

1 **Title Page**

2 **Knowledge on tuberculosis, services of TB control programme and associated socio-demographic inequity**
3 **among rural participants of Jaipur, Rajasthan, India: A cross sectional study**

4 P.K. Anand^{a*}; V. Dhikav^a; M. Rathore^c; G.S. Toteja^a; Mradula Singh^b; Bhanwar Manohar Singh^a;
5 C.R.Meena^a; Anil Jangid^b; M.R. Devanda^b; M.L. Mathur^a

6 **Affiliations:**

7 a – ICMR – National Institute for Implementation Research on Non Communicable Diseases, New Pali
8 Road, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India – 342005.

9 b – Model Rural Health Research Unit, Department of Health Research, Government of India, Bhanpur
10 Kalan, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India – 302028

11 c – Department of Preventive & Social Medicine, Sawai Man Singh Medical College & associated
12 hospitals, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India – 302004

13 *Corresponding author address: pk.anand@dmrcjodhpur.nic.in

14 **Abstract: Introduction:** Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the top 10 causes of deaths worldwide. India is still a
15 highest TB burden country. There is scarcity of data on TB knowledge from Rajasthan state of India. **Materials**

16 **& Methods:** Cross-sectional community based study was carried out at Model Rural Health Research Unit,
17 Jaipur, an unit of Department of Health Research, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India.

18 **Results:** Study reports the result from 1993 adult participants from 10 villages of 2 sub-districts of district
19 Jaipur. About 88.9% of studied participants knew that TB is an infectious disease and it spreads from TB patient

20 to healthy person in close contact. Only 22.3% of participants knew ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’.

21 While, only 58.9% knew ‘sputum is used for diagnosis of TB’ at health centres. *Scheduled castes, scheduled*
22 *tribes and backward classes* social groups knew less than the mainstream ‘General’ social group. The observed

23 difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Logistic regression analysis estimated the relative contribution

24 in knowledge status. **Discussion:** The knowledge of study participants on transmission of tuberculosis was
25 similar to the knowledge of population in country wide study. They poorly knew that sputum is used for

26 diagnosing the tuberculosis disease; socio-demographic inequity exists in this knowledge too. People from older
27 age groups, underprivileged social groups and minority need extra educational activities.

28 **Keywords:** Knowledge; Knowledge; Tuberculosis; Inequity; India

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

1 **Knowledge on tuberculosis, services of TB control programme and associated socio-demographic inequity** 2 **among rural participants of Jaipur, Rajasthan, India: A cross sectional study**

3

4 **Introduction:**

5 Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the top 10 causes of deaths worldwide. Eight countries of the world, which includes
6 India, account for two thirds of global total of TB cases [1]. The Global Plan and Stop TB Partnership 2006-
7 2015 visualized a TB free world. To ensure the TB free world, access to effective diagnosis, treatment and cure
8 by every TB patient is one among five missions of Global Plan. India is still a highest TB burden country
9 globally in regards of absolute numbers of incident cases each year. Mortality due to this disease is the third
10 leading cause of years of life lost (YLLs), in India [2].

11 Lack of knowledge on tuberculosis has been found to be associated not only with occurrence of tuberculosis [3]
12 but also with delayed diagnosis [4-7].

13 Cross sectional studies on tuberculosis knowledge have been published for different parts of India [8-20].
14 Nationwide studies for India were conducted on secondary data and are more than a decade old [13, 16].

15 Rajasthan state of India is located in the north-western part of the country. It shares state boundary on the west
16 and northwest with Pakistan, on the north and northeast with states of Punjab, Haryana, and Uttar Pradesh, on
17 the east and southeast with states of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh, and on the southwest with Gujarat. The
18 capital city is Jaipur [21].

19 Recently conducted study by ICMR on prevalence of tuberculosis in India reports prevalence for the country
20 and its states separately. The prevalence of microbiologically confirmed tuberculosis among population aged >
21 15 years were 316 and 484 per 100 000 population covered for India and Rajasthan state. Rajasthan state of
22 India is having more prevalent cases of TB then the country [22].

23 There is scarcity of available published articles on TB knowledge from Rajasthan state in general and Jaipur
24 district in particular. The published studies available from Rajasthan were conducted on small sample sizes in
25 other places of state [23-24].

26 Therefore, this study was carried out to estimate the prevalence of knowledge about TB and services of TB
27 control programme and to determine its correlates among rural population of selected villages of Jaipur, hitherto
28 unreported area.

29 **Material & Methods:**

30 **Study Design:** - Cross-sectional community based study

31 **Study setting:** - This study was carried out among rural participants aged ≥ 15 years at Model Rural
32 Health Research Unit, Jaipur, a unit of Department of Health Research, Ministry of Health & Family
33 Welfare, Government of India during August 2014 till July 2017.

34 **Study participants:** - Individuals of age ≥ 15 years residing in selected study villages from both the
35 genders were our study participants.

36 **Sample size:** - Sample size of rural participants aged ≥ 15 years was calculated by assuming expected
37 prevalence (p) of knowledge of tuberculosis among 50.0%; 95% confidence limits; and 2% absolute
38 precision error. We calculated sample size of 2395 in Epi-info version 7.2.4.0 [25].

