

Determinants of wasting, stunting, and undernutrition among children under five years: Cross-sectional study in southern Punjab, Pakistan

Javeria Saleem, Rubeena Zakar, Rana Muhammad Aadil, Muhammad Salman Butt, Faisal Mushtaq, Gul Mehar Javaid Bukhari, Florian Fischer

Javeria Saleem

Department of Public Health, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

javeria.hasan@hotmail.com

Rubeena Zakar

Department of Public Health, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

rubeena499@gmail.com

Rana Muhammad Aadil

National Institute of Food Sciences and Technology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

dilrana89@gmail.com

Muhammad Salman Butt

Department of Public Health, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

sames_butt@hotmail.com

Faisal Mushtaq

Institute of Public Health, Lahore, Pakistan

faisalskml@gmail.com

Gul Mehar Javaid Bukhari

Department of Community Medicine, Federal Medical College, Islamabad, Pakistan

drgulmehar@gmail.com

Florian Fischer [Corresponding author]

Institute of Public Health, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

florian.fischer1@charite.de

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** Malnutrition is a serious concern globally and may lead to early death if it remains
3 untreated. Prevalence of malnutrition is high in South Asian countries. Therefore, this study aims
4 to evaluate the determinants of wasting, stunting, and undernutrition in under-five children of
5 southern Punjab, Pakistan.

6 **Methods:** We conducted a cross-sectional study among 185 children. Anthropometric measures
7 were done by nutritional experts and pediatricians. Data were analyzed with SPSS version 25.0. A
8 p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

9 **Results:** Significant determinants of wasting (weight-for-height) were family monthly earnings
10 ($\beta=-0.14$; 95% CI: -0.89 to -0.04; $p=0.03$) and complementary feeding practices ($\beta=-0.21$; 95%
11 CI: -1.14 to 0.19; $p<0.001$). For stunting (length/height-for-age), the significant determinants were
12 tuberculosis (TB) contact history ($\beta=-0.15$; 95% CI: -0.97 to -0.03; $p=0.03$) and non-use of
13 exclusive breastfeeding practices ($\beta=-0.19$; 95% CI: -1.40 to 0.16; $p=0.01$). For undernutrition,
14 significant determinants were monthly income ($\beta=0.28$; 95% CI: 0.11 to 0.62; $p=0.02$) and
15 exclusive breastfeeding practices ($\beta=0.22$; 95% CI: 0.17 to 0.39; $p=0.02$).

16 **Conclusion:** Social determinants such as family earnings, family food security, practices of
17 exclusive breastfeeding and proper complementary feeding, number of under-five siblings, and
18 history of TB contact have a strong association with malnutrition and undernutrition. Concerted
19 and comprehensive strategies are needed for the improvement of associated factors to combat
20 malnutrition as well as undernutrition among children.

21

22 **Keywords:** association, malnutrition, undernutrition, stunting, wasting

23 **Introduction**

24 Malnutrition with all its types, especially undernutrition, wasting (height-for-weight), and stunting
25 (height-for-age) are usually characterized by abnormal or inadequate food intake (1). Owing to
26 undernutrition, children are more susceptible to diseases or even death. The risk of death increases
27 in moderate to severely wasted children. According to the World Health Organization (WHO),
28 stunting is also present among children with low socioeconomic status, poor feeding practices,
29 poor nutrition and health of the mother, and child illness in the early stages of life [1].

30 Globally, the situation of malnutrition is critical: In 2019, 47.0 million children under the age of
31 five years were wasted and 144.0 million children were stunted. South Asia has the highest number
32 of wasted (25.2 million; 14.3% of children under five in South Asia) and stunted (55.9 million;
33 31.7% of children under five in South Asia) children under five [2]. Overall, families with higher
34 income and education levels had lower rates of underweight children [3].

35 The death rate associated with undernutrition is higher in low- to middle-income countries. Almost
36 45% of deaths of children below the age of five years were associated with undernutrition [1].
37 Wasted children are more prone to experience several infections, delays in cognitive development,
38 and reduced productivity in adulthood [4]. The economic, medical, social as well as developmental
39 impacts of malnutrition cause decreased growth and development potential of children. It is a very
40 serious issue not only for individuals and families, but also for economic productivity of already
41 resource scarce countries [1].

