- 1 Sexually transmitted infection knowledge levels, socio-demographic characteristics and
- 2 sexual behaviour among men who have sex with men: results from a cross-sectional
- 3 survey in Nairobi, Kenya.
- 4 Delvin Kwamboka Nyasani<sup>1,2</sup>, Meshack Onyambu<sup>1</sup>, Laura Lusike Lunani<sup>2</sup>, Geoffrey
- 5 Oino Ombati<sup>1</sup>, Gaundensia Nzembi Mutua<sup>3</sup>, Matt Price<sup>3</sup>, <sup>4</sup>, Justus .O.Osero<sup>1</sup>
- 6 1 Kenyatta University Department of Community Health and Epidemiology, Nairobi, Kenya
- 7 2 KAVI Institute Of Clinical Research, University Of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya. 3. IAVI, New
- 8 York, United States of America. 4 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University
- 9 of California, San Francisco, California, United States of America.
- 11 Corresponding author, email: <a href="mailto:dnyasani@kaviuon.org">dnyasani@l@yahoo.com</a>
- 12 Abstract

- 13 Background:
- 14 High rates of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) among men who have sex with men
- 15 (MSM) have been reported, there is little research on their STI knowledge. Our study sought
- to characterize knowledge and awareness of STIs among MSM in Nairobi, Kenya.
- 17 Methods
- We mobilized MSM aged ≥18 years from Nairobi into a cross-sectional study. To determine
- 19 their understanding about STIs, a pre-tested structured questionnaire was administered.
- 20 Knowledge score was generated by summing up the number of responses answered correctly
- by a participant. We dichotomized scores as "low" and "high", by splitting the group at <12
- 22 and >12 which was the mean.
- 23 Results
- A total of 404 participants were interviewed between the month of March and August 2020.
- 25 The mean age was 25.2 (SD=6.4) years. Majority were single (80.4%) and Christians
- 26 (84.2%). All participants had some formal education ranging from primary to tertiary; the

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

27 majority (92.3%) had secondary education or more. Most (64.0%) were employed and their monthly income ranged from <50->150 USD. Almost all (98.5%) were Kenyans. 28 Of the 404 (90.6%) self-identified as male and (47.5%) reported to be exclusively top 29 partners. Many (39.9%) reported being both bottom and top, while those reporting to be 30 bottom partners were, (12.6%). The last 12 months, (55.4%) of the participants reported 31 having sex with men only and (88.6%) reported to have had more than one sexual partner. 32 33 Participants scored an average of 12.2, out of 29 SD 4.5. The multivariable modelling revealed that participants aged ≥25 years were more likely to have a higher knowledge score 34 35 compared with the participants aged 18-24 years (aOR=0.973, CI: 0.616-1.538). Regarding education and occupation, participants who had tertiary education and those who were 36 employed were more likely to have a higher knowledge score compared with the participants 37 who had primary education and were not employed (aOR=2.627, CI:1.142-6.043) 38 39 (aOR=0.922, CI:0.401-2.117). Participants who were earning (USD >150) were more likely

44 Conclusion

2.342)

Participant's knowledge level regarding STIs was low. We recommend health care workers

to have a higher knowledge score compared to the ones who were not earning (aOR=2.520,

CI: 0.900-7.055). Further bisexual men were more likely to have a higher knowledge score

compared with the participants who were having sex with men only (aOR=1.550, CI: 1026-

to continue educating patients about STIs.

48

47

40

41

42

43

49

50

### Introduction

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

**Background** Over 1 million sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are acquired daily globally; common among them are chlamydia, gonorrhoea, syphilis and trichomoniasis. Many of these infections are frequently mild or asymptomatic (1) In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), symptomatic STIs are often treated by syndromic management which frequently misses asymptomatic STIs that therefore remain untreated ad potentially infectious (2). Regardless of symptoms, STIs can cause serious morbidity including cancer, infertility, and enhanced HIV transmission (3,4). Prevalence rates of STIs vary from area to area, nation to nation and between urban and rural populations. The infections are more prevalent in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), but SSA is estimated to contribute a greater proportion of the cases of STIs every year, highlighting a need for intervention (5). The impact of STI epidemics is of particular importance among key, higher-risk populations who include: young people and adolescents, men who have sex with men (MSM), people in prisons, sex workers and people who inject drugs. These populations are often vulnerable to both HIV and other STIs not only because of their high-risk sexual and drug use behaviours, but also by structural barriers such as low access to quality health services, stigma and discrimination(6). The risk may even be even greater among MSM because unprotected receptive anal sex; is a greater risk factor for STI and HIV acquisition than unprotected vaginal sex (7).

| 75 | In developed countries such as United States, England and Netherlands the data as also        |
|----|---|
| 76 | shown that MSM bear a much higher burden of new cases of STI and HIV than their               |
| 77 | heterosexual male counterparts (8–11)   |
| 78 | The situation is similar in Africa, where increasing attention has been spent describing the  |
| 79 | epidemiology of STIs and HIV among MSM in recent years. In Uganda, a study conducted          |
| 80 | among 300 MSM, found that they had a higher HIV prevalence 13.7% compared to the              |
| 81 | general adult male population at 4.5% (12).   |
| 82 | In Kenya, a study conducted in Nairobi among 563 male sex workers and other MSM found         |
| 83 | that the prevalence of testing positive for one or more STIs combined (Syphilis, gonorrhoea   |
| 84 | or chlamydia) among male sex workers was higher (15.0%) compared to those who were not        |
| 85 | sex workers (5.3%) (13). Also according to (14) among the estimated 22,000 MSM in             |
| 86 | Kenya, the HIV prevalence was 18.2% compared to the national general population at 5.9%.      |
| 87 |   |
| 88 | According to (15) comprehensive HIV and AIDS knowledge among sexually active men had          |
| 89 | reduced the odds of them contracting STIs. Being that the HIV prevalence among MSM in         |
| 90 | Kenya is estimated to be three times higher than that of the general population, we sought to |
| 91 | characterize knowledge and awareness of STIs among MSM in Nairobi City County, Kenya.         |
| 92 |   |
| 93 |   |
| 94 |   |
| 95 |   |
| 96 |   |
| 97 |   |
| 98 |   |
| 99 |   |

#### **Materials and Methods**

## Study design

This was a cross-sectional study among sexually active MSM within Nairobi, Kenya, conducted between the months of March-August 2020. We interviewed participants to determine their understanding of STIs and assessed for association with socio-demographic characteristic and sexual behaviour.

