

Barriers and enablers to blood culture sampling: a systematic review and theoretical domains framework survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam

Pornpan Suntornsut, MSc¹, Koe Stella Asadinia, MD², Ralalicia Limato, MD^{2,3}, Alice Tamara, MD², Linda W.A. Rotty, MD⁴, Rendra Bramanti, MD⁵, Dwi U. Nusantara, MD⁶, Erni J. Nelwan, MD^{7,8}, Suwimon Khusuwan, MD⁹, Watthanapong Suphamongkhonchaikul, MD¹⁰, Parinya Chamnan, MD¹⁰, Watcharapong Piyaphanee, MD¹¹, Vu Thi Lan Huong, MD¹², Nguyen Hai Yen, MPH¹², Khanh Nguyen Hong, MSc¹², Pham Ngoc Thach, MD¹³, Le Minh Quang, MD¹⁴, Vu Hai Vinh, PhD¹⁴, Chau Minh Duc, PhD¹⁵, Vo Thi Hoang Dung Em MD¹⁵, Elinor Harriss, MSc¹⁶, H Rogier van Doorn, MD^{3,12}, Raph L. Hamers MD^{2,3}, Fabiana Lorencatto, PhD¹⁷, Lou Atkins, PhD¹⁷, Direk Limmathurotsakul, MD^{1,3,18}

¹ Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

² Oxford University Clinical Research Unit Indonesia, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

³ Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

⁴ Prof. Dr. R.D. Kandou Central Hospital, Manado, Indonesia

⁵ Dr. Iskak District Hospital, Tulungagung, Indonesia

⁶ Pasar Minggu District Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia

⁷ Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

⁸ Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Cipto Mangunkusumo National General Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia

⁹ Chiangrai Prachanukroh Hospital, Chiang Rai, Thailand

¹⁰ Sunpasitthiprasong Hospital, Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand

¹¹ Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

¹² Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Hanoi, Vietnam.

¹³ National Hospital for Tropical Diseases, 78 Giai Phong, Ha Noi, Viet Nam

¹⁴ Viet Tiep Hospital, 1 Nha Thuong, Cat Dai, Le Chan, Hai Phong, Viet Nam

¹⁵ Dong Thap Hospital, 144 Mai Van Khai, My Tan, Cao Lanh City, Dong Thap, Viet Nam

¹⁶ Bodleian Health Care Libraries, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

¹⁷ Centre for Behaviour Change, University College London, London, United Kingdom

¹⁸ Department of Tropical Hygiene, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

* Correspondence to:

Direk Limmathurotsakul

Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, 10400. email: direk@tropmedres.ac

Word count: abstract 300, text 4,421

Abstract

Background

Blood culture (BC) sampling is recommended for all suspected sepsis patients prior to antibiotic administration. Here, we aimed to identify barriers and enablers to BC sampling in three Southeast Asian countries.

Methods

We conducted a systematic review of studies evaluating barriers/enablers to BC sampling from 1900 to 2020 globally (PROSPERO, CRD42020206557). Using the findings of the systematic review, we developed and conducted a Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF)-based survey with a case scenario question among doctors and final-year medical students in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam.

Findings

In the systematic review, we identified 6,175 unique records from the databases, of which 25 met the eligibility criteria. Studies were conducted in 37 high-income countries (HICs) and 41 low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Of 14 TDF domains, three and seven were not assessed in HICs and LMICs by the studies included in the systematic review, respectively. 1,070 medical doctors and 238 final-year medical students completed the survey. The proportion of respondents who would definitely take BC in the case scenario was 89.8% for Thai, 50.5% for Vietnamese and 31.3% for Indonesians ($p < 0.001$). Eight TDF domains were considered key in influencing BC sampling, including ‘no awareness of guideline [TDF-knowledge]’, ‘low priority of BC [TDF-goals]’, ‘no intention to follow guidelines [TDF-intention]’, ‘level of doctors who can order or initiate an order for BC [TDF-social professional role and identity]’, ‘no norms of BC sampling [TDF-social influence]’, ‘perceived cost-effectiveness of BC [TDF-environmental

context and resources]', 'regulation on cost reimbursement [TDF-behavioural regulation]' and 'consequences that discourage BC sampling [TDF-reinforcement].' However, there was substantial heterogeneity between the countries across most domains.

Conclusions

Evidence on barriers and enablers to BC sampling is limited globally. We identified individual, socio-cultural and environmental barriers/enablers to BC sampling across different countries, which represent potential targets for interventions. Context-specific multifaceted interventions at both hospital and policy levels are required to improve diagnostic stewardship practices.

Funding

Wellcome Trust, UK (220557/Z/20/Z).

Introduction

Blood culture (BC) is a crucial diagnostic, which can guide antibiotic treatment decisions of severe bacterial infections, and may improve patient outcomes.^{1,2} The cumulative results of BC are also crucial to inform antimicrobial resistance (AMR) surveillance, at the hospital, country and global levels.³ International guidelines on sepsis management have been stressing the importance of obtaining BC before or, when not possible, within 24 hours after administration of antibiotics.^{1,4}

Nonetheless, BC sampling rates are generally underutilized, both in high-income countries (HICs) and low and middle-income countries (LMICs), with wide variations in reported rates between hospitals and global regions. Reported hospital BC sampling rates ranged from 196 to 308 per 1,000 patient-days in the United States,^{5,6} from 6.7 to 86.5 per 1,000 patient-days in the European Union,⁷ from 0 to 82 per 1,000 patient-days in the Central Asian and European Surveillance of AMR network (CAESAR),⁸ and 31, 82 and 10 per 1,000 patient-days in selected hospitals in Indonesia,⁹ Thailand¹⁰ and Vietnam¹¹, respectively.

A range of barriers and enablers have been identified that influence BC sampling, based on different study designs, theories and frameworks. Lack of clear guidelines, training, microbiological infrastructure, and positive attitudes regarding BC among medical practitioners, are commonly reported barriers.^{8,12-15} However, to date the evidence has not been systematically assessed or integrated.

Changing the behavior of medical practitioners is complex, and systematic approach have been shown useful to understand factors influencing adherence to guidelines or recommendations so as to inform the design of future interventions.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) has been developed by synthesizing a wide range of theories, and enabling researchers to investigate a broader range of individual, socio-cultural and environmental behavioral influences than they would with a single theory alone.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The TDF has been widely used to explore barriers and enablers to healthcare professional behaviors, including diagnostic testing, antimicrobial stewardship, and infection prevention control.¹⁹⁻²²

Here, we aimed to identify barriers and enablers to BC sampling in three middle-income countries in Southeast Asia (SEA) using a theory-based approach informed by the TDF. Specifically, we aimed to integrate available evidence by conducting (a) a global systematic literature review, and (b) a TDF survey among doctors and final-year medical students in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam

Methods

Systematic review

We conducted a systematic review by searching five bibliographic databases (PubMed, Ovid Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and Databases of Systematic Reviews) for studies evaluating and reporting primary data on barriers/enablers to BC sampling, published in English between 1 January 1900 to 31 June 2020 (PROSPERO, CRD42020206557). We used the search terms “blood culture”, “bloodstream infection” or “diagnostic stewardship”, and “barriers”, “enablers”, “facilitators”, “knowledge”, “attitude” or

“practice” (Appendix S1 and S2). We additionally searched the reference list of all relevant articles to identify additional articles. We included qualitative studies, mixed-method studies, quantitative descriptive studies, quantitative randomized controlled trials, and quantitative non-randomized interventional studies.²³

Two investigators (PS and DL) independently screened records for eligible studies based on title and abstract. Full texts of articles deemed potentially eligible were retrieved and independently screened by two investigators (PS and DL) for final inclusion. Data were extracted and assessed using a standardised data abstraction form. Data included study characteristics, sample size, criteria used to define Theory Domain Frameworks (TDF) domains, and reported barriers/enablers. Study type was categorized and quality assessment of the evidence was performed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT).²⁴ In the event of different conclusions, a third reviewer (LA) adjudicated to determine a conclusion.

