1	Puberty differentially predicts brain maturation in male and female youth: A longitudinal ABCD
2	Study
2	Study
5	Dani Rack ^{1,2,3} Lia Forschmann ³ Niamh MacSwaanay ⁴ Linn P. Norhom ^{1,2,3} Thea Wiker ^{1,2,3} Fire
-	$\Delta k_{cn} cs^{1,2,3}$ Valaria Karl ^{2,3} Eanny Dágailh ⁵ Madalana Halm ^{6,7} Kathrun L. Milla ^{3,8} Ola A
5	And reason 6.9 In grid A garta ^{1,2,10} Lars T. Wastly $6.7.9$ Tilmann von Spact ³ Christian K. Tampas ^{1,2,3}
0	Andreassen , inghu Agartz , Lais I. westiye , Thinann von Soest, Christian K. Tanines
/ 8	
9	¹ Department of Psychiatric Research, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway
10	² NORMENT, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway
11	³ PROMENTA Research Center, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Norway
12	⁴ Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
13	⁵ Univ Rennes, CNRS, Inria, Inserm, IRISA UMR 6074, EMPENN – ERL U 1228, Rennes, France
14	⁶ NORMENT, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of
15	Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway
16	⁷ Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Norway
17	⁸ Department of Psychology, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA
18	⁹ KG Jebsen Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, University of Oslo, Norway
19	¹⁰ Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	Correspondence: Dani Beck (dani.beck@psykologi.uio.no).
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	

35 Abstract

36	Research has demonstrated associations between pubertal development and brain maturation.
37	However, existing studies have been limited by small samples, cross-sectional designs, and
38	inconclusive findings regarding directionality of effects and sex differences.
39	We examined the longitudinal temporal coupling of puberty status assessed using the
40	Pubertal Development Scale (PDS) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based grey and
41	white matter brain structure. Our sample consisted of 8,896 children and adolescents at
42	baseline (mean age = 9.9) and $6,099$ at follow-up (mean age = 11.9) from the Adolescent
43	Brain and Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study.
44	Applying multigroup Bivariate Latent Change Score (BLCS) models, we found that
45	baseline PDS predicted the rate of change in cortical thickness among females and rate of
46	change in cortical surface area for both males and females. We also found a correlation
47	between baseline PDS and surface area and co-occurring changes over time in males.
48	Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) analysis revealed correlated change between PDS and
49	fractional anisotropy (FA) for both males and females, but no significant associations for
50	mean diffusivity (MD).
51	Our results suggest that pubertal status predicts cortical maturation, and that the
52	strength of the associations differ between sex. Further research is needed to understand the
53	impact of environmental and lifestyle factors.
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	Keywords: ABCD Study, Adolescence, Brain maturation, Development, Longitudinal,
60	Puberty
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	

69 **1. Introduction**

70 Adolescence is a transitional stage from childhood to adulthood that is marked by prolonged 71 tissue-specific neurodevelopmental processes. Tethered to this, puberty has been highlighted 72 as a defining period for protracted brain structural maturation during adolescence, with a 73 range of studies linking concurrent trends of pubertal and brain development that go beyond 74 the effects of age (Ando et al., 2021; Blakemore et al., 2010; Herting & Sowell, 2017; 75 Vijayakumar et al., 2021). However, existing studies on puberty-brain associations are 76 limited by small sample sizes and cross-sectional designs, in addition limited research on 77 directionality of effects, underscoring the need for large-scale longitudinal studies that 78 examine the temporal coupling of pubertal development and brain maturational processes. 79 Although pubertal development is characterised by progress through the same stages

for each individual — involving changes in secondary sex characteristics, rising hormone levels, and emerging reproductive capability — there is a large variation in the timing and tempo of these changes. The variation in pubertal timing, defined as an individual's relative pubertal developmental stage compared with same -age and -sex peers (Ullsperger & Nikolas, 2017), involve both inter-individual and between-sex differences, with the typical age of pubertal onset ranging from 9 to 14 years in males and 8 to 13 years in females (Herting et al., 2021; Savin-Williams & Ream, 2006).

87 Additionally, there is a genetic contribution, with heritability estimates ranging from 88 50 to 80% (Stroud & Davila, 2011). A growing body of evidence has also linked variation in 89 pubertal timing to lifestyle and environmental factors, including childhood obesity (Ahmed et 90 al., 2009; Herting et al., 2021) and socioeconomic status (SES) (Braithwaite et al., 2009; 91 Herting et al., 2021). An implication of this is that pubertal timing may be partially malleable 92 and, as such, represents a window of opportunity for early intervention that targets youth at 93 risk of health issues related to pubertal timing. For example, earlier pubertal onset is 94 associated with an increased risk of mental health outcomes related to risk-taking (Braams et 95 al., 2015; Collado-Rodriguez et al., 2014), depression and anxiety (Copeland et al., 2019; 96 MacSweeney et al., 2023; McNeilly et al., 2022; Mendle et al., 2010; Mendle & Ferrero, 97 2012), and substance use (Marceau et al., 2019; Patton et al., 2004; Stumper et al., 2019). 98 In conjunction to pubertal development, the brain shows continued tissue-specific 99 changes that are prone to influence by fluctuating environmental pressures (Ferschmann et 100 al., 2022; Tooley et al., 2021) beyond the complex genetic contribution (Grasby et al., 2020). 101 Further, puberty has been implicated as an influencing factor to the rate of maturation in the

102 brain, and as such recommended as non-negligible when studying adolescent brain

103 maturation (Holm et al., 2022; Wierenga et al., 2018).

104 Previous cross-sectional (Bramen et al., 2011; Paus et al., 2010; Pfefferbaum et al.,

105 2016) and longitudinal (Herting et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2013; Vijayakumar et al., 2021)

106 studies have reported reductions in global grey matter volume and cortical thickness

107 associated with higher pubertal status and testosterone levels, mirroring normative

108 developmental patterns of grey matter during adolescence (Mills et al., 2016; Tamnes et al.,

109 2017). However, when controlling for age, findings have been less consistent, with some

110 studies reporting associations between decreased cortical grey matter and more pubertally

111 developed females (Bramen et al., 2011; Peper, Brouwer, et al., 2009), others reporting

112 positive associations between grey matter volume and testosterone in males (Peper, Brouwer,

et al., 2009), and several reporting null findings for both sexes (Bramen et al., 2011;

114 Koolschijn et al., 2014; Peper, Brouwer, et al., 2009; Peper, Schnack, et al., 2009).

115 For white matter, numerous cross-sectional studies have reported positive associations

116 between pubertal status and white matter volumes (Chavarria et al., 2014; Perrin et al., 2009;

117 Pfefferbaum et al., 2016), with some conflicting findings (Peper, Schnack, et al., 2009).

118 Similarly, studies have reported positive associations between testosterone levels and white

119 matter volume among males (Paus et al., 2010; Perrin et al., 2008), with inconsistent findings

120 when accounting for age (Peper, Brouwer, et al., 2009).

121 Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) provides information regarding white matter 122 architecture and microstructure. DTI studies have generally reported higher fractional 123 anisotropy (FA) and lower mean diffusivity (MD) among more pubertally developed 124 adolescents (Herting et al., 2012; Menzies et al., 2014), mirroring normative developmental 125 patterns (Lebel & Deoni, 2018; Pfefferbaum et al., 2016). However, to date, only one 126 longitudinal study (Herting et al., 2017) has investigated associations between puberty and 127 white matter microstructure using DTI, albeit with a small sample, finding that physical 128 pubertal changes predicted changes in white matter. However, further longitudinal research is 129 necessary to validate and extend previous findings and to examine potential sex differences.