39 **Sampling Design:** - Two stage simple random sampling design was adopted. In first stage, 2 sub-
40 districts/tehsils namely Jamwa Ramgarh and Sanganer were selected from the district Jaipur. In second
41 stage, 5 villages were randomly selected from each of the selected 2 sub-districts/tehsils of district
42 Jaipur. From each of the 10 study villages, 100 households were selected. Household in the central

1 location in village was first of all selected. From this selected central Household all consecutive
2 households in East direction were chosen. In case of non availability of consecutive households in east
3 direction, then further selection were made of consecutive households in west direction of selected
4 central household. Similarly we repeated this strategy for north and south direction till desired number
5 of households were chosen from each village. From each of the selected household, each of the
6 available individuals aged ≥ 15 years available at the time of interview were registered in the study
7 after seeking consent.

8 **Data collection team:** - A data collecting team was comprised involving scientists, and technical staffs
9 posted at Model Rural Health Research Unit. All team members were discussed about the study, its
10 objectives, & methodologies. They were trained through lecture and demonstration in seeking consent
11 from participants, administration of study questionnaire, and collection of data. Questions asked by the
12 team members during training were answered by principal investigator and resolved up to the
13 satisfaction level of members of 'Data collection team'.

14 **Study questionnaire:** - Study questionnaire was developed in English language by reviewing previous
15 published reports & research papers and having discussion among study investigators. Study
16 questionnaire had broadly three parts, (a) participant's information and his/her socio-demographic
17 details; (b) tuberculosis and anti-tubercular treatment in family and (c) questions with regard to
18 knowledge on tuberculosis and services of TB control programme. Study questionnaire was then
19 translated in to vernacular language 'Hindi'. 'Hindi' questionnaire was then back translated in to
20 English questionnaire. These questionnaires were checked for content consistency. Hindi questionnaire
21 meeting content consistency with English questionnaire was applied to members of data collection
22 team to ensure its comprehensibility. After this comprehensibility testing among team members,
23 questionnaire was applied to sample participants in study village. Corrections were made in the
24 questionnaire as per the understanding of study participants. After this testing of questionnaire, finally
25 developed questionnaire was applied to entire study sample. Final questionnaire comprised of 15
26 variables in total in its 3 parts including (a) participant's information and his/her socio-demographic
27 details, (b) History of tuberculosis and antitubercular treatment in family, and (c) questions with regard
28 to knowledge on tuberculosis and services of TB control programme. Sample participants under testing
29 of questionnaire was not part of study sample. Study questionnaire was administered to the selected
30 study participants through face-to-face interview by the trained interviewer who was a member of the
31 'data collection team'. Obtained responses of the study participants were recorded in study
32 questionnaire by interviewer.

33 **Study variables:** Study variables chosen after reviewing previous published research papers and
34 reports were classified in following categories: -

35 **1) Outcome variables:** Study used total 6 categorical variables as outcome variables. These variables
36 were on knowledge of tuberculosis and services of TB control programme. Questions framed to
37 elicit information on these outcome variables were: -

- 38 a) *Do you know TB spreads from its patient to healthy person in contact?*
39 b) *Do you know the way tuberculosis spreads?*
40 c) *Is TB treatable?*
41 d) *DOTS is the name of treatment for which disease?*
42 e) *Do you know diagnosis and treatment of TB are provided completely free of cost at*
43 *government health centres?*
44 f) *How TB is diagnosed at government health centre?*

45 **2) Predictor variables:** Study used total 4 predictor variables as socio-demographic predictor
46 variables to assess the association if any of outcome variables. These predictor variables were (1)
47 age, (2) gender, (3) religion and (4) Social group.

48 **Social group:** Data pertaining to the name of the social group, known locally as *caste*, of
49 individual participant was collected as per the Government of Rajasthan guidelines. On the

1 basis of prevalence of illiteracy, participation in government owned services, and perceived
2 social status there are 5 social groups. These characteristics are the basis for deciding on the
3 caste based government sponsored reservation system for community upliftment in Rajasthan.
4 These social groups are *scheduled caste (SC)*, *scheduled tribe (ST)*, *other backward castes*
5 *(OBC)*, *most backward castes (MBC)*, and *general social group*. *General social group of India*
6 *is the main stream social group and it was considered as the yardstick for comparing other*
7 *social groups [26-27]. Data on social group of individual study participant was recorded as*
8 *reported by self.*

9 3) **Confounding variables:** Following variables were chosen as confounding variables, because
10 these could bias the result. The considered confounding variables were (1) History of tuberculosis
11 disease or anti-tubercular therapy among any family member of study participant, (2) History of
12 tuberculosis disease or anti-tubercular therapy among study participant, (3) Any family member
13 including study participant receiving anti-tubercular treatment in present days.

