1 Spatiotemporal Evolution of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha and Delta Variants during a

2 Large Nationwide Outbreak in Vietnam, 2021

3 Nguyen Thi Tam[#], Nguyen To Anh[#], Trinh Son Tung[#], Pham Ngoc Thach, Nguyen Thanh Dung,

4 Van Dinh Trang, Le Manh Hung, Trinh Cong Dien, Nghiem My Ngoc, Le Van Duyet, Phan Manh

5 Cuong, Hoang Vu Mai Phuong, Pham Quang Thai, Nguyen Le Nhu Tung, Dinh Nguyen Huy Man,

6 Nguyen Thanh Phong, Vo Minh Quang, Pham Thi Ngoc Thoa, Nguyen Thanh Truong, Tran Nguyen

7 Phuong Thao, Dao Phuong Linh, Ngo Tan Tai, Ho The Bao, Vo Trong Vuong, Huynh Thi Kim

8 Nhung, Phan Nu Dieu Hong, Le Thi Phuoc Hanh, Le Thanh Chung, Nguyen Thi Thanh Nhan, Ton

9 That Thanh, Do Thai Hung, Huynh Kim Mai, Trinh Hoang Long, Nguyen Thu Trang, Nguyen Thi

10 Hong Thuong, Nguyen Thi Thu Hong, Le Nguyen Truc Nhu, Nguyen Thi Han Ny, Cao Thu Thuy,

11 Le Kim Thanh, Lam Anh Nguyet, Le Thi Quynh Mai, Tang Chi Thuong, Le Hong Nga, Tran Tan

12 Thanh, Guy Thwaites, H. Rogier van Doorn, Nguyen Van Vinh Chau, Thomas Kesteman, Le Van

13 Tan, for OUCRU COVID-19 research group*

14 Author affiliations

- 15 Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Hanoi, Vietnam (NTT, TST, NTT, NTHT, TK, HRvD)
- 16 National Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Hanoi, Vietnam (PNT, VDT, LVD, PMC)
- 17 Vietnam Military Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam (TCD)
- 18 National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Hanoi, Vietnam (HVMP, LTQM, PQT)
- 19 Hue National Hospital, Hue, Vietnam (PNDH, LTPH)
- 20 Da Nang Center for Disease Control, Da Nang, Vietnam (LTC, NTTN, TTT)
- 21 Pasteur Institute in Nha Trang, Nha Trang, Khanh Hoa, Vietnam (DTH, HKM, THL)
- 22 Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam (NTA, NTTH, LNTN, NTHN,
- 23 TTT, CTT, LKT, LAN, GT, LVT)

- 24 Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (NTD, LMH, NLNT, DNHM, NMN,
- 25 NTP, VMQ, PTNT, NTT, TNPT, DPL, NTT, HTB, VTV, HTKN)
- 26 Department of Health, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (NVVC, TCT)
- 27 HCMC Center for Disease Control, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam (LHN)
- 28 Centre for Tropical Diseases, Nuffield Department of medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- 29 (HRvD, GT)
- [#]These authors contributed equally to this article.
- 31 *members of the working group are presented in the Acknowledgements
- 32 Correspondence: Nguyen To Anh, Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh City,
- 33 Vietnam; Tel: (+84 28) 8384009; Fax: (+84 8) 9238904; E-mail: anhnt@oucru.org
- Le Van Tan, Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam; Tel: (+84 28)
- 35 8384009; Fax: (+84 8) 9238904; E-mail: tanlv@oucru.org
- 36 Key words: SAR-CoV-2, Alpha variant, Delta variant, evolution, Vietnam
- 37 **Figures**: 6, **Tables**: 1
- **Running title:** Evolution of SARS-CoV-2 Variants in Vietnam, 2021
- 39 Word count: Abstract: 147 words, Max: 150 words; Main text: 3496 words, max 3500 words

40 ABSTRACT

In 2021, Vietnam experienced a large nationwide outbreak of COVID-19, with over 1.7 million 41 infections and 32,000 deaths. We generated 1,303 SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome sequences, and 42 mapped out the public health measures alongside the evolutionary trajectory of the pathogen. The 43 Alpha variant caused sporadic outbreaks in early 2021 prior to the upsurge in cases associated with 44 45 the Delta variant from June onward. The Delta variant outbreak was almost entirely confined to the 46 AY.57 lineage, accounting for 99.2% of 1,212 Delta variant sequences, and resulting from a single introduction. Viral dispersal from the north, where it was first introduced into Vietnam, to the south, 47 48 marked the start of the nationwide outbreak, with the south subsequently seeding the virus back to the 49 other regions. Distinct AY.57 phylogenetic clusters in different regions of Vietnam were documented, pointing to the impact of in-country lockdown. Genomic surveillance is critical to inform pandemic 50 51 response.