## **Study participants**

The study was designed to enrol men aged ≥18 years and who have sex with men from places where MSM are known to either solicit their clients or where sexual activity was common including "cruising" areas along streets, or bars with or without lodging (16). They had to be willing and able to give informed consent to participate. MSM who were obviously intoxicated were excluded from participation.

# Participant recruitment

Mobilization was done by MSM community mobilizers. We identified MSM community mobilizers from the Nairobi-based Sex Workers Outreach Programme (SWOP) with whom we had partnered for a recent study (17). The community mobilizers gave a brief description of the research activities to potential participants. Then using a systematic random sampling procedure they selected participants with the desired characteristics. A systematic random sampling is a sampling technique that the researcher selects participants to be included in the sample based on a systematic rule, using a fixed interval.

We had twenty one days to enrol 422 participants. We had purposively selected 5 subcounties that were densely populated with MSM(16). To get the percentage of the participants to be accessed from each sub-county, the researcher took the expected number in a specific sub-county divided by the total participants from all the 5 sub-counties and multiplied by 100. Then to get the numbers to be recruited from each sub county, it was the

percentage of the participants to be accessed from each sub-county multiplied by the required number of participants (n=422) (Table 1).

Table 1: The Number of MSM in the selected Sub-Counties, at selected MSM geographic areas In Nairobi, Kenya and the sampled population.

| <b>Sub-County</b>                      | Estimated number of MSM        | Percentage of the | Number of MSM    |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
|  | available on all selected MSM  | total MSM to be   | required for the |
|  | geographic areas               | accessed          | study ((n=422)   |
| Starehe 2000 (40 MSM geographic areas) |                                | 55%               | 232              |
| Westlands                              | 1000 (27 MSM geographic areas) | 27%               | 114              |
| Dagoretti                              | 360 (20 MSM geographic areas)  | 10%               | 42               |
| South                                  |                                |                   |                  |
| Ruaraka                                | 180 (15 MSM geographic areas)  | 5%                | 21               |
| Kasarani                               | 100 (10 MSM geographic areas)  | 3%                | 13               |
| Total                                  | 3640                           | 100               | 422              |

To get the first participant from each sub-county on each day of mobilization, we had 2 sealed papers written "Yes and No". The mobilizers issued the first two participants the sealed papers if for example, the second participant selected "Yes", then they were issued with a unique identification card. Then they continued mobilizing the second participant until the required number was attained. If the sampled participant had declined to participate, the next exiting participant was sampled. The sampled participants were then referred to the researcher and the research assistants for interviews at a selected venue. The selected venue was a safe and private place identified by the mobilizers where the interviews could be conducted; without attracting attention to the participants. At the entrance to the selected venue, a peer navigator confirmed participant identity by confirming that they had a unique identification card and that they were not intoxicated. Thereafter informed consent was obtained from the participants before the questionnaire was administered. Mobilization from the MSM geographic areas was done on 4 selected peak days (i.e., Wednesday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday) as identified by the key population implementing partners during the

key population size estimate mapping(16). During that time also the peak time identified was 18.00hrs-22.00hrs and that is what we adopted. Peak day refers to a day when the number of MSM present at the MSM geographic areas was more than usual.

### Procedure

Participants were assigned a unique study identification number. Data was collected about socio-demographics and sexual behaviour including: age, marital status, religion, education level, occupation, level of income, nationality, gender identity, roles during sexual activity, sex with whom in the past 12 months, number of sexual partners in the past 12 months, type of sex, if received gifts/money in exchange for sex, whether gave gifts/money in exchange for sex and history of having ever contracted any STI.

To determine their understanding about STIs participants were then given a pre-tested structured questionnaire (Supplemental material). The questionnaire had four open ended questions and one short answer question. Interviewers were instructed not to prompt participants but only tally all correct responses provided. If a participant gave an incorrect answer it was ignored but if it was correct and not among the options given it was captured as other and later analysed. The lead researcher in the field did quality control checks on the questionnaires before the participant was reimbursed, allowing the team to avoid missing data

## **Study Variables**

## **Dependent variable**

or clarify ambiguities.

Knowledge score was the dependent variable. In assessing knowledge levels the participants were asked the following questions; which diseases are spread via sexual intercourse? What the signs and symptoms of STIs were? How one could be infected with STIs? Can someone be infected with STIs via anal sexual intercourse? And Through which media could one get

infected with STIs? (Additional details are shown in the supplemental material). Interviewers

were instructed not to prompt participants but only tally all correct responses provided.

A knowledge score was generated by summing up the number of responses answered

correctly by a participant; to give a score ranging from 0 to 29. For the purposes of analysis,

we dichotomized scores as "low" and "high", by splitting the group at <12 and  $\ge 12$ 

(additional details are shown in Appendix 1).