42 According to the National Nutrition Survey 2018, Pakistan has a 40.2% stunting prevalence, 17.7%
43 wasting rate, and 28.9% of children below the age of five years are underweight. Overall, 48.4%
44 of infants received exclusive breastfeeding and 45.8% of mothers started early initiation of
45 breastfeeding. Boys are slightly more stunted, wasted, and underweight (40.9%, 18.4%, and

46 29.3%, respectively) as compared to girls (39.4%, 17.0%, and 28.4%, respectively) [5]. Although
47 a growing body of research on child nutritional status is available in Pakistan, studies are still
48 lacking which investigate the effect of different determinants of malnutrition. Therefore, the
49 purpose of the current study was to understand the determinants of wasting, stunting, and
50 undernutrition to identify new targets for intervention to prevent malnutrition of children under
51 five years of age in southern Punjab, Pakistan.

52

53 **Methods**

54 *Study design*

55 A multicenter cross-sectional study was conducted at Community Management of Acute
56 Malnutrition (CMAM) centers in four villages of southern Punjab, running under the supervision
57 of the health department of the government of Pakistan. These were government-designated
58 centers for the management of malnutrition in under five children. Study participants enrolled from
59 these centers visit CMAM centers to receive their nutritional treatment. Southern Punjab is a highly
60 populated and socio-economically deprived area with the worst indicators of illiteracy,
61 malnutrition, infant and under-five child mortality in Pakistan [5,6].

62

63 *Sample*

64 The sample size was calculated by using the cross-sectional study formula taking severe
65 malnutrition prevalence (p) as 11% and error term (d) as 0.05. The calculated sample size was
66 n=151. This number was further enhanced to 185 for study precision, strength and accuracy.

67 A total of 185 children aged 6–59 months without gender specification were enrolled. Eligibility
68 criteria were having severe wasting or stunting identified by anthropometric indices of WHO

69 classification, which are having height-for-weight (wasting) < -3 standard deviations (SD) and
70 height-for-age (stunting) < -2 SD [7,8]. All children were from the same ethnic and language
71 background. Written consent was obtained from parents after introducing them to the purpose of
72 the study. A flow chart for eligibility criteria is presented in Figure 1.

73

74 ***Baseline assessments***

75 Interviews with parents were conducted at CMAM centers. An extensively pretested structured
76 questionnaire was used for collection of information from parents/caregivers regarding family
77 sociodemographic characteristics (income, parental education, profession, household size, and
78 family structure), child nutrition (breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices), biological
79 and healthcare characteristics (child medical history, immunization record, and access to health
80 care). Family monthly earning was assessed by income from all sources in Pakistani rupees (PKR).
81 Exclusive breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices (quantity, variety, and frequency)
82 of semisolid diet were judged according to WHO recommendations [9]. For immunization status,
83 the Expanded Program of Immunization (EPI) schedule was followed [10]. The immunization
84 status was verified by the vaccination card. Child medical history was assessed by previous
85 hospital records and prescriptions. A brief history was acquired from parents/caregivers to identify
86 the child for any seizure disorder and neurological deficit. Children sick with these conditions were
87 not enrolled in the study. All of these determinants of wasting, stunting, and underweight were
88 included in the study after an extensive literature search.

89 In case of hospital delivery, child gestational age was calculated from previous antenatal hospital
90 documentation and in case of delivery at home, information based on a maternal report was noted.
91 For children who were delivered before 37 weeks of gestation and at the date of data collection

92 were ≤ 24 months of age, their age was adjusted by deducting the entire weeks of missed gestation
93 from the current age.