52 **INTRODUCTION**

SARS-CoV-2 Alpha and Delta variants of concern (VoC) were first detected in November 2020 in 53 54 England and India, respectively. Both variants carry key mutations (including N501Y, P681H and 55 H69/V70 deletion for the Alpha variant, and L452R, P681R and T478K for the Delta variant) in the spike protein that make the viruses bind more efficiently to the angiotensin-converting-enzyme 2 56 receptor of human cells and/or escape antibody neutralisation induced by infection or vaccination 57 58 with ancestral strain [1-3]. Because of these features, the Alpha variant is estimated to be 50% more transmissible than the ancestral strain, and the Delta variant is approximately 40-60% more 59 60 transmissible than the Alpha variant [4]. As a consequence, both variants rapidly spread around the world and became the dominant viruses responsible for two consecutive COVID-19 waves in 2021. 61 Having reported only 1,465 PCR-confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection and 35 deaths in 2020 62 63 [5], Vietnam was one of the few countries to successfully control community transmission of SARS-CoV-2 during the first year of the pandemic. The cornerstone of this success was the early 64 introduction of border and travel restrictions, meticulous contact tracing coupled with mass testing 65 66 and strict quarantine, and intensive mass communication to the public [5, 6]. However, the 67 importations of the highly transmissible Alpha variant followed by the Delta variant in early 2021 68 resulted in a large nationwide outbreak, with over 1.7 million infections and 32,000 deaths reported by the end of 2021 [7]. 69

Genomic surveillance has been one of the top priorities of the WHO. In addition to enabling early
detection of new SARS-CoV-2 variants, it has provided significant insights into the evolutionary
trajectories of SARS-CoV-2 in time and space [8], critical to inform pandemic response. Yet, most of
the studies published about genetic evolution of SARS-CoV-2 are based on datasets from highincome countries with relatively open borders [9-11], and little is known about the transmission

dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in settings with extremely limited number of viral importations such as
Vietnam. Here, we focused our analysis on spatiotemporal evolution of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha and
Delta variants in Vietnam in 2021. Our aim was also to map out the patterns of viral evolution and
diffusion against the public health measures implemented in Vietnam as the pandemic progressed
during the study period.

80 MATERIALS AND METHODS

81 Vietnam COVID-19 containment approach in 2021

82 After successfully controlling community transmission during the first eight months in 2020, Vietnam cautiously reopened its borders, allowing some repatriation flights, while steadily lifting in-country 83 84 travel restrictions [5]. However, following the report of new SARS-CoV-2 variants in the UK and India in late 2020, now known as Alpha and Delta variants, respectively, Vietnam suspended all 85 inbound flights from countries reporting the detection of community transmission associated with 86 87 these two variants. Additionally, all travelers entering Vietnam were subjected to 14-day isolation, and testing on the day of arrival and on day 14 of quarantine. Yet, after 28 days of no community 88 transmission, on 18th January 2021, two clusters of SARS-CoV-2 infection with epidemiological links 89 90 were detected in two neighboring provinces in the north of Vietnam, Hai Duong and Quang Ninh [12]. This was followed by the detection of several other community transmission clusters of unclear 91 origin in several provinces across the country in subsequent months before the start of the 2021 major 92 outbreak (Figure 1). At the national level, the responses were initially targeted e.g., lockdown at 93 94 community/province/city level where a community transmission cluster was detected. However, in 95 response to the escalation of the outbreak starting in July 2021 [7], Vietnam suspended all arriving international flights in May 2021, and re-applied in-country travel restriction (Figure 1) until 10th 96 October 2021 when nearly 70% of the eligible population had received at least one dose of vaccine 97

[7]. In parallel, under the zero COVID-19 policy, Vietnam implemented the meticulous contact
tracing and mass testing of the cases and their contacts conducted by provincial Centers for Diseases
Control (CDC). This had enabled accurate identification of cases of community transmission origin

alongside the demographic data for analysis [13].

102 Settings and sampling approach

The present study was conducted at the National Hospital for Tropical Diseases (NHTD) in Hanoi, and the Hospital for Tropical Diseases (HTD) in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Both NHTD and HTD are tertiary referral hospitals for COVID-19 patients in the north and south of Vietnam, respectively. The laboratories of these hospitals were responsible for SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis and sequencing in Vietnam. Therefore, nasopharyngeal swab (NPS) samples submitted to NHTD and HTD laboratories for testing and sequencing were either from provincial CDC or from inpatients being treated at these two hospitals.

To increase the chance of successfully obtaining the virus genome, we first applied a preselection 110 111 criterion based on the cycle threshold (Ct) value of the tested samples generated by the Lightmix 112 Modular SARS-CoV-2 RdRp/E gene assay (Tib Molbiol, Berlin, Germany) [14]. Accordingly, at NHTD, only NPS samples with a cycle threshold (Ct) value of ≤ 30 for the RdRp gene were eligible, 113 114 while at HTD, a sample with Ct value of ≤ 25 for the E gene was included. Additionally, because of 115 the availability of the resources, between January and June 2021 when community transmission 116 remained limited, our approach focused on new community clusters detected through contact tracing 117 under zero-COVID strategy. Between July and December 2021 during which community transmission was escalating, the selection of samples for sequencing was carried out using WHO 118 119 recommendations [15]. Epidemiological data, and data on infections and deaths were retrieved from 120 e-hospital record or provided by the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology and the

Vietnamese Ministry of Health. Here, we focused our analysis on sequences obtained cases of locally
acquired infection, and generated by NHTD or HTD laboratories, of which we had accurate sampling
date and demographic data.

