Topic modelling with ICD10-1

- informed priors identifies novel 2
- genetic loci associated with 3
- multimorbidities in UK Biobank 4
- Yidong Zhang^{1,2,3}, Xilin Jiang^{1,4,5,6,7,8}, Alexander J 5

Mentzer^{1,5}, Gil McVean^{1*,#}, Gerton Lunter^{9,10*} 6

7

Affiliations 8

- 1 Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of 9
- 10 Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK
- 11 2 CAMS China Oxford Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford,
- 12 Oxford OX3 7BN, UK
- 13 3 Department of Radiation Oncology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Chinese
- 14 Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100006, China
- 15 4 Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3LB, UK
- 16 5 Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of
- 17 Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK
- 6 Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston 02115, 18 19 MA, USA
- 20 7 British Heart Foundation Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health 21 and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0SR, UK
- 22 8 Heart and Lung Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0BB, UK
- 23 9 MRC Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of
- 24 Oxford, Oxford OX3 9DS, UK
- 25 10 Department of Epidemiology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of
- 26 Groningen, Groningen 9700 RB, The Netherlands
- 27 *These authors jointly supervised the work: gil.mcvean@bdi.ox.ac.uk, g.a.lunter@umcg.nl
- 28 #Lead contact
- 29

Summary 30

31 Studies of disease incidence have identified thousands of genetic loci associated with complex traits. However, many diseases occur in combinations 32 that can point to systemic dysregulation of underlying processes that affect 33 multiple traits. We have developed a data-driven method for identifying such 34 35 multimorbidities from routine healthcare data that combines topic modelling through Bayesian binary non-negative matrix factorization with an informative 36 prior derived from the hierarchical ICD10 coding system. Through simulation 37 we show that the method, treeLFA, typically outperforms both Latent Dirichlet 38 39 Allocation (LDA) and topic modelling with uninformative priors in terms of 40 inference accuracy and generalisation to test data, and is robust to moderate 41 deviation between the prior and reality. By applying treeLFA to data from UK 42 Biobank we identify a range of multimorbidity clusters in the form of disease topics ranging from well-established combinations relating to metabolic 43 44 syndrome, arthropathies and cancers, to other less well-known ones, and a 45 disease-free topic. Through genetic association analysis of inferred topic weights (topic-GWAS) and single diseases we find that topic-GWAS typically 46 finds a much smaller, but only partially-overlapping, set of variants compared to 47 48 GWAS of constituent disease codes. We validate the genetic loci (only) associated with topics through a range of approaches. Particularly, with the 49 construction of PRS for topics, we find that compared to LDA, treeLFA 50 51 achieves better prediction performance on independent test data. Overall, our 52 findings indicate that topic models are well suited to characterising multimorbidity patterns, and different topic models have their own unique 53 strengths. Moreover, genetic analysis of multimorbidity patterns can provide 54 insight into the aetiology of complex traits that cannot be determined from the 55 analysis of constituent traits alone. 56 57

Key words 58

Multimorbidity, topic modelling, treeLFA, topic-GWAS, UK Biobank 59

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

61

62 Introduction

Multimorbidity, defined as the co-existence of multiple chronic conditions, is a major challenge for modern healthcare systems. Its prevalence has increased because of a worldwide increase in life expectancy ^{1–3}, and it is associated with substantially lower quality of life ^{3,4}, worse clinical outcomes ³, and increased healthcare expenditure ⁵. The management of multimorbidity is challenging given that most guidelines and research are still targeted at single diseases. As a result, the negative impact of multimorbidity is often greater than the additive effects of individual diseases ⁶.

70 Several common multimorbidity patterns, such as a cluster composed of cardiovascular and 71 mental health disorders, and a musculoskeletal disease cluster, have been identified from 72 literature reviews ^{3,7}. In recent years, the widespread adoption of electronic health records 73 (EHR) has enabled the systematic study of multimorbidity, and a variety of approaches have been employed for this purpose, including factor analysis ⁸, clustering ⁹, graph or network 74 based methods ^{10,11}, and statistical models such as latent class analysis ¹²⁻¹⁴. These 75 approaches have both validated the previously identified multimorbidity patterns ^{12,14,15} and, 76 through the inclusion of a wider range of diseases, identified additional multimorbidity patterns 77 78 ^{14,16}. In addition, downstream analyses enabled by these approaches have helped to identify 79 the clinical events and outcomes associated with specific multimorbidity patterns ^{13,17}, which 80 may provide insights about early intervention and risk stratification for patients.

81 The existence of common multimorbidity patterns raises the question of their etiology. One 82 way to approach this question is to analyse multimorbidity patterns together with appropriate 83 -omics data to determine the biological pathways involved. These analyses have been made 84 possible with the establishment of biobanks linking individuals' biological samples and genetic information to their EHR ¹⁸⁻²⁰. A recent study investigating genome-wide association studies 85 86 (GWAS) of 439 common diseases recorded in UK biobank (UKB) hospital inpatient data found that 46% multimorbidity disease pairs have evidence for shared genetics ¹¹, suggesting that 87 this may be a fruitful approach. 88

89 Intrinsic to the study of multimorbidity is the joint analysis of multiple disease phenotypes. To 90 enable this, various multi-trait GWAS methods have been developed which promise to better 91 exploit the deep phenotype data available for individuals in biobanks. These methods can be 92 subdivided based on their analytical approaches. Univariate methods combine signals from single-trait GWAS²¹⁻²⁷, while multivariate methods offer improved power by directly modelling 93 the individual level genotype and phenotype data ²⁸⁻³³. Several of these methods use 94 95 transformations such as principal components analysis (PCA) on the original traits before 96 association analysis so that very large numbers of traits can be handled. Topic models such 97 as Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) and Non-negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) were 98 dimension reduction algorithms developed to model word occurrence in text documents, and 99 have subsequently found application in biological studies to extract complex patterns from high-dimensional data ^{34–36}. They can be used to find multimorbidity clusters from diagnostic 100 data, by viewing individuals as "documents" and diseases as "words". The topics learnt by 101

102 these models then are mathematical representations of groups of diseases that tend to cooccur within the same individual ³⁷. Earlier studies have shown that joining single diseases 103 into topics increases statistical power for genetic discovery, and helps to disentangle the 104 pleiotropic effects of several known genetic loci ^{38–40}. 105

106 Despite these advances, existing methods all have limitations. First, diagnostic data is often 107 binary in nature, with zeros and ones representing the absence and presence of diseases, yet topic models like LDA and NMF were designed for count data, while algorithms designed for 108 binary data ⁴¹ have not found wide application in biomedical studies. Second, biobank data is 109 often sparse and, particularly for less common diseases, inclusion of prior domain knowledge 110 may well improve results. Domain knowledge has been used successfully in topic models ^{42,43}, 111 112 and, for example, medical ontologies like the ICD-10 disease classification system could serve 113 as prior for disease co-occurrence, as they encode the complex relationships of diseases as a hierarchical structure which is amenable to mathematical analysis ^{44–46}. Third, while for 114 115 statistical models, such as LDA, principled approaches exist for selecting the number of clusters and optimising other hyperparameters ^{47–51}, this is often not true for other methods, 116 and these choices can strongly impact the final results ^{9,52}. In addition, methods not based on 117 118 statistical foundations typically lack estimates of uncertainty in the inferred clusters, which 119 makes interpretation difficult.

120 Here, we develop and validate an analytic framework for the study of multimorbidity using topic 121 models and multi-trait GWAS on biobank datasets. Central to our approach is "treeLFA" (latent 122 factor allocation with a tree-structured prior), a statistical model to identify multimorbidity 123 clusters of common diseases based on co-occurrence patterns and an informed prior derived 124 from a tree-structured disease ontology. Applying treeLFA to Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) 125 data extracted from UKB we gain insights about the relationships of diseases and their shared 126 genetic components. We identify multimorbidity clusters in the form of disease "topics" and 127 show that these agree with accepted medical understanding. Performing a series of GWAS 128 on the quantitative traits defined by individuals' weights for these topics (topic-GWAS), we 129 show that the approach identifies novel loci that correlate in expected ways with several 130 genomic annotations. We validate the topic-GWAS results using test data, and show that 131 topic-GWAS can improve genetic risk prediction for multiple disorders, in particular immune 132 disorders, and those for which currently few associated loci are known.

134

Results 135

Overview of treeLFA 136

137 treeLFA is a topic model designed to identify multimorbidity clusters from binary disease 138 diagnosis data. We describe the model in terms of the associated generative process. To 139 generate data, first topic vectors ϕ_k containing disease probabilities, and a topic weight vector θ_d for each individual d are sampled from a prior distribution. An individual's disease 140 141 probabilities are given by a mixture of different topic vectors, with the topic weights (θ_d) acting 142 as mixing proportions. The model can equivalently be defined by the likelihood for 143 observations, which involves factoring a latent matrix of disease probabilities:

144

$$P(W|\theta, \Phi) = \prod_{d,s} Bernoulli(W_{d,s} | [\theta \Phi]_{d,s})$$

Here, W is the binary input matrix recording individuals' diagnosed diseases, with rows 145

representing individuals and columns representing disease codes; P(W_{d,s}=1) is the 146 147 probability of disease s being 1 (diagnosed) for individual d. ϕ is the topic-disease matrix

148 (each row a topic and each column a disease), and θ the topic weights matrix (each row an

individual and each column a topic). The occurrence of disease s for individual d is modelled 149

150 with a Bernoulli distribution parameterized by the corresponding entry in the product of

151 matrices θ and ϕ : $[\theta \ \phi]_{d.s.}$ This model differs from LDA in three ways. First, LDA samples

152 diseases (or words) according to a multinomial distribution, so that diseases can occur

153 multiple times, while treeLFA only allows presence or absence. Second, LDA conditions on

154 the number of observed diseases, whereas for treeLFA the number of diseases is

informative. Third, treeLFA uses an informative prior on topic vectors ϕ_k guided by a tree-155 structured ontology such as ICD-10 (Figure 1 in the analytic note). This prior has the 156

157 property that diseases that are closely related on the tree tend to have correlated

158 probabilities. Inference on the treeLFA model is performed using partially collapsed Gibbs

159 sampling ⁵³, integrating out the topic weight variable. See the analytic note for more details,

160 including on hyperparameter optimization.

161

Validation of treeLFA; Comparison with related topic models 162

163 We assessed treeLFA's performance in a simulation experiment, comparing it to the same 164 model but without an informative tree prior (flatLFA; Fig 2a), and to LDA. We designed the 165 simulation to test the model with respect to the degree of multimorbidity in the data; the size 166 of the data; and the correctness of the prior. The degree of multimorbidity was governed by α , 167 the concentration parameter of the Dirichlet prior for the topic weight variable θ , with large 168 values corresponding to the presence of several multimorbidity clusters in individuals, and small values resulting in individuals mainly presenting diseases from a single cluster. To test 169 170 the influence of prior misspecification we used two sets of topics for simulation. In one set 171 ("correct tree prior") the active disease codes in topics were aligned with the tree structure of 172 disease codes, resulting in a high likelihood under the prior, which specifies that child nodes 173 on the tree tend to (though not exclusively) have the same activity as their parent nodes (Fig 174 2b,c). In the other setting ("incorrect tree prior") the pattern of active disease codes in topics

175 was possible but unlikely under the prior (Supplementary Fig 1a,b). For each set of topics we 176 considered four combinations of D and α , resulting in eight parameter combinations in total 177 (Supplementary Table 1). For each of these we generated 20 data sets. To evaluate the 178 performance of treeLFA, flatLFA and LDA we used two metrics: the accuracy of the inferred 179 topic ($\Delta \phi$, average absolute per-disease difference in probability between aligned true and 180 inferred topics; see Methods for details), and R_{pl} , the ratio of the average per-individual 181 predictive test likelihood for treeLFA and flatLFA.

182 Both metrics indicate that on data simulated using the correct tree prior, treeLFA performs better than flatLFA, which does not have the benefit of an informative prior (Figures 2d-g, 183 184 Supplementary Table 2). This is most pronounced for small datasets with strong 185 multimorbidity (Figure 2d; $\Delta \phi$ 0.012±0.004 (treeLFA) and 0.025±0.010 (flatLFA); R_{pl} 1.003±0.002), while for larger datasets, the two models show similar performance and the 186 prior has less influence (Figure 2e; $\Delta \phi$ 0.009+0.006 (treeLFA) and 0.011+0.005 (flatLFA)). 187 188 treeLFA outperforms LDA except for large data sets with weak multimorbidity (Figure 2g; $\Delta \phi$ 189 0.0100+0.0009 (treeLFA) and 0.0084+0.0021 (LDA)) where both models gave accurate 190 inference. For simulation using incorrect tree priors, flatLFA gave results comparable to 191 simulation with correct priors, and the performance of flatLFA and treeLFA is similar across 192 the four parameter combinations (Supplementary Figure 1), indicating that treeLFA is robust 193 against prior misspecification. Overall, these results indicate that both treeLFA and flatLFA 194 give accurate results when sufficient training data is available, even if treeLFA's informative 195 prior is inaccurate; but when the tree prior is correct, treeLFA performs better than flatLFA, 196 particularly when training data is limited. Even in larger real-world data sets, low-frequency 197 topics will have limited training data, hence this suggests that treeLFA could add power to the 198 analysis of multimorbidity in biobank data.

199

Topics of ICD-10 codes inferred from UK Biobank data 200

201 To investigate the properties of treeLFA on real-world data, we built an exploratory data set 202 using the HES data in UKB from 502,413 individuals, consisting of the 100 most frequent 203 codes from chapters 1-13 of the ICD-10 coding system (top-100 UKB dataset, Supplementary 204 Table 3). We split these data randomly into training (80%) and testing (20%) datasets, and 205 trained treeLFA with an initial K=11 topics (There is a discussion of the optimal number of 206 topics below).

