

**Does engagement in HIV care affect screening, diagnosis, and control of noncommunicable diseases in sub-Saharan Africa?  
A systematic review and meta-analysis**

*Running head:* Noncommunicable disease care among people with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa

**Emma M KILEEL<sup>1,2</sup>, Amy ZHENG<sup>1,2</sup>, Jacob BOR<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Matthew P FOX<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Nigel J CROWTHER<sup>4</sup>, Jaya A GEORGE<sup>4</sup>, Siyabonga KHOZA<sup>4</sup>, Sydney ROSEN<sup>1,3</sup>, Willem DF VENTER<sup>5</sup>, Frederick RAAL<sup>6</sup>, Patricia HIBBERD<sup>1</sup>, Alana T BRENNAN<sup>1,2,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Global Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA;

<sup>3</sup> Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Office, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa;

<sup>4</sup>Department of Chemical Pathology, National Health Laboratory Service and University of the Witwatersrand, Faculty of Health Sciences, Johannesburg, South Africa;

<sup>5</sup>Ezintsha, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.

<sup>6</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

**Corresponding Author:**

Emma M Kileel

715 Albany Street, T3/5E

Boston, MA 02118

[ekileel@bu.edu](mailto:ekileel@bu.edu)

**Word count:** 3504 (Abstract 250)

**Conflicts of Interest and Sources of Funding.** This work was supported by National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases 1K01DK116929-01A1 (ATB and EMK) and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation INV-031690 (SR). For the remaining authors none were declared.

## **Abstract**

### **Objective:**

Low- and middle-income countries are facing a growing burden of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs). Providing HIV treatment may also provide opportunities to increase access to NCD services in under-resourced environments. We sought to investigate whether reported use of antiretroviral therapy (ART) was associated with increased screening, diagnosis, treatment, and/or control of diabetes, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, or cardiovascular disease among people living with HIV (PLWH) in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA).

### **Design:**

Systematic review and meta-analysis.

### **Methods:**

We searched 10 electronic literature databases for studies published between 01 January 2011 and 31 December 2022 using a comprehensive search strategy. We sought studies reporting on screening, diagnosis, treatment, and/or control of NCDs of interest by ART use among non-pregnant adults with HIV  $\geq 16$  years of age in SSA. Random effects models were used to calculate summary odds ratios (ORs) of the risk of diagnosis by ART status and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs), where appropriate.

### **Results:**

Twenty-six studies, describing 13,570 PLWH in SSA, 61% of whom were receiving ART, were included. ART use was associated with a small but imprecise increase in the odds of diabetes diagnosis (OR: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.71, 1.60) and an increase in the odds of hypertension diagnosis (OR: 2.10, 95% CI: 1.42, 3.09). We found minimal data on the association between ART use and screening, treatment, or control of NCDs.

### **Conclusion:**

Despite a potentially higher NCD risk among PLWH and regional efforts to integrate NCD and HIV care, evidence to support effective care integration models is lacking.

**Key words:** type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, cardiovascular disease, HIV/AIDS, Sub-Saharan Africa, antiretroviral therapy

## Introduction

The prevalence of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) is on the rise globally [1], [2]. In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), the disease burden has already shifted from predominantly infectious diseases to predominantly NCDs [3], [4]. By 2030, NCDs are expected to account for nearly 46% of deaths in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), compared to 28% in 2008 [5]–[7]. In SSA where there is already a heavy burden of HIV and other communicable diseases, this creates a challenging multimorbidity disease environment. Co-existence of HIV with one or more NCDs, such as type 2 diabetes mellitus (diabetes) or hypertension, increases the complexity of patients' disease and care management profile, contributing to poorer health outcomes and increased health care costs for both the individual and the country [8]–[10].

People living with HIV (PLWH) may be at increased risk for NCDs. Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has resulted in a decrease in HIV infections and an increase in the lifespan of PLWH [11], [12]. However, chronic inflammation as a result of long-term HIV infection is associated with an increased risk of chronic conditions, including chronic kidney disease (CKD) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) [13],[14]. Moreover, emerging data have demonstrated substantial weight gain associated with newer ART regimens, such as integrase-strand-inhibitors [15]–[17]. As overweight and obesity are known risk factors for numerous NCDs, including hypertension, diabetes, and CVD [18], [19], this ART-associated weight gain could result in an increase of NCD rates in this population.

Though HIV infection and ART use may contribute to increases in NCD risk, the HIV care structure may provide a gateway to improve rates of detection and treatment of NCDs among PLWH. Routine NCD screening among healthy, asymptomatic adults in SSA is not common [20]. Traditionally, however, PLWH on ART have been required to present to a clinic monthly for

the first 6 months after ART initiation and then at least every 3 or 6 months once stable in order to obtain their medications [21]. ART guidelines typically include collection of data on blood glucose and urine protein at treatment initiation as well as routine collection of data on weight and blood pressure [22]–[24]. This increased contact with the healthcare system could increase the likelihood of NCD diagnosis, treatment, and control among PLWH. The purpose of this report was to investigate whether reported use of ART was associated with increased screening, diagnosis, treatment, and/or control of four common NCDs – diabetes, hypertension, CKD, and CVD – among PLWH in SSA, a region with an estimated 28.7 million people prescribed ART and engaged in care [25].

## **Methods**

### *Search strategy and selection criteria*

The protocol for this meta-analysis was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42021290283). To conduct the systematic review, we ran comprehensive searches on 3 electronic literature databases (PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science) and Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), International AIDS Society (IAS), International Diabetes Federation World Congress, American Diabetes Association, American Heart Association Scientific Sessions, Hypertension Scientific Sessions, Kidney Week abstract archives. Articles and abstracts published between 01 January 2011 and 31 December 2022 that reported outcomes among non-pregnant adults  $\geq 16$  years of age with HIV in SSA were included for review. We further applied snowball sampling methods to articles selected for review [26].

Titles and abstracts of search results were imported into Covidence systematic review software [27] and screened independently by two reviewers (E.M.K and A.Z). A senior reviewer (A.B.)

resolved any discrepancies. Titles and abstracts that contained potentially relevant information were identified and selected for full-text review. Articles or abstracts that reported on the prevalence of screening, diagnosis, or treatment by ART status of a least one disease of interest and did not meet any exclusion criteria (Supplemental Table 2), were selected for data extraction. Quality of studies was assessed using The Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Tools for analytical cross-sectional studies [28]. Eight questions were used to evaluate the quality of each individual study, with the options of “yes”, “no”, “unclear”, or “not applicable”. We assigned values to the response options to obtain an overall quality score.

### *Exposure*

The exposure of interest in this review was reported ART use (versus no reported ART use) among non-pregnant adults with HIV in SSA.

