

# 1 Utilization and Factors Associated with Health Facility Delivery among Women of Reproductive Age in Rural Ethiopia: Mixed 2 effect logistic regression analysis.

---

3 Birhan Ewunu Semagn, Department of Public Health, Asrat Weldeyes Health Science Campus, Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia

## 4 **Abstract**

5 **Background:** Worldwide over 800 women lose their life each day from complication in pregnancy and child birth. Inequity by urban–rural  
6 residence is one of the most pronounced challenges in maternal health service coverage with women living in rural areas at a greater disadvantage  
7 than other women. This study aims to assess the magnitude and factors affecting the utilization of health facility delivery for the most recent live  
8 birth among women of reproductive age in rural Ethiopia.

9 **Methods:** This is a cross-sectional study based on a data from Ethiopian Mini Demographic and Health Survey 2019 dataset with a total weighted  
10 sample of 2900 women of reproductive age group in rural Ethiopia. Data cleaning, coding and labeling were done using STATA version 14  
11 software. Multilevel mixed effect logistic regression model was employed to identify associated factors.

12 **Result:** Only 44% of reproductive-age women in rural Ethiopia gave their most recent live birth in health institutions .In the multivariable  
13 multilevel binary logistic regression analysis educational status, wealth index, marital status, household family size, attending 4+ANC, had ANC  
14 in the first trimester of pregnancy and ANC by skilled provider were found to be statistically significant factors associated with health facility  
15 delivery.

16 **Conclusion:** In a rural part of Ethiopia, the prevalence of institutional delivery is low. Special emphasis should be given for mothers with no  
17 formal education, with poor household wealth index, married women, and mothers with more than five household family sizes. Furthermore  
18 implementing public health programs that target to enable women to have early first trimester Ante Natal Care, ANC by skilled provider and more  
19 frequent Ante Natal Care follow-up may increase the number of health facility deliveries.

20 **Key Words:** Health facility delivery, rural, EMDHS, Ethiopia

## Background

Based on recent evidence there were decline in number of women and girls who lose their life each year related to complications of pregnancy and childbirth, with a decline from 451,000 in 2000 to 295,000 in 2017. But Still, we are losing over 800 women each day in death from complications in pregnancy and childbirth(1). Despite all other reasons, low institutional delivery is one of the root causes of high maternal and newborn mortality(2).

Even though reducing global maternal mortality ratio (MMR) to lower than 70 per 100,000 live births is one of the Sustainable Development Goals(SDG) to be accomplished by 2030, maternal mortality mainly attributed to obstetric hemorrhage is still one of Africa's leading public health challenge(3, 4). Despite there was good progress in reducing maternal mortality in Sub-Saharan African countries, there are the most off-track achievements of region-based maternal deaths, where the burden is still highest in rural women as compared to those urban women(5). Over two thirds (68%) of all maternal deaths globally occurs in Sub-Saharan Africa with around 200,000 maternal deaths a year or 533 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births (1).

In low-income countries, most newborn deaths occur at home(6), and in rural Ethiopia, nearly one in every ten (11%) of neonates die before celebrating their first month of life, mainly during the first week(7). Institutional delivery is one of the key strategies for reducing maternal mortality and for ensuring safe birth by reducing and intervening in any complications that will occur to the mother and her newborn during delivery and up to 24 hours postpartum (8, 9).

36 Even though addressing people who are more disadvantaged and have lower levels of health service utilization is one of the key parts  
 37 of achieving SDG, inequalities by urban–rural residence are one of the most pronounced challenges in maternal health service  
 38 coverage with women living in rural areas at a greater disadvantage than other women(10). For achieving the 2030 development goal  
 39 of health facility delivery in sub-Saharan Africa narrowing the gap or inequity between the rural and the urban areas is one of the ways  
 40 forwarded (11). Studies highlight that the urban-rural difference in institutional delivery was higher in East Africa especially the  
 41 disparity is worst in the case of Ethiopia (12, 13). Although the Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia initiated a free delivery service  
 42 policy in all public health facilities to encourage mothers to deliver in health facilities, utilization of institutional deliveries remains  
 43 minimal with a pooled prevalence of 31% (2, 14). In Ethiopia, based on the most recent Ethiopian Mini Demographic and Health  
 44 Survey( EMDHS) 2019 report seventy percent of live births in the 5 years before EMDHS2019 from urban women were delivered in a  
 45 health facility while only forty percent of live births from rural women were delivered in a health facility(15). Therefore, highlighting  
 46 important factors for the designing and implementing tailored public health interventions for improving institutional delivery in rural  
 47 Ethiopia is needed.

48 Previous research has shown the magnitude and factors associated with institutional delivery in Ethiopia (14, 16-21), but as per the  
 49 knowledge of the author, no study in Ethiopia investigates the determinants of health facility delivery of reproductive-age women in  
 50 rural Ethiopia using nationally representative data. The very few studies conducted previously were either based on a small sample or  
 51 a small segment of the population of rural Ethiopia. Therefor this study aimed to fill this gap by assessing the magnitude and factors

affecting the utilization of health facility delivery for the most recent live birth among women of reproductive age in rural Ethiopia using data from the most recent EMDHS.