14 **Statistical analysis:** - Data was collected by administering pretested questionnaire. Data collected on
15 paper based questionnaire was then entered in Microsoft Excel computer programme through double
16 data entry. Both files of entered study data were then compared in Epi-info for assessing accuracy.
17 Accurate data was analyzed in statistical software. Affirmative responses with ‘confounding variables’
18 were filtered out from statistical analysis. Studied outcome variables and predictor variables were
19 summarized as (%) proportions in table. Outcome variables with knowledge among less than 60%
20 participants were chosen arbitrarily for computing bivariate and multivariable logistic regression
21 analysis. Considered outcome variables were dichotomized for performing bivariate analysis &
22 multivariable logistic regression analysis with considered categories of predictor variables. We used χ^2
23 as the test of difference in proportions in bivariate analysis to detect the associated predictor. Bivariate
24 analysis was performed in 2x2 table function of Epi-info 7. Since there were more than 1 type of
25 predictor variables in study to analyze their association with considered dichotomized outcome
26 variable, multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed in Epi-info 7 to discern the
27 independently associated predictor variable out of all the predictor variables fitted in model. This
28 analysis was performed separately with each of the considered dichotomized outcome variables.
29 Adjusted Odds Ratio was estimated under multivariable logistic regression analysis to identify the
30 independently associated predictor variable and p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically
31 significant. Analysis was carried out in Epi-info 7 version 7.2.4.0 [25].

32 **Ethical conduct:** study was evaluated by Institute Ethics Committee (IEC) of ICMR – National
33 Institute for Implementation Research on Non Communicable Diseases, Jodhpur. This study got
34 approval by IEC. Informed & written consent was sought from the study participants by a member of
35 the ‘Data collection team’ other than the interviewer. Study was carried out following standard ethics
36 procedures [28].

37 **Study reporting:** Study was carry out as per the STROBE guidelines [29].

38

39 **Results:**

40 We recruited 2293 participants in the study. Participants with past history or current suffering with tuberculosis
41 and/or in their family members were removed from data, as they could bias the knowledge status of general
42 population and skewed the sample statistic. Participant’s data with ‘missing’ information on one or few
43 variables was removed (n=300). Finally 1993 participant’s data with information on all variables were subjected
44 for statistical analysis.

45 Table 1 describes the knowledge on tuberculosis and services of National TB elimination programme among the
46 studied participants. About 88.9% of studied participants knew that TB is an infectious disease and it spreads
47 from TB patient to healthy person in close contact. Knowledge on mode of transmission of tuberculosis was

1 adequate. About 88.9% of studied participants knew that the disease spreads through coughing or sneezing by
 2 TB patient to healthy person in close contact. About 80.3% of participants knew that TB is treatable. About
 3 72.5% of participants knew that the diagnosis and treatment of TB are provided free of cost at government run
 4 health centres. Only 22.3% of participants knew that ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’. Only 58.9%
 5 knew that the diagnosis of TB is made through examining the sputum at health centres.
 6

7 Table 1: Knowledge on tuberculosis and services of TB control programme among study participants (n=1993)

Question asked	types of responses (Correct response in bold letter)	n (%) with correct response
<i>Do you know TB spreads from its patient to healthy person in contact?</i>	Yes/NO/Don't know	1773 (88.9%)
Do you know the way tuberculosis spreads?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. By coughing / sneezing of patient to person in close contact 2. <i>By touching hand</i> 3. <i>Through mosquitoes</i> 4. <i>Through animal's bite</i> 5. <i>By drinking dirty water</i> 6. <i>By eating old kept food</i> 7. <i>Other reasons</i> 9. <i>Don't know</i> 	1773 (88.9%)
<i>Is TB treatable?</i>	Yes/NO/Don't know	1600 (80.3%)
<i>DOTS is the name of treatment for which disease?</i>	TB/Other disease...../Don't know	446 (22.3%)
<i>Do you know diagnosis and treatment of TB are provided completely free of cost at government health centres?</i>	Yes/NO/Don't know	1446 (72.5%)
<i>How TB is diagnosed at government health centre?</i>	<i>Blood test / Stool – urine tests/</i> Sputum test / Other tests <i>...../Don't know</i>	1171 (58.9%)

8

9 Table 2 details on the knowledge on ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’ among studied socio-demographic
 10 factors. It analyzed the differences in proportions of participants between who know and who don't know
 11 ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’ with respect to their socio-demographic factors. Overall the knowledge
 12 on this parameter was low across all the studied factors. None of the type of any socio-demographic factor
 13 reached knowledge in 50.0% participants. The proportions of participants from different social groups were
 14 compared with ‘General’ caste group as benchmark. As Table 2 depicts 34.5% ‘General’ social group
 15 participants knew ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’. The proportions of participants belonging to ‘SC’,
 16 ‘MBC’, & ‘OBC’ social groups who knew ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’ were 10.1%, 18.3% &
 17 25.3% respectively. All these three social group participants were statistically significantly knowing less ‘DOTS
 18 is the name of treatment for TB’ than ‘General’ social group as benchmark ($p < 0.05$). The proportion of *ST*
 19 participants who knew ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’ was 28.1%. The proportion of *ST* participants
 20 was less compared to proportion of ‘General’ social group, though the difference was statistically insignificant.