TDF survey

We developed a TDF survey questionnaire, comprising a case scenario and all 14 TDF domains of barriers/enablers to BC sampling, through an iterative process of several rounds of review, based on the findings of our systematic literature review, a previous study (included in the review) which used case scenarios in which BC ordering is strongly recommended by international guidelines,¹³ and previous TDF surveys on other health topics.²⁵⁻²⁸ Each question used a five-point Likert scale representing the level of perceived barriers/enablers to BC sampling under all TDF domains (Appendix S3).

The initial questionnaire was translated into Thai, Vietnamese and Indonesian language and piloted among 3-6 final-year medical students and 10-19 medical doctors in each country (a total of 54 respondents) to test the clarity of questions and choice answers in each language and to ensure no potential key barriers/enablers were omitted. We asked respondents to complete the survey and provide feedback using 1:1 interviews via phone or using online meeting software. The questionnaire was revised and finalized based on the pilot study results. One free-text question was added (i.e. Question 6-5, “Additional comments about emotional factors...” [Appendix S4]), a total of 27 choice answers were added, and languages and wordings were revised. The final questionnaire included 54 questions about barriers/enablers to BC sampling and respondents’ demographic characteristics (Appendix S4).

Based on a sample size calculation for descriptive studies (appendix S1), our enrolment target was 1500 respondents (100 final-year medical students and 400 medical doctors in each of the three countries). We used a convenient sampling approach, distributing invitations in letters, emails, pamphlets and online social media platforms, through existing collaborations in hospitals and universities in the three survey countries. The online survey was conducted using the Qualtrics survey platform.

For the pilot, verbal informed consent was obtained from study respondents prior to participation. For the TDF survey, electronic informed consent was obtained from study respondents prior to participation. The study was approved by the Oxford University Tropical Research Ethics Committee (OXTREC545-21) and local ethical committees at Iskak Tulungagung Hospital (070/7303/407.206/2021), Prof. Dr. R.D. Kandou Hospital

(156/EC/KEPK-KANDOU/IX/2021), Pasar Minggu Hospital (EOCRU/RCH.216/10.2021/1145) in Indonesia, The National Hospital for Tropical Diseases (14HDDD/NDTU) in Vietnam, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University (TMEC21-069), Sunpasitthiprasong Hospital (065/64S) and Chiangrai Prachanukroh Hospital (CR 0032.102/EC023) in Thailand.

Analysis

For each question, we defined that respondents who answered “definitely”/“likely”, “all the time”/“often” or “strongly agree”/“agree” perceived the importance or agreement with that barrier/enabler. The proportion of respondents who answered likewise, after excluding respondents who answered ‘I do not know’ or ‘I do not want to answer’, was present. Groups were compared by Chi-squared or Fisher exact tests as appropriate. Logistic regression models with random effects for countries, for hospital type nested in the same country, and for professional roles nested in the same hospital type were used to evaluate the association between respondents’ answers about each barrier/enabler and to the case scenario. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata 15.1 (StataCorp, US).

We integrated the results from the systematic review and TDF survey to identify and rank important TDF domains for Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam. Each domain identified was scored on an established set of four ‘importance criteria’ (modified from a previous TDF study²⁹): (1) ‘frequency’ (number of respondents and studies that identified each domain); (2) ‘elaboration’ (number of themes) within each domain; (3) ‘expressed importance’ (either a statement from the authors’ interpretation or direct quotes from study respondents expressing

importance); and (4) ‘association between reported barriers/enablers and BC practice’ (the answer to the case scenario was used as a proxy for BC practice).

Lastly, we mapped identified TDF domains to the COM-B ('capability', 'opportunity', 'motivation' and 'behaviour') model.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ COM-B forms the hub of the Behaviour Change Wheel (BCW), a framework which signposts to potentially relevant intervention strategies. This allowed us to list all intervention types and policy options that were likely to be effective in addressing identified barriers and enablers.

Role of the funding source

The funders of the study had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

Results

Study characteristics

Systematic review

We identified 6,175 unique records from the databases (Figure 1, Appendix S5). After title and abstract screening, 884 articles were selected for full-text review. 859 articles were excluded because they did not meet the eligibility criteria and 25 original studies were included (Appendix S6). Those studies were conducted in or included participants from 37 HICs and 41 LMICs (Figure 2, Appendix S7), and comprised 15 (60%) quantitative studies, six (24%) quantitative non-randomized interventional studies, two (8%) qualitative studies, one mixed-method study, and one quantitative randomized controlled trial (4% each). Of 25 studies, eight (32%) were

judged to be at low risk of bias, 14 (56%) at medium risk of bias and three (12%) at high risk of bias (Appendix S8).

The systematic review identified barriers/enablers of BC sampling across 11 of 14 TDF domains. The most common domain reported was ‘knowledge’, followed by ‘behavioural regulation’, ‘environmental context and resources’, ‘memory, attention and decision processes’, ‘beliefs about consequences’ and ‘social influence’ (Table 1). Of 14 TDF domains, three and seven were not assessed in HICs and LMICs by the studies included in the systematic review, respectively (Appendix S9).

TDF survey

From 1 December 2021 to 30 April 2022, 1,070 medical doctors and 238 final-year medical students in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam completed the online TDF survey covering all 14 TDF domains. Half of respondents were female (n=680, 52%) and most worked in governmental hospitals (n=980, 75.4%) (Table 2). The most common department was internal medicine (n=450, 34.4%), followed by emergency (n=175, 13.4%) and pediatrics (n=153, 11.7%). Respondents were from 24 of 34 provinces in Indonesia, 39 of 77 provinces in Thailand, and 25 of 63 provinces in Vietnam.

Based on the sepsis case scenario (Table 2), half of respondents (52.3%, 682/1,304) answered that they would definitely take BC. However, the responses were significantly different between the three countries (p<0.001). Most Thai respondents (89.8%, 273/304) answered that they

would definitely take BC, while only half of Vietnamese respondents (50.5%, 252/499) and about a third of Indonesian respondents (31.3%, 157/501) did.

Thematic synthesis for domains identified as having high importance

We combined the results obtained from the systematic review and the TDF survey (Table 1 and Appendix S10), and present content themes, in rank order, within each of the eight domains that were considered very important (i.e. key) in the three countries in SEA in the section below, with example references and quotes (Table 3).

Knowledge

Theme. Awareness of guidelines. In the review, “lack of knowledge of existing guidelines” was reported as a key barrier to BC sampling.¹³ In our survey, 59.0% (756/1,282) of respondents answered that they know of guidelines for BC sampling being used in their hospitals, while 29.3% (376/1,282) answered that they do not know if their hospitals use any guidelines, and 11.7% (150/1,282) answered that their hospitals do not use any guidelines (Appendix S11). Among those who answered that they know of local guidelines, 81.0% (612/756) stated that their local guidelines recommend BC sampling in all patients presenting with sepsis. About half (47.8%, 596/1,248) answered that they know of international guidelines, and most of those (95.0%, 566/596) stated that the international guidelines recommend BC sampling in all patients presenting with sepsis. Respondents who answered that they know of local guidelines (odds ratio [OR] 2.55, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.93-3.38, $p < 0.001$) or international guidelines (OR 1.97, 95%CI 1.50-2.57, $p < 0.001$) were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (Appendix S12).

Theme: BC-related knowledge. In the review, previous studies reported deficiencies in BC-related knowledge, i.e. the number of BC bottles to be collected, amount of blood volume needed be collected, temperature of BC incubation, necessity of aseptic techniques and impact of antibiotics prior to BC collection.^{12,15,30} Our survey did not assess BC-related knowledge as this study did not focus on quality of BC practice.

