

1 **Title:** Long-term Association between NO₂ and Human Mobility: A Two-year
2 Spatiotemporal Study during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Southeast Asia

3 **Authors:** Zhaoyin Liu^{1,2}, Yangyang Li², Andrea Law², Jia Yu Karen Tan², Wee Han
4 Chua², Yihan Zhu³, Chen-Chieh Feng², Wei Luo^{2,4} *

5 ¹ School of Public Health, Nanjing Medical University, China, CN

6 ² Department of Geography, National University of Singapore, Singapore, SG

7 ³ Department of Architecture, National University of Singapore, Singapore, SG

8 ⁴ Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore,
9 Singapore, SG

10 *Corresponding author

11 **Keywords:** Spatio-Temporal Analysis, NO₂, Mobility, Southeast Asia, COVID-19

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Since the COVID-19 pandemic, governments have implemented lockdowns and
15 movement restrictions to contain the disease outbreak. Previous studies have reported
16 a significant positive correlation between NO₂ and mobility level during the lockdowns
17 in early 2020. Though NO₂ level and mobility exhibited similar spatial distribution, our
18 initial exploration indicated that the decreased mobility level did not always result in
19 concurrent decreasing NO₂ level during a two-year time period in Southeast Asia with
20 human movement data at a very high spatial resolution (i.e., Facebook
21 origin-destination data). It indicated that factors other than mobility level contributed to
22 NO₂ level decline. Our subsequent analysis used a trained Multi-Layer Perceptron
23 model to assess mobility and other contributing factors (e.g., travel modes,

24 temperature, wind speed) and predicted future NO₂ levels in Southeast Asia. The
25 model results suggest that, while as expected mobility has a strong impact on NO₂
26 level, a more accurate prediction requires considering different travel modes (i.e.,
27 driving and walking). Mobility shows two-sided impacts on NO₂ level: mobility above
28 the average level has a high impact on NO₂, whereas mobility at a relatively low level
29 shows negligible impact. The results also suggest that spatio-temporal heterogeneity
30 and temperature also have impacts on NO₂ and they should be incorporated to
31 facilitate a more comprehensive understanding of the association between NO₂ and
32 mobility in the future study.

33

34 **1 Introduction**

35 With over 300 million confirmed cases and 5 million deaths, COVID-19 has spread
36 all over the world since its inception (World Health Organization, 2022). To contain
37 the COVID-19 outbreak, countries had imposed stringent lockdowns and restriction
38 measures since 2020 (Lai *et al.*, 2020; R. Zhu *et al.*, 2022). Such public health control
39 measures have caused an unintended positive consequence in terms of air quality
40 improvement (Addas and Maghrabi, 2021; Faridi *et al.*, 2021).

41

42 Sharp declines in air pollution level have been observed during the lockdowns in early
43 2020 (Kanniah *et al.*, 2020; Kumar *et al.*, 2020; Tobías *et al.*, 2020; Zangari *et al.*,
44 2020; Addas and Maghrabi, 2021; Ghahremanloo *et al.*, 2021; Latif *et al.*, 2021;
45 Calafiore *et al.*, 2022). Faridi *et al.* (2021) reported that the extent of air pollutant
46 reduction may vary with the degree of lockdown measures. Wyche *et al.* (2021)
47 inferred that the NO₂ decline during the UK lockdown period was due to the 70%
48 vehicle traffic reduction. To investigate the correlation between the observed
49 concurrent declines in NO₂ and mobility trend, some studies took advantages of the

50 mobility trend datasets (e.g., released by Google and Apple) in interpreting mobility
51 level and demonstrated its significant positive correlation with NO₂ (Bao and Zhang,
52 2020; Li and Tartarini, 2020; Zhu *et al.*, 2020).

53

54 Although this large number of studies have observed NO₂ level decline and attributed
55 the phenomenon to the reduction in mobility level, those studies focus more on the
56 lockdowns in early 2020. Their investigations that were confined to a short time period
57 for a few months did not account for other neglected factors which could also
58 contribute to the observed pattern. Hence, the observed correlation between NO₂ and
59 mobility was only limited to this short period and may not persist over a longer time
60 period. Roberts-Semple *et al.*, (2021) reported that NO₂ exhibits seasonal
61 characteristics in northeastern New Jersey. Zangari *et al.* (2020) found that the NO₂
62 level in New York City decreased between January and May in each year since 2015,
63 with or without the lockdown in early 2020. In addition to temporal changes, mobility
64 and NO₂ levels vary across regions and countries. By adopting the entire 2020 data in
65 Singapore, Li *et al.* (2022) did confirm that it would not only overestimate the
66 correlations between NO₂ and mobility if only focusing on the lockdown time period,
67 but show significant spatial variations in the correlation between NO₂ and mobility as
68 well. Thus, there is a demanding need to understand the spatio-temporal heterogeneity
69 of NO₂ and mobility over a larger geographical area and a longer time period.

70

71 NO₂ is known to cause problems on environment and health (Tian *et al.*, 2019).
72 Despite various mitigation measures implemented, such air pollutant has been a
73 long-standing issue in Southeast Asia (SEA) (Kanniah *et al.*, 2020). During the
74 COVID-19 pandemic, countries in SEA had imposed stringent lockdowns in 2020
75 along with various restriction measures (e.g., remote working, curfew, restrictions on

76 social gathering and traveling) which limited human movement and activities to a great
77 extent (Luo *et al.*, 2022). These changes in human behaviours provided an
78 unprecedented opportunity to study how decrease in citizens' mobility affects air
79 pollution (Piccoli *et al.*, 2020). Thus, this study aims to perform spatio-temporal
80 analyses of NO₂ level and mobility in SEA from March 2020 to February 2022 and
81 develop a NO₂ prediction model to explore its response to key influencing factors.
82 Different from previous studies, we utilized high-resolution mobility and NO₂ data in
83 a two-year time period to investigate the long-term NO₂ response in SEA. The study
84 aims to 1) identify the temporal changes of NO₂ and mobility throughout the two
85 years of COVID-19 pandemic (March 2020 – February 2022); 2) evaluate the
86 correlations between NO₂ and mobility; and 3) assess the impact of different factors
87 on NO₂.


88

89 **2 Materials and methods**

90 *2.1 Study area*

91 Our study area consisted of 10 countries within SEA: Brunei, Cambodia, Indonesia,
92 Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Vietnam. We
93 extracted and analysed NO₂ level, mobility, and other factors including travel modes,
94 temperature, and haze from March 2020 to February 2022. This period was chosen
95 because of reduced mobility due to government-mandated COVID-19 measures that
96 occurred in different intensities and timescales across the countries, enabling direct
97 comparison of mobility impact on NO₂ levels. The whole study area of 770 km² was
98 square gridded to 0.25° for the follow-up analyses (Figure 1).

99



100 *Figure 1. Area of study with coloured grids ($0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$) representing the different*
101 *countries of interest within SEA.*

102 2.2 Data sources and pre-processing

103 2.2.1 NO_2

104 The level-3 product of daily NO_2 observations (Tropospheric NO_2 column density) of
105 TROPOMI/Sentinel-5 was obtained from the Google Earth Engine cloud-based
106 platform. The original data was resampled from the source resolution of 0.01° to 0.25°
107 through mean operator.

