The genetic architecture of changes in adiposity during adulthood

Samvida S. Venkatesh^{1,2*}, Habib Ganjgahi³, Duncan S. Palmer^{2,4}, Kayesha Coley⁵, Laura B. L. Wittemans^{2,4}, Christoffer Nellaker^{2,4}, Chris Holmes^{3,6,7}, Cecilia M. Lindgren^{1,2,4,8}, George Nicholson^{3*}

¹Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, UK ²Big Data Institute at the Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, UK ³Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, UK

⁴Nuffield Department of Women's and Reproductive Health, Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, UK

⁵Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Leicester, UK

⁶Nuffield Department of Medicine, Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, UK

⁷The Alan Turing Institute, London, UK

⁸Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

1

Abstract

2	Obesity is a heritable disease, characterised by excess adiposity that is measured by body mass index
3	(BMI). While over 1,000 genetic loci are associated with BMI, less is known about the genetic contribution
4	to adiposity trajectories over adulthood. We derive adiposity-change phenotypes from 1.5 million primary-
5	care health records in over 177,000 individuals in UK Biobank to study the genetic architecture of weight-
6	change. Using multiple BMI measurements over time increases power to identify genetic factors affecting
7	baseline BMI. In the largest reported genome-wide study of adiposity-change in adulthood, we identify
8	novel associations with BMI-change at six independent loci, including rs429358 (a missense variant in
9	APOE). The SNP-based heritability of BMI-change (1.98%) is 9-fold lower than that of BMI, and higher
10	in women than in men. The modest genetic correlation between BMI-change and BMI (45.2%) indicates
11	that genetic studies of longitudinal trajectories could uncover novel biology driving quantitative trait values
12	in adulthood.

Introduction 13

Obesity, the accumulation of excess body fat^1 which is associated with increased disease burden^{2,3}, has a 14 strong genetic component⁴. The heritability of body mass index (BMI) is estimated to be 40%-70%⁴⁻⁶, and 15 genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have implicated over 1,000 independent loci associated with a 16 range of obesity traits⁴. The dynamic process of change in weight over time is also thought to have a genetic 17 component^{7,8}. Recent studies reveal the shifting genetic landscape of infant, childhood, and adolescent BMI, 18 which detect age-specific transient effects by performing age-stratified GWASs⁹⁻¹¹. Adult twin studies¹²⁻¹⁴ 19 aNGTEnTelesereprint repartely new concerned the page of page of the page of th 20

^{*}Corresponding authors: samvida@well.ox.ac.uk, george.nicholson@stats.ox.ac.uk

in adiposity are heritable and have a distinct genetic component to baseline obesity levels. However, less is known about the specific variants and genes that contribute to patterns of adulthood adiposity change. This paucity of GWASs of long-term trajectories of weight change can be partially attributed to the challenges in building and maintaining large-scale genetics cohorts that follow participants over their lifetime¹⁶.

Longitudinal data are a key feature of EHRs, whose increased adoption in the clinic and integration into 25 biobanks has powered cost-efficient and scalable genetics research^{17,18}. Despite biases in EHR data, includ-26 ing sparsity, non-random missingness, data inaccuracies, and informed presence, EHR-based genetics studies 27 reliably replicate results from purpose-built cohorts¹⁹⁻²¹. Recent advances in the extraction of phenotypes 28 from longitudinal EHRs at scale show that, as expected^{22,23}, the mean of repeat quantitative measurements 29 can outperform cross-sectional phenotypes for genetic discovery^{24, 25}. Repeat measurements further allow for 30 the estimation of longitudinal metrics of trait change, such as trajectory-based clusters²⁶, linear slope²⁷, and 31 32 within-individual variability over time²⁸, all of which may provide additional information to uncover the genetic underpinnings of disease. 33

A variety of approaches are available for harnessing the longitudinal component of trajectories in EHR data. 34 Simple models target the gradient of a linear fit over time, such as in a longitudinal linear mixed-effects 35 36 model framework²⁸⁻³⁰. More complex regression modelling approaches are employed to investigate non-linear changes over time. For example, semiparametric regression models³¹ generate flexible longitudinal patterns 37 from combinations of basis functions, such as B-splines, regularised to induce a suitable degree of temporal 38 smoothness^{32–35}. Subgroups of individuals with similar non-linear trajectories are often identified through 39 clustering approaches, with subgroup membership then tested for association with clinical outcomes or genetic 40 variation^{36–41}. Although it is possible to fit full joint models that incorporate both genetic data and longitudinal 41 trajectories simultaneously²⁸, two-stage approaches wherein summary metrics from models of longitudinal 42 EHRs are taken forward for genetic association analyses are popular for their computational efficiency²⁷. 43

In this study, we leveraged longitudinal primary care EHRs linked to the UK Biobank (UKBB) cohort⁴² to 44 study the genetic architecture of change in adiposity over adulthood. We developed a two-stage analytical 45 pipeline, utilising statistical methods with a history of application in the EHR data context, to derive linear 46 and non-linear trajectories of BMI and weight over time, and to identify clusters of individuals with similar 47 adiposity trajectories. In the second stage, we carried forward the latent phenotypes from these models, which 48 capture both baseline obesity trait levels and change in obesity traits over time, to perform the largest reported 49 genome-wide association analyses for adiposity-change in adulthood. Our results demonstrate the power and 50 added value of EHR-derived longitudinal phenotypes for genetic discovery. 51

Results 52

Longitudinal data help identify novel genetic signals for obesity 53

54 We obtained BMI and weight records for up to 177,098 individuals of white British ancestry with up to 1.48 million measurements in UKBB longitudinal records from general practitioner (GP) and UKBB assessment 55 centre measurements (Table 1 and Supp. Fig. 3). For each individual, we then estimated linear change 56 in BMI or weight over time using a linear mixed-effects model including random intercepts and random 57 longitudinal gradients (Figure 1A) within six strata—defined as the six pair-wise combinations of the two 58 traits (BMI, weight) with the three sex subsets (women, men, combined sexes). 59



Figure 1: Modelling of longitudinal obesity trait trajectories. (A) Weight trajectories over time, measured as years from first measurement, in a random sample of 12 individuals in the sex-combined strata. Black points display observed weight records, with blue and pink lines representing predicted fits from linear mixed effects models and regularised high-dimensional spline models respectively. (B) Trajectories of cluster centroids, plotted as standardised (std.) and covariate-adjusted (adj.) weight over time (years from first measurement), for the four clusters determined via partitioning-around-medoids (PAM) clustering with a customised distance matrix (see Methods) constructed from the high-dimensional B-spline coefficients estimated in (A). (C) Weight trajectories over time for a random sample of individuals in the 99th percentile probability of belonging to each cluster, as determined by parametric bootstrap. The lines display predicted fits and ribbons represent 95% confidence intervals around the mean fit.

- We first investigated whether the individual-level random intercept terms outputted by the longitudinal linear 60
- mixed-effects (LME) model, by sharing information across multiple BMI measurements, provided higher sta-61
- tistical power for GWAS than one based on a single, cross-sectional BMI measurement per individual. Despite 62
- our GWAS being 4-fold smaller than the largest published analyses⁴³, we identify 14 novel loci and refine 63
- 53 previously described signals for obesity traits among the 374 unique fine-mapped lead single nucleotide 64
- polymorphisms (SNPs) ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) across all strata (Figure 2A and (Supp. Table 2), see Methods 65

for conditional analysis to classify novel, refined, and reported SNPs⁴⁴). The 53 refined SNPs are condition-66 ally independent of and represent stronger associations (P < 0.05) than published SNPs in this population. 67 Together, the refined and novel SNPs explain 0.33% of variance in baseline BMI (in addition to the 2.7% 68 explained by previously published SNPs), and 0.83% of variance in baseline weight (in addition to the 4.7% 69 explained by previously reported SNPs) (Figure 2B). We further quantified the power gained from estimat-70 ing baseline BMI over repeat longitudinal measurements per individual by comparing genome-wide significant 71 (GWS) SNPs from our baseline BMI GWAS to the largest published BMI meta-analysis to date⁴³. We observe 72 an increase in median chi-squared statistics of GWS SNPs from either study of between 13.4% (females) to 73 14.8% (males) in our GWAS over what would be expected from a cross-sectional GWAS of equivalent sample 74 size. 75

Four of the 14 novel SNPs replicate at $P < 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ (family-wise error rate (FWER) controlled at 5% across 76 14 tests using the Bonferroni method) in UKBB assessment centre measurements of cross-sectional obesity 77 in up to 230,861 individuals not included in the discovery GWAS (Supp. Table 3). One such novel variant 78 is rs7962636 ($\beta = 0.023$ standard deviation (SD) increase in expected baseline weight, $P = 3.7 \times 10^{-12}$), 79 whose nearest gene MED13L is a transcriptional regulator of white adipocyte differentiation⁴⁵. Twelve of the 80 14 novel SNPs have a significant ($P < 3.6 imes 10^{-3}$) association with an obesity-related trait in published 81 GWASs that include non-UKBB participants (Supp. Table 3). These include rs2861761, whose nearest gene 82 TENM2 is enriched in white adipocytes⁴⁶, rs13059102, whose nearest gene BCHE encodes the liver enzyme 83 butyrylcholinesterase that is associated with obesity via its effect on the ghrelin pathway47-49, rs11156978 84 whose nearest gene *CHD8* is associated with impaired glucose tolerance in mouse knockouts⁵⁰, and rs17794645, 85 whose nearest gene is ERCC4, deficiency of which is associated with decreased body weight in mice⁵¹. 86

Ascertainment bias in our discovery cohort could arise from the over-representation of heavier participants in 87 EHR data (Supp. Table 4)⁵². On average, women with ten or more weight measurements are 8.3 kg (3.7 units 88 of BMI) heavier than their counterparts with 1-3 measurements; for men, this is an 8.2 kg (3.1 units of BMI) 89 difference. However, the BMI intercept metric from our longitudinal data is genetically perfectly correlated 90 with the un-ascertained cross-sectional BMI in Genetic Investigation of ANthropometric Traits (GIANT) 2019⁴³ 91 $(r_G = 1 \text{ and } P < 1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ in all strata})$, and 96% of the GWS associations $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$ identified in 92 our GWAS have either been reported, or are correlated with reported obesity-associated SNPs in the GWAS 93 Catalog⁵³ (Supp. Table 1). 94

APOE missense variant rs429358 is associated with weight loss over time, inde pendent of baseline obesity

97 To identify genetic variants that affect change in adiposity over time, we performed GWASs for patterns 98 of BMI and weight change adjusted for baseline measurements, defined in two ways. First, we created

a linear phenotype from subject-specific random gradients, estimated within a linear mixed-effects model 99 framework, adjusted for corresponding random intercepts, and transformed with a rank-based inverse normal 100 101 transformation (see Methods). To capture non-linear patterns of temporal change, we additionally modelled longitudinal variation in obesity traits using a regularised high-dimensional B-spline basis³¹ (**Figure 1**). Within 102 each of the six strata, we identified four clusters of individuals using k-medoids clustering^{54, 55}, representing 103 high gain (k1), moderate gain (k2), stable (k3), and loss (k4) trajectories (Figure 1 and Supp. Fig. 5). 104 105 We then estimated each individual's probability of belonging to a cluster based on their posterior non-linear obesity trait trajectory. We performed GWASs on the logit-transformed posterior probabilities of k1, k1 and 106 k2 (high and moderate gain clusters), and k1, k2, and k3 (all but the loss cluster) membership, adjusted for 107 108 the baseline obesity trait (see Methods).