124 **RNA extraction, whole genome sequencing and sequence assembly**

Total RNA was extracted from NPS using QIAamp viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) 125 126 following the manufacturer's instructions, and finally eluted in 50µl of elution buffer (provided with 127 the extraction kit). Whole genome amplification was performed on the extracted RNA using either the long pooled amplicons protocol, developed by the University of Sydney [16, 17], or the ARTIC 128 129 V3 protocol [18] on an Illumina MiSeq platform as previously described. Library preparation was 130 carried out using the Nextera XT Library preparation kit (Illumina, USA), followed by library 131 quantification using KAPA Library Quant Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA), according 132 to the manufacturer's instructions. The prepared library was sequenced using MiSeq reagent kit V2 (300 cycles) on a Miseq platform (Illumina). For each run, tested samples were multiplexed and 133 differentiated by double indexes using IDT-ILMN Nextera DNA UD indexes (IDT). 134 135 Sequence assembly of the obtained sequencing data was carried out using a reference-based mapping approach available in CLC genomics workbench (v.21.0.4) and Geneious 8.1.5 (Biomatters, San 136 137 Francisco, CA, USA). This method involved mapping of sequencing output of individual samples to a reference genome (WuHan-Hu-1: NC_045512, Alpha: EPI_ISI_905782, Delta: EPI_ISL_1942165), 138 followed by manual editing of the obtained consensus, as described previously [16]. The consensus 139 sequences generated in this study were submitted to the National Center for Biotechnology 140 Information under the assigned accession numbers ON458864-ON459533, ON459545-ON459608, 141 142 ON755375-ON755859 and OP647358-OP647411.

`7

143 Lineage classification, sequences alignment and recombination detection

144 SARS-CoV-2 lineage classification of the obtained consensuses was determined using PANGO

- lineage [19] with pangolin v4.1.2 and pangolin-data v1.13 [20]. The analysis was carried out using
- the Ultrafast Sample Placement on Existing Trees option to assign the lineage based on the nearest
- 147 lineage on existing global tree. Sequence alignment was carried out using tool available on Nextclade
- 148 [21] and Minimap2 [22]. Recombination detection was inferred using a combination of Freyja v
- 149 1.3.10 [23] and sc2rf [24].

150 Phylogenetic analysis

- 151 To explore the phylogenetic relationship of Vietnamese Alpha and Delta variant sequences, we
- focused our analysis on complete coding region (29,408 bp) of the obtained sequences, and applied
- 153 maximum likelihood (ML) method using TIM2 nucleotide substitution model with invariant for
- 154 Alpha variant, and UNREST+F0+R4 for Delta variant as suggested by IQ tree [25]. Support for
- individual nodes was assessed using a bootstrap procedure with 1000 replicates. To assess the
- 156 placement of the Vietnamese variants in the context of global sequences, we employed the
- 157 phylogenetic framework incorporated in NextClade [21], taking into account representatives of global
- sequences submitted to GISAID.

159 Phylogeography and phylodynamics of Delta variant AY.57 lineage

- 160 To study the phylogeographics and phylodynamics of the pathogen, we applied Bayesian
- 161 phylogenetic interference in BEAST v1.10.4 [26]. We first excluded identical sequences and
- sequences of low quality (e.g., internal gaps). We then used TempEst 1.5 to assess the temporal signal
- 163 of the dataset [27]. Subsequently, we excluded the sequences not conforming to a linear evolutionary
- 164 pattern as suggested by TempEst. For phylogeographic analysis, we divided Vietnam into eight major

183	COVID-19 situation in Vietnam in 2021
182	RESULTS
181	COVID-19 patients. Thus, the need for individual informed consent was waived.
180	study formed part of the national COVID-19 response, and used deidentified throat swabs from
179	Diseases in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee. Our
178	The study received approvals from the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital for Tropical
177	Ethics
176	nonsynonymous to synonymous substitution (dN/dS) [32].
175	selection pressure on coding sequences of the pathogen genome, by estimating the ratio of
174	frame work. Finally, we used codon-based method (HyPhy) available in MEGA5 to measure the
173	To assess the effective population size trajectory, we applied Bayesian Skyride model using the above
172	and visualized in Figtree version 1.4.2 [31].
171	credibility (MCC) tree was then summarized with TreeAnnotator (available in the BEAST package)
170	burn-in threshold of 10% and accepted effective sample size values above 200. Maximum-clade
169	tree prior (10 groups) [29]. We assessed convergence using Tracer version 1.5 [30]. We selected a
168	best-fit model) under an uncorrelated relaxed clock model [28], and a Bayesian skygride coalescent
167	reversible (GTR) nucleotide substitution model with invariant (as suggested by IQ-TREE to be the
166	(available in BEAST) with 1 billion steps and sampling every 100,000 steps using the general time
165	geographic regions (Figure 5). We employed a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo framework

184 The COVID-19 situation in Vietnam in 2021 was characterized by the two distinct phases. The first 185 one was between January and April, during which a total of 1,632 infections and no deaths were

- recorded. This was followed by a second phase occurring between May and December, with a large
- upsurge in the number of infections (n=1,727,398) and deaths (n=32,359) (Figure 2A).