207 The inferred topics include an "empty" topic, in which all codes have near-zero probability of occurring (Figure 3a, Supplementary Table 4). Its associated entry in the optimal Dirichlet prior 208 209 parameter α is very large (0.585) compared to that of other topics (0.016-0.06), indicating that 210 the empty topic is frequently assigned to an individuals' disease profile. The remaining topics 211 all contain active codes. Most topics are sparse (8 topics contain fewer than 10 high-probability 212 (>0.2) codes), but the model also infers dense topics, such as topics 8 and 10 which include 213 41 and 43 high-probability codes respectively. To assess whether codes tend to be specific to 214 a topic, we normalised their probabilities across topics to make the largest probability 1. We 215 found that, in general, codes are typically specific to topics: most (87/100) are active 216 (normalised probability>0.5) in 3 or fewer topics. However, some codes are active in many topics, such as I10 (essential hypertension, active in 6 topics) and C44 (other malignant 217 218 neoplasms of skin, active in 8 topics) (Figure 3a), suggesting that they have both large

219 prevalence and a large number of multimorbidity partners belonging to different disease 220 clusters. The top disease codes (i.e. the five with the largest probabilities) in the 10 non-empty 221 topics are consistent with known disease mechanisms (Figure 3b), and are most frequently 222 drawn from two (6/10) of the ICD-10 chapters. Specifically, in Topic 5, codes E78 (disorders 223 of lipoprotein metabolism and other lipidemias) and I10 (essential hypertension) are components of the metabolic syndrome ⁵⁴, which is associated with increased risk for 224 cardiovascular diseases (CVD) ⁵⁵, an association supported by the other three inferred top 225 226 codes for this topic (I20, I21 and I25, all heart diseases). Another example is Topic 11, whose 227 top codes include four spondylopathy subtypes, while the remaining one is G55 (nerve root 228 and plexus compressions), a common complication of intervertebral disk disorders.

229 In addition to defining topic vectors, the model also infers individuals' weights for all topics 230 (shown for 2,000 individuals in Figure 3c, Supplementary Table 5). As expected, most 231 individuals have substantial weight for the empty topic, and this weight was strongly and 232 negatively associated (Pearson correlation: -0.853) with the total number of diagnoses. 233 Individuals that were not diagnosed with any of the top-100 ICD-10 codes (629/2,000) have a 234 weight near 1 for the empty topic, while the majority of other individuals (1056/1371) have 235 large weight (>0.1) for less than two disease (non-empty) topic, as expected from the sparsity 236 of the data.

237 To compare the performance of treeLFA with flatLFA and LDA, we used the same input data 238 to train the flatLFA model with 11 topics and the LDA model with 10 topics (no empty topic 239 would be inferred by LDA, so it was trained with one fewer topic). Topics inferred by treeLFA 240 and flatLFA were almost identical (Supplementary Figure 2a), indicating that the input data 241 was large enough to make the impact of the informative prior minimal. Most topics inferred by 242 LDA also had a high level of similarity to the non-empty topics inferred by treeLFA, except for 243 two topics, for which the cosine similarities were 0.685 and 0.853 (Supplementary Figure 2b). 244 Overall, these results indicate that the three topic models captured the same multimorbidity 245 pattern from the top-100 UKB dataset.

246

GWAS on topic weights 247

248 We next investigated whether the quantitative traits defined by the topic weights can be used to identify genetic variants that are associated with an individual's risk for developing 249 250 multimorbidities represented by the topics. We performed GWAS on individuals' weights for 251 the 11 topics inferred by treeLFA (topic-GWAS), and identified associations that reached 252 genome-wide significance ($p<5\times10^{-8}$; non-lead SNPs with $r^2>0.1$ were removed). For comparison, we also performed standard binary GWAS for the 100 ICD-10 codes and the 296 253 254 Phecodes mapped from these ICD-10 codes (see Methods for details).

255 We found 128 independent loci associated with at least one of the 11 topics, while 812 256 independent loci were associated with at least one of the 100 ICD-10 codes; 82 loci were shared between the sets (Figure 4a). Phecode GWAS showed similar patterns 257 258 (Supplementary Figure 3a,b). Breaking this down by topic, we find that unique loci found by 259 topic-GWAS were highly non-randomly distributed (Figure 4b, Supplementary Table 6). Most 260 unique loci were associated with the empty topic (20/36), followed by Topic 8 (17/28) which 261 contains a large number (41) of high probability codes (>0.2) from Chapter 11 (Diseases of 262 the digestive system, 12 codes) and 13 (Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and

263 connective tissue, 13 codes). In contrast, four sparse topics showed no unique loci. Topics 5 264 (metabolic and heart diseases) and 6 (joint diseases) had many associated loci (50 and 17 265 respectively) and also a substantial number of unique loci (5 and 7 respectively), suggesting 266 that active codes in these topics include shared genetic components. The identification of novel loci indicates that topic-GWAS provides additional power for discovery. For example, 267 268 Figure 4c (Supplementary Table 7) compares P-values of lead SNPs from the topic-GWAS 269 for Topic 5, and P-values for association of the same loci with the top five active codes in Topic 270 5 (E78, I10, I20, I21, I25) from the single code GWAS. For most topic-associated lead SNPs, 271 P-values given by topic-GWAS are smaller than those given by the corresponding single code 272 GWAS, indicating increased power for these examples. This also explains some loci uniquely 273 found by topic-GWAS, including some loci that show single-code P-values well below genome-274 wide significance (see Figure 4d for two example loci). Despite the limited numbers of topic-275 associated loci, the genomic control inflation factor and LD score regression (LDSC) indicate 276 that most topics are in fact highly polygenic traits, with the exception of the empty topic and 277 Topic 8, for which LDSC analysis suggests that uncontrolled confounding factors exist 278 (Supplementary Table 8; age, sex and the first 10 PCs were controlled for in topic-GWAS).

279 We next asked whether topic-GWAS simply identify associations with disease groups 280 (categories) represented by internal nodes of the ICD-10 or the Phecode ontology tree, which 281 correspond to expert-led disease clusters and provide a useful contrast to our data-driven 282 multimorbidity clusters. To answer this we performed GWAS on groups of ICD-10 codes or 283 Phecodes corresponding to internal nodes in the respective classification systems. We found 284 634 loci associated with the 68 internal ICD-10 codes and 296 loci associated with the 136 285 internal Phecodes. Of the 128 topic-associated loci, 41 were not associated with any of the 286 internal or terminal ICD-10 codes; and for Phecodes the corresponding number was 56 287 (Supplementary Figure 3c-f). This indicates that topic modelling provides insights into the 288 relationships of diseases beyond those provided by expert-driven disease groupings encoded in ontologies. For example, Topic-8 has the majority of its active codes coming from Chapter 289 290 11 (Diseases of the digestive system) and 13 (Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and 291 connective tissue), and a similar multimorbidity cluster was also identified by a recent study on UK Biobank¹¹. Interestingly, this cluster has many unique loci found by topic-GWAS, 292 293 possibly indicating that these two categories of diseases share some underlying biology.

294 We then compared the topic-GWAS results for topics inferred by treeLFA, flatLFA and LDA. 295 As expected from the similarity of topics inferred by treeLFA and flatLFA, similar numbers of 296 associated loci were identified (128 and 126; Supplementary Figure 4a), compared to LDA, 297 which identified many fewer (65: Supplementary Figure 4b), of which 44 overlap with the 298 treeLFA loci. This difference in numbers of associated loci is mostly due to treeLFA's empty 299 topic (associated with 36 loci), which is not identified by LDA, and also due to differences in 300 the dense Topic 8 (treeLFA, 28 loci; LDA, 4 loci), and topics 5 and 6 (Supplementary Figure 301 4c). One reason for the relatively poor performance of LDA may be that LDA-derived topic weights are negatively correlated with each other, as they must sum to one, while treeLDA's 302 303 topic weights are only negatively correlated with the empty topic weight, but are otherwise 304 almost independent of each other (Supplementary Figure 5).

Validation of topic-GWAS results 305

306 To exclude the possibility that the unique topic-GWAS associations were driven largely by

307 technical biases or population stratification, we validated the results in three ways. First we considered overlap with previously identified loci reported in the GWAS catalogue ⁵⁶. We find 308 309 that 114/128 (89.1%) of all topic-associated loci and 36/46 (78.3%) of unique associations 310 have records in the GWAS catalogue, and this overlap is consistent across topics 311 (Supplementary Figure 6a). Second, we looked at enrichment of topic-associated loci in 312 functional genomic regions. To do this we defined three groups of SNPs, including lead SNPs for all loci associated with ICD-10 codes, a random selection of 10,000 GWAS tag SNPs 313 314 (controls) and topic-associated lead SNPs that were not found by single code GWAS, and 315 then compared the proportions of them that have different functional properties (two-proportion 316 Z-test, adjusted P-value<0.05, Bonferroni correction). We find that compared to random SNPs, 317 a significantly larger proportion of topic-associated SNPs are in genomic regions with strong transcription activity (using chromHMM-predicted chromatin states as proxy ⁵⁷). In addition, 318 the proportions of SNPs that are QTLs and have chromatin interactions (CI) in at least one 319 320 tissue in the first and third groups are similar (0.83 and 0.77 are eQTL, 0.90 and 0.98 have 321 CI), and larger than the corresponding proportions in the control group (0.50 and 0.65 for eQTL 322 and CI), indicating that loci associated with single codes and topics have comparable 323 functional properties which are different from those for controls. (Supplementary Figure 6b-d).

324 Third, we made use of the test data to validate topic-GWAS results. We reasoned that if topics 325 and their associated loci represent true biological processes, then topic-GWAS results should 326 enable us to predict the risks of individual diseases with an accuracy comparable to that 327 achieved using single code GWAS. To do this we first constructed PRS for topic weights using 328 topic-GWAS results on training data. We found that they all show significant association with 329 inferred topic weights on test data (Supplementary Table 9, see Methods for details). We then 330 used these PRS for topics to construct PRS for the 100 ICD-10 codes, by adding individuals' 331 PRS for the ten disease topics weighted by the probability of the ICD-10 code of interest in 332 each topic. For comparison, we also constructed PRS for all ICD-10 codes directly using the 333 single-code GWAS results in the standard way. Each pair of PRS for an ICD-10 code was 334 used to predict individuals' corresponding diagnosed disease in the test data, and their performance was evaluated using the area under the receiver-operator curve (AUC) statistic. 335 336 For 65 ICD-10 codes, topic-PRS AUCs are larger than single-code PRS AUC (Figure 4f, 337 Supplementary Table 10), with increases ranging from 1% to 5%. This increase was seen 338 most for ICD-10 codes from chapters 5 (Mental and behavioural disorders, 75% (3/4) showing 339 increased AUC), 11 (Diseases of the digestive system, 86% (18/21)) and 13 (Diseases of the 340 musculoskeletal system and connective tissue, 70% (14/20)). By contrast, single-code PRS 341 performed well for codes that have a relatively large number of associated loci found by single 342 code GWAS (>10 associated loci; 18/22 disease codes show larger AUC for single-code PRS 343 than topic-PRS; Supplementary Figure 7a,b). Finally, to make an objective comparison of the 344 topic-GWAS for treeLFA and LDA, we constructed PRS for ICD-10 codes from LDA's topic-345 GWAS using the same approach, and found that in the majority of cases (99/100) the PRS based on treeLFA's results have larger AUC (Supplementary Figure 7c), indicating treeLFA's 346 347 topic-GWAS is more informative. Taken together, the three complementary approaches 348 indicate that topic-GWAS associations broadly represent true genetic associations with 349 biological phenotypes.

Inference and topic-GWAS results across models 350

351 Before applying treeLFA to a larger data set containing more diseases, we considered how to 352 select the number of topics (K), a fundamental problem for topic models. We trained treeLFA 353 models with different numbers of topics (K=2-20, 50, 100) on the top-100 data set, and found 354 that for larger K topic vectors were frequently duplicated, therefore we performed clustering 355 on the posterior samples of topics (see Methods for more details). The resulting topics always included an empty topic, and as K increased the topics tended to become more sparse, 356 357 although some dense topics always remained (Figure 5a, Supplementary Table 11). As K increased, topics tended to split into sub-topics, which we visualised in a tree by connecting 358 359 each topic to its most similar topic (measured by Pearson correlation of topic vectors) in the 360 layer above, and we observed that the topics split in a stable way (Figure 5b, Supplementary 361 Table 12). These observations indicate that topic-GWAS loci and associated effect sizes 362 should also be stably identified. We verified this for many loci (examples in Supplementary 363 Figure 8), and Figure 5b illustrates this for a single variant. On the top-100 UKB dataset, the 364 number of distinct topics remaining after clustering is saturated at 25-30 topics (Supplementary Figure 9a). Similarly, the total number of topic-GWAS loci, the number of 365 unique such loci, and the predictive likelihood on the test data all began to saturate beyond 366 367 K=20 (Figure 5b; Supplementary Figure 9b). We do note that for models with K=50 or K=100, 368 we infer several near-empty topics after clustering, which are unlikely to be stable 369 multimorbidity patterns and are challenging to interpret. Taken together, these results indicate 370 that selecting a sufficiently large value for K, combined with post-hoc clustering of topics, is a 371 computationally efficient strategy for producing a stable and comprehensive set of topics.