Theme: Training. In the review ‘lack of training’ on BC was commonly reported as a barrier.^{15,31,32} In our survey, 37.8% (153/407) of Indonesian respondents, 24.9% (64/257) of Thai respondents and 12.4% (52/421) of Vietnamese respondents responded that there were no training, lectures, classes or meetings that provide knowledge about local/national/international guidelines for BC sampling in their hospitals ($p < 0.001$). Respondents who answered that there are training, lectures, classes or meetings that provide knowledge about guidelines for BC sampling were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 1.68; 95% CI 1.18-2.38, $p = 0.004$).

Goals

Theme: Priority of BC. In the review, “low priority attributed to BC” was reported as a key barrier to BC sampling. However, “lack of time” or “time constraints” is commonly used to represent “low priority to BC” in the working environment with similar work density in hospitals.^{13,32} In many settings, ordering or initiating an order for BC can take only few seconds by writing “blood culture” in the doctor order form. In our survey, we used a question asking about the priority of BC compared to that of empirical antibiotics. 91.3% (274/300) of Thai respondents answered that they obtain BC prior to receiving empirical antibiotics in patients

presenting with sepsis all the time or often, while 80.0% (380/475) of Vietnamese respondents and 54.2% (251/463) of Indonesian respondents answered likewise ($p < 0.001$). Respondents who gave priority to BC were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 4.25, 95%CI 3.04-5.94, $p < 0.001$).

Intention

Theme: Intention to follow guidelines. In the review, “low intrinsic motivation”¹³ and “general reluctance to draw large volumes of blood”³³ were reported as barriers to BC sampling. In our survey, among those who answered that they know of local guidelines, 92.9% (157/169) of Thai respondents answered that they plan to follow local guidelines all the time or often, while 82.0% (283/345) of Vietnamese respondents and 74.1% (172/232) of Indonesian respondents answered likewise. Those respondents were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 2.92, 95% CI 1.88-4.53, $p < 0.001$).

Social professional role and identity

Theme: Level of doctors who can order or initiate an order for BC. In the review, a survey evaluated the role of nurses to decide on BC testing in HICs,³² but none of the studies included evaluated the role of different levels of doctors on BC sampling. In our survey, >75% of Thai respondents answered that all levels of medical doctors (consultants, physicians, residents and interns) can order or initiate an order for BC in their hospitals. Most Indonesian and Vietnamese respondents (87.9%, 870/990) answered that consultants can, but fewer answered that physicians (61.8%, 612/990), residents (59.1%, 585/990) and interns (20.3%, 201/990) can ($p < 0.001$). A quarter of Thai respondents (28.7%, 87/303) answered that final-year medical students can order

or initiate an order for BC under supervision of attending medical doctors, while Indonesian respondents (2.2%, 11/500) and Vietnamese respondents (0.6%, 3/490) rarely answered likewise ($p < 0.001$). None reported that nurses can order or initiate an order for BC.

Theme: Perception about their role to order or initiate an order for BC. In the review, ‘lack of perceived responsibility’ was reported as a barrier from final-year medical students, but not from medical doctors.¹³ In our survey, most medical doctors (86.5%, 905/1,046) answered that it is very appropriate or appropriate for them to order BC or initiate an order for BC, while only about half of final-year medical students (49.8%; 115/231) answered likewise ($p < 0.001$). Those respondents were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 3.36, 95%CI 2.50-4.51, $p < 0.001$).

Theme: perception about their role to draw blood for BC. In our survey, most respondents (72.8%, 949/1,303) answered that registered nurses are tasked to draw blood from patients for BC, followed by microbiology laboratory team (36.0%, 469/1,303), specialized blood draw team (27.4%, 357/1,303), residents (25.4%, 331/1,303), physicians (23.5%, 306/1,303), consultants (23.2%, 302/1,303), interns (17.8%, 229/1,303) and final-year medical students (11.6%, 151/1,303). Of respondents who answered that they are tasked to draw blood from patients for BC, 69.1% (248/359) responded that it is very appropriate or appropriate for their role to draw blood for BC. Those respondents were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 1.94, 95%CI 1.04-3.64, $p = 0.04$).

Social influence

Theme: Norms of BC sampling. In the review, ‘lack of norms’ and ‘routine sampling’ were reported as important barriers¹³ and enablers^{34,35} to BC sampling. In our survey, 78.5% (233/297) of Thai respondents answered that they order BC because they are following local norms all the time or often, while 51.5% (238/462) of Vietnamese respondents and 43.8% (180/411) of Indonesian respondents answered likewise ($p < 0.001$). Those respondents were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 2.20, 95%CI 1.67-2.90, $p < 0.001$).

Theme: Influences from healthcare workers, patients and family of patients. In the review, none of the studies included assessed the influences from other healthcare workers, patients or family of patients for BC sampling. In our survey, most respondents (79.4%) answered that there are very positive or positive influences on BC sampling from consultants, followed by residents (64.5%), doctors (64.6%), heads of department (65.9%), executive levels (50.6%), nurses (47.6%), interns (45.2%), patients (43.0%) and family of patients (31.9%). Some respondents said that there are negative or very negative influence in BC sampling from family of patients (6.8%), nurses (5.2%), patients (4.3%) and executives of the hospital (3.6%). Numerous quotes on this theme as a barrier were noted (Table 3).

Environmental context and resources

Theme: Perceived cost-effectiveness of BC. In the survey, ‘cost of BC’ or ‘economic pressure’ was reported as a barrier to BC sampling in both HICs^{12,13,32,36} and LMICs.¹⁴ In our survey, most (78.0%, 950/1,218) respondents considered that BC is very likely or likely to be cost-effective. Those respondents were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 1.63, 95%CI 1.17-2.26, $p < 0.001$).

312

313 *Theme: Availability of microbiology laboratories, transport modalities, resources and*
 314 *consumables.* In the review, unavailability of laboratories,⁸ logistical barriers,⁸ lack of BC
 315 bottles^{14,37} and limited human and resources⁸ were reported as barriers to BC sampling. In our
 316 survey, some respondents could not order BC because microbiology laboratories are not
 317 available or not functioning (13.4%, 157/1,174) or consumables (such as BC bottles, needles,
 318 syringes, blood collection set, etc.) are not available (12.7%, 150/1,181) all the time or often.
 319 Those respondents were not associated with BC practice in the case scenario ($p>0.20$ both)

320

321 *Theme: Out-of-pocket.* In the review, “cost consideration for the patients” was reported as a
 322 barrier to BC sampling.¹⁴ In the TDF survey, 23.3% (78/335) of Indonesian respondents
 323 answered that patients have to pay for BC using their own money (i.e. out of pocket) all the time
 324 or often, while 12.2% (28/230) of Thai participant and 8.3% (34/408) of Vietnamese participant
 325 answered likewise ($p<0.001$). Those respondents were not associated with BC practice in the
 326 case scenario ($p=0.29$)

327

328 ***Behavioural regulation***

329 *Theme: Regulation of cost reimbursement.* In the review, “cost reimbursement” was reported as
 330 barriers to BC sampling.⁸ In our survey, 15.0% (196/1,308) and 11.6% (152/1,308) of
 331 respondents stated that ‘whether patients have a health scheme or insurance that covers the cost
 332 of BC’ and that ‘whether patients are likely to have a final diagnosis that includes the cost of BC
 333 in the package of fee for service’ are their additional reasons for deciding to order BC,

respectively. Those respondents were not associated with BC practice in the case scenario (p>0.20, both). However, numerous quotes on this theme were noted (Table 3).