94

95 *Anthropometric assessments*

96 Anthropometric assessments were taken by the qualified nutritional supervisors. Their competency
97 in assessing weight and height was approved by the first author. Double anthropometric values
98 were recorded by a study team. If both values were different from each other, then further
99 measurements were conducted until an exact value was measured. The replicated value was then
100 documented. Children's weight (approximated to 10 g) was assessed without clothing or in very
101 light outfit through a UNISCALE, which was fixed by a standard weight and adjusted to zero
102 before each evaluation. First, UNISCALE was used to record only the weight of the mother if
103 infants and children were not able to stand. Afterwards, the undressed baby or child was given to
104 the mother as she stood on the scale, and the cumulative weight of the mother and infant was
105 evaluated [8,11]. Infant/child weights were calculated as the difference between these two
106 measures.

107 The recumbent length of kids ≤ 87 cm in height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with a length-
108 measuring board with a fixed headrest and an adjustable foot piece ("SECA GmbH & Co. KG,
109 Hamburg, Germany"). Children over 87 cm tall were evaluated by standing with their bare feet
110 and heels together on a flat horizontal plate attached to the measurement board. Weight-for-height
111 Z-scores and height-for-age Z-scores were calculated using the WHO Child Growth Standards
112 [8,11]. Nutrition status classification was done with "WHO ANTHRO, version 3.2.2".

113

114 ***Dependent and independent variables***

115 In this study analysis, the dependent variables were wasting (weight-for-height Z-score) as a
116 measure of acute malnutrition, stunting (height-for-age Z-score) as a measure of chronic
117 malnutrition, and undernutrition (weight-for-age Z-score). All sociodemographic, medical, and
118 dietary factors described above have been included as independent variables.

119

120 ***Data analysis***

121 Data was analyzed using SPSS 25.0. An independent t-test was used to compare the Z-score mean
122 differences between independent variables for wasting, stunting, and undernutrition. A
123 multivariate analysis was performed to identify the significant determinants causing wasting,
124 stunting, and undernutrition among children under five years. Based on continuous dependent
125 variables, Linear regression models were applied for multivariate analyses. The results were
126 reported as β coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CI). p-values of 0.05 and less were
127 considered as statistically significant.

128

129 ***Ethical approval***

130 Permission to conduct the study was obtained from the provincial and district health department
131 of Punjab, Pakistan. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the
132 Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the
133 Ethical Review and Advanced Study Research Board of the University of Punjab, Pakistan (ref-
134 9/2352-ACAD). Written informed consent from parents was obtained from all subjects.

135

136 **Results**

137 A total of 185 children aged 15.36 months (SD±10.23) participated in this study. They had a mid-
138 upper arm circumference of 10.19 cm (SD±0.96). The majority were females (n=104; 56.2%), had
139 a family income of ≤15000 PKR (n=128; 69.2%) and at least two siblings (n=144; 77.8%). Overall
140 100 children (54.1%) had one to seven previous episodes of illness, 149 (80.5%) had no exclusive
141 breastfeeding, and poor complementary feeding practices were seen in 165 children (89.2%)
142 children as shown in Table 1.

143

144 ***Determinants of wasting (acute malnutrition: weight-for-height Z-score)***

145 In the bivariate analysis, wasting was significantly associated with family monthly earning with a
146 mean difference of 0.43 (95% CI: 0.01 to 0.85; p=0.04) and complementary feeding practices with
147 a mean difference of 0.51 (95% CI: 0.05 to 0.96; p=0.03). Applying linear regression for the
148 multivariate analysis, family monthly income (β =-0.14; 95% CI: -0.89 to -0.04; p=0.03) and
149 complementary feeding practices (β =-0.21; 95% CI: -1.14 to 0.19; p<0.001) were again significant
150 determinants (Table 2).

151

152 ***Determinants of stunting (chronic malnutrition: height-for-age Z-score)***

153 In bivariate analysis of stunting, tuberculosis (TB) contact history and the practices of exclusive
154 breastfeeding with a mean difference of 0.41 (95% CI: -0.53 to 0.86; p=0.04) and 0.75 (95% CI:
155 0.18 to 1.31; p=0.01), respectively, were statistically significant. The linear regression showed a
156 significant association of stunting with TB contact history (β =-0.15; 95% CI: -0.97 to 0.03; p=0.03)
157 and exclusive breastfeeding practices (β =-0.19; 95% CI: -1.40 to 0.16; p=0.01) (Table 3).