Using a large-scale longitudinal sample (N = 8,896 at baseline; N = 6,099 at followup) of children and adolescents between the ages of 9-14 years from the Adolescent Brain
Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study cohort, we investigated the developmental
associations between puberty and brain structure and tested whether and to what extent these
associations differ between male and female youth. By leveraging a powerful Structural

135 Equation Modeling (SEM) framework, multigroup Bivariate Latent Change Scores (BLCS),

136 we examined how individual and sex differences in pubertal development relate to 137 differences in structural brain maturation. Importantly, BLSC allows us to test the temporal 138 dynamics of the puberty-brain relationship, specifically whether pubertal status and brain 139 features correlate at baseline, brain structure at baseline predicts change in pubertal status, 140 baseline pubertal status predicts change in brain structure, and whether changes in puberty 141 and brain structure co-occur over the course of the study period. 142 Based on the most consistently reported effects documented to date, we expected 143 cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between pubertal status measured with the 144 Pubertal Development Scale (PDS) and global features of cortical thickness and surface area 145 and white matter microstructure (FA, MD) in the examined age range. Specifically, based on 146 morphometrical and DTI studies (Bramen et al., 2011; Herting et al., 2012, 2015; Menzies et 147 al., 2014; Nguyen et al., 2013; Paus et al., 2010; Pfefferbaum et al., 2016; Vijayakumar et al., 148 2021) reporting effects that mirror normative developmental patterns, we hypothesised 149 correlations at baseline across all brain MRI features and for changes in pubertal status and 150 brain structure to co-occur. In terms of coupling effects (i.e., regressions), despite limited 151 research on directionality of effects, we hypothesised that baseline pubertal status would 152 predict change in global brain structure and not vice versa, in line with a previous study 153 reporting pubertal changes predicting changes in brain maturation (Herting et al., 2017). 154 Additionally, based on previous research reporting more consistent effects for females 155 compared to males, we hypothesised sex related effects to be present, with generally stronger 156 puberty-brain associations in females compared to males. 157

158 **2.** Methodology

159 2.1. Description of sample

160 The initial sample consisted of children and adolescents that are part of the ongoing

161 longitudinal ABCD Study, including a baseline cohort of ~11,800 nine- and ten-year-olds to

162 be followed for the course of ten years (Garavan et al., 2018). Data used in the present study

163 were drawn from the ABCD curated annual release 4.0, containing data from baseline up

164 until the second-year visit (<u>https://data-archive.nimh.nih.gov/abcd</u>). All ABCD Study data is

165 stored in the NIMH Data Archive Collection #2573, which is available for registered and

authorised users (Request #7474, PI: Westlye). The 4.0 release will be permanently available

167 as a persistent dataset defined in the NDA Study 1299 and has been assigned the DOI

168 10.15154/1523041.

169 To minimize confounding effects from complex family-related factors, unrelated 170 participants were chosen by randomly selecting an individual from each family ID, 171 subsequently excluding any siblings (see Supporting Information (SI) Section 1 for details). 172 Additionally, participants were excluded using the ABCD Study exclusion criteria, which 173 included non-English proficiency, general MRI contraindications, a history of a major 174 neurological disorder, traumatic brain injury, extreme premature birth (<28 weeks gestational 175 age), a diagnosis of schizophrenia, intellectual disability, moderate to severe autism spectrum 176 disorder, or substance abuse disorder (Karcher et al., 2018). 177 Following procedures of quality control (see SI Section 1) for each brain measure

(FA, MD, cortical thickness, surface area), PDS, and our covariates of interest including SES, body-mass index (BMI), and genetic ancestry-derived ethnicity, the final sample consisted of N = 8,896 participants at baseline (4,223 females, 4,673 males) and N = 6,099 participants at follow-up (2,798 females, 3,301 males). Attrition analyses can be found in SI Section 1 and SI Figure 1. Table 1 displays means and standard deviations of each brain MRI metric, PDS, and covariates of interest, split by sex, while the age and PDS distributions in the sample are presented in Figure 1. Ethical approvals for the study are described in SI Section 2.

	Baseline	Follow-up	Males at	Females at	Males at	Females at
	sample	sample	baseline	baseline	follow-up	follow-up
N subjects	8,896	6,099	4,673	4,223	3,301	2,798
Age	9.9 ± 0.62	11.9 ± 0.64	9.9 ± 0.62	9.9 ± 0.61	11.9 ± 0.64	11.9 ± 0.63
PDS	8.0 ± 2.40	10.5 ± 3.48	7.2 ± 1.86	8.9 ± 2.59	8.9 ± 2.74	12.4 ± 3.30
FA	0.503 ± 0.02	0.509 ± 0.02	0.503 ± 0.02	0.503 ± 0.02	0.509 ± 0.02	0.510 ± 0.02
MD	0.800 ± 0.02	0.789 ± 0.02	0.802 ± 0.03	0.790 ± 0.02	0.792 ± 0.02	0.786 ± 0.02
СТ	2.72 ± 0.09	2.69 ± 0.09	2.72 ± 0.09	2.73 ± 0.09	2.69 ± 0.09	2.69 ± 0.09
SA	$1,\!899 \pm 180$	$1{,}907 \pm 183$	1,977 ±167	$1,813 \pm 154$	$1,990 \pm 168$	$1,\!814\pm153$
BMI	18.82 ± 4.13	20.57 ± 4.84	18.75 ± 4.03	18.91 ± 4.23	20.35 ± 4.71	20.84 ± 4.98
SES	7.25 ± 2.39	7.56 ± 2.23	7.26 ± 2.39	7.24 ± 2.39	7.58 ± 2.44	7.53 ± 2.21

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of	the sample, including mean \pm stat	ndard deviation (SD) for each measure
------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Note: MRI metrics of FA, MD, cortical thickness (CT), and surface area (SA) represent scanner-harmonised scores. CT represented in mm. Area represented in cm². SES represents a total score derived from the average income from both parental and partner income, where 7 represents those earning between \$50-75k annually.



187

Figure 1. Age (left) and PDS (right) distribution of the study sample, split by sex and timepoint. Dotted line
between plots connects the mean age and PDS values at baseline (timepoint 1) and follow-up (timepoint 2). Age

and total PDS scores shown as distributions where green represents females and purple represents males.

191

192 2.2. MRI acquisition and processing

193 Neuroimaging data were acquired at 21 different sites and processed by the ABCD team. A

194 3-T Siemens Prisma, General Electric 750 or Phillips scanner was used for data acquisition.

195 Protocols used for data acquisition and processing are described elsewhere (Casey et al.,

196 2018; Hagler et al., 2019). In brief, T1-weighted data was acquired by magnetisation-

197 prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo scans with a resolution of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³, which was

198 used for generating cortical structural measures, and diffusion-weighted data was obtained by

199 high angular resolution diffusion imaging scans, used for generating white matter

200 microstructural measures.

Two modalities of brain structural measures were used in the present study: grey matter cortical measures and white matter microstructural measures (Hagler et al., 2019). Cortical reconstruction and volumetric segmentation was performed with FreeSurfer 6.0 (Dale et al., 1999; Fischl et al., 2002). White matter microstructural measures (DTI) were generated using AtlasTrack, a probabilistic atlas-based method for automated segmentation of white matter fiber tracts (Hagler Jr. et al., 2009). For cortical measures, global measures were generated for cortical surface area and thickness. For DTI, measures of FA and MD

were generated over the whole brain. For full pipeline, see SI Section 3.

209

210 2.3. Multi-site MRI scanner effects

211 To adjust for non-biological variance introduced by multi-scanner MRI and 212 acquisition protocols (i.e., scanner effects), a longitudinal harmonisation technique was 213 carried out using the R package LongComBat (Beer et al., 2020). To reduce bias in scaling 214 and spatial resolution of features, our four global brain MRI measures (FA, MD, cortical 215 thickness, surface area) were harmonised separately. Since the harmonisation is applied to 216 model residuals, the longitudinal ComBat model should ideally match the model in the final 217 analysis. As such, each model was fitted with formulas including age, PDS, BMI, SES, and 218 genetic ancestry-derived ethnicity as covariates. SI Figures 2 and 3 show distribution of each 219 MRI measure before harmonisation and after longitudinal ComBat.