## Methods

### Study design, Data source, and Setting

This is a cross-sectional study conducted from October 10, 2022-December 29, 2022 using data extracted from the latest EMDHS 2019. The data were obtained from the Demographic and Health survey (DHS) website (<https://dhsprogram.com/data/>) after submitting a request justifying the aim of the study. The 2019 EMDHS is the second EMDHS and the fifth DHS conducted in Ethiopia from March 21, 2019, to June 28, 2019. The survey was implemented based on a nationally representative sample that provided estimates for the urban and rural areas at the national and regional levels. 8,885 women of reproductive age (age 15-49) were interviewed from a nationally representative sample of 8,663 households(15). Ethiopia is a country in the Horn of Africa with a total area of 1,100,000 km<sup>2</sup> and lies between latitude 3° and 15° north and longitude 33° and 48 east(22). During the time of the survey, Ethiopia had nine ethnic-based and politically autonomous regional states and two cities (Addis Ababa and Dire Dawa).

### Population and sampling procedure

This study used all women of childbearing age (15–49 years) with a live birth in the five years preceding the survey in rural Ethiopia. The most recent birth was considered for women with two or more live births during the five-year period. EMDHS 2019 used a two-

step stratified cluster sampling method, in which sample households were selected in cluster enumeration areas (EAs). In the first stage, 305 EAs were selected (93 in urban areas and 212 in rural areas) with probability in proportion to EA size. In the second stage, a fixed number of 30 households in each cluster were selected. A household listing operation was carried out in all selected EAs from January through April 2019. Further information related to the population, study area, data collection, sampling procedure, and questionnaires used in the survey were detailed in the 2019 EMDHS Report(15). In the current analysis, as shown in the figure (Fig 1), a weighted total of 2900 mothers who resides in a rural part of Ethiopia were included.

**Fig 1: The sampling procedure of study participants and the final sample size considered in this study from 2019 EMDHS dataset.**

## Study variables

An outcome variable is place of delivery, which is dichotomized as a “health facility” (if a woman gives birth in public, private, or NGO health institutions) and a “Non-health facility” (if a woman gives birth either in home or any other places) (23).

The potential covariates considered to have an association with health facility delivery were chosen based on prior literature and based on the presence of the variable of interest in the 2019EDHS dataset (14, 19, 21, 24). These variables were woman’s age, woman’s educational status, wealth index, religion, household family size, sex of household head, mass media exposure, Had ANC, Visiting skilled providers during Ante Natal Care (ANC), history of giving birth to a boy or girl who was born alive but later died, frequency of ANC, and the timing of ANC.

---

### Description and measurement of independent Variables

---

Age of respondents: the age of the women was re-coded into three categories with values of “1” for 15–24, “2” for 25-34 , and “0” for 35 and above

Educational status: this is the minimum educational level a woman achieved with a value of “0” for no education, “1” for primary education, “2” for secondary education, and “3” for higher education.

Wealth index: The datasets contained a wealth index that was created using principal components analysis coded as “poorest”, “poorer”, “Middle”, “Richer”, and “Richest in the EMDHS data set.” For this study, it was recoded into three categories “poor” (includes the poorest and the poorer categories), “middle”, and “rich” (includes the richer and the richest categories)

Marital status: This was the marital status of women at the time of survey and recoded into three categories with a value of “0” for never in union, “1” for those married or living with partner, and “2” for those widowed, divorced and no longer living together/separated

Religion: The variable religion was recorded as orthodox, Muslim, protestant, catholic, traditional, and other in the dataset and we used it without change.

Sex of household head: the variable sex of household head was recorded as male and female in the dataset and we used without change

---

98 Having a son or daughter died: A composite variable obtained by combining if a woman has a son or daughter died with a value of “0”  
99 if a woman didn't have a son or daughter died, and “1” if a woman has a son or daughter died.

100 Media exposure: A composite variable obtained by combining whether there was a radio and /or TV in the respondent’s household  
101 with a value of “0” if a woman didn't has either TV or Radio in her household and “1” if a woman has access to either of the media

102 Household size : The family size of the women's household re-coded into two categories with values of “0” for a family size greater  
103 than 5, and “1” for a family size of less than or equal to 5.

104 Region: Geopolitical features of regions were grouped in to three categories: Metropolitan for Harrar and Drie-Dawa, Large central  
105 for Amhara, Oromia, South Nations and nationalities and Tigray, and Small peripheral for Afar, Benishangule, Gambella, and  
106 Somalia.

107 Had ANC; if women reported any prenatal care, it is recorded as “Yes” if not “No”

108 Frequency of ANC visit: The number of ANC visits during pregnancy were categorized into two groups and recoded as 1 "yes “if a  
109 woman have greater than or equal to four ANC, and 0 "No “if a woman didn't have greater than or equal to four ANC visit for the  
110 most recent live birth

---

---

111 Timing of ANC visit: The timing of ANC visits were categorized into two groups and recoded as 1 "yes "if a woman have ANC  
 112 visit in the first trimester of her pregnancy to the most recent live birth , 0 "No "if a woman didn't have ANC visit in the first  
 113 trimester of their pregnancy to the most recent live birth.