158

159 ***Determinants of undernutrition (weight-for-age Z-score)***

160 The results for undernutrition are shown in Table 4. In the bivariate analysis, monthly income with
161 a mean difference of 0.32 (95% CI: 0.03 to 0.61; p=0.03) and the practices of exclusive
162 breastfeeding with a mean difference of 0.39 (95% CI: 0.05 to 0.73; p=0.03) were significantly
163 associated with undernutrition. Application of linear regression in the multivariate analysis showed
164 a statistically significant association between undernutrition and monthly income ($\beta=0.28$; 95%
165 CI: 0.11 to 0.62; p=0.02) and exclusive breastfeeding practices ($\beta=0.22$; 95% CI: 0.17 to 0.39;
166 p=0.02).

167

168 **Discussion**

169 Malnutrition and undernutrition are detrimental for the future generation. Our study highlighted
170 several determinants of wasting, stunting, and undernutrition. If addressed carefully, it could result
171 in lower rates of children suffering from these conditions. Among others, the results show that
172 children from low socio-economic status (monthly income less than 15,000 PKR) had a higher
173 risk of wasting as compared to those children who belonged to relatively higher income (15,000
174 to 35,000 PKR monthly). Income level is an obvious factor that affects food intake. Families with
175 low income are not able to feed their children properly due to limited resources. Some other studies
176 also claim the effect of income on malnutrition [12,13].

177 In our study, the majority of the children were severely acute malnourished. In addition, chronic
178 malnourishment owed to poor complementary feeding practices. Because weaning practices have
179 a crucial role in the development of children and prevention of malnutrition, proper eating habits
180 or good complementary feeding practices are key factors to improve the nutritional status of
181 children. When children receive an adequate amount of food or a complementary diet, they have

182 a positive trend towards healthy growth and development. Poor weaning practices negatively affect
183 the nutritional status leading to malnutrition [2,9]. Complementary feeding along with
184 breastfeeding up to 24 months of age provides an adequate amount of nutrients and also provides
185 balanced nutrition that is essential not only for the development of children but also for the
186 prevention of malnutrition [9].

187 Breastfeeding should start from birth and continue till the second birthday of the child along with
188 complementary feeding that prevents acute and chronic malnutrition, as mother's milk alone
189 cannot fulfill the requirement of growing children [9,14,15]. Breastfeeding without
190 complementary feeding after six months of age might be a risk factor for malnutrition due to the
191 lack of essential nutrients that are necessary for the normal growth and development of children
192 [9].

193 Improper and non-exclusive breastfeeding can lead to acute and chronic malnutrition. According
194 to a study conducted in Pakistan, 48.4% of children were exclusively breastfed and 45.8% of
195 mothers started early initiation of breastfeeding right after the birth of a baby [5]. Exclusive
196 breastfeeding should start in the first hour after the birth, because mother's milk has a balanced
197 amount of nutrients necessary for the newborn [9,12]. Some infectious diseases have an impact on
198 the degree of malnutrition as well as on the growth and development of children. Previous research
199 has shown that children frequently having infections were more undernourished [16-18]. Children
200 having a history of TB were more likely to be stunted and malnourished because of inflammation
201 [16].

202 Children with three or more siblings are more likely to be stunted than those children who have
203 one or two siblings. The point is that with more children in a household, lower amounts of food
204 might be available per person. In this way, health and nutritional status may be compromised and

205 children are more likely to be wasted or stunted [2,14,15]. Children born at birth intervals less than
206 two years are more likely to develop acute or chronic malnutrition [11,14]. The higher the number
207 of children under five in a family, the more scarce are the resources to properly feed all children
208 [2,17,18]. A large household size also has been shown to negatively affect children's health,
209 nutritional status, and development [12,17].

210 Mother's education has an important part in the healthy growth and development of infants and
211 young children. In the current, the study majority of mothers were uneducated and their children
212 showed more nutritional problems. An educated mother may be better aware of the nutritional
213 needs of her child and, thereby, may prevent stunting and wasting [19].

