1 Full title: The community as an active part in the implementation of interventions for the 2 prevention and control of tuberculosis: a scoping review 3 4 Short title: Engaging community for tuberculosis prevention and control: a scoping review 6 Authors Lesly Chavez-Rimache¹, César Ugarte-Gil^{1,2}, Maria J Brunette^{2,3}. 7 8 **Affiliations** ¹ Instituto de Medicina Tropical Alexander von Humboldt, Universidad Peruana Cayetano 9 10 Heredia, Perú ² School of Medicine, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Perú 11 12 ³ School of Health & Rehabilitation Sciences, College of Medicine. The Ohio State University, United States of America. 13 14 15 Corresponding author: 16 E-mail: cesar.ugarte@upch.pe (CUG) 17 18 **Author Contributions:** 19 Conceptualization: Maria J Brunette. 20 Formal Analysis: Lesly Chavez-Rimache, César Ugarte-Gil, Maria J Brunette. 21 Funding Acquisition: Maria J Brunette. 22 Investigation: Lesly Chavez-Rimache, Maria J Brunette. 23 Methodology: Maria J Brunette, Lesly Chavez-Rimache. 24 **Resources:** Lesly Chavez-Rimache, Maria J Brunette. 25 Supervision: Maria J Brunette. 26 Visualization: Lesly Chavez-Rimache. 27 Writing - Original Draft Preparation: Lesly Chavez-Rimache, Maria J Brunette, César Ugarte-28 Gil. 29 Writing - Review & Editing: César Ugarte-Gil, Maria J Brunette. 30 31 Funding: This work was supported by National Institue of Health (NIH grant #5R01EB021900-32 03 to MJB). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to 33 publish, or preparation of manuscript. 34 35 Competing interests: CUG is member of the Editorial Board at PLOS Global Public Health. 36 None of the other authors have competing interests to disclose. 37 38 39 40 41 42 43

Abstract

Interventions involving direct community stakeholders include a variety of approaches in which members take an active role in improving their health. We evaluated studies in which the community has actively participated to strengthen tuberculosis prevention and control programs. A literature search was performed in Pubmed, Scopus, ERIC, Global Index Medicus, Scielo, Cochrane Library, LILACS, Google Scholar, speciality journals, and other bibliographic references. The primary question for this review was: what is known about tuberculosis control interventions and programs in which the community has been an active part?.

Two reviewers performed the search, screening and selection of studies independently. In cases of discrepancies over the eligibility of an article, it was resolved by consensus. 130

Two reviewers performed the search, screening and selection of studies independently. In cases of discrepancies over the eligibility of an article, it was resolved by consensus. 130 studies were selected, of which 68.47% (n=89/130) were published after 2010. The studies were conducted in Africa (44.62%), the Americas (22.31%) and Southeast Asia (19.23%). It was found that 20% (n=26/130) of the studies evaluated the participation of the community in the detection/active search of cases, 20.77% (n=27/130) in the promotion/prevention of tuberculosis; 23.07% (n=30/130) in identifying barriers to treatment, 46.15% (n=60/130) in supervision during treatment and 3.08% (n=4/130) in social support for patient. Community participation not only strengthens the capacities of health systems for the prevention and control of tuberculosis, but also allows a better understanding of the disease from the perspective of the patient and the affected community by identifying barriers and difficulties through of the tuberculosis care cascade. Engaging key community stakeholders in co-creating solutions offers a critical pathway for local governments to eradicate TB.

Keywords: Community Stakeholders; Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR); Tuberculosis.

Introduction

 Tuberculosis(TB) is an infectious disease that, despite being a preventable and curable disease, continues to be a problem for global public health, with a estimation of 1.6 million people died from this disease in 2021(1). In addition, tuberculosis control has become a greater challenge as it is related to other diseases such as the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). In tuberculosis epidemiology, the social determinants of health in a community such as poverty, overcrowding, inadequate housing conditions, malnutrition, etc. they exert a clear influence on all stages of tuberculosis pathogenesis (risk of exposure, time to diagnosis, treatment, susceptibility to disease progression, and retention in care). Therefore, tuberculosis is a social disease that requires the involvement of the community to propose joint solutions with the local government(2-4).

To control TB, one of the strategies used is Directly Observed Therapy, Short Course (DOTS) (5). This strategy has been administered under the guided supervision initially by health workers, but over the years it has also included community volunteers and members of patients' families. This has led to gradually include the community in the tuberculosis prevention and control activities. This community participation has been escalating to other levels such as the detection and active search of suspected cases, and this participation helps to generate greater linkage of patients with TB care centers and to increase the retention in care of detected cases(6).

The World Health Organization (WHO) approach called "ENGAGE-TB" mentions that community participation is fundamental to improve the scope and sustainability of tuberculosis services for the community. This approach allows the implementation of integrated community TB activities within health programs, and WHO provides technical guidance, community training, and encourages the creation and development of alliances between tuberculosis control programs and civil societies(7). Moreover, community involvement in research is encouraged, thus contributing to the strengthening of tuberculosis prevention and control programs. An example of this effort is the TB Alliance, which is an association that works with people affected by tuberculosis, who train and empower them to improve their knowledge and skills to participate in tuberculosis drug research by creating an extensive network of Community Advisory Boards (CABs). This community outreach initiative makes it possible to create links between clinical trial participants, community members and academic researchers to achieve mutual feedback based on open and consensual dialogue(8).