Results on a larger UKB dataset 372

373 We next defined a larger data set consisting of the 436 ICD-10 codes from chapters 1-14 with 374 a prevalence exceeding 0.001 in UKB (top-436 UKB dataset, Supplementary Table 13), and 375 again randomly split this 80/20 into training and testing datasets. Training treeLFA/flatLFA 376 models with 100 topics, we identified about 40 distinct topics after clustering of posterior 377 samples. Therefore, we kept 40 topics for both models (for the convenience of an objective 378 comparison of predictive likelihood), and collapsed the remaining near-empty topics into the 379 empty topic.

380 Since the inference results (topics) given by different treeLFA/flatLFA chains were not exactly 381 the same, we used the result given by the chain with the largest predictive likelihood on the 382 test data for the downstream analyses. Among the 40 inferred topics, 29 were found by all 383 three treeLFA chains, and five were found by two treeLFA chains, suggesting most topics 384 were stably identified from the data (Figure 6a, Supplementary Table 14). The 40 topics again 385 include several dense topics, with topics 1-5 including more than 40 active codes (having a 386 normalised probability>0.5 in a topic). The set includes many sparse topics, with most 387 including active codes enriched (Fisher exact test, adjusted P values<0.05, FDR corrected) 388 for 1-2 ICD-10 chapters, and again a single empty topic (Figure 6a-b; Supplementary Table 389 14,15). The top active codes in topics (defined as having an unnormalized probability>0.3) are 390 shown in Table 1, where topics are annotated based on the categories of these top active 391 codes. For most topics, their top active codes represent similar diseases, such as diseases

392 affecting the same physiological system or having the same pathological mechanism. 393 Comparing topics identified by treeLFA and flatLFA, we found that 32 topics were identified 394 by both models (cosine similarity>0.9; Supplementary Figure 10a), while the remaining topics 395 have substantial differences. Overall, the predictive likelihood of treeLFA chains was better 396 than that of flatLFA, and has a smaller range (Supplementary Figure 10b), indicating that the 397 tree-based prior is indeed helpful in extracting meaningful patterns from the data.

398 We then performed topic-GWAS on the 40 treeLFA and flatLFA topics we kept. We found 278 399 treeLFA and 260 flatFLA genome-wide significant loci, with the majority (207) found in both 400 sets and associated with corresponding topics (Supplementary Figure 10c-d, Supplementary 401 Table 16). We also performed single-code GWAS on the 436 ICD-10 codes and found 1.093 402 associated loci, among them 198 were also associated with treeLFA topics. Lead SNPs for 403 loci only associated with treeLFA topics (80 unique loci) had smaller effect sizes (median 404 absolute effect size: 0.021) compared to loci supported by both topics and single codes (0.024) 405 (Figure 6d, Supplementary Table 17), indicating that topic-GWAS enabled the discovery of 406 variants with small effects on multiple related diseases. Unique loci were not uniformly 407 distributed across topics; as in the top-100 dataset, many were associated with the empty 408 topic (21). Topics 3 and 30 also have large proportions of unique loci (81.5% and 83.3%), and 409 most of their active codes are from Chapter 13 (Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and 410 connective tissue) (Figure 6e, Supplementary Table 18). Other topics that are associated with 411 substantial numbers of unique loci are shown in the Supplementary Table 19.

412 We validated the topic-associated loci for the larger dataset using the same approach as used 413 with the top-100 dataset. Overall, 89.2% (248/278) of topic-associated loci and 78.9% (63/80) 414 of unique associations have records in the GWAS catalogue. The functional validation results 415 were also similar to that on the top-100 dataset, with unique topic-associated loci and single 416 code associated loci exhibiting similar profiles (Supplementary Figure 11). The two types of 417 PRS for single codes were also constructed using single code and topic-GWAS results. For 418 130 in 436 (30%) ICD-10 codes, PRS based on topic-GWAS resulted in larger AUC on the 419 test data. These codes were mainly from Chapter 3 (Diseases of the blood and blood-forming 420 organs and certain disorders involving the immune mechanism, 5/12), Chapter 9 (Diseases of 421 the circulatory system, 21/48) and Chapter 13 (Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and 422 connective tissue, 24/52). In contrast, for most (93 of 109) ICD-10 codes with more than 5 423 associated loci, PRS based on single code GWAS resulted in better performance 424 (Supplementary Table 20). To compare treeLFA and flatLFA, we also constructed PRS for 425 single codes based on flatLFA results, and compared their AUC on the test data to that of 426 treeLFA. For 231 in 436 codes (53%), PRS based on treeLFA showed better performance. 427 Supplementary Figure 10e compares the density plots for the AUC of PRS for all codes given 428 by the two methods, where treeFLA shows a minor advantage.

Discussion 430

Multimorbidity is a major challenge for today's healthcare systems, yet our understanding of it 431 remains limited ⁵⁸. The establishment of biobanks linked to electronic health records presents 432 an opportunity for a more systematic study of multimorbidity, and highlights the need for 433 434 reliable and powerful analytic tools to enable the identification of major multimorbidity clusters 435 and downstream analyses of paired phenotype and -omics data.

436 Here we developed treeLFA, a topic model inspired by Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) that 437 admits a prior for topics constructed on existing tree-structured medical ontologies. We 438 compared it to flatLFA and LDA on both simulated and UKB data, and found that the prior was 439 effective at extracting relevant topics from limited input data, such as data involving rare 440 diseases. We also found that the novel model structure better fits the binary input data, 441 resulting in the identification of an empty ("healthy") topic, and ensuring that topic weights for 442 the remaining disease topics were largely uncorrelated, improving power for downstream 443 topic-GWAS. We implemented algorithms to optimise hyperparameters of the model, and 444 developed a computationally efficient approach to determine the number of meaningful topics 445 to be inferred.

By applying treeLFA to HES data for 436 common diseases recorded in UKB, we identified 40 446 447 topics reflecting combinations of diseases that tend to co-occur. These topics varied in density 448 and include a single empty topic, many sparse topics that each include a small number of 449 active disease codes, and several dense topics. We found that the inferred topics were largely 450 consistent with the current disease classification system (ICD-10), yet treeLFA also combines 451 diseases distant on the tree structure into the same topic, indicating the utility of supplementing 452 expert-led knowledge systems with data-driven methods. By comparing the topics inferred by 453 treeLFA and LDA, and topics inferred by different treeLFA models, we found that 454 multimorbidity clusters were consistently inferred, indicating the existence of stable 455 multimorbidity clusters, and that topic modelling of cross-sectional diagnosis data is an 456 informative method of finding them.

457 Most inferred topics likely reflect underlying aetiology, as indicated by the fact that the large 458 majority (34/40) show genome-wide significant associations with genetic markers, while 20 459 topics are associated with 80 novel loci that do not reach genome wide significance in GWAS 460 for single ICD-10 codes, and show evidence of functionality using multiple methods. The active 461 ICD-10 codes in the topics with the most novel associations are mainly from Chapter 13 462 (Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue), with substantial contributions 463 also from Chapters 4 (Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases), 9 (Diseases of the 464 circulatory system) and 14 (Diseases of genito-urinary system), suggesting that diseases in 465 these chapters share genetic risk factors.

466 With topic-GWAS results, we explored constructing PRS for a single code as the sum of PRS 467 for all topics weighted by the probabilities of the code in topics. We found that for certain codes, 468 especially codes with very few GWAS hits from Chapter 13, this new type of PRS outperforms 469 the standard PRS based on single code GWAS results. This improvement in prediction might 470 result from better estimation of effect sizes of variants by topic-GWAS. This is because 471 although treeLFA factorises the input matrix in a linear way, it achieves a dimension reduction 472 by mapping from the disease space to the topic space. This results in fewer traits and therefore

473 more 'cases' for each one, which is especially beneficial for the study of the highly polygenic 474 traits (topic weights), where there are a large number of SNPs with small effects.

475 In contrast to topic-GWAS, single-code GWAS on the 463 common diseases resulted in 1,093 476 significant associations, of which the vast majority (895) were not associated with any topic. 477 Taken together, these genetic analyses indicate that the majority of genetic associations are 478 driven through links to individual diseases. Meanwhile, most multimorbidity clusters also have 479 genetic bases, which are mainly composed of pleiotropic genetic variants affecting risks of 480 multiple active diseases in the cluster. Besides, there are also a substantial number of 481 associations for topics that are difficult to identify by single code GWAS due to the lack of 482 power. From a biological perspective, they might reflect the complex connections between 483 upstream pathways that are distant to individual diseases, or variants with direct yet small 484 effects across multiple diseases. Overall, these observations are a helpful starting point for 485 our pursuit of a deeper understanding of the mechanisms underlying multimorbidity clusters.

We consistently identified an "empty" topic, which reflects individuals' overall disease burden. 486 487 since their weights for the "empty" topic are negatively correlated with their total number of 488 diagnosed diseases. Perhaps surprisingly we found that this topic showed many genetic 489 associations, many of which (21/35) had not been identified before. An enrichment analysis 490 for topic-associated genes (Methods) indicated several lifestyle-associated factors, such as 491 gym attendance and religious observance, suggesting that this topic may be related to 492 individuals' health behaviour, which in part is genetically determined. In contrast, for most 493 sparse disease topics, the enriched gene sets are usually directly related to the active codes 494 in the topic (Supplementary Table 21).

495 There have been many studies aiming at identifying multimorbidity patterns using various 496 methods ^{12,13,16,17,59}. Most of these studies focus on dozens of diseases that in addition varied 497 from study to study, making comparisons difficult. We compared the topics identified by 498 treeLFA with multimorbidity networks (which are interpretable at the level of genetic loci) found 499 by a recent study using the HES data for 439 common diseases in UKB (433 of these are included in the top-436 UKB dataset in our study) ¹¹. For most of the disease networks, there 500 501 are specific corresponding disease topics identified by treeLFA (Supplementary Table 22). 502 However, the overlap of active codes in treeLFA inferred topics and the disease networks is 503 limited, suggesting significant differences in the details of the inference results. This 504 discrepancy could be caused by the fundamental differences between the two methods, since 505 treeLFA analyses all diseases simultaneously, while multimorbidity networks were constructed 506 based on pairs of diseases that tend to co-occur. One limitation of topic models is that they 507 cannot determine the relationships between active diseases in the same topic. In contrast, an 508 advantage of topic models is that they make direct use of individual level data for the genetic 509 analyses, and allows for making predictions on the test data, which provides an objective way 510 to compare different methods.

511 Our work represents real progress in the understanding of multimorbidity, yet also reveals 512 important and unsolved challenges. For instance, while we showed that taken together the novel genetic associations likely represent true biology, we have not performed individual 513 514 replication of the findings in this study in independent data sets and this may be challenging 515 unless the data sources and methods of data collection are comparable to UK Biobank. The

- 516 problem of inferring disease topics that are stable, tractable and biologically meaningful across 517 geographies and healthcare systems represents a major challenge for future research.

Acknowledgements 518

- 519 This work uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and
- 520 Support. Funded by the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (CAMS) China Oxford
- 521 Institute (COI) and Studentship from the China Scholarship Council (CSC) (YZ).
- 522 Computation used the Oxford Biomedical Research Computing (BMRC) facility, a joint
- 523 development between the Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics and the Big Data Institute
- supported by Health Data Research UK and the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. 524
- 525 We thank Chris Holmes for the discussion.

Author Contributions 526

- Y.Z.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, methodology, software, validation, 527
- 528 visualisation, writing-original draft; X.J.: conceptualization, data curation, methodology,
- 529 software, writing: review&editing; A.J.M: conceptualization, project administration,
- 530 supervision, writing: review&editing; G.L: conceptualization, investigation, methodology,
- project administration, resources, supervision, writing-original draft, writing: review&editing; 531
- 532 GM: conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, resources,
- 533 supervision, writing: review&editing;

Declaration of Interests 534

535 G.M. is a director of and shareholder in Genomics PLC, and a partner in Peptide Groove LLP. 536 G.L. is a shareholder in Genomics PLC. The other authors declare no competing financial 537 interests.

Figures 539



topics on the tree structure

540

541 Figure 1 Schematic for topic modelling of the diagnosis data in UKB with treeLFA.

- 542 The presence and absence of S disease codes for D individuals in the biobank is modelled 543 with D×S Bernoulli distributions. The matrix of Bernoulli probabilities is factored into the 544 product of a topic matrix and a topic weight matrix. Individuals' weights for topics are 545 modelled with categorical distributions with a Dirichlet prior. Each topic is composed of S 546 probability variables with Beta priors to parameterize the Bernoulli distributions for disease 547 codes. Disease codes can be either active or inactive in topics. Active disease codes have 548 large probability while inactive ones have near zero probability. A prior for topics (specifies 549 the likelihood of different disease codes to be active in topics) is constructed on the tree 550 structure of disease codes specified by a medical ontology (such as the ICD-10 coding 551 system). The path from the root node to active leaf nodes (corresponding to all active 552 disease codes in a topic) are highlighted on a three-layered tree structure for 13 disease
 - 553 codes in 4 topics.
 - 554



555

Figure 2 Comparison of three related topic models (treeLFA, flatLFA and LDA) on 556 557 simulated datasets.

558 a, The informative and non-informative tree structures used by treeLFA and flatLFA.

559 b, The tree structure of the 20 disease codes used for simulation. Red nodes on the tree correspond to 560 all active disease codes in Topic 4 used for simulation (in Figure 2c).

561 c, The four topics used for simulation. The heatmap shows the probabilities of diseases in topics. Each 562 row corresponds to a disease code, each column corresponds to a topic. Inactive disease codes in 563 topics have zero probability. All active disease codes in each of the first three topics come from the 564 same branch of the tree in Figure 2b. Active codes in the last topic come from the last two branches of 565 the tree in Figure 2b.