220

221 2.4. Pubertal development assessment

222 Pubertal status was measured using the PDS (Petersen et al., 1988) to examine the perceived 223 development of secondary sex characteristics such as growth spurts, body hair growth, skin 224 changes, breast development and menarche in females, and voice changes and growth of 225 testes in males. The PDS is a questionnaire designed to mimic the traditional Tanner staging 226 assessment without the use of reference pictures (Petersen et al., 1988). Due to previous 227 research showing that youth tend to over-report their perceived physical development at 228 younger ages (Schlossberger et al., 1992), the current study utilised caregiver PDS report. 229 The PDS includes five-items, each rated on a 4-point scale (1 = no development; 2 =230 development has barely begun; 3 = development is definitely underway; and 4 = development 231 is complete; except menstruation, which is coded 1 = has not begun, 4 = has begun). Thus, 232 higher scores reflect more advanced pubertal development. The PDS has shown high inter-233 rater reliability between parent and self-rated assessment to clinicians, and correlates highly 234 with plasma levels of gonadal hormones (Carskadon & Acebo, 1993; Koopman-Verhoeff et 235 al., 2020) in addition to the Tanner stages (Koopman-Verhoeff et al., 2020). We used total 236 PDS score for our analyses. When describing our results, PDS and the term *pubertal status* is 237 used interchangeably for cross-sectional analyses, while *pubertal development* is used for 238 longitudinal analyses. Note, both pubertal status and development refer to individual

- 239 differences in PDS scores while controlling for age.
- 240

241 2.5. Covariates of interest

242 Previous research has highlighted several factors that potentially contribute to pubertal timing

and development, including BMI, SES, and ethnicity (Ahmed et al., 2009; Braithwaite et al.,

244 2009; Deardorff et al., 2019; Freedman et al., 2002; Herting et al., 2021; Kaplowitz et al.,

245	2001). Therefore, we included BMI, SES, and genetic ancestry-derived ethnicity, in addition
246	to age, as covariates. For full details on how each covariate was measured and derived, see SI
247	Section 4. For figures relating to distribution, frequency, and associations between covariates,
248	see SI Figures 4-6.
249	
250	2.6. Statistical analysis
251	The code used in the present study is available in a public repository - Open Science
252	Framework (OSF) - and accessible directly through the OSF webpage <u>https://osf.io/nh649/</u> .
253	All analyses were carried out using R version 4.0.0 (R Core Team, 2022). A
254	multigroup BLCS model was tested using the lavaan package (Rosseel, 2012) following
255	recommendations provided by Kievit et al. (2018) for model setup.
256	The rationale behind using BLCS models is rooted in its ability to model latent
257	change by using only two data collection waves (Kievit et al., 2018). Moreover, the
258	modelling framework is especially powerful for testing cross-domain couplings
259	which captures the extent to which change in one domain is a function of the starting level in
260	the other. Further motivation for using this model was our interest in sex-related effects.
261	Using a multi-group BLCS model allows one to assess four different brain-
262	puberty associations of interest for each sex in one model, and to test whether the size of
263	associations significantly differ across sex.
264	Four separate models were set up to examine 1) correlations at baseline between
265	pubertal status and MRI measures of global FA, MD, cortical thickness, and surface area; 2)
266	to what extent the baseline pubertal status predicted rate of change in global brain MRI
267	measures; 3) to what extent the baseline score of global brain MRI measure predicted rate of
268	change in the pubertal development; and lastly, 4) whether change co-occurred. To
269	investigate sex differences in the associations, we used multiple group analyses in the
270	framework of SEM, with sex (female, male) as the grouping variable.
271	We quantified to what extent change in pubertal status (ΔPDS) between baseline and
272	follow-up was a function of brain MRI measure (γ 2) and pubertal status (β_1) at baseline as
273	following:
274	$\Delta PDS = \beta_1 \cdot PDS + \gamma 2 \cdot MRI$
275	And to what extent change in brain MRI measure (MRI) between baseline and follow-up was
276	a function of pubertal status (γ 1) and brain MRI measure (β_2) at baseline as following:
277	$\Delta MRI = \beta_2 \cdot MRI + \gamma 1 \cdot PDS$

278 For an illustrative description of this model, see Figure 2. Due to their relatedness to pubertal 279 development, age, BMI, SES, and genetic ancestry-derived ethnicity were included in each 280 model. Full information for maximum likelihood estimation procedures were used for all 281 BLCS analyses, thereby providing missing data routines that are considered to be adequate 282 (Enders, 2010). 283 Analyses to test sex differences were also carried out, where the model parameters above (1-4) were constrained to be equal across the two groups and tested by means of χ^2 -284 285 difference tests against their respective non-constrained (original) model for each of the four 286 brain MRI metrics. To test sex differences for all associations for all four models, sixteen

such tests were carried. The significance threshold was set at p < 0.05, and the results were

288 corrected for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate (FDR) adjustment

- (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). Both uncorrected (p) and corrected (p^{corr}) values are reported
- 290 for these tests.
- 291



Figure 2. Bivariate latent change score (BLCS) model with two timepoints, examining correlation between
pubertal status (PDS) and brain MRI metric (MRI) at baseline (pink), to what extent pubertal status at baseline
predicts the rate of change in brain MRI metric (blue: 1), to what extent brain MRI metric at baseline predicts
the rate of change in pubertal status (blue: 2), and whether changes in PDS and MRI co-occur (yellow) after
considering the coupling (1, 2) pathways. Image adapted from Kievit et al. (2018) with permission
from author.

299

292

300 **3. Results**

- 301 3.1. Descriptive statistics
- 302 Descriptive statistics can be found in Table 1. Figures for each brain MRI measure as a
- 303 function of age are presented in SI Figure 7. Brain MRI trajectories as a function of PDS are
- 304 presented in SI Figure 8.
- 305

306 3.2. Multigroup bivariate latent change score (BLCS) models

- 307 The main results of the models are summarised in Table 2. For the full models, including
- analyses testing for sex differences in the associations, see SI Section 5.
- 309

Table 2. Multigroup BLCS model for each brain MRI metric, where numbers 1-4 represent the following effects: (1) correlation at baseline, (2) regression (PDS at baseline related to change in MRI metric), (3) regression (MRI metric at baseline related to change in PDS), (4) correlation of change score.

Effect			Female			Male			
		Est	SE	Z	р	Est	SE	Z	р
FA									
(1)	$PDS_{t1} \leftrightarrow FA_{t1} (\Box)$	-0.00	0.02	-0.27	0.79	0.00	0.01	0.14	0.89
(2)	$PDS_{t1} {\rightarrow} \Delta FA \; (\gamma_1)$	-0.00	0.02	-0.07	0.95	-0.00	0.03	-0.00	0.99
(3)	$FA_{t1} \rightarrow \Delta PDS (\gamma_2)$	-0.01	0.02	-0.83	0.41	0.01	0.01	0.50	0.62
(4)	$\Delta PDS {\leftrightarrow} \Delta FA \ (\rho)$	0.05	0.01	3.54	<0.01	0.03	0.01	2.36	0.02
MD									
(1)	$PDS_{t1} \leftrightarrow MD_{t1}(\Box)$	0.01	0.02	0.53	0.60	-0.00	0.01	-0.30	0.76
(2)	$PDS_{t1} \rightarrow \Delta MD(\gamma_1)$	-0.01	0.02	-0.27	0.79	-0.02	0.03	-0.77	0.44
(3)	$MD_{t1} \rightarrow \Delta PDS (\gamma_2)$	-0.01	0.02	-0.59	0.56	-0.01	0.01	-1.03	0.30
(4)	$\Delta PDS {\leftrightarrow} \Delta MD \ (\rho)$	-0.01	0.02	-0.59	0.56	-0.01	0.02	-0.55	0.58
СТ									
(1)	$PDS_{t1} \leftrightarrow CT_{t1}(\Box)$	-0.01	0.02	-0.71	0.48	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.96
(2)	$PDS_{t1} {\rightarrow} \Delta CT \; (\gamma_1)$	-0.08	0.02	-4.56	<0.01	-0.00	0.02	-0.10	0.92
(3)	$CT_{t1} \rightarrow \Delta PDS (\gamma_2)$	0.01	0.02	0.62	0.53	-0.02	0.01	-1.40	0.16
(4)	$\Delta PDS {\leftrightarrow} \Delta CT \ (\rho)$	-0.03	0.01	-2.68	<0.01	-0.00	0.01	-0.07	0.94
SA									
(1)	$PDS_{t1} \leftrightarrow SA_{t1}(\Box)$	-0.00	0.01	-0.04	0.97	0.04	0.01	3.64	<0.01
(2)	$PDS_{t1} \rightarrow \Delta SA(\gamma_1)$	-0.07	0.01	-8.94	<0.01	-0.03	0.01	-3.60	<0.01
(3)	Area _{t1} $\rightarrow \Delta PDS(\gamma_2)$	0.01	0.02	0.31	0.75	-0.02	0.02	-1.25	0.21
(4)	$\Delta PDS {\leftrightarrow} \Delta SA \ (\rho)$	0.00	0.01	0.11	0.91	0.02	0.00	3.95	<0.01

