

Abstract

in sensitivity and specificity that varied by endemicity, with PCR and BBA performing best for

- 53 detecting any *P. falciparum* infection. Prevalence estimates varied widely depending on assay
- 54 type for parasite detection. Inherent differences in assay performance should be carefully
- 55 considered when using community survey and surveillance data to guide policy decisions.

Introduction

 Malaria programs rely upon various diagnostic assays to monitor malaria prevalence and to support control and elimination efforts. Though malaria rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) and microscopy historically account for nearly all point-of-care clinical *Plasmodium falciparum* diagnoses and surveillance methods in endemic regions, high-throughput polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays and bead-based immunoassays (BBA) are gaining traction in large surveys worldwide.

 Unique features of each assay and the targets they detect are known to influence their performance characteristics in different settings. For example, microscopy or PCR detection is largely limited to the period of active infection, whereas RDT or immunoassay detection of *P. falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2 (HRP2) can extend weeks after clearance of infection because antigen often lingers in the blood [2]. These differences can be beneficial or serve as limitations depending on the question at hand and the epidemiological setting. Prior comparisons of RDT, microscopy, and PCR suggest that local malaria transmission intensity is an important determinant of their diagnostic performance [1]. However, data comparing all four assays – RDT, microscopy, PCR, and BBA is limited, especially from high-burden countries. The World Health Organization recently called for renewed efforts to address malaria in high-burden countries. 70% of the world's malaria cases are concentrated in only 11 countries, [3] 10 of which are in sub-Saharan Africa. All of these countries reported increases in malaria cases in 2020, continuing a trend that started well before the COVID-19 pandemic [4]. Efforts to move away from "one size fits all" approaches and toward interventions tailored to the local epidemiology are required to achieve sustained progress against malaria [3]. This is especially true in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), where

approximately 12% of global malaria cases and 26 million annual infections occur across a

 diverse landscape of malaria transmission intensities [4]. Leveraging samples collected during the baseline survey of a longitudinal malaria transmission study in Kinshasa Province [5,6], we sought to compare malaria diagnostic performance for surveillance in sites with low, moderate, and high *P. falciparum* parasite prevalence and across all ages. We observed differences in performance by test type and modeled the impact of diagnostic assay choice on monitoring province-level prevalence estimates, emphasizing the importance of local context when choosing or interpreting results of malaria diagnostic assays.

Methods

Data source and study population

 We performed all four malaria diagnostic assays–RDT, microscopy, PCR, and BBA–on blood samples and data collected in March-June 2018 (rainy season) as part of a prospective, longitudinal study of malaria conducted in urban and rural communities in Kinshasa Province. The first phase of the cohort was conducted from 2015-2017 [5,6] followed by a second phase conducted from 2018-2022 (the Longitudinal Epidemiological and Entomological Study of Malaria and its Vectors in Kinshasa Province). This cross-sectional sub-study was conducted among samples from individuals during the second phase baseline household visit.

 Detailed methods regarding participant sampling and enrollment have been described previously [5,6]. Briefly, two health zones within Kinshasa province were selected based on historic malaria prevalence, ecological diversity to cover the urban/rural gradient, and accessibility year-round by the research team. Bu and Kimpoko health areas, nested within Maluku 1 health zone (rural), were selected to represent high and moderate malaria endemicity settings, respectively (**Fig 1**). The Voix du Peuple health area, within Lingwala health zone (urban), serves as a low malaria endemicity setting and is located within the Kinshasa

metropolitan region, the DRC's capital and one of the world's largest and fastest-growing cities

[7].

- **Fig 1. Location of study sites by health area**. Three rural villages in Bu health area, three peri-
- urban villages in Kimpoko health area, and one urban neighborhood in Voix du Peuple health
- area were included, all located within Kinshasa Province**.**

Household heads were asked questions on household characteristics to assess household wealth

(i.e., housing materials, asset ownership, electrification, and water source). In addition,

respondents were asked to provide individual demographic information, bednet ownership, age,

and usage, and basic health information related to malaria, such as having a prior malaria

diagnosis, medications received, and presence of a fever.