114 ANC by skilled providers : A composite variable recoded as 1 "yes" if a woman received care from skilled providers, such as  
 115 doctors, nurses/midwives, health officers, and health extension workers, and 0 "no "if she didn't receive care from either of these  
 116 professions during her pregnancy of the most recent live birth

117

---

118

## 119 **Data management and analysis**

120 After extracting the data from EMDHS 2019, further coding and descriptive analysis were done using STATA version 14. The DHS  
 121 data was weighted using sampling weight, primary sampling unit, and strata before any statistical analysis to restore the  
 122 representativeness of the survey and to tell the STATA to take into account the sampling design when calculating standard errors to  
 123 get reliable statistical estimates. Due to the hierarchical nature of EMDHS data, women within the same cluster may be more similar  
 124 to each other than women in the rest of the country. This violates the assumption of independence of observations and equal variance  
 125 across clusters. This implies the need to use advanced models considering the between-cluster variability. Due to the dichotomous  
 126 nature of the outcome variable logistic regression and mixed effect Logistic regression were fitted. Model comparison was done using



127 Akaike's information criterion (AIC) value, Bayesian information criterion (BIC) value ,and Deviance Information Criteria (DIC)(25).

128 A Mixed-effect model with the lowest AIC, BIC, and DIC were chosen (Table 1).

129 Table 1 Model comparison between logistic regression and mixed effect Logistic regression

Proposed model	AIC value	BIC value	DIC value(-2*LL)
Logistic regression	3145.751	3277.43	3093.48
Mixed effect logistic regression	2929.42	3067.09	2876.76

130

131 Furthermore, the Intra-cluster Correlation Coefficient (ICC) value was 0.47 which is in support of choosing mixed effect Logistic  
132 regression over the basic model. Variables with  $\leq 0.2$  p-values in the bi-variable analysis were fitted in the multivariable model to  
133 measure the effect of each variable after adjusting for the effect of other variables. Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) with a 95%  
134 Confidence Interval (CI) and p-value  $< 0.05$  in the Multivariable model was declared as determinant factors associated with health  
135 facility delivery for the most recent live birth among women aged 15-49 in rural Ethiopia who had a live birth in the 5 years preceding  
136 the 2019 EMDHS. Multi-collinearity was also checked using Variance inflation factor (VIF), and a value of 10 was used as cut off.

### 137 **Ethical Consideration**

138 Since this study is based on publicly available secondary data, formal ethical approval was not required. The author obtained the data  
139 from Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) website (<https://dhsprogram.com/data/>) after an online request to access the data set.

140 Ethical procedures were done by the institutions that funded, commissioned, and managed the surveys, and no further ethical  
141 clearance was required. ICF international approved that all the DHS surveys follow the U.S. Department of Health and Human  
142 Services rules for respecting of human subjects' rights. Any personal identifiers like names, house numbers, and phone numbers were  
143 not included in the dataset. Furthermore as the study is secondary data analysis, gaining participants consent is not applicable to this  
144 study.

## 145 **Result**

### 146 **Characteristics of study populations**

147 This study includes a weighted number of 2900 reproductive aged women in rural Ethiopia, who gave birth in the last 5 years  
148 preceding the 2019 EMDHS, and was interviewed for their most recent live birth. The majority of the study participants (28.64) were  
149 between the age group of 25-34, and most of them (58.96 %) didn't have formal education. Furthermore, only (23.9 %) of them had  
150 media exposure to (TV or radio). The household wealth quintiles of (52.54%) of women were poor and below. Regarding their marital  
151 status and religion most of them were married /living with partner s(94.88%), and orthodox follower (36%) in religion .More than half  
152 (54%) of the participants had a household family size of more than 5 individuals, and around 89% of them were from households  
153 headed by males Table 2.

154 **Table 2** Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 in rural Ethiopia who had a live birth in the 5 years preceding the 2019 EMDHS by  
155 socio-demographic characteristics according to a place of delivery for the most recent live birth from March 21, 2019, to June 28,  
156 2019.

Variables	Place of delivery for the most recent live birth						
	Non-health facility		Health facility		Total		Weighted N
	%	CI	%	CI	%	CI	
Age of the respondent							
15-24	11.22	[9.16,13.67]	13.57	[11.28,16.23]	24.78	[22.46,27.27]	719
25-34	28.64	[24.93,32.67]	21.16	[17.99,24.71]	49.8	[46.92,52.69]	1444
>=35	16.15	[13.90,18.70]	9.26	[7.67,11.14]	25.42	[23.08,27.90]	737
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2900
Educational status							
no education	39.34	[34.48,44.42]	19.62	[16.68,22.93]	58.96	[54.67,63.12]	1,710
Primary	15.63	[13.02,18.64]	18.53	[15.36,22.18]	34.16	[30.92,37.55]	991

