perpetuity. preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364) this version posted January 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

The genetic architecture of changes in adiposity during adulthood

Samvida S. Venkatesh 1,2* , Habib Ganjgahi 3 , Duncan S. Palmer 2,4 , Kayesha Coley 5 , Laura B. L. Wittemans^{2,4}, Christoffer Nellaker^{2,4}, Chris Holmes^{3,6,7}, Cecilia M. Lindgren^{1,2,4,8}, George Nicholson³*

 1 Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, UK 2 Big Data Institute at the Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, UK ³Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, UK

⁴Nuffield Department of Women's and Reproductive Health, Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, UK

⁵ Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Leicester, UK

 6 Nuffield Department of Medicine, Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, UK

⁷The Alan Turing Institute, London, UK

⁸Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

1 **Abstract**

¹³ Introduction

14 Obesity, the accumulation of excess body fat^1 which is associated with increased disease burden^{2, 3}, has a 15 strong genetic component⁴. The heritability of body mass index (BMI) is estimated to be 40%-70%^{4–6}, and 16 genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have implicated over 1,000 independent loci associated with a 17 range of obesity traits⁴. The dynamic process of change in weight over time is also thought to have a genetic 18 component^{7, 8}. Recent studies reveal the shifting genetic landscape of infant, childhood, and adolescent BMI, 19 which detect age-specific transient effects by performing age-stratified GWASs⁹⁻¹¹. Adult twin studies¹²⁻¹⁴ 20 aNGTEnTbleetreninerheeltelnowcesebr(EHhR)htangetbeoopeltifiedbuneely¹⁵viewdiedeboullandtbeuneelno guidechinicalfochuiege

*Corresponding authors: samvida@well.ox.ac.uk, george.nicholson@stats.ox.ac.uk

 in adiposity are heritable and have a distinct genetic component to baseline obesity levels. However, less is known about the specific variants and genes that contribute to patterns of adulthood adiposity change. This paucity of GWASs of long-term trajectories of weight change can be partially attributed to the challenges in 24 building and maintaining large-scale genetics cohorts that follow participants over their lifetime¹⁶.

25 Longitudinal data are a key feature of EHRs, whose increased adoption in the clinic and integration into 26 biobanks has powered cost-efficient and scalable genetics research^{17, 18}. Despite biases in EHR data, includ-27 ing sparsity, non-random missingness, data inaccuracies, and informed presence, EHR-based genetics studies 28 reliably replicate results from purpose-built cohorts^{19–21}. Recent advances in the extraction of phenotypes 29 from longitudinal EHRs at scale show that, as expected^{22, 23}, the mean of repeat quantitative measurements 30 can outperform cross-sectional phenotypes for genetic discovery^{24, 25}. Repeat measurements further allow for 31 the estimation of longitudinal metrics of trait change, such as trajectory-based clusters²⁶, linear slope²⁷, and 32 within-individual variability over time²⁸, all of which may provide additional information to uncover the genetic 33 underpinnings of disease.

34 A variety of approaches are available for harnessing the longitudinal component of trajectories in EHR data. 35 Simple models target the gradient of a linear fit over time, such as in a longitudinal linear mixed-effects 36 model framework^{28–30}. More complex regression modelling approaches are employed to investigate non-linear 37 changes over time. For example, semiparametric regression models³¹ generate flexible longitudinal patterns 38 from combinations of basis functions, such as B-splines, regularised to induce a suitable degree of temporal 39 smoothness $32-35$. Subgroups of individuals with similar non-linear trajectories are often identified through 40 clustering approaches, with subgroup membership then tested for association with clinical outcomes or genetic 41 variation^{36–41}. Although it is possible to fit full joint models that incorporate both genetic data and longitudinal 42 trajectories simultaneously²⁸, two-stage approaches wherein summary metrics from models of longitudinal 43 EHRs are taken forward for genetic association analyses are popular for their computational efficiency²⁷.

44 In this study, we leveraged longitudinal primary care EHRs linked to the UK Biobank (UKBB) cohort⁴² to study the genetic architecture of change in adiposity over adulthood. We developed a two-stage analytical pipeline, utilising statistical methods with a history of application in the EHR data context, to derive linear and non-linear trajectories of BMI and weight over time, and to identify clusters of individuals with similar adiposity trajectories. In the second stage, we carried forward the latent phenotypes from these models, which capture both baseline obesity trait levels and change in obesity traits over time, to perform the largest reported genome-wide association analyses for adiposity-change in adulthood. Our results demonstrate the power and added value of EHR-derived longitudinal phenotypes for genetic discovery.

perpetuity. preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364) this version posted January 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

⁵² Results

53 Longitudinal data help identify novel genetic signals for obesity

 We obtained BMI and weight records for up to 177,098 individuals of white British ancestry with up to 1.48 million measurements in UKBB longitudinal records from general practitioner (GP) and UKBB assessment centre measurements (Table 1 and Supp. Fig. 3). For each individual, we then estimated linear change in BMI or weight over time using a linear mixed-effects model including random intercepts and random 58 longitudinal gradients (Figure 1A) within six strata—defined as the six pair-wise combinations of the two traits (BMI, weight) with the three sex subsets (women, men, combined sexes).

Figure 1: Modelling of longitudinal obesity trait trajectories. (A) Weight trajectories over time, measured as years from first measurement, in a random sample of 12 individuals in the sex-combined strata. Black points display observed weight records, with blue and pink lines representing predicted fits from linear mixed effects models and regularised high-dimensional spline models respectively. (B) Trajectories of cluster centroids, plotted as standardised (std.) and covariate-adjusted (adj.) weight over time (years from first measurement), for the four clusters determined via partitioning-around-medoids (PAM) clustering with a customised distance matrix (see Methods) constructed from the high-dimensional B-spline coefficients estimated in (A). (C) Weight trajectories over time for a random sample of individuals in the 99th percentile probability of belonging to each cluster, as determined by parametric bootstrap. The lines display predicted fits and ribbons represent 95% confidence intervals around the mean fit.

- 60 We first investigated whether the individual-level random intercept terms outputted by the longitudinal linear
- 61 mixed-effects (LME) model, by sharing information across multiple BMI measurements, provided higher sta-
- 62 tistical power for GWAS than one based on a single, cross-sectional BMI measurement per individual. Despite
- 63 our GWAS being 4-fold smaller than the largest published analyses⁴³, we identify 14 novel loci and refine
- 64 53 previously described signals for obesity traits among the 374 unique fine-mapped lead single nucleotide
- 65 polymorphisms (SNPs) $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$ across all strata (Figure 2A and (Supp. Table 2), see Methods

66 for conditional analysis to classify novel, refined, and reported $SNPs^{44}$). The 53 refined SNPs are condition-67 ally independent of and represent stronger associations ($P < 0.05$) than published SNPs in this population. 68 Together, the refined and novel SNPs explain 0.33% of variance in baseline BMI (in addition to the 2.7% 69 explained by previously published SNPs), and 0.83% of variance in baseline weight (in addition to the 4.7% 70 explained by previously reported SNPs) (Figure 2B). We further quantified the power gained from estimat-71 ing baseline BMI over repeat longitudinal measurements per individual by comparing genome-wide significant 72 (GWS) SNPs from our baseline BMI GWAS to the largest published BMI meta-analysis to date⁴³. We observe 73 an increase in median chi-squared statistics of GWS SNPs from either study of between 13.4% (females) to 74 14.8% (males) in our GWAS over what would be expected from a cross-sectional GWAS of equivalent sample 75 size.

76 $\,$ Four of the 14 novel SNPs replicate at $P < 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ (family-wise error rate (FWER) controlled at 5% across 77 14 tests using the Bonferroni method) in UKBB assessment centre measurements of cross-sectional obesity 78 in up to 230,861 individuals not included in the discovery GWAS (Supp. Table 3). One such novel variant 79 is rs7962636 ($\beta = 0.023$ standard deviation (SD) increase in expected baseline weight, $P = 3.7 \times 10^{-12}$), 80 whose nearest gene $MED13L$ is a transcriptional regulator of white adipocyte differentiation⁴⁵. Twelve of the 81 $\,$ 14 novel SNPs have a significant $(P\,<\,3.6\times10^{-3})$ association with an obesity-related trait in published 82 GWASs that include non-UKBB participants (Supp. Table 3). These include rs2861761, whose nearest gene 83 TENM2 is enriched in white adipocytes⁴⁶, rs13059102, whose nearest gene BCHE encodes the liver enzyme 84 butyrylcholinesterase that is associated with obesity via its effect on the ghrelin pathway⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹, rs11156978 85 whose nearest gene CHD8 is associated with impaired glucose tolerance in mouse knockouts⁵⁰, and rs17794645. 86 whose nearest gene is $ERCCA$, deficiency of which is associated with decreased body weight in mice⁵¹.

 Ascertainment bias in our discovery cohort could arise from the over-representation of heavier participants in $\,$ EHR data ($\,$ Supp. $\,$ Table 4) 52 . On average, women with ten or more weight measurements are 8.3 kg (3.7 units of BMI) heavier than their counterparts with 1-3 measurements; for men, this is an 8.2 kg (3.1 units of BMI) difference. However, the BMI intercept metric from our longitudinal data is genetically perfectly correlated 91 with the un-ascertained cross-sectional BMI in Genetic Investigation of ANthropometric Traits (GIANT) 2019⁴³ $(r_G=1$ and $P < 1 \times 10^{-16}$ in all strata), and 96% of the GWS associations $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$ identified in our GWAS have either been reported, or are correlated with reported obesity-associated SNPs in the GWAS Catalog^{53} (Supp. Table 1).

95 $APOE$ missense variant rs429358 is associated with weight loss over time, inde-96 pendent of baseline obesity

97 To identify genetic variants that affect change in adiposity over time, we performed GWASs for patterns 98 of BMI and weight change adjusted for baseline measurements, defined in two ways. First, we created

 a linear phenotype from subject-specific random gradients, estimated within a linear mixed-effects model framework, adjusted for corresponding random intercepts, and transformed with a rank-based inverse normal transformation (see Methods). To capture non-linear patterns of temporal change, we additionally modelled 102 longitudinal variation in obesity traits using a regularised high-dimensional B-spline basis³¹ (Figure 1). Within 103 each of the six strata, we identified four clusters of individuals using k -medoids clustering^{54,55}, representing 104 high gain (k1), moderate gain (k2), stable (k3), and loss (k4) trajectories (**Figure 1** and **Supp. Fig. 5**). We then estimated each individual's probability of belonging to a cluster based on their posterior non-linear obesity trait trajectory. We performed GWASs on the logit-transformed posterior probabilities of k1, k1 and k2 (high and moderate gain clusters), and k1, k2, and k3 (all but the loss cluster) membership, adjusted for the baseline obesity trait (see Methods).