## **Independent variables**

Independent variables included in this analysis included: age, marital status(single never married, married and single ever married), religion (Christians and non-Christians), education (primary, secondary and post-secondary), occupation (unemployed and employed), level of income (No income, <5000, 5001-10,000, 10001-15000 and >15000), nationality (Kenyans and non-Kenyans), gender identity (male, transgender woman, intersex and non-conforming), roles during sexual activity (top, bottom or versatile), sex with whom in the past 12 months (men or both men and women), number of sexual partners in the past 12 months (one or more than one), type of sex (vaginal, anal, oral, mutual masturbation), received gifts/money in exchange for sex (yes, all the time, yes, sometimes, No), gave gifts/money in exchange for sex (yes, all the time, yes, sometimes, No), and ever contracted STI (Yes, No) (see Table 1 and 2).

## Data analysis

Data was entered into statistical package for social sciences programme (IBM-SPSS) version 25. Quantitative data from the study questionnaires was coded. Single data entry was done into SPPS database after quality control checks had been done. Further cleaning was carried out after data entry using frequency distributions and cross tabulations until no more errors were detected. Participant's characteristics are presented by use of frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Pearson's Chi-square and Fishers exact test where

| 197 | appropriate was done to characterize any statistical significant associations between STI     |
|-----|---|
| 198 | knowledge, demographics and reported sexual behaviour. Variables with a $p \le 0.05$ and age  |
| 199 | being a priori variable were included in a multivariable logistic regression model to         |
| 200 | characterize factors associated with higher or lower participants STI knowledge.              |
| 201 | Ethical consideration and study approval number   |
| 202 | Approval of the study was sought from Kenyatta University Board of Post Graduate studies.     |
| 203 | Ethical Clearance was given by Kenyatta University ethics review committee;                   |
| 204 | (PKU/1071/11121). Permission to conduct the study was sought from the National Council        |
| 205 | for Science, Technology and Innovations (NACOSTI), and from Nairobi County and sub-           |
| 206 | county facilities. Confidentiality and anonymity of the information given by the participants |
| 207 | was protected by ensuring that the names of the participants were not indicated in the data   |
| 208 | collection tools. Participants were reimbursed in the local currency equivalent of \$2 USD in |
| 209 | compensation for their time.  |
| 210 |   |
| 211 |   |
| 212 |   |
| 213 |   |
| 214 |   |
| 215 |   |
| 216 |   |
| 217 |   |
| 218 |   |
| 219 |   |
| 220 |   |

## Results

## Demographics

A total of 404 participants were interviewed between the month of March and August 2020. They had a mean age of 25.2 (SD=6.4) years. The majority were single never married (80.4%; 325) and Christians (84.2%; 340). All participants had some formal education ranging from primary to tertiary level; the majority (92.3%; 373) had secondary education or more. Most of the participants (64.0%; 259) were employed and their level of monthly income ranged from \$<50->150 USD. Almost all the participants (98.5%; 398) were Kenyans. (Table 2)

Table 2: Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants and their association with participant's knowledge score.

|                        | Total     | Knowle    | edge score |         |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------|
|                        | (n=404)   | <12       | ≥12        |         |
| Characteristics        |           | (n=215)   | (n=189)    | P Value |
|                        | n(%)      | n(%)      | n(%)       |         |
| Mean age=25.20, SD=6.  | 41        |           |            |         |
| Age bracket            |           |           |            |         |
| 18-24                  | 241(59.7) | 127(52.7) | 114(47.3)  |         |
| ≥25                    | 163(40.3) | 73(44.8)  | 90(55.2)   |         |
|                        |           |           |            | 0.129   |
| Marital Status         |           |           |            |         |
| Single never married   | 325(80.4) | 162(49.8) | 163(50.2)  |         |
| Married                | 54(13.4)  | 27(50.0)  | 27(50.0)   | 0.859   |
| Single ever married    | 25(6.2)   | 11(44.0)  | 14(56.0)   |         |
| Religion               |           |           |            |         |
| Christian(Catholic &   | 340(84.2) | 174(51.2) | 166(48.8)  | 0.135   |
| protestants)           |           |           |            |         |
| Non-Christian (Muslim, | 64(15.8)  | 26(40.6)  | 38(59.4)   |         |
| Atheists, Hindu)       |           |           |            |         |
| <b>Education level</b> |           |           |            |         |
| Primary                | 31(7.7)   | 19(61.3)  | 12(38.7)   | 0.001   |
| Secondary              | 226(55.9) | 126(55.8) | 100(44.2)  |         |
| Post-secondary         | 147(36.4) | 55(37.4)  | 92(62.6)   |         |

| 238  | Occupation                   |              |              |               |                   |
|------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|
|      | Unemployment/Student         | 145(36.0)    | 85(58.6)     | 60(41.4)      | 0.007             |
| 239  | Employment/Self-<br>employed | 259(64.0)    | 115(44.4)    | 144(55.6)     |                   |
| 240  | Level of income              |              |              |               |                   |
| 241  | None                         | 120(29.7)    | 75(62.5)     | 45(37.5)      |                   |
| 241  | < 5000                       | 54(13.4)     | 28(51.9)     | 26(48.1)      | 0.005             |
| 242  | 5001-10000                   | 87(21.5)     | 38(43.7)     | 49(56.3)      |                   |
| Z7Z  | 10001-15000                  | 71(17.6)     | 33(46.5)     | 38(53.5)      |                   |
| 243  | >15000                       | 72(17.8)     | 26(36.1)     | 46(63.9)      |                   |
| 2.13 | Nationality                  |              |              |               |                   |
| 244  | Kenyans                      | 398(98.5)    | 196(49.2)    | 202(50.8)     | 0.446             |
|      | Non-Kenyans                  | 6(1.5)       | 4(66.7)      | 2(33.3)       |                   |
| 245  | * KEY: by Chi-square         | test or Fish | er's Exact T | Test where ce | ll size was small |

## Sexual behaviour

Most of the participants (90.6%; 366) self-identified as male and almost half (47.5%; 192) of them reported to be exclusively insertive ("Top") partners. Many (39.9%; 161) reported being versatile (both bottom and top), while those reporting to be receptive ("Bottom") partners were, (12.6%; 51). In the last 12 months, (55.4%; 224) of the participants reported having sex with men only and (44.6 %; 180) reported being bisexual. Also during that period, majority of the participants (88.6%; 358) reported to have had more than one sexual partner (Table 2).