Secondary	1	[0.60,1.67]	4.7	[3.51,6.28]	5.7	[4.39,7.37]	165
Higher	0.04	[0.01,0.26]	1.13	[0.68,1.88]	1.18	[0.72,1.92]	34
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2,900
<b>Wealth-index</b>							
Poor	36.3	[30.28,42.80]	16.24	[13.01,20.08]	52.54	[46.33,58.67]	1,524
Middle	12.46	[9.96,15.48]	11.73	[9.36,14.59]	24.18	[20.62,28.14]	701
rich	7.25	[5.59,9.36]	16.02	[12.42,20.42]	23.28	[18.90,28.32]	675
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2,900
<b>Marital status</b>							
never in union	0.22	[0.08,0.55]	0.32	[0.12,0.85]	0.53	[0.26,1.07]	15
married/living with partner	53.17	[47.23,59.02]	41.71	[36.03,47.62]	94.88	[93.46,96.00]	2,752
divorced/no longer living together	2.63	[1.99,3.48]	1.96	[1.20,3.18]	4.59	[3.54,5.93]	133
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2,900
<b>Religion</b>							
Orthodox	18.01	[14.55,22.09]	18.01	[14.43,22.25]	36.02	[30.58,41.86]	1,045
Muslim	21.19	[15.44,28.36]	14.53	[9.61,21.38]	35.72	[27.69,44.65]	1,036

Protestant	15.2	[11.03,20.58]	11.01	[7.42,16.03]	26.21	[19.75,33.88]	760
Catholic	0.44	[0.13,1.43]	0.01	[0.00,0.02]	0.44	[0.14,1.42]	13
Traditional	1	[0.21,4.53]	0.37	[0.12,1.17]	1.37	[0.37,4.92]	40
Others	0.18	[0.05,0.60]	0.05	[0.01,0.31]	0.23	[0.09,0.63]	7
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2,900
<b>Sex of household head</b>							
Female	5.92	[4.62,7.55]	4.63	[3.64,5.88]	10.55	[8.82,12.57]	306
Male	50.1	[44.18,56.01]	39.35	[33.86,45.13]	89.45	[87.43,91.18]	2,594
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2,900
<b>Given birth to a boy or girl who was born alive but later died</b>							
No	52.87	[47.09,58.58]	42.64	[36.75,48.74]	95.51	[94.18,96.56]	2,770
Yes	3.14	[2.24,4.38]	1.34	[0.89,2.03]	4.49	[3.44,5.82]	130
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2,900
<b>Household size</b>							
Greater than 5	34.89	[30.33,39.74]	19.2	[16.11,22.71]	54.08	[50.42,57.70]	1,568

Less than or equal to five	21.13	[18.43,24.11]	24.79	[20.93,29.09]	45.92	[42.30,49.58]	1,332
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2,900
<b>Geopolitical features of regions</b>							
Metropolitans	0.27	[0.21,0.34]	0.3	[0.18,0.48]	0.57	[0.45,0.71]	16
Small peripheral regions	6.08	[5.14,7.18]	1.82	[1.35,2.46]	7.9	[6.95,8.97]	229
large central regions	49.66	[43.54,55.80]	41.87	[35.95,48.03]	91.53	[90.44,92.50]	2,655
Total	56.01	[49.85,62.00]	43.99	[38.00,50.15]	100		2,900
<b>Media exposure (radio &amp; TV)</b>							
no media exposure	45.94	[40.68,51.29]	30.16	[25.80,34.91]	76.1	[72.97,78.97]	2,182
has media exposure	10.32	[8.27,12.80]	13.58	[10.76,17.00]	23.9	[21.03,27.03]	685
Total	56.26	[50.08,62.25]	43.74	[37.75,49.92]	100		2,868**

---

\*\* N=2868 because 32 respondents were not de jure residents to be asked about their media exposure.

## The Magnitude of health institution delivery, and ANC Visit of the study population's ANC visit for their most recent live birth

Only 44% [38.00, 50.15] of reproductive-age women in rural Ethiopia gave their most recent live birth in health institutions. Even though most of the participants 67.94% [62.78, 72.70] had started ANC visit in their first trimester of pregnancy, the majority of them 62.52% [58.51, 66.37] didn't attend four or more ANC visit Fig 2.

163 **Fig 2: Place of delivery, and ANC visit for the most recent live birth among women of age 15-49 in rural Ethiopia who had a**  
 164 **live birth in the 5 years preceding the 2019 EMDHS.**

### 165 **Factors associated with Health Facility Delivery for the Most Recent Live Birth**

166 Variables like had ANC at some point during pregnancy were excluded from the analysis because of multi-collinearity with having  
 167 ANC from skilled provider. Variables like the sex of the household head, and having a son or daughter died were excluded from  
 168 multivariable analysis since their p-value was greater than 0.2 at bi-variable analysis. In the multivariable multilevel binary logistic  
 169 regression analysis educational status ,wealth index, marital status, household family size, attending 4+ANC, had ANC in the first  
 170 trimester of pregnancy ,and ANC from skilled provider were found to be statistically significant factors associated with health  
 171 facility delivery for the most recent live birth among women of reproductive age in rural Ethiopia.