Note. Table showing results from the multigroup BLCS model of Pubertal Development Scale (PDS) and MRI measures of Fractional Anisotropy (FA), Mean Diffusivity (MD), Cortical Thickness (CT), and Surface Area (SA), with estimates (Est), standard error (SE), z-score (z) and p-values (p). For each effect, $_{T1}$ represents baseline score, dPDS or dMRI represents the delta score in PDS and MRI measure between baseline and follow-up, \leftrightarrow represent correlations, and \rightarrow represents regression coefficients. All reported effects are standardised. Bold fonts represent significant effects.

311 *3.3. Pubertal development and fractional anisotropy*

First, a BLCS for pubertal development and FA was modelled and the model fit was good: χ^2 312 313 (28) = 272.45, CFI = 0.96, RMSEA = 0.04. Inspection of the four parameters of interest, 314 reflecting the four possible puberty-brain relationships (outlined in Section 2.6), showed no 315 statistically significant correlation between pubertal status and FA at baseline for males or 316 females. The results did however show statistically significant associations of correlated 317 change for both males (r = 0.03, p = 0.02) and females (r = 0.05, p < 0.01). In other words, those with greater increase in pubertal status were, on average, those with greater increase in 318 FA. There were no coupling effects to report. Next, χ^2 -difference testing for sex differences 319 were carried out. Here, no significant difference between males and females were found for 320 321 any of the four puberty-brain relationships. For a graph representation of the results, see 322 Figure 3.

323



324

Figure 3. Graph showing the multigroup BLCS models for fractional anisotropy (FA) and PDS relations.

327 3.4. Pubertal development and mean diffusivity

328 Second, a BLCS for pubertal development and MD was modelled and the model fit was

329 good: χ^2 (28) = 267.86, CFI = 0.96, RMSEA = 0.04. Inspection of the four parameters of

- 330 interest revealed no statistically significant correlations or regression coefficients. χ^2 -
- difference tests revealed no significant difference between males and females for any of the
- four puberty-brain relationships. For a graph representation of the results, see Figure 4.
- 333



334

Figure 4. Graph showing the multigroup BLCS models for mean diffusivity (MD) and PDS relations.

336

337 3.5. Pubertal development and cortical thickness

338 Third, a BLCS for pubertal development and cortical thickness was modelled and the model

fit was good: χ^2 (28) = 274.47, CFI = 0.96, RMSEA = 0.04. When examining the four

340 parameters of interest, no statistically significant associations were observed between

341 pubertal status and cortical thickness at baseline for males or females. The results did

however reveal statistically significant correlated change for females (r = -0.03, p < 0.01),

343 with no association present for males (r = -0.00, p = 0.94). In terms of coupling effects,

pubertal status at baseline predicted rate of change in cortical thickness in females ($\beta = -0.08$,

p < 0.01), while no associations were present in males. In other words, having initially higher

346 PDS scores at baseline predicted larger increase between baseline and follow-up cortical

347 thickness among females. χ^2 -difference tests revealed a significant difference between males

and females ((df, 1) = [8.75], p < 0.01. $p^{corr} = 0.049$) when comparing model parameters of

349 pubertal status at baseline predicting rate of change in cortical thickness. The three other

350 relationships investigated revealed no significant sex differences after correction for multiple

351 comparisons. A graph representation of the results can be seen in Figure 5.

352



353

Figure 5. Graph showing the multigroup BLCS models for cortical thickness (CT) and PDS relations.

355

356 *3.6. Pubertal development and surface area*

357 Lastly, a BLCS for pubertal development and surface area was modelled and the model fit was good: χ^2 (28) = 275.74, CFI = 0.98, RMSEA = 0.04. Inspection of the four parameters of 358 359 interest showed statistically significant (positive) associations for the correlation between 360 pubertal status and surface area at baseline (r = 0.04, p < 0.01) and correlated change for 361 males (r = 0.02, p < 0.01), with no significant associations among females for either 362 correlated baseline (r = -0.00, p = 0.97) or change (r = 0.00, p = 0.91). Coupling effects 363 indicated pubertal status at baseline as being predictive of rate of change in surface area for 364 both males ($\beta = -0.03$, p < 0.01) and females ($\beta = -0.07$, p < 0.01). In other words, having 365 initially higher PDS scores at baseline predicted larger decrease between baseline and followup surface area measures. χ^2 -difference tests found a statistically significant sex difference 366 $((df, 1) = [12.26], p < 0.01, p^{corr} < 0.01)$ when comparing model parameters of pubertal status 367 368 at baseline predicting rate of change in surface area, thereby showing that the association was 369 significantly stronger for females than males. The three other relationships investigated 370 revealed no significant group differences after correction for multiple comparisons. See 371 Figure 6 for a graph representation of the results. 372



373

Figure 6. Graph showing the multigroup BLCS models for surface area (SA) and PDS relations.

375

376 **4. Discussion**

377 We investigated the coupling pathways of pubertal development and brain maturation in early 378 adolescence using a multi-group BLCS framework in a large-scale longitudinal sample. Our 379 main findings indicate that baseline pubertal status predicted the rate of change in cortical 380 thickness for female youth only, and that pubertal status predicted rate of change in surface 381 area for both sexes, but more strongly for females than males. Associations were also present 382 for both correlation between pubertal status and surface area at baseline, and co-occurring 383 changes over time, but these associations were present among male youth only. DTI analyses 384 revealed correlated change over time between pubertal development and FA for both males 385 and females, and no significant associations for MD. Overall, our results suggest sex-related 386 differences in the associations between baseline pubertal status and rate of change in global 387 cortical grey matter features of the brain.

388 Our study utilised a solid statistical framework to investigate the longitudinal 389 coupling effects of pubertal development and structural brain maturation, providing valuable 390 insight into the temporal dynamics of brain-and-puberty development. Previous research has 391 reported sex-related associations between higher pubertal stage and global cortical grey 392 matter (Herting et al., 2015), with studies generally reporting reduced cortical thickness in 393 females (Bramen et al., 2011; Pfefferbaum et al., 2016) and no associations for males 394 (Bramen et al., 2011; Koolschijn et al., 2014; Peper, Brouwer, et al., 2009). Our findings 395 support previous findings and reveal additional knowledge into the coupling effects, with 396 baseline pubertal status predicting rate of change in cortical thickness, as hypothesised.

397 For global surface area, baseline levels of pubertal status and surface area were 398 positively related in males, going against our hypothesis. However, male participants who 399 were more pubertally developed at baseline showed less increases in surface area compared 400 to male participants who were less pubertally developed at baseline. Interestingly, our 401 coupling effects provide evidence suggesting that pubertal status at baseline predicts rate of 402 change in surface area (for both males and females), with faster pubertal tempo associated 403 with greater decrease in surface area, supporting our hypothesis. Moreover, despite both 404 sexes showing effects, our findings revealed significantly stronger effects in females than 405 males.