Rapid diagnostic test (RDT) and microscopy diagnosis

 Following completion of the questionnaire, a laboratory technician collected whole blood by finger- or heel-prick from household participants for RDT, slide preparation, and dried blood spot (DBS) collection. During the household visit, whole blood was applied to an SD Bioline Malaria Ag P.f./Pan-pLDH RDT (05FK60, Alere, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea), and results were interpreted on-site according to the manufacturer's protocol. This RDT detects *P. falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2 (HRP2) and pan-Plasmodium lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH) antigens. If positive by either RDT band, the participants were referred to their local health center and treated according to national guidelines. Next, a laboratory technician prepared a thick smear slide labeled with participant codes and the date and time of collection. In brief, a small drop of finger- or heel-prick blood was spread on glass slide and allowed to air dry and stained with 3% Giemsa (Merck, Rahway, NJ, USA; or VWR, Radnor, PA, USA) for 30 minutes. . Slides were transported to the study laboratory in Kinshasa, read once by expert microscopists, with review by a second microscopist any time the initial microscopist observed a form of parasite not clear or familiar to them. All slides were read until at least 200 white blood cells (WBCs) were counted. For slides with <100 asexual parasites observed after counting 200 WBCs, review continued until 500 total WBCs had counted. Microscopy parasite densities were estimated using the equation: (number of counted parasites x 8,000)/(number of counted WBCs).

Sample processing and P. falciparum polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

 Dried blood spot samples (DBS) were collected onto Whatman filter paper (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA), dried at ambient temperature, and stored with desiccant at -20 °C in Kinshasa until shipment to UNC for processing and PCR testing. DNA used for PCR was extracted from three 6mm punches per subject using Chelex 100 resin and Tween, as previously

 described [8]. The real-time PCR assay targets the P. *falciparum*-specific lactate dehydrogenase (*pfldh*) gene with a lower limit of detection of 5–10 parasites/μl [9,10]. The quantitative PCR assay was performed using reaction conditions, primers, and quality control measures for high- throughput PCR as previously described, with the exception that assays were performed in singleton and amplification by 40 cycles was considered positive [5]. Primer sequences and reaction conditions are provided in **S1 Table**.

-
- *Multiplex bead-based immunoassay*

 A single 6mm DBS punch was subjected to a multiplex BBA for detecting *Plasmodium* antigens at the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as described previously [17] and rehydrated in a buffer with PBS (pH 7.2), 0.3% Tween-20, 0.5% casein, 0.5% BSA, 0.5% polyvinyl alcohol, 0.8% polyvinlypyrrolidine, 0.02% sodium azide, and 3 μg/mL of *E. coli* lysate (to prevent nonspecific binding). Though only HRP2 antigen positivity is utilized for analysis here, the standard BBA multiplex panel was utilized for this sample set as described below. Magnetic microbeads (Luminex Corp., Austin, TX, USA) were conjugated to antigen capture antibodies by an antibody coupling kit (Luminex Corp.) according to manufacturer's 172 instructions. For one milliliter of microbeads $(12.5 \times 10^6$ beads) antibody coupling concentrations were: anti-pan-*Plasmodium* aldolase antibody (pAldolase, 12.5 μg, Abcam); anti- pan-*Plasmodium* lactate dehydrogenase antibody (pLDH, 12.5 μg of clone M1209063, Fitzgerald); anti-*P. vivax* LDH antibody (PvLDH, 12.5 μg of clone M1709Pv2); anti-*P. falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2 (HRP2, 20 μg, clone MPFG-55A, ICL Inc, Portland, OR, USA). Detection antibodies were also prepared in advance by biotinylating (EZ-link Micro Sulfo-NHS-Biotinylation Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to

 manufacturer's instructions. Final prepared dilution of detection antibodies was 1.0 mg/mL and for anti-malarial antigen specific antibodies as follows: pAldolase (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), pLDH and PvLDH (1:1 antibody mixture of clones M1709Pv1 and M86550, Fitzgerald Industries, Acton, MA, USA), HRP2 (1:1 antibody mixture of MPFG-55A and MPFM-55A, ICL 183 Inc). Upon conjugation or biotinylation, reagents were stored at 4° C until use in the

immunoassay.

