1 Parental body mass index and offspring childhood body size and eating behaviour: causal inference via parental
2 comparisons and extended children of twins structural equation modelling
3

-
- Tom A Bond^{1,2,3,4,*}, Tom A McAdams^{5,6}, Nicole M Warrington^{1,2,7,8}, Laurie J Hannigan^{2,9,10}, Espen Moen Eilertsen^{6,11,12},
- $\frac{4}{5}$ 4 Tom A Bond^{4,2,3,4,}*, Tom A McAdams^{3,8}, Nicole M Warrington^{4,2,4,9}, Laurie J Hannigan^{49,20}, Espen Moen Eilertsen^{9,12,42},
5 Ziada Ayorech⁶, Fartein A Torvik^{6,12}, George Davey Smith^{2,3}, Deborah A Lawlor^{2,3} Havdahl^{2,6,9,10, §}, David M Evans^{1,2,7, §} 5 — Ziada Ayorech°, Fartein A Torvik^{o,12}, George Davey Smith^{4,3}, Deborah A Lawlor^{4,3}, Eivind Ystrøm^{o,10}, Alexandra
6 — Havdahl^{2,6,9,10,§}, David M Evans^{1,2,7,§}
7 — ¹7 korto de la seconda de la dela de la secon
- σ Havdahl^{2,8,9,20}, David M Evans^{2,2,7,}
7 ¹The University of Queensland Diar t,
- ²MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.
- ² MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.
9 ³Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. encyclopy of the University of the University of Bristol, Bristol, Bristol, Bristol, Bristol, Bristol, Bristol, Br
1980 The University of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Imperial College London, Lo
- 9 Population Health Sciences, British Medical Sciences, British, British, British, 2008
1999 Population Health Sciences, Bristol, British Sciences, Internal College London, London, UK.
1999 Population Medical Developmental
- 11 ⁵Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psycholon, Landon, UK.
-
- 11 Society, Generic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, Institute of Psychology, PROMENTA Research Center, University of Oslo, Norway. 13 ⁶ Department of Psych
14 ⁷ Institute for Molecul
- ⁷Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.
- 14 That it also the Californian Center of Cueensland, Brisbane, Australia.
15 ⁸K.G. Jebsen Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Nursing, NT ⁸ K.G. Jebsen Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Nu
16 University of Science and Technology, Norway.
-
- 16 Machinesette Center Center Specific Epidemiology, Superminister Public Health and Nursing, NTNU, Norwegian
16 Microsoft Science and Technology, Norway.
17 ⁹Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diakonale Hospital, Oslo, No 17 ⁹ Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diakonale Hos
18 ¹⁰ Department of Mental Disorders, Norwegian I
- 18 10

17 Pepartment of Mental Disorders, Norwegian Institute of Public He

17 Pepartment of Psychology, University of Oslo, Forskningsveien 3A,
- 19 11 Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Forskningsveien 3A, 0373, Oslo, Norway.

20 ¹²Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway.
- ¹² Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway.
- $\frac{21}{20}$ *Corresponding author
 $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{5}{20}$ $\frac{5}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$ $\frac{1}{20}$
- 21 *Corresponding author
22 \$These authors contributed equally to this work
23 **Abstract**

22 Abstract authors contributed equal to the this work $\frac{1}{2}$

-
- Example 14 Abstracts background
25 The intergenerational transmission of obesity-related traits could propagate an accelerating cycle of obesity, if 25 The interger
26 parental adip
- 26 parental adiposity causally influences offspring adiposity via intrauterine or periconceptional mechanisms. We aimed
27 to establish whether associations between parental peri-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) and offspri 26 parental adiposity causally influences offspring adiposity via intrauterine or periconceptional mechanisms. We aimed
27 to establish whether associations between parental peri-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) and offspri
-
- 28 weight (BW), BMI until 8 years and 8-year eating behaviour are due to genetic confounding.
29 Methods
-
- 29 Wethods
28 webhods
28 Weight from the Newsley Mather Ethnood Child Cabert Studies of the Madical 20 <u>manus</u>
29 We used
21 We comp
- 30 We used data from the Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study and the Medical Birth Registry of Norway.
31 We compared the strength of the associations of maternal versus paternal BMI with offspring outcomes, an
- 32 an extended children of twins structural equation model (SEM) to quantify the extent to which associations were
33 due to genetic confounding ($n = 17001$ to 85866 children).
- 33 due to genetic confounding ($n = 17001$ to 85866 children).
34 Findings 34 Findings
 35 due to generic comfounding (n = 17001 to 85866 children).

- 35 Materna
36 difference 35 Maternal BMI was more strongly associated than paternal BMI with offspring BW, but the maternal-paternal
36 difference decreased for offspring BMI after birth. Greater parental BMI was associated with obesity-related
37 37 offspring eating behaviours. SEM results indicated that genetic confounding did not explain the association between
38 parental BMI and offspring BW, but explained the majority of the association with offspring BMI from 38 parental BMI and offspring BW, but explained the majority of the association with offspring BMI from 6 months
39 onwards. For 8-year BMI, genetic confounding explained 79% (95% CI: 62%, 95%) of the covariance with mater 39 partnal BM and otherwig BM, and onfounding explained 79% (95% CI: 62%, 95%) of the covariance with maternal
39 parents. For 8-year BMI, genetic confounding explained 79% (95% CI: 62%, 95%) of the covariance with materna 39 onwards. For 8-year BMI, genetic confounding explained 79% (95% CI: 40
10 BMI and 94% (95% CI: 72%, 113%) of the covariance with paternal BMI.
11 Interpretation
-
- 40 BMI and 94% (95% CI: 72%) of the covariance with paternal BMI.
40 BMI and dream stillings that patern hild PMI associations are all
- 12 We found strd
13 against a strd 43 against a strong causal effect of maternal or paternal adiposity on childhood adiposity via intrauterine or
44 periconceptionalmechanisms. 44 against a strong causal effect of material or paternal or paternal or paternal adiposity via interaction of maternal or paternal and the childhood adiposity via interaction of the childhood and the childhood and the chi

- 44 periodisponential mechanisms.
44 periodisponential mechanisms. $\overline{\text{Parent, off}}$
	-

17 Introduction
18 The positive observational association between parental body mass index (BMI) and offspring adiposity in childhood
19 is well replicated (1), but the mechanisms driving this association remain unknown. I

48 The positive observations in the mechanisms driving this association remain unknown. If greater maternal or paternal
49 The position between parental body mass index (BI) and of intrautering developmental mechanisms, a

49 ISBN is well replicated (2), but the mechanisms driving this association remain and remaining covers indication
1999 - BMI causes greater offspring BMI via prenatal or intrauterine developmental mechanisms, a vicious cy 50 BMI causes greater offspring BMI via prenatal or intrauterine developmental mechanisms, a vicious cycle could
51 amplify BMI through successive generations and be a major driver of the obesity epidemic (2). It is theref

52 to establish why parental BMI is associated with offspring childhood BMI.
53 Several mechanisms are plausible (Figure 1a). Higher parental BMI coul 53 Several mechanisms are plausible (Figure 1a). Higher parental BMI could cause higher offspring adiposity through
54 pre-conception and/or intrauterine developmental mechanisms (the developmental overnutrition hypothesis 53 Several mechanisms are plausible (Figure 1a). Higher parental BMI could cause higher offspring adiposity through
54 pre-conception and/or intrauterine developmental mechanisms (the developmental overnutrition hypothesis 14 pre-conception and/or intraction and the vertepmental mechanisms (the developmental overprisents) (1994),
55 with some authors advocating that interventions to maintain women's preconception BMI at a healthy level be us 56 as a means to reduce offspring adiposity (1, 3, 7). Because adiposity is highly heritable across the life course (8),
57 genetic confounding (via the inheritance of parental genetic alleles by the offspring) could resul 57 genetic confounding (via the inheritance of parental genetic alleles by the offspring) could result in intergenerational
58 BMI associations. Non-genetic (environmental) confounding, for example via shared familial soci 57 genetic confounding (via the inheritance of parental genetic alleles by the offspring) could result in intergenerational
58 BMI associations. Non-genetic (environmental) confounding, for example via shared familial soci 59 or parental influences on offspring postnatal food intake and physical activity behaviours, could also contribute to
50 these associations. 59 or parental influences on or participants of the physical form of the developmental accomplishes behaviours, colored at (0)

61 these associations.
51 Numerous animal s For Potential biological mechanisms have been elucidated (Figure 1b) (5, 9), including a putatively key role for the
53 programming of offspring appetite via energy homeostasis brain networks (10). In humans, child appetit Fotential biological mechanisms have been elucidated (Figure 1b) (5, 9), including a putatively key role for the
53 programming of offspring appetite via energy homeostasis brain networks (10). In humans, child appetite tr Frogramming of one programming appears the onergy homeostatic standard correcting (12). However, whether
54 associated with the child's own BMI (11), and with maternal overweight/obesity (12). However, whether
55 developme developmental programming of adiposity and appetite occurs in humans remains unclear. Mendelian randomization
64 bilding studies (14, 15) and paternal negative exposure control studies (16, 17) suggest that familial 65 developmental programming of adiposity and appetite occurs in humans remains unclear. Mendelian randomization
66 (13), sibling studies (14, 15) and paternal negative exposure control studies (16, 17) suggest that famili 66 (14), siaming studies (14), and paternal negative exposure control studies (14), 14) suggest that familial
56 confounding (either genetic or non-genetic) may be an important cause of parent-child BMI associations. Howev 58 such associations are generally unchanged on adjustment for measured variables (18), leaving the specific
59 confounders unidentified. 68 such associations and the generality unchanged on a such as the specific on a specific for measured variables (18), leaving the specific the specific on a specific for measured variables (18), leaving the specific the s