Majority of the respondents (93.6%; 378) reported to have had anal sex, (42.1%; 170) had vaginal sex, (28.5%; 115) reported oral and (19.6%; 79) reported mutual masturbation. Almost half (47.5%; 192) of the participants, reported receiving gifts/money in exchange for

sex, sometimes. Majority of the participants (95.3%; 385), engaged in transactional sex.

Participants who reported to have ever contracted STI were (43.3%; 175). (Table 3)

Table 3: Sexual behavioural characteristics of study participants and their association

# with participant's knowledge score

263

264

| .00        |                            | Total         | Knowledge score |               |                |
|------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 67         |                            | (n=404)       | <12             | ≥12           |                |
|            | Characteristics            |               | (n=215)         | (n=189)       | P Value        |
| 68         |                            | n(%)          | n(%)            | n(%)          |                |
|            | Gender                     |               |                 |               |                |
| 59         | Male                       | 366(90.6)     | 176(48.1)       | 190(51.9)     |                |
|            | Transgender women          | 13(3.2)       | 8(61.5)         | 5(38.5)       | 0.364          |
| 70         | Intersex                   | 17(4.2)       | 11(64.7)        | 6(35.3)       |                |
|            | Non-conforming             | 8(2.0)        | 5(62.5)         | 3(37.5)       |                |
| '1         | Roles during sexual act    | ivity         |                 |               |                |
|            | Top(Insertive)             | 192(47.5)     | 94(49.0)        | 98(51.0)      | 0.209          |
| '2         | Bottom(Receptive)          | 51(12.6)      | 31(60.8)        | 20(39.2)      |                |
| 73         | Versatile (Both            | 161(39.9)     | 75(46.6)        | 86(53.4)      |                |
| 3          | insertive & receptive)     |               |                 |               |                |
| <b>'</b> 4 | Sex with whom in the p     | ast 12 mont   | hs              |               |                |
| 4          | Men                        | 224(55.4)     | 123(54.9)       | 101(45.1)     |                |
| '5         | Both men and women         | 180(44.6)     | 77(42.8)        | 103(57.2)     | 0.017          |
| 5          | Number of sexual partr     | ers in the p  | ast 12 mon      | ths           |                |
| <b>'</b> 6 | Only 1                     | 46(11.4)      | 30(65.2)        | 16(34.8)      |                |
| •          | >1                         | 358(88.6)     | 170(47.5)       | 188(52.5)     | 0.584          |
| 7          | Type of sex                |               |                 |               |                |
|            | Vaginal                    | 170(42.1)     | 69(72.4)        | 101(27.6)     | 0.003          |
| '8         | Anal                       | 378(93.6)     | 185(48.9)       | 193(51.1)     | 0.423          |
|            | Oral                       | 115(28.5)     | 50(43.5)        | 65(56.5)      | 0.152          |
| 9          | Mutual masturbation        | 79(19.6)      | 34(43.0)        | 45(57.0)      | 0.212          |
|            | Received gifts/money in    | exchange f    | or sex          |               |                |
| 80         | Yes, all the time          | 38(9.4)       | 14(36.8)        | 24(63.2)      | 0.163          |
|            | Yes, sometimes             | 192(47.5)     | 93(48.4)        | 99(51.6)      |                |
| 1          | No                         | 174(43.1)     | 93(53.4)        | 81(46.6)      |                |
|            | Gave gifts/money in ex     | change for s  | sex             |               |                |
| 2          | Yes, all the time          | 16(4.0)       | 5(31.3)         | 11(68.8)      | 0.337          |
|            | Yes, sometimes             | 139(34.4)     | 71(51.1)        | 68(48.9)      |                |
| 3          | No                         | 249(61.6)     | 124(49.8)       | 125(50.2)     |                |
|            | <b>Ever contracted STI</b> |               |                 |               | 0.271          |
| 34         | Yes                        | 175(43.3)     | 81(46.3)        | 94(53.7)      |                |
|            | No                         | 229(56.7)     | 119(52.0)       | 110(48.0)     |                |
| 35         | *Key: by Chi-square to     | est or Fisher | 's Exact Te     | st where cell | size was small |

# Out of a total of 29 possible correct answers the highest participant scored 25, we observed 288 an average score of 12.2 correct, SD 4.5 (additional details shown in Appendix 1). As 289 indicated on Table 4 below, majority of the participants were aware of gonorrhoea (92.8 %; 290 375), syphilis (88.1%; 356), HIV (65.6%; 265), genital warts (33.9%; 137), Herpes Simplex ( 291 22.8%; 92), chancroid (20.5%;83), hepatitis B (17.3%;70), chlamydia (13.6%;55) and 292 293 trichomoniasis (5.2%;21). Regarding signs and symptoms of STIs, most of the participants were cognizant of penile 294 295 discharge (66.8%; 270), burning sensation during urination (60.4%; 244), genital ulcer/sores (39.6%; 160), anal discharge (21.0%; 85), swelling in the groin region (23.0%; 93), anal 296 ulcer/sore (25.0 %; 101), anal pain (21.3%; 86), and scrotal swelling (14.1%; 57). 297 298 Also almost all the participants knew that STIs were transmitted through unprotected sexual 299 intercourse (97.5%; 394) i.e. vaginal, anal, oral and mutual masturbation. Also about the anal sexual intercourse risk to STIs, majority of the participants (83.7%; 338) were aware that they 300 could be infected with STIs through unprotected anal sexual intercourse. About three quarters 301 of the participants reported correctly that they could be infected with STIs through semen 302 (78.0%; 315) and through blood (46.0%; 186), vaginal fluids (32.7%; 132), contaminated 303 sharp objects (17.3%; 70) and anal fluid (29.2%; 118). 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311