A common missense variant in APOE (rs429358) is associated with decrease in both BMI and weight over 109 time, and lower posterior probabilities of gain-cluster membership in all analysis strata (Table 2). Each copy 110 of the minor C allele of rs429358 (minor allele frequency (MAF)=0.16) is associated with 0.060 SD decrease 111 (95% confidence interval (CI)=0.050-0.069, $P = 8.6 \times 10^{-35}$) in expected BMI slope over time and 0.063 SD 112 decrease (0.054-0.072, $P = 6.0 \times 10^{-42}$) in expected weight slope over time (Figure 3A). Independent of 113 baseline obesity, carriers of the minor C allele of rs429358 are at lower odds of membership in the high-gain BMI 114 and weight clusters (odds ratio (OR)=0.976, 95% Cl=0.97-0.98, $P < 4.9 imes 10^{-19}$), lowering the membership 115 posterior probability from 40% to 39% on average (Figure 3B). Although the minor allele of rs429358 is also 116 associated with lower baseline BMI ($\beta = 0.015$ SD lower BMI intercept, 95% CI=0.0054-0.024) and weight 117 $(\beta = 0.011 \text{ SD} \text{ lower weight intercept, } 95\% \text{ Cl}=0.0029-0.020)$, these associations do not reach genome-wide 118 significance in any analysis strata (P > 0.002). 119

The association of rs429358 with adiposity-change phenotypes, across all strata, was replicated at $P < 5 \times 10^{-3}$ 120 in up to 17,035 individuals in UKBB with multiple measurements of weight and BMI at repeat assessment 121 centre visits who were excluded from the discovery set (Supp. Table 5). Based on 301,943 UKBB participants 122 123 who reported weight change in the last year as "gain", "about the same", or "loss", and who were not included in the discovery GWAS, we found that carriers of each additional copy of the minor C allele of rs429358 are at 124 0.956 (95% CI=0.94-0.97) lower odds of being in a higher ordinal weight-gain category, independent of their 125 126 BMI (Figure 3C and Supp. Table 6). We observe consistent effect direction of the rs429358 association with both estimated and self-reported weight loss over time in individuals who self-identify as Asian (maximum 127 N=8,324 individuals), Black (6,796), mixed (2,681), white not in the white-British ancestry subset (47,174), 128 129 and other (3,994) ethnicities (see Methods for ancestral group definitions, Supp. Fig. 1 and Supp. Table 7). 130

Finally, we tested for the effect of rs429358 on change in abdominal adiposity in up to 44,154 individuals of white British ancestry in UKBB who were not in the discovery set, with repeated assessment centre measurements of waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR). Each copy of the C allele is associated with

134 0.040 SD decrease (95% CI=0.021-0.049, $P = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$) in expected WC slope over time and 0.031 SD 135 decrease (0.012-0.050, $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$) in expected WHR slope over time, independent of baseline values 136 (**Figure 3D** and **Supp. Table 6**). While the effect direction remains consistent, these associations are no 137 longer significant upon adjustment for BMI (all P > 0.1), suggesting that the observed loss in abdominal 138 adiposity over time may represent a reduction in overall adiposity.

The APOE locus is a highly pleiotropic region that is associated with lipid levels^{56,57}, Alzheimer's dis-139 ease^{58,59}, and lifespan^{60,61}, among other traits⁶². Excluding the 242 individuals with diagnoses of dementia 140 141 or Alzheimer's disease in our replication datasets did not alter associations of rs429358 with any of the longitudinal obesity traits (Supp. Fig. 2), indicating that they are unlikely to be driven solely by weight loss that 142 accompanies dementia. We additionally performed a longitudinal phenome-wide scan to test for the associ-143 144 ation of rs429358 with changes in 45 quantitative biomarkers obtained from the UKBB-linked primary care records. Each copy of the C allele is associated with an increase in expected slope change over time of total 145 cholesterol ($\beta = 0.030$ SD increase, $P = 6.4 \times 10^{-12}$), C-reactive protein (CRP) ($\beta = 0.026$, $P = 9.6 \times 10^{-7}$), 146 and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol ($\beta = 0.022, P = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$), but a decrease in expected slope 147 change over time of triglycerides ($\beta = -0.027$, $P = 2.7 \times 10^{-7}$), potassium ($\beta = -0.023$, $P = 3.9 \times 10^{-6}$), 148 lymphocytes ($\beta = -0.020$, $P = 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$), and haemoglobin concentration ($\beta = -0.016$, $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$) 149 (FWER controlled at 5% across 45 tests via the Bonferroni method) (Figure 3E and Supp. Table 8). 150

Genome-wide architecture of change in adiposity over time is sex-specific and distinct from baseline adiposity

We identify six independent genetic loci associated with distinct longitudinal trajectories of obesity traits by 153 performing GWAS on individuals' posterior probabilities of membership in the high gain cluster (k1), high and 154 moderate gain clusters (k1 and k2), or no loss (clusters k1, k2, and k3), adjusted for baseline obesity trait 155 (Table 2). This included the APOE locus and five signals in intergenic regions. rs9467663 (OR=1.011 for 156 membership in the high-gain weight cluster, $P = 1.6 \times 10^{-9}$) and chr6:26076446 (OR=1.012 for membership in 157 the high-gain BMI cluster, $P = 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$), are reported associations with haematological traits⁶³. We identify 158 two SNPs, rs11778922 and rs61955499, with female-specific effects on BMI change. rs11778922 (OR=0.984 159 for membership in the high-gain BMI cluster, $P = 1.3 \times 10^{-8}$, sex-heterogeneity $P_{sex-het} = 5.8 \times 10^{-4}$, 160 see Methods) has previously been nominally associated with BMI in females⁴³, and rs61955499 (OR=1.070 161 for membership in the BMI loss cluster, $P\,=\,3.4 imes10^{-8}$, $P_{sex-het}\,=\,4.7 imes10^{-5}$), has previously been 162 nominally associated with low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels⁶⁴. Finally, rs12953815 is associated 163 with male-specific weight change (OR=1.012 for membership in the weight loss cluster, P = 1.7×10^{-8} , 164 $P_{sexhet} = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$) and has been previously nominally associated with lung function⁶⁵. 165

¹⁶⁶ The smaller number of independent GWS associations with adiposity change: 6, compared to 374 unique

lead SNPs associated with baseline obesity traits, which is expected given the 7- to 9-fold lower heritability of 167 adiposity change. The heritability explained by genotyped SNPs $(h_G^2)^{66}$ of the posterior probability of belonging 168 to an adiposity-gain cluster is between 1.38% in men to 2.82% in women, while the h_G^2 of baseline obesity traits 169 varies between 21.6% to 29.0% across strata (Figure 4). Furthermore, we observe that the heritability of BMI 170 and weight trajectories are higher in women than in men (2.89% vs 1.05% for BMI slopes, $P_{sexhet} = 0.012$; 171 and 3.42% vs 1.69% for weight slopes, $P_{sexhet} = 9.9 \times 10^{-3}$). We do not observe a corresponding difference 172 in the h_G^2 of baseline BMI or weight between the sexes ($P_{sexhet} > 0.1$). Finally, baseline and change in obesity 173 traits are genetically correlated, with r_G ranging from 0.35 (95% CI=0.24-0.45) for weight in women to 0.91 174 (0.59-1.23) for BMI in men (Figure 4). While the genetic correlation between baseline adiposity and adiposity 175 change appears to be higher in men as compared to women, these estimates have wide confidence intervals 176 (overlapping 1) and $P_{sexhet} > 0.05$ for both BMI and weight. 177

Throughout this study, we evaluate both BMI and weight as obesity traits, and expect these to track closely 178 in adults as height does not change significantly over time. In the 161,891 individuals in our discovery strata 179 with multiple measurements of both BMI and weight, there is a strong correlation between the slopes for 180 weight and BMI change ($r^2 = 0.88$) and between the posterior probabilities of membership in the BMI-gain 181 and weight-gain clusters ($r^2 = 0.73$) (Supp. Table 9, all $P < 1 \times 10^{-16}$). Moreover, the genetic correlation 182 between change in BMI and weight is nearly perfect (r_G for slope terms=0.98, r_G for posterior probability 183 of membership in gain cluster=0.95, all $P < 1 \times 10^{-16}$), indicating that the genetic architecture highlighted 184 here is robust to the metric of adiposity used to define trajectories. 185

186 Discussion

187 In this large-scale EHR- and genetics-based study of longitudinal trajectories of obesity traits, we demonstrate that modelling multiple observations across time increases power to identify genome-wide signals for baseline 188 BMI and weight and enables the discovery of genetic variants associated with changes in adiposity, which are 189 190 less heritable than and only partially shared with baseline adiposity. Modelling 1.5 million observations of BMI and weight from >177,000 individuals across time enabled us to identify 14 novel, biologically plausible, genetic 191 signals associated with obesity traits. The discovery of these novel loci highlights that repeat measurements 192 can contribute to narrowing the "missing heritability" gap. Leveraging the bespoke longitudinal adiposity 193 phenotypes developed here, we find six genetic loci associated with changes in BMI and weight over time. 194 While previous studies have investigated the associations of cross-sectional BMI SNPs or obesity polygenic 195 scores with adiposity trajectories^{15, 68}, to the best of our knowledge, this study reports the first genome-wide 196 197 scan of variants associated with obesity trait trajectories over adulthood.

Accounting for the influence of genetic variation on adiposity change may provide opportunities to personalise obesity prevention and treatment^{69, 70}. While several studies have investigated the association between BMI-

related genetic variants and weight loss guided by medical⁷¹, surgical^{72,73}, dietary⁷⁴, or behavioural^{71,75–77} interventions, results are inconsistent across studies, intervention types, and genes assessed. Given our evidence that the genetic basis of adiposity change is distinct from baseline levels, we hypothesise that genetic variants associated with longitudinal weight trajectories may be better predictors of long-term weight change following treatment or lifestyle interventions than variants associated with baseline BMI. Moreover, incorporating information on the genetic signals associated with adiposity trajectories will complement current genetics-based strategies to identify genes for pharmaceutical targets⁷⁸ for obesity treatment.

207 Leveraging EHR to derive longitudinal metrics for genetic discovery may be affected by various biases described earlier⁷⁹. However, the robustness of our results suggests that our discovery dataset may have mitigated 208 against these biases in three ways: (1) While EHR data over-represent sick patients and individuals with 209 210 higher BMI, UKBB participants are, on average, healthier and have lower BMIs than the population of the United Kingdom (UK)⁸⁰. Therefore our UKBB-linked EHR discovery cohort is more overweight than a random 211 sampling of UKBB, but in contrast UKBB itself is ascertained towards lower-BMI individuals than a random 212 sampling of the UK. (2) Appending the more accurate UKBB assessment centre measurements to the EHR 213 data improves overall data quality. (3) Stringent quality control at both the population and individual level 214 reduces the signal to noise ratio by filtering out a subset of inaccurate data entries. Linking EHRs with biobank 215 216 data may therefore provide a robust framework for genetic discovery.

The two-stage nature of our approach to associate genetic variants with longitudinal trajectories of obesity traits 217 is highly advantageous because of its computational efficiency and convenience. In particular, our method is 218 composable, as the longitudinal analysis of raw data can first be performed separately using a choice of popular, 219 efficient implementations of models; the first-stage outputs can then be taken forward to a GWAS performed 220 221 in its own bespoke, highly optimised software. The two-stage method approximates the fitting of a full joint model incorporating raw measurement data and genome-wide SNP data. While a full joint model would 222 propagate posterior uncertainty from the longitudinal sub-model through to the GWAS, the approximation here 223 224 takes forward a single point estimate, i.e. a best linear unbiased predictor (BLUP) or posterior probability of cluster membership, to GWAS. However, in EHR datasets, the number of measurements, and hence estimation 225 precision, can vary across individuals. Hence, the propagation of uncertainty between model components, in 226 a similar vein to Markov melding 81 , has the potential to further increase power for genetic discovery. An 227 interesting area for future research will be to allow for the principled propagation of posterior uncertainty in 228 inputted traits through to the highly optimised, multi-locus, mixed-model GWAS methods to perform genetic 229 230 association in the presence of relatedness and population stratification⁸².