172 The odds of giving birth at a health facility for the most recent live birth among women of reproductive age in rural Ethiopia  
 173 adolescent girls with the educational status of primary, secondary and higher education were 1.80 (AOR = 1.80, 95% CI: 1.41 - 2.29),  
 174 3.67 (AOR = 3.67, 95% CI: 2.22 - 6.07), and 13.71 (AOR = 13.71, 95% CI: 4.35 - 43.22) times higher than women of reproductive  
 175 age in rural Ethiopia with no formal education.

176 The probability of giving birth in health facilities increased as the household wealth index increased. The middle wealth quintiles were  
 177 1.51 (AOR=1.51, 95%CI: 1.14 - 2.00) times more likely to give birth in a health facility than those in the poor wealth quintiles. The

178 rich wealth quintiles were 2.72 (AOR=2.72, 95%CI: 1.94 - 3.80) times more likely to deliver their most recent live birth in a health  
179 facility than those in the poor wealth quintiles.

180 Women who married/living with partner lower odds of giving birth in a health facility by 69% (AOR = 0.31; 95% CI: 0.10 - 0.99) as  
181 compared with never in union.

182 The odds of giving birth at a health facility was 1.28times (AOR = 1.28; 95% CI: 1.02 - 1.60) higher among women with household  
183 family size of less than or equal to five compared to women with household family size of above five.

184 Looking at the frequency of ANC visit women made for the most recent live birth in 5 years preceding the 2019 EMDHS, women who  
185 had more than four ANC visit had 2.12 times (AOR = 2.12; 95%CI: 1.68 - 2.69) higher odds of giving birth at a health facility as  
186 compared to their counterparts.

187 Mothers who had ANC visit in the first trimester for the most recent live birth were 1.45 times more likely to give birth in a health  
188 facility than women who didn't have an ANC visit in the first trimester of their pregnancy (AOR=1.45.95%CI=1.13 - 1.85)

189 Moreover, the odds of giving birth at a health facility was 3.05 times (AOR = 3.05; 95% CI: 2.36 -3.93) higher among women who  
190 had ANC from skilled provider compared to women who did not had ANC from skilled provider Table3.

191 Table 3 Bi-variable and multivariable multilevel binary logistic regression analysis of factors associated with health facility delivery  
192 for the most recent live birth among women aged 15-49 in rural Ethiopia who had a live birth in the 5 years preceding the 2019  
193 EMDHS.



Variables		COR(95%CI)		AOR (95%CI)	
Age of respondents	15-24	2.55**	1.95 - 3.34	1.07	0.76 - 1.49
	25-34	1.38**	1.09 - 1.73	0.88	0.68 - 1.14
	>=35	1		1	
Educational status	No education	1		1	
	Primary	2.28**	1.85 - 2.82	1.80***	1.41 - 2.29
	Secondary	8.93**	5.33 - 14.99	3.67***	2.22 - 6.07
	Higher	86.92**	12.15 - 621.77	13.71***	4.35 - 43.22
Wealth index	Poor	1			
	Middle	1.89**	1.48 - 2.42	1.51***	1.14 - 2.00
	Rich	3.78**	2.85 - 5.01	2.72***	1.94 - 3.80
Marital status	Never in union	1		1	
	Married/living with partner	0.61	0.17 - 2.22	0.31***	0.10 - 0.99
	Divorced/no longer living together	0.40**	0.10 - 1.55	0.33	0.10 - 1.11

Religion	Orthodox	1		1	
	Muslim	0.66**	0.42 - 1.06	0.97	0.63 - 1.48
	Protestant	1.01	0.65 - 1.55	0.79	0.53 - 1.18
	Catholic	0.02**	0.00 - 1.94	0.29	0.08 - 1.02
	Traditional	0.16**	0.06 - 0.42	0.90	0.32 - 2.58
	Others	0.45	0.05 - 4.08	2.21	0.43 - 11.42
Sex of household head	Female	1			
	Male	1.08	0.78 - 1.48		
Having son or daughter died	No	1			
	Yes	0.74	0.47 - 1.18		
Household size	Greater than five	1		1	
	Less than or equal to five	1.96**	1.62 - 2.38	1.28***	1.02 - 1.60
Geopolitical features of regions	Metropolitans	1		1	
	Small peripheral regions	0.30**	0.07 - 1.31	0.81	0.40 - 1.65
	Large central regions	1.01	0.24 - 4.13	0.99	0.47 - 2.07
Media exposure (radio & TV)	No media exposure	1		1	

	has media exposure	1.68**	1.34 - 2.12	1.02	0.78 - 1.32
Attend 4+ANC visit	No	1		1	
	Yes	3.47**	2.83 - 4.25	2.12***	1.68 - 2.69
Had ANC in the first Trimester of pregnancy	No	1		1	
	Yes	2.59**	2.06- 3.27	1.45***	1.13 - 1.85
ANC from Skilled provider	No	1		1	
	Yes	4.64**	3.65-5.88	3.05***	2.36 - 3.93