STI knowledge

287

# Table 4: Proportion of participants answering STI knowledge items correctly

|                                   | Proportion correct        |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sexually transmitted infections   |                           |
| Gonorrhoea                        | 92.8                      |
| Syphilis                          | 88.1                      |
| HIV                               | 65.6                      |
| Genital warts                     | 33.9                      |
| Herpes Simplex                    | 22.8                      |
| Chancroid                         | 20.5                      |
| Hepatitis B                       | 17.3                      |
| Chlamydia                         | 13.6                      |
| Trichomoniasis                    | 5.2                       |
| Symptomatology of STIs            |                           |
| Penis discharge                   | 66.8                      |
| Burning pain during urination     | 60.4                      |
| Genital ulcer/sore                | 39.6                      |
| Anal ulcer/sores                  | 25.0                      |
| Swelling in groin region          | 23.0                      |
| Anal pain                         | 21.3                      |
| Anal discharge                    | 21.0                      |
| Scrotal swelling                  | 14.1                      |
| Transmission mechanism            |                           |
| Unprotected sexual intercourse    | 97.5                      |
| Contaminated sharp                |                           |
| objects/wounds                    | 5.9                       |
| Blood transfusion                 | 3.0                       |
| Unhygienic conditions             | 2.2                       |
| Don't know                        | 1.0                       |
| Anal intercourse exposure to STI  | 83.7                      |
| Transmission Media                |                           |
| Semen                             | 78.0                      |
| Blood                             | 46.0                      |
| Vaginal fluids                    | 32.7                      |
| Anal fluids                       | 29.2                      |
| Contaminated sharp objects        | 17.3                      |
| Clothing                          | 7.2                       |
| Food                              | 1.7                       |
| N/B- Supporting information shows | s how the questioned were |
| asked                             |                           |

| 321 | STIs.  |
|-----|--|
| 322 | The multivariable modelling revealed that participants who were aged ≥25 years were more     |
| 323 | likely to have a higher knowledge score compared with the participants who were aged 18-24   |
| 324 | years (adjusted odds ratio aOR=0.973, 95% CI 0.616-1.538). Regarding education,              |
| 325 | participants who had tertiary education were three times more likely to have a higher        |
| 326 | knowledge score compared with the participants who had primary education ( aOR=2.627,        |
| 327 | 95% CI 1.142-6.043). Regarding occupation participants who were employed had a higher        |
| 328 | knowledge score compared to the ones who were not employed (aOR=0.922, 95% CI 0.401-         |
| 329 | 2.117). Under level of income, participants earning Kshs 5000-10,000 were three times likely |
| 330 | to have a higher knowledge score compared to the ones who were not earning (aOR 2.332,       |
| 331 | 95% CI 0.990-6.263) . Participants who were earning Kshs >15000 (USD >150) were also         |
| 332 | three times more likely to have a higher knowledge score compared to the ones who were not   |
| 333 | earning (aOR=2.520, 95% CI 0.900-7.055). Further bisexual men were more likely to have a     |
| 334 | higher knowledge score compared with the participants who were having sex with men only (    |
| 335 | aOR= 1.550, 95% CI 1026-2.342) (Table 5).  |
| 336 |  |
| 337 |  |
| 338 |  |
| 339 |  |
| 340 |  |
| 341 |  |
| 342 |  |
| 343 |  |

Participant characteristics independently associated with participant's knowledge about

# 344 Table 5: Participant characteristics independently associated with participant's

# 345 knowledge about STIs.

|                                  | <b>Unadjusted Odds</b> |         | Adjusted Odds       |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Variable                         | Ratio (95% CI          | P-Value | Ratio (95% CI       |
| Age bracket Reference 18-24yrs   |                        | 0.129   |                     |
| >25 yrs                          | 1.373 (0.922-2.047)    |         | 0.973 (0.616-1.538) |
| Highest reported education level |                        |         |                     |
| Reference- "Up to completed      |                        |         |                     |
| Primary"                         |                        | 0.001   |                     |
| "Up to completed Secondary       | 1.257 (0.582-2.711)    |         | 1.284 (0.575-2.865) |
| "Up to completed Post-secondary  | 2.648 (1.195- 5.872)   |         | 2.627 (1.142-6.043) |
| Occupation Reference (Not        |                        |         |                     |
| employed/student                 |                        | 0.007   |                     |
| Employed                         | 1.774 (1.176-2.677)    |         | 0.922 (0.401-2.117) |
| Level of income Reference (No    |                        |         |                     |
| income).                         |                        | 0.005   |                     |
| <5000                            | 1.548 (0.809-2.962)    |         | 1.857 (0.725-4.759) |
| 5000-10000                       | 2.149 (1.225-3.771)    |         | 2.491 (0.990-6.263) |
| 10001-15000                      | 1.919 (1.059-3.480)    |         | 1.940 (0.746-5.042) |
| >15000                           | 2.949 (1.608-5.408)    |         | 2.520 (0.900-7.055) |
| Sex with whom Reference (Men)    |                        | 0.017   |                     |
| Bisexual                         | 1.629 (1.097-2.419)    |         | 1.550 (1026-2.342)  |