70 We aimed to establish w 70 We aimed to establish whether associations between peri-pregnancy parental BMI and offspring birth weight,
71 childhood BMI and appetite-related eating behaviours are due to genetic confounding. We first compared the
72 11 Childhood BMI and appears to be a sering behaviours are due to generate confounding. The most compared inte
17 strength of the associations of maternal versus paternal BMI with offspring outcomes, which are likely to be The association of the associations of maternal versus paternal and the associations of maternal paternal strength of
The associations of the are primarily due to genetic confounding. We then applied a genetically informed 73 strong if they are primarily due to genetic confounding. We then applied a genetically informed structural equation
74 model (SEM) to a population-based sample of twins, siblings and half siblings, and their children, t 76 Based on prior evidence (13-19) we hypothesized that genetic confounding would not explain the associations of 76 Based on prior evidence (13-19) we hypothesized that genetic confounding would not explain the associations of
77 parental BMI with offspring birth weight, but would be a major driver of associations with offspring chil 77 parental BMI with offspring birth weight, but would be a major driver of associations with offspring childhood BMI. 77 parental BMI with offspring birth weight , but would be a major driver of associations with offspring childhood BMI.

78 Figure 1: (a) Directed acyclic graph (DAG) showing three plausible mechanisms for associations between parental BM and 79 offspring weight, BMI and appetite traits. We define non-genetic (environmental) comounding as comounding that does not 80 involve the offspring's own genotype (non-genetic confounding could therefore still involve parental genetic effects, i.e. ef ffects 81 of parental genotype on offspring outcomes independently of offspring genotype, via the offspring's environment). Examp les of 82 non-genetic confounding include shared familial socioeconomic position or parental influences on offspring postnatal 83 intake and physical activity behaviours. To the extent that postnatal parental BMI per se causally influences offspring outco 84 after birth (e.g. by influencing feeding- and exercise-related parenting practices), this would constitute a postnatal causal effect
85 rather than non-genetic confounding. (b) Conceptual diagram showing putative biolog 85 rather than non-genetic confounding. (b) Conceptual diagram showing putative biological mechanisms by which parenta l BMI 86 could have intrauterine or periconceptional causal effects on offspring outcomes. Arrows denote potential causal effects, 87 outlined boxes denote variables analysed in the present study. The intent is to non-exhaustively show some key variables 88 relationships that have been hypothesized in the literature food omes after birth (e.g. by influencing feeding- and exercise-related parenting practices), this would constitute a postnatal causal effect , bold s and

- 39 Methods
30 <u>Study designal</u>
31 We analys
- 91 We analysed data from the N
92 (20)), a prospective population 92 (20)), a prospective population-based birth cohort conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health, and used
93 data from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN), a national health registry containing informat 928 (20)), a prospective population-based birth conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health conducted
92 data from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN), a national health registry containing information ab 93 data from the Medical Birth Registry of North Registry, a national health registry containing incompared in
93 different Births in Norway, on
95 different about antenation about a light registry of the Morwegian women a
- extendance of a routine antenatal ultrasound scan offered to all Norwegian women at around 17 weeks of gestation.
1944 41% of invitees participated, resulting in a total sample of around 114,500 children born between 199
- 96 at 1% of invitees participated, resulting in a total sample of around 114,500 children born between 1999 and 2009,
97 along with around 95,200 mothers and 75,200 fathers. We used version 11 of the quality assured data f
- 96 along with around 95,200 mothers and 75,200 fathers. We used version 11 of the quality assured data files released
98 for research in 2018, and analysed only live-born offspring. Flowcharts detailing sample selection ar
- along with around 95,200 mothers and 75,200 fathers. We used version 11 of the quality assured data files released
19 for research in 2018, and analysed only live-born offspring. Flowcharts detailing sample selection are p
- 98 Supplementary information S1. The establishment of MoBa and initial data collection was based on a license from
99 Supplementary information S1. The establishment of MoBa and initial data collection was based on a licen
- 99 Supplementary information S1. The establishment of Moba and initial data collection was based on a license from
1990 the Norwegian Data Protection Agency and approval from The Regional Committees for Medical and Health
 00 the Norwegian Data Protection Agency and approval from The Regional Committees for Medical and Health

11 Research Ethics. The MoBa cohort is currently regulated by the Norwegian Health Registry Act. The current study

- 02 was approved by The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REK 2013/863).
03 Exposures and outcomes
-
- 04 The exposures were maternal pre-pregnancy BMI and paternal BMI during pregnancy, calculated from weight and 04 The exposures were mate
05 beight reported by the p
- 04 The exposured by the parents at the first study questionnaire (around 17 weeks gestation). Maternal height and
16 The expregnancy weight were reported by the mothers, and paternal weight and height were reported by the
- 05 or the presence of the parents at the method of questionnaire (around 17 weeks gestation). Maternal height
15 or the pregnancy weight were reported by the mothers, and paternal weight and height were reported by the fat
- 06 presence reports of measurements), or by the mothers when paternal report was unavailable (Pearson's $r = 0.98$ between

18 maternally and paternally reported paternal weight and height). $\frac{1}{20}$ (for 35% of measurements), or by the mothers when paternal report was unavailable (Pearson's $r = 0.98$ between
38 maternally and paternally reported paternal weight and height).
-
- 08 maternally and paternally reported paternal weight and BMI assessed b
-
- Birth weight and length were from the MBRN. Mothers completed regular questionnaires when their children were
12 aged between 6 months and 8 years, from which the child's weight and height at age 6 months and 1, 2, 3, 5, a 11 Birth weight and length were from the MBRN. Mothers completed regular questionnaires when their children were
12 aged between 6 months and 8 years, from which the child's weight and height at age 6 months and 1, 2, 3, 5
-
- 14 measurements from 5 years onwards were carried out by the parents. In order to maximise statistical efficiency we
- 14 measurements from 5 years onwards were carried out by the parents. In order to maximise statistical efficiency we
15 also used all available offspring BMI measurements to fit a growth curve, from which we predicted offs
- 14 measurements from 5 years on take carried out by the parents from statistical efficiency of also used all available offspring BMI measurements to fit a growth curve, from which we predicted offspring BMI at 1
16 year in 15 also used all available offspring BMI measurements to fit a growth curve, from which we predicted offspring BMI at 1
16 year intervals between age 1 and 8 years for children with at least three BMI measurements. These f
- 17 values, which we refer to as "predicted BMI", were used as a supplement to the mother-reported BMI measures
18 described above, enabling comparison of results in an identical (and larger) sample across different ages. F
- 18 described above, enabling comparison of results in an identical (and larger) sample across different ages. Full details
19 of the cleaning of anthropometric data and growth curve fitting are given in **Supplementary info**
- 19 of the cleaning of anthropometric data and growth curve fitting are given in **Supplementary information S2**. As pre-
20 planned secondary outcomes we analysed ponderal index (weight [kg]/length [m]³) and BMI at birth 19 of the cleaning of anthropometric data and growth curve fitting are given in Supplementary information S2. As pre-
20 planned secondary outcomes we analysed ponderal index (weight [kg]/length [m]³) and BMI at birth, a
-
- planned secondary outcomes we analysed ponderal index (weight [kg]/length [m]³) and BMI at birth, and weight at
21 ages up to 8 years.
22 and 17h a CERO is a wideh weed and welldeted weekens this instrument for abild abo ages up to 8 years.
22 The CEBQ is a widely used and validated psychometric instrument for child obesogenic eating behaviours (22). At the
23 8-year questionnaire, mothers completed 5-point Likert scales for 18 CEBQ items 23 The CEBQ items read and verse and verse and verse and verses a series and verse and verses and verses and v
24 The CEBQ items related to their child's satilety responsivenes (24). At the responsiveness, slowness in eati 24 8-year questionnaire, motivation presence 5-point 2002 is also continue to their completed in the satisfy relative scales for 18 CeBQ items relative scales for 18 CeBQ in 19 C 24 responsiveness, slowness in eating, enjoyment of food, fussiness, emotional overeating and emotional undereating.
25 We calculated the mean item score for each of the six scales for participants with available data for 26 three items per scale. Covariate data were obtained from the MBRN or study questionnaires and are described in
27 Supplementary information S3. 27 Supplementary information S3.
26 three items per scales of the MBRN or study questionnaires and are described in Supplementary information S3.