Theme: Procedures to support or regulate doctors to order BC. Interventional studies used development and dissemination of local guidelines, posters, notifications (i.e. feedback) and computer systems to support doctors to order BC per guidelines.³⁸⁻⁴³ In our survey, the most common procedures to support or regulate doctors to order BC in their hospitals was presence of local hospital guidelines (33.2%, 352/1,060), followed by case reviews (e.g. grand rounds or morning ward rounds, and BC is often mentioned) (30.8%, 326/1,060), standard order forms to remind ordering BC (29.9%, 317/1,060), stewardship programmes and reviewing BC is included in the programmes (19.5%, 207/1,060), posters (15.4%, 163/1,060) and computer systems to remind ordering BC (10.7%, 113/1,060). Respondents who answered that there are local hospital guidelines were more likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 1.45; 95%CI 1.06-1.99, p=0.006).

Reinforcement

Theme: Consequences that discourage BC sampling. In the review, none of the studies included assessed reinforcement. In our survey, if they order a BC when it is recommended, some respondents (23.1%, 284/1,231) answered that there are either negative social consequences (e.g. verbal reprimand or any pressure from supervisors/executives of the hospital as the hospital (may) have to pay for the (extra) cost of BC) or negative material consequences (e.g. a negative score, that doctors are at risk of having to spend extra time and effort to reimburse the cost of BC from any health scheme or insurance, or that doctors are at risk of having to pay for the [extra]

cost of BC themselves). Those who answered that there are negative consequences were less likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 0.48; 95%CI 0.34-0.67, $p<0.001$).

Theme: Consequences that encourage BC sampling. In the survey, 23.7% (294/1,243) of respondents answered that there are either positive social (e.g. praise) or positive material (e.g. a positive score) consequences if they order a BC when it is recommended. Those respondents were less likely to answer with “definitely take BC” in the case scenario (OR 0.53; 95%CI 0.37-0.74, $p<0.001$). Nonetheless, we found that respondents who answered that there are positive consequences that encourage BC sampling when recommended were also answered that there are negative consequences that discourage BC sampling when recommended with moderate agreement beyond that expected by chance (Kappa value 0.46, $p<0.001$).

We also evaluated whether they are negative consequences if practitioners do not order a BC when it is recommended. 37.7% (464/1,230) of respondents answered that there are either negative social (e.g. verbal reprimand) or negative material (e.g. a negative score) consequences if they do not order a BC when it is recommended. Those respondents were not associated with answering with “definitely order BC” in the case scenario ($p=0.42$).

Additional results and the content themes in the domains that were identified as important in influencing in BC sampling are described in Appendix S1.

Intervention types and policy options to improve BC sampling practice

We used the links between TDF and BCW, and listed all suggested intervention types and policy options related to very important TDF domains in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam (Table 4). A range of potential strategies were identified. Some strategies target individual reinforcement, environmental structure and social influence (e.g. providing an example for physicians to aspire to or imitate the BC sampling practice [modelling] and increasing means and reducing barriers to increase capability and opportunity to order BC for all levels of doctors [enablement]). Some strategies operate at the policy or service provision level (e.g. changing regulation of cost reimbursement [fiscal], development or implementation of local guidelines [guideline] and establishing rules or principles of BC practice [regulation]).

Discussion

Our review shows that the existing global evidence on barriers and enablers to BC sampling is limited, particularly for LMICs, and does not allow for drawing firm conclusions. Our survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam shows that ‘no awareness of guideline [TDF-knowledge]’, ‘low priority of BC [TDF-goals]’, ‘no intention to follow guidelines [TDF-intention]’, ‘level of doctors who can order or initiate an order for BC [TDF-social professional role and identity]’, ‘no norms of BC sampling [TDF-social influence]’, ‘perceived cost-effectiveness of BC [TDF-environmental context and resources]’, ‘regulation on cost reimbursement [TDF-behavioural regulation]’ and ‘consequences that discourage BC sampling [TDF-reinforcement]’ appeared to be key in influencing BC sampling. In Thailand,¹⁰ where BC utilization rate is relatively high compared to Indonesia⁹ and Vietnam,¹¹ the frequencies of each barrier being reported by respondents is lower for most domains.

‘Low priority to BC [TDF-goals]’, ‘no intention to follow guidelines [TDF-intention]’, ‘lack of perception about their role to order or initiate an order for BC [TDF-social professional role and identity]’ and ‘lack of norms of BC sampling [TDF-social influence]’ are likely key barriers to BC sampling in both HICs^{13,36,44} and other LMICs³³ where resources for BC sampling are available to some extent.

To our knowledge, ‘level of doctors who can order or initiate an order for BC [TDF-social professional role and identity]’ and ‘influence from healthcare workers, patients and families of patients [TDF-social influence]’ have never been systematically evaluated and reported as a barrier to BC sampling. Those are important barriers as, in many hospitals in both HICs and LMICs, final-year medical students and interns are responsible for most BC ordering and acquisition³⁰ and influences from other parties can discourage BC sampling.

Remarkably, the cost of BC seems to have influence on executive level doctors, patients, families of patients, or those who set regulations on cost reimbursement of BC. This is shown by many quotes related to the cost of BC in the theme ‘influences from healthcare workers, patients and family of patients [TDF-social influence]’, ‘regulation on cost reimbursement [TDF-behavioural regulation]’ and ‘consequences that discourage BC sampling [TDF-reinforcement]’, respectively (Table 3). All stakeholders in each study country will need to consider all suggested intervention functions and policy options (e.g. providing clear posters emphasizing local guidelines of BC sampling over wide areas in hospitals to reduce negative influences from other parties on BC practice [environmental restructuring], changing regulation of cost reimbursement and finding financial support for BC sampling per local guidelines [fiscal], repeatedly

announcing to all levels of healthcare workers that negative consequences that discourage BC sampling per local guidelines will not be tolerated [enablement] for cost-related barriers), and develop intervention content in details based on local context for the changes of BC practice to be successful.^{16-18,45}

This study also has several limitations. First, the systematic review was limited in scope and quality due to limitation and heterogeneity of studies included. The TDF survey included a convenient sample of hospitals and practitioners, which might have led to selection bias. This limited our ability to draw firm conclusions on the contemporary situation on barriers and enablers to BC sampling globally and in the SEA region. Therefore, the data should be interpreted cautiously. Second, the exclusion of non-peer-reviewed and non-English literature meant that we might not have included local publications and documents from local government and/or non-government institutions. However, their exclusion likely improved the quality of the evidence as those literature may not always follow gold-standard or recommended guidelines for evaluation. Third, the survey could not reach the target sample size in Thailand despite substantial efforts. Nonetheless, the study had enough power to estimate the prevalence of barriers and enablers in Thailand, and compare that with those observed in Indonesia and Vietnam.

In conclusion, among three LMICs in SEA barriers to BC sampling were varied and heterogeneous. This comprehensive analysis using TDF gives information across the entire spectrum of behavioral influences of BC sampling. These results can help local healthcare

449 providers and policy makers to develop and implement interventions aiming to improve
450 diagnostic stewardship practices.

451

452

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Data sharing

The study protocol for the systematic review, with all relevant tools, is publicly available in PROSPERO, CRD42020206557. All produced in the present study are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the respondents and staff at all the study hospitals and Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit. The authors thank Surveillance and Epidemiology of Drug-resistant Infections Consortium (SEDRIC) for the support and comments on the study. We thank Le Nguyen Minh Hoa, Vu Minh Duy Pharm, Dam Thi Hong Hanh and Hoang Bao Long for support in data collection. This research was funded by the Wellcome Trust (220557/Z/20/Z). For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Author contributions

F.L., L.A. and D.L. designed and supervised the study. P.S., K.S.A., R.L., V.T.L.H., H.R.v.D. and R.L.H. participated in project design and facilitated data collection. A.T., L.W.A.R., R.B., E.J.N., D.U.N., S.K., W.S., P.C., W.P., N.H.Y., P.N.T., L.M.Q., V.H.V., C.M.D., V.T.H.D.E. and E.H. facilitated data collection. P.S. analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the

475 manuscript. All authors contributed to the writing or revision of the manuscript. P.S. and D.L.