108 2.2.2 Mobility

109 This study adopted mobility data from Facebook Data for Good at Meta (Maas, 2019)
110 and Apple Mobility Trends Reports (Apple, 2020). The Facebook movement dataset
111 records user movements between origin and destination tiles aggregated using
112 Facebook users' locations every eight hours (8:00, 16:00, 00:00). As the resolution of

113 Facebook's data in different countries varied from level 11 to level 14 (approximately
114 0.09° to 0.17°) in Bing tile system (Schwartz, 2018). We aggregated the original
115 dataset to 0.25° grids by aggregating the mobility volumes within each grid (data
116 records with 0m distance were excluded). As the dataset only contains information of
117 the origin and destination rather than the actual movement route, it is difficult to
118 assign movement value to other grids apart from the origin and destination grids,
119 especially in long-distance travels. To minimize the inherent uncertainties in longer
120 distance movements, the subsequent analyses are limited to movements whose origin
121 and destination belong to the same or neighbouring grids (accounting for 93.33
122 percent of the total movement volume). The corresponding Facebook movement
123 values were assigned equally to both starting and ending grids. Apple moving trends
124 present the percentage changes of two travel modes, driving and walking over time.
125 Note that, Apple moving trends data is calculated by referring to the number of
126 searches carried out on its Maps application.

127 Meteorological conditions were reported to affect pollutant concentration (Pearce et
128 al., 2011; He et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). We sourced six meteorological
129 parameters that could potentially influence NO_2 level (Jiang et al., 2014; He et al.,
130 2017) from 1st March 2020 to 31st December 2021: 1) maximum hourly 2m surface
131 temperature, 2) dew point temperature, 3) mean hourly 10m u-component of wind, 4)
132 10m v-component of wind, 5) hourly precipitation, and 6) surface pressure. More
133 specifically, we extracted each of these daily parameters from the ERA5 hourly
134 reanalysis dataset from the Copernicus Climate Data Store (Copernicus, 2022) and
135 aggregated them into daily meteorological values respectively.

136 2.2.3 Haze

137 Google Trends, an open-source database, was used to retrieve the indexed popularity
138 of Google searches indicating the public's interest on that topic (Google, 2022). The
139 English word 'haze' was used to understand the severity of haze at country level. We

140 utilised “pytrends” (an Application Programming Interface for Google Trends) to
141 extract and scale datasets obtained for different countries, providing compatible
142 datasets in both space and time (General Mills, 2022). While the values are indexed,
143 which limits our ability to determine the actual number of searches, the set of varying
144 values remains a useful proxy for the severity of haze in that location. Other keywords
145 within and across the national languages were used in the search, but the results were
146 noisy and excluded. For instance, searches for ‘transboundary haze’ were only
147 detected in Singapore, Malaysia, and Indonesia, possibly denoting its prevalence only
148 in those countries, or an issue of lexicons instead. Hence, only the English word ‘haze’
149 was employed as an approximation to the presence and severity of haze.

150

151 *2.3 Data analyses*

152 To address our study objectives, we incorporated three synergistic analyses: (1) a
153 case study of Indonesia; (2) Space-Time Cube (STC) and Emerging Hotspot
154 Analysis (EHSA) in SEA; (3) a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) model to predict
155 NO₂ and assess the impact of different input factors on NO₂. Due to the constraint
156 of available data from Facebook movement dataset, we conducted the first two
157 analyses with limited settings (Table 1). For the first analysis, we conducted a case
158 study spanning a long time period to identify the temporal changes throughout the
159 COVID-19 pandemic. Nevertheless, the complete dataset of 2020 is available only
160 in Indonesia and Singapore. We chose to carry out our case study in Indonesia
161 considering that it has a much larger spatial area than Singapore. The analysis
162 expands to 10 countries in SEA from May 2021 because they are available for the
163 whole of SEA. For the third analysis, our study covers a period between 1st April
164 2020 and 31st December 2021 because the meteorological data of 2022 was
165 unavailable at the time this study was conducted.

166 *Table 1. An overview of the corresponding spatial extent, temporal resolution and*
167 *time period for each analysis.*

No	Analysis	Spatial extent	Temporal resolution	Time period
1	Case study of Indonesia	Indonesia	Monthly	March 2020 to February 2022
2	STC and EHSA	SEA	Weekly	31st May 2021 to 26th February 2022
3	MLP model	SEA	Daily	1st April 2020 to 31st December 2021

168

169 *2.3.1 Indonesia case study*

170 As the most populous country in SEA, the case study of Indonesia was conducted to
171 provide an initial and exploratory understanding of the linkage of mobility and NO₂
172 variations between March 2020 and February 2022. The monthly NO₂ data and
173 Facebook movement data in a spatial resolution of 0.25° were studied across
174 Indonesia. Ordinary kriging (Wackernagel, 2003) was applied to fill in the grids
175 without data (Childs, 2004; Shad *et al.*, 2009). A series of continuous raster maps
176 were generated to facilitate the identification and subsequent interpretation of
177 potential patterns in Indonesia.

178

179 *2.3.2 Space-time hotspot analysis in SEA*

180 We used the EHSA tool in ArcGIS Pro 2.8 software (Esri, 2022) to detect
181 spatio-temporal trends in NO₂ and Facebook movement within their respective STC.
182 We conducted this analysis at a weekly interval between 31st May 2021 and 26th
183 February 2022 over the 10 countries in SEA. We then examined spatial clusters by

184 hot spot categories in greater detail through examining Pearson's correlation between
185 the log of NO₂ level and the log of Facebook movement values over the 39-week
186 period for each point in a spatial cluster. As the magnitude of the ranges of NO₂
187 (-5.10e-5 to 8.26e-4) and Facebook movement (2.50 to 8.28e+7) could potentially
188 skew the analysis outcome, we performed data cleaning and transformation as below.
189 Firstly, NO₂ data points with less than 1e-6 mol/m² and Facebook movement values
190 below 50 were excluded as they were observed as noise (Figure 2). Then we
191 conducted a logarithmic transformation of base 10 for both variables. Note that before
192 taken logarithm, the filtered NO₂ data were multiplied by 10e+7 to ensure that data
193 values remain positive. By removing data anomalies and reducing data skewness,
194 these transformations improve the accuracy of our analyses.



195

196 *Figure 2. Scatter plot between NO₂ and Facebook movement.*

197

198 2.3.3 NO₂ prediction in SEA using MLP model

199 In addition to the correlation between NO₂ and mobility, we evaluated the impacts of
200 different factors on NO₂ using an MLP model. MLP is the most popular feedforward
201 model with good performance in air pollution prediction and forecasting (Cabaneros
202 *et al.*, 2019; Shams *et al.*, 2021). It is an artificial neural network (ANN) that consists

203 of an input layer, an output layer, and multiple hidden layers in between. The number
 204 of nodes in the input layer should be the same as the number of input variables. Input
 205 variables included 15 parameters (Table 2) across five categories: location (i.e.,
 206 longitude and latitude), elapsed days (i.e., the number of days since the start of the
 207 study period), meteorological parameters (i.e., rainfall, wind speed, u-component of
 208 wind, v-component of wind, temperature, dew-point temperature, surface pressure),
 209 haze, and mobility (i.e., Facebook movement, the logarithm of Facebook movement,
 210 Apple driving and walking trends). To improve the model performance of MLP, we
 211 adopted the same method for data transformation as mentioned in section 2.3.2.