It is also important that the choice of trajectory metric utilised in genetic analysis is phenotype-aware. While the variance within an individual's trait value over time may capture meaningful biology for biomarkers such as blood pressure or triglycerides, whose fluctuations are associated with disease development and progress^{83,84}, weight is a more stable trait which shows a steady pattern of change over many years^{85,86}. Our adiposity-

change metrics, derived from regression models incorporating linear and non-linear temporal trends, are better suited to identify the genetic component of BMI and weight trajectories, and are robust to the manner in which this is defined. For example, many of the lead SNPs from our obesity-change GWASs are also associated with self-reported weight change, despite self-report being an imprecise metric⁸⁷.

In particular, rs429358 (missense variant in APOE) is robustly associated with loss in BMI and weight, inde-239 pendent of baseline obesity, across men and women, in individuals of various ancestral groups. APOE codes 240 241 for apolipoprotein E, which is a core component of plasma lipoproteins that is essential for cholesterol trans-242 port and homeostasis in several tissues across the body, including the central nervous system, muscle, heart, liver, and adipose tissue^{88,89}. The precise pathway by which this variant affects weight change is difficult 243 to pinpoint, as APOE is a highly pleiotropic locus associated with hundreds of biomarkers and diseases⁶². 244 245 Here too, we find an association between rs429358 and changes in 11 biomarkers over time. Obesity is crosssectionally associated with several of these, including positive correlations with levels of triglycerides and total 246 cholesterol^{90,91}, markers of chronic inflammation⁹², and haematological traits⁹³, and negatively correlated 247 with levels of HDL cholesterol^{90,91} and potassium⁹⁴; however, the longitudinal and causal nature of these 248 associations remain to be established. As rs429358 is also the strongest genetic risk factor for Alzheimer's 249 disease^{58, 59}, which is preceded by weight loss⁹⁵, we ensured that our findings were robust to the exclusion of 250 individuals with dementia. We hypothesise that the APOE effect on weight loss may act through cholesterol-251 and lipid-metabolism pathways that partly determine response to dietary and environmental factors, as seen in 252 mouse models^{96,97}. Indeed, it has recently been suggested that APOE-mediated cholesterol dysregulation in 253 the brain may influence the onset and severity of Alzheimer's disease⁹⁸, suggesting that ageing-associated sys-254 255 temic aberrations in cholesterol homeostasis could have far-ranging consequences from weight loss to cognitive 256 decline.

Patterns of weight change in mid-to-late adulthood have been observed to be sex-specific, particularly as 257 women undergo significant changes in weight and body fat distribution around menopause⁹⁹. Here, we find 258 259 that the heritability of changes in obesity traits is significantly higher in women than in men, supporting a previous finding that obesity polygenic scores are more strongly associated with weight-change trajectories in 260 women than in men⁶⁸. This is in contrast to baseline obesity, which is equally heritable in men and women, 261 both in our study and as previously reported⁴³. The lower genetic correlation between baseline obesity and 262 obesity-change in women as compared to men, while not statistically significant, may nevertheless indicate sex-263 differential genome-wide contributions to these phenotypes. We hypothesise that sex hormones could explain 264 265 some of this sex-specificity, particularly through their role in altering overall obesity and fat distribution around menopause^{100,101}. We were under-powered to study the genome-wide architecture of change in adult WC 266 and WHR (ten-fold fewer observations than BMI and weight), whose cross-sectional levels are genetically sex-267 specific with higher heritability in women⁴³, so more work is needed to disentangle the genetic contribution to 268 changes in adult body fat distribution over time. 269

270 While the EHR-linked UKBB cohort has driven genetic discovery for a vast array of human traits in populations of European ancestry¹⁰², sample sizes remain under-powered to detect genome-wide associations in other 271 ancestral groups. We were thus limited to replicating European-ancestry associations in other populations, 272 without the ability to discover ancestry-specific variants associated with adult adiposity trajectories. Further-273 more, despite the inclusion of >200,000 individuals in the first release of the UKBB EHR data, sample sizes 274 remain low to analyse the genetics of longitudinal trajectory metrics, which have lower heritability than the 275 averaged trait value^{15,103} (\sim 7-9x lower in our study) and are thus more challenging to characterise genetically 276 without corresponding increases in sample size. Another limitation of our study was the exclusion of time-277 varying covariates, such as medication use, smoking status, and other dietary and environmental covariates 278 from models of adiposity change. It is challenging to extract time-dependent values of these variables from 279 EHRs and difficult to ascertain the direction of causality by which these covariates may be associated with 280 weight change. For example, the use of statins to lower blood pressure may be connected to weight gain, 281 mediated indirectly by change in appetite¹⁰⁴, but high blood pressure may itself be a consequence of weight 282 gain¹⁰⁵. Inappropriate adjustments along this causal pathway may lead to unexpected collider biases¹⁰⁶. In 283 general, despite their longitudinal nature, it is challenging to assign causality to the associations between 284 weight change and covariates or disease diagnoses from EHR observations alone, as there is no prospective 285 study design to follow¹⁰⁷. Advances in emulating randomised control trials from longitudinal EHR are begin-286 ning to overcome these challenges^{108, 109}, and in the future, it will be critical to incorporate information on 287 genetic risk into these simulated studies. 288

289 To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study to date that characterises the genome-wide architecture of adult adiposity trajectories, and the first to identify specific variants that alter BMI and weight in mid- to 290 late-adulthood. We add evidence to support the growing utility of EHRs in genetics research, and particularly 291 highlight opportunities for incorporating longitudinal information to boost power and identify novel associa-292 293 tions. In particular, the APOE-associated weight loss identified here contributes to a growing body of evidence on the ageing-associated effects of cholesterol dysregulation. Heterogeneity between men and women in the 294 genome-wide architecture of obesity-change and genetic correlation with baseline obesity highlights the impor-295 296 tance of distinguishing between the genetic contributions to mean and lifetime trajectories of phenotypes in sex-specific analyses. In the future, the growing integration of EHR with genetic data in large biobanks will al-297 low us to assess the time-varying associations of rare variants with outsize effects on quantitative traits, as well 298 as to establish genetic and phenotypic relationships among the trajectories of multiple correlated biomarkers 299 300 across adulthood.

301 Methods

302 Identification and quality control of longitudinal obesity records

303 **UK Biobank.** This study was conducted using the UKBB resource, which is a prospective UK-based cohort 304 study with approximately 500,000 participants aged 40–69 years at recruitment, on whom a range of medical, 305 environmental, and genetic information has been collected⁴². Here, we included 409,595 individuals in the 306 white British ancestry subset identified by Bycroft *et al.*¹¹⁰ who passed genotype quality control (QC) (see 307 below).

Repeat obesity trait measurements. Obesity-associated traits including BMI and weight were recorded 308 at initial baseline assessment (between 2006-2010), as well as at repeat assessments of 20,345 participants 309 (between 2012-13), and at imaging assessments of 52,596 participants (in 2014 and later). We curated a 310 longitudinal research resource by integrating these repeat UKBB assessment centre measurements with the 311 interim release of primary care records provided by GPs for approximately 45% of the UKBB cohort (230,000 312 participants, randomly selected)¹¹¹ (Supp. Fig. 3). Each individual with at least one BMI record (coded as 313 314 Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) code 22K..) or weight record (coded as CPRD code 22A..) in the GP data had their respective UKBB assessment centre measurements appended. Following phenotype and 315 genotype QC, this resulted in 162,666 participants of white British ancestry with multiple BMI measurements 316 and 177,472 participants with multiple weight measurements (Supp. Fig. 3). 317

318 Quality control. We performed both population-level and individual-level longitudinal QC. Participants with codes for history of bariatric surgery (Supp. Table 10, as identified by Kuan et al.¹¹²) were excluded entirely, 319 320 while BMI and weight observations up to the date of surgery were retained for individuals where this could be determined. Only those measures recorded in adulthood (ages 20 - 80 years) were retained. We excluded 321 implausible observations, defined as more extreme than +/- 10% of the UKBB asessment centre minimum 322 and maximum values respectively (BMI < 10.9 kg/m² or > 82.1 kg/m² and weight < 27 kg or > 217 kg). 323 We further removed any extreme values > 5 SDs away from the population mean to exclude possible technical 324 errors. At the individual level we excluded multiple observations on the same day, which are likely to be 325 326 recording errors, by only retaining the observation closest to the individual's median value of the trait across 327 all time-points. Finally, we excluded any extreme measurements on the individual level. For individual i with J_i data points represented as (measurement, age) pairs $(y_{i,j},t_{i,j})$ for $j=1,\ldots,J_i$ ordered chronologically, 328 i.e. $t_{i,1} < \ldots < t_{i,J_i}$, a "jump" $P_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, J_i - 1$ was defined as: 329

$$P_{i,j} = \log_2 \frac{|y_{i,j+1} - y_{i,j}| / y_{i,j}}{t_{i,j+1} - t_{i,j}}$$

330 We removed data points associated with extreme jumps (> 3 SDs away from the population mean jump, to 331 exclude possible technical errors) by excluding the observation farther from the individual's median value of 332 the trait across all time-points.

BMI and weight validation data. Participants with BMI and weight observations in UKBB assessment centre measurements who were not included in the interim release of the GP data were held out of discovery analyses (**Supp. Fig. 3**). This resulted in 245,447 individuals with at least one BMI observation and 230,861 individuals with at least one weight observation for replication of cross-sectional results. For the replication of longitudinal results, a subset of individuals was used comprising 17,006 individuals with multiple observations of BMI, and 17,035 individuals with multiple observations of weight, from repeat assessment centre visits.

Self-reported weight change data. At each UKBB assessment centre visit, participants were asked the question: "Compared with one year ago, has your weight changed?", reported as "No - weigh about the same", "Yes - gained weight", "Yes - lost weight", "Do not know", or "Prefer not to answer". We coded the 1-yr self-reported weight change response at the first assessment centre visit as an ordinal categorical variable with three levels: "loss", "no change", and "gain", excluding individuals who did not respond or responded with "Do not know" or "Prefer not to answer". We retained 301,943 individuals of white British ancestry that were not included in any of the discovery analyses.

Abdominal adiposity data. Similar to the BMI and weight validation datasets, we retained the 44,154 participants with multiple WC and hip circumference (HC) records across repeat assessment centre visits who were not included in the interim release of the GP data, and hence held out of discovery analyses. WHR was calculated at each visit by taking the ratio of WC to HC. We further calculated WC adjusted for BMI (WCadjBMI) and WHR adjusted for BMI (WHRadjBMI) values at each visit for which WC, HC, and BMI were recorded simultaneously by taking the residual of WC and WHR in linear regression models with BMI as the sole predictor.

353 Models to define baseline adiposity and adiposity change traits

Individual *i* has J_i data points represented as (measurement, age) pairs $(y_{i,j}, t_{i,j})$ for $j = 1, ..., J_i$ ordered chronologically, i.e. $t_{i,1} < ... < t_{i,J_i}$. The following models are all fitted separately in three strata: femalespecific, male-specific, and sex-combined.

Intercept and slope traits for GWAS. We implement a two-stage algorithm to estimate and preprocess local intercept and slopes of obesity traits to be taken forward to GWAS in both discovery and validation datasets.