566 d-g, Comparison of three topic models on simulated datasets. The performance of three topic models 567 (treeLFA, flatLFA and LDA) on four groups of simulated datasets are shown. The four groups of datasets 568 were generated using the same topics (Figure 2c), and different values for D (number of individuals in 569 the training dataset) and α (the concentration parameter of the Dirichlet prior for individuals' topic 570 weights). For each combination of D and α , 20 datasets (including both training and testing datasets) 571 were simulated. Inference accuracy of topic models is evaluated using the averaged per disease 572 difference between true and inferred probability of all diseases in all 4 topics (box plots). Each dot in a 573 box plot is the result of one model on one dataset, and dots for different models on the same dataset 574 are connected with grey lines. For treeLFA and flatLFA, the predictive likelihood on the testing datasets 575 were calculated using topics inferred on the training data. Each dot in the point plot represents the 576 treeLFA to flatLFA ratio of per individual averaged predictive likelihood for one dataset. d, Results on 577 datasets simulated using D=2500 and α =1. e, Results on datasets simulated using D=5000 and α =1. f, 578 Results on datasets simulated using D=300 and α =0.1, g, Results on datasets simulated using D=1000 579 and α=0.1.





582 Figure 3 Inference results given by treeLFA on the top 100 UKB dataset.

583 a, 11 topics inferred by treeLFA from the top-100 UKB dataset. The heatmap shows the probabilities 584 of 100 ICD-10 codes in the 11 treeLFA topics, in which each row is an ICD-10 code and each column 585 is a topic. Topics are arranged in a descending order of their corresponding entries in the optimised α 586 vector (the single-row heatmap on the top). The tree structure for the 100 ICD-10 codes is shown to 587 the left of the heatmap. Codes from different chapters of the ICD-10 coding system are colored 588 differently. The barplot below the heatmap shows the numbers of ICD-10 codes with a probability of at 589 least 0.2 in topics. The barplot on the right side of the heatmap shows the number of topics in which 590 an ICD-10 code is active (with a normalised probability of at least 0.5).

591 b, The top 5 codes with the largest probability in the 10 non-empty treeLFA topics (topics 2-11 in 592 Figure 3a). Numbers in the brackets show the probabilities of disease codes in topics.

- c, Inferred weights for the 11 topics for 2000 random individuals. Each row in the heatmap is a topic, 593
- 594 and each column is an individual.



596

597 Figure 4 topic-GWAS result for the 11 topics inferred by treeLFA.

598 a, The total numbers of significant loci found by topic-GWAS for the 11 treeLFA topics and single code 599 GWAS for the 100 ICD-10 codes, and the overlap of these two sets of loci.

b, The numbers of significant loci found by both single code/topic-GWAS, and the numbers of loci only 600 601 found by topic-GWAS for the 11 treeLFA topics.

- 602 c, Comparison of P-values given by topic-GWAS for all lead SNPs for Topic 5 and P-values for the
- 603 same SNPs given by single code GWAS for the top 5 active codes (E78, I10, I20, I21, I25) in Topic 5.

604 d, The Manhattan plot for Topic 5, and the regional Manhattan plots for single code/topic-GWAS results 605 for two example lead SNPs of Topic 5.

606 e, Comparison of two types of PRS for the 100 ICD-10 codes. One type of PRS is directly constructed

607 using the single code GWAS results. Another type of PRS for ICD-10 codes is constructed as the sum

608 of PRS for topics weighted by the probabilities of an ICD-10 code in all topics. The AUC of these two

- 609 types of PRS on the test dataset are plotted.
- 610



```
611
```

612 Figure 5 Inference and topic-GWAS results across treeLFA models set with different

613 numbers of topics.

614 a, Averaged inferred topics given by the treeLFA models set with 2, 5, 20 and 100 topics. For each 615 treeLFA model, ten Gibbs chains were trained, and 50 posterior samples of topics were collected from 616 each chain. Posterior samples of topics from different chains are mixed and clustered, and the mean

- 617 topic vectors are then calculated for each cluster.
- 618 b, Relationship of topics inferred by different models. All topics inferred by all treeLFA models are 619 organised into a tree structure. Each node on the tree is a topic inferred by a model, and all nodes on 620 the same layer (level) of the tree are all topics inferred by the same treeLFA model (set with a certain 621 number of topics). Each topic in the tree is connected to its most similar topic (measured with the 622 Pearson correlation) in the layer above. Topics associated with the SNP rs143384 are colored 623 according to the -log10(P-value) for the SNP in the corresponding linear regression. Most of the 624 associated topics are in the same branch of the tree, so all topics in this branch are plotted in the 625 heatmap on the right side of the tree, with names of topics (model.topic-index) associated with 626 rs143384 highlighted in red. In the barplot below the heatmap, effect sizes and standard errors of 627 rs143384 given by topic-GWAS for the above topics are plotted. The line plot to the left of the tree 628 shows the total numbers of topic-associated loci and the numbers of topic-associated loci that are not 629 found by single code GWAS for different treeLFA models.
- 630





632 Figure 6 Inference and topic-GWAS results for the 40 topics inferred by treeLFA from 633 the top-436 UKB dataset.

634 a, The 40 topics inferred by the treeLFA model set with 100 topics. Topics are ordered according to

635 their density (the sum of the probability of all codes in the topic). The tree structure of the 436 ICD-10

636 codes is plotted to the left of the heatmap. The colour bar on top shows for each topic the number of 637 treeLFA chains which inferred it.

638 b, The numbers of active codes (with a normalised probability of at least 0.5) in different topics coming

639 from different ICD-10 chapters. Enriched chapters among active codes in topics are highlighted with

640 shades in the cells (Fisher exact test, FDR<0.05).

641 c, The total numbers of loci associated with the 40 treeLFA topics and the 436 ICD-10 codes, and the 642 overlap of these two sets of loci.

643 d, Distribution of effect sizes given by topic-GWAS for lead SNPs associated with only topic and lead

644 SNPs associated with both topic and single code. 645 e, The total numbers of loci associated with different topics (in the same order as topics in Figure 6a),

646 and the numbers of topic-associated loci that are not found by single code GWAS (red).

Tables 648

Table 1 Names and top active codes of the 40 topics inferred by treeLFA on the 649 650 top-436 UKB dataset.

651 Top ICD-10 codes (with an unnormalized probability of at least 0.3) in the 40 topics inferred by treeLFA

652 on the top-436 UKB dataset are shown. Topics are named using the ICD-10 chapters which make major

653 contributions to their top active codes (different chapters are connected with "-" in the names). Words 654 after colons in the names of topics give further summary of the top active codes from a specific chapter.

655 Topic 1 and 2 are not named since they are very dense, and there is no obvious pattern in their top 656 active codes.

Торіс	Name	Top active codes
1	-	-
2	-	-
3	Musculoskeletal:joint-1	M19,I10,M17,M25,M13,M54,K21,E78,M47,M23,K44,E66,M15,M 79,J45,K29,M16,M75,K57,G56,F32,E11,M51,M65
4	Neoplasm-Digestive	C78,C18,C77,I10,K56,D64,K63,C79,K59,K57,K66,C20,J90,K91, N39,K62,J18,K52,E87,N17,D12,B96,K43,K29,E86,A41
5	Neoplasm-Respiratory-Blood	C79,C78,D70,C77,J18,A41,J90,C34,I10,D64,J22,K59,C50,E87, N17,N39,A09,C80,J98,K52
6	Digestive-Circulatory	I10,I25,E78,K29,I20,K44,K57,K21,E11,K62,K63,I84,D12,K22,D5 0,J45,D64,K20,K92,J44,K31,I48
7	Nervous:cerebrovascular	I10,I63,E78,G81,I67,N39,I69,G40,F32,I48,J18,K59,E87,H53,B96 ,G45,J22,G93
8	Respiratory-Mental	J44,J18,F17,F32,J45,I10,F10,J22,F41,J43,J98,J47,J96,E87
9	Circulatory:heart-Metabolic-1	I10,I25,I48,I50,E78,I51,I20,I44,I34,I21,I08,I47,I35,J90,J18,I49,I42 ,E11
10	Urinary:male-1	I10,N32,N40,N39,E78,C67,N30,N35,N13,E11,N20,C61,K57
11	Metabolic:diabetes-Eye	E11,I10,E78,E10,H26,H36,E14,I25,H25,E66
12	Musculoskeletal:spine	M51,M54,G55,M47,M48,I10,M79,M43,M50
13	Reproductive:female-1	D25,N83,N92,N80,N73,N94
14	Skin:infection	L03,I10,L40,B95,L02

15	Musculoskeletal·ioint-2	M19 M20 M25 110 M75 M16
40		
16	Reproductive:female-2	N95,N84,N85,I10,D25,N81
17	Eye-1	H26,H25,I10,H35,H40,H33,H52
18	Circulatory:heart-Metabolic-2	I25,I10,E78,I20,I21
19	Digestive:lower GI-1	K52,K51,K62,K63,K50,K57,I84,A09
20	Digestive:lower GI-2	K57,D12,K63,K62,I84,I10
21	Digestive:upper GI	K44,K29,K21,K22,K20,K31,I10
22	Digestive:hepatobiliary	K80,I10,K81,K82,K85
23	Urinary:female	N39,N81,N32
24	Neoplasm:skin-Skin	C44,D22,L57,L98,L82,I10,C43
25	Urinary:male-2	N40,N32,I10,C61,K40
26	Metabolic	I10,E78,I48,E11
27	Renal:kidney	N20,I10,N23,N13
28	Respiratory:upper	J34,J33,J32,J45
29	Ear:hearing	H72,H91,H90,H65,I10
30	Musculoskeletal:knee	M23,M17,I10
31	Neoplasm:breast	C50,C77,D05
32	Digestive:lower GI-3	I84,K62,K57
33	Reproductive:female-4	N92,D25,N84

34	Circulatory:peripheral vascular	I80,M79,I83,I26
35	Digestive:teeth	K02,K08,K01,K04
36	Reproductive:female-5	N87,N84,N95
37	Eye:appendicle	H02,H04
38	Eye-2	H26,H33
39	Digestive:hernia	K40
40	Healthy	Empty

Methods 658

Full technical details for treeLFA are given in the analytical note in the supplemental data. 659

Validation of treeLFA with simulated data 660

661 The simulation study served two purposes. Firstly, we aimed to verify that treeLFA can

662 accurately infer latent topics from the diagnosis data encoded as binary variables. Secondly,

663 we aimed to compare the performance of the three topic models (treeLFA, flatLFA and LDA)

664 discussed in the next section, such that the influence of model structure and treeLFA's

- 665 informative prior for topics on the inference can be assessed.
- Overview of the three related topic models 666
- 1. treeLFA 667
- treeLFA ("latent factor allocation with a tree structured prior for topics") is a topic model 668
- 669 designed for binary diagnosis data in biobanks based on the Bayesian mean-parameterized
- 670 binary non-negative matrix factorization ⁴¹. It models the presence and absence of S disease
- 671 codes for D individuals with D×S Bernoulli distributions, and the matrix of Bernoulli probability
- 672 for all disease variables is factored into the topic matrix (ϕ) and the topic weight matrix (θ). 673 The loading of disease code s in topic t (ϕ_{ts}) is its corresponding Bernoulli probability in the
- 674 topic, and the Bernoulli probability of the disease variable for individual d and disease code
- 675 s is a mixture of the Bernoulli probability of code s in all topics, with the mixing coefficients
- 676 specified by the topic weights for individual d. treeLFA also incorporates an informative prior
- 677 for topics constructed by running a Markov process on a tree structure of individual words,
- 678 which assumes that disease codes on the same subtree are likely to have similar Bernoulli
- 679 probability in the same topics (see the details in the analytic note).
- 680 2. flatLFA

681 flatLFA has the same model space as treeLFA, with the only difference being that flatLFA 682 uses a non-informative prior for topics, which is constructed on a tree structure where all 683 nodes (representing all disease codes in a topic) are placed directly under the common root 684 node. By comparing the performance treeLFA and flatLFA, the contribution of treeLFA's 685 informative prior for topics to the inference can be assessed.

686 3. LDA

Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA ⁶⁰)'s model configuration is different from treeLFA. LDA only 687 models the disease codes that are diagnosed for individuals with categorical distributions. 688 689 For LDA, each topic is a categorical distribution (or a Multinomial distribution if the input data 690 is viewed as a count matrix) across the S disease codes, and a Dirichlet prior distribution is 691 used to generate these topics. By contrast, for treeLFA each topic is a sequence of Bernoulli 692 probability for the S disease codes, and S Beta distributions are used to generate the topics.

Description of simulated data 693

694 We simulate multiple data sets using different topics and hyperparameters to assess the 695 performance of the three topic models (treeLFA, flatLFA and LDA) in different situations. We

696 simulate the input data sets in two steps. Firstly, we build a tree structure for 20 disease 697 codes (Figure 2B). The tree structure has three layers. The first layer is the root node; the 698 second layer contains five nodes, and each of them has three children nodes in the third 699 layer. Secondly, we generate topics of disease codes using the tree structure above. A 700 Markov process on the tree structure is used to do this (see the analytic note), and the 701 rationale for choosing the parameter of the Markov process is explained below.