109 A common missense variant in APOE (rs429358) is associated with decrease in both BMI and weight over 110 time, and lower posterior probabilities of gain-cluster membership in all analysis strata (Table 2). Each copy 111 of the minor C allele of rs429358 (minor allele frequency (MAF)=0.16) is associated with 0.060 SD decrease (95% confidence interval (CI)=0.050-0.069, $P = 8.6 \times 10^{-35}$ in expected BMI slope over time and 0.063 SD 113 decrease (0.054-0.072, $P = 6.0 \times 10^{-42}$) in expected weight slope over time (Figure 3A). Independent of 114 baseline obesity, carriers of the minor C allele of rs429358 are at lower odds of membership in the high-gain BMI 115 and weight clusters (odds ratio (OR)=0.976, 95% CI=0.97-0.98, $P < 4.9 \times 10^{-19}$), lowering the membership 116 posterior probability from 40% to 39% on average (Figure 3B). Although the minor allele of rs429358 is also 117 associated with lower baseline BMI ($\beta = 0.015$ SD lower BMI intercept, 95% CI=0.0054-0.024) and weight 118 $(\beta = 0.011$ SD lower weight intercept, 95% CI=0.0029-0.020), these associations do not reach genome-wide 119 significance in any analysis strata $(P > 0.002)$.

The association of rs429358 with adiposity-change phenotypes, across all strata, was replicated at $P < 5 \times 10^{-3}$ 120 121 in up to 17,035 individuals in UKBB with multiple measurements of weight and BMI at repeat assessment 122 centre visits who were excluded from the discovery set (Supp. Table 5). Based on 301,943 UKBB participants 123 who reported weight change in the last year as "gain", "about the same", or "loss", and who were not included 124 in the discovery GWAS, we found that carriers of each additional copy of the minor C allele of rs429358 are at 125 0.956 (95% CI=0.94-0.97) lower odds of being in a higher ordinal weight-gain category, independent of their 126 BMI (Figure 3C and Supp. Table 6). We observe consistent effect direction of the rs429358 association 127 with both estimated and self-reported weight loss over time in individuals who self-identify as Asian (maximum 128 N=8,324 individuals), Black (6,796), mixed (2,681), white not in the white-British ancestry subset (47,174), 129 and other (3,994) ethnicities (see Methods for ancestral group definitions, **Supp. Fig. 1** and **Supp. Table** 130 7).

131 Finally, we tested for the effect of rs429358 on change in abdominal adiposity in up to 44,154 individuals of 132 white British ancestry in UKBB who were not in the discovery set, with repeated assessment centre measure-133 ments of waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR). Each copy of the C allele is associated with

134 0.040 SD decrease (95% CI=0.021-0.049, $P=2.3\times10^{-5}$) in expected WC slope over time and 0.031 SD 135 decrease (0.012-0.050, $P=1.1\times 10^{-3})$ in expected WHR slope over time, independent of baseline values 136 (Figure 3D and Supp. Table 6). While the effect direction remains consistent, these associations are no 137 longer significant upon adjustment for BMI (all $P > 0.1$), suggesting that the observed loss in abdominal 138 adiposity over time may represent a reduction in overall adiposity.

139 The APOE locus is a highly pleiotropic region that is associated with lipid levels^{56, 57}. Alzheimer's dis-140 ease^{58, 59}, and lifespan^{60, 61}, among other traits⁶². Excluding the 242 individuals with diagnoses of dementia 141 or Alzheimer's disease in our replication datasets did not alter associations of rs429358 with any of the longi-142 tudinal obesity traits (Supp. Fig. 2), indicating that they are unlikely to be driven solely by weight loss that 143 accompanies dementia. We additionally performed a longitudinal phenome-wide scan to test for the associ-144 ation of rs429358 with changes in 45 quantitative biomarkers obtained from the UKBB-linked primary care 145 records. Each copy of the C allele is associated with an increase in expected slope change over time of total 146 cholesterol ($\beta=0.030$ SD increase, $P=6.4\times10^{-12}$), C-reactive protein (CRP) ($\beta=0.026,$ $P=9.6\times10^{-7}$), 147 and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol ($\beta=0.022, \, P=1.0\times 10^{-5}$), but a decrease in expected slope 148 change over time of triglycerides ($\beta=-0.027$, $P=2.7\times10^{-7}$), potassium ($\beta=-0.023$, $P=3.9\times10^{-6}$), 149 lymphocytes ($\beta = -0.020$, $P = 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$), and haemoglobin concentration ($\beta = -0.016$, $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$) 150 (FWER controlled at 5% across 45 tests via the Bonferroni method) (Figure 3E and Supp. Table 8).

151 Genome-wide architecture of change in adiposity over time is sex-specific and 152 distinct from baseline adiposity

153 We identify six independent genetic loci associated with distinct longitudinal trajectories of obesity traits by 154 performing GWAS on individuals' posterior probabilities of membership in the high gain cluster (k1), high and 155 moderate gain clusters (k1 and k2), or no loss (clusters k1, k2, and k3), adjusted for baseline obesity trait 156 (Table 2). This included the APOE locus and five signals in intergenic regions. rs9467663 (OR=1.011 for 157 membership in the high-gain weight cluster, $P=1.6\times10^{-9}$) and chr6:26076446 (OR=1.012 for membership in 158 the high-gain BMI cluster, $P=2.1\times10^{-9}$), are reported associations with haematological traits⁶³. We identify 159 two SNPs, rs11778922 and rs61955499, with female-specific effects on BMI change. rs11778922 (OR=0.984 160 for membership in the high-gain BMI cluster, $P=1.3\times10^{-8}$, sex-heterogeneity $P_{sex-het}=5.8\times10^{-4}$, 161 see Methods) has previously been nominally associated with BMI in females⁴³, and rs61955499 (OR=1.070) 162 for membership in the BMI loss cluster, $P~=~3.4\times10^{-8},~P_{sex-het}~=~4.7\times10^{-5}),$ has previously been 163 nominally associated with low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels⁶⁴. Finally, rs12953815 is associated 164 with male-specific weight change (OR=1.012 for membership in the weight loss cluster, $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-8}$, 165 $P_{sexhet} = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$) and has been previously nominally associated with lung function⁶⁵.

¹⁶⁶ The smaller number of independent GWS associations with adiposity change: 6, compared to 374 unique

 lead SNPs associated with baseline obesity traits, which is expected given the 7- to 9-fold lower heritability of $\;$ adiposity change. The heritability explained by genotyped SNPs $(h_G^2)^{66}$ of the posterior probability of belonging $\;$ to an adiposity-gain cluster is between 1.38% in men to 2.82% in women, while the h_G^2 of baseline obesity traits 170 varies between 21.6% to 29.0% across strata (Figure 4). Furthermore, we observe that the heritability of BMI 171 and weight trajectories are higher in women than in men (2.89% vs 1.05% for BMI slopes, $P_{\text{sexhet}} = 0.012$; $\,$ and 3.42% vs 1.69% for weight slopes, $P_{sexhet}=$ $9.9\times10^{-3})$. We do not observe a corresponding difference $\,$ in the h_G^2 of baseline BMI or weight between the sexes $(P_{searchet} > 0.1).$ Finally, baseline and change in obesity 174 traits are genetically correlated, with r_G ranging from 0.35 (95% CI=0.24-0.45) for weight in women to 0.91 175 (0.59-1.23) for BMI in men (Figure 4). While the genetic correlation between baseline adiposity and adiposity change appears to be higher in men as compared to women, these estimates have wide confidence intervals 177 (overlapping 1) and $P_{sexhet} > 0.05$ for both BMI and weight.

 Throughout this study, we evaluate both BMI and weight as obesity traits, and expect these to track closely in adults as height does not change significantly over time. In the 161,891 individuals in our discovery strata with multiple measurements of both BMI and weight, there is a strong correlation between the slopes for 181 weight and BMI change ($r^2 = 0.88$) and between the posterior probabilities of membership in the BMI-gain 182 and weight-gain clusters ($r^2=0.73)$ (Supp. Table 9, all $P < 1 \times 10^{-16}$). Moreover, the genetic correlation 183 between change in BMI and weight is nearly perfect (r_G for slope terms=0.98, r_G for posterior probability 184 of membership in gain cluster=0.95, all $P < 1 \times 10^{-16}$), indicating that the genetic architecture highlighted here is robust to the metric of adiposity used to define trajectories.

Discussion

 In this large-scale EHR- and genetics-based study of longitudinal trajectories of obesity traits, we demonstrate that modelling multiple observations across time increases power to identify genome-wide signals for baseline BMI and weight and enables the discovery of genetic variants associated with changes in adiposity, which are less heritable than and only partially shared with baseline adiposity. Modelling 1.5 million observations of BMI and weight from >177,000 individuals across time enabled us to identify 14 novel, biologically plausible, genetic signals associated with obesity traits. The discovery of these novel loci highlights that repeat measurements can contribute to narrowing the "missing heritability" gap. Leveraging the bespoke longitudinal adiposity phenotypes developed here, we find six genetic loci associated with changes in BMI and weight over time. While previous studies have investigated the associations of cross-sectional BMI SNPs or obesity polygenic 196 scores with adiposity trajectories^{15, 68}, to the best of our knowledge, this study reports the first genome-wide scan of variants associated with obesity trait trajectories over adulthood.

 Accounting for the influence of genetic variation on adiposity change may provide opportunities to personalise 199 obesity prevention and treatment^{69, 70}. While several studies have investigated the association between BMI-

200 related genetic variants and weight loss guided by medical⁷¹, surgical^{72, 73}, dietary⁷⁴, or behavioural^{71, 75–77} interventions, results are inconsistent across studies, intervention types, and genes assessed. Given our evidence that the genetic basis of adiposity change is distinct from baseline levels, we hypothesise that genetic variants associated with longitudinal weight trajectories may be better predictors of long-term weight change following treatment or lifestyle interventions than variants associated with baseline BMI. Moreover, incorporating infor- mation on the genetic signals associated with adiposity trajectories will complement current genetics-based 206 strategies to identify genes for pharmaceutical targets⁷⁸ for obesity treatment.

 Leveraging EHR to derive longitudinal metrics for genetic discovery may be affected by various biases described 208 earlier⁷⁹. However, the robustness of our results suggests that our discovery dataset may have mitigated against these biases in three ways: (1) While EHR data over-represent sick patients and individuals with higher BMI, UKBB participants are, on average, healthier and have lower BMIs than the population of the 211 United Kingdom (UK)⁸⁰. Therefore our UKBB-linked EHR discovery cohort is more overweight than a random sampling of UKBB, but in contrast UKBB itself is ascertained towards lower-BMI individuals than a random sampling of the UK. (2) Appending the more accurate UKBB assessment centre measurements to the EHR data improves overall data quality. (3) Stringent quality control at both the population and individual level reduces the signal to noise ratio by filtering out a subset of inaccurate data entries. Linking EHRs with biobank data may therefore provide a robust framework for genetic discovery.