1 Similarly, proportions of participants who know and who don't know 'DOTS is the name of treatment for TB'
 2 with respect to their age groups were compared. The age group 15 – 24 years age group was used as benchmark.
 3 About 33.8% of participants of age group 15 – 24 years age group knew 'DOTS is the name of treatment for
 4 TB'. The proportions of participants belonging to age groups 25 – 39 yrs, 40 – 59 yrs and 60 yrs who knew
 5 'DOTS is the name of treatment for TB' were 21.2%, 15.2% and 8.8% respectively. These age groups
 6 participants had statistically significantly low knowledge than the 15 – 24 yrs age group ($p < 0.05$). About 25.3%
 7 female knew compared to 19.8% of male about this parameter. The proportion of females who knew was
 8 significantly more than the proportion of males who knew ($p < 0.05$). Knowledge on 'DOTS is the name of
 9 treatment for TB' did not differ significantly with respect to religion.

10 Table 2: Knowledge on 'DOTS is the name of treatment for TB' among studied socio-demographic factors of
 11 participants (n=1993)

Socio-demographic factors	Sub-group	Knowledge on 'DOTS is the name of treatment for TB'			
		Know	Don't know	X ² value	p value
Social Groups*	ST(n=444)	125 (28.1%)	319 (71.9%)	2.65	0.10
	SC(n=445)	45(10.1%)	400 (89.9%)	56.7	0.0001
	MBC(n=316)	58 (18.3%)	258 (81.7%)	17.3	0.0001
	OBC(n=585)	148 (25.3%)	437 (74.7%)	6.3	0.01
	General(n=203)	70 (34.5%)	133(65.5%)	reference	reference
Age groups	≥60 Years(n=91)	8 (8.8%)	83 (91.2%)	23.0	0.0000
	40 – 59 years(n=453)	69 (15.2%)	384 (84.8%)	43.8	0.0000
	25 – 39 years(n=956)	202 (21.2%)	754 (78.8%)	27.8	0.0000
	15 – 24 years(n=493)	167 (33.8%)	326 (66.2%)	reference	reference
Gender	Female (n=932)	236 (25.3%)	696 (74.7%)	8.73	0.003
	Male (n=1061)	210 (19.8%)	851 (80.2%)	reference	reference
Religion	Hindu (n=1974)	442 (22.4%)	1532 (77.6%)		1.0000**
	Muslim (n=19)	4 (21.0%)	15 (79.0%)		reference

12 *SC=Scheduled caste; ST=Scheduled tribe; MBC=Most Backward caste; OBC=other backward caste

13 ** Fisher exact test 2 tailed p value

1 Table 3 details knowledge on ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’ among studied socio-demographic factors.
 2 The differences in proportions of participants who know and who don’t know ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of*
 3 *TB*’ with respect to studied socio-demographic factors on are also given. As Table 3 depicts 72.4% ‘*General*’
 4 social group participants knew ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’. The proportions of participants belonging
 5 to *ST*, *SC*, & ‘*OBC*’ social groups who knew ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’ were 61.3%, 49.6% & 54.0%
 6 respectively. All these three social group participants were statistically significantly less knowing ‘*sputum is*
 7 *used for diagnosis of TB*’ than the ‘*General*’ social group as benchmark ($p < 0.05$). The proportion of *MBC*
 8 participants knowing ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’ was 69.0%. These *MBC* participants knew less
 9 compared to ‘*General*’ social group, though the difference was statistically insignificant.
 10 Similarly, proportions of participants who know and who don’t know ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’ with
 11 respect to their age groups were compared. The age group 15 – 24 years age group was used as benchmark.
 12 About 63.0% of participants of age group 15 – 24 years age group knew ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’.
 13 The proportion of participants belonging to age groups 25 – 39 yrs, 40 – 59 yrs and 60 yrs and above who knew
 14 ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’ were 60.4%, 52.3% and 52.7% respectively. Though the participants of
 15 these age groups knew less than the 15 – 24 yrs age group, the difference was statistically significant in case of
 16 40 – 59 yrs age group only ($p < 0.05$). About 56.9% females knew compared to 60.6% males about this
 17 parameter. Though the proportion of females who knew was less, however the observed difference was
 18 statistically not significant. About 59.2% of Hindus knew compared to 26.3% Muslims about this parameter.
 19 The observed difference in proportions was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).
 20 Table 3: Knowledge on ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’ among studied socio-demographic factors of
 21 participants (n=1993)

Socio-demographic factors	Sub-group	Knowledge on ‘ <i>sputum is used for diagnosis of TB</i> ’			
		Know	Don’t know	X ² value	p value
Social groups*	<i>ST</i> (n=444)	272 (61.3%)	172 (38.7%)	7.59	0.005
	<i>SC</i> (n=445)	221 (49.6%)	224 (50.4%)	29.4	0.0001
	<i>MBC</i> (n=316)	218 (69.0%)	98 (31.0%)	0.69	0.4
	<i>OBC</i> (n=585)	316 (54.0%)	269 (46.0%)	21.0	0.0001
	<i>General</i> (n=203)	147 (72.4%)	56 (27.6%)	reference	reference
Age groups	≥60 Years (n=91)	48 (52.7%)	43 (47.3%)	3.46	0.06