702 The Markov process chooses active disease codes (disease codes having large probability 703 in a topic) for each topic by generating binary indicator variables for disease codes (with 1 704 represents active codes, and 0 represent inactive codes in a topic) (see the analytic note). The Markov process has two transition probabilities, ρ_{01} and ρ_{11} , which control the sparsity of 705 706 topics ($\rho_{01} = P(I = 1|I^{parent} = 0)$, $\rho_{11} = P(I = 1|I^{parent} = 1)$). Small values for both ρ_{01} and ρ_{11} give 707 rise to sparse topics (because most codes in a topic will be inactive), while large values for 708 both generate dense topics. p₁₁ also controls the clustering of active codes in topics. With a 709 large ρ_{11} , most children codes of an active parent code will be active. As a result, active codes 710 in a topic will gather on the same branch of the tree. By contrast, if ρ_{11} is small, active codes 711 will spread across the entire tree. For our simulation, we use topics resembling those 712 generated with small ρ_{01} and large ρ_{11} . This reflects our belief that in the real world most topics 713 of disease codes should be sparse (thus we chose small ρ_{01}), and that active disease codes 714 in the same topic tend to come from the same subtree (thus we chose large ρ_{11}).

715 For our simulation, we construct two sets of topics manually. The first set of topics are likely 716 to be generated using a Markov process with small ρ_{01} and large ρ_{11} (hyperparameter setting 717 used for inference on the simulated dataset), while the second set of topics are unlikely to be 718 generated by this Markov process. The first set of topics are used to test if the tree structure 719 of codes improves inference accuracy, and the second set of topics are to test the robustness 720 of treeLFA's inference when the tree structure of codes is wrongly specified. We manually 721 specified the topics to ensure that they are completely distinct from each other and have 722 strong patterns with respect to the clustering of active codes.

723 Figure 2B shows the first set of topics. For the first three topics, all codes on one branch of 724 the tree are active, and the remaining codes are inactive. In the last topic, all codes from two 725 branches of the tree are active. These topics are likely to be generated using a Markov 726 process with small ρ_{01} and large ρ_{11} , since a parent code and all its children codes are always 727 in the same state (either active or inactive). In the second simulation setting, active diseases 728 in topics are not generated according to their adjacency on the tree (Supplementary Figure 729 1B). We construct these topics by switching a fraction of active codes between topics in the 730 first simulation setting. As a result, active parent codes always have inactive children codes, 731 and inactive parent codes always have active children codes.

732 We simulate disease data using the topics described above and the generative process of 733 treeLFA. For each dataset, we split the data into training and testing data of the same size. 734 To evaluate the topic models in different situations in each topic setting, we use four 735 combinations of two hyperparameters to simulate data: α (the concentration parameter of the 736 Dirichlet prior for topic weights θ) and D (the number of individuals in the training dataset). A 737 large value for α means that most individuals will have large topic weights spread across 738 topics. By contrast, a small value for α will make topic weights for each individual more

- 739 concentrated on a single topic. A large α makes the inference difficult, since most individuals
- 740 are a mixture of multiple topics. Therefore, data sets simulated using large α require larger D
- 741 for accurate inference. For each hyperparameter and topic setting, we simulate 20 datasets.
- Supplementary Table 1 summarises the hyperparameter and topic settings. 742
- Implementation of the inference procedure 743

744 LDA is implemented using the R package "topicmodels", and collapsed Gibbs sampling is 745 used to do the inference. treeLFA and flatLFA are implemented from scratch by us using the 746 R package "RcppParallel" and "Rcpp".

- 747 For the hyperparameters of treeLFA and flatLFA, we provide the true value of α to train the 748 three models. Beta priors for the probability of active and inactive disease codes in topics (ϕ) 749 are Beta(2,4) and Beta(0.3,80). Beta priors for the transition probability (ρ_{01} and ρ_{11}) of the 750 Markov process are Beta(4.8,20) and Beta(20,4.8) (treeLFA). For flatLFA only ρ_{01} will be used 751 on the tree, and its prior is Beta(7,20), resulting in approximately the same expected number 752 of active codes in topics as treeLFA. For LDA we try a few different values (0.01,0.1,1) for n, 753 the concentration parameter of the Dirichlet prior for topics. We find that with $\eta = 0.01$ LDA
- 754 has the best performance evaluated by the inference accuracy.
- 755 For the initialization of hidden variables for treeLFA and flatLFA, we initialised all indicator 756 variables (I) as 0, and then simulate all probability variables (ϕ) using the Beta prior for 757 inactive disease codes. Topic assignment variables (Z) are randomly sampled for all 758 individuals.
- 759 For each simulation scenario, ten Gibbs chains were sampled, and 20 posterior samples of 760 hidden variables were collected from each Gibbs chain with an interval of 100 iterations after
- 761 15,000 burn-in iterations.
- Evaluation and comparison of topic models on simulated datasets 762
- 763 Two metrics are used to evaluate the models in simulations. The first metric is the inference 764 accuracy, measured with the averaged per disease difference between true and inferred

topic loadings: $\Delta \varphi = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{s=1}^{S} \left| \varphi_{ks}^{true} - \varphi_{ks}^{infer} \right|}{K \times S}$, where φ_{ks} is the Bernoulli probability of disease 765

766 code s in topic k. To reorder the inferred topics as the true topics, we match each inferred

767 topic to the true topic that has the highest cosine similarity, in a greedy procedure (i.e. once 768 a true topic is matched, it is removed from the matching of the next inferred topic). The

- 769 pairwise t-test is used to test for statistical difference between two different models. The
- 770 second metric is the predictive likelihood on the test data (see the analytic note for more
- 771 details). For each posterior sample of topics, 200 Monte-carlo samples of topic weight θ are
- 772 used to approximate the predictive likelihood. A sensitivity analysis is done to ensure the
- 773 number of samples for θ is enough to have a stable estimate of the predictive likelihood.

Inference on the top-100 UK Biobank dataset 774

The input data for treeLFA 775

776 The input to treeLFA includes the diagnosis data for individuals in the UK Biobank, and the 777 tree structure for disease codes. The diagnosis dataset is constructed from the Hospital 778 Episode Statistics (HES) data in the UK Biobank, which is coded using the five-layered 779 hierarchical ICD-10 billing system. The first layer of the ICD-10 tree structure is the root node: 780 the second layer is composed of chapters of diseases coded using capital English letters; the 781 third layer contains blocks of disease categories; the fourth layer contains single disease 782 categories; and lastly, the bottom layer contains sub-categories of diseases, which can be, 783 for instance, the same disease occurring at different sites of human body, or subtypes of the 784 same disease. In UK Biobank, most of the diagnosed diseases are encoded using codes on 785 the bottom layer (fifth layer) of the tree. We use the fourth layer of encoding as diagnoses, 786 where we replace all diagnoses with their parental code in the fourth layer.

787 The top 100 most frequent ICD-10 codes in UK Biobank from the first 13 chapters of the ICD-788 10 coding system are chosen to construct the dataset. This selection of chapters provides a 789 balance between breadth of phenotype and depth within any one chapter so that the potential 790 benefits of treeLFA can be explored. The diagnosis data is a binary matrix, with each row 791 represents an individual, and each column a disease code. Zeros and ones in the matrix are 792 used to represent the absence and presence of diagnosed ICD-10 codes for individuals. If 793 an individual is diagnosed with the same disease code several times, we keep only one 794 record to avoid bias of repeated diagnoses. The full dataset is randomly split into a training 795 dataset and a testing dataset, containing the diagnosis record for 80% and 20% individuals.

796 The tree structure of disease codes is encoded in a table with 2 columns: the first column 797 contains all the ICD-10 codes on the tree, and the second column records the parent codes 798 of the corresponding codes in the first column (Supplementary Table 3).

Implementation of treeLFA 799

800 Training strategy for treeLFA

801 The Gibbs-EM algorithm is firstly used to optimise α in two stages. In the first stage we run 802 2,000 iterations of the Gibbs-EM algorithm. In the E-step of each iteration, we run the Gibbs 803 sampler for treeLFA for 20 iterations and collect one posterior sample of Z (19 burn-in Gibbs 804 sampling iterations before the collection of the posterior sample). In the M-step, α is optimised 805 using this single posterior sample of Z collected in the E-step. In the second stage we continue 806 to run the Gibbs-EM algorithm for 200 iterations. In the E-step of each iteration, we run the 807 Gibbs sampler for treeLFA for 200 iterations and collect ten posterior samples of Z in total (19 808 burn-in Gibbs sampling iterations before the collection of each posterior sample). The reason 809 to have two stages of training is to balance the computational speed with the inference 810 accuracy. In the first stage, we optimise α more frequently and quickly get close to its optimal 811 value. In the second stage, α is more accurately optimised based on multiple posterior 812 samples of Z.

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

After optimising α , we use collapsed Gibbs sampler to simulate posterior distributions of all hidden variables (Z, I, ϕ , ρ), with α fixed at and hidden variables initialised at the values provided by the last iteration of the Gibbs-EM algorithm. 5,000 burn-in iterations are run before the collection of posterior samples of hidden variables. For each topic model, ten Gibbs chains are constructed, and 50 posterior samples are collected with an interval of 100 iterations from each chain.

819 Choices of hyperparameters and initialization of hidden variables

820 To shorten the training with the Gibbs-EM algorithm, we initialise α as (1,0.1,...,0.1). The first 821 entry in α is much larger than the others, and it corresponds to the empty topic that will always 822 be inferred from real-world diagnosis data. We also initialise α in other ways, such as using 823 (1,...1), and we find that model converge to the same results regardless of the ways of 824 initialization of α , and the optimised α is usually more close to (1,0.1,...,0.1) than other 825 choices. For topic assignment variable Z, we assign the empty topic (topic 1, corresponds to 826 the first entry in α) to all disease variables for individuals without any diagnosed disease 827 codes. For individuals with at least one diagnosed disease code, all topics are randomly 828 assigned to all disease variables. For topics, all indicator variable I are initialised as 0, and 829 probability variable ϕ are randomly sampled from Beta(1,5,000,000). Beta(0.3,80) and 830 Beta(2,4) are used as the prior for ϕ of inactive and active codes. Beta(3,20) and Beta(3,3) 831 are used as the prior for transition probability ρ_{01} and ρ_{11} of the Markov process on the tree. 832 The hyperparameters for flatLFA are set in the same way as treeLFA. For LDA, the 833 concentration parameters of the Dirichlet priors for topic weights (α) and topics (η) are both 834 initialised as a vector of 0.1. α is not optimised since we find that this has negligible influence 835 on the inference result (inferred topics) and downstream analyses (topic-GWAS).

836 Post-processing of inference result

837 Approximation of topic weights

838 The topic weight variable θ is integrated out during the collapsed Gibbs sampling, therefore 839 their posterior samples need to be approximated using posterior samples of Z and α . θ can 840 be computed as in Griffiths and Steyvers ⁵³: $\theta_{dt} = \frac{N_{dt} + \alpha_t}{N_d + \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k}$, where N_{dt} is the total number of 841 disease variables assigned with topic t for individual d, and N_d is the total number of disease 842 variables.

843 **Combining inference results from different Gibbs chains**

To combine the inference results given by different Gibbs chains, the "identifiability" issue needs to be addressed, since the order of topics in different posterior samples from different chains may not be the same.

We combine all posterior samples of topics from all chains together, and cluster topics before taking the average within each cluster. To cluster topics from all samples, we firstly construct a shared nearest neighbour (SNN) graph using the R package "scran" ⁶¹. With the SNN graph, we use the "Louvain" algorithm ⁶², a community detection algorithm implemented in the R package "igraph", to assign topics into clusters. After clustering, similar topics coming from different chains or posterior samples will be put into the same cluster. In addition to topics

853 (ϕ), we also assign posterior samples of other hidden variables (I, ρ and α) to the 854 corresponding clusters according to the clustering result for topics.

855 The Louvain algorithm doesn't allow us to directly specify the total number of clusters 856 (communities) to be found. Instead, the number of clusters is decided by the hyperparameter 857 k (the number of nearest neighbours to consider) for the construction of the SNN graph. A 858 large k will result in a small number of clusters, while a small k gives rise to a large number

of clusters, though some clusters might be alike. Empirically, we choose $k = N_{ch} \times \frac{N_{ps}}{2}$, where 859

860 N_{ch} is the number of Gibbs chains for the same treeLFA model, and N_{ps} is the total number of 861 posterior samples taken from each chain. This choice is to balance the total number of

862 clusters found by the algorithm and the uniqueness of different clusters.

863 Post-processing inference results from models with a large number of topics

864 For models set with a very large number of topics which far exceed the actual number needed 865 to explain the data (for instance, the treeLFA models set with 50 or 100 topics), multiple near-866 empty topics (topics with few active codes having very small probability) will be inferred. 867 Although the small differences between these near-empty topics are not meaningful, they are 868 usually assigned to different clusters by the Louvain algorithm. To collapse these near-empty 869 topics into a single empty topic, we further apply hierarchical clustering on topics averaged 870 from different clusters given by the Louvain algorithm. During the hierarchical clustering, 871 similar topics are kept being combined until all the remaining topics are significantly different 872 from each other. By visualising the inferred topics using heatmap, one can roughly decide 873 the number of distinct meaningful topics (topics that are not empty or near-empty) to keep, 874 and then set the number of clusters (topics) to keep for the hierarchical clustering.

Genetic analyses 875

topic-GWAS and single code GWAS 876

877 To find genetic variants influencing individuals' risks for topics of diseases, we perform GWAS 878 using inferred topic weights as continuous traits (topic-GWAS). Since topic weights are real 879 numbers in the range of 0 to 1, the basic assumptions of linear regression do not hold. We 880 apply a logit transformation on topic weights to address this issue before fitting the standard 881 linear model for GWAS. We validate that using logit transformation gives better results than 882 using rank based inverse normal transformation and using no transformation on topic 883 weights. The validation is done by comparing the number of significant loci found by different 884 methods, and the predictive performance of PRS for single codes based on topic-GWAS 885 results (see section below). For topic-GWAS, we only include common SNPs (SNPs with a 886 minor allele frequency (MAF) larger than 0.01 in the UK Biobank) and individuals who self-887 report having British ancestry in the training dataset (343,006 individuals in total). Sex, age 888 and the first ten principal components (PCs) of genomic variation are controlled for.

