in methylmercury-administered mice. *J Toxicol Environ Health*. 1986;18(1):49-60.
107. Walsh CT. The influence of age on the gastrointestinal absorption of mercuric chloride and methylmercury chloride in the rat. *Environmental Research*. 1982;27(2):412-20.
108. Jeong J, Eide DJ. The SLC39 family of zinc transporters. *Mol Aspects Med*. 2013;34(2-3):612-9.
109. Mesquita M, Pedrosa TF, Oliveira CS, Oliveira VA, do Santos RF, Bizzi CA, et al. Effects of zinc against mercury toxicity in female rats 12 and 48 hours after HgCl<sub>2</sub> exposure. *EXCLI J*. 2016;15:256-67.
110. Torres AM, Dnyanmote AV, Bush KT, Wu W, Nigam SK. Deletion of multispecific organic anion transporter Oat1/Slc22a6 protects against mercury-induced kidney injury. *J Biol Chem*. 2011;286(30):26391-5.
111. Borges VC, Santos FW, Rocha JB, Nogueira CW. Heavy metals modulate glutamatergic system in human platelets. *Neurochem Res*. 2007;32(6):953-8.
112. Albrecht J, Matyja E. Glutamate: a potential mediator of inorganic mercury neurotoxicity. *Metab Brain Dis*. 1996;11(2):175-84.
113. Peixoto NC, Serafim MA, Flores EM, Bebianno MJ, Pereira ME. Metallothionein, zinc, and mercury levels in tissues of young rats exposed to zinc and subsequently to mercury. *Life Sci*. 2007;81(16):1264-71.
114. Lozano M, Yousefi P, Broberg K, Soler-Blasco R, Miyashita C, Pesce G, et al. DNA methylation changes associated with prenatal mercury exposure: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies from PACE consortium. *Environmental Research*. 2022;204:112093.
115. Pierce BL, Kibriya MG, Tong L, Jasmine F, Argos M, Roy S, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies chromosome 10q24.32 variants associated with arsenic metabolism and toxicity phenotypes in Bangladesh. *PLoS Genet*. 2012;8(2):e1002522.
116. Carneiro MF, Grotto D, Barbosa F, Jr. Inorganic and methylmercury levels in plasma are differentially associated with age, gender, and oxidative stress markers in a population exposed to mercury through fish consumption. *J Toxicol Environ Health A*. 2014;77(1-3):69-79.
117. Kim B-G, Jo E-M, Kim G-Y, Kim D-S, Kim Y-M, Kim R-B, et al. Analysis of methylmercury concentration in the blood of Koreans by using cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry. *Ann Lab Med*. 2012;32(1):31-7.