---

COR\*\* p<0.2 AOR\*\*\* p<0.05

## Discussion

This study aimed to assess the magnitude and factors affecting the utilization of health facility delivery of the most recent live birth among women of reproductive age in rural Ethiopia using data from the most recent EMDHS 2019. According to this study, only 44% of reproductive-age women in rural Ethiopia gave their most recent live birth in health institutions. This is consistence with a study conducted in different parts of Ethiopia(19, 26, 27), and rural Haiti(28).This magnitude of institutional delivery is lower than a study conducted in northwest Ethiopia(8, 29), women in rural Ghana(30-32), and rural women in Nepal(33), and it's higher than a study conducted in Nigeria(34). This might be because of the studies conducted in northwest Ethiopia were based on a small sample or small segment of a population of rural Ethiopia while the current study is based on representative data of the whole women of

203 reproductive age in rural Ethiopia. And also it might be due to the differences in socio-cultural characteristics as well as difference in  
204 utilization of maternal health services like ANC service. In this study Majority of study populations 62.52% didn't attend four or more  
205 ANC visits for the most recent pregnancy whereas a study conducted in Ghana reports that 67.9% ,and 75% of women attend four or  
206 more ANC visit during their recent pregnancy (31, 32).

207 In multivariable multilevel logistic regression analysis educational status, wealth index, marital status, household family size,  
208 attending 4+ANC, ANC in the first trimester of pregnancy, and ANC by skilled provider were found to be statistically significant  
209 factors associated with health facility delivery for the most recent live birth among women of reproductive age in rural Ethiopia.  
210 Consistent with different studies conducted in Ethiopia (19, 35), Bangladesh (36), Ghana (31, 37), and Senegal (38)the probability of  
211 delivering in a health facility increases parallel with increasing women's educational status. Women with Primary, secondary, and  
212 higher educational status had higher odds of giving birth in a health facility compared with women with no formal education. This  
213 might be because women with good educational status might have better information processing skills and improved cognitive skills  
214 that enable them to understand the purpose of health facility delivery and the risk of home delivery, which will result in the confidence  
215 to choose health facilities as a place of delivery (35).Moreover, women with good educational status might have a high chance of  
216 reading and understanding information about health facility delivery(37).

217 In this study wealth index is another most important variable significantly associated with giving birth in a health facility for the most  
218 recent live birth among women of reproductive age in rural Ethiopia. That is women with middle and higher household wealth indexes

219 were more likely to report institutional delivery as compared with women with poor household wealth indexes. This finding is  
220 consistent with a study conducted in Ethiopia (19, 35, 39), Uganda (40), India (41), Ghana (37, 42), and Cambodia (43). Such  
221 discrepancy associated with wealth status might be due to the cost of transportation and any other extra cost associated with giving  
222 birth in health institutions (39). In addition, women with poor household wealth status might have a low educational status that in turn  
223 affects their decision to give birth in health facility.

224 The household family size had a significant association with giving birth at a health facility in which women from household family  
225 size of less than five have higher odds of giving birth in a health facility. The potential justification for this discrepancy might be as a  
226 consequence of a small number of family size women might have a good economy to cover the transportation and related costs while  
227 traveling to health facilities.

228 Moreover, in this study the frequency of ANC visit women made for the most recent live birth was significantly associated with the  
229 place of delivery, meaning that women who had more than four ANC visit had a higher probability of giving birth at a health facility  
230 as compared to their counterparts. This is in line with the previous study conducted in Ethiopia (8, 24, 35), and Ghana (31). This might  
231 be due to the exposure of women with frequent ANC to repeated counseling about birth preparedness and complication readiness that  
232 can encourage mothers to deliver at a health facility(8).

233 Furthermore, Mothers who had ANC visit in the first trimester for the most recent live birth were more likely to give birth in health  
234 facility than women who didn't have ANC visit in the first trimester. This is consistent with a study conducted in Ghana (32), this

might be due to the opportunity women got to have frequent contact with health professionals that will enable them to get adequate information on the benefit of giving birth in a health facility to themselves and their newborn's health, as well as the women might acquire good awareness about the possible complications related with home delivery (32).

One of the strengths of this study was its trial to fill the gap of equity by addressing rural women by using large population-based data with large sample size, so it can be generalized to all women of reproductive age group in rural Ethiopia, and it will help as a baseline information to provide audience specific/tailored public health interventions in rural Ethiopia. Furthermore, the use of advanced statistical methods capable of accommodating the hierarchal nature of DHS data is also strength. This study might have limitations. First, since the author used secondary data some potentially important predictors were not available like distance from a health facility, knowledge, and attitude towards health facility delivery. Secondly, EMDHS 2019 was a questionnaire-based survey and asked women about their live births for the past five years before the survey, so recall bias might be the other limitation, but the author tried to minimize this by considering only the most recent live birth with in the past five years of the survey.

## Conclusion

In a rural part of Ethiopia, the prevalence of institutional delivery is low. Health facility delivery among reproductive age women of rural Ethiopia was significantly associated with educational status, wealth index, marital status, household family size, attending 4+ ANC, having ANC visits in the first trimester of pregnancy and ANC by skilled provider. Thus, especial emphasis should be given to

those mothers with no formal education, with poor household wealth index, and mothers with more than five household family sizes. Furthermore implementing public health programs that target to enable women to have early first trimester ANC with more frequent ANC follow-up, and ANC by skilled provider may be an effective way to increase the number of health facility deliveries.