Community-based participatory research (CBPR) is an approach in which the community is involved in all stages of research from conception, design, execution, implementation and follow-up of research(9). CBPR allows for the creation of collaborative, equal partnerships between community members and academic researchers. Furthermore, this research approach was raised with the need to reduce communication and operational gaps that frequently imply failure for traditional research that often omits the complex cultural, social and economic interrelations and their impact on the effective implementation of interventions(9-12). CBPR can be used for all study designs, from qualitative studies to randomized clinical trials(9). To our knowledge, there are only two reviews by Arshad et al.(6)

and Musa et al.(13), who evaluated the effect of community-based interventions for tuberculosis prevention and control and have reported potential benefits to include the community in TB research studies. However, it is currently unknown to what extent community involvement can generate benefits for health programs focused on tuberculosis control considering the principles of CBPR (recognize the community as a unit of identity, draw on the strengths and resources of the community, facilitate collaborative partnerships, integrate knowledge and action for the mutual benefit of all, promote a process of co-learning and community empowerment, engage a cyclical and iterative process, addressing health from a comprehensive and ecological approach, and disseminating the findings and knowledge acquired to all partners). Also, it is unknown what have been the mechanisms that have been used to strengthen communities in their participation and what has been the impact of the inclusion of the community for tuberculosis control. Based on this, our review aims to assess the characteristics of interventions in which the community has genuinely taken an active part in the development and implementation of research studies to strengthen tuberculosis prevention and control programs.

Methods

130

131132

133134

135136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145146

147148

Study design

- 149 We carried out a scope review following the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for
- 150 Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Revisión de alcances (PRISMA-ScR)(14)
- 151 (Suplementary File 1) and a protocol that was carried out priori, based on the following
- research questions:
- 153 Primary question: What is known about tuberculosis control interventions and programs in
- 154 which the community has been an active part in the development and implementation of the
- 155 study?

160

166 167

- 156 Secondary questions: a) What strategies are being used to strengthen the participation of
- 157 communities in interventions and programs for tuberculosis control? and b) What
- opportunities, lessons learned, and challenges impact the sustainability of community-driven
- interventions and programs?
- 161 The protocol is available upon request from the corresponding author. This scoping review
- 162 followed the five-stage methodological framework that was developed by Arksey and O'Malley
- 163 (15) and an additional sixth stage developed by Levac et al.(16), these stages are as follows: 1)
- identify the question research, 2) identify relevant studies, 3) select studies, 4) record data, 5)
- 165 collate, summarize and report results, and 6) consult with relevant stakeholders.

Data sources and search

- 168 To identify the studies, we systematically searched Medline (through Pubmed), Scopus, ERIC
- 169 (Education Resources Information Center), Global Index Medicus, Scielo, Cochrane Library and
- 170 LILACS (Latin American and Caribbean Literature in Health Sciences). A search of the gray
- 171 literature was performed through Google Scholar and MedRxiv. In addition, we performed a
- 172 manual search identifying the journals of internationally recognized organizations in
- tuberculosis (Suplementary File 2) and by reviewing the bibliographic references of the review
- articles and included studies. Search terms such as "Community-Based related", "Community-

based interventions", "participatory action research", "participatory engagement", "Community Participation" and "tuberculosis" were used (the complete list of search terms can be found in the Suplementary File 3). The choice of terms and search strategies were developed and refined through a discussion with the research team (Suplementary File 3). For the importation of articles and the elimination of duplicates, the reference manager used was Endnote X9 (Clarivate).

Selection criteria

This scoping review included studies that describe or analyze the role of direct involvement of key community members in the development and implementation of tuberculosis prevention and control programs. Studies such as randomized clinical trials, non-randomized trials, and observational studies were included. Letters to the editor, expert opinions, review articles, book reviews, or conference abstracts were excluded. In Figure 1 includes the processes of identification and selection of studies according to the eligibility criteria.

Screening, collection and processing of data

One reviewer (LCR) performed initial title identification and duplicate removal using an Endnote X9 (Clarivate) reference manager. Before starting the study selection process, a calibration process was carried out between two reviewers (LCR and MJB) with 10 randomly selected studies to select the studies according to the eligibility criteria. Subsequently, in a first phase, a selection of the studies was made based on the titles and abstracts; and in a next phase, a full-text evaluation was carried out according to the eligibility criteria. All these processes were closely supervised by a researcher (MJB).

For data extraction, the "descriptive-analytic" method was used, which consists of applying a common analytical framework to all included studies and collecting standard information from each study(15). In addition, to carry out the coding of the information, a full-text evaluation of the included articles was carried out based on the research questions. The "inclusion of the community in research" and the "strengths of community participation" will be extracted from the methods section of the scientific articles and the evaluation of the "sustainability of the interventions" from the discussion section of the primary studies.

The results were presented through diagram tables that summarize the different modalities of the participation of community members in the different aspects evaluated, such as in the promotion and prevention of tuberculosis (health education, BCG vaccination and/or administration of chemoprophylaxis), detection and active search for cases, results and adherence to tuberculosis treatment (identification of barriers and difficulties for tuberculosis treatment/supervision and surveillance during tuberculosis treatment), implementation of interventions for tuberculosis control and social support and support programs for patients affected by tuberculosis. Also, the evidence was synthesized on how the community has been strengthened in its participation and finally on the challenges in the implementation of these interventions (sustainability).

Results

220

221222

223

224

225

226

Literature search

Our scoping review initially identified 1909 titles and abstracts. Of these studies, 1707 studies were excluded based on our eligibility criteria. 389 full-text studies were evaluated, of which 130 studies finally met the pre-established inclusion criteria. The study selection flowchart is presented in Figure 1.




Fig 1. Study selection flowchart.