214 There are some limitations to this study due to its cross-sectional design. Anthropometric measures
215 have been conducted by qualified personell which promote data quality. By observing children's
216 nutritional state with greater insight, the dynamic nature of child's growth and development could
217 be better understood.

218

219 **Conclusion**

220 Children under the age of five years are prone to malnutrition as well as undernutrition. Almost all
221 developing countries are suffering from the public health issues of malnutrition as well as
222 undernutrition among children. The findings of the current study indicate that wasting and stunting
223 along with undernutrition are associated with exclusive breastfeeding and complementary feeding
224 practices, household size, family earning, and history of infections. Concerted efforts will be
225 needed from all sectors of society to tackle the problem of child malnutrition in Pakistan. Alertness
226 regarding a child's dietary needs and other factors leading to child growth must be incorporated in
227 the child nutrition program.

228 **List of abbreviations**

229	CI	Confidence interval
230	CMAM	Community Management of Acute Malnutrition
231	EPI	Expanded Program of Immunization
232	PKR	Pakistani rupee
233	SD	Standard deviation
234	TB	Tuberculosis
235	WHO	World Health Organization

236

237

238 **DECLARATIONS**

239 **Ethical approval and consent to participate**

240 The study was conducted after the approval of the Ethical Review and Advanced Study Research
241 Board of the University of Punjab, Pakistan (ref-9/2352-ACAD). . Written consent was obtained
242 from parents after introducing them to the purpose of the study.

243

244 **Consent for publication**

245 Not applicable

246

247 **Availability of data and materials**

248 Data is available from corresponding author upon reasonable request.

249

250 **Competing interests**

251 The authors declare no conflict of interest. RZ and FF serve on the Editorial Board of PLoS One.

252

253 **Funding**

254 This research received no supporting funds from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or
255 not-for-profit sector.

256

257 **Author contributions:**

258 Conceptualization: J.S. and R.Z.; Data curation: J.S.; Formal analysis: J.S.; Writing – original
259 draft: J.S.; Writing – reviewing & editing: R.Z., R.M.A., M.S.B., F.M., G.M.J.B. and F.F.;
260 supervision: G.M.J.B. and F.F. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

261

262 **Acknowledgments**

263 Not applicable.

264

265 **References**

- 266 1. World Health Organization. Malnutrition Fact Sheet. Available online:
267 <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/malnutrition>; 2021 [accessed 22
268 October 2021].
- 269 2. UNICEF, WHO, World Bank Group. Levels and trends in child malnutrition. UNICEF /
270 WHO / World Bank Group Joint Child Malnutrition Estimates. Key findings of the 2020
271 edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020.

- 272 3. Hossain FB, Shawon MS, Al-Abid MS, Mahmood S, Adhikary G, Bulbul MM. Double
273 burden of malnutrition in children aged 24 to 59 months by socioeconomic status in five
274 South Asian countries: evidence from demographic and health surveys. *BMJ Open*.
275 2020;10(3):e032866.
- 276 4. Waber DP, Bryce CP, Girard JM, Zichlin M, Fitzmaurice GM, Galler JR. Impaired IQ and
277 academic skills in adults who experienced moderate to severe infantile malnutrition: a 40-
278 year study. *Nutritional Neuroscience*. 2014;17(2):58-64.
- 279 5. UNICEF. National Nutrition Survey 2018 – Key Findings Report. Available online:
280 [https://www.unicef.org/pakistan/reports/national-nutrition-survey-2018-key-findings-](https://www.unicef.org/pakistan/reports/national-nutrition-survey-2018-key-findings-report)
281 [report](https://www.unicef.org/pakistan/reports/national-nutrition-survey-2018-key-findings-report); 2019 [accessed 22 October 2021].
- 282 6. Demographic and Health Surveys. Calverton: MEASURE DHS. Pakistan; 2013.
- 283 7. World Health Organization. Guideline: updates on the management of severe acute
284 malnutrition in infants and children. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2013.
- 285 8. World Health Organization. WHO child growth standards and the identification of severe
286 acute malnutrition in infants and children: a joint statement by the World Health
287 Organization and the United Nations Children’s Fund. Geneva: World Health
288 Organization; 2009.
- 289 9. World Health Organization. Infant and Young Child Feeding. Available online:
290 <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/infant-and-young-child-feeding>; 2021
291 [accessed 22 October 2021].
- 292 10. Waheed M. WHO EMRO | Expanded Programme on Immunization | Programmes |
293 Pakistan. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020.