188 Results of whole genome sequencing and the study subjects

- 189 In total, 1,365 NPS were selected for WGS. Eventually, 1,303 genome sequences were successfully
- 190 obtained (Figure 3). No recombinants were detected. The majority belonged to Delta variant
- 191 (n=1,222, 93.8%), followed by Alpha (n=74, 5.7%), A.23.1 (n=5, 0.4%), and B.1.637 (n=2, 0.2%)
- variants. Of Delta sequences, 1,212 (99.2%) were assigned to AY.57 lineage, and the remaining was
- 193 assigned to AY.23 and AY.79 (n=3 each), AY.6, AY.38, AY.85, and B.1.617.2 (n=1 each).
- 194 Temporally, the obtained sequences distributed throughout the year, with sequences of the Alpha and
- A.23.1 documented between January and May 2021. The first three Delta variant sequences,
- including two AY.57 and one B.1.617.2, were detected late April 2021 (Figure 2B). From June
- 197 onward, Delta variant was the only variant detected, coinciding with the upsurge in the number of
- 198 infections and deaths of the 2021 outbreak (Figure 2A).
- 199 The demographic features of the study subjects are presented in Table 1. The characteristics of the
- A.23.1 variant infected cases were previously reported [12].

201 Phylogenetic relatedness between sequences of Alpha variant

202 Phylogenetic analysis of Alpha variant sequences showed that they clustered into four major groups

203 (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 1), corresponding to the sporadic community transmission

- clusters detected in the north, central, and south of Vietnam in early 2021 (Figure 1). This suggested
- that multiple introductions of Alpha variant into Vietnam occurred between January and May 2021.
- 206 However, community wide transmission was not sustained, likely attributable to the rapid and
- rigorous domestic responses (Figure 1 and Figure 2A).

208 Phylogenetic relatedness between sequences of Delta variant

The reconstructed phylogenetic trees derived from 1,222 Delta variant sequences confirmed the dominance of AY.57 lineage (99.2%) detected in Vietnam throughout 2021, with a small number of the sequences grouped into non-AY.57 groups (Supplementary Figure 2). This was consistent with

the results of pangolin lineage classification.

213 Spatiotemporal evolution of Delta variant AY.57 lineage in Vietnam

214 Because Delta variant AY.57 lineage was the dominant virus detected during the study period, we 215 focused our phylogeographic analysis on 1,212 sequences of this lineage detected among cases of 216 community transmission. After removing identical sequences, sequences of low quality, and outliers 217 as suggested by TempEst, a total of 748 non-identical sequences were available for analysis. TempEst 218 analysis indicated a positive correlation between genetic divergence and sampling time; the retrieved 219 R^2 value of 0.4538 (F = 438.4117, p value < 0.001), suggesting a moderate temporal signal in the 220 included sequences (Supplementary Figure 3). Results of phylogeographic analysis confirmed that 221 AY.57 lineage responsible for the first nationwide wave of COVID-19 in Vietnam in 2021 was first 222 introduced into the Northeast region in early 2021 (Figure 5) and the estimated time to the most 223 recent common ancestor (tMRCA) was March 14, 2021 (95%CI: February 22, 2021 to April 8, 2021). 224 This coincided with the detection of a community transmission cluster in Vinh Phuc and Yen Bai 225 provinces linked with travelers in the north in April 2021 (Figure 1). In the following months, the 226 northeast and Red river delta regions then acted as a source seeding the virus to other neighboring 227 regions, and especially to provinces in the southeastern region. However, viral dispersal between provinces/cities was not frequently observed (Figure 5). 228

Our analysis also revealed that between July and December 2021, the southeastern region was the main source seeding the virus back to the north and the rest of Vietnam. Additionally, we observed

the establishment of multiple localized clusters of AY.57 lineage elsewhere in the south centralcoastal region and the Red river delta (Figure 5).

233 Phylodynamics of Delta variant lineage AY.57

Bayesian Skyride plot reflecting the dynamics of effective population size of AY.57 lineage

circulating within Vietnam is shown in Figure 6. Although the results should be interpreted with

caution, we observed a sharp increase in genetic diversity of the pathogen between April and August

237 2021 (Figure 6A), reflecting the expansion of the AY.57 lineage across the country, coinciding with

the start of the large nationwide outbreak from May onward (Figure 1 and Figure 2A). In the

following months, the viral population size remained relative stable, despite some remarkable

fluctuations in the number of viral sequences obtained (Figure 6B). This was followed by a slight

241 decrease in the genetic diversity of the pathogen in the end of November.

242 Natural selection

243 The estimated mean of dN/dS value of polyprotein coding sequences was 0.86. The dN/dS values for

each individual protein coding gene were as follows: Open Reading Frame (ORF) 1a: 0.69, ORF 1b:

1.45, spike protein coding sequence: 0.59, ORF 3a: 1.44, envelope protein coding sequence: 1.14,

246 Matrix protein coding sequence: 0.27, ORF: 0.86, ORF 7a: 6; 1.3, ORF 7b: 0.82, ORF 8: 0.37, and N

protein coding sequence: 0.53. Finally, our estimated evolutionary rate of AY.57 coding sequences

from Vietnamese community cases sampled in 2021 was estimated to be 5.29×10^{-4} (95% CI:

 $4.966 \times 10^{-4} - 5.639 \times 10^{-4}$) substitutions per site per year.