## Abbreviations

ANC: Ante Natal Care, AOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio. CI: Confidence Interval, COR: Crude Odds Ratio, DHS; Demographic and Health Survey  
EMDHS: Ethiopian Mini Demographic and Health Survey, SDG: Sustainable Development Goal

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to extend his acknowledgment to the measure DHS for providing the data.

## References

1. WHO U. UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division. Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2017: estimates by WHO, UNICEF. UNFPA, world bank group and the United nations population division. Geneva ...; 2019.
2. Amdie FZ, Landers T, Woo K. Institutional delivery in Ethiopia: Alternative Options for Improvement. International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences. 2022;100436.
3. Organization WH. World health statistics 2016: monitoring health for the SDGs sustainable development goals: World Health Organization; 2016.
4. Callister LC, Edwards JE. Sustainable Development Goals and the Ongoing Process of Reducing Maternal Mortality. Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing. 2017;46(3):e56-e64.
5. Gebremichael SG, Fenta SM. Determinants of institutional delivery in Sub-Saharan Africa: findings from Demographic and Health Survey (2013–2017) from nine countries. Tropical Medicine and Health. 2021;49(1):45.

- 269 6. Paul VK, editor The current state of newborn health in low income countries and the way forward. Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal  
270 Medicine; 2006: Elsevier.
- 271 7. Eshete A, Alemu A, Zerfu TA. Magnitude and Risk of Dying among Low Birth Weight Neonates in Rural Ethiopia: A Community-Based  
272 Cross-Sectional Study. International journal of pediatrics. 2019;2019:9034952.
- 273 8. Eshete T, Legesse M, Ayana M. Utilization of institutional delivery and associated factors among mothers in rural community of Pawe  
274 Woreda northwest Ethiopia, 2018. BMC research notes. 2019;12(1):395.
- 275 9. Kebede A, Hassen K, Nigussie Teklehaymanot A. Factors associated with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia. International  
276 journal of women's health. 2016;8:463-75.
- 277 10. Sully EA, Biddlecom AS, Darroch JE. Not all inequalities are equal: differences in coverage across the continuum of reproductive health  
278 services. BMJ global health. 2019;4(5):e001695.
- 279 11. Doctor HV, Nkhana-Salimu S, Abdulsalam-Anibilowo M. Health facility delivery in sub-Saharan Africa: successes, challenges, and  
280 implications for the 2030 development agenda. BMC public health. 2018;18(1):765.
- 281 12. Dewau R, Angaw DA, Kassa GM, Dagnaw B, Yeshaw Y, Muche A, et al. Urban-rural disparities in institutional delivery among women in  
282 East Africa: A decomposition analysis. PloS one. 2021;16(7):e0255094.
- 283 13. Bobo FT, Yesuf EA, Woldie M. Inequities in utilization of reproductive and maternal health services in Ethiopia. International journal for  
284 equity in health. 2017;16(1):105.
- 285 14. Nigusie A, Azale T, Yitayal M. Institutional delivery service utilization and associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and META-  
286 analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020;20(1):364.
- 287 15. . EPHIEEal. Ethiopia Mini Demographic and Health  
  
288 Survey 2019: Final Report. Rockville, Maryland, USA: EPHI and ICF. 2021.
- 289 16. Chernet AG, Dumga KT, Cherie KT. Home Delivery Practices and Associated Factors in Ethiopia. Journal of reproduction & infertility.  
290 2019;20(2):102-8.
- 291 17. Yaya S, Bishwajit G, Ekholuenetale M, Shah V, Kadio B, Udenigwe O. Factors associated with maternal utilization of health facilities for  
292 delivery in Ethiopia. International health. 2018;10(4):310-7.
- 293 18. Yoseph M, Abebe SM, Mekonnen FA, Sisay M, Gonete KA. Institutional delivery services utilization and its determinant factors among  
294 women who gave birth in the past 24 months in Southwest Ethiopia. BMC health services research. 2020;20(1):265.
- 295 19. Berelie Y, Yeshiwas D, Yismaw L, Alene M. Determinants of institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia: a population based cross  
296 sectional study. BMC public health. 2020;20(1):1077.
- 297 20. Gilano G, Hailegebreal S, Seboka BT. Determinants and spatial distribution of institutional delivery in Ethiopia: evidence from Ethiopian  
298 Mini Demographic and Health Surveys 2019. Archives of Public Health. 2022;80(1):1-12.
- 299 21. Hassen SS, Jemal SS, Bambo Mm, Lelisho ME, Tareke SA, Merera AM, et al. Multilevel analysis of factors associated with utilization of  
300 institutional delivery in Ethiopia. Women's Health. 2022;18:17455057221099505.
- 301 22. Tessema ZT, Tamirat KS. Determinants of high-risk fertility behavior among reproductive-age women in Ethiopia using the recent  
302 Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey: a multilevel analysis. Tropical Medicine and Health. 2020;48(1):1-9.