- 294 11. Selina L, Pamela D, Richard H, Lancet Early Childhood Development Series Steering
295 Committee. A good start will ensure a sustainable future for all. *Lancet*. 2017;389:8-9.
- 296 12. Nambuusi BB, Ssempiira J, Makumbi FE, Kasasa S, Vounatsou P. The effects and
297 contribution of childhood diseases on the geographical distribution of all-cause under-five
298 mortality in Uganda. *Parasite Epidemiology and Control*. 2019;5:e00089.
- 299 13. Nott J. Malnutrition in a modernizing economy: The changing aetiology and epidemiology
300 of malnutrition in an African Kingdom, Buganda c. 1940–73. *Medical History*.
301 2016;60(2):229-249.
- 302 14. Kahssay M, Woldu E, Gebre A, Reddy S. Determinants of stunting among children aged
303 6 to 59 months in pastoral community, Afar region, North East Ethiopia: unmatched case
304 control study. *BMC Nutrition*. 2020;6:9.
- 305 15. Eunice MJ, Cheah WL, Lee PY. Factors Influencing Malnutrition among Young Children
306 in a Rural Community of Sarawak. *Malaysian Journal of Nutrition*. 2014;20(2):145-164.
- 307 16. Wagstaff A, Bustreo F, Bryce J, Claeson M. Child health: reaching the poor. *American*
308 *Journal of Public Health*. 2004;94(5):726-736.
- 309 17. Chattopadhyay N, Saumitra M. Developmental Outcome in Children with Malnutrition.
310 *Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society*. 2016;36(2):170-177.
- 311 18. Gupta N, Kabra M. Approach to the diagnosis of developmental delay - the changing
312 scenario. *Indian Journal Medical Research*. 2014;139:4-6.
- 313 19. Mason F, Rawe K, Wright S. Superfood for babies: how overcoming barriers to
314 breastfeeding will save children's lives. London: Save the Children; 2013.

315

316 **Figure 1: Flowchart of participant recruitment**

317

318 **Tables**

319

320 **Table 1: Characteristics of study participants**

Variable	Category	n (%)
Age (15.36 ± 10.23)	≤ 12 months	112 (60.5)
	13-24 Months	45 (24.3)
	≥ 25 Months	28 (15.1)
Gender	Male	81 (43.8)
	Female	104 (56.2)
Income per month	≤ 15,000 PKR	128 (69.2)
	15,000-35,000 PKR	57 (30.8)
Under-five siblings	≤ 2	144 (77.8)
	≥ 3	41 (22.2)
Immunization	Incomplete	46 (24.9)
	Complete	139 (75.1)
History of parasite	Yes	42 (22.7)
	No	143 (77.3)
History of TB	Yes	81 (43.8)
	No	104 (56.2)
History of measles	Yes	28 (15.1)
	No	157 (84.9)
Episodes of Illness	1-7	100 (54.1)
	8-15	85 (45.9)
Exclusive breastfeeding	Yes	36 (19.5)
	No	149 (80.5)
Complementary feeding practice	Poor	165 (89.2)
	Good	20 (10.8)