### *Outcomes*

Outcomes of interest were:

- 1) self-reported **screening** or documentation of laboratory test for diabetes, hypertension, CKD, or cardiovascular disease;
- 2) self-reported or clinical **diagnosis** based on study criteria of diabetes, hypertension, CKD, or cardiovascular disease;
- 3) reported (via self-report or documentation in medical records) use of **treatment** for diabetes, hypertension, CKD, or cardiovascular disease;
- 4) reported **control** of diabetes or hypertension based on clinical or lab-defined criteria or reported management of CKD or cardiovascular disease.

Study specific definitions of NCD diagnosis are included in Table 1. If studies reported an outcome by multiple indicators, the method with the largest sample size was included in the meta-analysis. Study specific definitions of treatment, screening, or control of NCDs are included in Supplemental Table 3.

### *Data extraction*

We extracted publication year, country, study design, dates of observation, mean or median age of the cohort, total number of participants, the proportion of female participants, and the number of participants who reported ART use and no ART use as reported for each study. For outcomes of interest, we extracted, if reported, the total number of participants who were screened for, diagnosed with, treated for, or achieved control levels for NCDs of interest by ART status. If reported, we extracted effect estimates – adjusted when available, otherwise crude – and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) estimating the likelihood of disease diagnosis by ART status. If odds ratios were not reported, we calculated the crude odds ratios and 95% CIs of NCD diagnosis comparing PLWH with reported ART use to PLWH reporting no ART use.

### *Data analysis*

We performed meta-analyses with random effects models to estimate pooled odds ratios and 95% CIs for diabetes and hypertension diagnosis. Pooled estimates were not estimated for CKD due to the heterogeneity of diagnostic measures between studies nor for cardiovascular disease due to the limited number of studies available. We assessed the variation between studies using the  $I^2$  statistic [29]. An Egger linear regression test and funnel plot was used to assess for

publication bias [30]. Statistical significance of departure from the Egger test null hypothesis of no bias was guided by an alpha level of 0.05.

### *Sensitivity Analyses*

We conducted multiple sensitivity analyses. First, we stratified diabetes and hypertension summary estimates by age group (25-35, 35-45, 45+). Diabetes summary estimates were also stratified by diagnosis method. Additionally, to assess whether the implementation of the WHO Global NCD Action Plan in 2013 had an impact on screening and diagnosis of diabetes and hypertension, we conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding studies with enrollment periods prior to the Action Plan implementation in 2013.

All analyses were performed using STATA v. 15.

## **Results**

The database search yielded a total of 427 potentially relevant studies, of which 83 were duplicates. After screening 344 titles and abstracts, we excluded 303 studies, leaving 41 that met the criteria for full-text review (Figure 1). Of the 41 articles reviewed, 26 reported on a relevant outcome by ART status for at least one NCD of interest and were included in the final analysis. Some studies reported on multiple diseases of interest and are thus included in the analysis multiple times, once for each relevant outcome. A total of 16 studies reported on diabetes, 18 on hypertension, 6 on CKD, and one on CVD (Table 1). The total population of PLWH in our study was 13,570 [ $n = 8318$  (61%) with reported ART use and  $n = 5252$  (39%) with no reported ART use]. Sample size ranged from 104 to 3170 participants. Enrollment of cohorts began as early as 2008 and continued until at least 2016. Eight studies did not report enrollment



dates. While a number of longitudinal cohort studies were included in the review, the primary outcomes measured longitudinally were not the outcomes of interest for this review. Outcomes of interest for this review, rather, were reported in Table 1 of the study. As such, all data included in this review is a cross-sectional report of outcomes of interest by ART status. Similarly, ART use was not the primary exposure of interest in most studies included in this review, therefore detailed reporting on how ART status was assessed was not available in all studies. Of the studies that did report assessment of ART status, most relied upon self-report and/or medical records.

### *Diabetes*

Of the 16 studies addressing diabetes, only one reported *screening* prevalence by ART status (Table 1). Results of this study showed a slight increase in the likelihood of diabetes screening for PLWH with reported ART use (49%) compared to PLWH with no reported ART use (42%). The odds of diabetes *diagnosis* comparing PLWH with reported ART use to PLWH with no reported ART use ranged from 0.32 – 32.93 (Figure 2). Just over half of the studies showed increased odds of diabetes diagnosis among those with reported ART use. The pooled estimate of diabetes diagnosis showed that, among PLWH, reported ART use was associated with a 7% increase in the odds of being diagnosed with diabetes compared to no reported ART use (odds ratio (OR): 1.07; 95% CI: 0.71, 1.60). The confidence interval for this estimate, however, was imprecise and consistent with up to a 29% decrease and 60% increase in odds of diabetes diagnosis. The  $I^2$  statistic for the pooled diabetes diagnosis estimate was 62.1% ( $p$ -value=0.001). Only one study [21] reported on diabetes *treatment* by ART status and showed a greater likelihood of treatment initiation among PLWH with reported ART use (55%) compared to PLWH with no reported ART use (44%) (Table 1). No study assessed diabetes *control* among those who were treated.

## *Hypertension*

Of the 18 studies reporting on hypertension, only one study from South Africa reported on hypertension *screening* by ART status. Manne-Goehler et al. [21] showed a slightly higher likelihood of hypertension screening for PLWH with reported ART use (72%) compared to PLWH with no reported ART use (69%) (Table 1). Odds of hypertension *diagnosis* ranged from 0.63 – 10.04 (Figure 3). All but three studies showed an increase in the odds of hypertension among PLWH with reported ART use compared to those without reported ART use. The pooled summary estimate showed a 110% increase in the odds of hypertension diagnosis among those with reported ART use compared to no reported ART use (OR: 2.10; 95% CI: 1.42, 3.09). The  $I^2$  statistic for the pooled hypertension diagnosis estimate was 87.4% (p-value=0.000). Five of the 18 studies reported on *treatment* of hypertension by ART status (Table 1). The study with the largest sample size (N=566) showed that PLWH with reported ART use were somewhat more likely to report use of anti-hypertensive medication than were those with no reported ART use (58% vs 49%). Results from the other four studies showed little difference between groups or had sample sizes too small to allow for meaningful comparisons. One study [31] reported hypertension *control* by reported ART status and showed that PLWH with reported ART use were less likely to achieve hypertension control (29.7%) than PLWH with no reported ART use (42.9%).

## *Chronic Kidney Disease*

Six studies reported on CKD diagnosis by ART status. The odds of CKD diagnosis when comparing those with reported ART use to those with no reported ART use ranged from 0.23 to 2.7 (Table 1). The odds of CKD diagnosis was higher in studies using creatine clearance to

diagnose CKD, compared to studies basing CKD diagnosis according to estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (Table 1). No study reported on *screening* or *management* of CKD by ART status.