### Discussion

359

STI knowledge and awareness is a key element towards decreasing the incidence of STIs 360 among populations at high risk of infection. However, findings from this study among MSM 361 362 in Nairobi, Kenya, demonstrated low STI knowledge. Participants in this study who reported HIV as one of the STIs were less compared to the one 363 who reported Gonorrhoea and Syphilis. It's expected that all the participants should be aware 364 of it because HIV has no cure and it has caused devastating effects globally(18). This 365 disconnect among some of the participants could be because patients on antiretroviral drugs 366 look healthy. This has changed the perception of HIV/AIDS from a very fatal to a chronic 367 and potentially manageable disease. Also the availability and administration of antiretroviral 368 therapy has significantly reduced mortality and morbidity linked with HIV and AIDS(19). 369 This disconnect of HIV as one of the STIs among some of the participants is worthy worrying 370 371 about; because the progress that has been made to curb the spread of HIV might be futile. HCWs need to continue emphasizing to STI patients and other people in the society that HIV 372 373 is one of the STIs and it has no cure. Being infected by other STIs such as Herpes Simplex 374 Virus type 2 (HSV-2) and Syphilis infections puts them at a higher risk of being infected by HIV (3,4). 375 Others have found similar results; a study conducted among sixty racially –and ethnically 376 diverse MSM and transgender women had also reported that the participants had low 377 knowledge about STIs (20). Also another study conducted among 50 at risk MSM at Boston 378 USA had found that the MSM lacked knowledge about the signs and symptoms of STDs 379 (21). However, worth noting is that the measures of STI knowledge used on the above past 380 studies varied greatly from those used in our survey. There is need for the participants to be 381 health educated about STIs as some studies have revealed that patients who are enlightened 382 have higher probability of seeking health care services compared to the once who are not 383

(22). By them seeking treatment it can help stop the transmission of STI to others. To take 384 care of the asymptomatic STIs; quarterly STI screening should be encouraged among MSM 385 386 who are at risk of STIs. In this study some of our participants reported of having oral sexual intercourse. Therefore, 387 there is need for at least annual screening of extra-genital sites (rectum and pharynx) among 388 sexually active MSM(23); so that, the extra-genital STIs cannot be missed out. 389 390 This study did not reveal statistical significant association between knowledge of the MSM and their age. Others have found similar results too; a study that was conducted among MSM 391 392 in Ireland reported that participants who were aged 18-24 years of age had lower knowledge about STIs compared with the older MSM (24). Low knowledge about STIs among the 393 youth could be occasioned by government policies and laws that criminalize key population 394 395 behaviours and by education and health systems that pay no attention to or reject them(6). 396 Participants who had tertiary education were three times more likely to have a higher knowledge score compared with the participants who had primary education. Consistent with 397 our findings is a study which was conducted in Melaka Malasyia; they 398 participants who were studying in a degree program were two times more likely to be 399 knowledgeable about STIs than the one who were not (25) 400 Further this study revealed that sexual behaviour of the study participants in terms of sex with 401 402 whom, bisexual men had higher odds of knowledge about STIs compared to gay men. These 403 findings are contrary to (26,27) who reported that bisexual men had limited availability of culturally sensitive education materials or health information that is specifically targeted to 404 their needs. However, their settings are different from our Kenyan context. 405 Also majority of the participants had more than one sexual partner. Contrary to our findings, 406 a study which was conducted among MSM in UK revealed that men who were 407 knowledgeable about STI had more sexual partners. The authors of that study suggested that, 408

in addition to knowledge, behaviours were determined by a complex range of psychological and eco-social factors (28). Still consistent with the UK study is a study which was conducted among 772 subjects in Estonia. They found that higher knowledge scores were not associated with lower HIV infection rates (29). This affirms that while knowledge is a key component of behaviour change models, by itself it is often insufficient to effect behaviour change. Models such as the Information-Behavioural Skills Model suggest that to take steps to reduce risk of STI infection, individuals would need not only knowledge about STIs, but also motivation to prevent it and the skills necessary to implement risk reduction actions, such as getting regularly tested for STIs (30).

### Limitations

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

424

- Our study has several limitations. Our sample represents those men who seek sex at selected
- 420 geographic areas in Nairobi, and may not be representative of MSM, but otherwise do not
- visit these areas. However, our aim was to enrol very high at risk individuals.
- Our data are cross sectional, and as such we cannot infer causality between our independent
- variables and our dependent variable, knowledge of STIs.

## **Implications to programmes**

- Individual health education and psychological approaches help an individual to acquire
- knowledge and skills to enact new behaviours to reduce risk (31). In this study participants
- had low knowledge about STIs and majority of them had more than one sexual partner. We
- do recommend HCWs to continue giving health talks about STIs to MSM during their health
- facility visits and in any other forums to enlighten them about STIs. Also because other
- studies have reported that knowledge alone is often insufficient to effect behaviour change.
- There is need for active follow-up of high risk individuals to prevent STIs which can be done
- 432 by;

Recalling of MSM for follow-ups, who report engaging in high risk sexual behaviours via 433 phone calls, peer leaders or community health works and creating demand for STI prevention 434 cascade. Also bulky short messages reminder for offering STI testing to high risk MSM can 435 be facilitated by using prompts incorporated within electronic MSM records. 436 On the other hand because there is a higher likelihood of extra-genital STIs being missed out 437 by both the patient and clinicians; there is need for at least annual screening of extra-genital 438 439 sites (rectum and pharynx) among sexually active MSM. Also because asymptomatic STIs can be missed out due to syndromic management of STIs; 440 441 we recommend quarterly STI screening for individuals who are at risk so that the asymptomatic STIs can be detected and treated to curb the spread of STIs. 442 **Implications to Policy Makers** 443 Clear policies and guidelines should be put in place to enable HCWs to know what to do once 444 an individual has self-identified himself as MSM. For instance, MSM can be linked to 445 programmes or health facilities that can follow them up for possible regular screening and 446 treatment of STIs. 447 Self-testing for STIs allows convenience, privacy and gives the patient an opportunity to 448 avoid embarrassment while still accessing STI care. So they can implement the use of self-449 sampling kits for various STI testing as another study reported that HIV self -testing was 450 accepted by similar populations (32). 451 452 Conclusion Participant's knowledge level regarding STIs was low. Even though other studies have 453 reported that individuals to reduce risk of STI infection would need not only knowledge 454 about STIs. Still we recommend HCWs to continue educating patients about STIs. This is 455 because patients who are enlightened have a higher probability of seeking health care 456