406 For surface area, our findings can be best understood in the context of the age range in 407 this sample. While the directionality of the coupling effects go in the expected directions (i.e., 408 more pubertally developed predicting surface area *decrease*), one unexpected finding is the 409 observation that males show the opposite trend for baseline levels of pubertal status and 410 surface area (i.e., positively related). Previous research has reported decreases in surface area 411 associated with more pubertally developed males (Herting et al., 2015). One possible 412 explanation for this could be that many of the males in the present sample are still 413 experiencing increase in surface area (see e.g., Raznahan et al. (2011) and SI Figure 7). 414 Additionally, as evidenced by Figure 1 and PDS descriptive statistics in Table 1, the majority 415 of the males in our sample are in the early stages of pubertal development compared to 416 females (mid-late stages), and do not progress a lot throughout the study period, with the 417 average PDS moving from 7.5 at baseline to 8.8 at follow-up. This is a major limitation of the 418 current study. ABCD Study data collection is ongoing and thus, the age range available at the 419 time of analysis is not representative of the entire pubertal period. While the current study is 420 an important first step in investigating the emergence of sex differences in pubertal and brain 421 development, longitudinal data spanning the entire range of puberty will be needed to 422 advance our understanding of sex-specific developmental patterns.

423 Previous research investigating associations between pubertal development and DTI 424 is sparse. The one existing longitudinal DTI study (Herting et al. 2017) reported that increase 425 in PDS was associated with increase in FA, which was supported in our study using a much 426 larger sample. However, while the prior study reported sex-related effects, our results did not 427 indicate differences between males and females. One potential explanation for this is that 428 Herting and colleagues looked at various regions of the brain while our study observed global 429 FA, meaning if a minority of regional effects (as observed in Herting et al. (2017)) were 430 going the other direction, they may not be evident in the overall effect of increasing FA in the

431 majority of other regions. No evidence of correlation at baseline or coupling effects were

432 observed in either FA or MD, and there were no significant sex differences, which goes

433 against our previously stated hypotheses.

434 Adolescence is a sensitive period that offers a window of opportunity to shape the fate 435 of the adult brain. Within that period, accelerated pubertal development has been identified as 436 a potential indicator of concern for the developing brain, with earlier pubertal timing being 437 linked to psychological outcomes such as depression, anxiety, and ADHD (Copeland et al., 438 2019; Ge et al., 2006; Mendle et al., 2010; Mendle & Ferrero, 2012). Future research should 439 focus on increasing our understanding on the extent to intervention strategies targeting early 440 pubertal-timing-related risk factors reduce the risk of related psychopathology. Explicitly 441 investigating the brain-puberty relationship with sex differences in mind is also important for 442 future research when considering that risk of worse mental health outcomes for females and 443 males may differ, and this may have ramifications for related brain changes.

444 With research showing earlier pubertal timing in those facing barriers to positive 445 health and well-being, questions must be raised of how to tackle the challenges posed by 446 environmental factors and disparities in living conditions. Research has observed earlier 447 pubertal timing in concordance with higher child weight status, lower SES, and nutritional 448 needs not being met, and these have disproportionately impacted minority groups more. 449 Although speculative, environmental pressures may be central agents in advanced adaptation 450 to adult roles in the environment, termed 'adultification' (Pfeifer & Allen, 2021), where 451 adolescents may be undergoing earlier onset and tempo of puberty, and related 452 neurodevelopmental changes, as a means to adapt to a harsh environment, subsequently 453 increasing their risk of psychopathology. More research is needed to elucidate the potential 454 role of environmental factors on advanced pubertal development and brain maturation. 455

The current study has several strengths and limitations that warrant further discussion. Firstly, the ABCD Study cohort provides a well-powered sample that is openly available for researchers, improving both transparency and encouraging initiatives testing the robustness of the findings. Second, the longitudinal data and analyses allow for tracking changes over time, albeit while still limited by only one follow-up assessment. Nevertheless, the current multigroup BLCS analysis framework is well tailored to address hypotheses related to both general and fine-grained temporal dynamics over time and is especially powerful for testing cross-domain couplings (Kievit et al., 2018).

While global measures may capture broad effects, we know that pubertal development is associated with regional changes in frontal, temporal and parietal areas (as well as

17

465 subcortical regions) which provides rationale for looking at these in future research. FA and 466 MD revealed fewer effects compared to grey matter morphology. Future research should 467 utilise advanced diffusion MRI metrics (Beck et al., 2021; Tamnes et al., 2018) that 468 differentiate between intra- and extra-axonal compartments and potentially provides more 469 information than conventional DTI. Lastly, future research would benefit from a combined 470 approach of assessing pubertal development by means of questionnaires as well as hormonal 471 data, given the limitations of PDS (Cheng et al., 2021). 472 Some general limitations pertaining to ABCD Study and other large-scale volunteer 473 scale databases include biases related to being more healthy, more wealthy, and more 474 educated compared to the general population in their respective countries (Brayne & Moffitt, 475 2022). This lack of representativeness may mean that those with most adversity and 476 disadvantage will not be represented in this research. Future research should consider more 477 diversified samples to reduce the healthy volunteer bias compromising the generalisability of 478 the findings to more vulnerable populations. On the other hand, the ABCD Study cohort does 479 include more ethnically diverse participants than similar large-scale volunteer databases 480 (Brayne & Moffitt, 2022). Due to participant ages at recruitment, the ABCD Study data does 481 not capture early gonadal processes for many participants (Cheng et al., 2021; Herting et al., 482 2021), which is more pronounced for females and non-White participants. We also 483 acknowledge that the ABCD Study cohort shows some overlap in age and PDS between the 484 included timepoints in the study (see Figure 1), and thus caution must be taken in interpreting 485 results. Additionally, we recognise that variations in pubertal development in, for example, 486 early stages vs mid-late stages may differ in their associations to brain maturation, and such 487 possible non-linear associations were not examined in the current study. Future research 488 would benefit from analysing samples with multiple timepoints and an age-range spanning 489 the entire span of pubertal development and utilising longitudinal modelling that 490 accommodates potentially non-linear relationships between brain maturation and pubertal 491 development. 492 In conclusion, our analyses highlight pubertal status in early adolescence as being 493 predictive of longitudinal changes in cortical grey matter structure over time, and that these 494 changes are different for males and females. Further research is needed to understand how

- 495 puberty impacts the brain, the contribution of environmental and lifestyle factors on pubertal496 development and brain maturation, and, in turn, the effects on mental health.
- 497
- 498 **5. Funding**

18

- 499 This work was supported by the Research Council of Norway (#223273, #288083, #323951,
- 500 #276082, #249795, #248238, #298646), and the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health
- 501 Authority (#2019069, #2021070, #500189, #2014097, #2015073, #2016083, #2018076), KG
- 502 Jebsen Stiftelsen, and the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon
- 503 2020 Research and Innovation program (ERC StG, #802998 and RIA #847776).
- 504

505 6. Acknowledgments

- 506 Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Adolescent Brain
- 507 Cognitive DevelopmentSM (ABCD) Study (https://abcdstudy.org), held in the NIMH Data
- 508 Archive (NDA). This is a multisite, longitudinal study designed to recruit more than 10,000
- 509 children aged 9-10 and follow them over 10 years into early adulthood. The ABCD Study® is
- 510 supported by the National Institutes of Health and additional federal partners under award
- 511 numbers U01DA041048, U01DA050989, U01DA051016, U01DA041022, U01DA051018,
- 512 U01DA051037, U01DA050987, U01DA041174, U01DA041106, U01DA041117,
- 513 U01DA041028, U01DA041134, U01DA050988, U01DA051039, U01DA041156,
- 514 U01DA041025, U01DA041120, U01DA051038, U01DA041148, U01DA041093,
- 515 U01DA041089, U24DA041123, U24DA041147. A full list of supporters is available at
- 516 https://abcdstudy.org/federal-partners.html. A listing of participating sites and a complete
- 517 listing of the study investigators can be found at https://abcdstudy.org/consortium_members/.
- 518 ABCD consortium investigators designed and implemented the study and/or provided data
- 519 but did not necessarily participate in the analysis or writing of this report. This manuscript
- 520 reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the opinions or views of the NIH or
- 521 ABCD consortium investigators.
- 522