### *Cardiovascular Disease*

One study from Kenya reported on CVD by ART status among PLWH. Achwoka et al. [32] showed a higher prevalence of CVD (hypertension, heart attack, or stroke) among PLWH on ART (93.9%) compared to not on ART (53.9%). However, most identified cases of cardiovascular disease in this study were associated with elevated blood pressure. No studies reported on *screening*, *treatment* or *control* of CVD.

### *Sensitivity analyses*

#### *Age impact*

The odds of diabetes diagnosis associated with ART use is generally similar across age groups (Supplemental Figure 1). In the age-stratified analysis of hypertension, the 35-45 age group showed higher odds of hypertension diagnosis (OR: 2.32 (95% CI: 1.72, 3.14)) compared to the 45+ age group (OR: 0.88 (95% CI: 0.73, 1.05)) (Supplemental Figure 2). Only one study reported a mean cohort age <35.

#### *Diagnostic test impact*

When stratified by diagnosis method, studies using a glucose test had higher odds of diabetes diagnosis (OR: 1.51 (95% CI: 0.77, 2.96)) compared to studies using HbA1c (OR: 0.56, 95% CI: 0.26, 1.24) or self-report (OR: 1.12, 95% CI: 0.74, 1.70) (Supplemental Figure 3).

### *WHO Global NCD Action Plan in 2013 impact*

Finally, among studies enrolling participants after the implementation of the WHO Global NCD Action Plan in 2013 [33], the pooled estimate of effect for diabetes diagnosis showed an 18% decrease in the odds of diagnosis among PLWH with reported ART use compared to PLWH without reported ART use (OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.47, 1.41) (Supplemental Figure 4). The pooled estimate of effect for hypertension was slightly attenuated when estimated within this restricted study sample (OR: 1.80; 95% CI: 0.96, 3.41) (Supplemental Figure 5).

### *Publication bias*

Egger's test to assess for publication bias yielded non-significant results for diabetes (p-value: 0.18) and hypertension (p-value: 0.05). Funnel plots showed minimal evidence of asymmetry suggesting little to no publication bias (Supplemental Figure 6).

### *Quality Assessment*

The possible range of quality scores was -8 to 16, with a higher score indicating better study quality. The overall quality of studies was high, with scores ranging from 9 – 16, and a median score of 12. The overall quality of studies may be higher than what is reported here as our analysis did not use the primary exposure/outcome measures for all studies.

## **Discussion**

This review summarizes available data on screening, diagnosis, treatment, and control of diabetes, hypertension, CKD, and CVD among PLWH on, vs not on, ART in sub-Saharan Africa. There has been a push to address the growing burden of NCDs through bolstering of

existing healthcare systems for over a decade. In 2011, the Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) recommended an integrated care model leveraging HIV treatment programs to scale up services for NCDs [34]. In 2013, the World Health Organization (WHO) followed suit with a similar recommendation in the Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of NCDs for 2013-2020 [35]. Moreover, many countries in SSA, including South Africa, have set up model clinics with integrated services [36]. Given these global and localized efforts, we hypothesized that among PLWH, being on ART--and thus engaged in HIV care--would result in higher rates of screening, diagnosis, treatment, and control of NCDs compared to not being on ART. However, our search over a ten-year timespan and across multiple databases yielded only 26 studies reporting on NCD diagnosis rates among a population of PLWH by ART status, and even fewer reports on screening, treatment, and control. The lack of data inhibits our ability to evaluate the full cascade of NCD care among PLWH and suggests limited update of NCD services, even among those who are engaged in ART care.

We found only one study over the last decade, conducted in South Africa, that reported on the full cascade of diabetes care (i.e., diabetes screening, diagnosis, and treatment rates) among a population of HIV-infected adults by ART status. Manne-Goehler et al [21] showed that among PLWH, those with reported ART use were slightly more likely to be screened, diagnosed and on treatment for diabetes, providing initial support to the notion that being on treatment for HIV may increase the rate of screening, diagnosis, and treatment of diabetes. The outcome of diabetes diagnosis was the only one that was complete for all 16 studies. In our meta-analysis of the 16 studies, we found a null association (OR: 1.07) between reported ART use and diabetes diagnosis. Though the range of study findings was vast (ORs ranged from 0.32 – 33) and most estimates were imprecise, 8 of the 16 studies included in the analysis reported an increased odds of diabetes diagnosis associated with reported ART use among PLWH. Without adequate data on diabetes screening rates among PLWH, we are unable to discern whether the higher

odds of diabetes diagnosis seen in some of these studies is a result of being engaged in care, a side effect of ART use leading to higher diabetes prevalence, or a combination of the two. It is reasonable to postulate, however, that more frequent contact with a healthcare system would result in higher rates of diagnosis.

Our results showing increased odds of hypertension diagnosis among those with reported ART use may be due, in part, to ART-induced risk factors contributing to elevated blood pressure levels among PLWH [37],[38]. The ART care structure would seem to provide an ideal framework for treatment and monitoring of blood pressure levels in this higher-risk population [39]. Five studies reporting on hypertension treatment, however, showed very little difference in treatment rates by ART status, and the one study that reported on hypertension control among PLWH showed that those with reported ART use were less likely to achieve control. The lack of data on the full cascade of care inhibits our ability to truly understand the impact of HIV care programs on screening, diagnosis, treatment, and control of hypertension. However, the limited data in this review does suggest that HIV programs have not yet been successfully leveraged to improve hypertension prevention and control.

There is a well-established association between HIV and kidney disease [13],[40],[41]. Chronic inflammation as a result of HIV infection and high levels of toxicity due to long-term ART use can increase a person's risk for acute kidney injury and CKD [13],[42],[41]. Consequently, we expected to see ample reports of kidney function monitoring efforts among PLWH and on ART. Yet, we found no studies in sub-Saharan African reporting on CKD screening rates, and very few reporting on CKD diagnosis rates, among PLWH and engaged in care. Given the established increase in risk, screening for CKD with creatinine clearance and urine dipsticks should be routinely conducted among PLWH, particularly among those on ART. The lack of data on CKD screening and diagnosis rates among PLWH in sub-Saharan Africa suggests a

lack of monitoring in this population, despite a well-established care structure that would support frequent screening and early diagnosis of CKD.

We found only one study reporting on CVD diagnosis among a population of PLWH by ART use. Achwoka et al [32] showed a higher proportion of CVD among PLWH with reported ART use. However, the majority of cardiovascular events in this study population were related to elevated blood pressure which is more reflective of hypertension risk than CVD. Emerging data from high-income regions suggest that ART use contributes to an increased risk of CVD through alterations of lipid metabolism [43],[14]. The ART care structure provides a framework for critical monitoring of blood pressure and glucose levels, which would allow for early detection of risk factors of cardiovascular disease and mitigate progression and complications.