263264265

Characteristics of the articles included

Of the included studies, over the years, there has been a gradual increase in the amount of research in which the community has been actively involved in tuberculosis control. The studies identified in our review were conducted in all WHO regions, mainly in the African region (44.62%, n=58/130), the Americas (22.31%, n=29/130), and in Southeast Asia (19.23%, n=25/130). In relation to the types of articles, the most frequent were the quantitative ones (46.92%, n=61/130) followed by the qualitative ones (32.31%, n=42/130) and finally the mixed methods (13.85%, n=18 /130). These characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of the studies included in the scoping review. (n=130)

Characteristics of the studies	Number (%)
Publication year	
1990-1999	7/130 (5.38)
2000-2009	34/130 (26.15)
2010-2021	89/130 (68.47)
Region	
Africa	58/130 (44.62)
Americas	29/130 (22.31)
Southeast Asia	25/130 (19.23)
Europa	2/130 (1.54)
Eastern Mediterranean	5/130 (3.85)
Western Pacific	10/130 (7.69)
Arctic	1/130 (0.76)
Study Design	
Cross-sectional	7/130 (5.38)
Case study	2/130 (1.54)
Qualitative	42/130 (32.31)
Mixed methods	18/130 (13.85)
Quantitative studies	61/130 (46.92)
Language	
English	127/130 (97.69)
Spanish	3/130 (2.31)

Inclusion of community participation in research

The participation of communities in research studies on tuberculosis has been evaluated and categorized considering the classification of the study by Asha et al.(17), who evaluated the degree of community participation in research on interventions in health systems in low- and middle-income countries through five different elements: (1) identification of the problems addressed; (2) identification and definition of interventions; (3) implementation of the interventions; (4) management of resources for interventions and (5) monitoring and evaluation of interventions. Only 4/130 (3.08%) studies engaged the community through these five elements. Details of the nature of participation through these five elements are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Nature of community participation in the included studies.

Nature of Community Participation (CP)	Articles with ACP (n=130)
	Number (%)
Identification of needs, risk factors and/or definition of problems	79/130 (60.77)
Identification and definition of interventions	55/130 (42.31)
Implementation of interventions	74/130 (50.92)
Resource management for intervention	38/130 (29.23)
Monitoring, evaluation of interventions	39/130 (30)

ACP: Active community participation.

 In the included studies, community participation in the development of research studies or as part of a program for the prevention and control of tuberculosis was identified in the following scenarios: 20.77% (n=27/130) of the studies included the community on activities related to the promotion and prevention of tuberculosis. 20.00% (n=26/130) of the studies involved the community in the detection and active search for tuberculosis cases. The 21.54% (n=28/130) of the studies in the identification of barriers and difficulties for the antituberculosis treatment. 46.15% (n=60/130) of the studies on supervision and surveillance for tuberculosis treatment and 3.08% (n=4/130) of the studies evaluated the participation of the community in social support and support for the affected patient for tuberculosis. Finally, it was identified that 12.31% (n=16/130) of the studies evaluated the participation of the community in other related aspects such as greater understanding of the disease, identification of factors related to the search and quality of care for patients. affected by tuberculosis and studies that explored the experience of community health workers in tuberculosis control (Table 3).

Table 3. Interventions in which the community has been an active part for the implementation of the included studies.

	Articles with ACP (n=130) Number (%)
TB prevention	27/130 (20.77)
Detection and active search for cases	26/130 (20)
Identification of barriers to tuberculosis treatment	30/130 (23.07)
Supervision and surveillance for tuberculosis treatment	60/130 (46.15)
Social support and patient support	4/130 (3.08)
Others*	16/130 (12.31)

ACP: Active community participation.

*Studies in which the community has participated to understand the disease, factors associated with seeking care, and experiences of community participation.

Strengthening of community participation

6.15% (n=8/130) of the studies included in our review used the community-based participatory research approach. However, only half (n=4/130) of these studies complied with the CBPR principles. On the other hand, 37.69% (n=49/130) of the studies carried out some type of

education or training for community workers to carry out their functions in tuberculosis research studies.

Sustainability of the studies

4.62% (n=6/130) of the studies evaluated the sustainability of the interventions in which the community had participated. In addition, 2.31% (n=3/130) of the included studies evaluated the cost-effectiveness of community participation in tuberculosis care(18-20). Khan et al.(18) reported that self-administered DOTS was the most cost-effective (\$164 per patient cured). However, they had a 62% cure rate as opposed to DOTS administered by community health workers (CHW) (\$172 per case cured), which had a 67% cure rate. DOTS supervised by a family member (\$185 per patient cured) had a cure rate of 55% and finally DOTS administered in a health center (\$310 per patient cured) had a cure rate of 58%. Sinanovic et al.(19) reported that for new patients community-based DOTS was more cost-effective (\$726 per successfully treated patient) than no directly observed treatment (\$1201 per successfully treated patient). Similarly, for retreatment patients, community-based DOTS was more cost-effective (\$1,419 per successfully treated patient) than no directly observed treatment (\$2,058 per successfully treated patient). Finally, Prado et al.(20) reported that the cost per patient treated with DOTS supervised by tutors was \$398 and for DOTS supervised by CHW it was \$548.

Discussion

In our scoping review, we identified 130 studies that reported genuine involvement of community members. Most of these studies were conducted in Africa (44.62%), the Americas region (22.31%), and Asia (19.23%). According to the 2021 Global Tuberculosis Report, the highest burden of tuberculosis disease occurs in the Asian and African regions (69%)(1). This could explain the reason for the higher frequency of studies found in these continents. This scenario is different for the region of the Americas, in which its global burden of tuberculosis is 2.9%, but it is the region with the second most studies that attempt to involve the community as part of their strategies to end tuberculosis. However, most of these studies are carried out in populations with a high burden of tuberculosis, such as in Latin American and Caribbean countries(21).