476 verified the data.

477

478

479 *Table 1: Barriers and enablers of the 14 domains of the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) identified through the systematic*
 480 *review of the global evidence and the TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam*

Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) domains*	Systematic review of the global evidence				TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam	
	Frequency (N=study)	Barriers (N=study)	Enablers (N=study)	Mixed** (N=study)	Barriers	Enablers
Knowledge	17	5	6	6	Yes, very important	Yes, very important
Goals	3	2	1	0	Yes, very important	Yes, very important
Intentions	2	1	0	1	Yes, very important	Yes, very important
Social professional role and identity	2	1	1	0	Yes, very important	Yes, very important
Social influences	6	1	5	0	Yes, very important	Yes, very important
Environmental context and resources	11	3	2	6	Yes, very important	Yes, very important
Behavioural regulation	15	1	6	6	Yes, very important	Yes, very important
Reinforcement	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Yes, very important	Yes, very important
Beliefs about consequences	6	4	0	2	Yes	Yes
Memory, attention and decision processes	10	1	7	2	Yes	Yes
Optimism	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Yes	Yes
Skills	1	0	0	1	Yes	Yes
Beliefs about capabilities	1	0	1	0	Yes	Yes
Emotion	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	Yes	No

481 * TDF Domains are in rank order from most important to least important in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam. **Mixed = Mixed barriers and enablers
 482
 483

484 *Table 2: Demographics and answer to the case scenario - the TDF survey*

Variables	Indonesia (n=503)	Thailand (n=304)	Vietnam (n=501)	P values
Female gender	263 (52.3%)	195 (64.1%)	222 (44.3%)	<0.001
Hospital types				
Government hospital	340 (67.6%)	209 (68.8%)	431 (86.0%)	<0.001
Private hospital	113 (22.5%)	15 (4.9%)	17 (3.4%)	
University hospital	26 (5.2%)	76 (25.0%)	29 (5.8%)	
Other ¹	19 (3.8%)	2 (0.7%)	22 (4.4%)	
I do not want to answer	5 (1.0%)	2 (0.7%)	2 (0.4%)	
Hospital bed size				
<200	99 (19.7%)	35 (11.5%)	24 (4.8%)	<0.001
201-400	107 (21.3%)	46 (15.1%)	29 (5.8%)	
401-600	72 (14.3%)	39 (12.8%)	62 (12.4%)	
601-1,000	66 (13.1%)	45 (14.8%)	144 (28.7%)	
1,001-2,000	39 (7.8%)	82 (27.0%)	125 (25.0%)	
>2,000	27 (5.4%)	30 (9.9%)	74 (14.8%)	
I do not know	89 (17.7%)	27 (8.9%)	35 (7.0%)	
I do not want to answer	4 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	8 (1.6%)	
Current job²				
Medical doctor – executive level	13 (2.6%)	5 (1.6%)	17 (3.4%)	<0.001
Medical doctor – consultant level	74 (14.7%)	75 (24.7%)	198 (39.5%)	
Medical doctor – physician level	124 (24.7%)	38 (12.5%)	112 (22.4%)	
Medical doctor – resident level	168 (33.4%)	63 (20.7%)	101 (20.2%)	
Medical doctor – intern level	33 (6.6%)	35 (11.5%)	14 (2.8%)	
Final-year medical student	91 (18.1%)	88 (28.9%)	59 (11.8%)	
Department				
Internal medicine	149 (29.6%)	155 (51.0%)	146 (29.1%)	<0.001
Pediatrics	65 (12.9%)	43 (14.1%)	45 (9.0%)	0.05
Infection disease division/department	12 (2.4%)	5 (1.6%)	56 (11.2%)	<0.001
Surgery	21 (4.2%)	45 (14.8%)	81 (16.2%)	<0.001
Orthopaedics	6 (1.2%)	18 (5.9%)	14 (2.8%)	0.001
Obstetrics / Gynaecology	20 (4.0%)	29 (9.5%)	7 (1.4%)	<0.001
Emergency department	112 (22.3%)	34 (11.2%)	29 (5.8%)	<0.001
Intensive care unit	45 (8.9%)	13 (4.3%)	51 (10.2%)	0.01
Case-study: Would you take a BC sample in a case presenting with community-acquired sepsis?^{3,4}				
Definitely (>95-100% of the time)	157 (31.2%)	273 (89.8%)	252 (50.3%)	<0.001
Likely (75-95% of the time)	138 (27.4%)	23 (7.6%)	149 (29.7%)	
Maybe (25-74% of the time)	116 (23.1%)	5 (1.6%)	70 (14.0%)	
Unlikely (5-24% of the time)	44 (8.7%)	2 (0.7%)	19 (3.8%)	
Rarely (ranging from never to <5% of the time)	46 (9.1%)	1 (0.3%)	9 (1.8%)	
I do not know	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	
I do not want to answer	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	

485
486 See Appendix S4 for the questionnaire and Appendix S11 for the complete results of the TDF survey. ¹ Included
487 clinics (n=3) and text answers that could not be used to determine the hospital type such as internship and medical
488 students. ² In the survey, for a medical doctor, ‘executive level’ was defined as having an administrative position
489 without clinical work, ‘consultant’ was defined as having a clinical specialty degree, ‘resident’ as currently under
490 postgraduate clinical training, ‘physician’ as having no clinical specialty/subspecialty degree and not under
491 postgraduate clinical training, and ‘intern’ as a recent medical school graduate in the first year of post-graduate on-
492 the-job training. ³ In the survey, for the questions asking “Would you ...” or “How often ...”, the Likert scale
493 options were defined as ‘definitely’ or ‘all the time’ (reflecting >95-100% of the time or case, respectively), ‘likely’

494 or 'often' (75-95%), 'uncertain' or 'moderately' (25-74%), 'unlikely' or 'occasionally' (5-24%), and 'rarely' (<5%).
495 Each question also included 'I do not know' and 'I do not want to answer' options.⁴ Case scenario. "A 72-year-old
496 woman who was brought to the emergency department of your hospital by her daughter when she noticed the patient
497 was more confused than her baseline and was found to have a high fever and fast breathing. She had an auscultatory
498 finding compatible with pneumonia. It is decided that this patient will be admitted to your hospital." If you have an
499 authority to take a blood culture, would you take blood culture sample(s) in this case on admission?

500 *Table 3: Sample quotes derived from the systematic review of the global evidence and the TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and*
 501 *Vietnam*

TDF Domains	Themes	Systematic review for the global evidence	TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam
Knowledge	Awareness of guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “13.3% of all participants indicated not to know the guidelines (for BC ordering and sampling) in detail.” (Statistic data, Raupach-Rosin, H. 2017 [barrier])¹³ 	
	BC-related knowledge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “We identified important deficiencies in BC-related knowledge among all specialties and at all levels of training (including medical students), apart from infectious diseases physicians.” (Author interpreted summary, Parada, JP. 2005 [barrier])³⁰ 	
	Training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Seventy-seven percent of hospitals provided training on proper collection of BCs, mainly using 1-on-1 education sessions (60%).” (Statistic data, Garcia RA, 2017 [enabler])³¹ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “I have not learnt about the local recommendation for BC sampling in my university hospital.” (TDF-IND [barrier]).
Goal	Priority of BC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “BC diagnostics is not treated with priority.” (author interpreted summary, Raupach-Rosin, H. 2017 [barrier])¹³ • “74% provide and 26% did not provide information regarding the order of draw for BCs when orders for multiple tests on a patient are indicated.” (summary statistic, Garcia RA, 2017 [enabler and barrier])³¹ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “If other urgent examinations are to be required, BC could be delayed.” (TDF-VN [barrier]).
Intention	Intention to follow guidelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Half of the participants (50.6%) stated to follow existing guidelines in at least 75% of the cases” and “Low intrinsic motivation for taking BC” (Summary statistic and author interpreted summary, respectively, Raupach-Rosin, H. 2017 [enabler and barrier])¹³ • “General reluctance to draw large volumes of blood (for BC)” (Author interpreted summary, Dailey, PJ. 2019 [barrier])³³ 	
Social professional role and identity	Level of doctors who can order or initiate an order for BC		“Medical students can order BC; however, medical students must have a signature of a supervising medical doctor together all the time.” (TDF-TH [enabler])
	Perception about their role	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Lack of perceived responsibility” reported as a barrier from final-year medical students, but not from medical 	