 BBA reagents were diluted in buffer: containing 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.2, 0.05% Tween-20, 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 0.02% sodium azide. For all wash steps, assay plate was affixed to a handheld magnet (Luminex Corp), and gently tapped for 2 min to allow bead magnetization before evacuation of liquid and washing with 150 μL PBS, 0.05% Tween-20. The four bead regions were combined in dilution buffer (in a reagent trough) and pipetted onto a 96-well assay plate (BioPlex Pro, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at a quantity of approximately 800 beads/region. Plates were washed twice, and 50 μL of controls or samples pipetted into appropriate wells. Following 90-min gentle shaking at room temperature protected from light, plates were washed three times. A mixture of detection antibodies was prepared in dilution buffer (pAldolase at 1:2000, all others at 1:500), and 50 μL added to each well for a 45- min incubation. After three washes, 50 μL of streptavidin–phycoerythrin (at 1:200, Invitrogen) was added for a 30-min incubation. Plates washed three times, and 50 μL dilution buffer added to each well for 30-min incubation. Plates washed once and beads resuspended in 100 mL PBS. After brief shaking, plates were read on MAGPIX machine (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) with target of 50 beads per region. The median fluorescence intensity (MFI) value was generated for all beads collected for each region by assay well and subtracting the assay signal from wells with dilution buffer blank provides an MFI-background (MFI-bg) value used for

analyses. Positive and negative controls were included on each plate to ensure assay

performance.

Statistical analyses

 We estimated *P. falciparum* malaria prevalence and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) across health areas, age categories, sex, self-reported history of fever in the last seven days, and household membership size from RDT, microscopy, PCR, and BBA results based on predicted values using univariate Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) logistic regression. The GEE yields population-average estimates while accounting for the potential influence of sharing a household with a malaria-infected person on other members' infection status [12]. To model the correlation between household members, we assumed an exchangeable working correlation structure [13].

 We estimated sensitivity and specificity using the classical contingency table approach and latent class analysis (LCA) to evaluate the performance of the four diagnostic methods (i.e., RDT, microscopy, PCR, and BBA) to detect *P. falciparum* malaria infection. In the contingency table approach, we used PCR or BBA as the reference ("gold standard") because they are highly sensitive assays that detect different targets (nucleic acid and antigen) and outperform the traditional microscopy gold standard in most settings. Given that there is not a clear gold standard, we also used LCA to estimate sensitivity and specificity by combining results from the four diagnostic methods via a probabilistic model to define an internal reference standard, or 222 "alloyed gold standard" [14–17].

 To inform the potential impact of malaria diagnostic method choice on malaria control programs – for example, during decision-making about RDT or antimalarial medication

 procurement – we estimated the number of individuals with *P. falciparum* malaria infection in Kinshasa Province during the phase two baseline data collection period (March-June 2018) using each diagnostic method: RDT, microscopy, *pfldh* real-time PCR, and BBA. First, we stratifiedhealth areas in Kinshasa Province into three malaria prevalence categories: prevalence 229 of 15-24% (low), 25-34% (moderate), or \geq 35% (high) using PCR-based prevalence estimates from the 2013-2014 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) [18]. We modelled Kinshasa Province malaria prevalence by calculating the cluster-level malaria prevalence based on all samples for each DHS cluster. Then, we fit a thin plate spline model to the cluster-level prevalence values to spatially interpolate the malaria prevalence across Kinshasa province in areas without DHS clusters [19]. Finally, we used zonal statistics to estimate the mean malaria prevalence in each health area within Kinshasa province. While there are 416 health areas in Kinshasa Province, we could only estimate the mean malaria prevalence for 402 (96.6%) health areas, where health area population estimates from the Ministry of Health were able to be linked by name to corresponding health area boundaries from a publicly available geospatial dataset [20].