250 **DISCUSSION**

We report the evolutionary history of SARS-CoV-2 variants and the potential impact of public health measures on the spread of the virus during an explosive nationwide outbreak in Vietnam in 2021. The

253	Alpha variant was first introduced into Vietnam in early 2021, shortly after it was first discovered in
254	the UK in November 2020, via different importation events as suggested by the results of
255	phylogenetic analysis. However, we only documented sporadic clusters of community transmission
256	associated with the Alpha variant until May before the Delta variant took over from June onward.
257	This was likely attributable to the rigorous containment approach applied in Vietnam at the time, also
258	known as zero COVID-19 policy, and the transmissibility of the Alpha variant itself. Notably, during
259	the same period the Alpha variant was responsible for a new wave of COVID-19 elsewhere,
260	including in the UK and in Europe [33, 34].
261	Unlike the Alpha variant, the Delta variant was not detected in Vietnam until April 2021. Despite
262	rigorous public health measures applied during the next two months, the virus rapidly spread to other
263	provinces in the north, where it was first detected, and in the south before it caused an explosive
264	nationwide outbreak from May onward. Our genomic surveillance data revealed that AY.57 lineage
265	of the Delta variant was the responsible virus with a tMRCA dating back in March 2021.
266	Viruses of the Delta variant have been divided into over 240 sublineages [35]. Of these, AY.57
267	lineage is characterized by the presence of signature mutations in ORF1a (P380S, P1640L, A3209V,
268	V3718A, and T3750I), and in spike protein (A222V). The origin of AY.57 lineage causing the
269	outbreak in Vietnam remains to be determined. However, the result of tMRCA analysis suggested
270	that this lineage had emerged shortly after the discovery of the Delta variant in India in November
271	2020. We may however underestimated the tMRCA of the AY.57 lineage since we only sequenced
272	1212 AY.57 sequences, while over 1.7 million cases were reported during the study period.
273	Collectively, the data emphasize the importance of active genomic surveillance to inform pandemic
274	responses.

275 In spite of the rapid expansion of the AY.57 lineage and the escalation of the outbreak from July 276 onward, our phylogeographic analysis suggested that viral spread between localities in Vietnam only 277 sporadically occurred. Of note, between July and September, Vietnam implemented strict national 278 lockdown with very limited in-country travel, and further tightened border controls. This may have 279 influenced the dispersal of the virus and explained the establishment of distinct phylogenetic clusters of AY.57 lineage in different geographic locations across Vietnam. Notably, in other countries, such 280 281 as the UK and the US, where travel restriction and border control were less stringent as compared to 282 those applied in Vietnam, viral dispersal across the localities was more apparent [36, 37]. 283 Additionally, during the study period, 198 and 195 sublineages of the Delta variant were documented 284 in the UK and the US, respectively [35]. In contrast, the 2021 outbreak in Vietnam was almost entirely confined to the AY.57 lineage, likely via a single introduction as suggested by the tight 285 286 cluster of the Vietnamese AY.57 lineage on the NextClade-based global phylogenetic tree. 287 We were able to reveal important insights in the evolutionary dynamics of the AY.57 lineage. 288 Accordingly, we showed that there was a sharp increase in relative genetic diversity of the pathogen 289 between April and July 2021, just around the start of the nationwide outbreak. This suggested that the 290 transmission into the community, followed by uncontrolled spread for the first time in Vietnam, was 291 likely attributable to the high transmissibility of the Delta variant. This had resulted in the natural 292 expansion in genetic diversity of the pathogen as it spread among a susceptible population. Notably, between April and July 2021, only a very small proportion of Vietnamese people were vaccinated 293 294 against COVID-19 (51.000 (0.0005%) and 6.2 million (0.06%) by 1 April and 31 July of a population 295 of 97 million, respectively) [38]. Therefore, at the time Vietnamese people remained largely naïve to SARS-CoV-2 infection [7]. Collectively, our data therefore reflect the natural evolutionary dynamics 296 297 of AY.57 lineage.

298	Our estimated dN/dS ratio for entire coding region suggested that the evolution of AY.57 lineage was
299	driven by a weak purifying selection, although this varied across the virus genome, with ORF1b,
300	ORF3a, E gene and ORF7a exhibiting a positive selection. Of note, a recent report showed that the
301	vaccines had played a role in selective adaptation of SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant [39]. As a
302	consequence, different mutation profiles were recorded between vaccine breakthrough infection and
303	non-breakthrough infection groups [39]. The role of vaccination in shaping the evolution of SARS-
304	CoV-2 merits further research. Finally, our estimated evolutionary rate of AY.57 lineage was within
305	the range of previously estimated values for the Delta variant [36, 40].
306	Our study has some limitations. We acknowledged a potential bias towards our referral-hospital
307	based sampling approach, especially from July 2021 onwards, when moderate and asymptomatic
308	cases started to be managed at home. As a consequence, we cannot exclude the possibility of the co-
309	circulation of other SARS-CoV-2 variants in Vietnam, which was not captured by our sampling
310	approach. However, this was very unlikely given the tight control border implemented in Vietnam
311	during the study period, and our results are consistent with sequences uploaded on GISAID [35].
312	In summary, we report how the Alpha and Delta variants were introduced, spread, and evolved during
313	the large nationwide outbreak of COVID-19 in Vietnam in 2021. Rigorous public health measures
314	successfully contained the Alpha variant during the first wave. The introduction of the highly
315	transmissible Delta variant AY.57 in an immunologically naïve population however resulted in an
316	explosive nationwide outbreak, coinciding with a rapid increase in genetic diversity of the pathogen.
317	Distinct clusters of AY.57 lineage were established in different geographic locations, pointing to the
318	impact of public health containment on the evolution and the diffusion of SARS-CoV-2. Our study
319	thus emphasizes how genomic surveillance is critical to inform pandemic response.