1. Fit random-slope, random-intercept mixed model with the maximum likelihood estimation procedure in the $lme4^{113}$ package in R^{114} . We target two quantities: the baseline value of each individual's clinical trait (the $\beta_0 + u_{i,0}$ below); and the the linearly approximated rate of change in the trait during each individual's measurement window (the $\beta_1 + u_{i,1}$ below):

$$y_{i,j} = x_i^T \gamma + (\beta_0 + u_{i,0}) + (\beta_1 + u_{i,1}) \cdot (t_{i,j} - t_{i,1}) + \varepsilon_{i,j}$$
(1)
$$u_{i,k} \sim \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma_{u,k}^2), \qquad k = 1, 2$$

$$\varepsilon_{i,j} \sim \mathsf{N}(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2),$$

where individual-specific covariates x_i comprise: baseline age, (baseline age)², data provider, year of birth, and sex. Variance parameters $\sigma_{u,k}^2$ and σ_{ε}^2 are estimated. Fitting model (1) outputs fixed effect model estimates $\hat{\gamma}$, $\hat{\beta}_0$, $\hat{\beta}_1$ and BLUPs of the random effects $\hat{u}_{i,0}$ and $\hat{u}_{i,1}$.

2. Linearly adjust and transform the outputted BLUPs. We fit and subtract the linear predictor in each ofthe linear models:

$$\hat{u}_{i,0} = x_{0,i}^T \gamma_0 + \varepsilon_{0,i} \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{u}_{i,1} = x_{1,i}^T \gamma_1 + \varepsilon_{1,i} \tag{3}$$

where the intercept-adjusting covariates $x_{0,i}$ in (2) comprise: baseline age, (baseline age)², sex, year of birth, assessment centre, number of follow-ups, and total length of follow-up (in years). Slope-adjusting covariates $x_{1,i}$ in (3) comprise the same as $x_{0,i}$ but additionally include the intercept BLUP $\hat{u}_{i,0}$. We finally apply a deterministic rank-based inverse normal transformation¹¹⁵ to the residuals from fitting models (2) and (3). For example, the intercept trait for individual *i* taken forward to GWAS is

$$\tilde{u}_{i,1} = \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{r(\hat{u}_{i,1} - x_{0,i}^T \hat{\gamma}_0) - c}{N - 2c + 1} \right)$$
(4)

where $r(\hat{u}_{i,1} - x_{0,i}^T \hat{\gamma}_0)$ is the rank of the *i*th residual among all N residuals, the offset c is 0.5, and $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the standard Gaussian distribution.

Modelling nonlinear trajectories with regularised splines. We model non-linear changes in obesity traits using a regularised B-spline basis of degree 3 (i.e., a cubic spline model) with $n_{df} = 100$ degrees of freedom, incorporating $n_{df} - 4$ (i.e., $n_{df} - 3$ [degree] -1 [intercept]) knots that are spaced evenly across each individual's first T = 7500 post-baseline days ≈ 20.5 years. It is common practice in semi-parametric regression to use *regularised* splines with a relatively *large* number of knots, thereby allowing functional expressiveness without overfitting^{31,116}. Conditional on the spline coefficients, b_i , the likelihood for measurements y_i (individual *i*'s

382 J_i -vector of measurements taken at days $t_{i,1}, \ldots, t_{i,J_i}$ is

$$p(\boldsymbol{y}_i \mid \boldsymbol{b}_i, \sigma^2) = \mathsf{MVN}(\boldsymbol{y}_i \mid \boldsymbol{Z}_i \boldsymbol{X}_B \boldsymbol{b}_i, \ \boldsymbol{I}\sigma^2)$$
(5)

where: the n_{df} -vector \boldsymbol{b}_i contains the *i*th individual's spline basis coefficients; \boldsymbol{X}_B is the $(T+1) \times n_{df}$ matrix of spline basis functions evaluated at days $0, \ldots, T$ post-baseline; and \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a $J_i \times (T+1)$ matrix whose *j*th row extracts day $t_{i,j} - t_{i,1}$ post-baseline, i.e.,

$$[\boldsymbol{Z}_i]_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = t_{i,j} - t_{i,1} + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We specify an order-1 autoregressive (AR(1)) model as a smoothing prior on spline coefficients, \boldsymbol{b}_i , which vary smoothly around an individual-specific mean value, μ_i . On μ_i we specify a non-informative prior: $N(\mu_i \mid 0, \sigma_{\mu}^2)$ with large SD σ_{μ} . The resulting μ_i -marginalised prior for \boldsymbol{b}_i is

$$p(\boldsymbol{b}_{i}) = \mathsf{MVN}(\boldsymbol{b}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{0}, \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{B})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{B} := \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AR(1)} + \sigma_{\mu}^{2} \vec{\mathbf{1}}$$

$$[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{AR(1)}]_{k,k'} := \sigma_{AR(1)}^{2} \phi^{|k-k'|} ,$$
(6)

389 where: $\Sigma_{AR(1)}$ is the $n_{df} \times n_{df}$ autocovariance matrix implied by an AR(1) model with lag-1 autocorrelation 390 $\phi \in [0, 1)$ and scale parameter $\sigma_{AR(1)}^2 > 0$; and $\vec{1}$ is an $n_{df} \times n_{df}$ matrix of ones.

The prior at (6) and likelihood at (5) are a specific case of the Bayes linear model¹¹⁷, for which the posterior is available in closed form:

$$p(\boldsymbol{b}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{y}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{B}, \sigma^{2}) = \mathsf{MVN}(\boldsymbol{b}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{m}_{i}, \sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{V}_{i})$$

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{i} := \left(\boldsymbol{X}_{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i} \boldsymbol{X}_{B} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{B}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{i} := \boldsymbol{V}_{i} \boldsymbol{X}_{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{Z}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{y}_{i} .$$

$$(7)$$

The posterior at (7) can be evaluated separately and in parallel across individuals because the $(\boldsymbol{y}_i, \boldsymbol{b}_i)$ are conditionally independent across individuals *i* given the hyperparameters $\sigma_{AR(1)}^2$, ϕ , σ_{μ} and σ^2 . Values of hyperparameters in the smoothing prior are chosen subjectively, via visualisation of randomly selected samples of individual data trajectories, to reflect empirical levels of smoothness: $\sigma_{AR(1)}^2 := 2.5$, $\phi := 0.99$, $\sigma_{\mu} := 100$ (Supp. Fig. 4). We additionally compared cluster allocations for 5,000 randomly selected individuals across the following settings of hyperparameters: ($\sigma_{AR(1)}^2 := 0.5$, $\phi := 0.9$, $\sigma_{\mu} := 10$), ($\sigma_{AR(1)}^2 := 2.5$, $\phi := 0.99$, $\sigma_{\mu} := 100$), and ($\sigma_{AR(1)}^2 := 10$, $\phi := 0.999$, $\sigma_{\mu} := 500$) (Supp. Fig. 8).

400 For each trait separately, we set σ^2 to the median of its individual-specific maximum likelihood estimates

401 (MLEs), i.e., $\sigma^2 := \text{median} \left\{ \frac{1}{J_i} || \boldsymbol{y}_i - \boldsymbol{Z}_i \boldsymbol{X}_B \boldsymbol{m}_i ||_2^2 : i = 1, ..., n \right\}$ where each MLE is calculated from (5) 402 after substituting for \boldsymbol{b}_i its maximum a posteriori estimate, \boldsymbol{m}_i from (7) (**Supp. Table 12**).

The measurements y_i inputted into the likelihood for the regularised spline model at (5) are pre-processed by taking the standardised residual from the linear model with the following covariates: baseline age, (baseline age)2, data provider, year of birth, and sex, i.e. from the model $y_{i,j} = x_i^T \gamma + \varepsilon_{i,j}$ fitted across all i = 1, ..., Nindividuals and $j = 1, ..., J_i$ time points. Standardisation of residuals then proceeds by subtracting the mean and dividing by the SD of residuals across all individuals and time points.

408 We focus on individual *i*'s posterior change from baseline, i.e. on

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i := (0, u_{i,2} - u_{i,1}, u_{i,3} - u_{i,1}, \ldots)^T$$
$$\equiv \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{b}$$

409 where the *j*th row of D is $(e_j - e_1)^T$ and e_k is the *k*th basis vector, i.e. a column n_{df} -vector with zeroes 410 everywhere except the *k*th entry, which is one. To calculate the posterior for \tilde{b}_i we linearly transform the 411 posterior at (7) so that

$$p(\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i \mid \boldsymbol{y}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_B, \sigma^2) = \mathsf{MVN}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i \mid \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_i, \ \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{V}_i \boldsymbol{D}^T)$$
(8)

412 with m_i and V_i defined at at (7).

413 **Soft clustering of individuals by non-linear adiposity trajectory patterns.** See **Supp. Fig. 5** for an 414 overview of the clustering protocol.

Any two individuals typically have quite distinct measurement profiles, with different numbers of measurements taken at ages which may be quite disparate. Therefore the precision with which we can estimate any particular spline coefficient varies across individuals. To incorporate this heteroscedasticity into our clustering framework, we define the following scaled Euclidean distance between each pair of individuals (i, i') in the space of baselined spline basis coefficients:

$$d(i,i') = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{\text{df}}} \frac{([\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_i]_k - [\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_i]_k)^2}{([\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{V}_i\boldsymbol{D}^T]_{k,k} + [\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{V}_i\boldsymbol{D}^T]_{k,k})\sigma^2}}$$
(9)

420 where m_i and $\sigma^2 V_i$ are the posterior mean and covariance of individual *i*'s spine coefficients b_i taken from 421 (7). For each spline coefficient *k* in (9), the squared difference between individuals' *i* and *i*' mean coefficients 422 is standardised by the sum of the corresponding variances.

423 We perform k-medoids clustering using the the Partitioning Around Medoids (PAM) algorithm^{54, 55} as im-424 plemented in the pam function in the cluster package¹¹⁸ in \mathbb{R}^{114} . We train cluster centroids on a randomly

selected subset of 80% of individuals in each analysis strata. We filter individuals in the training set to retain only those with at least L = 2 observations. For a fixed number of clusters, K = 4, we initialize cluster membership according to bins $\mathcal{B}_{1:K}$ demarcated by the $0, \frac{1}{K}, \frac{2}{K}, \dots, 1$ empirical quantiles of the estimated

428 fold change in obesity trait between baseline and year M = 2:

$$\mathcal{B}_{k} := \left[\hat{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right), \hat{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{K}\right)\right) \qquad k = 1, \dots, K$$
$$\hat{F}(\cdot) := \text{empirical CDF of } \left\{\frac{[\boldsymbol{X}_{B}\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_{i}]_{M+1}}{[\boldsymbol{X}_{B}\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_{i}]_{1}}: i = 1, \dots, N\right\}$$
(10)
individual *i* in bin $k \iff \frac{[\boldsymbol{X}_{B}\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_{i}]_{M+1}}{[\boldsymbol{X}_{B}\boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_{i}]_{1}} \in \mathcal{B}_{k}$.