²⁸ Linear regression analyses
²⁹ We fitted linear regression models to explore associations between exposures and outcomes, adjusting when 29 We fitted linear regression
30 relevant for offspring sex 29 We fitted linear regression models to explore associations between exposures and confounders including maternal parity, parental and grandparental language group (as a proxy for ethnicity) and confounders including mate 31 confounders including maternal parity, parental and grandparental language group (as a proxy for ethnicity) and
32 maternal and paternal characteristics (age, smoking during pregnancy, educational attainment and income) 32 maternal and paternal characteristics (age, smoking during pregnancy, educational attainment and income).
33 Participants with non-missing values for all relevant variables were included in analyses. To account for non-32 maternal and paternal characteristics (age, smoking during pregnancy, educational attainment and income).
33 Participants with non-missing values for all relevant variables were included in analyses. To account for non-35 (Supplementary information S4), and a z-test was used to test whether associations with maternal and paternal BMI 35 (Supplementary information S4), and a z-test was used to test whether associations with maternal and paternal BMI
36 differed in magnitude (Supplementary information S5). For ease of interpretation, exposure and outcome 35 (Supplementary information S4), and a z-test was used to test whether associations with material and paternal BMI
36 differed in magnitude (Supplementary information S5). For ease of interpretation, exposure and outcome 36 differed in magnitude (Supplementary information S5). For ease of interpretation, exposure and outcome variables

37 were standardized, therefore regression coefficients are interpreted as the average change in the outcome in
38 standard deviation (SD) units per 1 SD increase in the exposure. Because the standard deviation may differ ³⁸ 10 Offspring BMI from age 5 years onwards was positively skewed (Supplementary information S6) so was natural log 30 Offspring BMI from age 5 years onwards was positively skewed (Supplementary information S6) so was natural log
31 transformed, and several CEBQ eating behaviour scores were strongly skewed so were regressed on offspring 40 Offspring BMI from age 5 years onwards was positively skewed (Supplementary information S6) so was natural log
41 transformed, and several CEBQ eating behaviour scores were strongly skewed so were regressed on offspring 41 transformed, and several CEBQ eating behaviour scores were strongly skewed so were regressed on offspring age
42 and sex followed by rank-based inverse normal transformation of the residuals. We carried out sensitivity 42 including 1) additionally adjusting birth weight models for gestational age at birth, 2) testing for non-linear
44 associations (**Supplementary information S7**), 3) testing for interaction by offspring sex, and 4) testi 44 associations (**Supplementary information S7**), 3) testing for interaction by offspring sex, and 4) testing for maternal and the models formaternal age at the models formaternal age at the models formaternal and the mode 45 BMI-paternal BMI interaction. Analyses were carried out in R version 4.0.3 (23).
46 Genetically informed structural equation modelling

46 Genetically informed structural equation modelling
47 To quantify the extent to which exposure-outcome associations were due to genetic confounding, we fit an 47 To quantify the extent to which exposure-outco
18 extended children of twins SEM (the Multiple 47 To quantify the enterty to make the extended to which extends to the extended children of twins SEM (the Multiple Children of Twins and Siblings [MCoTS] model, described in
49 Supplementary information S8 and elsewhere 49 Supplementary information S8 and elsewhere (24)) in a subset of the MoBa sample. An extended pedigree including
48 twins, siblings and half siblings in both the parental and offspring generations was identified within M 49 Supplementary information 38 and elsewhere (24)) in a subset of the Moba sample. An extended pedigree including
50 twins, siblings and half siblings in both the parental and offspring generations was identified within M 50 twins, siblings and half siblings in both the parental and offspring generations was identified within MoBa using data
51 from the study questionnaires, genotyping, and linkage to the Norwegian Population Registry, the 52 Registry and the MBRN (24). Our MCoTS model partitions the phenotypic covariance between exposures and
53 outcomes into a part due to genetic confounding and a residual part (due to any causal effects and/or non-genetic 53 outcomes into a part due to genetic confounding and a residual part (due to any causal effects and/or non-genetic
54 confounding). Skewed exposure and outcome variables were transformed as for linear regression analyses 54 confounding). Skewed exposure and outcome variables were transformed as for linear regression analyses, with the
55 exception that parental BMI was also natural log transformed given the multivariate normality assumptio 54 exception that parental BMI was also natural log transformed given the multivariate normality assumptions of SEM
56 fit via maximum likelihood. Exposure variables were standardized to give unit variance and zero mean. O 56 fit via maximum likelihood. Exposure variables were standardized to give unit variance and zero mean. Outcome
57 variables were standardized (or inverse normalized for eating behaviour variables) within sex strata (or w 56 fit via maximum likelihood. Exposure variables were standardized to give unit variance and zero mean. Outcome
57 variables were standardized (or inverse normalized for eating behaviour variables) within sex strata (or w 58 and sex strata for child BMI outcomes). Because the variance of exposures and outcome variables was close to one,
59 covariances are approximately equal to Pearson's correlation coefficients. Classic and extended twin s 50 the presence of dominance genetic effects and absence of common environmental effects for adult BMI (8), but 50 the presence of dominance genetic effects and absence of common environmental effects for adult BMI (8), but
51 provide support for common environmental effects on birth weight and child BMI (25, 26). We therefore chose 60 the presence of annualistic generic effects and absence of the provident and child BMI (25, 26). We therefore chose a
62 priori to fit an MCoTS model that partitioned parental BMI variance into additive, dominance and n 61 provide support for common environmental effects on birth weight and child BMI (25, 26). We therefore chose a
62 priori to fit an MCoTS model that partitioned parental BMI variance into additive, dominance and non-sh 53 environmental components (an ADE model) and partitioned offspring outcome variance into additive, common
64 environmental and non-shared environmental components (an ACE model). In sensitivity analyses we fit ACE and AE For the manufal components (an ADE model) and partitioned original components (and ADE model). In sensitivity analyses we fit ACE and AE
55 models for parental BMI as well as stratifying analyses by offspring sex, fitting Framental and non-state environmental components (an ACE model), and remaining analyses models for parental BMI as well as stratifying analyses by offspring sex, fitting a liability threshold model for

56 untransformed ea For the formulation parameter for parameters for parameters for parameters of the untransformed eating behaviour outcomes (Supplementary information S9–11), and refitting BW models having
57 dropped offspring-generation tw 66 untransformed eating behaviour outcomes (Supplementary information S9–11), and refitting BW models having
66 dropped offspring-generation twins (because monozygotic [MZ] twins may share a placenta and twins have lower
6 67 BW than singletons, which could generate biases). Standard errors and 95% confidence intervals were calculated via
67 bias corrected bootstrapping of the MCoTS model with 10,000 resamples. SEM were fit in R version 4.0. 68 bias corrected bootstrapping of the MCoTS model with 10,000 resamples. SEM were fit in R version 4.0.3 (OpenMx
30 package version 2.18.1) (23, 27). First correction bootstrapping of the MCOC model with 10,000 resamples. Sem with 10,000 results with 10,000 response
74 bias corrected bootstrapping course.

70 package version 2.18.1) (23, 27).
71 Role of the funding source
72 The funders of the study had no

72 The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of 73 the report. The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing or
73 the report.

- 74
-

 75 Results
 76 Table 1:

Statistics are for the sample used for linear regression analyses of birth weight ($n = 85,866$), aside from the other outcome 37 Statistics are for the sample used for linear regression analyses of birth weight ($n = 85,866$), aside from the other outcome variables, for which statistics are from the corresponding linear regression samples. Equiva $(n = 46,620)$ are presented in Supplementary information S12. SD: standard deviations, WHO: World Health Organization 79 (n = 46,620) are presented in Supplementary information S12. SD: standard deviations, WHO: World Health Organization

30
31
32 81 The number of offspring included in linear regression analyses varied by outcome, from 85,866 (74.9% of recruited
82 sample) to 30,904 (27.0% of recruited sample) for analyses of birth weight and 2-year BMI respectively 82 Supplementary information S1 shows the proportion of the sample with non-missing data for each analysis, and
84 Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study participants. There was statistical evidence for selective a **133 Supplementary information 31** shows the proportion of the sample with non-missing data for each analysis, and
134 Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study participants. There was statistical evidence for selec **84 Table 1** shows the characteristics of the study participants. There was statistical evidence for selective attrition, such
85 that the sample used for analyses of 8-year BMI ($n = 46,620$) was more highly educated and 36 prevalence and greater maternal age versus the baseline sample, but the magnitude of such differences was more is and in the basities of 8-year and 137 relatively small (Supplementary information S12).

87 elatively small (Supplementary information S12).
88 blinear regression analyses provided strong statisti 87 Linear regression analyses provided strong statistical evidence that the association of maternal BMI with offspring
87 birth weight is stronger than that of paternal BMI with offspring birth weight (Figure 2a). However, 88 birth weight is stronger than that of paternal BMI with offspring birth weight (Figure 2a). However, after birth the
80 associations with offspring BMI converged, and the associations of maternal and paternal BMI with o 89 birth weight is stronger than that or paternal BMI with orisping birth weight (Figure 2a). However, after birth the
89 associations with offspring BMI converged, and the associations of maternal and paternal BMI with of 90 associations with offspring BMI converged, and the associations with one paternal and proping BMI vear BMI were similar. Although for 8-year BMI there was statistical evidence that the paternal association was
92 Slight 91 year BMI were similar contents on 1918 of 8-year BMI there was contented to be presented variables the paternal
93 association was actually slightly stronger than the maternal association (**Supplementary table S1**). The 92 slightly weaker, the difference was not large, and when we used unstandardized parental variables the paternal
93 association was actually slightly stronger than the maternal association (**Supplementary table S1**). Thes 94 were not markedly different when using offspring BMI predicted from a modelled growth curve (Supplementary
95 **information S13**), when substituting birth weight for ponderal index/BMI at birth and substituting child BMI 94 were not markedly different when using orisping BMI predicted from a modelled growth curve (Supplementary
95 **information S13**), when substituting birth weight for ponderal index/BMI at birth and substituting child BMI 95 Information 313), when substituting birth weight for ponderal mack/BMI at birth and substituting child BMI
96 weight, when stratifying by offspring sex, or when additionally adjusting for gestational age (Supplementary 96 weight, when stratifying by offspring sex, or when additionally adjusting for gestational age (Supplementary table 97 S1). With respect to eating behaviour outcomes, both maternal and paternal BMI were positively associ 97 offspring food responsiveness and emotional overeating, and negatively associated with emotional undereating.
99 Only paternal BMI was associated (negatively) with offspring satiety responsiveness and slow eating. Offsp 99 Only paternal BMI was associated (negatively) with offspring satiety responsiveness and slow eating. Offspring 8-
98 year BMI was associated with all eating behaviour outcomes except for emotional undereating, in the di year BMI was associated with all eating behaviour outcomes except for emotional undereating, in the directions that
1999 only would be expected from the behavioral susceptibility theory of obesity (22) (**Supplementary info** 90 year BMI was associated with all eating behaviour outcomes except for emotional undereating, in the directions that
11 would be expected from the behavioral susceptibility theory of obesity (22) (**Supplementary informat** 012 did not observe large departures from log-linear relationships (Supplementary information S7), and statistical