	40 – 59 years (n=453)	237 (52.3%)	216 (47.7%)	11.2	0.0008
	25 – 39 years (n=956)	578 (60.4%)	378 (39.6%)	0.94	0.33
	15 – 24 years (n=493)	311 (63.0%)	182 (37.0%)	reference	reference
Gender	Female (n=932)	531 (56.9%)	401 (43.1%)	2.69	0.10
	Male (n=1061)	643 (60.6%)	418 (39.4%)	reference	reference
Religion	Hindu (n=1974)	1169 (59.2%)	805 (40.8%)	8.4	0.003
	Muslim (n=19)	5 (26.3%)	14 (73.7%)	reference	reference

1 *SC=Scheduled caste; ST=Scheduled tribe; MBC=Most Backward caste; OBC=Other backward caste

2

3 Table 4 details on the results of logistic regression analysis for determining the relative contribution of studied
4 socio-demographic factors in knowledge on ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’ among studied
5 participants. Odds of occurrence of knowledge on ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’ among age groups
6 25 – 39 yrs, 40 – 59 yrs, and 60 yrs and above were 0.49, 0.32 and 0.18 against the age group 15 – 24 yrs. The
7 observed lesser odds of occurrence was statistically significant independently in these age groups ($p<0.05$).
8 Males had 0.71 Odds of occurrence of knowledge on ‘DOTS is the name of treatment for TB’ as compared to
9 females, it was statistically significant ($p<0.05$). Considered social groups viz. *MBC*, *OBC*, *SC* & *ST* had 0.31,
10 0.56, 0.17 & 0.65 Odds ratio against *General* social group. The values of odds ratio were statistically
11 significantly lower among these social groups compared to *General* social group ($p<0.05$).

12

13 Table 4: Multivariable logistic regression analysis of socio-demographic factors with knowledge on ‘DOTS is
14 the name of treatment for TB’ (n=1993)

socio-demographic factors	Adjusted Odds ratio	95% Confidence interval	p value
Age group (25 – 39 yrs/15 – 24 yrs)	0.49	0.38 – 0.63	0.0000
Age group (40 – 59 yrs/15 – 24 yrs)	0.32	0.23 – 0.45	0.0000
Age group (60 yrs & above/15 – 24 yrs)	0.18	0.08 – 0.39	0.0000
Religion (Muslim/Hindu)	0.83	0.26 – 2.6	0.76
Sex (Male/Female)	0.71	0.56 – 0.89	0.003
Social Group (MBC/General)	0.31	0.20 – 0.47	0.0000

Social Group (OBC/General)	0.56	0.39 – 0.80	0.0015
Social Group (SC/General)	0.17	0.11 – 0.26	0.0000
Social Group (ST/General)	0.65	0.45 – 0.93	0.0218

1

2

3 Table 5 details on the results of logistic regression analysis for determining the relative contribution of studied

4 socio-demographic factors in knowledge on ‘*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*’ among studied participants.

5 Odds of occurrence of knowledge among 40 – 59 yrs and 60 yrs and more were 0.6 in both age groups

6 compared to 15 – 24 yrs age group. The observed value of odds ratio was statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.

7 Muslim had 0.25 odds ratio compared with Hindu and the difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

8 Males had odds ratio of 1.29, which was statistically significantly higher than females ($p < 0.05$). Social groups

9 viz. *OBC, SC & ST* had Odds ratios of 0.46, 0.37 & 0.6 respectively, and these were statistically significantly

10 lower than the compared *General* social group ($p < 0.05$).

11

12 Table 5: Multivariable logistic regression analysis of socio-demographic factors with knowledge on ‘*sputum is*

13 *used for diagnosis of TB*’ among studied participants (n=1993)

socio-demographic factors	Adjusted Odds ratio	95% Confidence interval	p value
Age group (25 – 39 yrs/15 – 24 yrs)	0.89	0.71 – 1.13	0.36
Age group (40 – 59 yrs/15 – 24 yrs)	0.60	0.46 – 0.78	0.0002
Age group (60 yrs & above/15 – 24 yrs)	0.60	0.38 – 0.96	0.0333
Religion (Muslim/Hindu)	0.25	0.08 – 0.71	0.0097
Sex (Male/Female)	1.29	1.07 – 1.56	0.0073
Social Group (MBC/General)	0.88	0.59 – 1.31	0.53
Social Group (OBC/General)	0.46	0.33 – 0.66	0.0000
Social Group (SC/General)	0.37	0.25 – 0.53	0.0000
Social Group (ST/General)	0.60	0.41 – 0.86	0.0062

14

15 **Discussions:**

1 Tuberculosis is an infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* bacterium. The disease transmits
2 from infective patient to healthy person in close contact through micro droplets formed during coughing and
3 sneezing. In our study, 88.9% of participants knew that TB is an infectious disease which transmits from its
4 patient to healthy person in close contact.

5 Previous population based studies reported more than 80.0% knew TB is an infectious disease [9] [16]. There
6 are some previous studies which reported less than 80.0% knew TB is an infectious disease also. Study by
7 Kulkarni P *et al* carried out among tribal population of Jharkhand reported 61.0% participants knew TB as a
8 transmissible disease [10]. Another cross sectional study conducted among 360 college students of Karnataka
9 showed 56.7% knew TB spreads from person to person [17]. Study from Bikaner district of Rajasthan, India
10 conducted in 510 TB patients during April 2010 to January 2011 reported only 19.6% patients knew that TB is
11 infective [23]. Possible reasons for such wide variations can be differences in population, time of conduct of
12 study and information, education & communication activities under programme in these areas. However, our
13 finding is similar to the findings reported from country wide data and from general population of Delhi [9] [16].