To ensure robustness, we run the clustering algorithm S = 10 times, each on a random sub-sample of size 5,000 (without replacement). For each clustering output s = 1, ..., S, we calculate the point-wise mean of each clusters' constituent individuals:

$$oldsymbol{c}_{k,s} := rac{1}{|\mathcal{C}_k^{(s)}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_k^{(s)}} oldsymbol{D} oldsymbol{m}_i$$
 (11)

For each clustering s, we observe all trajectories $c_{s,1:K}$ to be monotonic and non-overlapping (**Supp. Fig. 6**). We can therefore define ordered cluster means $c_{(k),s}$,

$$k < k' \iff [\mathbf{c}_{(k),s}]_j > [\mathbf{c}_{(k'),s}]_j \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n_{\mathsf{df}}$$

and average the *k*th ordered mean across *S* clusterings, where the highest-weight cluster mean is given by $c_{(1)}$ and the lowest by $c_{(K)}$:

$$c_{(k)} := rac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} c_{(k),s}$$

436 with corresponding point-wise standard errors (SEs). We investigate the sensitivity of the resulting clusters to number of clusters K, filter parameter L (minimum number of measurements), and the cluster initialisation 437 parameter M appearing in (10) via silhouette values¹¹⁹, which evaluate the similarity between cluster members 438 (cohesion) vs others (separation) (Supp. Fig. 6). We test values of K from $2, \ldots, 8$, filtering parameter 439 $L \in (2,5,10)$, and initialisation parameter $M \in (1,2,5,10)$ or random initialisation to choose a combination 440 of parameters that produces dense and separable clusters, i.e. K = 4, L = 2, M = 2. We also qualitatively 441 evaluate cluster centroids across all parameter settings (Supp. Fig. 7). Finally, we compared cluster 442 allocations over each of the 10 random trains for a set of 5,000 randomly sampled individuals held out of the 443 training splits (Supp. Fig. 9). 444

445 Once cluster centroids have been calculated, we define individual i's soft cluster membership probability of

belonging to cluster k as the posterior probability of being closest in Euclidean distance to cluster k's centroid:

$$\pi_{i,(k)} := \int \mathbb{I}\left(k = \underset{k'}{\operatorname{argmin}} ||\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i - \boldsymbol{c}_{(k')}||_2\right) \mathsf{MVN}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i \mid \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{m}_i, \ \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{V}_i \boldsymbol{D}^T) d\tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_i$$
(12)

447 where the second term in the integrand is the posterior from (7), and we approximate the integral in (12)
448 using 100 Monte Carlo samples from the posterior.

Finally, we validate the clustering by comparing cluster properties of the randomly selected 80% training set used to define cluster centroids, with the held-out 20% validation set. We assign each individual to the cluster for which they have highest membership probability and compare the proportion of individuals assigned to each cluster, as well as distributions of sex, baseline age, number of follow-up measures, and total length of follow-up of individuals assigned to each cluster. These metrics are similar across training and validation sets in all strata (**Supp. Table 13**).

Finally we take forward bounded logit-transformed cumulative cluster probabilities to GWAS. These outputs are defined as bounded logit($\pi_{i,(1)}$), bounded logit($\pi_{i,(1)} + \pi_{i,(2)}$), and bounded logit($\pi_{i,(1)} + \pi_{i,(2)} + \pi_{i,(3)}$), i.e., the bounded log odds of being in the highest (k1), highest two (k1 or k2), and highest three (k1, k2 or k3) weight clusters respectively. To prevent infinite log odds at $\pi \in \{0, 1\}$ we defined the following bounded logit transform¹²⁰:

bounded logit(
$$\pi$$
) \equiv logit $\left(\frac{(S-1)\pi + 0.5}{S}\right)$ $\pi \in [0,1]$,

460 where S = 100, the number of Monte Carlo samples from the posterior in approximating (12).

461 Genome-wide association studies

462 **QC of UK Biobank genotyped and imputed data.** Genotyping, initial genotype QC, and imputation were 463 performed by UKBB¹¹⁰. We performed post-imputation QC to retain only bi-allelic SNPs with MAF > 0.01, 464 info score > 0.8, missing call rate < 5%, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) exact test $P > 1 \times 10^{-6}$. 465 We additionally performed sample QC to exclude individuals with sex chromosome aneuploidies, whose self-466 reported sex did not match inferred genetic sex, with an excess of third degree relatives in UKBB, identified 467 as heterozygosity or missingness outliers, excluded from autosome phasing or kinship inference, and any other 468 UKBB recommended exclusions¹¹⁰.

Linear mixed model association analyses for quantitative traits. The following association analyses are all performed separately in three strata: female-specific, male-specific, and sex-combined. The intercept and slope traits for GWAS, i.e. $\tilde{u}_{i,0}$ and $\tilde{u}_{i,1}$ were tested for association with genetic variants, adjusted for the first 21 genetic principal components (PCs) and genotyping array, using the BOLT-LMM software⁸². A similar

protocol was followed for the logit-transformed soft clustering probability traits, i.e. $\pi_{i,1}''$, $\pi_{i,2}''$, and $\pi_{i,3}''$ with additional adjustments for baseline trait, baseline age, (baseline age)², sex, year of birth, assessment centre, number of follow-ups, and total length of follow-up (in years).

Fine-mapping SNP associations We identified putative causal variants at all GWS loci (defined by merging windows of 1.5 Mb around SNPs with $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), using FINEMAP¹²¹ to select variants (lead SNPs) with a posterior inclusion probability > 95%. Lead SNPs were annotated to the nearest gene transcription start site.

Classifying baseline BMI and weight SNPs as reported, refined, or novel obesity associations. We 480 curated a list of SNPs associated with any of 44 obesity-related traits in the GWAS Catalog 53 accessed 481 on 02 Nov 2021, henceforth referred to as "published obesity-associated variants" (Supp. Table 1). We 482 then conducted conditional analysis using GCTA-C0J0¹²² for each lead SNP in our GWAS and published 483 obesity-associated variants within 500 kb, classifying variants as reported, refined, or novel based on previously 484 recommended criteria⁴⁴. Reported SNPs in our study are those whose effects are fully accounted for by 485 published obesity-associated variants within 500 kb. Refined SNPs fulfill all of the following criteria: (1) the 486 refined SNP is correlated (LD $r^2 > 0.1$) with at least one published obesity-associated variant within 500 kb, 487 (2) the refined SNP has a significantly stronger effect (P < 0.05 in a two-sample t-test for difference in mean 488 effect sizes) on the BMI- or weight-intercept trait than published obesity-associated SNPs and also accounts 489 for the effect of published obesity-associated SNPs in conditional analysis (conditional P > 0.05), and (3) 490 published obesity-associated SNPs cannot fully account for the effect of the refined SNP in conditional analysis 491 (conditional P < 0.05). Finally, a SNP in our study was declared novel if it was not in LD with ($r^2 < 0.1$), 492 and conditionally independent of (conditional P < 0.05), all published obesity-associated variants within 500 493 kb. 494

495 Replication of GWS associations in UK Biobank hold-out sets

BMI and weight intercept-trait genetic associations. We created cross-sectional obesity phenotypes for the 245,447 individuals in the hold-out set for BMI and 230,861 individuals in the hold-out set for weight (Supp. Fig. 3) by retaining the observed trait value closest to the individual's median trait value (if multiple observations present). Deterministic rank-based inverse normal transformation¹¹⁵ was applied to the residual of the obesity trait adjusted for age, age², year of birth, data provider, and sex. We then tested this trait for association with genetic variants, adjusted for the first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array, using the BOLT-LMM software⁸².

BMI and weight slope-trait genetic associations. We created adiposity slope phenotypes for the 17,006 503 individuals with multiple observations of BMI and 17,035 individuals with multiple observations of weight from 504 repeat assessment centre visits (Supp. Fig. 3) with BLUPs from linear mixed-effects models as described 505 in the slope trait modelling section above. We tested for association of this slope trait with GWS variants 506 associated with adiposity change in our discovery analyses, adjusted for the first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping 507 array, via the linear regression framework implemented in PLINK¹²³. As PLINK does not account for family 508 structure, we compared each pair of second-degree or closer related individuals (kinship coefficient > 0.0884)¹¹⁰ 509 and excluded the individual in the pair having higher genotyping missingness. We repeated the same protocol 510 within each self-identified ethnic group of individuals not of white British ancestry. 511

Genetic associations with BMI and weight cluster probabilities. We fit regularised splines as detailed 512 above to the 17,006 individuals with multiple observations of BMI and 17,035 individuals with multiple obser-513 vations of weight from repeat assessment centre visits (Supp. Fig. 3). Soft cluster membership probabilities 514 for these individuals were calculated, and the three logit-transformed π_i traits were carried forward for asso-515 ciation testing with GWS variants associated with adiposity change in our discovery analyses. As above, we 516 517 pruned out second-degree or closer related individuals and performed association analysis, adjusted for baseline trait, baseline age, $(baseline age)^2$, assessment centre, first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array, via the linear 518 regression framework implemented in PLINK¹²³. We repeated the same protocol within each self-identified 519 520 ethnic group of individuals not of white British ancestry.

521 **Genetic associations with self-reported weight change.** We fit proportional odds logistic regression models 522 implemented in the MASS package¹²⁴ in R¹¹⁴ to estimate the additive effect of lead SNPs on self-reported one-523 year weight change coded as an ordinal categorical variable with three levels: "loss", "no change", and "gain" 524 in 301,943 individuals (described in the data section above). All models were adjusted for BMI, age, sex, year 525 of birth, data provider, assessment centre, first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array. We repeated the same 526 protocol within each self-identified ethnic group of individuals not of white British ancestry.

527 Power comparison to GIANT 2019 meta-analysis of BMI

We accessed publicly available summary statistics from the GIANT consortium's meta-analysis of BMI across UKBB and previous GIANT releases in female-specific (max N=434,793), male-specific (max N=374,755), and sex-combined strata (max N=806,834)⁴³. SNPs included in both the GIANT 2019 meta-analysis and our in-house BMI-intercept GWAS that reached GWS in either study were carried forward for power comparisons, resulting in 26,812 (female-specific strata), 22,123 (male-specific strata), and 82,559 (sex-combined strata) SNPs. Per variant, we calculated the χ^2 statistic (as $\frac{\beta^2}{SE^2}$) and obtained the ratio of $\chi^2_{in-house}$ to χ^2_{GIANT} . Median $\frac{\chi^2_{in-house}}{\chi^2_{GIANT}}$ across all GWS SNPs was then compared to the median ratio of sample sizes, i.e. $\frac{N_{in-house}}{N_{GIANT}}$,

535 to determine the boost in power over that expected from the sample size difference between the two studies.

536 rs429358 single-variant analyses

537 The following analyses were all conducted in female-specific, male-specific, and sex-combined strata.

Abdominal adiposity change traits. Slope changes in WC, WHR, WCadjBMI, and WHRadjBMI for up to 44,154 individuals with repeat observations were calculated using linear mixed-effects models, adjusted and rank-based inverse-normal transformed¹¹⁵ for genetic association testing as described in the slope modelling section above. We estimated the additive association of number of copies of the rs429358 minor allele (0, 1, or 2) with slope traits adjusted for the first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array via linear regression.

Longitudinal phenome-wide association. We curated a longitudinal research resource for 45 additional 543 quantitative phenotypes in up to 146,099 individuals of white British ancestry (Supp. Table 14, as identified 544 by Kuan et al.¹²⁵) by integrating UKBB assessment centre measurements with the interim release of primary 545 care records provided by GPs, with QC performed as described above for obesity traits. Slope changes in 546 each of these phenotypes were calculated using linear mixed-effects models described in (1). A deterministic 547 rank-based inverse normal transformation¹¹⁵, as described in (4), was applied to the slope BLUP $\hat{u}_{i,1}$. The 548 transformed slope trait was tested for additive association with number of copies of the rs429358 minor allele 549 (0, 1, or 2), adjusted for the intercept BLUP $\hat{u}_{i,0}$, baseline age, (baseline age)2, sex, year of birth, number of 550 551 follow-ups, total length of follow-up (in years), assessment centre, first 21 genetic PCs and genotyping array.

Identification of individuals with Alzheimer's or dementia diagnoses. We identified participants with codes for history or diagnosis of dementia in either primary care or hospital in-patient records (**Supp. Table 15**, as identified by Kuan *et al.*¹¹²). We performed sensitivity analyses for the replication of rs429358 associations with all obesity-change phenotypes after excluding up to 242 individuals of white British ancestry with recorded history or diagnosis of dementia.