13 interaction between maternal and paternal BMI was at most minor (Supplementary information S15). of interaction between maternal and paternal BMI was at most minor (**Supplementary information S15**).
14 **Table 2** shows the sample size available for MCoTS analyses, stratified by maternal and offspring rel

Table 2 shows the sample size available for MCoTS analyses, stratified by maternal and offspring relationship. The
35 MCoTS results indicated that the positive phenotypic covariance between maternal BMI and offspring birth 14 Table 2 shows the sample size available for MCOTS analyses, stratified by maternal and onspiring relationship. The
15 MCoTS results indicated that the positive phenotypic covariance between maternal BMI and offspring bi 05 MCOTS results in the positive process, process, process in the position maternal and offspring and original
1990 Mas not explained by genetic confounding, with genetic covariance estimates that were statistically indist 06 was not explained by genetic confounding, with genetic covariance estimates that were statistically indistinguishable
17 from zero (Figure 3). The weak positive phenotypic covariance between paternal BMI and offspring b 07 also not explained by genetic confounding. Surprisingly, there was statistical evidence for a small negative genetic
07 covariance between paternal BMI and offspring birth weight, but this attenuated and became statisti 08 208 covariance between paternal BMI and offspring birth weight, but this attenuated and became statistically
10 indistinguishable from zero when, in exploratory analyses, we adjusted exposures and outcomes for potential 09 covariance between paternal BMI and one-paint weight, but this attenuated and outcomes for potential
10 confounders (including maternal BMI, paternal age and paternal income), suggesting that bias due to uncontrolled 10 indistinguishable from zero when, in exploratory analyses, we adjusted exposures and outcomes for potential
11 confounders (including maternal BMI, paternal age and paternal income), suggesting that bias due to uncontro 12 confounding may be the explanation (**Supplementary information S16**).
13 From age 6 months onwards, genetic covariance estimates became po

13 From age 6 months onwards, genetic covariance estimates became positive and increased in magnitude, such that
14 for offspring 8-year BMI, genetic confounding explained 79% (0.19 / 0.24 * 100) (95% CI: 62%, 95%) of the 14 for offspring 8-year BMI, genetic confounding explained 79% (0.19 / 0.24 $*$ 100) (95% CI: 62%, 95%) of the
15 covariance with maternal BMI and 94% (0.20 / 0.21 $*$ 100) (95% CI: 72%, 113%) of the covariance with pater 14 for our program system states and the conformal conformation of the covariance with paternal BMI,
16 (Figure 3, Supplementary information S17). Genetic confounding explained a high and stable proportion of the 16 (Figure 3, Supplementary information S17). Genetic confounding explained a high and stable proportion of the
17 phenotypic covariance with predicted BMI from age 1 to 8 years (Figure 4, Supplementary information S18). R 16 (Figure 3, Supplementary information S17). Genetic comoditions explained a high and stable proportion of the
17 phenotypic covariance with predicted BMI from age 1 to 8 years (Figure 4, Supplementary information S18). R 17 phenotypic covariance with predicted BMI from age 1 to 8 years (Figure 4, Supplementary information S18). Results
18 did not appreciably differ when we fitted ACE or AE models instead of the primary ADE model in the par 18 did not appressively differ when we fitted accepts when we fitted accepts the primary and the parents of the
18 different models in the primary ADE 2, when birth weight was substituted for ponderal index/BMI at birth an 19 generation (Supplementary Table 2), when birth weight was substituted for ponderal index/BMI at birth and child
19 BMI was substituted for weight (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary information S19), or when BW models 20 BMI was substituted for weight (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary information S19), or when BW models
21 were refit without offspring-generation twins (results available from the authors on request). Although sex str 22 were refit with the computer of the provided no evidence for large sex differences in estimates (Supplementary Table 2, 2). MCoTS models for eating behaviour outcomes were underpowered and uninformative (Supplementary T 22 models were underpowered, they provided no evidence for large sex differences in estimates (Supplementary Table 2,
24 Supplementary information S20). Full MCoTS results including model fit statistics and estimated varia 23 2). MCOTS models for eating behaviour outcomes were underpowered and uninformative (Supplementary Table 2,
24 Supplementary information S20). Full MCoTS results including model fit statistics and estimated variance
25 c 24 Supplementary information S20). Full MCoTS results including model fit statistics a
25 components for parental and offspring phenotypes are presented in Supplementary Table 2.
26 25 components for parental and offspring phenotypes are presented in Supplementary Table 2.

27 Table 2: Number of families available for MCoTS analyses, stratified by parental and offspring relationship

a: Number of relative pairs for which at least one member had exposure/outcome data available (the numbers given in Figures 3 28 a: Number of relative pairs for which at least one member had exposure/outcome data available (the numbers given in Figures 3
29 and 4 for individual offspring with available data for each outcome are therefore greater) 29 and 4 for individual offspring with available data for each outcome are therefore greater), **b:** Parent-generation relatedness was
30 modelled between same-sex DZ twins/siblings only, therefore opposite-sex DZ twins/sib 31 in-law, c: MZ and DZ twins in the offspring generation were only retained for singleton parents, MZ: monozygotic (identical)
32 twins, DZ: dizygotic (non-identical) twins 32 in-law, c: MZ and DZ twins in the onspring generation were only retained for singleton parents, MZ: monozygotic (identical)
32 twins, DZ: dizygotic (non-identical) twins

32 twins, DZ: dizygotic (non-identical) twins

- 34 Figure 2: Linear associations of maternal and paternal BMI with offspring birth weight, child BMI and 8-year ea ating
- 35 behaviours
	- a

Offspring outcome	Exposure	n	Estimate	95% CI	P	P_{dif}					
Birth weight	Maternal BMI	85866	0.12	0.12, 0.13	3.1e-247	6.7e-85					
	Paternal BMI	85866	0.01	0.01, 0.02	$5.4e-04$						
6 month BMI	Maternal BMI	69256	0.09	0.08, 0.09	8.1e-99	2e-06					
	Paternal BMI	69256	0.06	0.05, 0.06	6.4e-44						
1 year BMI	Maternal BMI	59292	0.10	0.09, 0.11	2.4e-118	$2.8e-05$					
	Paternal BMI	59292	0.07	0.06, 0.08	$2.3e-63$						
2 year BMI	Maternal BMI	30904	0.08	0.07, 0.10	7.8e-45	0.13					
	Paternal BMI	30904	0.07	0.06, 0.08	4.1e-32						
3 year BMI	Maternal BMI	39101	0.08	0.07, 0.09	8.8e-51	0.79			-0-		
	Paternal BMI	39101	0.08	0.07, 0.09	$2.4e-54$				--		
5 year BMI	Maternal BMI	32848	0.14	0.12, 0.15	8.1e-123	0.48					
	Paternal BMI	32848	0.13	0.12, 0.14	2.2e-113						
8 year BMI	Maternal BMI	46620	0.19	0.19, 0.20	$< 2.2e - 308$	$2.9e-03$					
	Paternal BMI	46620	0.17	0.16, 0.18	3.2e-298						
			Maternal BMI			-0.05	0	0.05	0.1	0.15	0.2
			Paternal BMI								
						Mean change in offspring outcome (SD)					

per 1 SD increase in parental BMI

36

\bold{b} 37

- 38 Linear associations of maternal and paternal BMI with offspring outcomes. a: offspring birth weight and child BMI, b: offspri Linear associations of maternal and paternal BMI with offspring outcomes. a: offspring birth weight and child BMI, b: offspring 8-
- 39 year old CEBQ eating behaviour traits, P: P-value testing the null hypothesis that regression coefficient is zero, Pdif: P-v value
- 40 testing the null hypothesis that maternal and paternal regression coefficients are equal
- 41
- 42
- 43

44 Figure 3: MCoTS SEM estimates of phenotypic and genetic covariance of parental BMI with offspring birth weight and 8yr BM MI

15 Phenotypic covariance denotes the overall covariance between the exposure and outcome, Genetic covariance denotes the
16 part of the phenotypic covariance that is due to genetic confounding, P: P-value for phenotypic For the phenotypic covariance that is due to genetic comoditumig, P: P-value for phenotypic covariance calculated via a z-test
17 incing the standard error from beatstrapping the MCoTS model. B value for genetic covariance Phenotypic covariance denotes the overall covariance between the exposure and outcome, Genetic covariance denotes the