Our review should be interpreted in the context of its limitations. First, there is the possibility of incomplete retrieval or abstraction of data, or narrow search criteria resulting in missed studies. We did not obtain raw data from study investigators for pooled estimation and relied on simple proportions or estimates of association presented in their data. Diagnosis methods for both NCDs varied across studies, and many studies reporting hypertension diagnosis relied on self-report or medical record review, which could result non-differential outcome misclassification and likely underestimate the true association. Although heterogeneity between studies was high, we used random effects models to account for variability both within and between studies [44],[45]. However, the results may still represent a weighted average of a biased sample. We saw potential publication bias with regards to hypertension, however, given previous research showing higher rates of hypertension among PLWH, this may be related to a causal association rather than reporting bias. Due to lack of data on NCD care among PLWH, we were unable to evaluate the full cascade of care, including screening, treatment initiation, and control.

## *Conclusion*

The existing HIV care framework in SSA offers a promising setting to screen for NCDs among PLWH. A primary objective of the WHO's 2013-2020 Global Action Plan was to not only strengthen existing health systems to address the burden of NCDs, but to also support high-quality research for the prevention and control of NCDs [46]. We conclude from this review, however, that evidence supporting that HIV care programs are successfully being leveraged to improve screening, diagnosis, treatment, and control of NCDs is lacking. Continued effort should be made to incorporate NCD services into HIV care programs in sub-Saharan Africa. Furthermore, efforts to provide reporting on NCD screening, diagnosis, treatment, and control rates, would aid researchers, clinicians, and governments alike in understanding the true NCD risk among PLWH and the overall impact of care integration models.

## **Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** Flow chart of study selection

**Figure 2.** Forest plot of odds ratios for diabetes diagnosis comparing PLWH with reported ART use to PLWH with no reported ART use (n=16).

**Figure 3.** Forest plot of odds ratios for hypertension diagnosis comparing PLWH with reported ART use to PLWH with no reported ART use (n=18).

## **Acknowledgements**

Emma M Kileel: conception and study design, acquisition of data, data analysis, interpretation of data, drafting of work, final approval. Amy Zheng: acquisition of data, interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Jacob Bor: interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Matthew P Fox: interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Nigel J Crowther: interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Jaya A George: interpretation of data,



revision of work, final approval. Siyabonga Khoza: interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Sydney Rosen: interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Willem DF Venter: interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Frederick Raal: interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Patricia Hibberd: interpretation of data, revision of work, final approval. Alana T Brennan: conception and study design, data analysis, interpretation of data, drafting of work, revision of work, final approval.

## References

- [1] “About Global NCDs | Division of Global Health Protection | Global Health | CDC.” <https://www.cdc.gov/globalhealth/healthprotection/ncd/global-ncd-overview.html> (accessed Nov. 16, 2022).
- [2] “Noncommunicable diseases now ‘top killers globally’ – UN health agency report | | 1UN News.” <https://news.un.org/en/story/2022/09/1127211> (accessed Nov. 16, 2022).
- [3] J. J. Miranda, S. Kinra, J. P. Casas, G. Davey Smith, and S. Ebrahim, “Non-communicable diseases in low- and middle-income countries: context, determinants and health policy,” *Trop Med Int Health*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. 1225, Oct. 2008, doi: 10.1111/J.1365-3156.2008.02116.X.
- [4] M. Kassa, J. Grace, M. Kassa, and J. Grace, “The Global Burden and Perspectives on Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs) and the Prevention, Data Availability and Systems Approach of NCDs in Low-resource Countries,” *Public Health in Developing Countries - Challenges and Opportunities*, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.5772/INTECHOPEN.89516.
- [5] B. Kavishe *et al.*, “High prevalence of hypertension and of risk factors for non-communicable diseases (NCDs): A population based cross-sectional survey of NCDs and HIV infection in Northwestern Tanzania and Southern Uganda,” *BMC Med*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–21, May 2015, doi: 10.1186/S12916-015-0357-9/TABLES/7.
- [6] “Mortality and global health estimates.” <https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/mortality-and-global-health-estimates> (accessed Sep. 12, 2022).
- [7] S. Dalal *et al.*, “Non-communicable diseases in sub-Saharan Africa: what we know now,” *Int J Epidemiol*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 885–901, Aug. 2011, doi: 10.1093/IJE/DYR050.
- [8] A. Van Heerden, R. V. Barnabas, S. A. Norris, L. K. Micklesfield, H. Van Rooyen, and C. Celum, “High prevalence of HIV and non-communicable disease (NCD) risk factors in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa,” *J Int AIDS Soc*, vol. 20, no. 2, p. e25012, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1002/JIA2.25012.
- [9] M. Fortin, M. F. Dubois, C. Hudon, H. Soubhi, and J. Almirall, “Multimorbidity and quality of life: a closer look,” *Health Qual Life Outcomes*, vol. 5, p. 52, Aug. 2007, doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-5-52.