The results of our scoping review suggest that active community participation contributes to strengthening tuberculosis prevention and control programs. This makes visible the need to dissociate ourselves from the traditional scenario of scientific research, directed only by academic researchers, and think about addressing this disease more from a comprehensive and ecological approach that also considers the community and other social actors as keys to allow the identification of problems, and in consequence, create joint solutions that reduce the social and economic gaps that increase the burden of tuberculosis. This is similar to what was mentioned by Arshad et al.(6), who reported that community-based interventions increased the probability of tuberculosis case detection (RR: 3.1; 95% CI: 2.92 to 3.28) and success rates of treatment (RR: 1.09; 95% CI: 1.07 to 1.11). Also, they reported that the CHW, by delivering the treatment, not only increased and improved the conditions for access to care for patients affected by tuberculosis, but also improved the registration and notification systems for tuberculosis cases. Yassin et al.(22) implemented a community-based TB intervention package to bring diagnostic and treatment services closer to vulnerable

communities. They reported that community participation doubled tuberculosis case notification rates and improved treatment outcomes. Similarly, other studies have reported that active case finding in countries or places with a high TB burden by CHW, community volunteers, and trained family members could strengthen notification systems and reduce gaps in access to information. health care in the community. Active case finding of smear-positive cases made an important contribution to the success on diagnosis and control of tuberculosis. This is significant because tuberculosis patients frequently present to health facilities when their disease has worsened and this is a major limitation in global efforts to control tuberculosis(23-33).

With respect to TB treatment outcomes, better results have been reported when DOTS has been delivered by CHWs, allowing for higher treatment success rates. In addition, CHWs have contributed to the supervision and follow-up for cases of drug resistance and support to patients throughout the treatment phase. Regarding the cost-effectiveness studies of DOTS provided by CHWs. Khan et al.(18) and Sinanovic et al.(19) reported that DOTS provided by CHWs was the most cost-effective for new patients. DOTS provided by the health center was found to be the least cost-effective, which is interesting as it is the WHO recommended model of practice within the current DOTS package. Khan et al.(18), reported that although selfadministered treatment is the most cost-effective, it had lower cure rates compared to DOTS delivered by CHWs. On the other hand, Prado et al.(20) reported that although the DOTS performed by the tutors was the most cost effective compared to the DOTS provided by the CHWs. The DOTS carried out by the CHWs included not only providing treatment but also providing primary health education, vaccination, monitoring of risk groups and other social services in benefit of persons with TB and their families. Therefore, DOTS provided by the community demonstrates that it is an alternative that can be used by tuberculosis control programs.

In relation to the training that the CHWs received, this was very varied, but it was mainly focused on the implementation of the interventions. Only 37.7% of the studies conducted training for CHWs so that they can perform their functions. However, most of these studies only limited themselves to instructing them to perform a specific function within the research study. Reyes et al.(34) was the only included study that carried out a training program for community promoters for the prevention and control of tuberculosis in Mexico. This study empowered its promoters through educational and participatory workshops to improve understanding of the disease and about the prevention and treatment of the disease.

In our review we identified 8 studies that mentioned using the community-based participatory research (CBPR) approach. However, only 4 of these studies involved the community in all phases of the research and allowed to establish a closer link between the community and academic researchers(10, 35-37). Research with CBPR methodology is based on the principles of participatory and equitable community participation in all research processes and shared ownership of problem identification, development, and research products(12, 38-40). The fundamental principles of the CBPR approach are as follows: recognition of the community as a unique identity, builds on the strengths and resources of the community itself, promotes collaborative/equitable teamwork, facilitates mutual learning and capacity building through a

process of continuous empowerment , integrates and establishes a balance between the generation of knowledge and action, a cyclical and iterative process is used, it allows evaluation by addressing health problems in the community with a comprehensive and ecological approach, it disseminates results to everyone: the academia, local governments, NGOs and community members.

These principles are adapted to the sociocultural context of the communities (9, 10). studies with a CBPR approach, academic researchers often establish a community advisory board (or CAB), which is made up of community members who represent the voices of the community regarding their perceptions, preferences, talents, etc. and priorities. These community partners can be community health centers, public health departments, schools, prisons, and civil society organizations such as neighborhood organizations(9, 41, 42). A case of collaborative partnership with a CBPR approach is a study we conducted in the population affected by TB in Peru. We carried out a collaborative community intervention between the Universidad Peruana Cavetano Heredia. Ohio State University and the Association of Tuberculosis Patients of Comas [ASET] (Comas, district of Peru with a high rate of tuberculosis)(43). In the first phase, we carried out an empowerment program for ASET through research training for CHW in key aspects such as research methodology, research ethics, principles of the CBPR approach and on the technique and implementation of a study with the use of photovoice. In the second phase, an intervention directed by the CHW was implemented in the population affected by tuberculosis in their community through the use of the photovoice technique with the objective of evaluating the impact of the social determinants of health on tuberculosis in their community.

The inclusion of strategic research partnerships that allow the establishment of an equal relationship between the community and academic researchers requires time and financial resources(44). In low- and middle-income countries, CHWs are a key component of the health workforce to achieve the Millennium Development Goals(45). However, CHWs face many challenges such as high turnover in their functions, low motivation, inadequate supervision, lack of resources available so that they can carry out their functions, insufficient compensation or incentives, and little recognition and involvement on the part of health care providers. All of this limits their ability to contribute effectively to primary health care(46).

Among the reported functions of CHWs is providing health education (increasing awareness and knowledge about tuberculosis), detection and active search for cases, and patient follow-up(47). However, CHWs have not only been involved in the aforementioned functions, but have often solved problems that are ignored by TB programs, such as providing personalized moral comfort, nutritional support, and stigma reduction for patients affected by tuberculosis(48). Among the motivating factors for CHW are satisfaction for helping people affected by tuberculosis (feeling of prestige related to helping the neighbor), having a good relationship with health workers, respect for the community and the personal benefit they find by learning new information about tuberculosis and general health. The latter was the main motivating factor for CHWs as it empowered both them and the patients about their health and that of their community(47). In addition, CHWs can decrease the stigma of tuberculosis

disease because it by drawing on their own personal experiences about the disease they can increase openness and decrease stigma among people(4, 34, 49).