47 using the standard error from bootstrapping the MCoTS model, P-value for genetic covariance calculated via a chi squared d test

48 for deterioration of model fit on deletion of the a1' path coefficient, n: number of offspring with outcome data available

49

50 Figure 4: MCoTS SEM estimates of phenotypic and genetic covariance of parental BMI with offspring predicted BMI

51 Phenotypic covariance denotes the overall covariance between the exposure and outcome, Genetic covariance denotes the exposure and outcome, Genetic covariance denotes the exposure and outcome, Genetic covariance and the 52 part of the phenotypic covariance that is due to genetic confounding, P: P-value for phenotypic covariance calculated via a z z-test 53 using the standard error from bootstrapping the MCoTS model, P-value for genetic covariance calculated via a chi squared d test

54 for deterioration of model fit on deletion of the a1' path coefficient, n: number of offspring with outcome data available

55 Discussion
56 We triangulated evidence from two analytic approaches applied to a large European birth cohort, to infer the
57 mechanisms underlying associations between parental BMI and offspring BW, BMI until 8 years a For the triangulation evidence from the analytic approaches applied to a large European anni control, to infer
56 We triangulated by the method of the magnitude of the associations of maternal and paternal BMI with
58 beha 58 behaviour. There were not large differences in the magnitude of the associations of maternal and paternal BMI with
59 offspring BMI beyond early childhood, suggesting confounding within families as the most parsimonious 58 behaviour. There were not large differences in the magnitude of the associations of maternal and paternal BMI with
59 offspring BMI beyond early childhood, suggesting confounding within families as the most parsimonious 50 for such associations. This was confirmed by our MCoTS analyses, which indicated that the covariance between
51 parental BMI and offspring BMI from age 6 months to 8 years is primarily due to genetic confounding. 61 for parental BMI and offspring BMI from age 6 months to 8 years is primarily due to genetic confounding.
62 for Menth indicated that the covariance restricted that indicated helihood (GCTA-GREML) to existed the cova

62 We have previously used genomic restricted maximum likelihood (GCTA-GREML) to explore whether
61 intergenerational BMI associations could be due to genetic confounding (18). Those analyses investigated whether 63 intergenerational BMI associations could be due to genetic confounding (18). Those analyses investigated whether
64 mother-offspring BMI covariance was explained by a set of ~8 million imputed common genetic variants, i 54 inter-offspring BMI covariance was explained by a set of ~8 million imputed common genetic variants, in
55 inominally unrelated individuals from 3 European birth cohorts. In contrast, the present MCoTS analyses investig 54 mominally unrelated individuals from 3 European birth cohorts. In contrast, the present MCoTS analyses investigated
64 mother-offspring and the set of a manilion investigated For the mainly unrelated individuals from 3 European birth contrast, the present messes information confounding
156 oonfounding involving all genetic variants, using expected relatedness inferred via quantitative genetic t Four conformating in compared relatives. GCTA-GREML indicated that for maternal BMI, imputed variants explained 43% (95% CI:
158 15%, 72%) of the covariance with offspring 15-year BMI, with a similar estimate for 10-year B FREM 15%, 72%) of the covariance with offspring 15-year BMI, with a similar estimate for 10-year BMI. This is highly
59 consistent with the present MCoTS estimates: 79% (95% CI: 62%, 95%) of the covariance between maternal For the consistent with the present MCoTS estimates: 79% (95% CI: 62%, 95%) of the covariance between maternal BMI and
TO offspring 8-year BMI was explained by genetic confounding. MCoTS estimates were somewhat higher than 69 offspring 8-year BMI was explained by genetic confounding. MCoTS estimates were somewhat higher than those
T1 from GCTA-GREML, which is expected given that GCTA-GREML uses a set of measured genetic variants whereas 71 from GCTA-GREML, which is expected given that GCTA-GREML uses a set of measured genetic variants whereas
72 MCoTS infers the effects of all variants. Furthermore, both GCTA-GREML and MCoTS indicated that the covariance 72 MCoTS infers the effects of all variants. Furthermore, both GCTA-GREML and MCoTS indicated that the covariance
73 between maternal BMI and offspring birth weight was not due to genetic confounding. The high concordance 73 between maternal BMI and offspring birth weight was not due to genetic confounding. The high concordance of
74 results between the two methods, which make different assumptions and were applied to different cohorts, 74 results between the two methods, which make different assumptions and were applied to different cohorts,
75 provides strong evidence that genetic confounding is a major driver of associations between parental BMI and 75 provides strong evidence that genetic confounding is a major driver of associations between parental BMI and
76 offspring adiposity in late childhood. 76 provides strong ediposity in late childhood.
77 provides a magnetic consistent with associate anotamel astocial associations between particles (several of which

 77 Our results are consistent with prev The Share authors with the present study), which have not supported a large causal effect of maternal BMI on offspring
79 Childhood adiposity (13, 14, 16, 17). However, sibling studies do provide a degree of support for po 79 childhood adiposity (13, 14, 16, 17). However, sibling studies do provide a degree of support for potential causal
30 effects of more extreme maternal metabolic dysregulation (for example maternal diabetes and severe ob 79 childhood adiposity (13, 14, 16, 17). However, sibling studies do provide a degree of support for potential causal
30 effects of more extreme maternal metabolic dysregulation (for example maternal diabetes and severe ob 80 effects of more extreme material metabolic dysregulation (for example material material metabolic detects), in
82 effects observed in animal
82 models of developmental overnutrition (5, 9) should be interpreted cautious 81 of the purpose adjects, the purpose of the together, the suggests that putative cause increases the mannimal
81 of the putation birth (2, 9) should be interpreted cautiously and do not necessarily occur in humans. The a 82 models of developmental overnutrition (5, 9) should be interpreted cautiously and do not necessarily occur in
83 humans. The association between maternal BMI and offspring size/adiposity at birth has been invoked in the 84 humanture to argue that maternal adiposity has a causal effect on offspring adiposity beyond birth (28). Indeed, MR
85 human and sibling studies (13, 19, 29, 30) support a causal effect of maternal BMI on offspring birt 84 and sibling studies (13, 19, 29, 30) support a causal effect of maternal BMI on offspring birth size. However, the
86 present results, alongside previous studies (13, 17-19, 29), strongly suggest that the causes of weig 85 and sibling studies (13, 19, 29, 30) support a causal effect of maternal BMI on offspring birth size. However, the
86 present results, alongside previous studies (13, 17-19, 29), strongly suggest that the causes of weig 87 somewhat different from the causes of BMI in later childhood.
88 by We observed some associations between parental BMI and of

87 We observed some associations between parental BMI and offspring obesity-related eating behaviours assessed via
87 State CEBQ questionnaire. Previous studies in smaller samples have found similar associations, albeit so 30 inconsistently (12, 31). In particular, we found that greater maternal and paternal BMI were associated with 89 the CEBQ for CEBQ food responsiveness and emotional overeating scales, and a reduced score on the increased scores on the CEBQ food responsiveness and emotional overeating scales, and a reduced score on the 90 inconsidering (12, 31). In particular, we found that greater material and paternal 200 increased scores on the CEBQ food responsiveness and emotional overeating scales, and a reduced score on the
92 emotional undereatin 92 emotional undereating scale. Offspring satiety responsiveness and slow eating were only associated with paternal
93 BMI; however, we cannot exclude measurement error as an explanation for the absent maternal association 92 BMI; however, we cannot exclude measurement error as an explanation for the absent maternal associations
94 because the CEBQ questionnaire was completed by mothers and is inherently subjective. Given our maternal-93 BMI; however, we cannot exclude measurement error as an explanation for the absent maternal associations
94 because the CEBQ questionnaire was completed by mothers and is inherently subjective. Given our maternal-
95 pa of the presence of the product of the product of the specific control compared to confirm this because our MCoTS
37 analyses were underpowered for eating behaviours. 96 driven association between parent and child BMI. However, it was not possible to confirm the possible to contra
98 Our results have important public health implication

98 Our results have important public health implications, when considered alongside prior evidence. Maternal BMI is
99 unlikely to have a large causal effect on child BMI beyond birth, although a small causal effect remain 99 unlikely to have a large causal effect on child BMI beyond birth, although a small causal effect remains plausible,
10 potentially mediated via maternal glycaemia during pregnancy. Any causal effect of paternal BMI on o 91 childhood BMI is likely to be similar to or smaller than that of maternal BMI. Consequently, reductions in the BMI of 000 potential mediated via mediate via maternal GMI. Consequently, reductions in the BMI of
12 pottler parent before pregnancy are unlikely to cause large reductions in childhood adiposity. It is possible that 012 either parent before pregnancy are unlikely to cause large reductions in childhood adiposity. It is possible that
13 interventions targeting parental BMI reduction could influence childhood adiposity via parental lifes 02 either parent before pregnancy are unlikely to cause large reductions in childhood adiposity. It is possible that
13 interventions targeting parental BMI reduction could influence childhood adiposity via parental lifest 11 interventions targeting parameters that \mathcal{O} is the changes that \mathcal{O} is parental lifestyle changes that \mathcal{O} is that

04 persist after birth and affect the offspring's environment. However, whether preconceptional interventions are the

05 optimal approach for preventing childhood obesity requires further evaluation in light of evidence f 34 05 optimal approach for preventing considering pregnancy should still be advised and supported to maintain a healthy
17 oveight, because there is good evidence that maternal obesity in pregnancy causes adverse perinatal ou of the consideration of the consideration of the anti-
17 study. Importantly, weight, because there is good evidence that maternal obesity in pregnancy causes adverse perinatal outcomes in the
18 mother and offspring (32).