14 Total 88.9% participants of our study knew that TB transmits from patient to person in close contact by
15 coughing or sneezing by patient. Available data from various states and population of India report wide
16 variation [9] [10] [11] [13] [17] [19]. The reported range of knowledge on 'TB transmits from patient to person
17 in close contact by coughing or sneezing' is 20.0% - 71.8% [11] [9]. Study on general population of Delhi
18 reported 71.8% subjects knew coughing / sneezing as the mode of transmission [9]. Study from tribal population
19 of Jharkhand reports 38.0% participants knew TB transmits through cough droplets [10]. 20.0% rural population
20 of Tamil Nadu knew the mode of spread of TB [11]. A nationwide study on 198,718 participants found 55.5%
21 knew about the correct mode of tuberculosis transmission i.e. "Through the air when coughing or sneezing"
22 [13]. Cross sectional study conducted among 360 college students of Karnataka showed 38.9% students knew
23 correct mode of transmission [17]. The study on TB patients in AIIMS, Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, a tertiary care
24 centre in northern India reported only 51.5% patients knew about its causation [19].

25 As it is understood from previous studies, knowledge on mode of transmission of TB varies by not only by
26 geography but also by type of participants. The knowledge on mode of transmission among rural population
27 ranged from 20.0% - 38.0% in previous studies [10-11]. Our rural participants showed even higher coverage of
28 the knowledge on mode of transmission. The possible reasons for this difference can be local population level
29 characteristics like education, age, & information of services of TB control programme and period of study
30 undertaken.

31 About 80.3% of our participants knew that TB is treatable. This value is higher than the reported values 62.3%
32 for rural people from Telangana [18].

33 In this study, 72.5% of participants knew that the diagnosis and treatment of TB are provided free of cost at
34 government run health centres. The reported knowledge on this variable in previous studies varies from 34.0%
35 to 91.0% from different geographical areas and population. Only 34.0% rural people from Tamil Nadu knew
36 that treatment for TB was available free of cost [11]. Study by Muniyandi M *et al* among Saharia tribal
37 population in Madhya Pradesh during December 2012-July 2013 reported 91.0% knew that TB diagnosis, and
38 treatment facilities were available in both government and private hospitals [15]. Study by Shedole DT *et al*
39 among college students of Karnataka found 71.7% participants knew about the availability of diagnostic and
40 treatment facilities [17]. Study among young rural people of Telangana state found 89.0% knew about free
41 diagnostic services and 85.3% free treatment services in the government setup [18]. 73.5% knew that treatment
42 is available at government health centre free of cost [23]. Our finding is similar to findings of most of the
43 previous studies undertaken in country.

44 There were two study variables which showed poor knowledge in our studied participants. About 22.3%
45 participants of our study knew that 'DOTS is the name of treatment for TB'. Previous studies also reported
46 similar findings from other parts of country. A community-based cross-sectional survey carried out amongst
47 tribal population in Madhya Pradesh reported 67.2% did not know the DOTS programme, in other words 32.8%
48 knew that DOTS is treatment for TB [12]. Only 18.6% rural people in Telangana knew about DOTS [18]. Only

1 9.8% TB patients from Bikaner, Rajasthan knew about DOTS [23]. So, knowledge on DOTS was reported poor
2 in most of the reported studies, including our study.

3 Second variable which reported poor knowledge was '*sputum is used for diagnosing TB*'. Only 58.9%
4 participants of this study knew that the diagnosis of TB is made through examining the sputum at health centers.
5 Kulkarni P *et al* reported 37.0% tribals knew sputum examination as method of diagnosis [10]. Rural people of
6 Telangana showed better knowledge as 71.3% knew sputum is used for diagnosis [18]. The finding of our study
7 is in the range of published reports.

8 The poor performing knowledge indicators of our study '*DOTS is the name of treatment of TB*' and '*sputum is*
9 *used for diagnosing TB*' were subjected to bivariate analysis for comparing the socio-demographic factors and
10 inequity if any (Table 2 and Table 3).

11 Although in our study knowledge on '*DOTS is the name of treatment of TB*' was poor among most of the
12 studied socio-demographic characteristics, however proportions of participants among *SC*, *MBC* and *OBC* who
13 knew '*DOTS is the name of treatment of TB*' were statistically significantly lower than the proportion of
14 participants among *General* social group ($p < 0.05$). Similarly, proportion of participants who knew '*DOTS is the*
15 *name of treatment of TB*' among male and age groups 25 yrs and more were statistically significantly lower than
16 the proportion among female and 15 – 24 yrs age groups respectively ($p < 0.05$).

17 Proportions of participants knowing '*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*' among *SC*, *ST*, and *OBC* were
18 statistically significantly lower than the proportion among *General* social group ($p < 0.05$). Similarly, proportion
19 of participants among Hindus who knew were statistically significantly higher than the proportion among
20 Muslims ($p < 0.05$).