- [10] H. P. Booth, A. T. Prevost, and M. C. Gulliford, "Impact of body mass index on prevalence of multimorbidity in primary care: cohort study," *Fam Pract*, vol. 31, no. 1, p. 38, Feb. 2014, doi: 10.1093/FAMPRA/CMT061.
- [11] K. Joshi *et al.*, "Declining HIV incidence in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis of empiric data," *J Int AIDS Soc*, vol. 24, no. 10, p. e25818, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1002/JIA2.25818.
- [12] A. Vandormael, A. Akullian, M. Siedner, T. de Oliveira, T. Bärnighausen, and F. Tanser, "Declines in HIV incidence among men and women in a South African population-based cohort," *Nature Communications* 2019 10:1, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-13473-y.
- [13] J. C. Yombi *et al.*, "Antiretrovirals and the kidney in current clinical practice: Renal pharmacokinetics, alterations of renal function and renal toxicity," *AIDS*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 621–632, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.1097/QAD.000000000000103.
- [14] P. S. Douglas *et al.*, "Cardiovascular Risk and Health Among People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Eligible for Primary Prevention: Insights From the REPRIEVE Trial," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 73, no. 11, pp. 2009–2022, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1093/CID/CIAB552.
- [15] P. E. Sax *et al.*, "Weight Gain Following Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy: Risk Factors in Randomized Comparative Clinical Trials," *Clin Infect Dis*, vol. 71, no. 6, p. 1379, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1093/CID/CIZ999.
- [16] J. Norwood *et al.*, "Weight Gain in Persons with HIV Switched from Efavirenz-based to Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor-based Regimens," *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, vol. 76, no. 5, p. 527, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000001525.
- [17] E. M. Kileel *et al.*, "Assessment of Obesity and Cardiometabolic Status by Integrase Inhibitor Use in REPRIEVE: A Propensity-Weighted Analysis of a Multinational Primary Cardiovascular Prevention Cohort of People With Human Immunodeficiency Virus," *Open Forum Infect Dis*, vol. 8, no. 12, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1093/OFID/OFAB537.
- [18] S. A. Patel *et al.*, "Obesity and its relation with diabetes and hypertension: a cross-sectional study across four low- and middle-income country regions," *Glob Heart*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 71, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.GHEART.2016.01.003.
- [19] M. T. Zanella, O. Kohlmann, and A. B. Ribeiro, "Treatment of Obesity Hypertension and Diabetes Syndrome," *Hypertension*, vol. 38, no. 3 Pt 2, pp. 705–708, Sep. 2001, doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.38.3.705.
- [20] A. Ciancio, F. Kämpfen, H. P. Kohler, and I. V. Kohler, "Health screening for emerging non-communicable disease burdens among the global poor: Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa," *J Health Econ*, vol. 75, p. 102388, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.JHEALECO.2020.102388.
- [21] J. Manne-Goehler *et al.*, "The ART Advantage: Health Care Utilization for Diabetes and Hypertension in Rural South Africa," *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr (1988)*, vol. 75, no. 5, pp. 561–567, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000001445.
- [22] Republic of Zambia Ministry of Health, "Zambia Consolidated Guidelines for Treatment and Prevention of HIV Infection," 2020. <https://www.moh.gov.zm/wp-content/uploads/filebase/Zambia-Consolidated-Guidelines-for-Treatment-and-Prevention-of-HIV-Infection-2020.pdf> (accessed Jan. 23, 2023).

- [23] Department of Health of the Republic of South Africa, “2019 ART Clinical Guidelines for the Management of HIV in Adults, Pregnancy, Adolescents, Children, Infants and Neonates,” 2019. <https://www.health.gov.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/2019-art-guideline.pdf> (accessed Jan. 23, 2023).
- [24] Ministry of Health and Population of Malawi, “Clinical Management of HIV in Children and Adults,” 2018. <https://differentiatedservicedelivery.org/wp-content/uploads/malawi-clinical-hiv-guidelines-2018-1.pdf> (accessed Jan. 23, 2023).
- [25] UNAIDS, “Latest global and regional statistics on the status of the AIDS epidemic,” 2022.
- [26] A. Sayers, “Tips and tricks in performing a systematic review,” *The British Journal of General Practice*, vol. 57, no. 542, p. 759, Sep. 2007.
- [27] “Covidence - Better systematic review management.” <https://www.covidence.org/> (accessed Sep. 13, 2022).
- [28] “Critical Appraisal Tools | JBI.” <https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools> (accessed Nov. 16, 2022).
- [29] R. DerSimonian and N. Laird, “Meta-analysis in clinical trials,” *Control Clin Trials*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 177–188, Sep. 1986, doi: 10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2.
- [30] J. A. C. Sterne *et al.*, “Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials,” *BMJ*, vol. 343, no. 7818, Jul. 2011, doi: 10.1136/BMJ.D4002.
- [31] F. S. Sarfo *et al.*, “Burden of subclinical carotid atherosclerosis and vascular risk factors among people living with HIV in Ghana,” *J Neurol Sci*, vol. 397, pp. 103–111, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2018.12.026.
- [32] D. Achwoka *et al.*, “Noncommunicable disease burden among HIV patients in care: A national retrospective longitudinal analysis of HIV-treatment outcomes in Kenya, 2003-2013,” *BMC Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 1, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-6716-2.
- [33] World Health Organization, “Follow-up to the Political Declaration of the High-level Meeting of the General Assembly on the Prevention and Control of Non-communicable Diseases.”
- [34] UNAIDS, “Chronic care of HIV and noncommunicable diseases: How to leverage the HIV experience,” 2011. [https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2011/20110526\\_JC2145\\_Chronic\\_care\\_of\\_HIV](https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2011/20110526_JC2145_Chronic_care_of_HIV) (accessed Jan. 23, 2023).
- [35] WHO, “Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020,” *World Health Organization*, p. 102, 2013, doi: 978 92 4 1506236.
- [36] L. Lebina *et al.*, “Process evaluation of implementation fidelity of the integrated chronic disease management model in two districts, South Africa,” *BMC Health Serv Res*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1186/S12913-019-4785-7/FIGURES/4.
- [37] C. Angkurawaranon, D. Nitsch, N. Larke, A. M. Rehman, L. Smeeth, and J. Addo, “Ecological Study of HIV Infection and Hypertension in Sub-Saharan Africa: Is There a Double Burden of Disease?,” *PLoS One*, vol. 11, no. 11, p. e0166375, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0166375.
- [38] C. U. Nduka, S. Stranges, A. M. Sarki, P. K. Kimani, and O. A. Uthman, “Evidence of increased blood pressure and hypertension risk among people living with HIV on