 Second, each health area in Kinshasa Province was matched with the study health area with the most similar *P. falciparum* prevalence. Third, we estimated age-specific malaria prevalence estimates by RDT, microscopy, PCR, and BBA from the study health areas. For this 243 analysis, we stratified age into two categories, \leq 5 and \geq 5 years old, using age data provided by the DRC Ministry of Health. Fourth, we generated age-specific weights by multiplying the study 245 age-specific prevalence estimates and age distribution (\leq years: 18.9%; \geq years: 81.1%) used by the DRC Ministry of Health. Fifth, we multiplied the age-specific weights by the age-stratified population estimates provided by the DRC Ministry of Health for 2018 and obtained

- from the DRC District Health Information System 2 (DHIS2) to calculate the age-specific
- number of individuals with malaria by diagnostic method for 402 health areas in Kinshasa
- province [21]. Finally, we summed the estimated number of cases by diagnostic method to obtain
- estimates for the entire province. See **S1 Appendix** for a detailed description of these methods.
- We conducted analyses in R Statistical Software (v4.1.2; [22]).

Results

- *Study participant description*
- Samples from 1,431 participants with available results for four malaria diagnostic assays
- RDT, microscopy, PCR, and BBA were included in our analysis. Participants were
- distributed across the study health areas as follows: 491 (34.3%) in Bu (three rural villages), 521
- (36.4%) in Kimpoko (three peri-urban villages), and 419 (29.3%) in Voix du Peuple (one urban
- neighborhood) (**Table 1**). The median age of subjects was 20.0 years old (interquartile range:
- 11.0, 39.5), most were female (55.3%), and they shared a household with 6-10 other study
- participants (59.0%).

263 **Table 1. Malaria prevalence by diagnostic method (RDT, microscopy, PCR, and BBA) for**

264 **the detection of** *Plasmodium falciparum* **(N=1,431).**

265

266 Abbreviations: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; BBA, bead-based immunoassay; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RDT, rapid diagnostic test. diagnostic test.

268 Used generalized estimating equation logistic regression to estimate prevalence and 95% CI

269
270 HRP2-band positive, ^b Any *Plasmodium* species visualized, ^c *P. falciparum* lactate dehydrogenase PCR-positive, ^d *P.*

falciparum **HRP2** antigen positive

271 Self-reported at enrollment.

P. falciparum infection prevalence

- *P. falciparum* parasite prevalence varied between diagnostic methods across all health
- areas, with the highest prevalence estimates observed in Bu (57.4-72.4% across assays), followed
- by Kimpoko (32.6-53.2%), and Voix du Peuple (3.1-8.4%), which we defined as "high,"
- "moderate," and "low" prevalence areas, respectively (**Table 1, Fig 2A**). *P.falciparum*
- prevalence was consistently lowest by RDT (HRP2 band) and highest by BBA (HRP2 detection)
- and PCR (*pfldh* amplification). Across age categories, malaria prevalence was highest among
- school-aged children (5-14 years) and young adults (15-24 years), participants who self-reported
- experiencing a fever in the last seven days, and those who lived in households with 1-5 members
- enrolled.

Fig 2. *P. falciparum* **prevalence and diagnostic assay performance.**

- (A) *P. falciparum* prevalence and 95% confidence intervals across diagnostic assays by health
- area. (B) Diagnostic assay performance compared to an alloyed gold standard by health area. A
- diagnostic assay with perfect sensitivity and specificity would fall in the top left corner of panel
- B.

- *Abbreviations*: RDT, rapid diagnostic test HRP2-band; Microscopy, thick-smear light microscopy; PCR, real-time polymerase
- chain reaction detecting the *pfldh* gene; BBA, bead-based immunoassay detecting HRP2 protein.
- 290 ^aError bars are 95% confidence intervals.

Diagnostic performance for the detection of P. falciparum

- Diagnostic assay performance for the detection of *P. falciparum* varied by endemicity
- and gold standard choice (**Table 2**). In general, assay sensitivity decreased, and specificity
- increased for the detection of *P. falciparum* when moving from higher to lower prevalence health
- areas. Among the three diagnostic methods compared to PCR, BBA (sensitivity 79.5% [95% CI:
- 76.1-82.6]; specificity 80.2% [95% CI: 77.3-82.9]) had the highest sensitivity but lower
- specificity than RDT and microscopy across all health areas with the exception of Voix du
- 299 Peuple (low prevalence). Compared to the BBA, PCR (sensitivity 75.8% [95% CI: 73.2-79.6];
- specificity 83.0% [95% CI: 80.2-85.5]) had the highest sensitivity but a lower specificity than

RDT.