| 457 | services compared to the once who are not. Hence stop further spread of the STIs to their    |
|-----|--|
| 458 | sexual partners.   |
| 459 |  |
| 460 | Supporting Information   |
| 461 | Supplemental questionnaire: indicating the questions assessing participant's knowledge       |
| 462 | levels.  |
| 463 |  |
| 464 | Acknowledgements   |
| 465 | This work was partially funded by IAVI with the generous support of USAID and other          |
| 466 | donors; a full list of IAVI donors is available at www.iavi.org. The contents of this        |
| 467 | manuscript are the responsibility of IAVI and co-authors and do not necessarily reflect the  |
| 468 | views of USAID or the US Government. We would like to acknowledge the participants and       |
| 469 | the research assistants who assisted in data collection. We also appreciate Kenneth Ekoru    |
| 470 | from the imperial university and Janet Muasya from the University of Nairobi for their input |
| 471 | in the data analysis.  |
| 472 |  |
| 473 | Author Contributions   |
| 474 | Conceptualization: Delvin Kwamboka Nyasani   |
| 475 | Data curation: Delvin Kwamboka Nyasani and Laura Lunani Lusike                               |
| 476 | Formal analysis: Justus.O.Osero and Laura Lunani Lusike                                      |
| 477 | Funding acquisition: Delvin Kwamboka Nyasani   |
| 478 | Project Administration: Justus.O.Osero and Meshack Onyambu                                   |
| 479 | Resources:   |
| 480 | Supervision: Justus.O.Osero and Meshack Onyambu  |
| 481 | Validation: Matthew A. Price and Gaundensia Nzembi Mutua                                     |

Writing original draft: Delvin Kwamboka Nyasani

Writing, review & editing: Delvin Kwamboka Nyasani, Justus.O.Osero, Meshack

Onyambu, Geoffrey Oino Ombati, Matthew A. Price and Gaundensia Nzembi Mutua.

Appendix 1: Knowledge assessment score of study participants

| Knowledge Score          |              |                  |                         |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Mean=12.25, Median=12.00 |              |                  |                         |  |  |
| No. of right answers     | No. of       | Score percentage | <b>Cumulative score</b> |  |  |
|                          | participants |                  | percentage              |  |  |
| 3                        | 1            | .2               | .2                      |  |  |
| 4                        | 5            | 1.2              | 1.5                     |  |  |
| 5                        | 5            | 1.2              | 2.7                     |  |  |
| 6                        | 16           | 3.9              | 6.7                     |  |  |
| 7                        | 24           | 5.9              | 12.6                    |  |  |
| 8                        | 30           | 7.4              | 20.0                    |  |  |
| 9                        | 36           | 8.9              | 28.8                    |  |  |
| 10                       | 40           | 9.9              | 38.7                    |  |  |
| 11                       | 44           | 10.8             | 49.5                    |  |  |
| 12                       | 33           | 8.1              | 57.6                    |  |  |
| 13                       | 32           | 7.9              | 65.5                    |  |  |
| 14                       | 46           | 11.3             | 76.8                    |  |  |
| 15                       | 15           | 3.7              | 80.5                    |  |  |
| 16                       | 15           | 3.7              | 84.2                    |  |  |
| 17                       | 12           | 3.0              | 87.2                    |  |  |
| 18                       | 14           | 3.4              | 90.6                    |  |  |
| 19                       | 8            | 2.0              | 92.6                    |  |  |
| 20                       | 5            | 1.2              | 93.8                    |  |  |
| 21                       | 3            | .7               | 94.6                    |  |  |
| 22                       | 5            | 1.2              | 95.8                    |  |  |
| 23                       | 5            | 1.2              | 97.0                    |  |  |
| 24                       | 4            | 1.0              | 98.0                    |  |  |
| 25                       | 8            | 2.0              | 100.0                   |  |  |
| Total                    | 406          | 100.0            |                         |  |  |

### 493 References

- 494 1. World Health Organization (WHO) 2021. World Health Organization (WHO), Sexually 495 Transmitted Infections 2021. 2021.
- 496 2. World Health Organization (WHO 2021 ). Guidelines for the Management of Sexually 497 Transmitted Infections. 2021.
- Centres for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually transmitted disease surveillance 2010.
   Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2011. 2011;
- 500 4. Kidd S, Torrone E, Su J, Weinstock H. Reported Primary and Secondary Syphilis Cases in the United States: Implications for HIV Infection. Sex Transm Dis. 2018 Sep;45(9):S42–7.
- 502 5. Newman L, Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, Wijesooriya NS, Unemo M, Low N, et al. Global Estimates 503 of the Prevalence and Incidence of Four Curable Sexually Transmitted Infections in 2012 Based 504 on Systematic Review and Global Reporting. PloS One. 2015;10(12):e0143304.
- 505 6. WHO 2016. Global Health Sector Strategy on Sexually Transmitted Infections 2016-2021 Towards Ending STIs. 2016.
- Smith DK, Grohskopf LA, Black RJ, Auerbach JD, Veronese F, Struble KA, et al. Antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection-drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV in the United States: recommendations from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. MMWR Recomm Rep Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Recomm Rep. 2005 Jan 21;54(RR-2):1–20.
- Surveillance Report. 2018.
   Centres for Disease Control & Prevention. HIV
- 9. Public Health England. Public Health England. Sexually transmitted infections and screening for Chlamydia i England. England; 2017.
- 515 10. Purcell DW, Johnson CH, Lansky A, Prejean J, Stein R, Denning P, et al. Estimating the population 516 size of men who have sex with men in the United States to obtain HIV and syphilis rates. Open 517 AIDS J. 2012;6:98–107.
- Scott-Sheldon LAJ CP, Chan PA. Increasing Sexually Transmitted Infections in the U.S.:A Call for
   Action for Research, Clinical, and Public Health Practice. Arch Sex Behav. 2019;
- 12. Hladik W, Barker J, Ssenkusu JM, Opio A, Tappero JW, Hakim A, et al. HIV Infection among Men Who Have Sex with Men in Kampala, Uganda–A Respondent Driven Sampling Survey. PLoS ONE [Internet]. 2012 May 31;7(5). Available from:
- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3364961/
- Muraguri N, Tun W, Okal J, Broz D, Raymond HF, Kellogg T, et al. HIV and STI Prevalence and Risk
   Factors Among Male Sex Workers and Other Men Who Have Sex With Men in Nairobi, Kenya.
   JAIDS J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015 Jan 1;68(1):91.
- 14. Ministry of Health & National AIDS Control Council 2016. Kenya AIDS Response Progress Report
   2016. 2016.