557 SNP heritability and genetic correlations

We estimated the heritability explained by genotyped SNPs (h_G^2) and genetic correlations (r_G) between obesityintercept and obesity-change traits, from summary statistics, using LD score regression implemented in the LDSC software^{66, 126}, with pre-computed LD-scores based on European-ancestry samples of the 1000 Genomes Project¹²⁷ restricted to HapMap3 SNPs⁶⁷. The same protocol was followed to determine r_G between BMIintercept in our in-house study and BMI in the GIANT 2019 meta-analysis.

563 Sex heterogeneity testing

564 We tested for sex heterogeneity in the effects of adiposity-change lead SNPs by calculating Z-statistics and 565 corresponding P-values for the difference in female-specific and male-specific effects as:

$$Z_{sexhet} = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_{(F)} - \hat{\beta}_{(M)})}{\sqrt{(SE_{(F)}^2 + SE_{(M)}^2)}}$$

A similar statistic and test was used to determine heterogeneity between (h_G^2) of all traits in males and females, and r_G between obesity-intercepts and obesity-change traits in males and females.

568 Data and code availability

569 All generated summary statistics from GWAS will be made publicly available through the GWAS Catalog⁵³

570 upon publication. All code required to reproduce analyses are publicly available at: https://github.com/

571 lindgrengroup/longitudinal_primarycare/tree/main/adiposity/scripts/manuscript.

572 Acknowledgements

S.S.V. is supported by the Rhodes Scholarship, Clarendon Fund, and the Medical Sciences Doctoral Train-573 ing Centre at the University of Oxford. K.C. is supported by the University of Leicester (College of Life 574 Sciences) and Health Data Research UK. L.B.L.W. is supported by the Wellcome Trust (221651/Z/20/Z). 575 C.H. is supported by the Alan Turing Institute, the EPSRC grant Bayes4Health, Novartis, and Novo Nordisk. 576 C.M.L. is supported by the Li Ka Shing Foundation, NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, NIH 577 (1P50HD104224-01), Gates Foundation (INV-024200), and a Wellcome Trust Investigator Award (221782/Z/20/Z). 578 The research was supported by the Wellcome Trust Core Award Grant Number 203141/Z/16/Z with additional 579 support from the NIHR Oxford BRC. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under 580 Application Number 10844. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the 581 NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. 582

583 Competing Interests

C.H. reports grants from Novo Nordisk and Novartis; C.M.L. reports grants from Bayer AG and Novo Nordisk
and has a partner who works at Vertex. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- ¹ Bluher, M. Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **15**, 288–298 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30814686.
- ² Collaborators, G. B. D. O. et al. Health effects of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. N Engl J Med 377, 13-27 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28604169.
- ³ Must, A. et al. The disease burden associated with overweight and obesity. JAMA 282, 1523-9 (1999). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10546691.
- ⁴ Loos, R. J. F. & Yeo, G. S. H. The genetics of obesity: from discovery to biology. Nat Rev Genet 23, 120-133 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34556834.
- ⁵ Maes, H. H., Neale, M. C. & Eaves, L. J. Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. Behav Genet 27, 325-51 (1997). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 9519560.
- ⁶ Elks, C. E. *et al.* Variability in the heritability of body mass index: a systematic review and meta-regression. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 3, 29 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22645519.
- ⁷ Khera, A. V. et al. Polygenic prediction of weight and obesity trajectories from birth to adulthood. Cell 177, 587-596 e9 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31002795.
- ⁸ Hardy, R. *et al.* Life course variations in the associations between fto and mc4r gene variants and body size. Hum Mol Genet 19, 545-52 (2010). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19880856.
- ⁹ Silventoinen, K. *et al.* Changing genetic architecture of body mass index from infancy to early adulthood: an individual based pooled analysis of 25 twin cohorts. Int J Obes (Lond) 46, 1901-1909 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35945263.
- ¹⁰ Helgeland, O. et al. Characterization of the genetic architecture of infant and early childhood body mass index. Nat Metab 4, 344-358 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35315439.
- ¹¹ Couto Alves, A. et al. Gwas on longitudinal growth traits reveals different genetic factors influencing infant, child, and adult bmi. Sci Adv 5, eaaw3095 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/31840077.
- ¹² Hjelmborg, J. et al. Genetic influences on growth traits of bmi: a longitudinal study of adult twins. Obesity (Silver Spring) 16, 847-52 (2008). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18239571.
- ¹³ Fabsitz, R. R., Sholinsky, P. & Carmelli, D. Genetic influences on adult weight gain and maximum body mass index in male twins. Am J Epidemiol 140, 711-20 (1994). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/7942773.
- ¹⁴ Austin, M. A. et al. Genetic influences on changes in body mass index: a longitudinal analysis of women twins. Obes Res 5, 326-31 (1997). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9285839.
- ¹⁵ Xu, J. et al. Exploring the clinical and genetic associations of adult weight trajectories using electronic health records in a racially diverse biobank: a phenome-wide and polygenic risk study. Lancet Digit Health 4, e604-e614 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35780037.
- ¹⁶ Shilo, S., Rossman, H. & Segal, E. Axes of a revolution: challenges and promises of big data in healthcare. Nat Med 26, 29-38 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31932803.
- ¹⁷ Wolford, B. N., Willer, C. J. & Surakka, I. Electronic health records: the next wave of complex disease genetics. Hum Mol Genet 27, R14-R21 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29547983.
- ¹⁸ Wei, W. Q. & Denny, J. C. Extracting research-quality phenotypes from electronic health records to support precision medicine. Genome Med 7, 41 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 25937834.
- ¹⁹ Gottesman, O. et al. The electronic medical records and genomics (emerge) network: past, present, and future. Genet Med 15, 761-71 (2013). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23743551.

- ²⁰ Monda, K. L. et al. A meta-analysis identifies new loci associated with body mass index in individuals of african ancestry. Nat Genet 45, 690-6 (2013). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 23583978.
- ²¹ Postmus, I. et al. Pharmacogenetic meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of Idl cholesterol response to statins. Nat Commun 5, 5068 (2014). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 25350695.
- ²² Chiu, Y. F., Justice, A. E. & Melton, P. E. Longitudinal analytical approaches to genetic data. BMC Genet 17 Suppl 2, 4 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26866891.
- ²³ Fan, R. *et al.* Longitudinal association analysis of quantitative traits. *Genet Epidemiol* **36**, 856–69 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22965819.
- ²⁴ Furlotte, N. A., Eskin, E. & Eyheramendy, S. Genome-Wide Association Mapping With Longitudinal Data. Genetic Epidemiology 36, 463-471 (2012). URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10. 1002/gepi.21640. eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/gepi.21640.
- ²⁵ Goldstein, J. A. et al. Labwas: Novel findings and study design recommendations from a meta-analysis of clinical labs in two independent biobanks. PLoS Genet 16, e1009077 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33175840.
- ²⁶ Justice, A. E. et al. Genome-wide association of trajectories of systolic blood pressure change. BMC Proc 10, 321-327 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27980656.
- ²⁷ Gauderman, W. J. et al. Longitudinal data analysis in pedigree studies. Genet Epidemiol 25 Suppl 1, S18-28 (2003). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14635165.
- ²⁸ Ko, S. et al. Gwas of longitudinal trajectories at biobank scale. Am J Hum Genet **109**, 433–445 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35196515.
- ²⁹ Laird, N. M. & Ware, J. H. Random-Effects Models for Longitudinal Data. *Biometrics* **38**, 963–974 (1982). URL https://www.jstor.org/stable/2529876. Publisher: [Wiley, International Biometric Society].
- ³⁰ Xu, H. et al. High-throughput and efficient multilocus genome-wide association study on longitudinal outcomes. Bioinformatics 36, 3004-3010 (2020). URL https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btaa120.
- ³¹ Ruppert, D., Wand, M. P. & Carroll, R. J. Semiparametric Regression. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003). URL https://www. cambridge.org/core/books/semiparametric-regression/02FC9A9435232CA67532B4D31874412C.
- ³² Das, K. et al. A dynamic model for genome-wide association studies. Human Genetics **129**, 629–639 (2011). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-011-0960-6.
- ³³ Das, K. *et al.* Dynamic semiparametric Bayesian models for genetic mapping of complex trait with irregular longitudinal data. Statistics in Medicine 32, 509-523 (2013). URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/abs/10.1002/sim.5535. eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/sim.5535.
- ³⁴ Li. Z. & Sillanpää, M. J. A Bayesian Nonparametric Approach for Mapping Dynamic Quantitative Traits. Genetics 194, 997-1016 (2013). URL https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.152736.
- ³⁵ Li, J., Wang, Z., Li, R. & Wu, R. BAYESIAN GROUP LASSO FOR NONPARAMETRIC VARYING-COEFFICIENT MODELS WITH APPLICATION TO FUNCTIONAL GENOME-WIDE ASSOCIATION STUDIES. The annals of applied statistics 9, 640-664 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pmc/articles/PMC4605444/.
- ³⁶ Anh Luong, D. T. & Chandola, V. A K-Means Approach to Clustering Disease Progressions. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Healthcare Informatics (ICHI), 268–274 (2017).
- ³⁷ Hedman, A. K. et al. Identification of novel pheno-groups in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction using machine learning. Heart 106, 342-349 (2020). URL https://heart.bmj.com/content/106/5/ 342. Publisher: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and British Cardiovascular Society Section: Heart failure and cardiomyopathies.
- ³⁸ Lee, C. & Schaar, M. V. D. Temporal Phenotyping using Deep Predictive Clustering of Disease Progression. In Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, 5767–5777 (PMLR, 2020). URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/lee20h.html. ISSN: 2640-3498.

- ³⁹ Mullin, S. et al. Longitudinal K-means approaches to clustering and analyzing EHR opioid use trajectories for clinical subtypes. Journal of Biomedical Informatics 122, 103889 (2021). URL https: //www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1532046421002185.
- ⁴⁰ Lee, C., Rashbass, J. & van der Schaar, M. Outcome-Oriented Deep Temporal Phenotyping of Disease Progression. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 68, 2423–2434 (2021). Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering.
- ⁴¹ Carr, O., Javer, A., Rockenschaub, P., Parsons, O. & Durichen, R. Longitudinal patient stratification of electronic health records with flexible adjustment for clinical outcomes. In Proceedings of Machine Learning for Health, 220-238 (PMLR, 2021). URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v158/carr21a. html. ISSN: 2640-3498.
- ⁴² Sudlow, C. et al. Uk biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. PLoS Med 12, e1001779 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/25826379.
- ⁴³ Pulit, S. L. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for body fat distribution in 694 649 individuals of european ancestry. Hum Mol Genet 28, 166-174 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/30239722.
- ⁴⁴ Benonisdottir, S. *et al.* Epigenetic and genetic components of height regulation. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13490 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27848971.
- ⁴⁵ Mo, D. *et al.* Transcriptome landscape of porcine intramuscular adipocytes during differentiation. *J Agric* Food Chem 65, 6317-6328 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28673084.
- ⁴⁶ Tews, D. *et al.* Teneurin-2 (tenm2) deficiency induces ucp1 expression in differentiating human fat cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol 443, 106-113 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28088466.
- ⁴⁷ Han, Y. et al. Plasma cholinesterase is associated with chinese adolescent overweight or obesity and metabolic syndrome prediction. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 12, 685-702 (2019). URL https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31190929.
- ⁴⁸ Valle-Martos, R. et al. Liver enzymes correlate with metabolic syndrome, inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction in prepubertal children with obesity. Front Pediatr 9, 629346 (2021). URL https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33665176.
- ⁴⁹ Chen, V. P., Gao, Y., Geng, L. & Brimijoin, S. Butyrylcholinesterase regulates central ghrelin signaling and has an impact on food intake and glucose homeostasis. Int J Obes (Lond) 41, 1413-1419 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28529331.
- ⁵⁰ Jung, H. et al. Sexually dimorphic behavior, neuronal activity, and gene expression in chd8-mutant mice. Nat Neurosci 21, 1218-1228 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30104731.
- ⁵¹ Tian, M., Shinkura, R., Shinkura, N. & Alt, F. W. Growth retardation, early death, and dna repair defects in mice deficient for the nucleotide excision repair enzyme xpf. Mol Cell Biol 24, 1200-5 (2004). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14729965.
- ⁵² Pirastu, N. et al. Genetic analyses identify widespread sex-differential participation bias. Nat Genet 53, 663-671 (2021). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33888908.
- ⁵³ Welter, D. et al. The nhgri gwas catalog, a curated resource of snp-trait associations. Nucleic Acids Res 42, D1001-6 (2014). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24316577.
- ⁵⁴ Reynolds, A. P., Richards, G., de la Iglesia, B. & Rayward-Smith, V. J. Clustering Rules: A Comparison of Partitioning and Hierarchical Clustering Algorithms. Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Algorithms 5, 475-504 (2006). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10852-005-9022-1.
- ⁵⁵ Schubert, E. & Rousseeuw, P. J. Faster k-Medoids Clustering: Improving the PAM, CLARA, and CLARANS Algorithms. In Amato, G., Gennaro, C., Oria, V. & Radovanović, M. (eds.) Similarity Search and Applications, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 171–187 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019).
- ⁵⁶ Surakka, I. *et al.* The impact of low-frequency and rare variants on lipid levels. *Nat Genet* **47**, 589–97 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25961943.
- ⁵⁷ Hoffmann, T. J. et al. A large electronic-health-record-based genome-wide study of serum lipids. Nat Genet 50, 401-413 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29507422.