07 weight, because there is good evidence that material obesity in pregnancy causes and see perinatal outcomes in the
08 weight has invested strengths. We have evaluated the firm a long preceptive high school evaluation an 0.99 Our study has important step 09 Outer than 10 Our strengths. We has included the associations between parental BMI assessed during pregnancy and offspring outcomes in mid
11 Our childhood. We leveraged a pedigree involving twin and sibling relationshi 11 childhood. We leveraged a pedigree involving twin and sibling relationships in both the parental and offspring
12 generations, to partition parent-offspring phenotypic covariance via an MCoTS SEM that is rooted in quant 11 childhood. We leveraged a pedigree involving twin and sibling relationships in both the parental and offspring
12 generations, to partition parent-offspring phenotypic covariance via an MCoTS SEM that is rooted in quant 13 genetics theory. We gained increased power over a conventional children of twins model by including non-twin
14 siblings in the parent and offspring generations, and up to two children for each parent. We also acknowled 14 siblings in the parent and offspring generations, and up to two children for each parent. We also acknowledge
15 potential limitations of this study. First, because the MCoTS model cannot simultaneously estimate dominan 14 Similary in the parent and one paragonal contractions, and up to the children for each parent. We also account
16 spotential limitations of this study. First, because the MCoTS model cannot simultaneously estimate domin 16 potentic effects and common environmental effects, we fitted an ADE model in the parent generation, which assumes
17 that common environmental effects are absent. This assumption is supported by classic and extended twi 17 that common environmental effects are absent. This assumption is supported by classic and extended twin studies
18 of adult BMI (8) and the similarity of our findings when we used ACE or AE models in the parent generati 17 that common environmental effects are absent. This assumption is supported by classic and extended twin studies
18 of adult BMI (8) and the similarity of our findings when we used ACE or AE models in the parent generati 19 (Supplementary table 2). Second, our MCoTS analyses assume that phenotypic associations between log parent BMI
19 of and offspring outcomes are linear. In our data there were only mild deviations from log-linearity whic 19 (Supplementary table 2). Second, our MCOTS analyses assume that phenotypic associations between log parent BMI
19 and offspring outcomes are linear. In our data there were only mild deviations from log-linearity which w 21 unlikely to meaningfully alter our conclusions (Supplementary information S7). Third, our MCoTS analyses did not
22 account for assortative mating. However, we do not expect this to have had a large impact on our result 22 account for assortative mating. However, we do not expect this to have had a large impact on our results because
23 spousal phenotypic correlations for BMI are relatively weak (13, 33), and spousal correlations at BMI a 23 spousal phenotypic correlations for BMI are relatively weak (13, 33), and spousal correlations at BMI associated loci
24 are weaker still (13). Fourth, the MCoTS model does not account for gene by environment interactio 24 are weaker still (13). Fourth, the MCoTS model does not account for gene by environment interaction, and if
25 interactions exist between the additive genetic and common environmental variance components our results cou 25 are weaker state process interactions exist between the additive genetic and common environmental variance components our results could
26 overestimate genetic confounding. We believe any such bias is likely to be small 25 interactions exist between the additive genetic and common environmental variance components our results could
26 overestimate genetic confounding. We believe any such bias is likely to be small though because extended 27 family design data suggest common environmental effects are negligible for adult BMI (8). Fifth, the residual
28 covariance estimated by the MCoTS model will not be indicative of the true causal effect of parental BMI, 28 covariance estimated by the MCoTS model will not be indicative of the true causal effect of parental BMI, to the
29 extent that residual confounding affects associations between parental BMI and offspring outcomes. It i 29 extent that residual confounding affects associations between parental BMI and offspring outcomes. It is likely that
20 the negative residual covariance estimate for paternal BMI and offspring birth weight reflects resi 20 the negative residual covariance estimate for paternal BMI and offspring birth weight reflects residual confounding,
21 particularly as this estimate attenuated on adjustment for potential confounders (Supplementary inf 10 the negative residual covariance residual covariance environmental confounders (Supplementary information S15).
32 Sixth, our maternal-paternal comparisons did not account for non-paternity, which could weaken paternal 31 particularly as this estimate attenuated on adjustment for potential confounders (**Supplementary information S15**).
32 Sixth, our maternal-paternal comparisons did not account for non-paternity, which could weaken pater 33 associations. However, a previous simulation study showed that for a maternal-paternal comparison analysis using
34 MoBa data with follow up to age three years, results would have changed little with non-paternity rates 33 associated the follow up to age three years, results would have changed little with non-paternity rates of up to 10%
35 (17). Seventh, BMI is an imprecise proxy measure for adiposity. Despite this, BMI is highly correla 35 (17). Seventh, BMI is an imprecise proxy measure for adiposity. Despite this, BMI is highly correlated with more
36 direct adiposity measures in childhood (34). Eighth, MoBa has a participation rate of 41% and there has 36 direct adiposity measures in childhood (34). Eighth, MoBa has a participation rate of 41% and there has been
37 attrition over follow up (20). Although we cannot exclude an effect of selection bias on our results, we be 36 direct adiposity measures in childhood (34). Eighth, MoBa has a participation rate of 41% and there has been
37 attrition over follow up (20). Although we cannot exclude an effect of selection bias on our results, we be 38 unlikely that this would be of sufficient magnitude to alter our conclusions. Lastly, we have studied a Norwegian
39 population which has relatively high obesity prevalence and income per capita in international terms a 39 population which has relatively high obesity prevalence and income per capita in international terms and it would be 10
30 beneficial to replicate our analyses in other settings.

30 beneficial to replicate our analyses in other settings.
31 in summary, we have shown that in a Norwegian por 11 In summary, we have shown that in a Norwegian population the linear association between parental BMI around the
12 time of pregnancy and offspring BMI from age 6 months to 8 years is primarily due to genetic confounding 42 time of pregnancy and offspring BMI from age 6 months to 8 years is primarily due to genetic confounding. Our
43 Presults suggest that neither the mothers' nor fathers' pre-pregnancy BMI has a large causal effect on chi 12 time of pregnancy and one principle BMI from age 6 months to 8 years is primarily due to general entromining. This
14 This implies that any hypothetical intervention that successfully reduced parental BMI before pregnan results suggest that neither the mothers' nor fathers' pre-pregnancy BMI has a large causal effect on childhood BMI.
14 This implies that any hypothetical intervention that successfully reduced parental BMI before pregnanc 44 This implies that altering the offspring's postnatal environment, would be insufficient to achieve large reductions in the offspring's
46 This childhood obesity risk. Our results suggest that in the studied population, 46 altering the offspring's postnatal environment, would be insufficient to achieve large reduction in the original
45 childhood obesity risk. Our results suggest that in the studied population, maintaining healthy parenta 46 childhood obesity risk. Our results suggest that in the studied population, maintaining health
47 conception is unlikely to be a promising target for childhood obesity prevention interventions.
18 Contributors 47 contributors is under the a promising target for childhood obesity preventions. The contributors of childhood obesity preventions. The contributors of childhood obesity preventions. The contributors of childhood obesity

18 Contributors
19 Tom A Bond: conceptualisation, data analysis, software, data interpretation, literature search, writing- original draft
19 Tom A McAdams: funding acquisition, data curation, methodology, software, data i

49 Tom A McAdams: funding acquisition, data curation, methodology, software, data interpretation, writing- review
1994 - Tond editing
1997 - Nicole M Warrington: methodology, software, data interpretation, writing- review

-
- 51 and editing
52 Nicole M Warrington: methodology, software, data interpretation, writing- review and editing 52 Nicole M W $\frac{12}{12}$ nicole M $\frac{3}{12}$ interpretation. Methodology, writing- review and editing- review and editing-
	-

- 53
- 54 Espen Moen Eilertsen: methodology, data curation, software, data interpretation, writing- review and editing
55 Fartein A Torvik: methodology, data curation, software, data interpretation, writing- review and editing
56
- 55 Fartein A Torvik: methodology, data curation, software, data interpretation, writing- review and editing
56 Ziada Ayorech: data curation, software, data interpretation, writing- review and editing
57 George Davey Smith:
-
- 57 George Davey Smith: data interpretation, writing- review and editing
58 Deborah A Lawlor: data interpretation, writing- review and editing
-
- 58 Deborah A Lawlor: data interpretation, writing-review and editing
59 Eivind Ystrom: funding acquisition, methodology, software, data inter
- 59 Eivind Ystrom: funding acquisition, methodology, software, data interpretation, writing- review and editing
50 Alexandra Havdahl: funding acquisition, conceptualisation, supervision, data interpretation, writing- review 50 Alexandra Havdahl: funding acquisition, conceptualisation, supervision, data interpretation, writing- review and
51 editing
52 David M Evans: funding acquisition, conceptualisation, supervision, data interpretation, wri
-
- 60 Alexandra Havdahl: funding acquisition, conceptualisation, supervision, data interpretation, writing- review and edit
62 David M Evans: funding acquisition, conceptualisation, supervision, data interpretation, writing- $\overline{52}$ David M For M Evans: Funding acquisition, conceptualisation, supervision, data interpretation, writing- review and editing
53 Data sharing

- 53 **Data sharing**
54 Data from the Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study and the Medical Birth Registry of Norway used in
55 this study are managed by the national health register holders in Norway (Norwegian Ins 64 This study are managed by the national health register holders in Norway (Norwegian Institute of Public Health) and
64 The Regional Committees for Norwal and Health Registry can be Regional Committees for Medical and He Fig. this study are managed are made available to researchers, subject to approval from the Regional Committees for Medical and Health register holders in Norwegian Institute of Public Health register Research Ethics (REC) 66 can be made available to researchers, subject to approval from the Regional Committees for Medical and Health
67 Research Ethics (REC), compliance with the EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and approval from 59 repositories. Researchers who want access to data sets for replication should apply through helsedata.no. Access to
- For the consent the consent given by the participants and the cover storage of data on an individual reserve
58 deep repositories. Researchers who want access to data sets for replication should apply through <u>helsedata no</u> Ferositories. The sets requires approval from The Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Norway and an
The agreement with MoBa.
- 71 data sets requires approval from The Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Norway and an
71 degreement with MoBa.