523 **7. References**

- Ahmed, M. L., Ong, K. K., & Dunger, D. B. (2009). Childhood obesity and the timing of
 puberty. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 20(5), 237–242.
- 526 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2009.02.004
- 527 Ando, A., Parzer, P., Kaess, M., Schell, S., Henze, R., Delorme, S., Stieltjes, B., Resch, F.,
- 528 Brunner, R., & Koenig, J. (2021). Calendar age and puberty-related development of
- 529 regional gray matter volume and white matter tracts during adolescence. *Brain*

530	Structure and Function, 226(3), 927-937. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-020-02208-
531	1
532	Beck, D., de Lange, AM. G., Maximov, I. I., Richard, G., Andreassen, O. A., Nordvik, J. E.,
533	& Westlye, L. T. (2021). White matter microstructure across the adult lifespan: A
534	mixed longitudinal and cross-sectional study using advanced diffusion models and
535	brain-age prediction. NeuroImage, 224, 117441.
536	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117441
537	Beer, J. C., Tustison, N. J., Cook, P. A., Davatzikos, C., Sheline, Y. I., Shinohara, R. T., &
538	Linn, K. A. (2020). Longitudinal ComBat: A method for harmonizing longitudinal
539	multi-scanner imaging data. NeuroImage, 220, 117129.
540	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117129
541	Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and
542	Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society:
543	Series B (Methodological), 57(1), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-
544	6161.1995.tb02031.x
545	Blakemore, SJ., Burnett, S., & Dahl, R. E. (2010). The role of puberty in the developing
546	adolescent brain. Human Brain Mapping, 31(6), 926–933.
547	https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.21052
548	Braams, B. R., van Duijvenvoorde, A. C. K., Peper, J. S., & Crone, E. A. (2015).
549	Longitudinal changes in adolescent risk-taking: A comprehensive study of neural
550	responses to rewards, pubertal development, and risk-taking behavior. The Journal of
551	Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 35(18), 7226–
552	7238. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4764-14.2015
553	Braithwaite, D., Moore, D. H., Lustig, R. H., Epel, E. S., Ong, K. K., Rehkopf, D. H., Wang,
554	M. C., Miller, S. M., & Hiatt, R. A. (2009). Socioeconomic status in relation to early

- 555 menarche among black and white girls. *Cancer Causes & Control*, 20(5), 713–720.
- 556 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-008-9284-9
- 557 Bramen, J. E., Hranilovich, J. A., Dahl, R. E., Forbes, E. E., Chen, J., Toga, A. W., Dinov, I.
- 558 D., Worthman, C. M., & Sowell, E. R. (2011). Puberty Influences Medial Temporal
- 559 Lobe and Cortical Gray Matter Maturation Differently in Boys Than Girls Matched
- 560 for Sexual Maturity. *Cerebral Cortex (New York, NY)*, 21(3), 636–646.
- 561 https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq137
- 562 Brayne, C., & Moffitt, T. E. (2022). The limitations of large-scale volunteer databases to
- address inequalities and global challenges in health and aging. *Nature Aging*, 2(9),
- 564 Article 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-022-00277-x
- 565 Carskadon, M. A., & Acebo, C. (1993). A self-administered rating scale for pubertal

566 development. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *14*(3), 190–195.

- 567 https://doi.org/10.1016/1054-139X(93)90004-9
- 568 Casey, B. J., Cannonier, T., Conley, M. I., Cohen, A. O., Barch, D. M., Heitzeg, M. M.,
- 569 Soules, M. E., Teslovich, T., Dellarco, D. V., Garavan, H., Orr, C. A., Wager, T. D.,
- 570 Banich, M. T., Speer, N. K., Sutherland, M. T., Riedel, M. C., Dick, A. S., Bjork, J.
- 571 M., Thomas, K. M., ... Dale, A. M. (2018). The Adolescent Brain Cognitive
- 572 Development (ABCD) study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. *Developmental*
- 573 *Cognitive Neuroscience*, *32*, 43–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.03.001
- 574 Chavarria, M. C., Sánchez, F. J., Chou, Y.-Y., Thompson, P. M., & Luders, E. (2014).
- 575 Puberty in the corpus callosum. *Neuroscience*, 265, 1–8.
- 576 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.01.030
- 577 Cheng, T. W., Magis-Weinberg, L., Guazzelli Williamson, V., Ladouceur, C. D., Whittle, S.
- 578 L., Herting, M. M., Uban, K. A., Byrne, M. L., Barendse, M. E. A., Shirtcliff, E. A.,
- 579 & Pfeifer, J. H. (2021). A Researcher's Guide to the Measurement and Modeling of

1 uberty in the ribed blue of a busenile. I totalers in Dirace $1, 12, 17$	580	Puberty in the ABCD Study [®] at Baseline. Frontiers in Endocrinology, 12, 4	471.
---	-----	---	------

- 581 https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021.608575
- 582 Collado-Rodriguez, A., MacPherson, L., Kurdziel, G., Rosenberg, L. A., & Lejuez, C. W.
- 583 (2014). The Relationship between Puberty and Risk Taking in the Real World and in
- the Laboratory. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 68, 143–148.
- 585 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.04.019
- 586 Copeland, W. E., Worthman, C., Shanahan, L., Costello, E. J., & Angold, A. (2019). Early
- 587 Pubertal Timing and Testosterone Associated With Higher Levels of Adolescent
- 588 Depression in Girls. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent
- 589 Psychiatry, 58(12), 1197–1206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2019.02.007
- 590 Dale, A. M., Fischl, B., & Sereno, M. I. (1999). Cortical Surface-Based Analysis. 16.
- 591 Deardorff, J., Hoyt, L. T., Carter, R., & Shirtcliff, E. A. (2019). Next Steps in Puberty
- 592 Research: Broadening the Lens Toward Understudied Populations. *Journal of*
- 593 Research on Adolescence : The Official Journal of the Society for Research on
- 594 *Adolescence*, 29(1), 133–154. https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12402
- 595 Enders, C. K. (2010). Applied Missing Data Analysis. Guilford Press.
- 596 Ferschmann, L., Bos, M. G. N., Herting, M. M., Mills, K. L., & Tamnes, C. K. (2022).
- 597 Contextualizing adolescent structural brain development: Environmental determinants
- and mental health outcomes. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 44, 170–176.
- 599 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.09.014
- 600 Fischl, B., Salat, D. H., Busa, E., Albert, M., Dieterich, M., Haselgrove, C., van der Kouwe,
- A., Killiany, R., Kennedy, D., Klaveness, S., Montillo, A., Makris, N., Rosen, B., &
- 602 Dale, A. M. (2002). Whole Brain Segmentation. *Neuron*, *33*(3), 341–355.
- 603 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00569-X