21 Previous published studies on socio-demographic inequity on knowledge on TB and services of TB control
22 programme provide some data. Nationwide cross sectional survey carried out by Sreeramareddy CT *et al* found
23 being male (aOR 1.17, 95% CIs 1.14, 1.21), being a Hindu (aOR 1.20, 95% CIs 1.14, 1.26) or Muslim (aOR
24 1.26, 95% CIs 1.18, 1.34), were associated with correct knowledge on tuberculosis transmission [13]. This study
25 did not mention about socio-demographic inequity on knowledge on '*DOTS is the name of treatment of TB*'.
26 Similarly study by Mukherjee S *et al* on socio-demographic factors found that the extent of knowledge about TB
27 was more in the age group between 25 to 40 years than any other age group [14]. Study by Sharma SK *et al*
28 found that Hindu participants had statistically significantly higher knowledge [19]. However, there is scarcity of
29 data addressing socio-demographic inequity with respect to knowledge on '*DOTS is the name of treatment of*
30 *TB*' and knowledge on '*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*'. The present study provides this pertinent data and
31 report socio-demographic inequity of knowledge on '*DOTS is the name of treatment of TB*' and knowledge on
32 '*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*'.

33 Multivariable logistic regression analysis found out socio-demographic inequity with knowledge on '*DOTS is*
34 *the name of treatment of TB*' and '*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*'. Being male, older age group, and
35 belonging to *MBC*, *OBC*, *SC* & *ST* social groups are likely to be less knew on '*DOTS is the name of treatment of*
36 *TB*'. Similarly being older age, Muslim, and belonging to *OBC*, *SC* & *ST* social groups were likely to be less
37 knew on '*sputum is used for diagnosis of TB*'. Being male was more likely to knew '*sputum is used for*
38 *diagnosis of TB*'.

39 **Conclusion:** Rural population of district Jaipur, Rajasthan adequately know that the TB spreads from its patient
40 to person in close contact through coughing and/or sneezing. They are poor in knowing that sputum is used for
41 diagnosing the tuberculosis disease and DOTS is the name of treatment of TB. Socio-demographic inequity
42 exists in this knowledge. People from older age groups, underprivileged social groups and minority need extra
43 educational activities.

44 **Declarations of interest:** None

45 **Source of funding:** Study was funded by Model Rural Health Research Unit, Bhanpur Kalan, Jaipur. Unit
46 supported the travel expenditures and provided the technical staff for data collection, and data entry.

1 **Author contributions:** PKA, GST, MR, & MLM contributed to the study conception and design. Questionnaire
2 was prepared by PKA, MLM, MR. Data collection was performed by PKA, MS, CRM, MRD, AJ, & BMS.
3 Data entry and analysis was performed by PKA, MLM & BMS. The first draft of the manuscript was written by
4 PKA and all co-authors commented on first draft of manuscript. All authors read and approved the final
5 manuscript.

6 **Acknowledgement:** Authors are thankful to the Dr. A.K. Sharma, Director, ICMR – National Institute for
7 Implementation Research on Non Communicable Diseases, Jodhpur for his valuable guidance and supports.

8 **References:**

- 9 1. WHO, Global tuberculosis report 2020, World Health Organization, 2020.
- 10 2. Government of India, National Strategic Plan for Tuberculosis Elimination 2017 - 2015. Revised National
11 Tuberculosis Control Programme, Central TB Division, Director General of Health Services, Government
12 of India, March 2017.
- 13 3. Komatsu R, Kitai A, Mori T, Yamada Y. Factors associated with development of tuberculosis among
14 children and young adults: a study of newly registered cases. *Nihon Koshu Eisei Zasshi Jpn J Public
15 Health*. 1990 Mar;37(3):186–94.
- 16 4. Rajeswari R, Chandrasekaran V, Suhadev M, Sivasubramaniam S, Sudha G, Renu G. Factors associated
17 with patient and health system delays in the diagnosis of tuberculosis in South India. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*.
18 2002 Sep 1; 6(9):789–95.
- 19 5. Behera BK, Jain RB, Gupta KB, Goel MK. Extent of delay in diagnosis in new smear positive patients of
20 pulmonary tuberculosis attending tertiary care hospital. *Int J Prev Med*. 2013 Dec; 4(12):1480–5.
- 21 6. Li Y, Ehiri J, Tang S, Li D, Bian Y, Lin H, et al. Factors associated with patient, and diagnostic delays in
22 Chinese TB patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med*. 2013 Jul 2; 11:156.
23 <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/11/156>
- 24 7. Yellappa V, Lefèvre P, Battaglioli T, Devadasan N, Van der Stuyft P. Patients pathways to tuberculosis
25 diagnosis and treatment in a fragmented health system: a qualitative study from a south Indian district.
26 *BMC Public Health* 2017]; 17(1):635. DOI 10.1186/s12889-017-4627-7
- 27 8. Singh MM, Bano T, Pagare D, Sharma N, Devi R, Mehra M. Knowledge and attitude towards tuberculosis
28 in a slum community of Delhi. *J Commun Dis*. 2002 Sep; 34(3):203–14.
- 29 9. Sharma N, Malhotra R, Taneja DK, Saha R, Ingle GK. Knowledge and perception about tuberculosis in the
30 general population of Delhi. *Asia Pac J Public Health*. 2007;19(2):10–5.
31 <https://doi.org/10.1177/10105395070190020301>
- 32 10. Kulkarni P, Kudale A, Arasu K, Lab M, Darby W, Rangan S. Tuberculosis knowledge and knowledge in
33 tribal-dominant districts of Jharkhand, India: implications for ACSM. *Public Health Action*. 2014 Sep
34 21;4(3):189–94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5588/pha.14.0036>
- 35 11. Kar M, Logaraj M. Knowledge, attitude and treatment seeking behaviour regarding tuberculosis in a rural
36 area of Tamil Nadu. *Indian J Tuberc*. 2010 Oct;57(4):226–9.
- 37 12. Rao VG, Yadav R, Bhat J, Tiwari BK, Bhondeley MK. Knowledge and attitude towards tuberculosis
38 amongst the tribal population of Jhabua, Madhya Pradesh. *Indian J Tuberc*. 2012 Oct;59(4):243–8. Rao
39 VG, Yadav R, Bhat J, Tiwari BK, Bhondeley MK. Knowledge and attitude towards tuberculosis amongst
40 the tribal population of Jhabua, Madhya Pradesh. *Indian J Tuberc*. 2012 Oct;59(4):243–8.
- 41 13. Sreeramareddy CT, Harsha Kumar HN, Arokiasamy JT. Prevalence of self-reported tuberculosis,
42 knowledge about tuberculosis transmission and its determinants among adults in India: results from a
43 nation-wide cross-sectional household survey. *BMC Infect Dis* 2013; 13 (16):1-9.