212

213 *Table 2. List of features in MLP model.*

Feature category	Feature meaning	Feature name
Location	Longitude	lon
	Latitude	lat
Elapsed days	The number of days since the start of study period	day
Meteorological parameters	rainfall	rainfall
	wind speed	wind speed
	u-component of wind	u-wind
	v-component of wind	v-wind
	temperature	2m-temp
	dew-point temperature	dew-pt
Haze	relative number of haze searches	haze
	Facebook movement	facebook_movement
Mobility	logarithm of Facebook movement	log_facebook_movement
	Apple driving trend	apple_driving

	Apple walking trend	apple_walking
--	---------------------	---------------

214

215 We used the rectified linear unit (ReLU) activation function in this MLP model.
216 ReLU outputs negative values to 0 and keeps other values the same as the inputs.
217 Therefore, we converted all the negative values to positive values using the
218 transformation method as mentioned in 3.1.2. to improve the model efficiency. By
219 training different MLP models, we could then determine the optimum model
220 architecture to be used in this study that resulted in a smaller error with little
221 additional computational effort. Of all the data records, 70% were used for training
222 and while the remainder were used for testing. Each input variable was normalised
223 before training the model. Root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute error
224 (MAE) and R^2 were used as the metrics for model evaluation.


225

226 We then used SHapley Additive exPlanation (SHAP) to explain the model in order to
227 quantify the impact of the different features on the model output (Lundberg and Lee,
228 2017; Li, 2022). Traditional sensitivity analyses usually evaluate the changes in the
229 output as a result of the change in only one input parameter. This may not be realistic as
230 the possible correlation between variables is not accounted for. For example, air
231 pressure and air temperature tend to increase or decrease together. SHAP values can
232 account for the possible interactions amongst the input features when evaluating the
233 impact of each feature on the output. However, it should be noted that the SHAP value
234 or impact is different from the correlation. A high correlation means that the two
235 variables tend to change together, while a higher SHAP value indicates a greater
236 impact of one variable on the other, i.e., a change in one variable results in a greater
237 magnitude of change in the other. The SHAP results can pinpoint the parameters that
238 have relatively higher impacts on the NO_2 level in SEA.

239 3 Results & Discussion


240 3.1 Spatio-temporal distribution of NO₂ and mobility level in Indonesia

241 To begin with, we compared the spatio-temporal distribution variations of NO₂ and
242 Facebook movement levels in Indonesia during March 2020 and February 2022.
243 Overall, the result showed a decreasing trend during the study period except in March
244 2021 (Figure 3). On the other hand, NO₂ showed a cyclical trend in 2020 and an
245 increasing trend in 2021 before reaching peak in October 2021.




246
247 *Figure 3. Comparison of Facebook movement and NO₂ in Indonesia.*

248 South and West Indonesia showed high NO₂ levels, especially in Jakarta and its
249 surrounding regions where the maximum mean NO₂ value reaches 1.01e-04 mol/m² in
250 April 2020 (Figure 4). In comparison, the NO₂ level is substantially lower in other
251 areas, especially in East Indonesia. Facebook movement presents a similar
252 distribution. The similarity in distributions of Facebook movement and NO₂ level
253 suggests a possible association between them.



254
255 *Figure 4. Spatial Distribution of Facebook movement and NO₂ level in April 2020.*


256 Figures 5 and 6 illustrate the temporal changes in Facebook movement and NO₂
257 distribution. Maps showing the temporal variations over the last two years are
258 presented in Appendix A. During the first wave of COVID-19, although Facebook
259 movement did not vary significantly, NO₂ exhibited an oscillating pattern. Similarly,
260 in 2021, the Facebook movement constantly decreased ever since March whereas the
261 NO₂ level did not present a decreasing trend. Moreover, we observed an increase in
262 NO₂ over the months in regions including Bali, Jawa Barat, Lampung and Kalimantan
263 Barat. Overall, the temporal variation of NO₂ and Facebook movement did not
264 present similarity. This result contradicts previous studies in which the reduction of
265 mobility had led to a decrease in NO₂ level (Sicard *et al.*, 2020; Faridi *et al.*, 2021).
266 Therefore, we hypothesise that human movement volume may not be the single
267 significant factor affecting air pollution. For instance, forest fires had occurred in
268 Indonesia causing air pollution and dryness which pre-matured the onset of haze in
269 early 2021 (Hicks, 2021).



270

271 *Figure 5. Comparison of Facebook movement and NO₂ level during the first wave*

272 *(April 2020 – December 2020) of COVID-19 in Indonesia.*



273


274 *Figure 6. Comparison of Facebook movement and NO₂ level during the second wave*

275 *of COVID-19 (April 2021 – December 2021) in Indonesia.*

276

277 3.2 Spatio-temporal evaluation of the association between NO_2 and Facebook
278 movement trends in SEA


279 Subsequently, we conducted analysis using EHSA at a finer temporal scale (weekly)
280 in all selected SEA countries. Due to insufficient Facebook movement data, the study
281 period for this analysis was limited to 31st May 2021 and 26th February 2022. Figure
282 7 shows the temporal changes in $\text{Log}(NO_2)$ and $\text{Log}(\text{Facebook movement})$ during the
283 study period. Similar to previous findings, there was a consistent pattern of a slight
284 decrease in Facebook movement throughout the 39-week study period with a sharp
285 decrease approximately at the 25th week in all eight SEA countries (Figure 7). On the
286 other hand, we found no consistent patterns in NO_2 levels over time. Nonetheless,
287 there was a slight increase in $\text{Log}(NO_2)$ approximately around the 20th week and the
288 25th week in Thailand and Myanmar respectively.



289
290 *Figure 7. Change in $\text{Log}(\text{facebook_movement})$ against $\text{Log}(NO_2)$ between June 2021*
291 *and February 2022. Each circle in the figure corresponds to a grid in the country.*
292 *The darker the circle, the lower the $\text{Log}(NO_2)$.*

293

294 From the complete EHSA result derived from the NO₂ level (Figure 8a), it is observed
295 that hot spots were detected mainly in the northwest of SEA. In comparison, central
296 and south of SEA, which were mainly covered by Malaysia and Indonesia, were
297 dominated by cold spots. Several hot spot patterns were identified, including new,
298 intensifying, persistent and diminishing hot spots (Figure 8b). In terms of the
299 spatial-temporal patterns of NO₂, the diminishing hot spots indicate decreasing
300 clustering intensity of the NO₂ space-time hotspots in a statistically significant trend
301 over time. Contrastingly, the intensifying hot spots showed a statistically significant
302 increase in clustering intensity over time.



303

304


305 *Figure 8. (a) Complete result of NO₂ EHSAs result and (b) result of NO₂ EHSAs with*

306 *only selected patterns of interest.*

307

308 The complete result of Facebook movement EHSA (Figure 9) is different from that of
309 NO₂ EHSA (Figure 8a). The Facebook movement EHSA result showed substantially
310 fewer observed patterns, where only diminishing, sporadic and historical hot spots but
311 no cold spots were detected. In the context of Facebook movement, diminishing hot
312 spots indicate that locations of statistically significant mobility hot spots exhibit
313 decreasing clustering pattern. On the other hand, the sporadic hot spots indicate
314 locations where statistically significant hot spots were only identified at some
315 inconsistent time steps. Lastly, historical hot spots indicate locations where the level
316 of mobility has been detected as statistically significant hot spots for the major time
317 steps in the past. These hot spots are observed mostly in the major SEA cities such as
318 Hanoi, Jakarta, Johor and Singapore, where lockdown restrictions would influence
319 mobility more due to higher population and more intense economic activities (Heroy
320 et al., 2021). This corroborates with the overall decrease in Facebook movement over
321 the entire study period (Figure 7).