The sustainability of interventions are those programs that continue to be implemented after a defined period of time while they are adapted and adapted to continue producing benefits for people(50, 51). Sustainability is an important component for the implementation and dissemination of interventions. health interventions and for the evaluation of their effects in the medium and long term. However, it is frequently poorly documented (38, 52). Lwilla et al.(53) mentioned that the DOT provided by CHWs was practical and sustainable because it allowed optimizing the time of some health workers for other duties, especially in an environment with a large increase in notification of tuberculosis cases. reported that at 6 years, community-based tuberculosis care was maintained despite the increasing number of patients requiring care. In addition, through this time the number of CHWs increased. However, the replicability of this model varies across community settings. In more complex urbanized settings, a barrier encountered is that health services are managed without the support of community organizations. Therefore, interventions with active community participation depend on the availability of organized community-based structures that can provide their resources and support health systems. Similarly, Wieland et al. (38) conducted a case study reporting the sustainability of a tuberculosis prevention and control program (case detection) at the adult education center of the Rochester Public Schools district. . They report that this intervention has been sustained for 8 years due to the collaborative work of the education center, public health department, and the Rochester Healthy Community Association, whose mission is to promote health through a CBPR approach. On the other hand, Han et al.(55) conducted a descriptive study in which they mention that a community-based tuberculosis prevention program has greater potential for sustainability by training CHW to form social mobilization working groups that they can train more volunteers and allow the program to be maintained through the years. Therefore, community participation has been shown to lead to significant changes in tuberculosis control. The community's confidence and empowerment in their own resources and capacities allows them to work differently to address complex problems such as tuberculosis.

TB programs that consider the community as an important part of their activities need to establish sustained communication (system for contacting volunteers) and frequent contact with the community organization. In addition, the CHWs must receive feedback on the progress of the program, as well as be involved in any changes or new initiatives. In addition, the empowerment of CHWs allows them to train new volunteers to carry out the activities(6).

Strengths and limitations

451

452

453 454

455

456

457

458

459

460 461

462 463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478 479

480

481 482

483

484

485

486

487 488

489

490

491

492

493

494 495 This scoping review has some limitations. We made an identification of studies published in English (97.69%) and Spanish (2.31%) because the largest source of evidence is presented in those languages. We do not rule out the possibility of having studies that meet our eligibility criteria and that have been published in other languages. However, a comprehensive search was performed in recognized health databases, a gray literature search was performed, and studies were collected through the literature search and contacting authors for more information. In addition, a critical evaluation of the design of the included studies was not

carried out, which limits the evaluation and characterization of the quality and certainty of the evidence that makes it possible to visualize the methodological bases of the current evidence on this topic. It would be important that this be evaluated in future systematic reviews. However, we believe that our study, by synthesizing current evidence on interventions or studies in which the community has taken an active part in their development and/or implementation, adds additional value for local and local tuberculosis prevention and control programs. global organizations consider the community as a fundamental part of the strategies to achieve their goals of eradicating tuberculosis(56).

Future research

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504 505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513514

515 516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523 524

525

526

527

528 529

530

531

532533

534

535536537

Based on our scoping review, we have identified that there is a paucity of information from studies that comply with the basic principles of CBPR in the population affected by tuberculosis. In addition, more studies are required to evaluate the cost effectiveness and sustainability of community participation interventions in tuberculosis control in the long term. Strategic CBPR research partnerships can mobilize and organize larger-scale community efforts to establish policies that enable social and economic policy change needed to achieve health equity(9, 57, 58).

Conclusions

Direct community involvement in tuberculosis prevention and control in research with a community-based participatory research (CBPR) approach has not been consistently reported. However, according to our analysis, the studies found show that the active participation of the community presents a positive tendency towards the strengthening of programs for the prevention and control of tuberculosis. In addition, the participation of key members of the community not only strengthens the capacities of the health systems to generate strategies and action plans for the prevention and control of tuberculosis, but also allows a better understanding of the disease from the perspective of the patient by identifying barriers and opportunities along the cascade of care for patients affected by tuberculosis in the 'Global South'. This scoping review also makes it possible to show that there is a need to carry out studies with the CBPR approach in the population affected by tuberculosis due to the large social component, such as the social determinants of health, that impacts this disease. This seems to indicate that studies are required that restructure the focus of a traditional investigation to one with a CBPR approach, where the population is considered as an end for the objectives of the study. The active participation of communities in co-creating solutions goes beyond the biomedical sphere and offers a critical path for regional and local governments in the fight to eradicate tuberculosis.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge all the support provided by Dr. Anna Biszaha who provided training to develop this study.

Supplementary material

- 538 S1 file. PRISMA ScR checklist
- 539 S2 file. List of scientific journals
- 540 S3 file. Search terms and keywords

S4 file. Search strategies in each database and information repository.