 The two-stage nature of our approach to associate genetic variants with longitudinal trajectories of obesity traits is highly advantageous because of its computational efficiency and convenience. In particular, our method is composable, as the longitudinal analysis of raw data can first be performed separately using a choice of popular, efficient implementations of models; the first-stage outputs can then be taken forward to a GWAS performed in its own bespoke, highly optimised software. The two-stage method approximates the fitting of a full joint model incorporating raw measurement data and genome-wide SNP data. While a full joint model would propagate posterior uncertainty from the longitudinal sub-model through to the GWAS, the approximation here takes forward a single point estimate, i.e. a best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) or posterior probability of cluster membership, to GWAS. However, in EHR datasets, the number of measurements, and hence estimation precision, can vary across individuals. Hence, the propagation of uncertainty between model components, in 227 a similar vein to Markov melding, has the potential to further increase power for genetic discovery. An interesting area for future research will be to allow for the principled propagation of posterior uncertainty in inputted traits through to the highly optimised, multi-locus, mixed-model GWAS methods to perform genetic 230 association in the presence of relatedness and population stratification⁸².

 It is also important that the choice of trajectory metric utilised in genetic analysis is phenotype-aware. While the variance within an individual's trait value over time may capture meaningful biology for biomarkers such as 233 blood pressure or triglycerides, whose fluctuations are associated with disease development and progress^{83,84}, 234 weight is a more stable trait which shows a steady pattern of change over many years^{85, 86}. Our adiposity-

 change metrics, derived from regression models incorporating linear and non-linear temporal trends, are better suited to identify the genetic component of BMI and weight trajectories, and are robust to the manner in which this is defined. For example, many of the lead SNPs from our obesity-change GWASs are also associated with 238 self-reported weight change, despite self-report being an imprecise metric⁸⁷.

239 In particular, rs429358 (missense variant in APOE) is robustly associated with loss in BMI and weight, inde-240 pendent of baseline obesity, across men and women, in individuals of various ancestral groups. APOE codes 241 for apolipoprotein E, which is a core component of plasma lipoproteins that is essential for cholesterol trans-242 port and homeostasis in several tissues across the body, including the central nervous system, muscle, heart, 243 liver, and adipose tissue^{88, 89}. The precise pathway by which this variant affects weight change is difficult 244 to pinpoint, as APOE is a highly pleiotropic locus associated with hundreds of biomarkers and diseases⁶². 245 Here too, we find an association between rs429358 and changes in 11 biomarkers over time. Obesity is cross-246 sectionally associated with several of these, including positive correlations with levels of triglycerides and total 247 cholesterol^{90, 91}, markers of chronic inflammation⁹², and haematological traits⁹³, and negatively correlated 248 with levels of HDL cholesterol^{90, 91} and potassium⁹⁴; however, the longitudinal and causal nature of these 249 associations remain to be established. As rs429358 is also the strongest genetic risk factor for Alzheimer's 250 disease^{58, 59}, which is preceded by weight loss⁹⁵, we ensured that our findings were robust to the exclusion of 251 individuals with dementia. We hypothesise that the APOE effect on weight loss may act through cholesterol-252 and lipid-metabolism pathways that partly determine response to dietary and environmental factors, as seen in 253 mouse models^{96, 97}. Indeed, it has recently been suggested that APOE-mediated cholesterol dysregulation in 254 the brain may influence the onset and severity of Alzheimer's disease⁹⁸, suggesting that ageing-associated sys-255 temic aberrations in cholesterol homeostasis could have far-ranging consequences from weight loss to cognitive 256 decline.

 Patterns of weight change in mid-to-late adulthood have been observed to be sex-specific, particularly as 258 women undergo significant changes in weight and body fat distribution around menopause⁹⁹. Here, we find that the heritability of changes in obesity traits is significantly higher in women than in men, supporting a previous finding that obesity polygenic scores are more strongly associated with weight-change trajectories in 261 women than in men⁶⁸. This is in contrast to baseline obesity, which is equally heritable in men and women, 262 both in our study and as previously reported 43 . The lower genetic correlation between baseline obesity and obesity-change in women as compared to men, while not statistically significant, may nevertheless indicate sex- differential genome-wide contributions to these phenotypes. We hypothesise that sex hormones could explain some of this sex-specificity, particularly through their role in altering overall obesity and fat distribution around 266 menopause^{100, 101}. We were under-powered to study the genome-wide architecture of change in adult WC and WHR (ten-fold fewer observations than BMI and weight), whose cross-sectional levels are genetically sex-268 specific with higher heritability in women⁴³, so more work is needed to disentangle the genetic contribution to changes in adult body fat distribution over time.

 While the EHR-linked UKBB cohort has driven genetic discovery for a vast array of human traits in popula-271 tions of European ancestry¹⁰², sample sizes remain under-powered to detect genome-wide associations in other ancestral groups. We were thus limited to replicating European-ancestry associations in other populations, without the ability to discover ancestry-specific variants associated with adult adiposity trajectories. Further- more, despite the inclusion of >200,000 individuals in the first release of the UKBB EHR data, sample sizes remain low to analyse the genetics of longitudinal trajectory metrics, which have lower heritability than the 276 averaged trait value^{15, 103} (∼7-9x lower in our study) and are thus more challenging to characterise genetically without corresponding increases in sample size. Another limitation of our study was the exclusion of time- varying covariates, such as medication use, smoking status, and other dietary and environmental covariates from models of adiposity change. It is challenging to extract time-dependent values of these variables from EHRs and difficult to ascertain the direction of causality by which these covariates may be associated with weight change. For example, the use of statins to lower blood pressure may be connected to weight gain, 282 mediated indirectly by change in appetite¹⁰⁴, but high blood pressure may itself be a consequence of weight 283 gain¹⁰⁵. Inappropriate adjustments along this causal pathway may lead to unexpected collider biases¹⁰⁶. In general, despite their longitudinal nature, it is challenging to assign causality to the associations between weight change and covariates or disease diagnoses from EHR observations alone, as there is no prospective 286 study design to follow¹⁰⁷. Advances in emulating randomised control trials from longitudinal EHR are begin-287 ning to overcome these challenges^{108, 109}, and in the future, it will be critical to incorporate information on genetic risk into these simulated studies.

 To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study to date that characterises the genome-wide architecture of adult adiposity trajectories, and the first to identify specific variants that alter BMI and weight in mid- to late-adulthood. We add evidence to support the growing utility of EHRs in genetics research, and particularly highlight opportunities for incorporating longitudinal information to boost power and identify novel associa-293 tions. In particular, the APOE-associated weight loss identified here contributes to a growing body of evidence on the ageing-associated effects of cholesterol dysregulation. Heterogeneity between men and women in the genome-wide architecture of obesity-change and genetic correlation with baseline obesity highlights the impor- tance of distinguishing between the genetic contributions to mean and lifetime trajectories of phenotypes in sex-specific analyses. In the future, the growing integration of EHR with genetic data in large biobanks will al- low us to assess the time-varying associations of rare variants with outsize effects on quantitative traits, as well as to establish genetic and phenotypic relationships among the trajectories of multiple correlated biomarkers across adulthood.

perpetuity. preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364) this version posted January 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

³⁰¹ Methods

302 Identification and quality control of longitudinal obesity records

303 **UK Biobank.** This study was conducted using the UKBB resource, which is a prospective UK-based cohort 304 study with approximately 500,000 participants aged 40–69 years at recruitment, on whom a range of medical, 305 environmental, and genetic information has been collected⁴². Here, we included 409,595 individuals in the 306 white British ancestry subset identified by Bycroft et al ¹¹⁰ who passed genotype quality control (QC) (see 307 below).

 Repeat obesity trait measurements. Obesity-associated traits including BMI and weight were recorded at initial baseline assessment (between 2006-2010), as well as at repeat assessments of 20,345 participants (between 2012-13), and at imaging assessments of 52,596 participants (in 2014 and later). We curated a longitudinal research resource by integrating these repeat UKBB assessment centre measurements with the 312 interim release of primary care records provided by GPs for approximately 45% of the UKBB cohort (230,000 313 participants, randomly selected)¹¹¹ (Supp. Fig. 3). Each individual with at least one BMI record (coded as Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) code 22K..) or weight record (coded as CPRD code 22A..) in the GP data had their respective UKBB assessment centre measurements appended. Following phenotype and genotype QC, this resulted in 162,666 participants of white British ancestry with multiple BMI measurements 317 and 177,472 participants with multiple weight measurements (Supp. Fig. 3).

318 Quality control. We performed both population-level and individual-level longitudinal QC. Participants with 319 codes for history of bariatric surgery (Supp. Table 10, as identified by Kuan et al .¹¹²) were excluded entirely, 320 while BMI and weight observations up to the date of surgery were retained for individuals where this could 321 be determined. Only those measures recorded in adulthood (ages 20 - 80 years) were retained. We excluded 322 implausible observations, defined as more extreme than $+/- 10\%$ of the UKBB asessment centre minimum 323 and maximum values respectively (BMI < 10.9 kg/m² or > 82.1 kg/m² and weight < 27 kg or > 217 kg). 324 We further removed any extreme values > 5 SDs away from the population mean to exclude possible technical 325 errors. At the individual level we excluded multiple observations on the same day, which are likely to be 326 recording errors, by only retaining the observation closest to the individual's median value of the trait across 327 all time-points. Finally, we excluded any extreme measurements on the individual level. For individual i with 328 J_i data points represented as (measurement, age) pairs $(y_{i,j}, t_{i,j})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, J_i$ ordered chronologically, 329 i.e. $t_{i,1}<\ldots < t_{i,J_i}$, a "jump" $P_{i,j}$ for $j=1,\ldots,J_i-1$ was defined as:

$$
P_{i,j} = \log_2 \frac{|y_{i,j+1} - y_{i,j}|/y_{i,j}}{t_{i,j+1} - t_{i,j}}
$$

 We removed data points associated with extreme jumps (> 3 SDs away from the population mean jump, to exclude possible technical errors) by excluding the observation farther from the individual's median value of the trait across all time-points.

333 BMI and weight validation data. Participants with BMI and weight observations in UKBB assessment centre measurements who were not included in the interim release of the GP data were held out of discovery 335 analyses (Supp. Fig. 3). This resulted in 245,447 individuals with at least one BMI observation and 230,861 individuals with at least one weight observation for replication of cross-sectional results. For the replication of longitudinal results, a subset of individuals was used comprising 17,006 individuals with multiple observations of BMI, and 17,035 individuals with multiple observations of weight, from repeat assessment centre visits.

339 Self-reported weight change data. At each UKBB assessment centre visit, participants were asked the question: "Compared with one year ago, has your weight changed?", reported as "No - weigh about the same", "Yes - gained weight", "Yes - lost weight", "Do not know", or "Prefer not to answer". We coded the 1-yr self-reported weight change response at the first assessment centre visit as an ordinal categorical variable with three levels: "loss", "no change", and "gain", excluding individuals who did not respond or responded with "Do not know" or "Prefer not to answer". We retained 301,943 individuals of white British ancestry that were not included in any of the discovery analyses.

Abdominal adiposity data. Similar to the BMI and weight validation datasets, we retained the 44,154 participants with multiple WC and hip circumference (HC) records across repeat assessment centre visits who were not included in the interim release of the GP data, and hence held out of discovery analyses. WHR was calculated at each visit by taking the ratio of WC to HC. We further calculated WC adjusted for BMI (WCadjBMI) and WHR adjusted for BMI (WHRadjBMI) values at each visit for which WC, HC, and BMI were recorded simultaneously by taking the residual of WC and WHR in linear regression models with BMI as the sole predictor.