`15

320 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank to Dr.Le Nguyen Minh Hoa and technicians at Department of Microbiology and Molecular, National Hospital for Tropical Diseases for collecting swab samples and initial testing of SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic. We are grateful to OUCRU team for their supporting for whole genome sequencing and data entry. We thank the submitters from many laboratories for the sequences on the GISAID database.

- The genomic surveillance work was funded by Wellcome (222574/Z/21/Z). LVT and GT are
- supported by the Wellcome Trust of Great Britain (204904/Z/16/Z and 106680/B/14/Z, respectively).
- 328 OUCRU COVID-19 research group: Chambers Mary, Choisy Marc, Dong Huu Khanh Trinh, Dong
- 329 Thi Hoai Tam, Du Hong Duc, Dung Vu Tien Viet, Fisher Jaom, Flower Barney, Geskus Ronald,
- Hang Vu Thi Kim, Ho Quang Chanh, Ho Thi Bich Hai, Ho Van Hien, Hung Vu Bao, Huong Dang
- 331Thao, Huynh le Anh Huy, Huynh Ngan Ha, Huynh Trung Trieu, Huynh Xuan Yen, Kestelyn
- Evelyne, Kesteman Thomas, Lam Anh Nguyet, Lawson Katrina, Leigh Jones, Le Kim Thanh, Le
- 333 Dinh Van Khoa, Le Thanh Hoang Nhat, Le Van Tan, Lewycka Sonia Odette, Lam Minh Yen, Le
- 334 Nguyen Truc Nhu, Le Thi Hoang Lan, Nam Vinh Nguyen, Ngo Thi Hoa, Nguyen Bao Tran, Nguyen
- 335 Duc Manh, Nguyen Hoang Yen, Nguyen Le Thao My, Nguyen Minh Nguyet, Nguyen To Anh,
- 336 Nguyen Thanh Ha, Nguyen Than Ha Quyen, Nguyen Thanh Ngoc, Nguyen Thanh Thuy Nhien,
- 337 Nguyen Thi Han Ny, Nguyen Thi Hong Thuong, Nguyen Thi Hong Yen, Nguyen Thi Huyen Trang,
- 338 Nguyen Thi Kim Ngoc, Nguyen Thi Kim Tuyen, Nguyen Thi Ngoc Diep, Nguyen Thi Phuong Dung,
- 339 Nguyen Thi Tam, Nguyen Thi Thu Hong, Nguyen Thu Trang, Nguyen Thuy Thuong Thuong,
- 340 Nguyen Xuan Truong, Nhung Doan Phuong, Ninh Thi Thanh Van, Ong Phuc Thinh, Pham Ngoc
- Thanh, Phan Nguyen Quoc Khanh, Phung Ho Thi Kim, Phung Khanh Lam, Phung Le Kim Yen,
- 342 Phung Tran Huy Nhat, Rahman Motiur, Thuong Nguyen Thi Huyen, Thwaites Guy, Thwaites Louise,

Tran Bang Huyen, Tran Dong Thai Han, Tran Kim Van Anh, Tran Minh Hien, Tran Phuong Thao, 343 344 Tran Tan Thanh, Tran Thi Bich Ngoc, Tran Thi Hang, Tran Tinh Hien, Trinh Son Tung, van Doorn H. Rogier, Van Nuil Jennifer, Vidaillac Celine Pascale, Vu Thi Ngoc Bich, Vu Thi Ty Hang, Yacoub 345 346 Sophie. HTD COVID-19 research group: Nguyen Van Vinh Chau, Nguyen Thanh Dung, Le Manh Hung, Huvnh Thi Loan, Nguyen Thanh Truong, Nguyen Thanh Phong, Dinh Nguyen Huy Man, 347 Nguyen Van Hao, Duong Bich Thuy, Nghiem My Ngoc, Nguyen Phu Huong Lan, Pham Thi Ngoc 348 349 Thoa, Tran Nguyen Phuong Thao, Tran Thi Lan Phuong, Le Thi Tam Uyen, Tran Thi Thanh Tam, 350 Bui Thi Ton That, Huynh Kim Nhung, Ngo Tan Tai, Tran Nguyen Hoang Tu, Vo Trong Vuong, Dinh Thi Bich Ty, Le Thi Dung, Thai Lam Uyen, Nguyen Thi My Tien, Ho Thi Thu Thao, Nguyen Ngoc 351 352 Thao, Huynh Ngoc Thien Vuong, Huynh Trung Trieu, Pham Ngoc Phuong Thao, Phan Minh Phuong. EOCRU COVID-19 research group: Bachtiar, Andy, Baird, Kevin J., Dewi, Fitri, Dien, Ragil, 353 354 Djaafara, Bimandra A., Elvazar, Igbal E., Hamers, Raph L., Handavani, Winahyu, Kurniawan, Livia 355 Nathania, Limato, Ralalicia, Natasha, Cindy, Nuraeni, Nunung, Puspatriani, Khairunisa, Rahadjani, Mutia, Rimainar, Atika, Saraswati, Shankar, Anuraj H., Suhendra, Henry, Sutrisni, Ida Ayu, Suwarti, 356 357 Tarino, Nicolas, Timoria, Diana, Wulandari, Fitri. OUCRU-NP COVID-19 research group: Basnyat Buddha, Duwal Manish, Gautum Amit, Karkey Abhilasha, Kharel Niharika, Pandey Aakriti, Rijal 358 359 Samita, Shrestha Suchita, Thapa Pratibha, Udas Summita.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