889 For comparison, we also perform GWAS (logistic regression) using the presence and 890 absence of single ICD-10 codes as binary traits (single code GWAS). The inclusion criterion 891 for individuals, SNPs and covariates are the same as topic-GWAS. In addition to ICD-10 892 codes, we also use terminal Phecodes mapped from the top 100 ICD-10 codes as traits for

893 single code GWAS. Phecodes are defined by systematically grouping terminal ICD-10 codes 894 into more applicable medical terms based on the judgements of clinicians and researchers 895 ⁶³, which reduces the granularity of terminal ICD-10 codes. Similar to ICD-10 codes, there is also a hierarchical coding system for Phecodes. To map the ICD-10 codes used in the top-896 897 100 UKB dataset to phecodes, we firstly extract all terminal ICD-10 codes (on the fifth layer 898 of the ICD-10 tree) that are children codes of the 100 level-4 ICD-10 codes, and then retrieve corresponding 899 Phecodes Phecode their according to the map 900 (https://phewascatalog.org/phecodes) In total, there are 296 terminal Phecodes mapped from 901 the 100 ICD-10 codes.

902 Inflation in P-values given by topic-GWAS

903 Inflation in P-values are observed for the topic-GWAS results given by all three topic models. 904 The inflation can either be resulted from true polygenicity of the traits (topic weights), or 905 stratification in the population. To differentiate these two possibilities, we carry out the LD score regression (LDSC) 64,65 using the summary statistics of topic-GWAS for all topics. Pre-906 907 computed LD scores (based on 1000 Genomes European data) are downloaded and used in the analyses as recommended ⁶⁵. The genomic control inflation factor λ_{GC} and the intercept 908 of LDSC are output by the algorithm, and compared with each other. A large λ_{GC} and small 909 910 intercept for the same trait suggest true polygenicity causing the inflation in P-values, while 911 large values for both λ_{GC} and intercept suggest stratification in the population.

912 **Processing GWAS results**

To define genomic loci from significant SNPs (P<5×10⁻⁸) found by GWAS, we use the 913 914 clumping function implemented in PLINK-1.9. r^{2} >0.1 is used as the threshold for clumping 915 SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD). We define a loci to be an association for both topic-916 GWAS and single code GWAS as follows: the significant lead SNP found by one GWAS 917 method can be clumped with a significant lead SNP found by the other method.

918 GWAS on internal disease codes on the tree

919 In addition to grouping disease codes via topic modelling, we also group disease codes 920 completely following the medical ontologies (ICD-10 and Phecode systems). In other words, 921 we use internal codes (such as blocks of categories of diseases and chapters of disease, 922 corresponding to the nodes in the third and second layers of the ICD-10 coding system) of 923 the two disease classification systems as binary traits for single code GWAS. For instance, if 924 both disease codes A and B are under a common parent code C on the tree, then C will be 925 used as the trait for GWAS, and individuals who are diagnosed with either A or B will be used 926 as cases for the single code GWAS for code C. For the 100 ICD-10 codes there are 68 927 internal codes, and for the 296 Phecodes there are 136 internal codes.

928 Comparison of topic-GWAS results for the three topic models

929 In addition to topics inferred by treeLFA, topic-GWAS for flatLFA and LDA inferred topics are 930 also performed. For LDA, only individuals with at least one diagnosed disease code are used 931 as input for inference. For topic-GWAS, there are two options to deal with the individuals

932 without any diagnosis. We can either exclude them or include them and give them small

933 random weights for all disease topics. We experiment with both methods, and find that excluding the completely healthy individuals results in a larger power for topic-GWAS. 934

Validation of topic-associated loci 935

Validation using the GWAS Catalogue 936

We check the GWAS Catalogue ⁵⁶ to see if topic-associated loci were also found by previous 937 GWAS as significant. We download the full GWAS Catalogue ⁶⁶, and clump all SNPs in it to 938 topic-associated lead SNPs (r²>0.5 as threshold). If a topic-associated lead SNP found by us 939 940 can be clumped, it means that a SNP in LD with it was found by a previous GWAS as 941 significant.

942 Validation using functional genomic resources

943 Integrated analysis of GWAS results and functional genomic datasets has gained popularity 944 in recent years ^{56,67}. Checking the enrichment of genomic annotations among topic-945 associated loci (lead SNPs) is another angle of validation. We obtain various genomic annotations for topic-associated loci using the software "FUMA" ^{68,69}. Since most topics only 946 947 have a small number of associated loci, we combine all loci (lead SNPs) that are associated 948 with at least one topic and perform analyses on them as a whole. Meanwhile, we also perform 949 the same analyses on all single code associated lead SNPs and 10,000 random SNPs 950 sampled from all SNPs used in the GWAS (the distribution of their MAF are matched to all 951 topic-associated SNPs) for comparison. The assumption made here is that if topic-GWAS 952 find true associations, then the significant SNPs should have an enrichment profile that is 953 similar to single code associated SNPs (positive control) and different from randomly selected 954 SNPs (negative control).

955 Three types of functional annotations are used for the validation of topic-associated lead 956 SNPs. Firstly, the three groups of loci (lead SNPs) are annotated using the 15-core chromatin states predicted by the chromHMM algorithm ⁵⁷. Since the predicted chromatin states in the 957 958 127 available types of tissues are different, for each genomic locus we use the smallest 959 chromatin state across all tissues. Secondly, we calculate the proportions of lead SNPs in 960 the three groups that are eQTL (expression quantitative trait loci) in different tissues using the eQTL mapping function implemented in FUMA, based on the GTEv8 dataset ^{70,71}. Thirdly, 961 962 we calculate the proportions of lead SNPs having chromatin interactions with other genomic 963 regions in different tissues, based on the HiC data from the GSE87112 dataset ⁷⁰. The default 964 setting of FUMA for parameters is used in all the analyses. The two proportion z-test is used 965 to test for significant differences between the proportions of two groups.

966 Genetic risk prediction based on topic-GWAS results

967 **PRS for topics**

968 Another way to validate topic-GWAS results is to use them for prediction tasks on the test

969 data. Because individual variants' effects on traits of interest are usually small, polygenic risk 970 scores (PRS) are constructed to aggregate the effects of tens of thousands of variants. With

constructed using the software "PRSice-2" 72, which uses a "C+T" (clumping and 972 973 thresholding) method. No threshold for P-values is manually set for the inclusion of SNPs. 974 We use the test data to evaluate PRS for topics constructed on the training data. Topic 975 weights for individuals in the testing dataset are inferred by running the Gibbs sampler for treeLFA on them, with ϕ and α fixed at values learnt from the training data (averaged from all 976 977 posterior samples from all Gibbs chains). Ten Gibbs chains are simulated to infer topic 978 weights for individuals in the testing dataset, and 50 posterior samples are collected from 979 each chain, and their average is used in the subsequent analyses. With inferred topic 980 weights, linear models are fit to evaluate the associations of PRS for topics and the 981 corresponding topic weights, using the logit transformed topic weights as response variables, 982 and PRS for topics as independent variables. The heritabilities of topic weights are estimated 983 using LDSC as a reference.

984 PRS for single codes based on topic-GWAS results

985 To evaluate topic-GWAS results using single code GWAS results as reference, and to 986 compare the topic-GWAS results for different topics models (such as treeLFA and LDA) 987 under a common criterion, we construct two types of PRS for single ICD-10 codes using 988 single code and topic-GWAS results, respectively. PRS based on single code GWAS are 989 constructed in the standard way. As for PRS based on topic-GWAS, for code s we extract its 990 probabilities in all topics (ϕ_{ts}), and calculate an individual's PRS for it as: $PRS_s =$ 991 $\sum_{t=1}^{T} (PRS_t \times \phi_{ts})$, where PRS_t is the individual's PRS for topic t (constructed using the topic-

- 992 GWAS result for topic t). The area under the receiver-operator curve (AUC) is used to
- evaluate the predictive performance of PRS on the test data. 993

Analyses on the larger UKB dataset 994

995 The input data

996 The larger UKB dataset (top-436 dataset) is constructed in the same way as the top-100 UKB 997 dataset, and contains the diagnostic records of the top 436 most frequent ICD-10 codes from 998 the first 14 chapters of the ICD-10 coding system for all individuals in UKB. These codes are 999 all the ones in UK Biobank with a prevalence of at least 0.001 at the date of selection 1000 (continued data collection means that prevalence will tend to increase over time), 1001 corresponding to approximately 500 cases. The prevalence threshold of 0.001 is chosen both 1002 for computational reasons (this is roughly the limit of what can be performed using available 1003 computing resources) and because there must be sufficient occurrences of diseases from 1004 which to discover multi-morbidity clusters. As with the top-100 dataset, we partition the full 1005 top-436 dataset into training (80%) and testing (20%) datasets. The top-436 and the top-100 1006 datasets use different partitions for the training and testing datasets.

1007 Inference on the top-436 UKB dataset

- 1008 Training strategy for the top-436 dataset
- 1009 The top-436 dataset is more than three times larger than the top-100 dataset, increasing the 1010 computational requirements for training topic models. On the top-100 dataset, treeLFA

1011 models with different numbers of topics are trained and compared. We find that when we set 1012 an excess number of topics for the model, both inferred topics and topic-GWAS results are 1013 stable across different models (Figure 5). Therefore, on the top-436 dataset, instead of 1014 training many models with different numbers of topics, we train treeLFA and flatLFA models 1015 with 100 topics, and cluster and collapse the inferred topics to combine all near-empty topics

1016 into a single one.

1017 For the optimization of α , the two-stage training strategy with the GibbsEM algorithm is used 1018 again. 1,500 iterations are run in the first stage (with a single posterior sample of Z collected

1019 in the E-step), and 350 iterations are run in the second stage (with 10 posterior samples of Z

- 1020 collected in the E-step). 50 posterior samples of hidden variables are collected during the last
- 1021 50 iterations for Gibbs-EM (with an interval of 200 iterations for the Gibbs sampling). For both
- 1022 treeLFA and flatLFA, three Gibbs chains are simulated.
- 1023 Choice of hyperparameters and initialization of hidden variables

1024 α is initialised as (1,0.1,...,0.1). Beta(0.1,3000) and Beta(1.2,3) are used as the prior for ϕ of 1025 inactive and active codes to account for diseases with small prevalence. The rest hidden 1026 variables and hyperparameters are set in the same way as for the top-100 dataset.

1027 Processing inference result

1028 We find that different Gibbs chains for treeLFA and flatLFA give slightly different inference 1029 results on the top-436 UKB dataset, while different posterior samples from the same chain 1030 have a very high level of consistency. Considering the variability among the inference results 1031 given by different chains, instead of clustering posterior samples of topics from all chains 1032 altogether, we cluster posterior samples from different chains separately. With the averaged 1033 ϕ and α for different chains, we calculate their predictive likelihood on the test data, and for 1034 both treeLFA and flatLFA we retain the chain which has the largest predictive likelihood, and 1035 use its inference result as the input for downstream analyses. For each topic inferred by the 1036 chain with the largest predictive likelihood, we check the inference results of the other chains, 1037 and annotate the topic with the number of chains that infer them to give a reference of its 1038 reliability.

1039 **Genetic analyses**

1040 topic-GWAS for the larger UKB dataset

1041 Since the top-436 dataset is much larger than the top100 dataset, to increase the inference 1042 accuracy for topic weights, after the training with Gibbs-EM algorithm we use Gibbs sampling 1043 to re-estimate individuals' topic weights, which is observed to increase the power of topic-1044 GWAS. ϕ and α are fixed at values averaged from all posterior samples from the chain with 1045 the largest predictive likelihood. As a result, there is no longer an identifiability issue, so the 1046 results given by different chains (for the re-estimation of topic weights) can be combined 1047 directly. For both treeLFA and flatLFA, ten Gibbs chains are used to re-estimate topic 1048 weights, and 50 posterior samples are collected from each chain. Topic weights averaged 1049 from these chains are used as the input for topic-GWAS.

1050 Gene-set enrichment analysis for topic-associated SNPs

1051 The software FUMA can find genes that are close to the significant SNPs found by GWAS 1052 on the genome (the physical mapping function of FUMA). With the mapped genes, further 1053 analyses can be performed. Gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) tests for the enrichment 1054 of different gene sets among a group of genes. We choose genes that are associated with different traits in the GWAS catalogue as the reference gene sets to carry out GSEA for 1055 1056 genes mapped from topic associated SNPs. By doing this, we can summarise the major 1057 associations of topic-associated SNPs found by previous GWAS. The default setting for 1058 FUMA is used in all the analyses in this section.

Resource availability 1059

Lead Contact 1060

1061 Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by 1062 the lead contact, Gil McVean (gil.mcvean@bdi.ox.ac.uk).

Materials availability 1063

1064 The key inference results (inferred topics) of the topic models and the topic-GWAS results

1065 are included in the supplementary material of the paper. The remaining results will be made

1066 available via the UK BioBank data return and linked to UK Biobank application

1067 number: 12788.