Models to define baseline adiposity and adiposity change traits

354 Individual i has J_i data points represented as (measurement, age) pairs $(y_{i,j}, t_{i,j})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, J_i$ ordered $\,$ chronologically, i.e. $t_{i,1} < \ldots < t_{i,J_i}.$ The following models are all fitted separately in three strata: female-specific, male-specific, and sex-combined.

357 Intercept and slope traits for GWAS. We implement a two-stage algorithm to estimate and preprocess local intercept and slopes of obesity traits to be taken forward to GWAS in both discovery and validation datasets.

- 360 1. Fit random-slope, random-intercept mixed model with the maximum likelihood estimation procedure in 361 $\,$ the <code>1me4 113 </code> package in R 114 . We target two quantities: the baseline value of each individual's clinical 362 trait (the $\beta_0 + u_{i,0}$ below); and the the linearly approximated rate of change in the trait during each
- 363 individual's measurement window (the $\beta_1 + u_{i,1}$ below):

$$
y_{i,j} = x_i^T \gamma + (\beta_0 + u_{i,0}) + (\beta_1 + u_{i,1}) \cdot (t_{i,j} - t_{i,1}) + \varepsilon_{i,j}
$$

\n
$$
u_{i,k} \sim \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma_{u,k}^2), \qquad k = 1, 2
$$

\n
$$
\varepsilon_{i,j} \sim \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2),
$$
\n(1)

364 \qquad where individual-specific covariates x_i comprise: baseline age, (baseline age) 2 , data provider, year of 365 birth, and sex. Variance parameters $\sigma_{u,k}^2$ and σ_ε^2 are estimated. Fitting model (1) outputs fixed effect 366 $\qquad \quad$ model estimates $\hat{\gamma}$, $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ and BLUPs of the random effects $\hat{u}_{i,0}$ and $\hat{u}_{i,1}$.

367 2. Linearly adjust and transform the outputted BLUPs. We fit and subtract the linear predictor in each of 368 the linear models:

$$
\hat{u}_{i,0} = x_{0,i}^T \gamma_0 + \varepsilon_{0,i} \tag{2}
$$

$$
\hat{u}_{i,1} = x_{1,i}^T \gamma_1 + \varepsilon_{1,i} \tag{3}
$$

 $\,$ where the intercept-adjusting covariates $x_{0,i}$ in (2) comprise: baseline age, (baseline age) 2 , sex, year of birth, assessment centre, number of follow-ups, and total length of follow-up (in years). Slope-adjusting 371 covariates $x_{1,i}$ in (3) comprise the same as $x_{0,i}$ but additionally include the intercept BLUP $\hat{u}_{i,0}$. We finally apply a deterministic rank-based inverse normal transformation¹¹⁵ to the residuals from fitting models (2) and (3). For example, the intercept trait for individual i taken forward to GWAS is

$$
\tilde{u}_{i,1} = \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{r(\hat{u}_{i,1} - x_{0,i}^T \hat{\gamma}_0) - c}{N - 2c + 1}\right)
$$
\n(4)

374 $\qquad\qquad$ where $r(\hat{u}_{i,1}-x_{0,i}^T\hat{\gamma_0})$ is the rank of the i th residual among all N residuals, the offset c is 0.5, and $\Phi(\cdot)$ 375 is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the standard Gaussian distribution.

376 Modelling nonlinear trajectories with regularised splines. We model non-linear changes in obesity traits 377 using a regularised B-spline basis of degree 3 (i.e., a cubic spline model) with $n_{df} = 100$ degrees of freedom, 378 incorporating $n_{df}-4$ (i.e., $n_{df}-3$ [degree]−1 [intercept]) knots that are spaced evenly across each individual's 379 first $T = 7500$ post-baseline days ≈ 20.5 years. It is common practice in semi-parametric regression to use 380 regularised splines with a relatively large number of knots, thereby allowing functional expressiveness without 381 $\,$ overfitting $^{31,116}.$ Conditional on the spline coefficients, b_i , the likelihood for measurements y_i (individual i 's

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) . perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364) this version posted January 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted

382 $\;\; J_i$ -vector of measurements taken at days $t_{i,1},\ldots,t_{i,J_i})$ is

$$
p(\boldsymbol{y}_i \mid \boldsymbol{b}_i, \sigma^2) = \text{MVN}(\boldsymbol{y}_i \mid \boldsymbol{Z}_i \boldsymbol{X}_B \boldsymbol{b}_i, \ \boldsymbol{I} \sigma^2)
$$
\n⁽⁵⁾

383 where: the n_{df} -vector b_i contains the *i*th individual's spline basis coefficients; X_B is the $(T + 1) \times n_{df}$ matrix 384 of spline basis functions evaluated at days $0, \ldots, T$ post-baseline; and \mathbf{Z}_i is a $J_i \times (T+1)$ matrix whose jth 385 row extracts day $t_{i,j} - t_{i,1}$ post-baseline, i.e.,

$$
[\boldsymbol{Z}_{i}]_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = t_{i,j} - t_{i,1} + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

386 We specify an order-1 autoregressive $(AR(1))$ model as a smoothing prior on spline coefficients, b_i , which vary 387 $\,$ smoothly around an individual-specific mean value, $\mu_i.$ On μ_i we specify a non-informative prior: $\,$ N $(\mu_i \mid 0, \sigma_{\mu}^2)$ 388 with large SD σ_{μ} . The resulting μ_i -marginalised prior for \bm{b}_i is

$$
p(\mathbf{b}_i) = \text{MVN}(\mathbf{b}_i \mid \mathbf{0}, \ \Sigma_B)
$$
\n
$$
\Sigma_B := \Sigma_{AR(1)} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I}
$$
\n
$$
[\Sigma_{AR(1)}]_{k,k'} := \sigma_{AR(1)}^2 \phi^{|k-k'|},
$$
\n(6)

389 where: $\Sigma_{AR(1)}$ is the $n_{df} \times n_{df}$ autocovariance matrix implied by an $AR(1)$ model with lag-1 autocorrelation 390 $\phi\in[0,1)$ and scale parameter $\sigma^2_{AR(1)}>0;$ and $\vec{\bf 1}$ is an $n_{\sf df}\times n_{\sf df}$ matrix of ones.

391 The prior at (6) and likelihood at (5) are a specific case of the Bayes linear model¹¹⁷, for which the posterior 392 is available in closed form:

$$
p(\mathbf{b}_i \mid \mathbf{y}_i, \Sigma_B, \sigma^2) = \text{MVN}(\mathbf{b}_i \mid \mathbf{m}_i, \ \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}_i)
$$

$$
\mathbf{V}_i := \left(\mathbf{X}_B^T \mathbf{Z}_i^T \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{X}_B + \Sigma_B^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{m}_i := \mathbf{V}_i \mathbf{X}_B^T \mathbf{Z}_i^T \mathbf{y}_i.
$$
 (7)

 $\,$ The posterior at (7) can be evaluated separately and in parallel across individuals because the (\bm{y}_i, \bm{b}_i) are $\,$ conditionally independent across individuals i given the hyperparameters $\sigma^2_{AR(1)}$, ϕ , σ_μ and σ^2 . Values of hyperparameters in the smoothing prior are chosen subjectively, via visualisation of randomly selected samples $\,$ of individual data trajectories, to reflect empirical levels of smoothness: $\sigma^2_{AR(1)}:=2.5,\,\phi:=0.99,\,\sigma_\mu:=100$ 397 (Supp. Fig. 4). We additionally compared cluster allocations for 5,000 randomly selected individuals across $\,$ the following settings of hyperparameters: $(\sigma^2_{AR(1)}:=0.5,\ \phi:=0.9,\ \sigma_\mu:=10)$, $(\sigma^2_{AR(1)}:=2.5,\ \phi:=0.99,$ $\sigma_{\mu}:=100$), and $(\sigma_{AR(1)}^2:=10,\, \phi:=0.999,\, \sigma_{\mu}:=500)$ (Supp. Fig. 8).

400 For each trait separately, we set σ^2 to the median of its individual-specific maximum likelihood estimates

401 (MLEs), i.e., σ^2 := median $\left\{\frac{1}{J_i}||y_i-Z_i\bm{X}_B\bm{m}_i||_2^2:i=1,\ldots,n\right\}$ where each MLE is calculated from (5) 402 after substituting for b_i its maximum a posteriori estimate, m_i from (7) (Supp. Table 12).

 $\,$ The measurements \bm{y}_i inputted into the likelihood for the regularised spline model at (5) are pre-processed by taking the standardised residual from the linear model with the following covariates: baseline age, (baseline age)2, $\,$ data provider, year of birth, and sex, i.e. from the model $\bm y_{i,j} = x_i^T\gamma + \varepsilon_{i,j}$ fitted across all $i=1,\ldots,N$ 406 individuals and $j = 1, \ldots, J_i$ time points. Standardisation of residuals then proceeds by subtracting the mean and dividing by the SD of residuals across all individuals and time points.

408 We focus on individual i 's posterior change from baseline, i.e. on

$$
\tilde{b}_i := (0, u_{i,2} - u_{i,1}, u_{i,3} - u_{i,1}, \ldots)^T
$$
\n
$$
\equiv Db
$$

409 $\,$ where the j th row of \bm{D} is $(\bm{e}_j-\bm{e}_1)^T$ and \bm{e}_k is the k th basis vector, i.e. a column $n_{\sf df}$ -vector with zeroes 410 everywhere except the k th entry, which is one. To calculate the posterior for $\tilde{\bm{b}}_i$ we linearly transform the 411 posterior at (7) so that

$$
p(\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i \mid \boldsymbol{y}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_B, \sigma^2) = \text{MVN}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i \mid \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_i, \ \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{V}_i \boldsymbol{D}^T)
$$
(8)

412 with m_i and V_i defined at at (7).

413 Soft clustering of individuals by non-linear adiposity trajectory patterns. See Supp. Fig. 5 for an 414 overview of the clustering protocol.

 Any two individuals typically have quite distinct measurement profiles, with different numbers of measurements taken at ages which may be quite disparate. Therefore the precision with which we can estimate any particular spline coefficient varies across individuals. To incorporate this heteroscedasticity into our clustering framework, 418 we define the following scaled Euclidean distance between each pair of individuals (i,i') in the space of baselined spline basis coefficients:

$$
d(i, i') = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{df}} \frac{([Dm_i]_k - [Dm_i]_k)^2}{([DV_i D^T]_{k,k} + [DV_i D^T]_{k,k})\sigma^2}}
$$
(9)

420 $\,$ where \bm{m}_i and $\sigma^2\bm{V}_i$ are the posterior mean and covariance of individual i 's spine coefficients \bm{b}_i taken from 421 (7). For each spline coefficient k in (9), the squared difference between individuals' i and i' mean coefficients 422 is standardised by the sum of the corresponding variances.