361 Dr. Nguyen Thi Tam is a Postdoc Scientist at Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Hanoi,

Vietnam. She is a key person of genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 variants emerging in Vietnam
since 2020. She also interested in situation and molecular mechanisms of AMR of bacterial pathogens
in Vietnam.

`17

REFERENCES

- Tian, D., et al., *The Global Epidemic of the SARS-CoV-2 Delta Variant, Key Spike Mutations and Immune Escape.* Front Immunol, 2021. 12: p. 751778.
- Starr, T.N., et al., Deep Mutational Scanning of SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain Reveals
 Constraints on Folding and ACE2 Binding. Cell, 2020. 182(5): p. 1295-1310 e20.
- 369 3. Hoffmann, M., H. Kleine-Weber, and S. Pohlmann, A Multibasic Cleavage Site in the Spike Protein of
- 370 SARS-CoV-2 Is Essential for Infection of Human Lung Cells. Mol Cell, 2020. **78**(4): p. 779-784 e5.
- 4. Washington, N.L., et al., Genomic epidemiology identifies emergence and rapid transmission of SARS-
- 372 *CoV-2 B.1.1.7 in the United States.* medRxiv, 2021.
- 373 5. Van Tan, L., *COVID-19 control in Vietnam*. Nat Immunol, 2021. **22**(3): p. 261.
- Thai, P.Q., et al., *The First 100 Days of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Control in Vietnam.* Clin Infect Dis, 2021. **72**(9): p. e334-e342.
- 376 7. WHO, CCOVID-19 in Viet Nam Situation Report 101, accessed on 24 October 2022. available at
- 377 https://www.who.int/vietnam/internal-publications-detail/covid-19-in-viet-nam-situation-report-
- **378 <u>101</u>**, 2022.
- Attwood, S.W., et al., *Phylogenetic and phylodynamic approaches to understanding and combating the early SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.* Nat Rev Genet, 2022. **23**(9): p. 547-562.
- 381 9. du Plessis, L., et al., *Establishment and lineage dynamics of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in the UK.*382 Science, 2021. **371**(6530): p. 708-712.
- da Silva Filipe, A., et al., *Genomic epidemiology reveals multiple introductions of SARS-CoV-2 from mainland Europe into Scotland.* Nat Microbiol, 2021. 6(1): p. 112-122.
- 11. Lemey, P., et al., Untangling introductions and persistence in COVID-19 resurgence in Europe. Nature,
 2021. 595(7869): p. 713-717.

- Chau, N.V.V., et al., *Rapid whole-genome sequencing to inform COVID-19 outbreak response in Vietnam.* J Infect, 2021. 82(6): p. 276-316.
- 13. Van Vinh Chau, N., et al., *The Natural History and Transmission Potential of Asymptomatic Severe* Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection. Clin Infect Dis, 2020. **71**(10): p. 2679-2687.
- 391 14. Corman, V.M., et al., *Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR*. Euro
 392 Surveill, 2020. 25(3).
- 393 15. Organization, W.H., Operational considerations to expedite genomic sequencing component of GISRS
 394 surveillance of SARS-CoV-2. WHO. 1–10. 2021.
- 16. Nguyen, T.T., et al., Genetic diversity of SARS-CoV-2 and clinical, epidemiological characteristics of
- 396 *COVID-19 patients in Hanoi, Vietnam.* PLoS One, 2020. **15**(11): p. e0242537.
- 39717.Eden, J.-S. and E. Sim, SARS-CoV-2 Genome Sequencing Using Long Pooled Amplicons on Illumina398Platforms. Available on https://www.protocols.io/view/sars-cov-2-genome-sequencing-using-long-
- 399 pooled-amp-kxygxeob4v8j/v1. 2020.
- 400 18. Quick, J., *nCoV-2019 sequencing protocol V.3.* 2020.
- 401 19. Rambaut, A., et al., A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic
 402 epidemiology. Nat Microbiol, 2020. 5(11): p. 1403-1407.
- 403 20. https://github.com/cov-lineages/pangolin.
- 404 21. Aksamentov, I., et al., *Nextclade: clade assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral*405 *genomes.* Journal of Open Source Software, 2021. 6(67).
- 406 22. Li, H., *Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences*. Bioinformatics, 2018. **34**(18): p. 3094-
- 407 3100.
- 408 23. Freyja v 1.3.10, available at <u>https://github.com/andersen-lab/Freyja</u>.
- 409 24. Sc2rf SARS-Cov-2 Recombinant Finder, available at https://github.com/lenaschimmel/sc2rf.