322



323

324 *Figure 9. Complete EHSA result of Facebook movement.*


325

326 As this study seeks to examine the effect of mobility changes on NO₂, we conducted
327 correlation analyses on specific spatio-temporal patterns with reference to the EHSA
328 results of NO₂. While several types of NO₂ spatio-temporal hot spots were identified
329 using EHSA, the correlation between Log(NO₂) and Log(Facebook movement) varied
330 widely geographically from June 2021 to February 2022. Such variation was observed
331 within a country and even within a city.

332

333 In the case of the intensifying hot spots, while positive correlation between Log(NO₂)
334 and Log(Facebook movement) was observed in Chon Buri province, Thailand, a mix
335 of positive and negative correlations were observed in the Rayong and Chanthaburi
336 provinces, Thailand (Figure 10). This trend did not support the previous findings that
337 the degree of movement restriction correlates positively with NO₂ level. In fact, the
338 same spatial cluster of NO₂ intensifying hot spots in Chon Buri and Rayong were
339 identified as diminishing hot spots or no pattern detected in the Facebook movement
340 EHSA result (Figure 9). While NO₂ levels at these locations became stronger hot
341 spots over time, the corresponding mobility hot spots became less clustered. This
342 contrasting observation further confirms that there is lack of evidence supporting a
343 direct association between mobility and the resultant NO₂ levels.

344



345


346 *Figure 10. Correlation between Log(Facebook movement) and Log(NO₂) in*
347 *intensifying hot spots in Thailand.*

348

349 Variation in correlation was also observed among the persistent hot spots in the
350 provinces around Ha Noi in Vietnam (Figure 11), and the new hot spots were found
351 along the border between Thailand and Myanmar (Figure 12). While the persistent hot
352 spots indicated statistically significant higher NO₂ levels relative to their space-time
353 neighbours over most of the 39 weeks without discernible trends, the new hot spots
354 indicate a statistically significant space-time hotspot only in the last time step and not
355 in any of the previous ones. Yet, the weak positive correlation and moderate negative
356 correlations between Log(NO₂) and Log(Facebook movement) show insubstantial
357 evidence to attribute the changes in NO₂ levels to the changes in mobility. Likewise,
358 these locations were identified as the diminishing hot spots or absence of space-time
359 pattern in the Facebook movement EHSA result (Figure 9). This similarly suggests

360 that while mobility hot spot intensity decreased in these points, the NO₂ hot spot
361 intensity stayed relatively constant over time.

362




363

364 *Figure 11. Correlation between Log(Facebook movement) and Log(NO₂) in persistent*

365 *hot spots in Vietnam.*

366




367

368 *Figure 12. Correlation between log (Facebook movement) and log (NO₂) in new hot*
369 *spots in Thailand and Myanmar.*

370 In the case of the diminishing hot spots, we detected two clusters of points in
371 Indonesia (Figure 13) and Malaysia (Figure 14), which exhibit positive correlations
372 between Log(NO₂) and Log(Facebook movement). In addition, the corresponding
373 points were also identified as the diminishing hot spots in the EHSA results of the
374 Facebook movement. This suggests that both NO₂ levels and Facebook movement
375 decrease over time, validating the positive correlation between these two variables.

376




377

378 *Figure 13. Correlation between Log(Facebook movement) and Log(NO₂) in*

379 *diminishing hot spots Indonesia.*

380



381


382 *Figure 14. Correlation between Log(Facebook movement) and Log(NO₂) in*
383 *diminishing hot spots in Malaysia.*

384 Overall, these spatially explicit exploration of the correlation between NO₂ levels and
385 mobility spatio-temporal trends revealed inconsistent patterns between June 2021 and
386 February 2022, which approximates the period of the COVID-19 Delta and emerging
387 Omicron variants. Further inspection of the correlation coefficients revealed that the
388 observed correlations were only statistically significant for NO₂ diminishing hot spots
389 and the negatively correlated NO₂ persistent and new hot spots. Therefore, we
390 postulate that our SEA-wide EHSA results reinforce the findings from Li *et al.* (2022)
391 where a national-scale spatial variability in correlations between NO₂ levels and
392 mobility was observed. This strongly indicates that mobility alone could not fully
393 explain the resultant NO₂ level changes over time and space.

394

395 3.3 NO₂ prediction and its sensitivity to different influential factors


396 The previous two sections suggest that mobility is not the single influencing factor on
 397 the NO₂ variation over time. We further explored the association between NO₂ and
 398 other potential factors using the MLP model. Firstly, the correlation coefficients
 399 between each pair of variables were calculated and plotted as a heatmap (Figure 15).
 400 Log(facebook_movement) is the variable that has the strongest correlation with both
 401 Log(NO₂) and NO₂ (i.e., the correlation coefficient of 0.45 and 0.42, respectively). In
 402 comparison, Apple driving and walking trends have a much weaker correlation with
 403 Log(NO₂) (i.e., the correlation coefficient of -0.13 and -0.02, respectively). However,
 404 Apple driving trend is strongly correlated to Apple walking trend, with a correlation
 405 coefficient of 0.73. This shows that Apple driving and Apple walking trends are very
 406 likely to increase or decrease together. Apart from the three mobility factors,
 407 longitude, latitude and temperature also showed a relatively strong correlation with
 408 NO₂ and Log(NO₂).



409

410 Figure 15. Correlation matrix between any pair of variables.

411 After calculating the coefficients, 15 features (Table 2) were used to predict the
 412 output NO₂ in the MLP model. The model architecture consists of 18 hidden layers
 413 with a range of 8 to 64 nodes in each layer, and a total of 14,793 trainable parameters.
 414 Figure 16 plots the predicted and the measured ground-truth output values in both the
 415 training and testing datasets. A higher difference was observed at low Log(NO₂)
 416 levels. Table 3 summarized the performance of both the training and testing datasets
 417 where the high similarity between their respective RMSE and MAE values suggests
 418 that no overfitting was found.



419

420 *Figure 16. The predicted and measured Log(NO₂) in the training (left) and testing*
 421 *(right) dataset.*


422 *Table 3. Performance of the MLP model on training and testing dataset.*

	RMSE (NO₂; mol/m²)	RMSE (Log(NO₂))	MAE (NO₂; mol/m²)	MAE (Log(NO₂))	R² (NO₂)	R² (Log(NO₂))
Train	1.03e-05	0.22	5.03e-06	0.16	0.49	0.52
Test	1.02e-05	0.22	5.02e-06	0.16	0.49	0.52

423


424 The prediction error was evaluated by calculating the difference between the
 425 predicted and measured ground truth values in the testing dataset. The histogram of
 426 prediction error shows that most errors fall between -0.5 and 0.5 (Figure 17). A

427 coloured distinction was used to differentiate observations with large prediction errors
428 (> 0.5 or < -0.5) from those with small prediction errors (Figure 18). This distinction
429 revealed that large errors concentrate at column densities lower than $1e-5.5 \text{ mol/m}^2$
430 (or $\log(\text{NO}_2) < 1.5$). A measured NO_2 column density of $1e-5.5 \text{ mol/m}^2$ could be
431 overestimated as $1e-5 \text{ mol/m}^2$. On the other hand, measurements also have uncertainty.
432 By comparing with ground measurements, the uncertainty in measurement was about
433 $1e-5 \text{ mol/m}^2$ (Tonion & Pirotti, 2022). While our prediction error occurred mostly at
434 small NO_2 column densities and was within the range of measurement uncertainty,
435 this implies that the trained model performs well in predicting most of the NO_2 levels
436 and can generalise such prediction without overfitting the models.