Data and Code Availability 1068

- 1069 This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource: application number
- 1070 12788. The genotype data used for GWAS in this study comes from data field "22418" in UK
- 1071 Biobank. The diagnosis data used for topic modelling comes from data fields "41202" and
- 1072 "41204" in UK Biobank.
- The code for the treeLFA algorithm and a demo for using it on example data is available at: 1073
- 1074 https://github.com/zhangyd10/treeLFA-demo or https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7420615.
- 1075

1076

Supplementary Information 1077

Description of Supplementary Data 1078

1079 Supplementary information includes 11 figures, 39 tables, and the analytical note.

Supplementary Figures 1080



1081

1082 Supplementary Figure 1 Comparison of three related topic models (treeLFA, flatLFA 1083 and LDA) on simulated datasets.

1084 a, The tree structure of 20 diseases. Red nodes correspond to the active codes in Topic 4 in panel b.

1085 b, The four topics used for simulation. Active codes in these topics are unlikely to be generated by a 1086 Markov process with small probability of transforming from inactive to active and large probability of 1087 staying active while going from the parent node to its children nodes, since an active parent code always 1088 has inactive children codes, while active children codes always have inactive parent code.

1089 c-f Comparison of three topic models on simulated datasets. The Parameter setting and metrics are the 1090 same as those in Figure 2. c, Results on datasets simulated using D=2500 and α =1. d, Results on 1091 datasets simulated using D=5000 and α =1. e, Results on datasets simulated using D=300 and α =0.1. 1092 f, Results on datasets simulated using D=1000 and α =0.1. The numeric results are in Supplementary 1093 Table 23.



1095 1096 Supplementary Figure 2 Comparison of topics inferred by three topic models on the 1097 top-100 UKB dataset.

1098 a, Comparison of the 11 topics inferred by treeLFA and flatLFA. The same topics inferred by the two 1099 models are placed next to each other. Cosine similarity was used to measure the similarity of topics 1100 inferred by the two models (point plot below the heatmap). The numeric results are in Supplementary 1101 Table 4,24.

1102 b, Comparison of the 10 topics inferred by LDA and the 10 non-empty topics inferred by treeLFA. Topics 1103 inferred by treeLFA are normalised such that probabilities of the 100 ICD-10 codes add up to 1 in any 1104 topic. The same topics inferred by the two models are placed next to each other. Cosine similarity was 1105 used to measure the similarity of topics inferred by the two models (point plot below the heatmap). The

- 1106 numeric results are in Supplementary Table 4,25.
- 1107



1109 Supplementary Figure 3 Overlap of significant loci found by GWAS for different traits.

1110 a, The total numbers of loci associated with any of the 296 Phecodes mapped from the 100 ICD-10 1111 codes and any of the 11 treeLFA topics, and their overlap.

- 1112 b, The total numbers of loci associated with any of the 296 Phecodes or the 100 ICD-10 codes and
- 1113 any of the 11 treeLFA topics, and their overlap.
- c, The total numbers of loci associated with any of the 68 internal ICD-10 codes and any of the 11 1114
- 1115 treeLFA topics, and their overlap.
- 1116 d, The total numbers of loci associated with any of the 136 internal Phecodes and any of the 11
- 1117 treeLFA topics, and their overlap.
- 1118 e. The total numbers of loci associated with any of the internal or terminal ICD-10 codes and any of
- 1119 the 11 treeLFA topics, and their overlap.
- 1120 f, The total numbers of loci associated with any of the internal or terminal Phecodes and any of the 11
- 1121 treeLFA topics, and their overlap.
- 1122



1123

1124 Supplementary Figure 4 Comparison of the topic-GWAS results for three topic

1125 models.

1126 a, The total numbers of loci associated with any of the 11 topics inferred by treeLFA and flatLFA, and 1127 their overlap.

1128 b, The total numbers of loci associated with any of the 11 topics inferred by treeLFA and any of the 10

- 1129 topics inferred by LDA, and their overlap.
- 1130 c, The numbers of loci associated with each of the 11 topics inferred by treeLFA and flatLFA, and

1131 each of the 10 topics inferred by LDA. The first topic is the empty topic, and is only inferred by

- 1132 treeLFA and flatLFA.
- 1133



1135 Supplementary Figure 5 Correlation of topic weights for topics inferred by treeLFA

and LDA. 1136

- 1137 a, The correlation matrix for individuals' weights for the 11 topics inferred by treeLFA. The first topic is
- 1138 the empty topic.
- 1139 b, The correlation matrix for individuals' weights for the 10 topics inferred by LDA. The matrix uses the
- same colour scheme as the matrix in panel a. 1140
- 1141



1142

Tissue

1143 Supplementary Figure 6 Validation of topic associated loci.

1144 a, The proportions of topic-associated loci recorded in the GWAS catalogue. Red bars show the

1145 results for all topic-associated loci, and green bars show the results for loci that are associated with 1146 topics but not any single code.

1147 b, Proportions of three groups of SNPs that are in different chromHMM states. Meanings of different

1148 chromHMM states are shown in the right table. The first group contains lead SNPs associated with at

1149 least one ICD-10 code; the second group contains 10,000 random SNPs whose allele frequency is

1150 matched with that of topic-associated lead SNPs; The third group contains lead SNPs associated with

1151 at least one of the 11 topics but not any single code. The proportions of the first and third groups are

1152 compared with the second group respectively, and significant differences in proportions (two-

1153 proportion Z-test, adjusted P-value<0.05, Bonferroni correction) are marked with asterisks between

1154 the corresponding bars (purple asterisks between red and green bars mean significant differences

1155 between the first and second groups, black asterisks between blue and green bars mean significant

1156 differences between the third and second groups). The numeric results are in Supplementary Table 1157 26.

- 1158 c, Proportions of the three groups of SNPs in panel b that are eQTL in different tissues. The numeric 1159 results are in Supplementary Table 27.
- 1160 d, Proportions of the three groups of SNPs in panel b that have chromatin interaction with genes in
- 1161 different tissues. The numeric results are in Supplementary Table 28.
- 1162



1164 Supplementary Figure 7 PRS for ICD-10 codes based on topic-GWAS results.

1165 a, Comparison of the AUC of two types of PRS for the 100 ICD-10 codes on the test data. One type of

1166 PRS is constructed using the topic-GWAS result for treeLFA, and the other type of PRS is constructed

1167 using single code GWAS result. Bars are colored according to the relative performance of the two

1168 types of PRS. The numeric results are in Supplementary Table 10.

1169 b, The numbers of loci associated with each of the 100 ICD-10 codes. Bars are colored the same way

1170 as in panel a. Codes are colored according to the ICD-10 chapters they belong to. The numeric 1171 results are in Supplementary Table 29.

1172 c, Comparison of the AUC of PRS constructed using the topic-GWAS results for treeLFA and LDA.

- 1173 Bars are colored according to the relative performance of the two types of PRS. The numeric results
- 1174 are in Supplementary Table 30.
- 1175



1177 Supplementary Figure 8 Association of SNPs and topics from different treeLFA

1178 models.

- 1179 The associations of a few example SNPs and topics inferred by different treeLFA models are
- 1180 visualised on the tree structure of topics. The tree structure of topics is the same as the one in Figure
- 1181 5b. Topics significantly associated with 7 different SNPs are highlighted with different colours on the
- 1182 tree structure. The numeric results are in Supplementary Table 31.

1183



1185 Supplementary Figure 9 Summary statistics for models with different numbers of 1186 topics.

1187 a, The predictive likelihood on the test data for the ten Gibbs chains for treeLFA models set with

- 1188 different numbers of topics. For each chain, the standard deviation of the likelihood calculated using
- 1189 different posterior samples of topics were shown. The numeric results are in Supplementary Table 32.
- 1190 b, Numbers of distinct topics remained after clustering for treeLFA models set with different numbers
- 1191 of topics.
- 1192



1193

1194 Supplementary figure 10 Comparison of the inference and topic-GWAS results for 1195 treeLFA and flatLFA on the top-436 UKB dataset.

- 1196 a, 40 topics inferred by treeLFA and flatLFA models set with 100 topics. The same topics inferred by
- 1197 treeLFA and flatLFA are placed next to each other. Topics inferred by both models are shown first,
- 1198 followed by topics inferred by only one model. For each model, the inferred topics are numbered
- 1199 according to their density. The tree structure of the 436 ICD-10 codes is shown to the left of the
- 1200 heatmap, and codes from different ICD-10 chapters are colored differently. For each topic, the
- 1201 number of chains that inferred it is shown with the colour bar on top of the heatmap. The numeric 1202 results are in Supplementary Table 33.
- 1203 b, The predictive likelihood on the test data for the three treeLFA and flatLFA chains. The calculation
- 1204 of predictive likelihood was repeated ten times for each chain to get the standard deviation. The 1205 numeric results are in Supplementary Table 34.
- 1206 c, The total numbers of loci associated with any of the topics inferred by treeLFA and flatLFA, and 1207 their overlap.
- 1208 d, The numbers of loci associated with each of the treeLFA and flatLDA topics. Topics have the same
- 1209 order as those in panel a. The numeric results are in Supplementary Table 35.
- 1210 e, Density plots for the AUC of PRS for the 436 ICD-10 codes on the test data based on the topic-
- 1211 GWAS results for treeLFA and flatLFA. The numeric results are in Supplementary Table 36.
- 1212



1213

1214 Supplementary figure 11 Validation of topic associated loci for the top-436 UKB 1215 dataset.

- 1216 All settings are the same as those in Supplementary Figure 6.
- 1217 a, The proportions of topic-associated loci recorded in the GWAS catalogue.
- 1218 b, Proportions of the three groups of SNPs that are in different chromHMM states. The numeric
- 1219 results are in Supplementary Table 37.
- 1220 c, Proportions of the three groups of SNPs in panel b that are eQTL in different tissues. The numeric
- 1221 results are in Supplementary Table 38.
- 1222 d, Proportions of the three groups of SNPs in panel b that have chromatin interaction with genes in
- 1223 different tissues. The numeric results are in Supplementary Table 39.
- 1224

1225

Supplementary Tables 1226

1227 Supplementary Table 1. Parameter setting for all simulated datasets. 8 groups of 1228 datasets are simulated using different combinations of three parameters: the concentration 1229 parameter (α) of the Dirichlet prior for topic weight variable θ , the number of individuals in 1230 the training dataset (D), and the tree structure of diseases. Correct tree structure means that 1231 the topics used for simulation are likely to be constructed by running a Markov process with 1232 small ρ_{01} and large ρ_{11} (see Methods) on the tree structure.

1233 Supplementary Table 2. Comparison of 3 topic models on the 4 groups of datasets

- 1234 simulated using correct tree structure of diseases. Each group contains 20 datasets
- 1235 simulated using the same topics and hyperparameters (Supplementary Table 1).
- 1236 Phi diff ave: the averaged per disease difference in probability (Phi) between true and
- 1237 inferred topics. I diff ave: the averaged per disease difference in the values of indicator
- 1238 variables (I) between true and inferred topics. Predictive likelihood: predictive likelihood on
- 1239 the corresponding test data, which is calculated using the topics inferred from the training 1240 data.

1241 Supplementary Table 3. Tree structure of the top-100 most frequent ICD-10 codes from

- 1242 chapters 1-13 of the ICD-10 coding system in UK Biobank. In the first column both internal
- 1243 and terminal nodes of the tree structure of the top-100 most frequent ICD-10 codes are
- 1244 listed (ordered according to the layers of nodes on the tree and the names of nodes). In the
- 1245 second column the parent nodes of the nodes in the first column are shown.
- 1246 Supplementary Table 4. The 11 topics inferred by treeLFA on the top-100 UKB dataset. 1247 The probability of the 100 ICD-10 codes in the 11 topics inferred by the treeLFA model set 1248 with 11 topics on the top-100 UKB dataset is shown.
- 1249 Supplementary Table 5. Topic weights for the 11 topics inferred by treeLFA for 2,000 1250 randomly selected individuals in UKB.
- 1251 Supplementary Table 6. Number of loci associated with individuals' weights for the 11 1252 topics inferred by treeLFA on the top-100 UKB dataset.
- 1253 Supplementary Table 7. Comparison of -loq10 (P-value) for lead SNPs of Topic 5 given
- 1254 by single code GWAS for the top five active codes in Topic 5 and topic-GWAS for 1255 Topic 5.

Supplementary Table 8. Inflation in P-values given by topic-GWAS for the 11 topics 1256

- 1257 inferred by treeLFA on the top-100 UKB dataset. Both the intercept and λ_{GC} are given by 1258 LDSC software (see Methods). λ_{GC} : genomic control inflation factor.
- 1259 Supplementary Table 9. Performance of PRS for topics constructed using topic-
- 1260 **GWAS results on the test data.** R2: phenotypic variance of topic weights explained by the
- PRS for topics. P: P-value of model fit on the testing data. Heritability: heritabilities of topic 1261
- 1262 weights calculated using the LD score regression and summary statistics of topic-GWAS.
- NUM SNP: numbers of SNPs used by the software "PRSice-2" to construct the PRS for 1263
- 1264 topics. Threshold: threshold for P-values of SNPs used by "PRSice-2" to construct the PRS 1265 for topics.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.28.22281623; this version posted December 19, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

1266 Supplementary Table 10. Comparison of the performance of two types of PRS for the

1267 100 ICD10 codes. One type of PRS for ICD-10 codes is constructed using topic-GWAS 1268 results (see Methods), and the other type of PRS is constructed using single code GWAS 1269 results. AUC: the area under the receiver-operator curve of the PRS on the test data.

1270 Supplementary Table 11. Topics inferred by treeLFA models set with different

1271 numbers of topics on the top-100 UKB dataset. The probability of the 100 ICD-10 codes 1272 in topics inferred by treeLFA models set with different numbers of topics on the top-100 UKB 1273 dataset is shown.