303 **Table 2 Sensitivity and specificity of malaria diagnostic methods versus PCR, BBA, and LCA for the detection of** *Plasmodium*

304 *falciparum***, stratified by health area and malaria prevalence.**

305

306 *Abbreviations*: 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; BBA, bead-based immunoassay; LCA, latent class analysis; Micro, microscopy; N/A, not applicable; PCR, polymerase chain

reaction; RDT, rapid diagnostic test.

308

328 *Abbreviations*: BBA, bead-based immunoassay; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RDT, rapid diagnostic test.

329 **Fig 3. Distribution of health area malaria prevalence by PCR from the 2013-2014**

330 **Demographic Health Survey across health zones in Kinshasa Province.** Representative

- 331 health areas included in the Kinshasa Province study of four diagnostic assays are indicated by
- 332 text. The 25% and 35% prevalence thresholds utilized in this study to designate low, moderate,
- 333 or high prevalence are indicated by vertical hashed lines.

Discussion

 The performance of commonly used malaria diagnostic assays varied based on the epidemiological context in this cross-sectional household study comprising rural, peri-urban, and urban sites with a range of parasite prevalence in a high-burden setting. Compared to an alloyed gold standard, PCR and BBA had higher sensitivity for *P. falciparum* than RDT and microscopy. However, neither assay outperformed the other in all contexts. BBA had the best sensitivity low- and high-prevalence sites, while PCR had the best sensitivity in moderate-prevalence sites. Across all prevalence strata, RDT had the highest specificity but lowest sensitivity of all four assays.

 Differences in prevalence estimates were evident across study sites and age strata. Among children younger than 15 years old in Bu health area's high prevalence sites, prevalence estimates were notably higher by BBA than by PCR. People living in these villages had more frequent infections than in other health areas and, as a result, were expected to be more likely to have lingering HRP2 antigenemia outside the window of acute infection. The highest proportion of recently cleared *P. falciparum* infections (assessed by BBA-positive, *pfldh* PCR-negative) was found in the high-prevalence villages of Bu health area. We also observed a high prevalence of *P. falciparum* infection in 5- to 14-year-olds, consistent with past findings from the DRC [23]. These findings support the argument that older children and adolescents should be routinely included in malaria surveillance activities.

 National malaria control programs and their partners rely upon routine data, as well as community-based and health facility surveys, to guide purchasing and forecasting decisions. Parasite prevalence and malaria incidence are usually estimated using the results of RDTs, the primary malaria diagnostic method used for case management in the DRC and across sub-

 Saharan Africa. However, high-throughput molecular and serological assays are becoming more readily available throughout the region for surveillance [24]. Malaria programs will increasingly have access to results from advanced laboratory approaches and need empirical data to guide

their decision-making.

 To explore how diagnostic assay choice might impact real programmatic decisions, we modeled health area *P. falciparum* PCR prevalence using results from the national 2013-14 Demographic and Health Survey and extrapolated results from our study sites to estimate prevalence across all of Kinshasa Province. Kinshasa Province is the DRC's most populous administrative region and includes rural, peri-urban, and urban zones, including the capital city Kinshasa. Its diverse health zones thus provide an opportunity to examine how differences in diagnostic assay performance across a variety of epidemiological contexts might impact malaria programs. Among nearly 9.0 million children and adults living across 402 health areas in Kinshasa Province in 2018, based on DHIS2 data, the parasite prevalence estimates ranged from 672,431 (7.5%) to 1,169,745 (13.0%), depending on the diagnostic method.

 In practical terms, the numbers used to guide RDT or artemisinin-combination therapy procurement choices could vary by nearly two-fold depending on whether they were derived from RDT or BBA results. While these differences may be self-evident to laboratory experts accustomed to interpreting differences between these assays, most programmatic staff are unaccustomed to interpreting these results. Our prevalence estimates are imprecise, but their wide range demonstrates the importance of considering the assay used and its performance characteristics when interpreting malaria survey results across different endemicities.