423 We perform k -medoids clustering using the the Partitioning Around Medoids (PAM) algorithm^{54, 55} as im-424 $\,$ plemented in the pam function in the cluster package 118 in $\rm R^{114}$. We train cluster centroids on a randomly

425 selected subset of 80% of individuals in each analysis strata. We filter individuals in the training set to retain 426 only those with at least $L = 2$ observations. For a fixed number of clusters, $K = 4$, we initialize cluster 427 $\,$ membership according to bins $\mathcal{B}_{1:K}$ demarcated by the $0,\frac{1}{K},\frac{2}{K},\ldots,1$ empirical quantiles of the estimated 428 fold change in obesity trait between baseline and year $M = 2$:

$$
\mathcal{B}_k := \left[\hat{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right), \hat{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{K}\right)\right) \qquad k = 1, \dots, K
$$

$$
\hat{F}(\cdot) := \text{empirical CDF of } \left\{\frac{[X_B D m_i]_{M+1}}{[X_B D m_i]_1} : i = 1, \dots, N\right\}
$$
individual *i* in bin $k \Longleftrightarrow \frac{[X_B D m_i]_{M+1}}{[X_B D m_i]_1} \in \mathcal{B}_k$. (10)

429 To ensure robustness, we run the clustering algorithm $S = 10$ times, each on a random sub-sample of size 430 5,000 (without replacement). For each clustering output $s = 1, \ldots, S$, we calculate the point-wise mean of 431 each clusters' constituent individuals:

$$
\boldsymbol{c}_{k,s} := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_k^{(s)}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_k^{(s)}} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{m}_i \tag{11}
$$

432 For each clustering s, we observe all trajectories $c_{s,1:K}$ to be monotonic and non-overlapping (Supp. Fig. 433 6). We can therefore define ordered cluster means $c_{(k),s}$,

$$
k < k' \Longleftrightarrow [\mathbf{c}_{(k),s}]_j > [\mathbf{c}_{(k'),s}]_j \quad \forall j = 1,\ldots,n_{\mathsf{df}} ,
$$

434 and average the kth ordered mean across S clusterings, where the highest-weight cluster mean is given by $c_{(1)}$ 435 and the lowest by $c_{(K)}$:

$$
\boldsymbol{c}_{(k)} := \frac{1}{S}\sum_{s=1}^S \boldsymbol{c}_{(k),s} \ ,
$$

436 with corresponding point-wise standard errors (SEs). We investigate the sensitivity of the resulting clusters to 437 number of clusters K, filter parameter L (minimum number of measurements), and the cluster initialisation 438 parameter M appearing in (10) via silhouette values¹¹⁹, which evaluate the similarity between cluster members 439 (cohesion) vs others (separation) (Supp. Fig. 6). We test values of K from $2, \ldots, 8$, filtering parameter 440 $L \in (2, 5, 10)$, and initialisation parameter $M \in (1, 2, 5, 10)$ or random initialisation to choose a combination 441 of parameters that produces dense and separable clusters, i.e. $K = 4, L = 2, M = 2$. We also qualitatively 442 evaluate cluster centroids across all parameter settings (Supp. Fig. 7). Finally, we compared cluster 443 allocations over each of the 10 random trains for a set of 5,000 randomly sampled individuals held out of the 444 training splits (Supp. Fig. 9).

445 Once cluster centroids have been calculated, we define individual i's soft cluster membership probability of

446 belonging to cluster k as the posterior probability of being closest in Euclidean distance to cluster k 's centroid:

$$
\pi_{i,(k)} := \int \mathbb{I}\left(k = \underset{k'}{\text{argmin}} \, ||\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_{(k')}||_2\right) \text{MVN}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i \mid \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_i, \ \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{V}_i \boldsymbol{D}^T) d\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i\tag{12}
$$

447 where the second term in the integrand is the posterior from (7), and we approximate the integral in (12) 448 using 100 Monte Carlo samples from the posterior.

 Finally, we validate the clustering by comparing cluster properties of the randomly selected 80% training set used to define cluster centroids, with the held-out 20% validation set. We assign each individual to the cluster for which they have highest membership probability and compare the proportion of individuals assigned to each cluster, as well as distributions of sex, baseline age, number of follow-up measures, and total length of follow-up of individuals assigned to each cluster. These metrics are similar across training and validation sets 454 in all strata (Supp. Table 13).

455 Finally we take forward bounded logit-transformed cumulative cluster probabilities to GWAS. These outputs 456 are defined as bounded logit $(\pi_{i,(1)})$, bounded logit $(\pi_{i,(1)} + \pi_{i,(2)})$, and bounded logit $(\pi_{i,(1)} + \pi_{i,(2)} + \pi_{i,(3)})$, 457 i.e., the bounded log odds of being in the highest (k1), highest two (k1 or k2), and highest three (k1, k2 or 458 k3) weight clusters respectively. To prevent infinite log odds at $\pi \in \{0,1\}$ we defined the following bounded 459 logit transform¹²⁰:

$$
\text{bounded } \text{logit}(\pi) \equiv \text{logit}\left(\frac{(S-1)\pi + 0.5}{S}\right) \qquad \pi \in [0,1] \ ,
$$

460 where $S = 100$, the number of Monte Carlo samples from the posterior in approximating (12).

461 Genome-wide association studies

QC of UK Biobank genotyped and imputed data. Genotyping, initial genotype QC, and imputation were 463 performed by UKBB¹¹⁰. We performed post-imputation QC to retain only bi-allelic SNPs with MAF > 0.01 , $\,$ info score > 0.8 , missing call rate $< 5\%$, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) exact test $P > 1 \times 10^{-6}$. We additionally performed sample QC to exclude individuals with sex chromosome aneuploidies, whose self- reported sex did not match inferred genetic sex, with an excess of third degree relatives in UKBB, identified as heterozygosity or missingness outliers, excluded from autosome phasing or kinship inference, and any other 468 UKBB recommended exclusions¹¹⁰.

469 Linear mixed model association analyses for quantitative traits. The following association analyses are 470 all performed separately in three strata: female-specific, male-specific, and sex-combined. The intercept and 471 slope traits for GWAS, i.e. $\tilde{u}_{i,0}$ and $\tilde{u}_{i,1}$ were tested for association with genetic variants, adjusted for the 472 first 21 genetic principal components (PCs) and genotyping array, using the BOLT-LMM software⁸². A similar

473 $\,$ protocol was followed for the logit-transformed soft clustering probability traits, i.e. $\pi''_{i,1},\ \pi''_{i,2}$, and $\pi''_{i,3}$ with 474 $\,$ additional adjustments for baseline trait, baseline age, (baseline age) 2 , sex, year of birth, assessment centre, 475 number of follow-ups, and total length of follow-up (in years).

476 Fine-mapping SNP associations We identified putative causal variants at all GWS loci (defined by merging 477 $\,$ windows of 1.5 Mb around SNPs with $P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$, using <code>FINEMAP</code>¹²¹ to select variants (lead SNPs) with 478 a posterior inclusion probability > 95%. Lead SNPs were annotated to the nearest gene transcription start 479 site.

480 Classifying baseline BMI and weight SNPs as reported, refined, or novel obesity associations. We 481 curated a list of SNPs associated with any of 44 obesity-related traits in the GWAS Catalog⁵³ accessed 482 on 02 Nov 2021, henceforth referred to as "published obesity-associated variants" (Supp. Table 1). We 483 then conducted conditional analysis using GCTA-COJO¹²² for each lead SNP in our GWAS and published 484 obesity-associated variants within 500 kb, classifying variants as reported, refined, or novel based on previously 485 recommended criteria⁴⁴. Reported SNPs in our study are those whose effects are fully accounted for by 486 published obesity-associated variants within 500 kb. Refined SNPs fulfill all of the following criteria: (1) the 487 refined SNP is correlated (LD $r^2 \ge 0.1$) with at least one published obesity-associated variant within 500 kb, 488 (2) the refined SNP has a significantly stronger effect $(P < 0.05$ in a two-sample t-test for difference in mean 489 effect sizes) on the BMI- or weight-intercept trait than published obesity-associated SNPs and also accounts 490 for the effect of published obesity-associated SNPs in conditional analysis (conditional $P > 0.05$), and (3) 491 published obesity-associated SNPs cannot fully account for the effect of the refined SNP in conditional analysis 492 (conditional $P < 0.05$). Finally, a SNP in our study was declared novel if it was not in LD with $(r^2 < 0.1)$, 493 and conditionally independent of (conditional $P < 0.05$), all published obesity-associated variants within 500 494 kb.

495 Replication of GWS associations in UK Biobank hold-out sets

496 BMI and weight intercept-trait genetic associations. We created cross-sectional obesity phenotypes for 497 the 245,447 individuals in the hold-out set for BMI and 230,861 individuals in the hold-out set for weight 498 (Supp. Fig. 3) by retaining the observed trait value closest to the individual's median trait value (if multiple 499 observations present). Deterministic rank-based inverse normal transformation¹¹⁵ was applied to the residual 500 of the obesity trait adjusted for age, age², year of birth, data provider, and sex. We then tested this trait 501 for association with genetic variants, adjusted for the first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array, using the 502 BOLT-LMM software⁸².

 BMI and weight slope-trait genetic associations. We created adiposity slope phenotypes for the 17,006 individuals with multiple observations of BMI and 17,035 individuals with multiple observations of weight from 505 repeat assessment centre visits (Supp. Fig. 3) with BLUPs from linear mixed-effects models as described in the slope trait modelling section above. We tested for association of this slope trait with GWS variants associated with adiposity change in our discovery analyses, adjusted for the first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping 508 array, via the linear regression framework implemented in $PLINK^{123}$. As PLINK does not account for family 509 structure, we compared each pair of second-degree or closer related individuals (kinship coefficient > 0.0884)¹¹⁰ and excluded the individual in the pair having higher genotyping missingness. We repeated the same protocol within each self-identified ethnic group of individuals not of white British ancestry.

 Genetic associations with BMI and weight cluster probabilities. We fit regularised splines as detailed above to the 17,006 individuals with multiple observations of BMI and 17,035 individuals with multiple obser-514 vations of weight from repeat assessment centre visits (Supp. Fig. 3). Soft cluster membership probabilities 515 for these individuals were calculated, and the three logit-transformed π_i traits were carried forward for asso- ciation testing with GWS variants associated with adiposity change in our discovery analyses. As above, we pruned out second-degree or closer related individuals and performed association analysis, adjusted for baseline $\,$ trait, baseline age, (baseline age) 2 , assessment centre, first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array, via the linear 519 regression framework implemented in PLINK¹²³. We repeated the same protocol within each self-identified ethnic group of individuals not of white British ancestry.

 Genetic associations with self-reported weight change. We fit proportional odds logistic regression models $\,$ implemented in the MASS package 124 in $\rm R^{114}$ to estimate the additive effect of lead SNPs on self-reported one- year weight change coded as an ordinal categorical variable with three levels: "loss", "no change", and "gain" in 301,943 individuals (described in the data section above). All models were adjusted for BMI, age, sex, year of birth, data provider, assessment centre, first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array. We repeated the same protocol within each self-identified ethnic group of individuals not of white British ancestry.