- antiretroviral therapy: a systematic review with meta-analysis," *J Hum Hypertens*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 355–362, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1038/JHH.2015.97.
- [39] J. Manne-Goehler *et al.*, "The ART advantage: healthcare utilization for diabetes and hypertension in rural South Africa," *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, vol. 75, no. 5, p. 561, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000001445.
- [40] S. Naicker, S. Rahmanian, and J. B. Kopp, "HIV and chronic kidney disease," *Clin Nephrol*, vol. 83, no. Suppl 1, p. S32, 2015, doi: 10.5414/CNP83S032.
- [41] F. Post, "Adverse events: ART and the kidney: alterations in renal function and renal toxicity," *J Int AIDS Soc*, vol. 17, no. 4Suppl 3, p. 19513, Nov. 2014, doi: 10.7448/IAS.17.4.19513.
- [42] J. Jao and C. M. Wyatt, "Antiretroviral medications: adverse effects on the kidney," *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 72–82, Jan. 2010, doi: 10.1053/J.ACKD.2009.07.009.
- [43] F. Ballocca *et al.*, "HIV Infection and Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: Lights and Shadows in the HAART Era," *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 565–576, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.PCAD.2016.02.008.
- [44] T. B. Huedo-Medina, J. Sánchez-Meca, F. Marín-Martínez, and J. Botella, "Assessing heterogeneity in meta-analysis: Q statistic or I<sup>2</sup> Index?," *Psychol Methods*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 193–206, Jun. 2006, doi: 10.1037/1082-989X.11.2.193.
- [45] M. Borenstein, L. V. Hedges, J. P. T. Higgins, and H. R. Rothstein, "A basic introduction to fixed-effect and random-effects models for meta-analysis," *Res Synth Methods*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 97–111, Apr. 2010, doi: 10.1002/JRSM.12.
- [46] WHO, "Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020.," *World Health Organization*, p. 102, 2013, doi: 978 92 4 1506236.
- [47] J. A. Dave, E. V Lambert, M. Badri, S. West, G. Maartens, and N. S. Levitt, "Effect of Nonnucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor-Based Antiretroviral Therapy on Dysglycemia and Insulin Sensitivity in South African HIV-Infected Patients," 2011.
- [48] M. Sani, B. Okeahialam, and S. Muhammad, "Cardiovascular disease risk factors among HIV-infected Nigerians receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy," *Nigerian Medical Journal*, vol. 54, no. 3, p. 185, 2013, doi: 10.4103/0300-1652.114591.
- [49] R. N. Peck *et al.*, "Hypertension, kidney disease, HIV and antiretroviral therapy among Tanzanian adults: A cross-sectional study," *BMC Med*, vol. 12, no. 1, Jul. 2014, doi: 10.1186/s12916-014-0125-2.
- [50] D. Shey Nsagha *et al.*, "Risk Factors of Cardiovascular Diseases in HIV/AIDS Patients on HAART," 2015.
- [51] I. D. Osegbe, O. O. Soriyan, A. A. Ogbenna, H. C. Okpara, and E. C. Azinge, "Risk factors and assessment for cardiovascular disease among HIV-positive patients attending a nigerian tertiary hospital," *Pan African Medical Journal*, vol. 23, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.11604/pamj.2016.23.206.7041.
- [52] O. H. Divala *et al.*, "The burden of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular risk factors among adult Malawians in HIV care: consequences for integrated services," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–11, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-3916-x.
- [53] J. R. Kingery *et al.*, "Short-term and long-term cardiovascular risk, metabolic syndrome and HIV in Tanzania," *Heart*, vol. 102, no. 15, pp. 1200–1205, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2015-309026.

- [54] A. Osoi *et al.*, “Metabolic Syndrome among Antiretroviral Therapy-Naive Versus Experienced HIV-Infected Patients Without Preexisting Cardiometabolic Disorders in Western Kenya,” *AIDS Patient Care STDS*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 215–222, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1089/apc.2018.0052.
- [55] J. Manne-Goehler *et al.*, “Hypertension and diabetes control along the HIV care cascade in rural South Africa,” 2019, doi: 10.1002/jia2.25213/full.
- [56] K. Jeremiah *et al.*, “Diabetes prevalence by HbA1c and oral glucose tolerance test among HIV-infected and uninfected Tanzanian adults,” *PLoS One*, vol. 15, no. 4, 2020, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230723.
- [57] F. S. Sarfo *et al.*, “Prevalence and incidence of pre-diabetes and diabetes mellitus among people living with HIV in Ghana: Evidence from the EVERLAST Study,” *HIV Med*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 231–243, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1111/hiv.13007.
- [58] G. V. T. Roozen *et al.*, “Electrocardiographic and echocardiographic abnormalities in urban African people living with HIV in South Africa,” *PLoS One*, vol. 16, no. 2 February 2021, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0244742.
- [59] T. Msoka *et al.*, “Comparison of predicted cardiovascular risk profiles by different cvd risk-scoring algorithms between hiv-1-infected and uninfected adults: A cross-sectional study in Tanzania,” *HIV/AIDS - Research and Palliative Care*, vol. 13, pp. 605–615, 2021, doi: 10.2147/HIV.S304982.
- [60] L. G. Ekali *et al.*, “Fasting blood glucose and insulin sensitivity are unaffected by HAART duration in Cameroonians receiving first-line antiretroviral treatment,” *Diabetes Metab*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 71–77, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2012.08.012.
- [61] S. Botha, C. M. T. Fourie, J. M. van Rooyen, A. Kruger, and A. E. Schutte, “Cardiometabolic Changes in Treated Versus Never Treated HIV-Infected Black South Africans: The PURE Study,” *Heart Lung Circ*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 119–126, Feb. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2013.07.019.
- [62] O. J. Ogunmola, O. Y. Oladosu, and A. M. Olamoyegun, “Association of hypertension and obesity with HIV and antiretroviral therapy in a rural tertiary health center in Nigeria: A cross-sectional cohort study,” *Vasc Health Risk Manag*, vol. 10, pp. 129–137, 2014, doi: 10.2147/VHRM.S58449.
- [63] O. Ogunmola, Y. Oladosu, and M. Olamoyegun, “QTC interval prolongation in HIV-negative versus HIV-positive subjects with or without antiretroviral drugs,” *Ann Afr Med*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. 169, 2015, doi: 10.4103/1596-3519.152072.
- [64] C. A. Dimala, J. Atashili, J. C. Mbuagbaw, A. Wilfred, and G. L. Monekoso, “Prevalence of hypertension in HIV/AIDS patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) compared with HAART-naïve patients at the Limbe Regional Hospital, Cameroon,” *PLoS One*, vol. 11, no. 2, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148100.
- [65] C. U. Nduka *et al.*, “A plausible causal link between antiretroviral therapy and increased blood pressure in a sub-Saharan African setting: A propensity score-matched analysis,” *Int J Cardiol*, vol. 220, pp. 400–407, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.06.210.
- [66] J. Cailhol *et al.*, “Prevalence of chronic kidney disease among people living with HIV/AIDS in Burundi: A cross-sectional study,” *BMC Nephrol*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2011, doi: 10.1186/1471-2369-12-40.

- [67] F. S. Sarfo *et al.*, “High prevalence of renal dysfunction and association with risk of death amongst HIV-infected Ghanaians,” *Journal of Infection*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 43–50, Jul. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2013.03.008.
- [68] A. Che Awah Nforbugwe, E. Acha Asongalem, R. Bihwui Nchotu, E. Asangbeng Tanue, F. Sevidzem Wirsiy, and J. C. Nguedia Assob, “Prevalence of renal dysfunction and associated risk factors among HIV patients on ART at the Bamenda Regional Hospital, Cameroon,” *Int J STD AIDS*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 526–532, May 2020, doi: 10.1177/0956462420901985.
- [69] M. M. Sulaiman *et al.*, “Comparative Evaluation of Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Pathologic Features of Kidney Disease in Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy-Naive and Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy-Experienced Patients at a Tertiary Health Facility in Maiduguri, Northeastern Nigeria,” *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 72–79, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.367828.