437
438 *Figure 17. Histogram of prediction errors in the testing dataset.*

439



440

441 *Figure 18. The distribution of large errors in the measured $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ in the testing*
442 *dataset.*


443

444 Subsequently, data records with high $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ were sliced as a new dataset to
445 investigate its association with different parameters. This included 6362 $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$
446 records ranging between 2.48 to 2.50 with small prediction error, representing a
447 subset of data reliably predicted by the model. SHAP values were calculated for each
448 parameter in each record representing the magnitude of impact each parameter has on
449 the output $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$.

450

451 The spatial (i.e., lat and lon) and temporal information (i.e., day) had the highest
452 impacts on the output $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ according to the global interpretation summary
453 (Figure 19). This reinforces the importance of spatial and temporal information in
454 predicting NO_2 levels. Besides spatial and temporal parameters, the next highest
455 contributing parameters were mobility factors (i.e., apple_walking, apple_driving and
456 facebook_movement). Specifically, the Apple moving trends had higher impacts on
457 NO_2 as compared to the Facebook movement. As mentioned, Facebook movement
458 data has higher spatial resolution than Apple's. Although the latter is coarser in

459 resolution, it distinguished different travel modes (i.e., driving and walking). The
460 comparatively higher impacts of Apple moving trends on $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ suggest that
461 distinguishing different travel modes is important in predicting NO_2 . Additionally,
462 other factors especially temperature also showed impacts to a certain extent on this
463 model, although their impact is overall lower compared to spatio-temporal factors and
464 most of the mobility factors.




465

466 *Figure 19. A summary plot of impacts of each parameter on $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$.*

467 The dependence plot in Figure 20 shows that when $\text{Log}(\text{facebook_movement})$ is
468 below the average value (normalised to 0), both facebook movement and apple
469 moving trend hardly have any impact on NO_2 . In other words, the impact of change in
470 mobility parameters on NO_2 is more detectable at higher movement level. On the
471 other hand, this impact on NO_2 could be different at the same total movement level.
472 For instance, when $\text{Log}(\text{facebook_movement})$ was above the mean value, the blue
473 points (lower level of Apple driving trend) have lower SHAP values compared to the
474 pink points (higher level of Apple driving trend). This suggests that when total
475 mobility is contributed less by the driving trend, an increase in total mobility could be
476 contributed more by the walking trend instead. Therefore, there tends to be a lower
477 resultant impact on NO_2 levels.


478

479 In addition, the impact of Apple walking trend on $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ in Figure 21 shows that
480 there is a negative impact on $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ when the walking trend decreases. The
481 different interactions of Apple driving and Apple walking indicated that this negative
482 impact on $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ from a decreased walking trend could be attributed to the
483 concurrent decrease in Apple driving trend (in blue colour).



484

485 *Figure 20. Impact of $\text{Log}(\text{facebook_movement})$ on $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ represented by the*
486 *SHAP values at different $\text{Log}(\text{facebook_movement})$ values along the x-axis, and its*
487 *interactions with Apple driving trend (in colour).*



488

489 *Figure 21. Impact of Apple walking trend on $\text{Log}(\text{NO}_2)$ represented by the SHAP*
490 *values at different apple_walking values along the x-axis, and its interactions with*
491 *Apple driving trend (in colour).*

492

493 **4 Conclusions**

494 The various lockdowns and movement restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic
495 present an opportunity to study how air pollution varies along with the decrease of
496 mobility. Although existing studies have conducted analysis during the lockdowns in
497 early 2020 and observed a positive correlation between NO_2 and mobility. Given that
498 their study period was confined to a short time period, their observation may be
499 subject to other under-examined factors. Therefore, we investigated the variability of
500 NO_2 at a very large spatial scale with diverse human movement patterns in a two-year
501 study time period. We found that mobility showed decreasing trend during the
502 COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. However, the decreased mobility did not generally
503 result in concurrent decrease in NO_2 during the two years. Our EHSA results revealed
504 the spatio-temporal heterogeneity in NO_2 levels and mobility in SEA during June
505 2021 and February 2022. The variability in Pearson's correlation coefficient further
506 indicated the absence of a definitive correlation between NO_2 and Facebook

507 movement. The subsequent MLP result suggests that mobility with distinguished
508 travel modes substantially influenced the MLP model and NO₂ prediction. Moreover,
509 the impact of mobility on NO₂ varies with mobility level. When mobility is below the
510 average level, its impact on NO₂ becomes negligible. This may be another reason why
511 the decrease in mobility did not result in any expected correlated decrease in NO₂ in
512 general.

513

514 Our findings denoted that the reduced mobility during COVID-19 pandemic did
515 contribute to the variation of NO₂. Nevertheless, other meteorological factors
516 especially temperature also had considerable impacts and should be considered along
517 with mobility when attempting to understand NO₂ variation. Although SEA countries
518 are gradually loosening movement and other restrictions to restore industry activities
519 and economy (Luo *et al.*, 2022), traffic control is still a recommended solution for
520 regions suffering from poor air quality. Additionally, our model provides an accurate
521 NO₂ prediction method, which could be adopted as a health risk warning system in
522 preventing possible exposure to high concentration of NO₂.

523

524 There are three main limitations in this study and further investigations are
525 recommended. Firstly, our study mainly estimated the movement value in adjacent
526 study grids, excluding long distance travels. Although daily commuting in adjacent
527 grids accounts for most of the movement value (93.33 percent), this would cause
528 some uncertainty in actual movement volume estimation. Future studies could adopt
529 other datasets that include specific mobility trajectories to account for long distance
530 travel patterns and reduce uncertainty. Secondly, as this study seeks to examine the
531 spatio-temporal changes in NO₂ levels, only specific patterns of hot spots (i.e., new,
532 intensifying, persistent and diminishing hot spot) were selected for correlation

533 analyses. Other studies could examine the correlation between NO₂ and mobility
534 levels for other patterns of hot spots and even the cold spots to potentially elicit more
535 insights. Finally, this study did not adopt a spatio-temporal machine learning model
536 due to the discontinuous Facebook movement data (i.e., most countries have missing
537 data before May 2021) during the two-year study period. Future studies are
538 recommended to adopt a hybrid model (e.g., convolutional neural network (CNN) and
539 long short-term memory (LSTM)) for NO₂ prediction. Nonetheless, it presented
540 timely and novel evidence that the spatial and temporal information were strong
541 factors in explaining NO₂ levels. Future scholars are recommended to adopt a hybrid
542 model (e.g., convolutional neural network (CNN) and long short-term memory
543 (LSTM)) in NO₂ prediction to account for the interactions of NO₂ with time and
544 space.

545

546 Source codes related to this study can be found on a public GitHub repository
547 ([https://github.com/liyangyang515/Spatio-Temporal-Patterns-of-NO₂-and-Mobility-Through-the-Variants-of-COVID-19-in-SEA](https://github.com/liyangyang515/Spatio-Temporal-Patterns-of-NO2-and-Mobility-Through-the-Variants-of-COVID-19-in-SEA)).

549

550 **Acknowledgements**

551 The Facebook Movement between Tiles data are not publicly available, the
552 permission of usage was granted by Facebook Data for Good Partner Program.