Power comparison to GIANT 2019 meta-analysis of BMI

 We accessed publicly available summary statistics from the GIANT consortium's meta-analysis of BMI across UKBB and previous GIANT releases in female-specific (max N=434,793), male-specific (max N=374,755), 530 and sex-combined strata (max $N=806,834$)⁴³. SNPs included in both the GIANT 2019 meta-analysis and our in-house BMI-intercept GWAS that reached GWS in either study were carried forward for power comparisons, resulting in 26,812 (female-specific strata), 22,123 (male-specific strata), and 82,559 (sex-combined strata) $\,$ SNPs. Per variant, we calculated the χ^2 statistic (as $\frac{\beta^2}{SE^2})$ and obtained the ratio of $\chi^2_{in-house}$ to χ^2_{GIANT} .

to determine the boost in power over that expected from the sample size difference between the two studies.

rs429358 single-variant analyses

The following analyses were all conducted in female-specific, male-specific, and sex-combined strata.

 Abdominal adiposity change traits. Slope changes in WC, WHR, WCadjBMI, and WHRadjBMI for up to 44,154 individuals with repeat observations were calculated using linear mixed-effects models, adjusted and 540 rank-based inverse-normal transformed¹¹⁵ for genetic association testing as described in the slope modelling section above. We estimated the additive association of number of copies of the rs429358 minor allele (0, 1, or 2) with slope traits adjusted for the first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array via linear regression.

 Longitudinal phenome-wide association. We curated a longitudinal research resource for 45 additional quantitative phenotypes in up to 146,099 individuals of white British ancestry (Supp. Table 14, as identified 545 by Kuan et al^{125}) by integrating UKBB assessment centre measurements with the interim release of primary care records provided by GPs, with QC performed as described above for obesity traits. Slope changes in each of these phenotypes were calculated using linear mixed-effects models described in (1). A deterministic 548 rank-based inverse normal transformation¹¹⁵, as described in (4), was applied to the slope BLUP $\hat{u}_{i,1}$. The transformed slope trait was tested for additive association with number of copies of the rs429358 minor allele 550 (0, 1, or 2), adjusted for the intercept BLUP $\hat{u}_{i,0}$, baseline age, (baseline age)2, sex, year of birth, number of follow-ups, total length of follow-up (in years), assessment centre, first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array.

 Identification of individuals with Alzheimer's or dementia diagnoses. We identified participants with 553 codes for history or diagnosis of dementia in either primary care or hospital in-patient records (Supp. Table 15, 554 as identified by Kuan et aL^{112}). We performed sensitivity analyses for the replication of rs429358 associations with all obesity-change phenotypes after excluding up to 242 individuals of white British ancestry with recorded history or diagnosis of dementia.

SNP heritability and genetic correlations

 $\,$ We estimated the heritability explained by genotyped SNPs (h_G^2) and genetic correlations (r_G) between obesity- intercept and obesity-change traits, from summary statistics, using LD score regression implemented in the 560 LDSC software^{66, 126}, with pre-computed LD-scores based on European-ancestry samples of the 1000 Genomes 561 Project¹²⁷ restricted to HapMap3 SNPs⁶⁷. The same protocol was followed to determine r_G between BMI-intercept in our in-house study and BMI in the GIANT 2019 meta-analysis.

563 Sex heterogeneity testing

564 We tested for sex heterogeneity in the effects of adiposity-change lead SNPs by calculating Z-statistics and 565 corresponding P-values for the difference in female-specific and male-specific effects as:

$$
Z_{sexhet} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{(F)} - \hat{\beta}_{(M)})}{\sqrt{(SE_{(F)}^2 + SE_{(M)}^2)}}
$$

566 $\;$ A similar statistic and test was used to determine heterogeneity between (h_G^2) of all traits in males and females, 567 and r_G between obesity-intercepts and obesity-change traits in males and females.

568 Data and code availability

569 All generated summary statistics from GWAS will be made publicly available through the GWAS Catalog⁵³

570 upon publication. All code required to reproduce analyses are publicly available at: https://github.com/

571 lindgrengroup/longitudinal_primarycare/tree/main/adiposity/scripts/manuscript.

⁵⁷² Acknowledgements

 S.S.V. is supported by the Rhodes Scholarship, Clarendon Fund, and the Medical Sciences Doctoral Train- ing Centre at the University of Oxford. K.C. is supported by the University of Leicester (College of Life Sciences) and Health Data Research UK. L.B.L.W. is supported by the Wellcome Trust (221651/Z/20/Z). C.H. is supported by the Alan Turing Institute, the EPSRC grant Bayes4Health, Novartis, and Novo Nordisk. C.M.L. is supported by the Li Ka Shing Foundation, NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, NIH (1P50HD104224-01), Gates Foundation (INV-024200), and a Wellcome Trust Investigator Award (221782/Z/20/Z). The research was supported by the Wellcome Trust Core Award Grant Number 203141/Z/16/Z with additional support from the NIHR Oxford BRC. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 10844. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

⁵⁸³ Competing Interests

584 C.H. reports grants from Novo Nordisk and Novartis; C.M.L. reports grants from Bayer AG and Novo Nordisk 585 and has a partner who works at Vertex. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

References

- ¹ Bluher, M. Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Endocrinol 15, 288–298 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30814686.
- 2 Collaborators, G. B. D. O. et al. Health effects of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. N Engl J Med 377, 13–27 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28604169.
- 3 Must, A. et al. The disease burden associated with overweight and obesity. JAMA 282, 1523-9 (1999). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10546691.
- ⁴ Loos, R. J. F. & Yeo, G. S. H. The genetics of obesity: from discovery to biology. Nat Rev Genet 23, 120–133 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34556834.
- ⁵ Maes, H. H., Neale, M. C. & Eaves, L. J. Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. Behav Genet 27, 325-51 (1997). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 9519560.
- 6 Elks, C. E. et al. Variability in the heritability of body mass index: a systematic review and meta-regression. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 3, 29 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22645519.
- ⁷ Khera, A. V. et al. Polygenic prediction of weight and obesity trajectories from birth to adulthood. Cell 177, 587–596 e9 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31002795.
- 8 Hardy, R. et al. Life course variations in the associations between fto and mc4r gene variants and body size. Hum Mol Genet 19, 545–52 (2010). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19880856.
- ⁹ Silventoinen, K. et al. Changing genetic architecture of body mass index from infancy to early adulthood: an individual based pooled analysis of 25 twin cohorts. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 46, 1901-1909 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35945263.
- 10 Helgeland, O. et al. Characterization of the genetic architecture of infant and early childhood body mass index. Nat Metab 4, 344–358 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35315439.
- 11 Couto Alves, A. et al. Gwas on longitudinal growth traits reveals different genetic factors influencing infant, child, and adult bmi. Sci Adv 5, eaaw3095 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/31840077.
- 12 Hjelmborg, J. et al. Genetic influences on growth traits of bmi: a longitudinal study of adult twins. Obesity $(Silver Spring)$ 16, 847-52 (2008). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18239571.
- ¹³ Fabsitz, R. R., Sholinsky, P. & Carmelli, D. Genetic influences on adult weight gain and maximum body mass index in male twins. Am J Epidemiol 140, 711–20 (1994). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/7942773.
- ¹⁴ Austin, M. A. et al. Genetic influences on changes in body mass index: a longitudinal analysis of women twins. Obes Res 5, 326–31 (1997). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9285839.
- 15 Xu, J. et al. Exploring the clinical and genetic associations of adult weight trajectories using electronic health records in a racially diverse biobank: a phenome-wide and polygenic risk study. Lancet Digit Health 4, e604–e614 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35780037.
- ¹⁶ Shilo, S., Rossman, H. & Segal, E. Axes of a revolution: challenges and promises of big data in healthcare. Nat Med 26, 29–38 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31932803.
- ¹⁷ Wolford, B. N., Willer, C. J. & Surakka, I. Electronic health records: the next wave of complex disease genetics. Hum Mol Genet 27, R14–R21 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29547983.
- ¹⁸ Wei, W. Q. & Denny, J. C. Extracting research-quality phenotypes from electronic health records to support precision medicine. Genome Med 7, 41 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 25937834.
- ¹⁹ Gottesman, O. et al. The electronic medical records and genomics (emerge) network: past, present, and future. Genet Med 15, 761–71 (2013). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23743551.

- It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .
- 20 Monda, K. L. et al. A meta-analysis identifies new loci associated with body mass index in individuals of african ancestry. Nat Genet 45, 690–6 (2013). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 23583978.
- ²¹ Postmus, I. et al. Pharmacogenetic meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of Idl cholesterol response to statins. Nat Commun 5, 5068 (2014). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 25350695.
- ²² Chiu, Y. F., Justice, A. E. & Melton, P. E. Longitudinal analytical approaches to genetic data. *BMC Genet* 17 Suppl 2, 4 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26866891.
- ²³ Fan, R. et al. Longitudinal association analysis of quantitative traits. Genet Epidemiol **36**, 856–69 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22965819.
- ²⁴ Furlotte, N. A., Eskin, E. & Eyheramendy, S. Genome-Wide Association Mapping With Longitudinal Data. Genetic Epidemiology 36, 463–471 (2012). URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10. 1002/gepi.21640. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/gepi.21640.
- 25 Goldstein, J. A. et al. Labwas: Novel findings and study design recommendations from a meta-analysis of clinical labs in two independent biobanks. PLoS Genet 16, e1009077 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33175840.
- ²⁶ Justice, A. E. et al. Genome-wide association of trajectories of systolic blood pressure change. BMC Proc 10, 321–327 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27980656.
- 27 Gauderman, W. J. et al. Longitudinal data analysis in pedigree studies. Genet Epidemiol 25 Suppl 1, S18–28 (2003). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14635165.
- 28 Ko, S. et al. Gwas of longitudinal trajectories at biobank scale. Am J Hum Genet 109, 433-445 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35196515.
- ²⁹ Laird, N. M. & Ware, J. H. Random-Effects Models for Longitudinal Data. *Biometrics* 38, 963-974 (1982). URL https://www.jstor.org/stable/2529876. Publisher: [Wiley, International Biometric Society].
- 30 Xu, H. et al. High-throughput and efficient multilocus genome-wide association study on longitudinal outcomes. Bioinformatics 36, 3004–3010 (2020). URL https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btaa120.
- ³¹ Ruppert, D., Wand, M. P. & Carroll, R. J. Semiparametric Regression. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003). URL https://www. cambridge.org/core/books/semiparametric-regression/02FC9A9435232CA67532B4D31874412C.
- 32 Das, K. et al. A dynamic model for genome-wide association studies. *Human Genetics* 129, 629–639 (2011). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-011-0960-6.
- 33 Das, K. et al. Dynamic semiparametric Bayesian models for genetic mapping of complex trait with irregular longitudinal data. Statistics in Medicine 32, 509-523 (2013). URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/abs/10.1002/sim.5535. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/sim.5535.
- ³⁴ Li, Z. & Sillanpää, M. J. A Bayesian Nonparametric Approach for Mapping Dynamic Quantitative Traits. Genetics 194, 997–1016 (2013). URL https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.152736.
- ³⁵ Li, J., Wang, Z., Li, R. & Wu, R. BAYESIAN GROUP LASSO FOR NONPARAMETRIC VARYING-COEFFICIENT MODELS WITH APPLICATION TO FUNCTIONAL GENOME-WIDE ASSOCIATION STUDIES. The annals of applied statistics 9, 640–664 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pmc/articles/PMC4605444/.
- 36 Anh Luong, D. T. & Chandola, V. A K-Means Approach to Clustering Disease Progressions. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI), 268–274 (2017).
- ³⁷ Hedman, A. K. et al. Identification of novel pheno-groups in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction using machine learning. Heart 106, 342-349 (2020). URL https://heart.bmj.com/content/106/5/ 342. Publisher: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and British Cardiovascular Society Section: Heart failure and cardiomyopathies.
- ³⁸ Lee, C. & Schaar, M. V. D. Temporal Phenotyping using Deep Predictive Clustering of Disease Progression. In Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, 5767–5777 (PMLR, 2020). URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/lee20h.html. ISSN: 2640-3498.