TDF Domains	Themes	Systematic review for the global evidence	TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam
	to order or initiate an order for BC.	doctors (Author interpreted summary, Raupach-Rosin, H. 2017 [barrier]) ¹³	
	Perception about their role to draw blood for BC		
Social influence	Norms of BC sampling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “High routine in BC sampling” and “Lack of routine (in BC sampling)” were identified as enablers and barriers for BC sampling. (Author interpreted summary, Raupach-Rosin, H. 2017 [enabler and barrier])¹³ 	
	Influences from healthcare workers, patients and family of patients		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “The patient's families often have a strong influence on patients. They often decide not to provide consent to BC.” (TDF-IND [barrier]) “Negative influence in the order of BC is cost. Supervisor or the executives (of the hospitals) gave an order to control the cost.” (TDF-TH [barrier]) “The patient's relatives are not satisfied with the cost of (BC) testing.” (TDF-VN [barrier]). “Because people do not understand, when ordering BC, they often complain.” (TDF-VN [barrier]) “Some patients think that physicians and other healthcare workers only perform BC examinations for money.” (TDF-IND [barrier]). “Sometimes, when the blood puncture fails on the first try, patients and their families refuse to collect more blood samples.” (TDF-IND [barrier]).
Environmental context and resources	Perceived cost-effectiveness of BC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “37% of participants in the Germany and US stated that cost of BC (against the BC performance) was acceptable.” (statistical data, She, RC. 2015 [enabler])¹² 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “BC is still not cost-effective for my hospital” (TDF-IND [barrier]).
	Availability of microbiology laboratories, transport modalities, resources and consumables	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Laboratories are not located in hospitals.” and “limited human and resources.” (Author interpreted summary, WHO CAESAR 2018 [barrier])⁸ “Lack of transport modalities” (Participant quote, Raupach-Rosin, H. 2017 [barrier])¹³ “25% of participants stated “BC bottles not readily available” as a reason not always requesting for BC 	

TDF Domains	Themes	Systematic review for the global evidence	TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam
	Out-of-pocket	<p>(Statistical data, Ojide, CK. 2013 [barrier])¹⁴</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “25% of participants stated “cost consideration for the patients” as a reason not always requesting for BC.” (Statistical data, Ojide, CK. 2013 [barrier])¹⁴ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “BC is essential, but it costs a lot (Indonesia Rp 750.000,00 [about 52US\$]), and many patients could not afford it.” (TDF-IND [barrier]) • “Patients usually refuse BC due to the cost.” (TDF-IND [barrier])
Behavioural regulation	Regulations on cost reimbursement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Cost pressure is a major challenge in Italy, where 41% of ICUs and 54% of LABs agree upon this limitation ” (Statistical data, Schmitz, RP, 2013 [barrier])³² • “The use of microbiological diagnostics is subject to financial and logistical constraints outside the control of a surveillance system. For example, few BC may be taken in routine clinical care if bacteriological sampling is not reimbursed through health insurance.” (Author interpreted summary, WHO CAESAR 2018 [enabler])⁸ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “National insurance coverage and hospital regulation could inhibit BC examination.” (TDF-IND [barrier]) • “The insurance often disapproves of BC examination. It is only approved when patients are admitted to the ICU or HCU [High Care Unit].” (TDF-IND [barrier]) • “It is affected by the insurance. Healthcare and Social Security Agency in Indonesia only covers septic patients around two million rupiahs/patient [about 138 US\$], it is not sufficient to cover the resources required, including BC examinations.” (TDF-IND [barrier]). • “Some hospitals allow only three laboratory tests; therefore, (doctors) must select laboratory tests for patients.” (TDF-TH [barrier]) • “When the final diagnosis does not match, (the cost of BC) will not be paid by Health Insurance.” (TDF-VN [barrier]) • “Medical professionals often object to BC due to tiredness [disheartened feeling] and the consequence of reduced reimbursement.” (TDF-VN [barrier])
	Procedures to support or regulate doctors to order BC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Our interventions (including identification using daily review of inpatient orders, mitigation using reminder to providers who did not have a BC ordered for eligible patients, and implementing electronical medical record system that can assist physicians in obtaining BC) can increase the percentage of children admitted with community-acquired pneumonia who have a BC performed from 53% to 100% in 6 months." (Author interpreted summary, Murtagh KE 2015 [enabler])⁴¹ • “Half the hospitals had defined clinical indications for initial BCs orders, with two-thirds having no policy for follow-up BCs.” (Statistical data, Garcia, RA. 2017 [enabler and barrier])³¹ • “6% (of infection specialists) declared not having access to 	

TDF Domains	Themes	Systematic review for the global evidence	TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam
		guidelines in their hospital.” (Statistical data, Diallo, K. [barrier]) ⁴⁶	
Reinforcement	Consequences that discourage BC sampling		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Warnings are given due to the costly examination, especially for patients insured with the Healthcare and Social Security Agency.” (TDF-IND [barrier]) • “Sometimes, the cost of BC cannot be reimbursed, and the doctor has to pay.” (TDF-VN [barrier]) • “Occasionally, the insurance assessment agency often asks questions, requires explanations and can make it difficult to limit the order of BC for patients.” (TDF-VN [barrier])
	Consequences that encourage BC sampling		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “The consequences are usually minimal. The hospital prioritizes the clinical improvement and satisfaction of the patients and their families instead of conducting according to the guidelines or minimizing antibiotic resistance.” (TDF-IND [barrier]) • “If the patient dies without BC testing, it will be questioned in the death case report.” (TDF-IND [enabler]) • “If (we) do not follow the recommendation for (BC) diagnostic tests, there will be a verbal reprimand in order to make sure that the care is up to the standard.” (TDF-TH [enabler]) • “There are no incentives, rewards or penalties.” (TDF-VN [lack of enabler]) • “The case of septic shock without a BC will be reprimanded.” (TDF-VN [enabler])
Belief about consequences	Perception that BC is helpful	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “The ability of BC to rule in or rule out infection was very/extremely acceptable in 67% and 36% (of practitioners), respectively” (Statistical data, She, RC. 2017 [enabler])¹² 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Immediate use of BC and prior to giving antibiotics (is helpful because it) can inform whether a patient has bacteraemia or not, what organism is the cause, and which antibiotic would be appropriate.” (TDF-TH [enabler])
	Perception that BC is unnecessary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “‘Delay in getting results’, ‘results often not convincing’, ‘results often negative’, ‘always growing Staphylococcus’, ‘don’t isolate anaerobes’, ‘results often not agreeing with clinical signs’ were reasons given for not always requesting for BC when required or for thinking that BC results are not satisfactory. (Statistical data, Ojide, CK. 2013 [barrier])¹⁴ • “‘Many false negatives’, ‘many false positives due to inappropriate taking of blood samples’, ‘many false 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “(BC is unnecessary because) BC often requires a long time to generate the results. Hence, the patient's condition has improved with empirical antibiotics when BC results are generated.” (TDF-IND [barrier]) • “(BC is unnecessary because) laboratory often causes contamination, making the result irrelevant to clinical signs.” (TDF-TH [barrier]) • “(BC is unnecessary because) most patients have self-medication with antibiotics at home, so BC often yields