- ⁵⁸ Shen, L. et al. Whole genome association study of brain-wide imaging phenotypes for identifying quantitative trait loci in mci and ad: A study of the adni cohort. Neuroimage 53, 1051-63 (2010). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20100581.
- ⁵⁹ Nazarian, A., Yashin, A. I. & Kulminski, A. M. Genome-wide analysis of genetic predisposition to alzheimer's disease and related sex disparities. Alzheimers Res Ther 11, 5 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/30636644.
- ⁶⁰ Joshi, P. K. *et al.* Variants near chrna3/5 and apoe have age- and sex-related effects on human lifespan. Nat Commun 7, 11174 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27029810.
- ⁶¹ Pilling, L. C. et al. Human longevity: 25 genetic loci associated in 389,166 uk biobank participants. Aging (Albany NY) 9, 2504-2520 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29227965.
- ⁶² Lumsden, A. L., Mulugeta, A., Zhou, A. & Hypponen, E. Apolipoprotein e (apoe) genotype-associated disease risks: a phenome-wide, registry-based, case-control study utilising the uk biobank. EBioMedicine **59**. 102954 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32818802.
- ⁶³ Astle, W. J. et al. The allelic landscape of human blood cell trait variation and links to common complex disease. Cell 167, 1415-1429 e19 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27863252.
- ⁶⁴ Kettunen, J. et al. Genome-wide study for circulating metabolites identifies 62 loci and reveals novel systemic effects of Ipa. Nat Commun 7, 11122 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 27005778.
- ⁶⁵ Shrine, N. et al. New genetic signals for lung function highlight pathways and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease associations across multiple ancestries. Nat Genet 51, 481-493 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30804560.
- ⁶⁶ Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. et al. Ld score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genomewide association studies. Nat Genet 47, 291-5 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 25642630.
- ⁶⁷ International HapMap, C. et al. Integrating common and rare genetic variation in diverse human populations. Nature 467, 52-8 (2010). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20811451.
- ⁶⁸ Song, M. et al. Associations between genetic variants associated with body mass index and trajectories of body fatness across the life course: a longitudinal analysis. Int J Epidemiol 47, 506-515 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29211904.
- ⁶⁹ Bray, M. S. et al. Nih working group report-using genomic information to guide weight management: From universal to precision treatment. Obesity (Silver Spring) 24, 14-22 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26692578.
- ⁷⁰ Delahanty, L. M. *et al.* Genetic predictors of weight loss and weight regain after intensive lifestyle modification, metformin treatment, or standard care in the diabetes prevention program. Diabetes Care 35, 363-6 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22179955.
- ⁷¹ Delahanty, L. M. et al. Genetic predictors of weight loss and weight regain after intensive lifestyle modification, metformin treatment, or standard care in the diabetes prevention program. Diabetes Care 35, 363-6 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22179955.
- ⁷² Liou, T. H. *et al.* Esr1, fto, and ucp2 genes interact with bariatric surgery affecting weight loss and glycemic control in severely obese patients. Obes Surg 21, 1758-65 (2011). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/21720911.
- ⁷³ Sarzynski, M. A. *et al.* Associations of markers in 11 obesity candidate genes with maximal weight loss and weight regain in the sos bariatric surgery cases. Int J Obes (Lond) 35, 676-83 (2011). URL https: //www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20733583.
- ⁷⁴ Zhang, X. et al. Fto genotype and 2-year change in body composition and fat distribution in response to weight-loss diets: the pounds lost trial. Diabetes 61, 3005-11 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/22891219.
- ⁷⁵ Papandonatos, G. D. *et al.* Genetic predisposition to weight loss and regain with lifestyle intervention: Analyses from the diabetes prevention program and the look ahead randomized controlled trials. Diabetes 64, 4312-21 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26253612.

- ⁷⁶ McCaffery, J. M. et al. Genetic predictors of change in waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio with lifestyle intervention: The trans-nih consortium for genetics of weight loss response to lifestyle intervention. Diabetes 71, 669-676 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35043141.
- ⁷⁷ Holzapfel, C. et al. Association between single nucleotide polymorphisms and weight reduction in behavioural interventions-a pooled analysis. Nutrients 13 (2021). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/33801339.
- ⁷⁸ Nelson, M. R. *et al.* The support of human genetic evidence for approved drug indications. *Nat Genet* **47**, 856-60 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26121088.
- ⁷⁹ Beesley, L. J., Fritsche, L. G. & Mukherjee, B. A modeling framework for exploring sampling and observation process biases in genome and phenome-wide association studies using electronic health bioRxiv (2019). URL https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2019/05/14/499392. records. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2019/05/14/499392.full.pdf.
- ⁸⁰ Fry, A. *et al.* Comparison of sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of uk biobank participants with those of the general population. Am J Epidemiol 186, 1026-1034 (2017). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28641372.
- ⁸¹ Goudie, R. J. B., Presanis, A. M., Lunn, D., Angelis, D. D. & Wernisch, L. Joining and Splitting Models with Markov Melding. Bayesian Analysis 14, 81–109 (2019). https://projecteuclid.org/journals/bayesian-analysis/volume-14/issue-1/ URL Joining-and-Splitting-Models-with-Markov-Melding/10.1214/18-BA1104.full. Publisher: International Society for Bayesian Analysis.
- ⁸² Loh, P. R. et al. Efficient bayesian mixed-model analysis increases association power in large cohorts. Nat Genet 47, 284-90 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25642633.
- ⁸³ Li, H. et al. Triglyceride-glucose index variability and incident cardiovascular disease: a prospective cohort study. Cardiovasc Diabetol 21, 105 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35689232.
- ⁸⁴ Nuyujukian, D. S. et al. Blood pressure variability and risk of heart failure in accord and the vadt. Diabetes Care 43, 1471-1478 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32327422.
- ⁸⁵ Speakman, J. R. *et al.* Set points, settling points and some alternative models: theoretical options to understand how genes and environments combine to regulate body adiposity. Dis Model Mech 4, 733-45 (2011). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22065844.
- ⁸⁶ Muller, M. J., Geisler, C., Heymsfield, S. B. & Bosy-Westphal, A. Recent advances in understanding body weight homeostasis in humans. F1000Res 7 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 30026913.
- ⁸⁷ Nawaz, H., Chan, W., Abdulrahman, M., Larson, D. & Katz, D. L. Self-reported weight and height: implications for obesity research. Am J Prev Med 20, 294-8 (2001). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/11331120.
- ⁸⁸ Kowal, R. C., Herz, J., Goldstein, J. L., Esser, V. & Brown, M. S. Low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein mediates uptake of cholesteryl esters derived from apoprotein e-enriched lipoproteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86, 5810-4 (1989). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2762297.
- ⁸⁹ Kockx, M., Traini, M. & Kritharides, L. Cell-specific production, secretion, and function of apolipoprotein e. J Mol Med (Berl) 96, 361-371 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29516132.
- ⁹⁰ Garrison, R. J. et al. Obesity and lipoprotein cholesterol in the framingham offspring study. Metabolism **29**, 1053-60 (1980). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7432169.
- ⁹¹ Albrink, M. J. et al. Intercorrelations among plasma high density lipoprotein, obesity and triglycerides in a normal population. Lipids 15, 668-76 (1980). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7421421.
- ⁹² Panagiotakos, D. B., Pitsavos, C., Yannakoulia, M., Chrysohoou, C. & Stefanadis, C. The implication of obesity and central fat on markers of chronic inflammation: The attica study. Atherosclerosis 183, 308-15 (2005). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16285994.
- ⁹³ Purdy, J. C. & Shatzel, J. J. The hematologic consequences of obesity. Eur J Haematol 106, 306–319 (2021). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33270290.

- ⁹⁴ Cai, X. et al. Potassium and obesity/metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the epidemiological evidence. Nutrients 8, 183 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 27023597.
- ⁹⁵ Gillette Guyonnet, S. *et al.* lana (international academy on nutrition and aging) expert group: weight loss and alzheimer's disease. *J Nutr Health Aging* **11**, 38-48 (2007). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/17315079.
- ⁹⁶ von Hardenberg, S., Gnewuch, C., Schmitz, G. & Borlak, J. Apoe is a major determinant of hepatic bile acid homeostasis in mice. J Nutr Biochem 52, 82-91 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/29175670.
- ⁹⁷ Wang, J. et al. Apoe and the role of very low density lipoproteins in adipose tissue inflammation. Atherosclerosis 223, 342–9 (2012). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22770993.
- ⁹⁸ Blanchard, J. W. *et al.* Apoe4 impairs myelination via cholesterol dysregulation in oligodendrocytes. *Nature* 611, 769–779 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36385529.
- ⁹⁹ Greendale, G. A. *et al.* Changes in body composition and weight during the menopause transition. JCI Insight 4 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30843880.
- ¹⁰⁰ Davies, K. M., Heaney, R. P., Recker, R. R., Barger-Lux, M. J. & Lappe, J. M. Hormones, weight change and menopause. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 25, 874–9 (2001). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/11439302.
- ¹⁰¹ Chen, Y. W., Hang, D., Kvaerner, A. S., Giovannucci, E. & Song, M. Associations between body shape across the life course and adulthood concentrations of sex hormones in men and pre- and postmenopausal women: a multicohort study. Br J Nutr **127**, 1000–1009 (2022). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34187605.
- ¹⁰² Conroy, M. et al. The advantages of uk biobank's open-access strategy for health research. J Intern Med 286, 389–397 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31283063.
- ¹⁰³ Coady, S. A. *et al.* Genetic variability of adult body mass index: a longitudinal assessment in framingham families. *Obes Res* **10**, 675–81 (2002). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12105290.
- ¹⁰⁴ Singh, P. et al. Statins decrease leptin expression in human white adipocytes. Physiol Rep 6 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29372612.
- ¹⁰⁵ McCarron, D. A. & Reusser, M. E. Body weight and blood pressure regulation. Am J Clin Nutr 63, 423S-425S (1996). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8615333.
- ¹⁰⁶ Hernan, M. A., Hernandez-Diaz, S. & Robins, J. M. A structural approach to selection bias. *Epidemiology* **15**, 615–25 (2004). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15308962.
- ¹⁰⁷ Beesley, L. J. *et al.* The emerging landscape of health research based on biobanks linked to electronic health records: Existing resources, statistical challenges, and potential opportunities. *Stat Med* **39**, 773–800 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31859414.
- ¹⁰⁸ Kutcher, S. A., Brophy, J. M., Banack, H. R., Kaufman, J. S. & Samuel, M. Emulating a randomised controlled trial with observational data: An introduction to the target trial framework. *Can J Cardiol* **37**, 1365–1377 (2021). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34090982.
- ¹⁰⁹ Shortreed, S. M., Rutter, C. M., Cook, A. J. & Simon, G. E. Improving pragmatic clinical trial design using real-world data. *Clin Trials* **16**, 273-282 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 30866672.
- ¹¹⁰ Bycroft, C. *et al.* The uk biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* **562**, 203–209 (2018). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30305743.
- ¹¹¹ Team, U. B. UK Biobank Primary Care Linked Data (2019), version 1.0 edn. URL https://biobank. ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/showcase/docs/primary_care_data.pdf.
- ¹¹² Kuan, V. et al. A chronological map of 308 physical and mental health conditions from 4 million individuals in the english national health service. Lancet Digit Health 1, e63-e77 (2019). URL https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31650125.