- 604 Freedman, D. S., Khan, L. K., Serdula, M. K., Dietz, W. H., Srinivasan, S. R., & Berenson,
- G. S. (2002). Relation of Age at Menarche to Race, Time Period, and Anthropometric
- 606 Dimensions: The Bogalusa Heart Study. *Pediatrics*, *110*(4), e43.
- 607 https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.110.4.e43
- 608 Garavan, H., Bartsch, H., Conway, K., Decastro, A., Goldstein, R. Z., Heeringa, S., Jernigan,
- T., Potter, A., Thompson, W., & Zahs, D. (2018). Recruiting the ABCD sample:
- 610 Design considerations and procedures. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, *32*,
- 611 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.04.004
- 612 Ge, X., Brody, G. H., Conger, R. D., & Simons, R. L. (2006). Pubertal Maturation and
- 613 African American Children's Internalizing and Externalizing Symptoms. *Journal of*
- 614 *Youth and Adolescence*, *35*(4), 528–537. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-006-9046-5
- 615 Grasby, K. L., Jahanshad, N., Painter, J. N., Colodro-Conde, L., Bralten, J., Hibar, D. P.,
- 616 Lind, P. A., Pizzagalli, F., Ching, C. R. K., McMahon, M. A. B., Shatokhina, N.,
- 617 Zsembik, L. C. P., Thomopoulos, S. I., Zhu, A. H., Strike, L. T., Agartz, I., Alhusaini,
- 618 S., Almeida, M. A. A., Alnæs, D., ... Enhancing NeuroImaging Genetics through
- 619 Meta-Analysis Consortium (ENIGMA)—Genetics working group. (2020). The
- 620 genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*,
- 621 367(6484), eaay6690. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay6690
- Hagler, D. J., Hatton, SeanN., Cornejo, M. D., Makowski, C., Fair, D. A., Dick, A. S.,
- 623 Sutherland, M. T., Casey, B. J., Barch, D. M., Harms, M. P., Watts, R., Bjork, J. M.,
- 624 Garavan, H. P., Hilmer, L., Pung, C. J., Sicat, C. S., Kuperman, J., Bartsch, H., Xue,
- 625 F., ... Dale, A. M. (2019). Image processing and analysis methods for the Adolescent
- 626 Brain Cognitive Development Study. *NeuroImage*, 202, 116091.
- 627 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116091

- 628 Hagler Jr., D. J., Ahmadi, M. E., Kuperman, J., Holland, D., McDonald, C. R., Halgren, E., &
- 629 Dale, A. M. (2009). Automated white-matter tractography using a probabilistic
- 630 diffusion tensor atlas: Application to temporal lobe epilepsy. *Human Brain Mapping*,
- 631 *30*(5), 1535–1547. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20619
- Herting, M. M., Gautam, P., Spielberg, J. M., Dahl, R. E., & Sowell, E. R. (2015). A
- 633 longitudinal study: Changes in cortical thickness and surface area during pubertal
- 634 maturation. *PloS One*, *10*(3), e0119774. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119774
- 635 Herting, M. M., Kim, R., Uban, K. A., Kan, E., Binley, A., & Sowell, E. R. (2017).
- 636 Longitudinal changes in pubertal maturation and white matter microstructure.
- 637 *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 81, 70–79.
- 638 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.03.017
- 639 Herting, M. M., Maxwell, E. C., Irvine, C., & Nagel, B. J. (2012). The Impact of Sex,
- 640 Puberty, and Hormones on White Matter Microstructure in Adolescents. *Cerebral*
- 641 *Cortex (New York, NY)*, 22(9), 1979–1992. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr246
- 642 Herting, M. M., & Sowell, E. R. (2017). Puberty and structural brain development in humans.
- 643 Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology, 44, 122–137.
- 644 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2016.12.003
- 645 Herting, M. M., Uban, K. A., Gonzalez, M. R., Baker, F. C., Kan, E. C., Thompson, W. K.,
- 646 Granger, D. A., Albaugh, M. D., Anokhin, A. P., Bagot, K. S., Banich, M. T., Barch,
- D. M., Baskin-Sommers, A., Breslin, F. J., Casey, B. J., Chaarani, B., Chang, L.,
- 648 Clark, D. B., Cloak, C. C., ... Sowell, E. R. (2021). Correspondence Between
- 649 Perceived Pubertal Development and Hormone Levels in 9-10 Year-Olds From the
- 650 Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 11,
- 651 549928. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2020.549928

652	Holm, M. C., Leonardsen, E. H., Beck, D., Dahl, A., Kjelkenes, R., de Lange, AM. G., &
653	Westlye, L. T. (2022). Linking brain maturation and puberty during early
654	adolescence using longitudinal brain age prediction in the ABCD cohort [Preprint].
655	Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.16.22275146
656	Kaplowitz, P. B., Slora, E. J., Wasserman, R. C., Pedlow, S. E., & Herman-Giddens, M. E.
657	(2001). Earlier onset of puberty in girls: Relation to increased body mass index and
658	race. Pediatrics, 108(2), 347-353. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.108.2.347
659	Karcher, N. R., Barch, D. M., Avenevoli, S., Savill, M., Huber, R. S., Simon, T. J., Leckliter,
660	I. N., Sher, K. J., & Loewy, R. L. (2018). Assessment of the Prodromal
661	Questionnaire-Brief Child Version for Measurement of Self-reported Psychoticlike
662	Experiences in Childhood. JAMA Psychiatry, 75(8), 853-861.
663	https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.1334
664	Kievit, R. A., Brandmaier, A. M., Ziegler, G., van Harmelen, AL., de Mooij, S. M. M.,
665	Moutoussis, M., Goodyer, I. M., Bullmore, E., Jones, P. B., Fonagy, P., Lindenberger,
666	U., & Dolan, R. J. (2018). Developmental cognitive neuroscience using latent change
667	score models: A tutorial and applications. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 33,
668	99–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2017.11.007
669	Koolschijn, P. C. M. P., Peper, J. S., & Crone, E. A. (2014). The Influence of Sex Steroids on
670	Structural Brain Maturation in Adolescence. PLOS ONE, 9(1), e83929.
671	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083929
672	Koopman-Verhoeff, M. E., Gredvig-Ardito, C., Barker, D. H., Saletin, J. M., & Carskadon,
673	M. A. (2020). Classifying Pubertal Development Using Child and Parent Report:
674	Comparing the Pubertal Development Scales to Tanner Staging. Journal of
675	Adolescent Health, 66(5), 597-602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.11.308

676	Lebel, C.,	& Deoni,	S. (2018).	The developme	ent of brain	white matter	microstructure.
-----	------------	----------	------------	---------------	--------------	--------------	-----------------

- 677 *NeuroImage*, 182, 207–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.097
- 678 MacSweeney, N., Allardyce, J., Edmondson-Stait, A., Shen, X., Casey, H., Chan, S. W. Y.,
- 679 Cullen, B., Reynolds, R. M., Frangou, S., Kwong, A. S. F., Lawrie, S. M., Romaniuk,
- 680 L., & Whalley, H. C. (2023). The role of brain structure in the association between
- 681 pubertal timing and depression risk in an early adolescent sample (the ABCD
- 682 Study®): A registered report. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 60, 101223.
- 683 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2023.101223
- Marceau, K., Kirisci, L., & Tarter, R. E. (2019). Correspondence of Pubertal Neuroendocrine
 and Tanner Stage Changes in Boys and Associations With Substance Use. *Child Development*, 90(6), e763–e782. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13101
- 687 McNeilly, E. A., Saragosa-Harris, N. M., Mills, K. L., Dahl, R. E., & Magis-Weinberg, L.