References

544

- 545 1. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2020. Available
- 546 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/336069/9789240013131-eng.pdf.
- 547 2. Pedrazzoli D, Boccia D, Dodd PJ, Lönnroth K, Dowdy DW, Siroka A, Kimerling ME, White
- 548 RG, Houben RMGJ. Modelling the social and structural determinants of tuberculosis:
- opportunities and challenges. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2017 Sep 1;21(9):957-964. doi:
- 550 10.5588/ijtld.16.0906. PMID: 28826444; PMCID: PMC5566999.
- 551 3. Duarte R, Lönnroth K, Carvalho C, Lima F, Carvalho ACC, Muñoz-Torrico M, Centis R.
- 552 Tuberculosis, social determinants and co-morbidities (including HIV). Pulmonology. 2018 Mar-
- 553 Apr;24(2):115-119. doi: 10.1016/j.rppnen.2017.11.003. Epub 2017 Dec 21. PMID: 29275968.
- 554 4. Balogun M, Sekoni A, Meloni ST, Odukoya O, Onajole A, Longe-Peters O, et al. Trained
- 555 community volunteers improve tuberculosis knowledge and attitudes among adults in a
- periurban community in southwest Nigeria. The American journal of tropical medicine and
- 557 hygiene. 2015;92(3):625-32.
- 558 5. WHO: Community contribution to TB care: practice and policy. In WHO. Geneva: World
- 559 Health Organization; 2003.
- 560 6. Arshad A, Salam RA, Lassi ZS, Das JK, Nagvi I, Bhutta ZA. Community based
- 561 interventions for the prevention and control of tuberculosis. Infectious diseases of poverty.
- 562 2014;3(1).
- 563 7. Organización Mundial de la S. Engage-TB: integración de las actividades comunitarias
- de lucha contra la tuberculosis en el trabajo de las organizaciones no gubernamentales y otras
- organizaciones de la sociedad civil: manual de aplicación. Ginebra: Organización Mundial de la
- 566 Salud; 2014 2014.
- 567 8. TB Alliance. [cited October 16, 2022]. Available in:
- 568 <u>https://www.tballiance.org/about/mission.</u>
- 569 9. Harris DA, Pensa MA, Redlich CA, Pisani MA, Rosenthal MS. Community-based
- 570 Participatory Research Is Needed to Address Pulmonary Health Disparities. Ann Am Thorac
- 571 Soc. 2016 Aug;13(8):1231-8. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201601-054PS. PMID: 27249657.
- 572 10. Brunette MJ, Curioso WH. Sistemas de salud móvil integrados: Rol de los factores
- 573 socioculturales y el enfoque de sistemas sociotécnico. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica.
- 574 2017;34(3):544-50.
- 575 11. Israel BA, Schulz AJ, Parker EA, Becker AB. Review of community-based research:
- assessing partnership approaches to improve public health. Annu Rev Public Health.
- 577 1998;19:173-202. doi: 10.1146/annurev.publhealth.19.1.173. PMID: 9611617.
- 578 12. Salimi Y, Shahandeh K, Malekafzali H, Loori N, Kheiltash A, Jamshidi E, Frouzan AS,
- 579 Majdzadeh R. Is Community-based Participatory Research (CBPR) Useful? A Systematic Review
- on Papers in a Decade. Int J Prev Med. 2012 Jun;3(6):386-93. PMID: 22783464; PMCID:
- 581 PMC3389435.
- 582 13. Musa BM, Iliyasu Z, Yusuf SM, Uloko AE. Systematic review and metanalysis on
- 583 community based interventions in tuberculosis care in developing countries. Nigerian journal
- of medicine: journal of the National Association of Resident Doctors of Nigeria.
- 585 2014;23(2):103-17.
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, Moher D, Peters MDJ,
- 587 Horsley T, Weeks L, Hempel S, Akl EA, Chang C, McGowan J, Stewart L, Hartling L, Aldcroft A,
- 588 Wilson MG, Garritty C, Lewin S, Godfrey CM, Macdonald MT, Langlois EV, Soares-Weiser K,
- Moriarty J, Clifford T, Tunçalp Ö, Straus SE. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-
- 590 ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018 Oct 2;169(7):467-473. doi:
- 591 10.7326/M18-0850. Epub 2018 Sep 4. PMID: 30178033.
- 592 15. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: Towards a Methodological Framework. Int J Soc
- 593 Res Methodol. 2005;8:19–32. doi: 10.1080/1364557032000119616. .