553

554 **References**

- 555 Addas, A., & Maghrabi, A. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 Lockdowns on Air
556 Quality—A Global Review. *Sustainability*, 13(18), 10212.
557 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810212>
- 558 Apple. (2020). COVID-19 Mobility Trends Reports. Retrieved from
559 <https://www.apple.com/covid19/mobility>. Accessed April 7, 2022
- 560 Archer, C., Cervone, G., Golbazi, M., Al Fahel, N. & Hultquist, C. (2020). Changes
561 in air quality and human mobility in the USA during the COVID-19 pandemic.
562 *Bulletin of Atmospheric Science and Technology*, 1(3), pp.491-514.
563 <https://doi-org/10.1007/s42865-020-00019-0>
- 564 Bao, R., & Zhang, A. (2020). Does lockdown reduce air pollution? Evidence from 44
565 cities in northern China. *Science of The Total Environment*, 731, 139052.
566 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139052>
- 567 Bekkar, A., Hssina, B., Douzi, S. & Douzi, K. (2021), Air-pollution prediction in
568 smart city, deep learning approach, *Journal of Big Data*, vol. 8, no. 1.
569 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-021-00548-1>
- 570 Cabaneros, S.M., Calautit, J.K. and Hughes, B.R., 2019. A review of artificial neural
571 network models for ambient air pollution prediction. *Environmental*
572 *Modelling & Software*, 119, pp.285-304.
573 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.06.014>
- 574 Cahyadi, M.N., Handayani, H.H., Warmadewanthi, I., Rokhmana, C.A., Sulistiawan,
575 S.S., Walodjo, C.S., Raharjo, A.B., Endroyono, Atok, M., Navisa, S.C.,
576 Wulansari, M. & Jin, S. (2022). Spatiotemporal Analysis for COVID-19 Delta
577 Variant Using GIS-Based Air Parameter and Spatial Modeling, *International*

578 Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, vol. 19, no. 3, p. 1614.
579 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19031614>

580 Calafiore, A., Macdonald, J. L., & Singleton, A. (2022). Decomposing the Temporal
581 Signature of Nitrogen Dioxide Declines during the COVID-19 Pandemic in
582 UK Urban Areas. *Applied Spatial Analysis and Policy*.
583 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12061-022-09438-2>

584 Casquero-Vera, J.A., Lyamani, H., Titos, G., Borrás, E., Olmo, F.J. &
585 Alados-Arboledas, L. (2019). Impact of primary NO₂ emissions at different
586 urban sites exceeding the European NO₂ standard limit, *Science of The Total*
587 *Environment*, 646, 1117–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.360>

588 Castelli, M., Clemente, F.M., Popovič, A., Silva, S. & Vanneschi, L. (2020). A
589 Machine Learning Approach to Predict Air Quality in California, *Complexity*,
590 vol. 2020, pp. 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8049504>

591 Cenek, M., Haro, R., Sayers, B., & Peng, J. (2018). Climate change and power
592 security: Power load prediction for rural electrical microgrids using long short
593 term memory and artificial neural networks. *Applied Sciences*, 8(5), 749.
594 <https://doi.org/10.3390/app8050749>

595 Chen, L.-W.A., Chien, L.-C., Li, Y. & Lin, G. (2020). Nonuniform impacts of
596 COVID-19 lockdown on air quality over the United States, *Science of The*
597 *Total Environment*, 745, 141105.
598 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141105>

599 Childs, C. (2004). Interpolating surfaces in ArcGIS spatial analyst. *ArcUser*,
600 July-September, 3235(569), 32–35.

601 Copernicus. (2022). Climate Data Store. Retrieved from
602 <https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/>. Accessed August 30, 2022,

- 603 Esri. (2022). Emerging Hot Spot Analysis (Space Time Pattern Mining). Retrieved
604 from
605 [https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/2.8/tool-reference/space-time-pattern-minin](https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/2.8/tool-reference/space-time-pattern-mining/emerginghotspots.htm)
606 [g/emerginghotspots.htm](https://pro.arcgis.com/en/pro-app/2.8/tool-reference/space-time-pattern-mining/emerginghotspots.htm). Accessed April 30, 2022
- 607 European Environmental Agency. (2019). Emissions of the main air pollutants by
608 sector group in the EEA-33. Retrieved from:
609 <https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/daviz/share-of-eea-33-emissions-4>.
610 Accessed April 23, 2022
- 611 Faridi, S., Yousefian, F., Janjani, H., Niazi, S., Azimi, F., Naddafi, K., & Hassanvand,
612 M. S. (2021). The effect of COVID-19 pandemic on human mobility and
613 ambient air quality around the world: A systematic review. *Urban Climate*, 38,
614 100888. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2021.100888>
- 615 General Mills. (2014). Retrieved from: <https://github.com/GeneralMills/pytrends>.
616 Accessed August 30, 2022
- 617 Ghahremanloo, M., Lops, Y., Choi, Y., & Mousavinezhad, S. (2021). Impact of the
618 COVID-19 outbreak on air pollution levels in East Asia. *Science of The Total*
619 *Environment*, 754, 142226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142226>
- 620 Google. (2022). Google Trends. Retrieved from <https://trends.google.com/>. Accessed
621 April 30, 2022
- 622 Hale, T., Angrist, N., Goldszmidt, R., Kira, B., Petherick, A., Phillips, T., Webster, S.,
623 Cameron-Blake, E., Hallas, L., Majumdar, S. & Tatlow, H. (2021). A global
624 panel database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19 Government
625 Response Tracker). *Nature Human Behaviour*, vol. 5, pp. 1–10.
626 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01079-8>

- 627 Hassoun, Y., James, C. & Bernstein, D.I. (2019). The Effects of Air Pollution on the
628 Development of Atopic Disease. *Clinical Reviews in Allergy & Immunology*,
629 57, 403–414. <https://doi-org/10.1007/s12016-019-08730-3>
- 630 Heroy, S., Loaiza, I., Pentland, A. & O’Clery, N. (2021). COVID-19 policy analysis:
631 labour structure dictates lockdown mobility behaviour. *Journal of The Royal*
632 *Society Interface, Royal Society*, 18, 20201035.
633 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2020.1035>
- 634 He, J., Gong, S., Yu, Y., Yu, L., Wu, L., Mao, H., Song, C., Zhao, S., Liu, H., Li, X.,
635 & Li, R. (2017). Air pollution characteristics and their relation to
636 meteorological conditions during 2014–2015 in major Chinese cities.
637 *Environmental Pollution*, 223, 484–496.
638 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.050>
- 639 He, J., Yu, Y., Xie, Y., Mao, H., Wu, L., Liu, N., & Zhao, S. (2016). Numerical
640 Model-Based Artificial Neural Network Model and Its Application for
641 Quantifying Impact Factors of Urban Air Quality. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*,
642 227(7), 235. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-016-2930-z>
- 643 Hicks, R. (2021). The haze is likely to hit Southeast Asia early in 2021 - is climate
644 change to blame?. *Eco-Business*. Retrieved from:
645 <https://www.eco-business.com/news/the-haze-is-likely-to-hit-southeast-asia-early-in-2021-is-climate-change-to-blame/>. Accessed April 20, 2022.
- 647 Jiang, N., Dirks, K. N., & Luo, K. (2014). Effects of local, synoptic and large-scale
648 climate conditions on daily nitrogen dioxide concentrations in Auckland, New
649 Zealand. *International Journal of Climatology*, 34(6), 1883–1897.
650 <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3808>