**Table 1. Characteristics of included studies grouped by noncommunicable disease (NCD) and odds of NCD diagnosis comparing HIV-positive ART exposed to HIV-positive ART unexposed in each study.**

Study No	Study, Year Published	Country	Dates of observation	Total N (% ART+)	Total female, n (%)	Mean Age (years)	Screened ART+, n (%)	Screened ART-, n (%)	Diagnostic Criteria	Diagnosed ART+, n (%)	Diagnosed ART-, n (%)	Initiated Treatment ART+, n (%)	Initiated Treatment ART-, n (%)	Control among treated ART+, n (%)	Control among treated ART-, n (%)	Odds ratio of NCD diagnosis <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)
<b>Outcome=Diabetes</b>																
1	Dave et al, 2011 [47]	South Africa		849 (52)	653 (77)				Fasting glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L or or OGTT $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L	10 (2.2)	14 (3.0)					0.65 (0.28, 1.47)
2	Sani et al, 2013 [48]	Nigeria	05/09 - 08/09	200 (50)	106 (53)	32.5			Fasting glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L or Random glucose $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L	3 (3)	3 (3)					1.0 (0.20, 5.08)
3	Peck et al, 2014 [49]	Tanzania	10/12 - 04/13	301 (50)	204 (68)	38.5 <sup>4</sup>			Self-reported via WHO STEPS questionnaire	1 (0.7)	1 (0.7)					1.01 (0.06, 16.25)
4	Shey Nsagha et al, 2015 [50]	Cameroon	03/14 - 08/14	215 (74)	161 (75)	43.2			Fasting glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L	3 (1.9)	2 (1.9)					0.51 (0.08, 3.11)
5	Osegbe et al, 2016 [51]	Nigeria		200 (50)		36.6			Fasting glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L	9 (9)						4.85 (1.02, 23.03)
6	Divala et al, 2016 [52]	Malawi	7/14 - 10/14	952 (96)	683 (72)	43.0			Elevated glucose defined as fasting glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L or random glucose $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L on two occasions or reported use of antidiabetic drugs	38 (4.2)	1 (0.1)					0.61 (0.08, 4.53) <sup>2</sup>
7	Kingery et al, 2016 [53]	Tanzania	10/12 - 4/13	301 (50)	204 (68)	40.5			Fasting glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L or Random glucose $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L	27 (18)	1 (0.3)					32.93 (4.41, 245.78)
8	Manne-Goehler et al, 2017 [39]	South Africa	11/14 - 11/15	1035 (64)	560 (54)	55.4	324 (49)	157 (42)	Self-reported diabetes diagnosis or glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L) in fasting group (defined as > 8 hours), glucose $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L in nonfasting ("random or casual") samples. Individuals with missing fasting information were considered to be not fasting.	52 (7.8)	23 (14.7)	363 (55)	162 (44)			1.30 (0.78, 2.16)
9	Osoti et al, 2018 [54]	Western Kenya	07/14 - 09/14	300 (55)	192 (64)	40.7			Fasting blood glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L	2 (1.5)	5 (1.6)					0.32 (0.06, 1.69)
10	Achwoka et al, 2019 [32]	Kenya	10/15 - 09/16	3170 (68)	2115 (67)				Diabetes mellitus documented in medical records	7 (0.3)	2 (0.1)					1.61 (0.33, 7.79)
11	Manne-Goehler et al, 2019 [55]	South Africa	11/14 - 11/15	1035 (71)	560 (54)	55.4			Fasting glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L or Random glucose $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L or self-reported diagnosis of diabetes that had been made by a doctor, nurse, or healthcare worker, or self-reported use of medication for diabetes prescribed by a doctor, nurse, or healthcare worker	59 (8.0)	21 (7.1)					1.17 (0.69, 1.95)
12	Sarfo et al, 2019 [31]	Ghana		451 (55)	367 (81)	44.5			History of known diabetes, use of diabetes medication, or HbA1c > 6.5%	21 (8.4)	19 (9.5)					0.88 (0.46, 1.68)
13	Jeremiah et al, 2020 [56]	Tanzania	10/16 - 11/17	1292 (26)	786 (61)	39.9			HbA1c $\geq$ 6.5%	26 (7.8)	169 (17.7)					0.39 (0.25, 0.60)
									OGTT $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L	11 (3.3)	87 (9.1)					0.34 (0.18, 0.64)

									Both HbA1c $\geq$ 6.5% and OGTT $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L	3 (0.9)	33 (3.5)					0.25 (0.08, 0.83)	
14	Sarfo et al, 2021 [57]	Ghana		502 (51)	377 (75)				History of diabetes, use of medications for diabetes, or HbA1c $\geq$ 6.5%	19 (7.4)	16 (0.9)					1.14 (0.57, 2.27)	
									Two fasting glucose readings $\geq$ 7 mmol/L	30 (11.6)	38 (1.6)				1.14 (0.57, 2.27)		
15	Roozen et al, 2021 [58]	South Africa	07/16 - 11/17	394 (73)	264 (67)	39.8			Random glucose $>$ 11 mmol/L or use of blood glucose lowering medication	6 (2.1)	1 (0.1)					2.23 (0.27, 18.78)	
16	Msoka et al, 2021 [59]	Tanzania		104 (62)	74 (71)	54.6			Fasting glucose $\geq$ 7 mmol/L	6 (9.4)	2 (0.5)					1.97 (0.38, 10.25)	
<b>Outcome=Hypertension</b>																	
17	Ekali et al, 2013 [60]	Cameroon		143 (80)	103 (72)	39.5			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	18 (15.7)	3 (0.3)					1.55 (0.42, 5.67)	
2	Sani et al, 2013 [48]	Nigeria	05/09 - 08/09	200 (50)	106 (53)	32.5			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	17 (17)	4 (0.4)					10.04 (2.25, 44.71)	
18	Botha et al, 2014 [61]	South Africa	2010	137 (48)	96 (70)	47.7			Self-report of previous diagnosis	20 (31.8)	29 (4.4)	9 (14.0)	11 (16.0)			0.63 (0.31, 1.28)	
3	Peck et al, 2014 [49]	Tanzania	10/12 - 04/13	301 (50)	204 (68)	38.5 <sup>4</sup>			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	43 (28.7)	8 (0.8)					7.18 (3.24, 15.91)	
19	Ogunmola et al, 2014 [62]	Nigeria		250 (52)	156 (62)	38.1			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	16 (12.3)	19 (0.3)					1.47 (0.37, 5.84)	
4	Shey Nsagha et al, 2015 [50]	Cameroon	03/14 - 08/14	215 (74)	161 (75)	43.2			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	47 (29.4)	8 (0.8)					2.4 (1.07, 4.52)	
20	Ogunmola et al, 2015 [63]	Nigeria		121 (63)	86 (71)	38.1			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	9 (11.8)	4 (0.4)					1.38 (0.40, 4.76)	
5	Osegbe et al, 2016 [51]	Nigeria		200 (50)		36.6			SBP equal to or greater than 140 mmHg	43 (43)	23 (0.3)					2.19 (1.02, 4.69)	
									DBP equal to or greater than 90 mmHg	20 (20)	11 (0.1)				2.02 (0.91, 4.48)		
21	Dimala et al, 2016 [64]	Cameroon	04/13 - 06/13	200 (50)	140 (70)	39.1			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	38 (38)	19 (0.3)					2.2 (1.07, 4.52) <sup>3</sup>	
6	Divala et al, 2016 [52]	Malawi	7/14 - 10/14	952 (96)	683 (72)	43.0			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg or taking anti-hypertensive medication	216 (23.7)	10 (0.1)					1.11 (0.53, 2.32)	
7	Kingery et al, 2016 [53]	Tanzania	10/12 - 4/13	301 (50)	204 (68)	40.5			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	43 (28.7)	8 (5.3)	10 (6.7)	1 (0.7)			7.18 (3.24, 15.91)	
22	Nduka et al, 2016 [65]	Nigeria	08/14 - 11/14	406 (75)	278 (69)	37.8			Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg or current use of antihypertensive medication	50 (16.3)	9 (9.0)	24 (7.8)	4 (4.0)			1.97 (0.93, 4.18)	
8	Manne-Goehler et al, 2017 [39]	South Africa	11/14 - 11/15	1035 (64)	560 (54)	55.4	473 (71.5)	258 (69.2)	Self-report of previous diagnosis, or systolic blood pressure $\geq$ 140 mmHg or diastolic $\geq$ 90 mmHg, or self-reported use of anti-hypertensive medication at time of interview	256 (38.7)	162 (43.5)	383 (57.8)	183 (49.1)			0.82 (0.63, 1.06)	