23. Asefa A, Gebremedhin S, Messele T, Letamo Y, Shibru E, Alano A, et al. Mismatch between antenatal care attendance and institutional delivery in south Ethiopia: A multilevel analysis. *BMJ open*. 2019;9(3):e024783.
24. Fekadu A, Yitayal M, Alemayehu GA, Abebe SM, Ayele TA, Tariku A, et al. Frequent Antenatal Care Visits Increase Institutional Delivery at Dabat Health and Demographic Surveillance System Site, Northwest Ethiopia. *Journal of pregnancy*. 2019;2019:1690986.
25. Hamaker EL, van Hattum P, Kuiper RM, Hoijtink H. Model selection based on information criteria in multilevel modeling. *Handbook of advanced multilevel analysis*. 2011:231-55.
26. Arba MA, Darebo TD, Koyira MM. Institutional Delivery Service Utilization among Women from Rural Districts of Wolaita and Dawro Zones, Southern Ethiopia; a Community Based Cross-Sectional Study. *PloS one*. 2016;11(3):e0151082.
27. Tekelab T, Yadecha B, Melka AS. Antenatal care and women's decision making power as determinants of institutional delivery in rural area of Western Ethiopia. *BMC research notes*. 2015;8:769.
28. Séraphin MN, Ngnie-Teta I, Ayoya MA, Khan MR, Striley CW, Boldon E, et al. Determinants of institutional delivery among women of childbearing age in rural Haiti. *Maternal and child health journal*. 2015;19(6):1400-7.
29. Nigusie A, Azale T, Yitayal M, Derseh L. Institutional delivery and associated factors in rural communities of Central Gondar Zone, Northwest Ethiopia. *PloS one*. 2021;16(7):e0255079.
30. Boah M, Adampah T, Jin B, Wan S, Mahama AB, Hyzam D, et al. "I couldn't buy the items so I didn't go to deliver at the health facility" Home delivery among rural women in northern Ghana: A mixed-method analysis. *PloS one*. 2020;15(3):e0230341.
31. Gudu W, Addo B. Factors associated with utilization of skilled service delivery among women in rural Northern Ghana: a cross sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17(1):159.
32. Boah M, Mahama AB, Ayamga EA. They receive antenatal care in health facilities, yet do not deliver there: predictors of health facility delivery by women in rural Ghana. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18(1):125.
33. Sharma SR, Poudyal AK, Devkota BM, Singh S. Factors associated with place of delivery in rural Nepal. *BMC public health*. 2014;14:306.
34. Adewuyi EO, Zhao Y, Auta A, Lamichhane R. Prevalence and factors associated with non-utilization of healthcare facility for childbirth in rural and urban Nigeria: Analysis of a national population-based survey. *Scandinavian journal of public health*. 2017;45(6):675-82.
35. Fekadu GA, Ambaw F, Kidanie SA. Facility delivery and postnatal care services use among mothers who attended four or more antenatal care visits in Ethiopia: further analysis of the 2016 demographic and health survey. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1):64.
36. Pervin J, Venkateswaran M, Nu UT, Rahman M, O'Donnell BF, Friberg IK, et al. Determinants of utilization of antenatal and delivery care at the community level in rural Bangladesh. *PloS one*. 2021;16(9):e0257782.
37. Dankwah E, Zeng W, Feng C, Kirychuk S, Farag M. The social determinants of health facility delivery in Ghana. *Reprod Health*. 2019;16(1):101.
38. Zegeye B, Ahinkorah BO, Idriss-Wheelr D, Oladimeji O, Olorunsaiye CZ, Yaya S. Predictors of institutional delivery service utilization among women of reproductive age in Senegal: a population-based study. *Archives of public health = Archives belges de sante publique*. 2021;79(1):5.
39. Ketemaw A, Tareke M, Dellie E, Sitotaw G, Deressa Y, Tadesse G, et al. Factors associated with institutional delivery in Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *BMC health services research*. 2020;20(1):266.

- 337 40. Mugambe RK, Yakubu H, Wafula ST, Ssekamatte T, Kasasa S, Isunju JB, et al. Factors associated with health facility deliveries among  
338 mothers living in hospital catchment areas in Rukungiri and Kanungu districts, Uganda. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021;21(1):329.
- 339 41. Kesterton AJ, Cleland J, Sloggett A, Ronsmans C. Institutional delivery in rural India: the relative importance of accessibility and economic  
340 status. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2010;10:30.
- 341 42. Kumbeni MT, Apanga PA. Institutional delivery and associated factors among women in Ghana: findings from a 2017-2018 multiple  
342 indicator cluster survey. International health. 2021;13(6):520-6.
- 343 43. Pierce H. Increasing health facility deliveries in Cambodia and its influence on child health. International journal for equity in health.  
344 2019;18(1):67.

345

Household interviewed in 2019  
EMDHS=8663



Weighted number of reproductive aged  
women interviewed in 2019 EMDHS =8885



Weighted number of women interviewed  
about their most recent live birth in the last 5  
years preceding the 2019 EMDHS =3927



Weighted number of women in **rural**  
Ethiopia interviewed for their most recent  
live birth in the last 5 years preceding the  
2019 EMDHS =2900

# Place of delivery, and ANC visit

■ No ■ Yes