- It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .
- ³⁹ Mullin, S. et al. Longitudinal K-means approaches to clustering and analyzing EHR opioid use trajectories for clinical subtypes. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 122, 103889 (2021). URL https: //www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1532046421002185.
- ⁴⁰ Lee, C., Rashbass, J. & van der Schaar, M. Outcome-Oriented Deep Temporal Phenotyping of Disease Progression. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 68, 2423-2434 (2021). Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering.
- ⁴¹ Carr, O., Javer, A., Rockenschaub, P., Parsons, O. & Durichen, R. Longitudinal patient stratification of electronic health records with flexible adjustment for clinical outcomes. In Proceedings of Machine Learning for Health, 220-238 (PMLR, 2021). URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v158/carr21a. html. ISSN: 2640-3498.
- 42 Sudlow, C. et al. Uk biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. PLoS Med 12, e1001779 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/25826379.
- ⁴³ Pulit, S. L. et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for body fat distribution in 694 649 individuals of european ancestry. Hum Mol Genet 28, 166-174 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/30239722.
- ⁴⁴ Benonisdottir, S. et al. Epigenetic and genetic components of height regulation. Nat Commun 7, 13490 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27848971.
- ⁴⁵ Mo, D. et al. Transcriptome landscape of porcine intramuscular adipocytes during differentiation. J Agric Food Chem 65, 6317–6328 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28673084.
- ⁴⁶ Tews, D. et al. Teneurin-2 (tenm2) deficiency induces ucp1 expression in differentiating human fat cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol 443, 106–113 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28088466.
- ⁴⁷ Han. Y. et al. Plasma cholinesterase is associated with chinese adolescent overweight or obesity and metabolic syndrome prediction. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 12, 685-702 (2019). URL https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31190929.
- 48 Valle-Martos, R. et al. Liver enzymes correlate with metabolic syndrome, inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction in prepubertal children with obesity. Front Pediatr 9, 629346 (2021). URL https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33665176.
- 49 Chen, V. P., Gao, Y., Geng, L. & Brimijoin, S. Butyrylcholinesterase regulates central ghrelin signaling and has an impact on food intake and glucose homeostasis. Int J Obes (Lond) 41, 1413-1419 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28529331.
- 50 Jung, H. et al. Sexually dimorphic behavior, neuronal activity, and gene expression in chd8-mutant mice. Nat Neurosci 21, 1218–1228 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30104731.
- ⁵¹ Tian, M., Shinkura, R., Shinkura, N. & Alt, F. W. Growth retardation, early death, and dna repair defects in mice deficient for the nucleotide excision repair enzyme xpf. Mol Cell Biol 24, 1200-5 (2004). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14729965.
- 52 Pirastu, N. et al. Genetic analyses identify widespread sex-differential participation bias. Nat Genet 53, 663–671 (2021). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33888908.
- 53 Welter, D. et al. The nhgri gwas catalog, a curated resource of snp-trait associations. Nucleic Acids Res 42, D1001–6 (2014). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24316577.
- ⁵⁴ Reynolds, A. P., Richards, G., de la Iglesia, B. & Rayward-Smith, V. J. Clustering Rules: A Comparison of Partitioning and Hierarchical Clustering Algorithms. Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Algorithms 5, 475–504 (2006). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10852-005-9022-1.
- ⁵⁵ Schubert, E. & Rousseeuw, P. J. Faster k-Medoids Clustering: Improving the PAM, CLARA, and CLARANS Algorithms. In Amato, G., Gennaro, C., Oria, V. & Radovanović, M. (eds.) Similarity Search and Applications, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 171–187 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019).
- ⁵⁶ Surakka, I. et al. The impact of low-frequency and rare variants on lipid levels. Nat Genet 47, 589–97 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25961943.
- ⁵⁷ Hoffmann, T. J. et al. A large electronic-health-record-based genome-wide study of serum lipids. Nat Genet 50, 401–413 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29507422.

- It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .
- 58 Shen, L. et al. Whole genome association study of brain-wide imaging phenotypes for identifying quantitative trait loci in mci and ad: A study of the adni cohort. Neuroimage 53, 1051–63 (2010). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20100581.
- ⁵⁹ Nazarian, A., Yashin, A. I. & Kulminski, A. M. Genome-wide analysis of genetic predisposition to alzheimer's disease and related sex disparities. Alzheimers Res Ther 11, 5 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/30636644.
- ⁶⁰ Joshi, P. K. et al. Variants near chrna3/5 and apoe have age- and sex-related effects on human lifespan. Nat Commun 7, 11174 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27029810.
- 61 Pilling, L. C. et al. Human longevity: 25 genetic loci associated in 389,166 uk biobank participants. Aging (Albany NY) 9, 2504–2520 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29227965.
- ⁶² Lumsden, A. L., Mulugeta, A., Zhou, A. & Hypponen, E. Apolipoprotein e (apoe) genotype-associated disease risks: a phenome-wide, registry-based, case-control study utilising the uk biobank. EBioMedicine 59, 102954 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32818802.
- ⁶³ Astle. W. J. et al. The allelic landscape of human blood cell trait variation and links to common complex disease. Cell 167, 1415-1429 e19 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27863252.
- ⁶⁴ Kettunen, J. et al. Genome-wide study for circulating metabolites identifies 62 loci and reveals novel systemic effects of lpa. Nat Commun 7, 11122 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 27005778.
- 65 Shrine, N. et al. New genetic signals for lung function highlight pathways and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease associations across multiple ancestries. Nat Genet 51, 481-493 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30804560.
- ⁶⁶ Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. et al. Ld score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genomewide association studies. Nat Genet 47, 291-5 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 25642630.
- 67 International HapMap, C. et al. Integrating common and rare genetic variation in diverse human populations. Nature 467, 52-8 (2010). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20811451.
- 68 Song, M. et al. Associations between genetic variants associated with body mass index and trajectories of body fatness across the life course: a longitudinal analysis. Int J Epidemiol 47, 506-515 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29211904.
- ⁶⁹ Bray, M. S. et al. Nih working group report-using genomic information to guide weight management: From universal to precision treatment. Obesity (Silver Spring) 24, 14-22 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26692578.
- 70 Delahanty, L. M. et al. Genetic predictors of weight loss and weight regain after intensive lifestyle modification, metformin treatment, or standard care in the diabetes prevention program. Diabetes Care 35, 363–6 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22179955.
- 71 Delahanty, L. M. et al. Genetic predictors of weight loss and weight regain after intensive lifestyle modification, metformin treatment, or standard care in the diabetes prevention program. Diabetes Care 35, 363–6 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22179955.
- 72 Liou, T. H. et al. Esr1, fto, and ucp2 genes interact with bariatric surgery affecting weight loss and glycemic control in severely obese patients. Obes Surg 21, 1758-65 (2011). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/21720911.
- 73 Sarzynski, M. A. et al. Associations of markers in 11 obesity candidate genes with maximal weight loss and weight regain in the sos bariatric surgery cases. Int J Obes (Lond) 35, 676-83 (2011). URL https: //www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20733583.
- 74 Zhang, X. et al. Fto genotype and 2-year change in body composition and fat distribution in response to weight-loss diets: the pounds lost trial. Diabetes 61, 3005-11 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/22891219.
- 75 Papandonatos, G. D. et al. Genetic predisposition to weight loss and regain with lifestyle intervention: Analyses from the diabetes prevention program and the look ahead randomized controlled trials. Diabetes 64, 4312–21 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26253612.

- It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .
- ⁷⁶ McCaffery, J. M. et al. Genetic predictors of change in waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio with lifestyle intervention: The trans-nih consortium for genetics of weight loss response to lifestyle intervention. Diabetes 71, 669–676 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35043141.
- 77 Holzapfel, C. et al. Association between single nucleotide polymorphisms and weight reduction in behavioural interventions-a pooled analysis. Nutrients 13 (2021). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/33801339.
- 78 Nelson, M. R. et al. The support of human genetic evidence for approved drug indications. Nat Genet 47, 856–60 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26121088.
- ⁷⁹ Beesley, L. J., Fritsche, L. G. & Mukherjee, B. A modeling framework for exploring sampling and observation process biases in genome and phenome-wide association studies using electronic health records. bioRxiv (2019). URL https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2019/05/14/499392. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2019/05/14/499392.full.pdf.
- ⁸⁰ Fry, A. et al. Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of uk biobank participants with those of the general population. Am J Epidemiol 186, 1026-1034 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28641372.
- ⁸¹ Goudie, R. J. B., Presanis, A. M., Lunn, D., Angelis, D. D. & Wernisch, L. Joining and Splitting Models with Markov Melding. Bayesian Analysis 14, 81–109 (2019). URL https://projecteuclid.org/journals/bayesian-analysis/volume-14/issue-1/ Joining-and-Splitting-Models-with-Markov-Melding/10.1214/18-BA1104.full. Publisher: International Society for Bayesian Analysis.
- 82 Loh, P. R. et al. Efficient bayesian mixed-model analysis increases association power in large cohorts. Nat Genet 47, 284–90 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25642633.
- 83 Li, H. et al. Triglyceride-glucose index variability and incident cardiovascular disease: a prospective cohort study. Cardiovasc Diabetol 21, 105 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35689232.
- 84 Nuyujukian, D. S. et al. Blood pressure variability and risk of heart failure in accord and the vadt. Diabetes Care 43, 1471–1478 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32327422.
- 85 Speakman, J. R. et al. Set points, settling points and some alternative models: theoretical options to understand how genes and environments combine to regulate body adiposity. Dis Model Mech 4, 733-45 (2011). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22065844.
- 86 Muller, M. J., Geisler, C., Heymsfield, S. B. & Bosy-Westphal, A. Recent advances in understanding body weight homeostasis in humans. F1000Res 7 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 30026913.
- 87 Nawaz, H., Chan, W., Abdulrahman, M., Larson, D. & Katz, D. L. Self-reported weight and height: implications for obesity research. Am J Prev Med 20, 294–8 (2001). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/11331120.
- 88 Kowal, R. C., Herz, J., Goldstein, J. L., Esser, V. & Brown, M. S. Low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein mediates uptake of cholesteryl esters derived from apoprotein e-enriched lipoproteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86, 5810–4 (1989). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2762297.
- 89 Kockx, M., Traini, M. & Kritharides, L. Cell-specific production, secretion, and function of apolipoprotein e. J Mol Med (Berl) 96, 361-371 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29516132.
- 90 Garrison, R. J. et al. Obesity and lipoprotein cholesterol in the framingham offspring study. Metabolism 29, 1053–60 (1980). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7432169.
- 91 Albrink, M. J. et al. Intercorrelations among plasma high density lipoprotein, obesity and triglycerides in a normal population. Lipids 15, 668-76 (1980). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7421421.
- 92 Panagiotakos, D. B., Pitsavos, C., Yannakoulia, M., Chrysohoou, C. & Stefanadis, C. The implication of obesity and central fat on markers of chronic inflammation: The attica study. Atherosclerosis 183, 308–15 (2005). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16285994.
- 93 Purdy, J. C. & Shatzel, J. J. The hematologic consequences of obesity. Eur J Haematol 106 , 306-319 (2021). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33270290.