10	Achwoka et al, 2019 [32]	Kenya	10/15 – 09/16	3170 (68)	2115 (67)			Two or more measures taken within 12 months of systolic blood pressure $\geq$ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure $\geq$ 90 mmHg	319/2170 (14.7)	24/1000 (2.4)						7.01 (4.60, 10.69)
11	Manne-Goehler et al, 2019 [55]	South Africa	11/14 - 11/15	1035 (71)	560 (54)	55.4		Self-report of ever diagnosed, or SBP $\geq$ 140 mmHg or DBP $\geq$ 90 mmHg, or self-reported use of anti-hypertensive medication at time of interview	339 (46.2)	143 (27)						0.95 (0.72, 1.24)
12	Sarfo et al, 2019 [31]	Ghana		451 (55)	367 (81)	44.5		Blood pressure greater than or equal to 140/90 mmHg or history of hypertension or use of antihypertensive drugs	92 (36.9)	47 (24)	37 (40.2)	21 (44.7)	11 (29.7)	9 (42.9)		1.91 (1.26, 2.89)
15	Roozen et al, 2021 [58]	South Africa	07/16 - 11/17	394 (73)	264 (67)	39.8		SBP > 130 mmHg, DBP > 85mmHg or use of antihypertensive medication	101 (35.1)	20 (9)						2.32 (1.35, 4.00)
16	Msoka et al, 2021 [59]	Tanzania		104 (62)	74 (71)	54.6		Blood pressure equal to or greater than 140/90 mmHg	14 (21.9)	6 (6)						1.59 (0.55, 4.54)
<b>Outcome=Chronic Kidney Disease</b>																
23	Cailhol et al, 2011 [66]	Burundi	2/08 - 2/09	238 (72)	211 (70)	40.1		GFR <sub>CG</sub> < 60 ml/min or GFR <sub>MDRD</sub> < 60 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> and/or kidney damage defined as a urinary abnormality at any GFR level	7 (4)	8 (1)						0.31 (0.11, 0.91)
24	Sarfo et al, 2013 [67]	Ghana	05/10 - 01/11	238 (61)	172 (72)	39.2 <sup>4</sup>		CrCl < 60 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> (Cockcroft-Gault) or proteinuria $\geq$ 1 (confirmed by uPCR > 45 mg/mmol) on urinalysis	22 (15)	41 (4)						3.63 (1.67, 7.91)
3	Peck et al, 2014 [49]	Tanzania	10/12 - 04/13	301 (50)	204 (68)	38.5 <sup>4</sup>		eGFR <60 mL/minute and/or microalbuminuria according to KDIGO	62 (41)	52 (34)						1.34 (0.84, 2.14)
12	Sarfo et al, 2019 [31]	Ghana		451 (55)	367 (81)	44.5		eGFR <60 mL/minute	6 (2)	6 (3)						0.80 (0.25, 2.52)
25	Che Awah Nforbugwe et al, 2020 [68]	Cameroon	3/16 - 6/16	136 (50)	100 (72)	39.5		CrCl < 60 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	18 (27)	8 (12)						2.7 (1.08, 6.73)
26	Sulaimain et al, 2022 [69]	Nigeria	01/12- 12/14	400 (50)	276 (69)	36.6		eGFR <60 mL/minute	21 (10.5)	61 (30)						0.27 (0.16, 0.46)
<b>Outcome=Cardiovascular Disease</b>																
10	Achwoka et al, 2019 [32]	Kenya	10/15 - 09/16	3170 (68)	2115 (67)			Documented record of hypertension, heart attack, or stroke in medical records	322 (15)	25 (3)						6.80 (4.49, 10.28)

<sup>1</sup> Odds ratios represent odds of NCD diagnosis by ART status.

<sup>2</sup> Odds ratio as reported in primary paper, adjusted for age, sex, study site, and educational status.

<sup>3</sup> Odds ratio as reported in primary paper, adjusted for age, gender, family history of hypertension, smoking, and obesity.

<sup>4</sup> Median age reported

\*Abbreviations: SBP=systolic blood pressure, DBP=diastolic blood pressure, OGTT=oral glucose tolerance test, KDIGO=Kidney Disease Improvement Global Outcomes

\*Imperial to metric units conversions: 7 mmol/L = 126 mg/dL; 11.1 mmol/L = 200 mg/dL

Records identified through  
electronic database searching  
(n=427)



Titles/Abstracts screened  
(n=344)



Full-text review  
(n=41)



Studies included in review  
(n=26)



Duplicates removed  
(n=83)



Records excluded  
(n=303)

Full-text articles excluded with  
reasons (n=15)

- ▶ • Did not report NCD outcome by ART status (n=13)
  - ▶ • Wrong study design (n=2)
- 

**Figure 1.** Flow chart of study selection