71 agreement with MoBa.
72 Declaration of interests

- 73 DAL received support from Medtronic Ltd and Roche Diagnostics for research unrelated to that presented here. All 74 other authors report no conflict of interest.
- other authors report no conflict of interest.

75 Acknowledgements

75 **Acknowledgements**
76 The Norwegian Mothe
77 Services and the Minis 77 Services and the Ministry of Education and Research. We are grateful to all the participating families in Norway who
78 take part in this on-going cohort study. TAB, GDS, DAL, NMW and DME work in or are affiliated a uni The Controllect and the Ministry of Education and Research. We are grateful to all the participating families in Norway 178
179 Support from the University of Bristol and UK Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00011/1 and MC_U This upport from the University of Bristol and UK Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00011/1 and MC_UU_00011/6).
30 This study has received support from the British Heart Foundation Accelerator Award at the University of Bris This study has received support from the British Heart Foundation Accelerator Award at the University of Bristol
31 (AA/18/1/34219) and a University of Queensland-University of Exeter Accelerator grant. LIH was supported b 80 This study has received support from the British Heart Foundation Heart Foundation Heart British, 1988 (AA/18/1/34219) and a University of Queensland-University of Exeter Accelerator grant. LIH was supported by the Sout 81 (AA/18/1/34219) and a University of Queensland-University of Exeter Accelerator grant. LIH was supported by the
82 South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (2018058, 2019097) during the completion of this work. NM 82 funded by a NHMRC Investigator grant (APP2008723). DME is funded by an Australian National Health and Medical
84 Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (APP1137714) and this work was funded by National Health and 83 funded by a number investigator grant (APP2008723). DME is funded by an Australian National Health and Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (APP1137714) and this work was funded by National Health and Medical Res 85 Medical Research Council (Australia) (NHMRC) project grants (GNT1157714, GNT1183074). FAT was partly supported
86 by the Research Council of Norway through its Centers of Excellence funding scheme (262700). ZA is funded 86 by the Research Council of Norway through its Centers of Excellence funding scheme (262700). ZA is funded by a
87 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellowship from the European Research Council (894675). TAM is supported by a Well 86 by the Research Council of Norway through its Centers of Excellence funding Scheme (2027). The Research Station Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellowship from the European Research Council (894675). TAM is supported by a Wellco 87 Marie Skrewskim Skrewskim Curie Fellowskip (220382/2/20/2). AH was supported by grants from the Norwegian Research Council (274611, 3006668) and the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (2018059, 2020022). EY 88 Trust Senior Research Senioral Process Senioral Properties September 2018059, 2020022). EY was
88 Supported by the Research Council of Norway (288083). This paper is the work of the authors and does not 89 Supported by the Research Council of Norway (288083). This paper is the work of the authors and does not
31 Decessarily represent the views of individuals or organizations acknowledged here. 90 supported by the Research Council of Norway (288083). This paper is the work of the authors acknowledged here.
92 **References** References

92 References
92 References

1. Heslehurst N, Vieira R, Akhter Z, Bailey H, Slack E, Ngongalah L, et al. The association between maternal body
94 mass index and child obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2019;16(6):e1002817. 94 mass index and child obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2019;16(6):e1002817.
95 2. Lawlor DA. The Society for Social Medicine John Pemberton Lecture 2011. Developmental overnutrition—an

95 a. Lawlor DA. The Society for Social Medicine John Pemberton Lecture 2011. Developmental over
96 old hypothesis with new importance? Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42(1):7-29. 96 bld hypothesis with new importance? Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42(1):7-29.
97 3. Godfrey KM, Reynolds RM, Prescott SL, Nyirenda M, Jaddoe VW, Eriksson JG, et al. Influence of maternal

97 3. Godfrey KM, Reynolds RM, Prescott SL, Nyirenda M, Jaddoe
98 obesity on the long-term health of offspring. Lancet Diabetes & Endocri 98 obesity on the long-term health of offspring. Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2017;5(1):53-64.
99 4. Sharp GC, Lawlor DA. Paternal impact on the life course development of obesity and type 2 diabetes in the

99 4. Sharp GC, Lawlor DA. Paternal impact on the life course development of obesity and ty

99 offspring. Diabetologia. 2019;62(10):1802-10. 99 4. Sharp GC, Lawlor DA. Paternal impact on the offspring. Diabetologia. 2019;62(10):1802-10.
13 $\frac{100 \text{ p/mg}}{3}$. 2019 $\frac{100 \text{ m}}{3}$

01 5. Jand transgenerational impacts. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2015;26(2):84-90.
13 6. McPherson NO, Fullston T, Aitken RJ, Lane M. Paternal obesity, interventions, and mechanistic pathways to 02 and transperserational inceptance of the transmuth inceptional impaired health in offspring. Ann Nutr Metab. 2014;64(3-4):231-8.

03 6. McPherson NO, Fullston T, Aitken RJ, Lane M. Paternal obesity, interventions, and mechanistic pathways to

14 impaired health in offspring. Ann Nutr Metab. 2014;64(3-4):231-8.

15 7. Larqué E, Labayen I, Flodmark C-E 04 inpair is tactors for childhood obesity. Nature Reviews Endocrinology. 2019;15(8):456-78.
17 8. Maes HH, Neale MC, Eaves LJ. Genetic and environmental factors in rel

05 15 105 7. Large E, Large E, Large E, Labayen I, Thermark C-E, Labayen I, Theorem I, 1980.
1950 1991 15(8):456-78.
1950 1991 16, Maes HH, Neale MC, Eaves LJ. Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and 06 risk factors for childhood obesity. The childhood obesity. The childhood obesity. Nature Reviews EL. Genetic and environmental factors in related and adiposity. Behav Genet. 1997;27(4):325-51.

08 adiposity. Behav Genet. 1997;27(4):325-51.
07 9. – Friedman JE. Developmental programming of obesity and diabetes in mouse, monkey, and man in 2018.
10 – where are we headed? Diabetes. 2018;67(11):2137-51. 10 where are we headed? Diabetes. 2018;67(11):2137-51.
11 10. Rasmussen JM, Thompson PM, Entringer S, I

11 10. Rasmussen JM, Thompson PM, Entringer S, Buss C, Wadhwa PD. Fetal programming of human energy
12 homeostasis brain networks: Issues and considerations. Obes Rev. 2022;23(3):e13392.

12 homeostasis brain networks: Issues and considerations. Obes Rev. 2022;23(3):e13392.
13 11. Webber L, Hill C, Saxton J, Van Jaarsveld CHM, Wardle J. Eating behaviour and 12 homeostasis brain networks: Issues and considerations. Obes Rev. 2022;23(3):e13392.
13 11. Webber L, Hill C, Saxton J, Van Jaarsveld CHM, Wardle J. Eating behaviour and weight in children. Int J Obes. 13 11. Webber L, Hill C, Saxton J, Van Jaarsveld CHM, Wardle J. Eating behaviour and
14 2009;33(1):21-8.

14 2009;33(1):21-8.
15 12. Albuquerque G, Severo M, Oliveira A. Early Life Characteristics Associated with Appetite-Related Eating
16 Behaviors in 7-Year-Old Children. The Journal of Pediatrics. 2017;180:38-46.e2. 16 Behaviors in 7-Year-Old Children. The Journal of Pediatrics. 2017;180:38-46.e2.
17 13. Bond TA, Richmond RC, Karhunen V, Cuellar-Partida G, Borges MC, Zuk

17 13. Bond TA, Richmond RC, Karhunen V, Cuellar-Partida G, Borges MC, Zuber V, et al. Exploring the causal effect
18 of maternal pregnancy adiposity on offspring adiposity: Mendelian randomisation using polygenic risk sco 17 13. Bond TA, Richmond RC, Karhunen V, Cuellar-Partida G, Borges MC, Zub
18 of maternal pregnancy adiposity on offspring adiposity: Mendelian randomisa 18 of maternal pregnancy adiposity on offspring adiposity: Mendelian randomisation using polygenic risk scores. BMC
19 Med. 2022;20(1):34.