- 410 25. Nguyen, L.T., et al., *IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-*411 *likelihood phylogenies.* Mol Biol Evol, 2015. **32**(1): p. 268-74.
- 412 26. Suchard, M.A., et al., *Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10.*413 Virus Evol, 2018. 4(1): p. vey016.
- 414 27. Rambaut, A., et al., *Exploring the temporal structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst*
- 415 *(formerly Path-O-Gen).* Virus Evol, 2016. **2**(1): p. vew007.
- 416 28. Drummond, A.J., et al., *Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence*. PLoS Biol, 2006. 4(5): p.
 417 e88.
- 418 29. Minin, V.N., E.W. Bloomquist, and M.A. Suchard, *Smooth skyride through a rough skyline: Bayesian*
- 419 *coalescent-based inference of population dynamics.* Mol Biol Evol, 2008. **25**(7): p. 1459-71.
- 420 30. Available at http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/.
- 421 31. Figtree version 1.4.2, available at (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree).
- 422 32. Tamura, K., et al., *MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood,* 423 *evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods.* Mol Biol Evol, 2011. **28**(10): p. 2731-9.
- 424 33. Walker, A.S., et al., *Tracking the Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha Variant in the United Kingdom*. N
- 425 Engl J Med, 2021. **385**(27): p. 2582-2585.
- 426 34. Stefanelli, P., et al., *Co-circulation of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha and Gamma variants in Italy, February and*427 *March 2021.* Euro Surveill, 2022. 27(5).
- 428 35. Detect and analyze variants of SARS-CoV-2, available at <u>https://cov-</u> 429 spectrum.org/explore/Vietnam/AllSamples/Past6M.
- 430 36. Eales, O., et al., SARS-CoV-2 lineage dynamics in England from September to November 2021: high
- 431 *diversity of Delta sub-lineages and increased transmissibility of AY.4.2.* BMC Infect Dis, 2022. 22(1): p.
 432 647.

- 433 37. McCrone, J.T., et al., Context-specific emergence and growth of the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant. Nature,
- 434 2022. **610**(7930): p. 154-160.
- 435 38. Avaialbe at https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus/country/vietnam
- 436 39. Duerr, R., et al., Clinical and genomic signatures of SARS-CoV-2 Delta breakthrough infections in New
- 437 *York.* EBioMedicine, 2022. **82**: p. 104141.
- 438 40. Benazi, N. and S. Bounab, Comparison of the evolutionary phylodynamic of Delta and Omicron
- 439 *variants of SARS-CoV-2.* Research Square, 2022.

Table 1. Demographics of the study participants

	Number of sequences (N=1303)	Alpha (N = 74)	AY.57 (N = 1212)
Age (Vears)		· · ·	
Median (Q1-Q3)	43 (29 - 61)	35 (30-55)	44 (29 - 61)
Missing	38 (2.9%)	31 (41.9%)	2 (0.2%)
Gender			
Female	689 (52.9%)	22 (29.7%)	661 (54.5%)
Male	578 (44.4%)	21 (28.4%)	551 (45.5%)
Missing	36 (2.8%)	31 (41.9%)	
Region			
Centre	120 (9.2%)	24 (32.4%)	94 (7.8%)
North	504 (38.7%)	44 (59.5%)	450 (37.1%)
South	679 (52.1%)	6 (8.1%)	668 (55.1%)

LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Figure 1: Government directions and COVID-19 epidemiology events in Vietnam in 2021. Note to Figure 1: [#]Directive-15: Suspension of non-essential services/businesses and mass gatherings, applying physical distance of 2 meters when contact with others, banning the gatherings of 20 people or more in one place and 10 people or more outside workplaces and limitation of movements. ^{\$}3 ring quarantine: apply Directive-16 whole city (bans gatherings of two more people in public and asks people to only leave homes for emergencies, food, medicine, work in factories, and businesses that involve essential goods and services), lockdown at residential areas with covid-19 case report, home quarantine for F1. HCMC: Ho Chi Minh city

Figure 2: A) Bar chart showing the number infections (brown bars) and deaths (grey bars) during the 2021 outbreak in Vietnam, B) monthly number of SARS-CoV-2 variants detected among cases of community transmission between January and December 2021. Delta variant non-AY.57 lineage includes B.1.617.2 (n=1), AY.23 (n=3), AY.79 (n=3), AY.85 (n=1), AY.6 (n=1), and AY.38 (n=1). Others include lineages B.1.637 (n=2) and A.23.1 (n=5).

Figure 3: Workflow of the study

Figure 4: Maximum likelihood tree of complete coding sequences of Alpha variant circulating in Vietnam in 2021. Phylogenetic clusters were named accordingly to community clusters recorded during the study period shown in Figure 1.

Figure 5: Maximum clade credibility trees illustrating the phylogeography of AY.57 lineage in Vietnam in 2021. Branches are color-coded according to locations of sampling. Posterior probabilities >0.8% and state probabilities >75% (black circles) are indicated at all nodes

Figure 6: A) Bayesian skyride plot illustrating the relative genetic diversity of AY.57 lineage in Vietnam during the year 2021, and B) the Number of AY.57 sequences used for analysis. Blue shading (Panel A) indicates 95% highest posterior density interval.







Pango lineage Alpha Delta AY.57 Delta non-AY.57 Other