- 651 Kanniah, K. D., Kamarul Zaman, N. A. F., Kaskaoutis, D. G., & Latif, M. T. (2020).
652 COVID-19's impact on the atmospheric environment in the Southeast Asia
653 region. *Science of The Total Environment*, 736, 139658.
654 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139658>
- 655 Kumar, P., Hama, S., Omidvarborna, H., Sharma, A., Sahani, J., Abhijith, K. V.,
656 Debele, S. E., Zavala-Reyes, J. C., Barwise, Y., & Tiwari, A. (2020).
657 Temporary reduction in fine particulate matter due to 'anthropogenic
658 emissions switch-off' during COVID-19 lockdown in Indian cities.
659 *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 62, 102382.
660 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102382>
- 661 Lai, S., Ruktanonchai, N. W., Zhou, L., Prosper, O., Luo, W., Floyd, J. R.,
662 Wesolowski, A., Santillana, M., Zhang, C., Du, X., Yu, H., & Tatem, A. J.
663 (2020). Effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions to contain COVID-19 in
664 China. *Nature*, 585(7825), 410–413.
665 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2293-x>
- 666 Latif, M. T., Dominick, D., Hawari, N. S. S. L., Mohtar, A. A. A., & Othman, M.
667 (2021). The concentration of major air pollutants during the movement control
668 order due to the COVID-19 pandemic in the Klang Valley, Malaysia.
669 *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 66, 102660.
670 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102660>
- 671 Latza, U., Gerdes, S. & Baur, X. (2009). Effects of nitrogen dioxide on human health:
672 Systematic review of experimental and epidemiological studies conducted
673 between 2002 and 2006. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental*
674 *Health*, 212, 271–287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2008.06.003>
- 675 Li, J. and Tartarini, F., (2020). Changes in Air Quality during the COVID-19
676 Lockdown in Singapore and Associations with Human Mobility Trends.

677 Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 20(8), pp.1748-1758.
678 <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2020.06.0303>

679 Li, Y., Zhu, Y., Tan, J.Y.K., Teo, H.C., Law, A., Qu, D. and Luo, W., (2022). The
680 impact of COVID-19 on NO₂ and PM_{2.5} levels and their associations with
681 human mobility patterns in Singapore. *Annals of GIS*, pp.1-17.
682 <https://doi.org/10.1080/19475683.2022.2121855>

683 Li, Z. (2022). Extracting spatial effects from machine learning model using local
684 interpretation method: An example of SHAP and XGBoost. *Computers,
685 Environment and Urban Systems*, 96, 101845.
686 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2022.101845>

687 Liu, F., Wang, M. & Zheng, M. (2021). Effects of COVID-19 lockdown on global air
688 quality and health. *Science of The Total Environment*, vol. 755, p. 142533.
689 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142533>

690 Lundberg, S. M., & Lee, S. I. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model
691 predictions. *Advances in neural information processing
692 systems*, pp. 4765-4774

693 Luo, W., Liu, Z., Zhou, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, Y. E., Masrur, A., & Yu, M. (2022).
694 Investigating Linkages Between Spatiotemporal Patterns of the COVID-19
695 Delta Variant and Public Health Interventions in Southeast Asia: Prospective
696 Space-Time Scan Statistical Analysis Method. *JMIR Public Health and
697 Surveillance*, 8(8), e35840. <https://doi.org/10.2196/35840>

698 Ma, Y., Zhao, Y., Liu, J., He, X., Wang, B., Fu, S., Yan, J., Niu, J., Zhou, J., Luo, B.,
699 (2020). Effects of temperature variation and humidity on the death of
700 COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *Science of Total Environment*. 724, 138226.
701 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138226>

702 Maas, P. (2019). Facebook Disaster Maps: Aggregate Insights for Crisis Response &
703 Recovery. The 25th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and
704 Data Mining, 3173–3173.

705 Mo, C., D. Tan, T. Mai, C. Bei, J. Qin, W. Pang, and Z. Zhang. (2020). An Analysis
706 of Spatiotemporal Pattern for COIVD-19 in China Based on Space-time Cube.
707 Journal of Medical Virology 92: 1587–1595.
708 <https://doi-org/10.1002/jmv.25834>

709 Nakada, L.Y.K. & Urban, R.C. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic: Impacts on the air
710 quality during the partial lockdown in São Paulo state, Brazil. Science of The
711 Total Environment, 730, 139087.

712 Nyhan, M.M., Kloog, I., Britter, R., Ratti, C. & Koutrakis, P. (2018), Quantifying
713 population exposure to air pollution using individual mobility patterns inferred
714 from mobile phone data. Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental
715 Epidemiology, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 238–247.
716 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-018-0038-9>

717 Rahman, N.H.A., Lee, M.H., Suhartono, & Latif, M.T. (2016). Evaluation
718 performance of time series approach for forecasting air pollution index in
719 Johor, Malaysia. Sains Malays 45(11): 1625-1633.

720 Pan, X., Zhao, Y. & Wang, M. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on Extremely Polluted
721 Air Quality and Trend Forecast in Seven Provinces and Three Cities of China.
722 Frontiers in Environmental Science, vol. 9.
723 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.7709>

724 Park, Y.M. & Kwan, M.-P. (2017). Individual exposure estimates may be erroneous
725 when spatiotemporal variability of air pollution and human mobility are

726 ignored. Health & Place, vol. 43, pp. 85–94.
727 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2016.10.002>

728 Pearce, J. L., Beringer, J., Nicholls, N., Hyndman, R. J., Uotila, P., & Tapper, N. J.
729 (2011). Investigating the influence of synoptic-scale meteorology on air
730 quality using self-organizing maps and generalized additive modelling.
731 Atmospheric Environment, 45(1), 128–136.
732 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.09.032>

733 Piccoli, A., Agresti, V., Balzarini, A., Bedogni, M., Bonanno, R., Collino, E., Colzi,
734 F., Lacavalla, M., Lanzani, G., Pirovano, G., Riva, F., Riva, G.M. & Toppetti,
735 A.M. (2020). Modeling the Effect of COVID-19 Lockdown on Mobility and
736 NO₂ Concentration in the Lombardy Region, Atmosphere, Multidisciplinary
737 Digital Publishing Institute, 11, 1319. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11121319>

738 Purwanto, P., Utaya, S., Handoyo, B., Bachri, S., Astuti, I.S., Utomo, K.S.B. &
739 Aldianto, Y.E. (2021). Spatiotemporal Analysis of COVID-19 Spread with
740 Emerging Hotspot Analysis and Space–Time Cube Models in East Java,
741 Indonesia. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, vol. 10, no. 3, p.
742 133. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi10030133>

743 Qin, D., Yu, J., Zou, G., Yong, R., Zhao, Q. & Zhang, B. (2019) A Novel Combined
744 Prediction Scheme Based on CNN and LSTM for Urban PM_{2.5} Concentration.
745 IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 20050–20059.
746 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2897028>

747 Roberts-Semple, D., Song, F. & Gao, Y. (2012) Seasonal characteristics of ambient
748 nitrogen oxides and ground–level ozone in metropolitan northeastern New
749 Jersey. Atmospheric Pollution Research, 3, pp. 247–257.
750 <https://doi.org/10.5094/APR.2012.027>