688 (2022). Reward sensitivity and internalizing symptoms during the transition to

- 689 puberty: An examination of 9-and 10-year-olds in the ABCD Study. *Developmental*
- 690 *Cognitive Neuroscience*, 58, 101172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2022.101172
- 691 Mendle, J., & Ferrero, J. (2012). Detrimental psychological outcomes associated with
- 692 pubertal timing in adolescent boys. *Developmental Review*, *32*(1), 49–66.
- 693 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2011.11.001
- Mendle, J., Harden, K. P., Brooks-Gunn, J., & Graber, J. A. (2010). Development's tortoise
- and hare: Pubertal timing, pubertal tempo, and depressive symptoms in boys and girls. *Developmental Psychology*, 46(5), 1341–1353. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020205
- 697 Menzies, L., Goddings, A.-L., Whitaker, K. J., Blakemore, S.-J., & Viner, R. M. (2014). The
- 698 effects of puberty on white matter development in boys. *Developmental Cognitive*
- 699 *Neuroscience*, *11*, 116–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2014.10.002

- 700 Mills, K. L., Goddings, A.-L., Herting, M. M., Meuwese, R., Blakemore, S.-J., Crone, E. A.,
- 701 Dahl, R. E., Güroğlu, B., Raznahan, A., Sowell, E. R., & Tamnes, C. K. (2016).
- 702 Structural brain development between childhood and adulthood: Convergence across
- four longitudinal samples. *NeuroImage*, *141*, 273–281.
- 704 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.07.044
- 705 Nguyen, T.-V., McCracken, J., Ducharme, S., Botteron, K. N., Mahabir, M., Johnson, W.,
- Israel, M., Evans, A. C., Karama, S., & for the Brain Development Cooperative
- 707 Group. (2013). Testosterone-Related Cortical Maturation Across Childhood and
- 708 Adolescence. *Cerebral Cortex*, 23(6), 1424–1432.
- 709 https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs125
- 710 Patton, G. C., McMorris, B. J., Toumbourou, J. W., Hemphill, S. A., Donath, S., & Catalano,
- R. F. (2004). Puberty and the Onset of Substance Use and Abuse. *Pediatrics*, 114(3),
- 712 e300–e306. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2003-0626-F
- 713 Paus, T., Nawaz-Khan, I., Leonard, G., Perron, M., Pike, G. B., Pitiot, A., Richer, L.,
- 714 Susman, E., Veillette, S., & Pausova, Z. (2010). Sexual dimorphism in the adolescent
- 715 brain: Role of testosterone and androgen receptor in global and local volumes of grey
- and white matter. *Hormones and Behavior*, 57(1), 63–75.
- 717 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.08.004
- 718 Peper, J. S., Brouwer, R. M., Schnack, H. G., van Baal, G. C., van Leeuwen, M., van den
- 719 Berg, S. M., Delemarre-Van de Waal, H. A., Boomsma, D. I., Kahn, R. S., &
- 720 Hulshoff Pol, H. E. (2009). Sex steroids and brain structure in pubertal boys and girls.
- 721 *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *34*(3), 332–342.
- 722 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.09.012
- 723 Peper, J. S., Schnack, H. G., Brouwer, R. M., Van Baal, G. C. M., Pjetri, E., Székely, E., van
- Leeuwen, M., van den Berg, S. M., Collins, D. L., Evans, A. C., Boomsma, D. I.,

|--|

- structure at the onset of puberty: A magnetic resonance imaging study in 9-year-old
- 727 twin pairs. *Human Brain Mapping*, *30*(7), 2184–2196.
- 728 https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20660
- 729 Perrin, J. S., Hervé, P.-Y., Leonard, G., Perron, M., Pike, G. B., Pitiot, A., Richer, L.,
- 730 Veillette, S., Pausova, Z., & Paus, T. (2008). Growth of White Matter in the
- 731 Adolescent Brain: Role of Testosterone and Androgen Receptor. *The Journal of*
- 732 *Neuroscience*, 28(38), 9519–9524. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1212-
- 733 08.2008
- Perrin, J. S., Leonard, G., Perron, M., Pike, G. B., Pitiot, A., Richer, L., Veillette, S.,
- Pausova, Z., & Paus, T. (2009). Sex differences in the growth of white matter during
 adolescence. *NeuroImage*, 45(4), 1055–1066.
- 737 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.01.023
- 738 Petersen, A. C., Crockett, L., Richards, M., & Boxer, A. (1988). A self-report measure of
- 739 pubertal status: Reliability, validity, and initial norms. *Journal of Youth and*

740 *Adolescence*, *17*(2), 117–133. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01537962

- 741 Pfefferbaum, A., Rohlfing, T., Pohl, K. M., Lane, B., Chu, W., Kwon, D., Nolan Nichols, B.,
- 742 Brown, S. A., Tapert, S. F., Cummins, K., Thompson, W. K., Brumback, T., Meloy,
- 743 M. J., Jernigan, T. L., Dale, A., Colrain, I. M., Baker, F. C., Prouty, D., De Bellis, M.
- 744 D., ... Sullivan, E. V. (2016). Adolescent Development of Cortical and White Matter
- 745 Structure in the NCANDA Sample: Role of Sex, Ethnicity, Puberty, and Alcohol
- 746 Drinking. Cerebral Cortex (New York, NY), 26(10), 4101–4121.
- 747 https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv205

Pfeifer, J. H., & Allen, N. B. (2021). Puberty initiates cascading relationships between

748

7/9	neurodevelopmental social and internalizing processes across adolescence
749	neurodevelopmentar, soerar, and mernanzing processes across adolescence.
750	Biological Psychiatry, 89(2), 99–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.09.002
751	R Core Team. (2022). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
752	Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
753	Raznahan, A., Shaw, P., Lalonde, F., Stockman, M., Wallace, G. L., Greenstein, D., Clasen,
754	L., Gogtay, N., & Giedd, J. N. (2011). How Does Your Cortex Grow? The Journal of
755	Neuroscience, 31(19), 7174–7177. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0054-
756	11.2011
757	Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. Journal of
758	Statistical Software, 48(2), 1-36. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02
759	Savin-Williams, R. C., & Ream, G. L. (2006). Pubertal Onset and Sexual Orientation in an
760	Adolescent National Probability Sample. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 35(3), 279.
761	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-006-9032-8
762	Schlossberger, N. M., Turner, R. A., & Irwin, C. E. (1992). Validity of self-report of pubertal
763	maturation in early adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health, 13(2), 109-113.
764	https://doi.org/10.1016/1054-139X(92)90075-M
765	Stroud, C. B., & Davila, J. (2011). Pubertal Timing. In R. J. R. Levesque (Ed.), Encyclopedia
766	of Adolescence (pp. 2252-2258). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1695-
767	2_14
768	Stumper, A., Olino, T. M., Abramson, L. Y., & Alloy, L. B. (2019). Pubertal Timing and
769	Substance Use in Adolescence: An Investigation of Two Cognitive Moderators.
770	Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 47(9), 1509–1520.
771	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-019-00524-7

772	Tamnes, C. K., Herting, M. M., Goddings, AL., Meuwese, R., Blakemore, SJ., Dahl, R. E.,
773	Güroğlu, B., Raznahan, A., Sowell, E. R., Crone, E. A., & Mills, K. L. (2017).
774	Development of the Cerebral Cortex across Adolescence: A Multisample Study of
775	Inter-Related Longitudinal Changes in Cortical Volume, Surface Area, and Thickness.
776	The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience,
777	37(12), 3402-3412. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3302-16.2017
778	Tamnes, C. K., Roalf, D. R., Goddings, AL., & Lebel, C. (2018). Diffusion MRI of white
779	matter microstructure development in childhood and adolescence: Methods,
780	challenges and progress. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 33, 161–175.
781	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2017.12.002
782	Tooley, U. A., Bassett, D. S., & Mackey, A. P. (2021). Environmental influences on the pace
783	of brain development. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 22(6), Article 6.
784	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-021-00457-5
785	Ullsperger, J. M., & Nikolas, M. A. (2017). A meta-analytic review of the association
786	between pubertal timing and psychopathology in adolescence: Are there sex
787	differences in risk? Psychological Bulletin, 143(9), 903-938.
788	https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000106
789	Vijayakumar, N., Youssef, G. J., Allen, N. B., Anderson, V., Efron, D., Hazell, P., Mundy,
790	L., Nicholson, J. M., Patton, G., Seal, M. L., Simmons, J. G., Whittle, S., & Silk, T.
791	(2021). A longitudinal analysis of puberty related cortical development.
792	NeuroImage, 228, 117684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117684
793	Wierenga, L. M., Bos, M. G. N., Schreuders, E., vd Kamp, F., Peper, J. S., Tamnes, C. K., &
794	Crone, E. A. (2018). Unraveling age, puberty and testosterone effects on subcortical
795	brain development across adolescence. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 91, 105-114.
796	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.02.034