- It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .
- 94 Cai, X. et al. Potassium and obesity/metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the epidemiological evidence. Nutrients 8, 183 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 27023597.
- 95 Gillette Guyonnet, S. et al. Iana (international academy on nutrition and aging) expert group: weight loss and alzheimer's disease. J Nutr Health Aging 11, 38–48 (2007). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/17315079.
- ⁹⁶ von Hardenberg, S., Gnewuch, C., Schmitz, G. & Borlak, J. Apoe is a major determinant of hepatic bile acid homeostasis in mice. J Nutr Biochem 52, 82-91 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/29175670.
- 97 Wang, J. et al. Apoe and the role of very low density lipoproteins in adipose tissue inflammation. Atherosclerosis 223, 342–9 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22770993.
- 98 Blanchard, J. W. et al. Apoe4 impairs myelination via cholesterol dysregulation in oligodendrocytes. Nature 611, 769–779 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36385529.
- 99 Greendale, G. A. et al. Changes in body composition and weight during the menopause transition. JCI Insight 4 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30843880.
- ¹⁰⁰ Davies, K. M., Heaney, R. P., Recker, R. R., Barger-Lux, M. J. & Lappe, J. M. Hormones, weight change and menopause. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 25, 874-9 (2001). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/11439302.
- ¹⁰¹ Chen, Y. W., Hang, D., Kvaerner, A. S., Giovannucci, E. & Song, M. Associations between body shape across the life course and adulthood concentrations of sex hormones in men and pre- and postmenopausal women: a multicohort study. Br J Nutr 127, 1000–1009 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/34187605.
- 102 Conroy, M. et al. The advantages of uk biobank's open-access strategy for health research. J Intern Med 286, 389–397 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31283063.
- ¹⁰³ Coady, S. A. et al. Genetic variability of adult body mass index: a longitudinal assessment in framingham families. Obes Res 10, 675–81 (2002). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12105290.
- 104 Singh, P. et al. Statins decrease leptin expression in human white adipocytes. Physiol Rep 6 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29372612.
- 105 McCarron, D. A. & Reusser, M. E. Body weight and blood pressure regulation. Am J Clin Nutr 63, 423S–425S (1996). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8615333.
- 106 Hernan, M. A., Hernandez-Diaz, S. & Robins, J. M. A structural approach to selection bias. Epidemiology 15, 615–25 (2004). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15308962.
- 107 Beesley, L. J. et al. The emerging landscape of health research based on biobanks linked to electronic health records: Existing resources, statistical challenges, and potential opportunities. Stat Med 39, 773– 800 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31859414.
- ¹⁰⁸ Kutcher, S. A., Brophy, J. M., Banack, H. R., Kaufman, J. S. & Samuel, M. Emulating a randomised controlled trial with observational data: An introduction to the target trial framework. Can J Cardiol 37, 1365–1377 (2021). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34090982.
- ¹⁰⁹ Shortreed, S. M., Rutter, C. M., Cook, A. J. & Simon, G. E. Improving pragmatic clinical trial design using real-world data. Clin Trials 16, 273-282 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 30866672.
- 110 Bycroft, C. et al. The uk biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature 562, 203–209 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30305743.
- ¹¹¹ Team, U. B. UK Biobank Primary Care Linked Data (2019), version 1.0 edn. URL https://biobank. ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/showcase/docs/primary_care_data.pdf.
- ¹¹² Kuan, V. et al. A chronological map of 308 physical and mental health conditions from 4 million individuals in the english national health service. Lancet Digit Health 1, e63-e77 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31650125.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) . perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364) this version posted January 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted

- 113 Bates, D., Machler, M., Bolker, B. & S., W. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. Journal of Statistical Software 67, 1–48 (2015). URL https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- 114 R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2021). URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- ¹¹⁵ Beasley, T. M., Erickson, S. & Allison, D. B. Rank-Based Inverse Normal Transformations are Increasingly Used, But are They Merited? Behavior Genetics 39, 580–595 (2009). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10519-009-9281-0.
- ¹¹⁶ Eilers, P. H. C. & Marx, B. D. Flexible smoothing with B-splines and penalties. Statistical Science 11, 89–121 (1996). URL https://projecteuclid.org/journals/statistical-science/volume-11/ issue-2/Flexible-smoothing-with-B-splines-and-penalties/10.1214/ss/1038425655.full. Publisher: Institute of Mathematical Statistics.
- ¹¹⁷ O'Hagan, A. & Kendall, M. G. Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics: Bayesian inference. Volume 2B (Edward Arnold, 1994). Google-Books-ID: DlrEMgEACAAJ.
- ¹¹⁸ Maechler, M., Rousseeuw, P., Struyf, A., Hubert, M. & Hornik, K. cluster: Cluster Analysis Basics and Extensions (2022). URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=cluster. R package version 2.1.4 — For new features, see the 'Changelog' file (in the package source).
- 119 Peter, J. R. Silhouettes: A graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster analysis. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 20, 53–65 (1987). URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/0377042787901257.
- ¹²⁰ Smithson, M. & Verkuilen, J. A better lemon squeezer? maximum-likelihood regression with betadistributed dependent variables. Psychol Methods 11, 54-71 (2006). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/16594767.
- 121 Benner, C. et al. Finemap: efficient variable selection using summary data from genome-wide association studies. Bioinformatics 32, 1493–501 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 26773131.
- ¹²² Yang, J., Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E. & Visscher, P. M. Gcta: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet 88, 76–82 (2011). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21167468.
- ¹²³ Chang, C. C. et al. Second-generation plink: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience 4, 7 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25722852.
- ¹²⁴ Venables, W. N. & Ripley, B. D. Modern Applied Statistics with S (Springer, New York, 2002), fourth edn. URL https://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS4/. ISBN 0-387-95457-0.
- ¹²⁵ Denaxas, S. et al. A semi-supervised approach for rapidly creating clinical biomarker phenotypes in the uk biobank using different primary care ehr and clinical terminology systems. JAMIA Open 3, 545–556 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33619467.
- 126 Bulik-Sullivan, B. et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. Nat Genet 47, 1236–41 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26414676.
- ¹²⁷ Genomes Project, C. et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 526 , 68–74 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26432245.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) . perpetuity.

perpetuity. preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364) this version posted January 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Figure 2: Genome-wide novel and refined SNP associations with baseline obesity estimated over the measurement window for each individual. (A) Combined Manhattan plot displaying genome-wide SNP associations with obesity trait (BMI or weight) across female, male, and sex-combined analysis strata. Each point represents a SNP, with GWS SNPs $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$ coloured in: green for previously published obesity associations, blue for SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD) $(r^2>0.1)$ with published associations, yellow for refined SNPs that represent conditionally independent ($P_{conditional} < 0.05$) and stronger associations with baseline obesity than published SNPs in the region, and pink for novel associations (see Methods⁴⁴). Novel SNPs are annotated to their nearest gene. (B) Proportion of variance in baseline BMI and weight that can be explained by the fine-mapped independent lead SNPs in each strata. In green is the proportion of variance explained by previously published obesity-associated variants (and those in LD with these variants), while that explained by novel and refined variants is in pink. The numbers represent the number of lead SNPs in each of these categories (published / refined and novel).

Table 2: Lead SNPs identified from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of posterior probability of membership in an adiposity-change cluster (high gain k1, high/moderate gain k1/k2, or high/moderate gain and steady k1/k2/k3), independent of baseline obesity. MAF = minor allele frequency (European-ancestry), \cdot \cdot : \cdot $\overline{}$ TSS = transcription start site, SE = standard error, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval $\ddot{}$ $\ddot{}$ $\ddot{\cdot}$ - : $\ddot{}$ ţ

Figure 3: Association of minor C allele of rs429358, missense variant in APOE, with various longitudinal phenotypes. (A) Effect size (beta) and 95% CI for associations of rs429358 with BMI and weight intercepts or linear slope change over time estimated from linear mixed-effects models in all analysis strata. (B) Left: OR and 95% CI for association of rs429358 with posterior probability of membership in the BMI and weight high-gain clusters (k1). Right: Modelled trajectories of standardised (std.) covariate-adjusted (adj.) BMI in carriers of the different rs429358 genotypes. (C) Proportion of individuals who self-report weight gain, weight loss, or no change in weight over the past year for carriers of each rs429358 genotype. (D) Effect size and 95% CI for associations of rs429358 with slopes over time of waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), adjusted for BMI (-adjBMI), estimated from linear mixed-effects models. (E) Effect size and 95% CI for associations of rs429358 with linear slope change in quantitative biomarkers over time, estimated from linear mixed-effects models. Across all panels, estimates of trait change are adjusted for baseline trait values, and P-values for significance are controlled at 5% across number of tests performed via the Bonferroni method. n.s.=non-significant ³²

perpetuity. preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.09.23284364) this version posted January 11, 2023. The copyright holder for this

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Figure 4: Genotyped SNP-based heritability of, and genetic correlation between, baseline obesity trait and obesity-change phenotypes. Left column: heritability (h_G^2) estimates and 95% CI, calculated using the LDSC software⁶⁶ on a subset of 1 million HapMap3 SNPs⁶⁷ for the following traits: baseline BMI and weight, estimated from intercepts of linear mixed-effects models of obesity traits over time (u0), linear slope change in obesity traits over time (u1 adj. u0), adjusted for intercepts, and posterior probability of membership in a high-gain BMI or weight cluster, adjusted for baseline trait value (prob(k1) adj. u0). Right column: Genetic correlation, r_G and 95% CI between the two obesity-change phenotypes and corresponding baseline obesity traits. In all panels, circles represent BMI, triangles represent weight; points are coloured by analysis strata (pink: female-sepcific, green: male-specific, grey: sex-combined). P-values display the level of significance of heterogeneity between the female- and male-specific estimates in each panel.