TDF Domains	Themes	Systematic review for the global evidence	TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam
		positives due to delayed transport to the laboratory', 'low reaction of clinicians' are regarded as challenges for BC testing" (Statistical data, Schmitz, RP, 2013 [barrier]) ³²	undesirable results." (TDF-VN [barrier]) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “(BC is unnecessary because) time to return results is slow and most of them do not find pathogenic bacteria.” (TDF-VN [barrier]) “BCs are not useful when the focal point of the infection is clear and the patient responds well to treatment.” (TDF-VN [barrier])
Memory, attention and decision processes	Patients who are already on antibiotics or have anemia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “66.7% of participants agreed that if patient is already on antibiotics, they still request BC if indicated, while 26.4% disagree and 6.9% unsure.” (Statistical data, Ojide, CK. 2013 [barrier])¹⁴ 	
	Clinical presentations for deciding to order BC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Reasons for BC sampling included fever (81.2%), chills (45.6%), clinical suspicion of infection (41.4%), increase in temperature (26.8%), procalcitonin increase (23.2%), CRP increase (21.3%), leukocytosis (17.0%), neutropenia (10.6%), hypothermia (7.8%), neurological symptoms (5.0%), infection focus known (4.7%) and left shift in blood count (1.3%).” (Statistical data, Raupach-Rosin, H. 2017 [barrier])¹³ 	
Optimism	Confidence that BC will be appropriately sampled and processed in the laboratory		
Skill	Skill in drawing blood for BC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Only 31.7% reported to wait always for 60 s after skin disinfection as suggested by German guidelines.” and “Sterile performance is difficult.” (Statistical data and author interpreted summary, Raupach-Rosin, H. 2017 [barrier])¹³ “47% of participants had been previously trained in proper BC sampling technique and 53% had not.” (Statistical data, Chew KS 2013 [enabler and barrier])¹⁵ “77% of hospitals provided training on proper collection of BCs, mainly using 1-on-1 education sessions (60%).” (Statistical data, Garcia RA 2017 [enabler and barrier])³¹ 	
Beliefs about capabilities	Belief in their own capability		

TDF Domains	Themes	Systematic review for the global evidence	TDF survey in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam
	to draw blood		
	Belief in capability of those who are tasked to draw blood		
Emotion	Fear or anxiety of healthcare providers		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “In some patients with blood-borne infectious diseases, doctors are afraid to draw blood.” (TDF-VN [barrier]) • “Nurses are afraid to draw a lot of blood.” (TDF-VN [barrier])
	Fear or anxiety of patients or families of patients		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Patient and their families are afraid of contracting blood-transmitted diseases.” (TDF-INDs [barrier]) • “Patient are afraid to be drawn a lot of blood.” (TDF-VN [barrier]) • “Fear of pain. Fear of needle” (TDF-TH [barrier]) • “Anxiety, panic or uncooperative attitude.” (TDF-VN [barrier]) • “Patients are afraid that taking a lot of blood will cause anemia.” (TDF-VN [barrier])

502

503 TDF-IND= TDF survey: Indonesian respondent; TDF-TH= TDF survey: Thai respondent; TDF-VN= TDF survey: Vietnamese respondent.

Table 4. Suggested intervention types and policy options to improve BC sampling practice based on very important TDF domains in Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam

	COM-B components ¹				
	Psychological capability (TDF: Know, Beh Reg)	Reflective motivation (TDF: Goals, Int and Id)	Automatic motivation (TDF: Reinf)	Physical opportunity (TDF: Env)	Social opportunity (TDF: Soc)
Intervention types²					
Education	√	√			
Persuasion		√	√		
Incentivisation		√	√		
Coercion		√	√		
Training	√				
Restriction				√	√
Environmental restructuring			√	√	√
Modelling			√		
Enablement	√		√	√	√
Policy options²					
Communication/marketing		√	√		
Guidelines	√	√	√	√	√
Fiscal	√	√	√	√	√
Regulation	√	√	√	√	√
Legislation	√	√	√	√	√
Environmental/social planning	√		√	√	√
Service provision	√	√	√	√	√

¹ COM-B component stands for Capability (Physical capability or Psychological capability), Opportunity (Physical opportunity or Social opportunity), Motivation (Automatic motivation or Reflective motivation)–Behaviour, represents source of the behaviours and is the core of the Behaviour Change Wheel (BCW). TDF = Theory domain framework. Know = Knowledge, Beh Reg = Behavioural regulation, Goals = Goals, Int = Intentions, Id = Social/professional role and identity, Reinf = Reinforcement, Env = Environmental context and resources, Soc = Social influences. ² Suggested intervention types and policy options were identified using the links between TDF, the components of the COM-B and the BCW.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

515 *Figures*

516 *Figure 1: Flow diagram of the study selection process for the systematic review*

517

518 *Figure 2: Global map visualizing the number of studies included in the systematic review. Note.*

519 *the map shows the number of studies conducted in or included participants from each country.*

520

References

1. Evans L, Rhodes A, Alhazzani W, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021. *Intensive Care Med* 2021; **47**(11): 1181-247.
2. Schuts EC, Hulscher M, Mouton JW, et al. Current evidence on hospital antimicrobial stewardship objectives: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016; **16**(7): 847-56.
3. WHO. Diagnostic stewardship: a guide to implementation in antimicrobial resistance surveillance sites. 2016. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/251553> (accessed 1 October 2022).
4. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016; **315**(8): 801-10.
5. Warren BG, Yarrington ME, Polage CR, Anderson DJ, Moehring RW. Evaluation of hospital blood culture utilization rates to identify opportunities for diagnostic stewardship. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2022: 1-6.
6. Chen AI, Bilker WB, Hamilton KW, O'Donnell JA, Nachamkin I. Blood culture utilization at an academic hospital: Addressing a gap in benchmarking. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2018; **39**(11): 1353-9.
7. Cassini A, Hogberg LD, Plachouras D, et al. Attributable deaths and disability-adjusted life-years caused by infections with antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the EU and the European Economic Area in 2015: a population-level modelling analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019; **19**(1): 56-66.

- 543 8. WHO. Central Asian and Eastern European Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance.
544 Annual Report 2018. 2018.
545 [https://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/386161/52238-WHO-CAESAR-AR-](https://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/386161/52238-WHO-CAESAR-AR-2018_low_V11_web.pdf)
546 [2018_low_V11_web.pdf](https://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/386161/52238-WHO-CAESAR-AR-2018_low_V11_web.pdf) (accessed 1 October 2022).
- 547 9. Sinto R, Lie KC, Setiati S, et al. Blood culture utilization and epidemiology of
548 antimicrobial-resistant bloodstream infections before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in the
549 Indonesian national referral hospital. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2022; **11**(1): 73.
- 550 10. Teerawattanasook N, Tauran PM, Teparrukkul P, et al. Capacity and Utilization of Blood
551 Culture in Two Referral Hospitals in Indonesia and Thailand. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2017; **97**(4):
552 1257-61.
- 553 11. Takeshita N, Anh NQ, Phuong DM, et al. Assessment of Bacteremia in a Large Tertiary
554 Care Hospital in Northern Vietnam: a Single-Center Retrospective Surveillance Study. *Jpn J*
555 *Infect Dis* 2019; **72**(2): 118-20.
- 556 12. She RC, Alrabaa S, Lee SH, Norvell M, Wilson A, Petti CA. Survey of physicians'
557 perspectives and knowledge about diagnostic tests for bloodstream infections. *PLoS One* 2015;
558 **10**(3): e0121493.
- 559 13. Raupach-Rosin H, Duddeck A, Gehrlich M, et al. Deficits in knowledge, attitude, and
560 practice towards blood culture sampling: results of a nationwide mixed-methods study among
561 inpatient care physicians in Germany. *Infection* 2017; **45**(4): 433-41.
- 562 14. Ojide CK, Onwuezobe IA, Asuquo EE, Obiagwu CS. Knowledge, attitude and practice of
563 blood culture: A cross sectional study among medical doctors in a nigerian tertiary hospital.
564 *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology* 2013; **14**(3): 174-9.