- 751 Roy, S., Saha, M., Dhar, B., Pandit, S. & Nasrin, R. (2020), Geospatial analysis of
752 COVID-19 lockdown effects on air quality in the South and Southeast Asian
753 region, *Science of The Total Environment*, p. 144009.
754 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144009>
- 755 Ritchie, H., Mathieu, E., Rodés-Guirao, L., Appel, C., Giattino, C., Ortiz-Ospina, E.,
756 Hasell, J., Macdonald, B., Beltekian, D., and Roser, M. (2020). Coronavirus
757 Pandemic (COVID-19). Retrieved from
758 <https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus>. Accessed April 20, 2022
- 759 Saadat, S., Rawtani, D., Hussain, C.M. (2020). Environmental perspective of
760 COVID-19. *Science of Total Environment*. 728, pp.138870.
761 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138870>.
- 762 Schwartz, J. (2018). Bing Maps Tile System. Retrieved from
763 <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/bingmaps/articles/bing-maps-tile-system>.
764 Accessed April 20, 2022
- 765 Shad, R., Mesgari, M. S., abkar, A., & Shad, A. (2009). Predicting air pollution using
766 fuzzy genetic linear membership kriging in GIS. *Computers, Environment and*
767 *Urban Systems*, 33(6), 472–481.
768 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2009.10.004>
- 769 Shams, S.R., Jahani, A., Kalantary, S., Moeinaddini, M. and Khorasani, N., (2021).
770 Artificial intelligence accuracy assessment in NO₂ concentration forecasting
771 of metropolises air. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), pp.1-9. [https://doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81455-6)
772 [/10.1038/s41598-021-81455-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81455-6)
- 773 Shapley, L.S., (1953). Quota solutions op n-person games¹. Edited by Emil Artin and
774 Marston Morse, pp.343.

- 775 Sicard, P., De Marco, A., Agathokleous, E., Feng, Z., Xu, X., Paoletti, E., Rodriguez,
776 J.J.D. & Calatayud, V. (2020). Amplified ozone pollution in cities during the
777 COVID-19 lockdown. *Science of The Total Environment*, 735, 139542.
778 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139542>
- 779 Syetiawan, A., Harimurti, M., & Prihanto, Y., (2022). A spatiotemporal analysis of
780 COVID-19 transmission in Jakarta, Indonesia for pandemic decision support,
781 *Geospatial Health*, vol. 17, no. S1. <https://doi.org/10.4081/gh.2022.1042>
- 782 Tunlathorntham, S., & Thepanondh, S. (2017). Prediction of Ambient Nitrogen
783 Dioxide Concentrations in the Vicinity of Industrial Complex Area, Thailand.
784 *Air, Soil and Water Research*, vol. 10, p. 117862211770090.
785 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1178622117700906>
- 786 Tadano, Y.S., Potgieter-Vermaak, S., Kachba, Y.R., Chiroli, D.M.G., Casacio, L.,
787 Santos-Silva, J.C., Moreira, C.A.B., Machado, V., Alves, T.A., Siqueira, H. &
788 Godoi, R.H.M. (2021). Dynamic model to predict the association between air
789 quality, COVID-19 cases, and level of lockdown, *Environmental Pollution*,
790 vol. 268, no. Pt B, p. 115920. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115920>
- 791 Tian, Y., Yao, X. & Chen, L. (2019). Analysis of spatial and seasonal distributions of
792 air pollutants by incorporating urban morphological characteristics,
793 *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 75, 35–48.
794 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2019.01.003>
- 795 Tiwari, A., Gupta, R., & Chandra, R. (2021). Delhi air quality prediction using LSTM
796 deep learning models with a focus on COVID-19 lockdown. *ArXiv*,
797 [abs/2102.10551](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2102.10551). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2102.10551>
- 798 Tobías, A., Carnerero, C., Reche, C., Massagué, J., Via, M., Minguillón, M. C.,
799 Alastuey, A., & Querol, X. (2020). Changes in air quality during the lockdown

800 in Barcelona (Spain) one month into the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. *Science of*
801 *The Total Environment*, 726, 138540.
802 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138540>

803 Tonion, F. and Pirotti, F. (2022). SENTINEL-5P NO2 DATA:
804 CROSS-VALIDATION AND COMPARISON WITH GROUND
805 MEASUREMENTS. *The International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote*
806 *Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 43, pp.749-756.

807 Wackernagel, H. (2003). Ordinary kriging. *Multivariate Geostatistics*, Springer,
808 Berlin, Heidelberg. pp. 79-88.

809 Wang, A., Xu, J., Tu, R., Saleh, M. & Hatzopoulou, M. (2020). Potential of machine
810 learning for prediction of traffic related air pollution. *Transportation Research*
811 *Part D: Transport and Environment*, vol. 88, p. 102599.
812 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2020.102599>

813 Wang, Y., Fu, X., Jiang, W., Wang, T., Tsou, M.-H., & Ye, X. (2017). Inferring urban
814 air quality based on social media. *Computers, Environment and Urban*
815 *Systems*, 66, 110–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2017.07.002>

816 World Health Organization 2022, WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard.
817 Retrieved from covid19.who.int. Accessed March 10, 2022.

818 Wihayati & Wibowo, F.W. (2021). Prediction of air quality in Jakarta during the
819 COVID-19 outbreak using long short-term memory machine learning. *IOP*
820 *Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, vol. 704, no. 1, p.
821 012046.

822 Wu, Y. & Song, G. (2019). The Impact of Activity-Based Mobility Pattern on
823 Assessing Fine-Grained Traffic-Induced Air Pollution Exposure. *International*


- 824 Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, vol. 16, no. 18, p. 3291.
825 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16183291>
- 826 Wu, C., He, H., Song, R. & Peng, Z. (2022). Prediction of air pollutants on roadside
827 of the elevated roads with combination of pollutants periodicity and deep
828 learning method, *Building and Environment*, vol. 207, p. 108436.
829 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108436>
- 830 Wyche, K. P., Nichols, M., Parfitt, H., Beckett, P., Gregg, D. J., Smallbone, K. L., &
831 Monks, P. S. (2021). Changes in ambient air quality and atmospheric
832 composition and reactivity in the South East of the UK as a result of the
833 COVID-19 lockdown. *Science of The Total Environment*, 755, 142526.
834 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142526>
- 835 Yang, J., Wen, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, S., Pinto, J.P., Pennington, E.A., Wang, Z., Wu,
836 Y., Sander, S.P., Jiang, J.H., Hao, J., Yung, Y.L. & Seinfeld, J.H. (2021).
837 From COVID-19 to future electrification: Assessing traffic impacts on air
838 quality by a machine-learning model, *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
839 *Sciences*, vol. 118, no. 26. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2102705118>
- 840 Zangari, S., Hill, D. T., Charette, A. T., & Mirowsky, J. E. (2020). Air quality
841 changes in New York City during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Science of The*
842 *Total Environment*, 742, 140496.
843 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140496>
- 844 Zhu, R., Anselin, L., Batty, M., Kwan, M.-P., Chen, M., Luo, W., Cheng, T., Lim, C.
845 K., Santi, P., Cheng, C., Gu, Q., Wong, M. S., Zhang, K., Lü, G., & Ratti, C.
846 (2022). The effects of different travel modes and travel destinations on
847 COVID-19 transmission in global cities. *Science Bulletin*, 67(6), 588–592.
848 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2021.11.023>


849 Zhu, Y., Mao, L., and Zhang., X. (2020). Air Pollution and Mobility in Singapore
850 during COVID - 19 Pandemic, Technical Working Paper #04-2020, Asia
851 Competitiveness Institute Technical Working Paper Series.


852 **Appendix**

853 Appendix A: Distribution and Temporal Variation of Facebook movement and NO₂ in Indonesia, between Apr 2020 and Feb 2022.

854







856

857