321

322 **Table 2: Determinants of wasting**

Independent variables	Mean (SD)	t-test / Bivariate analysis ^[a]		Multivariate analysis ^[b]		
		Mean difference (95% CI)	p	β (95% CI)	p	
Gender	Male	-3.89 (1.41)	0.03 (-0.37 to 0.43)	0.88	-0.02 (-0.47 to 0.33)	0.73
	Female	-3.92 (1.32)	Reference		Reference	
Age in months	≤12	-3.85 (1.43)	Reference	0.29	Reference	0.12
	13-24	-4.17 (1.07)	-0.32 (-0.89 to 0.25)		-0.12 (-0.89 to 0.11)	
	≥25	-3.71 (1.46)	0.14 (-0.54 to 0.81)		0.008 (-0.55 to 0.62)	
Monthly earning (PKR)	<15000	-4.04 (1.36)	Reference	0.04*	Reference	0.03*
	15000-35000	-3.61 (1.32)	0.43 (0.01 to 0.85)		-0.14 (-0.89 to 0.04)	
Under five siblings	≤2	-3.98 (1.39)	Reference	0.14	Reference	0.12
	≥3	-3.64 (1.25)	0.35 (-0.12 to 0.82)		-0.11 (-0.85 to 0.10)	
History of Parasites	Yes	-3.88 (1.47)	0.03 (-0.44 to 0.50)	0.89	-0.03 (-0.59 to 0.35)	0.61
	No	-3.91 (1.33)	Reference		Reference	
TB contact history	Yes	-4.09 (1.34)	-0.31 (-0.71 to 0.08)	0.09*	0.14 (-0.01 to 0.77)	0.05*
	No	-3.77 (1.36)	Reference		Reference	
Measles history	Yes	-4.07 (1.12)	0.19 (-0.74 to 0.36)	0.50	0.06 (-0.33 to 0.79)	0.42
	No	-3.88 (1.40)	Reference		Reference	
Immunization status	Done	-3.84 (1.31)	Reference	0.23	Reference	0.32
	Incomplete	-4.12 (1.49)	-0.28 (-0.74 to 0.18)		0.07 (-0.23 to 0.70)	
Episodes of illness	1-7	-3.85 (1.27)	Reference	0.58	Reference	0.13
	8-15	-3.97 (1.46)	0.12 (-0.52 to 0.27)		0.11 (-0.09 to 0.71)	
Complementary feeding practice	Poor	-4.03 (1.34)	Reference	0.03*	Reference	<0.001*
	Good	-3.53 (1.39)	0.51 (0.05 to 0.96)		-0.21 (-1.14 to -0.19)	
Exclusive breastfeeding	Yes	-3.82 (1.32)	0.10 (-0.39 to 0.60)	0.67	-0.01 (-0.56 to 0.47)	0.87
	No	-3.92 (1.37)	Reference		Reference	

323 ^[a] Independent t-test for bivariate analysis

324 ^[b] Multivariate analysis

325 * p-value <0.05

326 **Table 3: Determinants of stunting**

Independent variables	Mean (SD)	t-Test / Bivariate analysis ^[a]		Multivariate analysis ^[b]		
		Mean difference (95% CI)	p	β (95% CI)	p	
Gender	Male	-3.93 (1.66)	-0.20 (-0.66 to 0.27)	0.40	0.08 (-0.20 to 0.74)	0.27
	Female	-3.73 (1.50)	Reference		Reference	
Age in months	≤12	-3.86 (1.55)	Reference	0.80	Reference	0.76
	13-24	-3.84 (1.61)	0.02 (-0.64 to 0.68)		-0.02 (-0.68 to 0.50)	
	≥25	-3.64 (1.63)	0.22 (-0.57 to 1.01)		0.03 (-0.52 to 0.86)	
Monthly earning (PKR)	<15,000	-3.77 (1.26)	Reference	0.54	Reference	0.20
	15,000-35,000	-3.92 (1.61)	-0.15 (-0.65 to 0.34)		0.09 (-0.18 to 0.84)	
	≥2	-3.80 (1.55)	Reference		Reference	
Under five siblings	≥3	-3.89 (1.66)	-0.09 (-0.64 to 0.46)	0.74	0.003 (-0.55 to 0.58)	0.96
	Yes	-3.59 (1.50)	0.41 (-0.53 to 0.86)		0.04*	
TB contact history	No	-3.99 (1.61)	Reference	Reference		
	History of parasites	Yes	-3.93 (1.66)	-0.14 (-0.69 to 0.40)	0.61	0.04 (-0.37 to 0.74)
No		-3.78 (1.55)	Reference	Reference		
History of measles	Yes	-4.08 (1.40)	-0.30 (-0.94 to 0.32)	0.34	0.04 (-0.44 to 0.88)	0.52
	No	-3.77 (1.60)	Reference		Reference	
Complementary feeding practices	Good	-3.80 (1.65)	0.02 (-0.51 to 0.55)	0.93	0.03 (-0.42 to 0.68)	0.63
	Poor	-3.82 (1.55)	Reference		Reference	
Exclusive breastfeeding	Yes	-3.22 (1.69)	0.75 (0.18 to 1.31)	0.01*	-0.19 (-1.40 to 0.16)	0.01*
	No	-3.96 (1.51)	Reference		Reference	
Episodes of illness	1-7	-3.86 (1.64)	Reference	0.68	Reference	0.50
	8-15	-3.77 (1.49)	0.09 (-0.36 to 0.55)		-0.05 (-0.63 to 0.31)	
Immunization status	Done	-3.83 (1.52)	Reference	0.83	Reference	0.96
	Incomplete	-3.77 (1.74)	0.05 (-0.47 to 0.58)		0.01 (-0.53 to 0.56)	