- 594 16. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology.
- 595 Implement Sci. 2010 Sep 20;5:69. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-69. PMID: 20854677; PMCID:
- 596 PMC2954944.
- 597 17. George AS, Mehra V, Scott K, Sriram V. Community Participation in Health Systems
- 598 Research: A Systematic Review Assessing the State of Research, the Nature of Interventions
- 599 Involved and the Features of Engagement with Communities. PLoS One. 2015 Oct
- 600 23;10(10):e0141091. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141091. PMID: 26496124; PMCID:
- 601 PMC4619861.
- 602 18. Khan MA, Walley JD, Witter SN, Imran A, Safdar N. Costs and cost-effectiveness of
- different DOT strategies for the treatment of tuberculosis in Pakistan. Directly Observed
- Treatment. Health Policy Plan. 2002 Jun;17(2):178-86. doi: 10.1093/heapol/17.2.178. PMID:
- 605 12000778.
- 606 19. Sinanovic E, Floyd K, Dudley L, Azevedo V, Grant R, Maher D. Cost and cost-
- 607 effectiveness of community-based care for tuberculosis in Cape Town, South Africa. The
- international journal of tuberculosis and lung disease : the official journal of the International
- Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. 2003;7(9 Suppl 1):S56-62.
- 20. Prado TN do, Wada N, Guidoni LM, Golub JE, Dietze R, Maciel ELN. Cost-effectiveness
- of community health worker versus home-based guardians for directly observed treatment of
- 612 tuberculosis in Vitória, Espírito Santo State, Brazil. Cad Saúde Pública. mayo de 2011;27:944-
- 613 52.
- 614 21. Tuberculosis en las Américas. Informe regional 2020. Washington, D.C.: Organización
- Panamericana de la Salud; 2021. Licencia: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- 616 https://doi.org/10.37774/9789275324479.
- 617 22. Yassin MA, Datiko DG, Tulloch O, Markos P, Aschalew M, Shargie EB, et al. Innovative
- 618 community-based approaches doubled tuberculosis case notification and improve treatment
- outcome in Southern Ethiopia. PloS one. 2013;8(5):e63174.
- 620 23. Banerjee A, Sharma BV, Ray A, Kannuri NK, Venkateswarlu TV. Acceptability of
- traditional healers as directly observed treatment providers in tuberculosis control in a tribal
- area of Andhra Pradesh, India. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2004;8(10):1260-5.
- 623 24. Dewi C, Barclay L, Wilson S, Passey M. An asset-based intervention with tuberculosis
- 624 groups in rural Indonesian villages: Overview and lessons learned. Community Dev J.
- 625 2018;53(2):340-57.
- 626 25. Oshi DC, Omeje JC, Oshi SN, Alobu IN, Chukwu NE, Nwokocha C, Emelumadu OF,
- 627 Ogbudebe CL, Meka AO, Ukwaja KN. An evaluation of innovative community-based approaches
- 628 and systematic tuberculosis screening to improve tuberculosis case detection in Ebonyi State,
- 629 Nigeria. Int J Mycobacteriol. 2017 Jul-Sep;6(3):246-252. doi: 10.4103/ijmy.ijmy_91_17. PMID:
- 630 28776522.
- 631 26. Fox GJ, Loan le P, Nhung NV, Loi NT, Sy DN, Britton WJ, et al. Barriers to adherence
- 632 with tuberculosis contact investigation in six provinces of Vietnam: a nested case-control
- study. BMC infectious diseases. 2015;15:103.
- 634 27. Morishita F, Garfin AM, Lew W, Oh KH, Yadav RP, Reston JC, Infante LL, Acala MR,
- 635 Palanca DL, Kim HJ, Nishikiori N. Bringing state-of-the-art diagnostics to vulnerable
- 636 populations: The use of a mobile screening unit in active case finding for tuberculosis in
- 637 Palawan, the Philippines. PLoS One. 2017 Feb 2;12(2):e0171310. doi:
- 638 10.1371/journal.pone.0171310. PMID: 28152082; PMCID: PMC5289556.
- 639 28. Lorent N, Choun K, Thai S, Kim T, Huy S, Pe R, van Griensven J, Buyze J, Colebunders R,
- Rigouts L, Lynen L. Community-based active tuberculosis case finding in poor urban
- 641 settlements of Phnom Penh, Cambodia: a feasible and effective strategy. PLoS One. 2014 Mar
- 642 27;9(3):e92754. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092754. PMID: 24675985; PMCID: PMC3968028.
- 643 29. Corbett EL, Bandason T, Duong T, Dauya E, Makamure B, Churchyard GJ, Williams BG,
- 644 Munyati SS, Butterworth AE, Mason PR, Mungofa S, Hayes RJ. Comparison of two active case-
- 645 finding strategies for community-based diagnosis of symptomatic smear-positive tuberculosis

- and control of infectious tuberculosis in Harare, Zimbabwe (DETECTB): a cluster-randomised
- 647 trial. Lancet. 2010 Oct 9;376(9748):1244-53. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61425-0. PMID:
- 648 20923715; PMCID: PMC2956882.
- 649 30. Oshi DC, Chukwu JN, Nwafor CC, Meka AO, Madichie NO, Ogbudebe CL, et al. Does
- 650 intensified case finding increase tuberculosis case notification among children in resource-poor
- 651 settings? A report from Nigeria. Int J Mycobacteriology. 2016;5(1):44-50.
- 652 31. Colvin C, Mugyabuso J, Munuo G, Lyimo J, Oren E, Mkomwa Z, et al. Evaluation of
- 653 community-based interventions to improve TB case detection in a rural district of Tanzania.
- 654 Global health, science and practice. 2014;2(2):219-25.
- 655 32. Datiko DG, Yassin MA, Tulloch O, Asnake G, Tesema T, Jamal H, et al. Exploring
- 656 providers' perspectives of a community based TB approach in Southern Ethiopia: Implication
- for community based approaches. BMC health services research. 2015;15(1).
- 658 33. Becx-Bleumink M, Wibowo H, Apriani W, Vrakking H. High tuberculosis notification and
- 659 treatment success rates through community participation in central Sulawesi, Republic of
- 660 Indonesia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2001 Oct;5(10):920-5. PMID: 11605885.
- 661 34. Reyes González MP, Álvarez Gordillo GdC. Formación de promotores para la
- prevención y control de la tuberculosis en la región fronteriza de Chiapas, México.
- 663 35. Boffa J, Mayan M, Ndlovu S, Mhlaba T, Williamson T, Sauve R, et al. The role of agency
- 664 in the implementation of Isoniazid Preventive Therapy (IPT): Lessons from oMakoti in
- uMgungundlovu District, South Africa. PloS one. 2018;13(3):e0193571.
- 666 36. Wieland ML, Weis JA, Yawn BP, Sullivan SM, Millington KL, Smith CM, et al. Perceptions
- of tuberculosis among immigrants and refugees at an adult education center: a community-
- based participatory research approach. Journal of immigrant and minority health.
- 669 2012;14(1):14-22.
- 670 37. Wieland ML, Nelson J, Palmer T, O'Hara C, Weis JA, Nigon JA, et al. Evaluation of a
- 671 tuberculosis education video among immigrants and refugees at an adult education center: A
- 672 community-based participatory approach. J Health Commun. 2013;18(3):343-53.
- 673 38. Wieland ML, Nigon JA, Weis JA, Espinda-Brandt L, Beck D, Sia IG. Sustainability of a
- 674 Tuberculosis Screening Program at an Adult Education Center Through Community-Based
- 675 Participatory Research. Journal of public health management and practice: JPHMP.
- 676 2019;25(6):602-5.
- 677 39. Turusbekova N, Popa C, Dragos M, van der Werf MJ, Dinca I. Strengthening TB
- 678 infection control in specialized health facilities in Romania using a participatory approach.
- 679 Public health. 2016;131:75-81.
- 680 40. Wieland ML, Weis JA, Olney MW, Alemán M, Sullivan S, Millington K, et al. Screening
- 681 for tuberculosis at an adult education center: results of a community-based participatory
- process. American journal of public health. 2011;101(7):1264-7.
- 683 41. Chen E, Leos C, Kowitt SD, Moracco KE. Enhancing Community-Based Participatory
- 684 Research Through Human-Centered Design Strategies. Health Promot Pract. 2020 Jan;21(1):37-
- 48. doi: 10.1177/1524839919850557. Epub 2019 May 25. PMID: 31131633.
- 686 42. Ward M, Schulz AJ, Israel BA, Rice K, Martenies SE, Markarian E. A conceptual
- 687 framework for evaluating health equity promotion within community-based participatory
- research partnerships. Eval Program Plann. 2018 Oct;70:25-34. doi:
- 689 10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2018.04.014. Epub 2018 Apr 30. PMID: 29894902; PMCID:
- 690 PMC6077092.
- 691 43. Chávez-Rimache L., Ugarte-Gil, C., Brunette, M. (2022). Empowering community health
- workers via health equity research training in TB prevention and control. The Union World
- 693 Conference on Lung Health.
- 694 44. Brunette M. Moving the needle on global health equity: a look back from 2030. Arch
- 695 Environ Occup Health. 2021;76(3):121-122. doi: 10.1080/19338244.2021.1892922. PMID:
- 696 33908319.