- ¹¹³ Bates, D., Machler, M., Bolker, B. & S., W. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1–48 (2015). URL https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- ¹¹⁴ R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing* (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2021). URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- ¹¹⁵ Beasley, T. M., Erickson, S. & Allison, D. B. Rank-Based Inverse Normal Transformations are Increasingly Used, But are They Merited? *Behavior Genetics* **39**, 580–595 (2009). URL https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10519-009-9281-0.
- ¹¹⁶ Eilers, P. H. C. & Marx, B. D. Flexible smoothing with B-splines and penalties. *Statistical Science* 11, 89–121 (1996). URL https://projecteuclid.org/journals/statistical-science/volume-11/issue-2/Flexible-smoothing-with-B-splines-and-penalties/10.1214/ss/1038425655.full. Publisher: Institute of Mathematical Statistics.
- ¹¹⁷ O'Hagan, A. & Kendall, M. G. *Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics: Bayesian inference. Volume 2B* (Edward Arnold, 1994). Google-Books-ID: DIrEMgEACAAJ.
- ¹¹⁸ Maechler, M., Rousseeuw, P., Struyf, A., Hubert, M. & Hornik, K. *cluster: Cluster Analysis Basics and Extensions* (2022). URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=cluster. R package version 2.1.4 For new features, see the 'Changelog' file (in the package source).
- ¹¹⁹ Peter, J. R. Silhouettes: A graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster analysis. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 20, 53-65 (1987). URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0377042787901257.
- ¹²⁰ Smithson, M. & Verkuilen, J. A better lemon squeezer? maximum-likelihood regression with betadistributed dependent variables. *Psychol Methods* **11**, 54-71 (2006). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/16594767.
- ¹²¹ Benner, C. *et al.* Finemap: efficient variable selection using summary data from genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 1493–501 (2016). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 26773131.
- ¹²² Yang, J., Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E. & Visscher, P. M. Gcta: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet 88, 76-82 (2011). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21167468.
- ¹²³ Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation plink: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25722852.
- ¹²⁴ Venables, W. N. & Ripley, B. D. *Modern Applied Statistics with S* (Springer, New York, 2002), fourth edn. URL https://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS4/. ISBN 0-387-95457-0.
- ¹²⁵ Denaxas, S. *et al.* A semi-supervised approach for rapidly creating clinical biomarker phenotypes in the uk biobank using different primary care ehr and clinical terminology systems. *JAMIA Open* **3**, 545–556 (2020). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33619467.
- ¹²⁶ Bulik-Sullivan, B. et al. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. Nat Genet 47, 1236-41 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26414676.
- ¹²⁷ Genomes Project, C. *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68–74 (2015). URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26432245.

Ш	
Ĭ	
s. B	
cord	
) re	
(GF	
oner	
ictiti	
l pra	
nera	
d ge	
linke	
and	
sits	
re <i< td=""><td></td></i<>	
cent	
ient	
essn	
k ass	
banl	Jge
Bio	e rai
۱UK	ıartil
fron	er-qu
ated	= int
curs	۳ ج
cords	ġ.
al rec	tion,
guipr	evia
ngitı	ard d
in lo	tand
data	N 11
rait e	S.D.
ity t	ion,
obes	ervat
n of	obse
satio	یا ن
steris	, ob
harac	ndex
С Н	ass i
ble 1	Jy m
Tał	boc

Trait	Sex	Number of individuals	Number of obs.	Mean number of repeat obs. (SD)	Mean length of follow-up, years (SD)	Mean age at first obs., years (SD)	Median trait value at first obs. (IQR)
3MI, kg/m2	ш	88,243 (54.4%)	696,984	7.90 (7.34)	13.7 (6.63)	48.6 (9.68)	24.6 (22.2, 27.9)
3MI, kg/m2	Σ	73,965 (45.6%)	581,161	7.86 (7.12)	12.8 (6.55)	50.1 (9.59)	26.1 (24.0, 28.7)
Weight, kg	ш	96,625 (54.6%)	816,885	8.45 (8.33)	13.9 (6.62)	48.3 (9.63)	65.0 (59.0, 74.0)
Weight, kg	Σ	80.473 (45.4%)	666.258	8.28 (7.82)	12.9 (6.57)	50.0 (9.57)	81 6 (73 8 90 0)



Figure 2: Genome-wide novel and refined SNP associations with baseline obesity estimated over the measurement window for each individual. (A) Combined Manhattan plot displaying genome-wide SNP associations with obesity trait (BMI or weight) across female, male, and sex-combined analysis strata. Each point represents a SNP, with GWS SNPs ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) coloured in: green for previously published obesity associations, blue for SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD) ($r^2 > 0.1$) with published associations, yellow for refined SNPs that represent conditionally independent ($P_{conditional} < 0.05$) and stronger associations with baseline obesity than published SNPs in the region, and pink for novel associations (see Methods⁴⁴). Novel SNPs are annotated to their nearest gene. (B) Proportion of variance in baseline BMI and weight that can be explained by the fine-mapped independent lead SNPs in each strata. In green is the proportion of variance explained by previously published obesity-associated variants (and those in LD with these variants), while that explained by novel and refined variants is in pink. The numbers represent the number of lead SNPs in each of these categories (published / refined and novel).

Table 2 : k1, high/r TSS = tra	Lead SNPs iden moderate gain k nscription start si	titified from gen c1/k2, or high/r te, SE = standar	ome-wide moderate rd error, O	associatio gain and s R = odds ra	n studies (GW . teady k1/k2/k itio, CI = confid	AS) of posteria 3), independer lence interval	or probabili nt of baseli	ty of members ne obesity. M/	t hip in an a AF = minor	idiposity-chang allele frequency	ge cluster ((European	high gain ancestry),
Trait	SNP	chr:pos (hg37)	MAF	Nearest TSS	Adiposity- change cluster	Sex- combined OR (95% CI)	Sex- combined P-value	Female OR (95% CI)	Female P-value	Male OR (95% CI)	Male P-value	Sex- heterogeneit P-value
Weight	rs9467663	6:26021456	0.418	H4C1	k1	1.01 (1.01 - 1.01)	1.6e-09	1.01 (1.01 -	4e-06	1.01 (1 - 1.01)	0.00081	0.785
BMI	chr6:26076446	6:26076446	0.437	HFE	k1	1.01 (1.01 - 1.02)	2.1e-09	1.01 (1.01 - 1.02)	7.1e-06	1.01 (1.01 - 1.02)	2.7e-05	0.478
BMI	rs11778922	8:20493349	0.379	LZTS1	k1	0.99 (0.987 - 0.99 (0.987 - 0.994)	2.1e-06	0.984 (0.979 - 0.99	1.3e-08	0.998 (0.992 - 1)	0.41	0.000578
BMI	rs61955499	13:112565161	0.012	SOX1	k1, k2, or k3	0.978 (0.961 - 0.995)	0.012	0.935 (0.913 - 0.958)	3.4e-08	1 (0.978 - 1 03)	0.78	4.71e-05
Weight	rs12953815	18:2586907	0.496	NDC80	k1, k2, or k3	1 (1 - 1.01)	0.02	1 (0.995 - 1)	0.97	1.01 (1.01 - 1.02)	1.7e-08	2.03e-05
BMI	rs429358	19:45411941	0.156	APOE	k1	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	4.9e-19	1.03 (1.02 - 1.03)	3e-12	1.02 (1.01 - 1.03)	5.2e-08	0.764
BMI					k1 or k2	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	1.1e-17	1.02 (1.02 - 1 03)	2.6e-11	1.02 (1.01 - 1.03)	5.4e-08	0.689
BMI					k1, k2, or k3	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	2.5e-16	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	9.3e-11	1.02 (1.01 - 1.03)	2.5e-07	0.714
Weight					k1	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	1.8e-20	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	1.5e-11	1.02 (1.01 - 1.03)	8.9e-08	0.807
Weight					k1 or k2	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	2.7e-19	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	6.6e-12	1.02 (1.01 - 1.02)	6.8e-07	0.886
Weight					k1, k2, or k3	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	1.8e-17	1.02 (1.02 - 1.03)	1e-10	1.02 (1.01 - 1.02)	4.3e-06	0.875



Figure 3: Association of minor C allele of rs429358, missense variant in APOE, with various longitudinal phenotypes. (A) Effect size (beta) and 95% CI for associations of rs429358 with BMI and weight intercepts or linear slope change over time estimated from linear mixed-effects models in all analysis strata. (B) Left: OR and 95% CI for association of rs429358 with posterior probability of membership in the BMI and weight high-gain clusters (k1). Right: Modelled trajectories of standardised (std.) covariate-adjusted (adj.) BMI in carriers of the different rs429358 genotypes. (C) Proportion of individuals who self-report weight gain, weight loss, or no change in weight over the past year for carriers of each rs429358 genotype. (D) Effect size and 95% CI for associations of rs429358 with slopes over time of waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), adjusted for BMI (-adjBMI), estimated from linear mixed-effects models. (E) Effect size and 95% CI for associations of rs429358 with linear slope change in quantitative biomarkers over time, estimated from linear mixed-effects models. Across all panels, estimates of trait change are adjusted for baseline trait values, and P-values for significance are controlled at 5% across number of tests performed via the Bonferroni method. n.s.=non-significant





Figure 4: Genotyped SNP-based heritability of, and genetic correlation between, baseline obesity trait and obesity-change phenotypes. Left column: heritability (h_G^2) estimates and 95% CI, calculated using the LDSC software⁶⁶ on a subset of 1 million HapMap3 SNPs⁶⁷ for the following traits: baseline BMI and weight, estimated from intercepts of linear mixed-effects models of obesity traits over time (u0), linear slope change in obesity traits over time (u1 adj. u0), adjusted for intercepts, and posterior probability of membership in a high-gain BMI or weight cluster, adjusted for baseline trait value (prob(k1) adj. u0). Right column: Genetic correlation, r_G and 95% CI between the two obesity-change phenotypes and corresponding baseline obesity traits. In all panels, circles represent BMI, triangles represent weight; points are coloured by analysis strata (pink: female-sepcific, green: male-specific, grey: sex-combined). P-values display the level of significance of heterogeneity between the female- and male-specific estimates in each panel.