327 ^[a] Independent t-test for bivariate analysis

328 ^[b] Multivariate analysis

329 * p-value <0.05

330 **Table 4: Determinants of undernutrition**

Independent variables	Mean (SD)	t-Test / Bivariate analysis ^[a]		Multivariate analysis ^[b]		
		Mean difference (95% CI)	p	β (95% CI)	p	
Gender	Male	-4.83 (0.89)	0.01 (-0.27 to 0.28)	0.97	-0.05 (-0.45 to 0.20)	0.46
	Female	-4.83 (0.99)	Reference		Reference	
Age in months	≤12	-4.58 (1.12)	Reference	0.47	Reference	0.54
	13-24	-4.64 (0.98)	-0.05 (-0.50 to 0.39)		-0.05 (-0.54 to 0.28)	
	≥25	-4.31 (1.05)	0.27 (-0.27 to 0.81)		0.07 (-0.25 to 0.71)	
Monthly earnings (PKR)	<15,000	-4.93 (0.90)	Reference	0.03*	Reference	0.02*
	15,000-35,000	-4.61 (1.00)	0.32 (0.03 to 0.61)		0.28 (-0.11 to 0.62)	
Under five siblings	≤2	-4.85 (0.93)	Reference	0.25	Reference	0.18
	≥3	-4.79 (0.98)	0.06 (-0.16 to 0.59)		-0.11 (-0.70 to 0.08)	
TB contact history	Yes	-4.82 (0.97)	0.02 (-0.25 to 0.30)	0.86	0.008 (-0.29 to 0.35)	0.92
	No	-4.85 (0.93)	Reference		Reference	
History of parasites	Yes	-4.93 (0.94)	-0.12 (-0.45 to 0.20)	0.46	0.06 (-0.15 to 0.54)	0.27
	No	-4.8 (0.94)	Reference		Reference	
History of measles	Yes	-4.75 (0.94)	0.10 (-0.28 to 0.48)	0.61	-0.03 (-0.58 to 0.26)	0.48
	No	-4.85 (0.95)	Reference		Reference	
Exclusive breastfeeding	Yes	-4.52 (0.85)	0.39 (0.05 to 0.73)	0.03*	0.22 (0.17 to 0.39)	0.02*
	No	-4.91 (0.95)	Reference		Reference	
Complementary feeding practices	Poor	-4.89 (0.94)	Reference	0.19	Reference	0.08
	Good	-4.68 (0.95)	0.21 (-0.10 to 0.53)		0.09 (-0.91 to 0.012)	
Episodes of illness	1-7	-4.88 (0.87)	Reference	0.57	Reference	0.26
	8-15	-4.79 (1.0)	-0.08 (-0.35 to 0.19)		0.17 (-0.08 to 0.42)	
Immunization	Done	-4.87 (0.92)	Reference	0.33	Reference	0.84
	Incomplete	-4.72 (1.02)	0.16 (-0.16 to 0.47)		0.01 (-0.45 to 0.28)	

331 ^[a] Independent t-test for bivariate analysis

332 ^[b] Multivariate analysis

333 * p-value <0.05




Figure 1