- 697 45. Global Health Workforce Alliance (2010) Integrating Community Health Workers in
- 698 National Health Workforce Plans. Geneva: WHO.
- 699 46. Zulu JM, Kinsman J, Michelo C, Hurtig AK. Developing the national community health
- assistant strategy in Zambia: A policy analysis. Health Res Policy Syst. 2013;11(1).
- 701 47. Thomas C, Newell JN, Baral SC, Byanjankar L. The contribution of volunteers to a
- 702 successful community-orientated tuberculosis treatment centre in an urban setting in Nepal: a
- qualitative assessment of volunteers' roles and motivations. Journal of health organization and
- 704 management. 2007;21(6):554-72.
- 705 48. Drabo M, Zerbo R, Berthe A, Ouedrago L, Konfe S, Mugishe E, et al. [Community
- involvement in tuberculosis care in three rural health districts of Burkina Faso]. Sante publique
- 707 (Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France). 2009;21(5):485-97.
- 708 49. Seutloali T, Napoles L, Bam N. Community health workers in Lesotho: Experiences of
- 709 health promotion activities.
- 710 50. Moore JE, Mascarenhas A, Bain J, Straus SE. Developing a comprehensive definition of
- 711 sustainability. Implement Sci. 2017 Sep 2;12(1):110. doi: 10.1186/s13012-017-0637-1. PMID:
- 712 28865479; PMCID: PMC5581411.
- 713 51. Neta G, Glasgow RE, Carpenter CR, Grimshaw JM, Rabin BA, Fernandez ME, Brownson
- RC. A Framework for Enhancing the Value of Research for Dissemination and Implementation.
- 715 Am J Public Health. 2015 Jan;105(1):49-57. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2014.302206. PMID: 25393182;
- 716 PMCID: PMC4265905.
- 717 52. Wiltsey Stirman S, Kimberly J, Cook N, Calloway A, Castro F, Charns M. The
- 718 sustainability of new programs and innovations: a review of the empirical literature and
- recommendations for future research. Implement Sci. 2012 Mar 14;7:17. doi: 10.1186/1748-
- 720 5908-7-17. PMID: 22417162; PMCID: PMC3317864.
- 721 53. Lwilla F, Schellenberg D, Masanja H, Acosta C, Galindo C, Aponte J, Egwaga S, Njako B,
- 722 Ascaso C, Tanner M, Alonso P. Evaluation of efficacy of community-based vs. institutional-
- 723 based direct observed short-course treatment for the control of tuberculosis in Kilombero
- 724 district, Tanzania. Trop Med Int Health. 2003 Mar;8(3):204-10. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-
- 725 3156.2003.00999.x. PMID: 12631309.
- 726 54. Dudley L, Azevedo V, Grant R, Schoeman JH, Dikweni L, Maher D. Evaluation of
- 727 community contribution to tuberculosis control in Cape Town, South Africa. Int J Tuberc Lung
- 728 Dis. 2003 Sep;7(9 Suppl 1):S48-55. PMID: 12971654.
- 729 55. Han WW, Saw S, Isaakidis P, Khogali M, Reid A, Hoa N, Zaw KK, Aung ST. Different
- challenges, different approaches and related expenditures of community-based tuberculosis
- activities by international non-governmental organizations in Myanmar. Infect Dis Poverty.
- 732 2017 Mar 24;6(1):59. doi: 10.1186/s40249-017-0263-9. PMID: 28335801; PMCID:
- 733 PMC5364660.
- 734 56. Pan American Health Organization. Sustainable development goals (SDG) 3 Target 3.3
- 735 End the transmission of communicable diseases such as HIV, malaria, Tb and neglected
- 736 diseases PAHO/WHO | Pan American Health Organization [Internet]. [citado 1 de noviembre
- 737 de 2022]. Disponible en: https://www.paho.org/en/sdg-3-target-3-
- 738 3?topic=All&d%5Bmin%5D=&d%5Bmax%5D=&page=1.
- 739 57. Izumi BT, Schulz AJ, Israel BA, Reyes AG, Martin J, Lichtenstein RL, Wilson C, Sand SL.
- 740 The one-pager: a practical policy advocacy tool for translating community-based participatory
- research into action. Prog Community Health Partnersh 2010;4:141–147.
- 742 58. Israel BA, Coombe CM, Cheezum RR, Schulz AJ, McGranaghan RJ, Lichtenstein R, Reyes
- AG, Clement J, Burris A. Community-based participatory research: a capacity-building approach
- 744 for policy advocacy aimed at eliminating health disparities. Am J Public Health 2010;100:2094-
- 745 2102.

746