19 Med. 2022;20(1):34.
18 of maternal diaboral pregnancy with 20 of maternal diabetes mellitus in pregnancy with
19 offspring adiposity into early adulthood: sibling study in a prospective cohort of 280,866 men from 248,29 $\frac{1}{20}$ 14. Lawlor DA, 1
21 offspring adiposity in 21 offspring adiposity into early adulthood: sibling study in a prospective cohort of 280,866 men from 248,293 families.
22 Circulation. 2011;123(3):258-65.

22 Circulation. 2011;123(3):258-65.
23 15. Branum AM, Parker JD, Keim SA, Schempf AH. Prepregnancy Body Mass Index and Gestational Weight Gain.
24 in Relation to Child Body Mass Index Among Siblings. Am J Epidemiol. 2011;1 24 in Relation to Child Body Mass Index Among Siblings. Am J Epidemiol. 2011;174(10):1159-65.
25 16. Patro B, Liber A, Zalewski B, Poston L, Szajewska H, Koletzko B. Maternal and patern

24 15. Brand Am, Parker Johnson, Parker Johnson, Parker Johnson, Prepregnancy Body 1998.
25. 16. Patro B, Liber A, Zalewski B, Poston L, Szajewska H, Koletzko B. Maternal and paternal body mass index and 25 16. Patro B, Liber A, Zalewski B, Poston L, Szajewska H, Koletzko B. Maternal and patern
26 offspring obesity: a systematic review. Ann Nutr Metab. 2013;63(1-2):32-41.

26 offspring obesity: a systematic review. Ann Nutr Metab. 2013;63(1-2):32-41.
27 17. Fleten C, Nystad W, Stigum H, Skjærven R, Lawlor DA, Smith GD, et al. Parent-offspring body mass index
28 associations in the Norwegian 27 17. Fleten C, Nystad W, Stigum H, Skjærven R, Lawlor DA, Smith GD, associations in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study: a family-bas 28 associations in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study: a family-based approach to studying the role of the
29 intrauterine environment in childhood adiposity. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176(2):83-92.

29 intrauterine environment in childhood adiposity. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176(2):83-92.
30 18. Bond TA, Karhunen V, Wielscher M, Auvinen J, Männikkö M, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, et al. Exploring the 29 18. Bond TA, Karhunen V, Wielscher M, Auvinen J, Männikkö M, Keinänen-Kiuk
2012: Tole of genetic confounding in the association between maternal and offspring bo 30 18. Bond TA, Karhunen V, Wielscher M, Auvinen J, Männikkö M, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, et al. Exploring the
31 role of genetic confounding in the association between maternal and offspring body mass index: evidence from

33 19. Tyrrell J, Richmond RC, Palmer TM, Feenstra B, Rangarajan J, Metrustry S, et al. Genetic evidence for causal
34 relationships between maternal obesity-related traits and birth weight. JAMA. 2016;315(11):1129-40. 33 19. Tyrrell J, Richmond RC, Palmer TM, Feenstra B,
34 relationships between maternal obesity-related traits ar 34 relationships between maternal obesity-related traits and birth weight. JAMA. 2016;315(11):1129-40.
35 20. Magnus P, Birke C, Vejrup K, Haugan A, Alsaker E, Daltveit AK, et al. Cohort Profile Update: The Norwegian

35 20. Magnus P, Birke C, Vejrup K, Haugan A, Alsaker E, Daltveit AK, et al. Cohort Profile Update: The Norwegian
36 Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). Int J Epidemiol. 2016:dyw029.
37 21. Irgens LM. The Medical Birth R 36 Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). Int J Epidemiol. 2016: dyw029.
37 21. Irgens LM. The Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Epidemiological research and surveillance throughout 30

37 21. Irgens LM. The Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Epidemiolog
38 years. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2000;79(6):435-9.

38 years. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2000;79(6):435-9.
39 22. Wardle J, Guthrie CA, Sanderson S, Rapoport L. Development of the Children's Eating Behaviour
30 Questionnaire. The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry a 38 Questionnaire. The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines. 2001;42(7):963-70.
38 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Fo 39 22. Wardle J, Guthrie CA, Sanderson S, Rapoport L. Development of the Children's Eating Behaviour
10 Questionnaire. The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines. 2001;42(7):963-70.
11 23. R Core

41 23. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Fo
42 Statistical Computing; 2020.

42 Statistical Computing; 2020.
43 24. McAdams TA, Hannigan LJ, Eilertsen EM, Gjerde LC, Ystrom E, Rijsdijk FV. Revisiting the children-of-twins
44 design: improving existing models for the exploration of intergenerational 13 24. McAdams TA, Hanni
14 design: improving existing 44 design: improving existing models for the exploration of intergenerational associations. Behav Genet.
15 2018;48(5):397-412.

15 2018;48(5):397-412.
16 25. Lunde A, Melve KK, Gjessing HK, Skjærven R, Irgens LM. Genetic and environmental influences on birth
17 weight, birth length, head circumference, and gestational age by use of population-based 16 25. Lunde A, Me
17 weight, birth length, 44 25. Lunde A, Melve A, Melve K, Gjessing HK, Skyward HK, Skyward Line Schward Influences on and the weight, birth length, head circumference, and gestational age by use of population-based parent-offspring data. Am
18 J

18 JEpidemiol. 2007;165(7):734-41.
19 26. Silventoinen K, Jelenkovic A, Sund R, Hur Y-M, Yokoyama Y, Honda C, et al. Genetic and environmental
10 effects on body mass index from infancy to the onset of adulthood: an indivi 49 $26.$ Silventoinen K, Jelenkovi
50 effects on body mass index from 49. 50 effects on body mass index from infancy to the onset of adulthood: an individual-based pooled analysis of 45 twin
49. S1 cohorts participating in the COllaborative project of Development of Anthropometrical measures 51 cohorts participating in the COllaborative project of Development of Anthropometrical measures in Twins
52 (CODATwins) study. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2016;104(2):371-9.
53 27. Neale MC, Hunter MD, Pr

52 (CODATwins) study. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2016;104(2):371-9.
53 27. Neale MC, Hunter MD, Pritikin JN, Zahery M, Brick TR, Kirkpatrick RM, et al. OpenMx 2.0: Extended Structural 53 27. Neale MC, Hunter MD, Pritikin JN, Zahery M, Brick TR, Kirkpatrick RM, et al.
54 Equation and Statistical Modeling. Psychometrika. 2016;81(2):535-49. 54 Equation and Statistical Modeling. Psychometrika. 2016;81(2):535-49. $\frac{14}{14}$

25 28. Catalano PM, Shankar K. Obesity and pregnancy: mechanisms of short term and long term adverse
56 consequences for mother and child. BMJ. 2017;356:j1.
57 29. Chen J, Bacelis J, Sole-Navais P, Srivastava A, Juodakis J 55 28.

58 effects underlying the associations between maternal phenotypes, birth outcomes, and adult phenotypes: A
59 mendelian-randomization and haplotype-based genetic score analysis in 10,734 mother-infant pairs. PLoS Med. 58 effects underlying the associations between maternal phenotypes, birth outcomes, and adult phenotypes: A
59 mendelian-randomization and haplotype-based genetic score analysis in 10,734 mother-infant pairs. PLoS Med. 59 mendelian-randomization and haplotype-based genetic score analysis in 10,734 mother-infant pairs. PLoS Med.
50 2020;17(8):e1003305.

50 2020;17(8):e1003305.
51 30. Villamor E, Cnattingius S. Interpregnancy weight change and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: a
52 population-based study. The Lancet. 2006;368(9542):1164-70.

52 population-based study. The Lancet. 2006;368(9542):1164-70.
53 31. Boswell N, Byrne R, Davies PSW. Eating behavior 53 31. Boswell N, Byrne R, Davies PSW. Eating behavior traits associated with demographic variables and interpregnancy and risk of an implications for obesity outcomes in early childhood. Appetite. 2018;120:482-90. 54 implications for obesity outcomes in early childhood. Appetite. 2018;120:482-90.
55 32. Borges MC, Clayton G, Freathy RM, Felix JF, Fernández-Sanlés A, Soares

55 32. Borges MC, Clayton G, Freathy RM, Felix JF, Fernández-Sanlés A, Soares AG, et al. Integrating multiple lines
56 of evidence to assess the effects of maternal BMI on pregnancy and perinatal outcomes in up to 497,932 64 implications for obesity of outcomes in early RM, Felix JF, Fernández-Sanlés A, Soares
56 of evidence to assess the effects of maternal BMI on pregnancy and perinatal o 56 of evidence to assess the effects of maternal BMI on pregnancy and perinatal outcomes in up to 497,932 women.
57 medRxiv. 2022:2022.07.22.22277930.

67 of ed exiv. 2022:2022.07.22.22277930.
68 of B. S. Horwitz TB, Keller MC. A comprehensive meta-analysis of human assortative mating in 22 complex traits.
69 obioRxiv. 2022:2022.03.19.484997.

67 bioRxiv. 2022:2022.03.19.484997.
70 34. Bell JA, Carslake D, O'Kee 70 34. Bell JA, Carslake D, O'Keeffe LM, Frysz M, Howe LD, Hamer M, et al. Associations of body mass and fat 71 indexes with cardiometabolic traits. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72(24):3142-54. 70 34. Bell JA, Carslake D, O'Kee
71 indexes with cardiometabolic traits

71 indexes with cardiometabolic traits. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72(24):3142-54.
72 72