- 565 15. Chew KS, Mohd Hashairi F, Jusoh AF, Aziz AA, Nik Hisamuddin NA, Siti Asma H.
566 Knowledge of good blood culture sampling practice among healthcare staffs in an emergency
567 department - Are we getting it right? *Medical Journal of Malaysia* 2013; **68**(4): 323-5.
- 568 16. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for
569 characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci* 2011; **6**: 42.
- 570 17. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use
571 in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci* 2012; **7**: 37.
- 572 18. Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, et al. A guide to using the Theoretical Domains Framework
573 of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems. *Implementation Science* 2017;
574 **12**(1): 77.
- 575 19. McDonagh LK, Saunders JM, Cassell J, et al. Application of the COM-B model to
576 barriers and facilitators to chlamydia testing in general practice for young people and primary
577 care practitioners: a systematic review. *Implement Sci* 2018; **13**(1): 130.
- 578 20. Sargent L, McCullough A, Del Mar C, Lowe J. Using theory to explore facilitators and
579 barriers to delayed prescribing in Australia: a qualitative study using the Theoretical Domains
580 Framework and the Behaviour Change Wheel. *BMC Fam Pract* 2017; **18**(1): 20.
- 581 21. Lohiniva AL, Heweidly I, Girgis S, et al. Developing a theory-based behavior change
582 intervention to improve the prescription of surgical prophylaxis. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2021.
- 583 22. Smith JD, Corace KM, MacDonald TK, et al. Application of the Theoretical Domains
584 Framework to identify factors that influence hand hygiene compliance in long-term care. *J Hosp*
585 *Infect* 2019; **101**(4): 393-8.
- 586 23. Hong QN, Pluye P, Farbegues S, et al. Mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT) version
587 2018 user guide. 2018.

- 588 http://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com/w/file/attach/127916259/MMAT_2018_crit
589 [eria-manual_2018-08-01_ENG.pdf](#) (accessed 1 October 2022).
- 590 24. Pluye P, Hong QN. Combining the Power of Stories and the Power of Numbers: Mixed
591 Methods Research and Mixed Studies Reviews. *Annual Review of Public Health* 2014; **35**(1):
592 29-45.
- 593 25. Mazza D, Chapman A, Michie S. Barriers to the implementation of preconception care
594 guidelines as perceived by general practitioners: a qualitative study. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2013;
595 **13**: 36.
- 596 26. Suntornsut P, Wongsuwan N, Malasit M, et al. Barriers and Recommended Interventions
597 to Prevent Melioidosis in Northeast Thailand: A Focus Group Study Using the Behaviour
598 Change Wheel. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2016; **10**(7): e0004823.
- 599 27. Wong E, Mavondo F, Horvat L, McKinlay L, Fisher J. Healthcare professionals'
600 perspective on delivering personalised and holistic care: using the Theoretical Domains
601 Framework. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2022; **22**(1): 281.
- 602 28. Huijg JM, Gebhardt WA, Crone MR, Dusseldorp E, Presseau J. Discriminant content
603 validity of a theoretical domains framework questionnaire for use in implementation research.
604 *Implementation Science* 2014; **9**(1): 11.
- 605 29. Patey AM, Islam R, Francis JJ, Bryson GL, Grimshaw JM, Canada PPT.
606 Anesthesiologists' and surgeons' perceptions about routine pre-operative testing in low-risk
607 patients: application of the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) to identify factors that
608 influence physicians' decisions to order pre-operative tests. *Implement Sci* 2012; **7**: 52.

- 609 30. Parada JP, Schwartz DN, Schiff GD, Weiss KB. Effects of type and level of training on
610 variation in physician knowledge in the use and acquisition of blood cultures: A cross sectional
611 survey. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 2005; **5**: 71
- 612 31. Garcia RA, Spitzer ED, Kranz B, Barnes S. A national survey of interventions and
613 practices in the prevention of blood culture contamination and associated adverse health care
614 events. *Am J Infect Control* 2018; **46**(5): 571-6.
- 615 32. Schmitz RP, Keller PM, Baier M, Hagel S, Pletz MW, Brunkhorst FM. Quality of blood
616 culture testing - a survey in intensive care units and microbiological laboratories across four
617 European countries. *Crit Care* 2013; **17**(5): R248.
- 618 33. Dailey PJ, Osborn J, Ashley EA, et al. Defining System Requirements for Simplified
619 Blood Culture to Enable Widespread Use in Resource-Limited Settings. *Diagnostics (Basel)*
620 2019; **9**(1).
- 621 34. The T, Curfman A, Burnham CD, Hayes E, Schnadower D, with the Pediatric Emergency
622 Medicine Collaborative Research C. Pediatric Anaerobic Blood Culture Practices in
623 Industrialized Countries. *J Appl Lab Med* 2019; **3**(4): 553-8.
- 624 35. Kerur B, Salvador A, Arbeter A, Schutzman DL. Neonatal blood cultures: survey of
625 neonatologists' practices. *World J Pediatr* 2012; **8**(3): 260-2.
- 626 36. Thé T, Curfman A, Burnham CD, Hayes E, Schnadower D. Pediatric Anaerobic Blood
627 Culture Practices in Industrialized Countries. *J Appl Lab Med* 2019; **3**(4): 553-8.
- 628 37. Casu S, Häske D. Severe sepsis and septic shock in pre-hospital emergency medicine:
629 survey results of medical directors of emergency medical services concerning antibiotics, blood
630 cultures and algorithms. *Internal and emergency medicine* 2016; **11**(4): 571-6.

38. Pavese P, Maillet M, Vitrat-Hincky V, et al. Evaluation of an intervention to improve blood culture practices: a cluster randomised trial. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* 2014; **33**(12): 2207-13.
39. Gross PA, Van Antwerpen CL, Hess WA, Reilly KA. Use and abuse of blood cultures: Program to limit use. *American Journal of Infection Control* 1988; **16**(3): 114-7.
40. Salluzzo R, Reilly K. The rational ordering of blood cultures in the emergency department. *Qual Assur Util Rev* 1991; **6**(1): 28-31.
41. Murtagh Kurowski E, Shah SS, Thomson J, et al. Improvement methodology increases guideline recommended blood cultures in children with pneumonia. *Pediatrics* 2015; **135**(4): e1052-9.
42. Sloane AJ, Pressel DM. Culture Pus, Not Blood: Decreasing Routine Laboratory Testing in Patients With Uncomplicated Skin and Soft Tissue Infections. *Hosp Pediatr* 2016; **6**(7): 394-8.
43. Tran P, Dowell E, Hamilton S, et al. Two blood cultures with age-appropriate volume enhance suspected sepsis decision-making. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* 2020; **7**(2).
44. Kerur B, Salvador A, Arbeter A, Schutzman DL. Neonatal blood cultures: Survey of neonatologists' practices. *World Journal of Pediatrics* 2012; **8**(3): 260-2.
45. Public Health England. Achieving behaviour change. A guide for local government and partners. 2019.
https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/875385/PHEBI_Achieving_Behaviour_Change_Local_Government.pdf (accessed 1 October 2022).

653 46. Diallo K, Thilly N, Luc A, et al. Management of bloodstream infections by infection
654 specialists: an international ESCMID cross-sectional survey. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2018;
655 **51**(5): 794-8.

656