- 529 15. Seidu AA, Ahinkorah BO, Dadzie LK, Tetteh JK, Agbaglo E, Okyere J, et al. A multi-country cross 530 sectional study of self-reported sexually transmitted infections among sexually active men in
   531 sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Public Health. 2020 Dec 7;20(1):1884.
- 16. National Aids and STI Control Programme (NASCOP). Key Population Mapping and Size
   Estimation in Selected Counties in Kenya: Phase 1 Key Findings, Kenya. Phase 1. Kenya; 2019.
- 534 17. Mutisya EM, Mutua G, Nyasani D, Nduta H, Kabuti RW, Muturi-Kioi V, et al. Willingness to 535 participate in future HIV vaccine trials among men who have sex with men and female sex 536 workers living in Nairobi, Kenya. PloS One. 2020;15(8):e0238028.
- 18. UNAIDS 2020. Global AIDS Update: Seizing the Moment; July 2020. UNAIDS. AIDSinfo website;
   accessed July 2020, available at: http://aidsinfo.unaids.org/. UNAIDS. Core Epidemiology Slides;
   July 2020. 2020.
- 19. Oguntibeju OO. Quality of life of people living with HIV and AIDS and antiretroviral therapy.
   HIVAIDS Auckl NZ. 2012;4:117–24.
- 542 20. Balán IC, Lopez-Rios J, Dolezal C, Rael CT, Lentz C. Low STI Knowledge, Risk Perception, and
   543 Concern about Infection among Men Who Have Sex with Men and Transgender Women at High
   544 Risk of Infection. Sex Health. 2019 Nov;16(6):580–6.
- 545 21. Mimiaga MJ, Goldhammer H, Belanoff C, Tetu AM, Mayer KH. Men who have sex with men: 546 perceptions about sexual risk, HIV and sexually transmitted disease testing, and provider 547 communication. Sex Transm Dis. 2007 Feb;34(2):113–9.
- O'Donnell O (2018). Access to health care in developing countries:breaking down demand-side
   barriers.Utilization of Health and Medical Services:Factors influencinghealth care seeking
   behaviour and unmet needs in rural areas of Kenya. Theses DR.Masters.2007. [Kenya]; 2018.
- 23. Kimberly A. Workowski, Gail A. Bolan,. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines,
   2015 [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2022 Oct 13]. Available from:
   https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr6403a1.htm
- 24. Carey C, O'Donnell K, Davoren M, Quinlan M, Igoe D, Barrett P. Factors associated with lower
   knowledge of HIV and STI transmission, testing and treatment among MSM in Ireland: findings
   from the MSM Internet Survey Ireland (MISI) 2015. Sex Transm Infect. 2021 Aug 1;97(5):351.
- 557 25. Mansor N, Ahmad N, Rahman HA. Determinants of knowledge on sexually transmitted infections 558 among students in public higher education institutions in Melaka state, Malaysia. PLOS ONE. 559 2020 Oct 29;15(10):e0240842.
- 560 26. Daneback K, Ross MW, Månsson SA. Bisexuality and Sexually Related Activities on the Internet. J Bisexuality. 2008 Sep 1;8(1–2):115–29.
- 562 27. Feinstein BA, Dodge B. Meeting the sexual health needs of bisexual men in the age of biomedical HIV prevention: Gaps and priorities. Arch Sex Behav. 2020 Jan;49(1):217–32.
- Wayal S, Reid D, Weatherburn P, Blomquist P, Fabiane S, Hughes G, et al. Association between
   knowledge, risk behaviours, and testing for sexually transmitted infections among men who
   have sex with men: findings from a large online survey in the United Kingdom. HIV Med. 2019
   Sep;20(8):523–33.

- 29. Parker RD, Rüütel K. Associations of high-risk behaviour and HIV status with HIV knowledge among persons in Tallinn, Estonia. Scand J Public Health. 2010 Nov 1;38(7):748–55.
- 30. Balán IC, Lopez-Rios J, Dolezal C, Rael CT, Lentz C. Low sexually transmissible infection
   knowledge, risk perception and concern about infection among men who have sex with men
   and transgender women at high risk of infection. Sex Health. 2019 Nov;16(6):580–6.
- 573 31. Holtgrave DR, Qualls NL, Curran JW, Valdiserri RO, Guinan ME, Parra WC. An overview of the 574 effectiveness and efficiency of HIV prevention programs. Public Health Rep Wash DC 1974. 1995 575 Apr;110(2):134–46.
- Figueroa C, Johnson C, Verster A, Baggaley R. Attitudes and Acceptability on HIV Self-testing
   Among Key Populations: A Literature Review. AIDS Behav. 2015 Nov;19(11):1949–65.