- 1 14. Mukherjee S, S Y, T S, Kiranmmmai K, Dutt V, Das AK. Awareness of Tuberculosis in a Rural Area of South
2 West Andhra Pradesh. *Biomed Pharmacol J* 2015; 3(2): 435–8.
- 3 15. Muniyandi M, Rao VG, Bhat J, Yadav R, Sharma RK, Bhondeley MK. Health literacy on tuberculosis
4 amongst vulnerable segment of population: special reference to Saharia tribe in central India. *Indian J Med*
5 *Res* 2015 May; 141(5):640–7.
- 6 16. Thapa B, Chadha SS, Das A, Mohanty S, Tonsing J. High and equitable tuberculosis knowledge coverage
7 in the community-driven Axshya TB control project in India. *Public Health Action* 2015 Mar 21; 5(1):70–
8 3.
- 9 17. Shedole DT, Devalgi SB, Gs V, Mendagudli RR, Kumar VB. A comparative study on knowledge about
10 tuberculosis among urban and rural P.U. college students of Davangere taluk, Karnataka, India. *Int J*
11 *Community Med Public Health* 2017 Feb 6; 2(4):552–7.
- 12 18. Dumpeti S, Jothula KY, Naidu NK. Knowledge about tuberculosis and RNTCP services among rural
13 people in Nalgonda district, Telangana. *J Fam Med Prim Care* 2020 Jul; 9(7):3281–7.
- 14 19. Sharma SK, Jelly P, Bhadoria AS, Thakur K, Gawande K. Knowledge and perception regarding
15 tuberculosis among patients and their relatives attending a tertiary care hospital in Uttarakhand: A hospital-
16 based exploratory survey. *J Fam Med Prim Care* 2020 Mar; 9(3):1555–61.
- 17 20. Das A, Lakhan T, Unisa S. Tuberculosis prevalence, knowledge of transmission and its association with
18 vaccination of children. *J Infect Prev* 2021 Nov; 22(6):259–68.
- 19 21. Rajasthan, Land & Environment. [cited 2022 Apr 21]. Available from:
20 https://rajasthan.gov.in/LandAndEnvironment.aspx?menu_id=40
- 21 22. Summary Report, National TB Prevalence survey, India, 2019 - 2021. Indian Council of Medical Research,
22 Government of India, 2021.
- 23 23. Jangid VK, Agrawal NK, Yadav GS, Pandey S, Mathur BB. Knowledge and knowledge of the tuberculosis
24 in tuberculosis patients at a tertiary care centre in North West Rajasthan, India. *Natl J Community Med*
25 2016; 7(4):262-268.
- 26 24. Kumar U. Assessment of tuberculosis-related knowledge amongst Rural and Urban Population in
27 Rajasthan. *Int J Med Sci Diagn Res* 2018; 2(4): 55-57.
- 28 25. Epi Info™ | CDC [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Apr 21]. Available from:
29 <https://www.cdc.gov/epiinfo/index.html>
- 30 26. Khubchandani J, Soni A, Fahey N, Raithatha N, Prabhakaran A, Byatt N *et al*. Caste
31 matters: Perceived discrimination among women in rural India. *Arch Womens Ment*
32 *Health* 2018 Apr; 21 (2) : 163-170.
- 33 27. Choudhury S, Shankar B, Aleksandrowicz L, Tak M, Dangour A. Caste-based inequality
34 in fruit and vegetable consumption in India. *Food Nutr Bull* 2021 Sep; 41 (3) : 451 – 459.
- 35 28. ICMR. National ethical guidelines for biomedical & health research involving human participants. Indian
36 Council of Medical Research, Government of India, 2017.
- 37 29. WWW.STROBE-Statement.org
- 38