 Our study has several limitations. First, our seven study sites provide valuable insights into malaria epidemiology across different transmission intensities and geographical contexts, but they are not representative of the whole country. The DRC is Africa's second largest country by land mass and the fourth largest by population; it is bordered by nine other countries. For this reason, we restricted our modeling efforts to Kinshasa Province and did not attempt to generalize these findings to the entire country. Second, RDTs were developed for clinical diagnosis at the point-of-care, and their use for surveillance activities is off-label. This study's household survey design did not allow us to evaluate assay performance during acute malaria infection. People sick with malaria tend to have higher parasite densities that are readily detected by all four assays evaluated. Nonetheless, individual-level data comparing RDT, microscopy, real-time PCR, and BBA results shed light on their relative strengths and weaknesses and can serve as useful data for future modeling efforts. Third, our latent class analysis approach to generate an "alloyed gold standard" assumes conditional independence, but both the RDTs and BBA detect HRP2. This assumption could bias LCA results in favor of both methods [14]. Fourth, survey data utilizing advanced laboratory methodologies may become less important as the DRC National Malaria Control Program, like other malaria programs in Africa, makes increasing use of routine data available through the DHIS2. However, rapid expansion of molecular and serological laboratory capacity across Africa will almost certainly translate to increased use of PCR and BBAs for malaria surveillance during large-scale surveys in the future.

 Comparison of RDT, microscopy, real-time PCR, and BBA results confirmed differences in sensitivity and specificity that varied by study site, with PCR and BBA performing best for detecting *P. falciparum* infection. Using a model of the *P. falciparum* prevalence across all of Kinshasa Province and routine data, we found that the number of infections varied nearly two-

- 402 fold depending on which assay was used for parasite detection. Malaria control programs should
- 403 carefully consider inherent differences in assay performance when using community survey and
- 404 surveillance data to guide planning and implementation strategies.

- from the American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene/Burroughs Wellcome Fund to
- JBP.
- **Competing interests:** JBP reports financial support from Gilead Sciences and non-financial
- support from Abbott Diagnostics, both outside the scope of this work.

References

- 1. Wu L, van den Hoogen LL, Slater H, Walker PGT, Ghani AC, Drakeley CJ, et al. Comparison of diagnostics for the detection of asymptomatic Plasmodium falciparum infections to inform control and elimination strategies. Nature. 2015;528: S86–93. doi:10.1038/nature16039
- 2. Iqbal J, Siddique A, Jameel M, Hira PR. Persistent histidine-rich protein 2, parasite lactate dehydrogenase, and panmalarial antigen reactivity after clearance of Plasmodium falciparum monoinfection. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42: 4237–4241. doi:10.1128/JCM.42.9.4237-4241.2004
- 3. WHO Team: Global Malaria Programme. High burden to high impact: a targeted malaria response. World Health Organization; 2018.
- 4. WHO Team: Global Malaria Programme. World Malaria Report 2021. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
- 5. Mwandagalirwa MK, Levitz L, Thwai KL, Parr JB, Goel V, Janko M, et al. Individual and household characteristics of persons with Plasmodium falciparum malaria in sites with varying endemicities in Kinshasa Province, Democratic Republic of the Congo. Malar J. 2017;16: 456. doi:10.1186/s12936-017- 2110-7
- 6. Carrel M, Kim S, Mwandagalirwa MK, Mvuama N, Bala JA, Nkalani M, et al. Individual, household and neighborhood risk factors for malaria in the Democratic Republic of the Congo support new approaches to programmatic intervention. Health Place. 2021;70: 102581. doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2021.102581
- 7. World City Populations 2021 [Internet]. [cited 2 Nov 2021]. Available: https://worldpopulationreview.com/world-cities
- 8. Teyssier NB, Chen A, Duarte EM, Sit R, Greenhouse B, Tessema SK. Optimization of whole-genome sequencing of Plasmodium falciparum from low-density dried blood spot samples. Malar J. 2021;20: 116. doi:10.1186/s12936-021-03630-4
- 9. Beshir KB, Hallett RL, Eziefula AC, Bailey R, Watson J, Wright SG, et al. Measuring the efficacy of antimalarial drugs in vivo: quantitative PCR measurement of parasite clearance. Malar J. 2010;9: 312. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-9-312
- 10. Tangpukdee N, Duangdee C, Wilairatana P, Krudsood S. Malaria diagnosis: a brief review. Korean J Parasitol. 2009;47: 93–102. doi:10.3347/kjp.2009.47.2.93
- 11. Deutsch-Feldman M, Brazeau NF, Parr JB, Thwai KL, Muwonga J, Kashamuka M, et al. Spatial and epidemiological drivers of Plasmodium falciparum malaria among adults in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. BMJ Glob Health. 2020;5. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002316
- 12. Hubbard AE, Ahern J, Fleischer NL, Van der Laan M, Lippman SA, Jewell N, et al. To GEE or not to GEE: comparing population average and mixed models for estimating the associations between neighborhood risk factors and health. Epidemiology. 2010;21: 467–474. doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181caeb90
- 13. Wilson JR, Lorenz KA. Generalized estimating equations logistic regression. Modeling Binary Correlated Responses using SAS, SPSS and R. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2015. pp. 103–130. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-23805-0_6

- 14. Turechek WW, Webster CG, Duan J, Roberts PD, Kousik CS, Adkins S. The use of latent class analysis to estimate the sensitivities and specificities of diagnostic tests for Squash vein yellowing virus in cucurbit species when there is no gold standard. Phytopathology. 2013;103: 1243–1251. doi:10.1094/PHYTO-03- 13-0071-R
- 15. Baughman AL, Bisgard KM, Cortese MM, Thompson WW, Sanden GN, Strebel PM. Utility of composite reference standards and latent class analysis in evaluating the clinical accuracy of diagnostic tests for pertussis. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2008;15: 106–114. doi:10.1128/CVI.00223-07
- 16. van Smeden M, Naaktgeboren CA, Reitsma JB, Moons KGM, de Groot JAH. Latent class models in diagnostic studies when there is no reference standard--a systematic review. Am J Epidemiol. 2014;179: 423–431. doi:10.1093/aje/kwt286
- 17. Rogier E, Plucinski M, Lucchi N, Mace K, Chang M, Lemoine JF, et al. Bead-based immunoassay allows sub-picogram detection of histidine-rich protein 2 from Plasmodium falciparum and estimates reliability of malaria rapid diagnostic tests. PLoS One. 2017;12: e0172139. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172139
- 18. Deutsch-Feldman M, Aydemir O, Carrel M, Brazeau NF, Bhatt S, Bailey JA, et al. The changing landscape of Plasmodium falciparum drug resistance in the Democratic Republic of Congo. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19: 872. doi:10.1186/s12879-019-4523-0
- 19. Nychka D, Furrer R, Paige J, Sain S. fields: Tools for spatial data. N/A; 2021.
- 20. Geo-Referenced Infrastructure and Demographic Data for Development 3 (GRID3). GRID3 DRC Health Area Boundaries - Haut-Katanga, Kasaï, Kasaï-Oriental, Kinshasa, and Lomami Provinces, Version 01. In: GRID3 DATA HUB [Internet]. [cited 22 Oct 2022]. Available: https://data.grid3.org/datasets/GRID3::grid3-drc-health-area-boundaries-haut-katanga-kasa%C3%AFkasa%C3%AF-oriental-kinshasa-and-lomami-provinces-version-01/about
- 21. MEASURE Evaluation. Mapping the Stages of MEASURE Evaluation's Data Use Continuum to DHIS 2: An Example from the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Chapel Hill, NC, USA: MEASURE Evaluation; 2019.
- 22. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical' ' computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021.
- 23. Deutsch-Feldman M, Parr JB, Keeler C, Brazeau NF, Goel V, Emch M, et al. The burden of malaria in the democratic republic of the congo. J Infect Dis. 2021;223: 1948–1952. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa650
- 24. Beshir KB, Parr JB, Cunningham J, Cheng Q, Rogier E. Screening strategies and laboratory assays to support Plasmodium falciparum histidine-rich protein deletion surveillance: where we are and what is needed. Malar J. 2022;21: 201. doi:10.1186/s12936-022-04226-2

Fig-3_DHS-Prevalence

Fig-1_Study-sites-map

Micro PCR $\overline{}$ BBA RDT

A)

Fig-2_study-prev_diganostic-performance