1274 Supplementary Table 12. topic-GWAS results for the example SNP "rs143384" and all

- 1275 topics inferred by all treeLFA models on the top-100 UKB dataset. Topics are named 1276 using the number of topics set for the corresponding treeLFA model, and the index of the 1277 inferred topic for that model. For instance, topic "10.10" means the 10th topic inferred by the 1278 treeLFA model set with 10 topics. P: the P-value of the SNP given by the linear regression 1279 for the topic weight. BETA: estimated effect size of the SNP. SE: standard error of the 1280 estimated effect size.
- 1281 Supplementary Table 13. Tree structure of the top-436 most frequent ICD-10 codes
- 1282 from chapters 1-14 of the ICD-10 coding system in UKB. In the first column both internal
- 1283 and terminal nodes of the tree structure of the top-436 most frequent ICD-10 codes are
- 1284 listed (ordered according to the layers of nodes on the tree and the names of nodes). In the
- 1285 second column the parent nodes of the nodes in the first column are shown.

1286 Supplementary Table 14. The 40 topics inferred by treeLFA on the top-436 UKB

1287 dataset. The probability of the 436 ICD-10 codes in the 40 topics inferred by treeLFA on the 1288 top-436 UKB dataset is shown (see Methods).

1289 Supplementary Table 15. Numbers of active codes from different ICD10 chapters in 1290 the 40 topics inferred by treeLFA on the top-436 UKB dataset (see Methods).

1291 Supplementary Table 16. Significant SNPs found by topic-GWAS for the 40 treeLFA

1292 inferred topics. CHR: chromosome. BP: physical position (base pair) of SNP. BETA: 1293 estimated effect size (regression coefficient). SE: standard error of the estimated effect size. 1294 Topic: the index of the inferred topic for the corresponding treeLFA model. Model: the 1295 number of topics set for the treeLFA model.

Supplementary Table 17. Effect sizes of lead SNPs associated with the 40 treeLFA 1296 1297 inferred topics.

1298 Supplementary Table 18. Numbers of associated loci for the 40 topics inferred by 1299 treeLFA on the top-436 UKB dataset.

1300 Supplementary Table 19. treeLFA inferred topics with a substantial number of novel

1301 loci found by topic-GWAS. Dominant ICD10 chapter: the ICD-10 chapter which contributes 1302 the majority of active codes in the corresponding topic.

1303 Supplementary Table 20. Comparison of the performance of two types of PRS for the

1304 436 ICD10 codes. One type of PRS for ICD-10 codes is constructed using topic-GWAS 1305 results (see Methods), and the other type of PRS is constructed using single code GWAS 1306 results. AUC: the area under the receiver-operator curve of the PRS on the test data.

1307 Supplementary Table 21. Enriched gene sets in the GWAS catalogue among genes

1308 associated with the 40 treeLFA topics. GeneSet: names of gene sets in the GWAS

1309 catalogue. N: the total numbers of genes in the gene sets. n: the numbers of genes in the 1310 gene sets that are associated with the treeLFA topics. P-value: P-values of the enrichment 1311 analyses. adjusted-P: adjusted P-values of the enrichment analyses. Genes: genes in the 1312 enriched gene sets that are associated with the topics. This table is the output of the "SNP-1313 to-gene" function of the software "FUMA"

Supplementary Table 22. The correspondence between treeLFA inferred topics and 1314

1315 loci level genetically interpretable multimorbidity networks found by the study "A

- 1316 global overview of genetically interpretable multimorbidities among common 1317 diseases in the UK Biobank". The information of the 9 loci level genetically interpretable
- 1318 multimorbidity networks found by a previous study using the diagnostic data in UKB is
- 1319 shown, together with their corresponding topics inferred by treeLFA on the top-436 UKB
- 1320 dataset. The first 5 columns come from the supplementary results of the paper "A global 1321 overview of genetically interpretable multimorbidities among common diseases in the UK
- 1322 Biobank".

1323 Supplementary Table 23. Comparison of 3 topic models on the 4 groups of datasets

- 1324 simulated using wrong tree structure of diseases. Each group contains 20 datasets
- 1325 simulated using the same topics and hyperparameters (Supplementary Table 1).
- 1326 Phi diff ave: the averaged per disease difference in probability (Phi) between true and
- 1327 inferred topics. I diff ave: the averaged per disease difference in the values of indicator
- 1328 variables (I) between true and inferred topics. Predictive likelihood: predictive likelihood on
- 1329 the corresponding test data, which is calculated using the topics inferred from the training 1330 data.

Supplementary Table 24. The 11 topics inferred by flatLFA on the top100 UKB dataset. 1331

1332 The probability of the 100 ICD-10 codes in the 11 topics inferred by the flatLFA model set 1333 with 11 topics on the top-100 UKB dataset is shown.

1334 Supplementary Table 25. The 10 topics inferred by LDA on the top100 UKB dataset.

- 1335 The probability of the 100 ICD-10 codes in the 10 topics inferred by the LDA model set with 1336 10 topics on the top-100 UKB dataset is shown.
- 1337 Supplementary Table 26. Proportions of SNPs in different chromHMM states for the 3 1338 groups of SNPs on the top-100 UKB dataset. P(random/single code): P-values for the 1339 comparison of proportions for random/single code-associated SNPs. P(random/topic): P-1340 values for the comparison of proportions for random/topic-associated SNPs.
- Supplementary Table 27. Proportions of SNPs that are eQTL in different tissues for 1341
- 1342 the 3 groups of SNPs on the top-100 UKB dataset. P(random/single code): P-values for
- 1343 the comparison of proportions for random/single code-associated SNPs. P(random/topic): P-
- 1344 values for the comparison of proportions for random/topic-associated SNPs.

Supplementary Table 28. Proportions of SNPs with chromatin mapping in different 1345

- 1346 tissues for the 3 groups of SNPs on the top-100 UKB dataset. P(random/single code): P-
- 1347 values for the comparison of proportions for random/single code-associated SNPs. 1348 P(random/topic): P-values for the comparison of proportions for random/topic-associated
- 1349 SNPs.

1350 Supplementary Table 29. Numbers of significant loci given by single code GWAS for 1351 the 100 ICD10 codes.

1352 Supplementary Table 30. Comparison of the performance of PRS for the 100 ICD-10

- 1353 codes based on topic-GWAS results for treeLFA/LDA inferred topics on the top-100
- 1354 **UKB dataset.** AUC: the area under the receiver-operator curve of the PRS on the test data.

Supplementary Table 31. topic-GWAS results for 7 example SNPs and all topics 1355

1356 inferred by treeLFA models set with different numbers of topics on the top-100 UKB

- 1357 dataset. P-values given by topic-GWAS for the examples SNPs are shown. Topics (in the
- 1358 first column) are named using the number of topics set for the corresponding treeLFA model, 1359 and the index of the inferred topic for that model. For instance, topic "10.10" means the 10th
- 1360 topic inferred by the treeLFA model set with 10 topics.
- 1361 Supplementary Table 32. Predictive likelihood for treeLFA models set with different
- 1362 numbers of topics on the top-100 UKB dataset. For each treeLFA model, 10 Gibbs 1363 chains are trained, and 50 posterior samples of topics are collected from each chain. The
- 1364 predictive likelihood on the test data is calculated using each posterior sample of topics, and
- 1365 standard error of predictive likelihood is calculated for each chain.
- 1366 Supplementary Table 33. The 40 topics inferred by treeLFA and flatLFA on the top-436 1367 **UKB dataset.** The probability of the 436 ICD-10 codes in the 40 topics inferred by treeLFA 1368 and flatLFA is shown.
- 1369 Supplementary Table 34. Predictive likelihood on the test data for three treeLFA and
- 1370 flatLFA chains on the top-436 dataset. For each chain, the predictive likelihood is 1371 calculated 10 times.
- 1372 Supplementary Table 35. Numbers of associated loci for the 40 treeLFA and flatLFA
- 1373 topics on the top-436 UKB dataset. Topics are named according to their order in 1374 Supplementary Table 33.
- 1375 Supplementary Table 36. Comparison of the performance of PRS for the 436 ICD10
- 1376 codes based on topic-GWAS results for treeLFA and flatLFA topics. AUC: the area 1377 under the receiver-operator curve of the PRS on the test data.
- 1378 Supplementary Table 37. Proportions of SNPs in different chromHMM states for the 3
- 1379 groups of SNPs on the top-436 UKB dataset. P(random/single code): P-values for the 1380 comparison of proportions for random/single code-associated SNPs. P(random/topic): P-
- 1381 values for the comparison of proportions for random/topic-associated SNPs.
- 1382 Supplementary Table 38. Proportions of SNPs that are eQTL in different tissues for
- 1383 the 3 groups of SNPs on the top-436 UKB dataset. P(random/single code): P-values for 1384 the comparison of proportions for random/single code-associated SNPs. P(random/topic): P-
- 1385 values for the comparison of proportions for random/topic-associated SNPs.
- 1386 Supplementary Table 39. Proportions of SNPs with chromatin mapping in different
- 1387 tissues for the 3 groups of SNPs on the top-436 UKB dataset. P(random/single code): P-
- 1388 values for the comparison of proportions for random/single code-associated SNPs.
- 1389 P(random/topic): P-values for the comparison of proportions for random/topic-associated
- 1390 SNPs.
- 1391

1392

References 1393

- 1394 1. Garin, N., Koyanagi, A., Chatterji, S., Tyrovolas, S., Olaya, B., Leonardi, M. et al. Global
- 1395 Multimorbidity Patterns: A Cross-Sectional, Population-Based, Multi-Country Study. J.

1396 Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 71, 205–214 (2016).

- 1397 Fortin, M., Stewart, M., Poitras, M.-E., Almirall, J. & Maddocks, H. A Systematic Review 2.
- 1398 of Prevalence Studies on Multimorbidity: Toward a More Uniform Methodology. The 1399 Annals of Family Medicine vol. 10 142-151 (2012).
- 1400 Violan, C., Foguet-Boreu, Q., Flores-Mateo, G., Salisbury, C., Blom, J., Freitag, M. et al. 3.

1401 Prevalence, determinants and patterns of multimorbidity in primary care: a systematic 1402 review of observational studies. PLoS One 9, e102149 (2014).

- Ryan, A., Wallace, E., O'Hara, P. & Smith, S. M. Multimorbidity and functional decline in 1403 4. 1404 community-dwelling adults: a systematic review. Health Qual. Life Outcomes 13, 168 1405 (2015).
- 1406 5. Mair, F. S. & May, C. R. Thinking about the burden of treatment. BMJ 349, (2014).
- Van Wilder, L., Devleesschauwer, B., Clays, E., De Buyser, S., Van der Heyden, J., 1407 6.
- 1408 Charafeddine, R. et al. The impact of multimorbidity patterns on health-related quality of
- 1409 life in the general population: results of the Belgian Health Interview Survey. Qual. Life 1410 Res. 31, 551–565 (2022).
- Prados-Torres, A., Calderón-Larrañaga, A., Hancco-Saavedra, J., Poblador-Plou, B. & 1411 7. 1412 van den Akker, M. Multimorbidity patterns: a systematic review. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 67, 1413 254-266 (2014).
- 1414 8. Holden, L., Scuffham, P. A., Hilton, M. F., Muspratt, A., Ng, S.-K. & Whiteford, H. A. 1415 Patterns of multimorbidity in working Australians. Popul. Health Metr. 9, 15 (2011).
- 1416 Ng, S. K., Holden, L. & Sun, J. Identifying comorbidity patterns of health conditions via 9.
- 1417 cluster analysis of pairwise concordance statistics. Stat. Med. 31, 3393–3405 (2012).
- 10. Guisado-Clavero, M., Roso-Llorach, A., López-Jimenez, T., Pons-Vigués, M., Foguet-1418

- Boreu, Q., Muñoz, M. A. et al. Multimorbidity patterns in the elderly: a prospective cohort
- 1420 study with cluster analysis. *BMC Geriatr.* **18**, 16 (2018).
- 1421 11. Dong, G., Feng, J., Sun, F., Chen, J. & Zhao, X.-M. A global overview of genetically
- 1422 interpretable multimorbidities among common diseases in the UK Biobank. *Genome*
- 1423 *Med.* **13**, 110 (2021).
- 1424 12. Shang, X., Zhang, X., Huang, Y., Zhu, Z., Zhang, X., Liu, J. et al. Association of a wide
- range of individual chronic diseases and their multimorbidity with brain volumes in the
- 1426 UK Biobank: A cross-sectional study. *EClinicalMedicine* **47**, 101413 (2022).
- 1427 13. Ronaldson, A., Arias de la Torre, J., Bendayan, R., Yadegarfar, M. E., Rhead, R.,
- 1428 Douiri, A. et al. Physical multimorbidity, depressive symptoms, and social participation in
- adults over 50 years of age: findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing.
- 1430 Aging Ment. Health 1–11 (2022) doi:10.1080/13607863.2021.2017847.
- 1431 14. Schramm, S., Møller, S. P., Tolstrup, J. S. & Laursen, B. Effects of individual and
- 1432 parental educational levels on multimorbidity classes: a register-based longitudinal
- 1433 study in a Danish population. *BMJ Open* vol. 12 e053274 (2022).
- 1434 15. Rajoo, S. S., Wee, Z. J., Lee, P. S. S., Wong, F. Y. & Lee, E. S. A Systematic Review of
- the Patterns of Associative Multimorbidity in Asia. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2021, 6621785
 (2021).
- 1437 16. Zemedikun, D. T., Gray, L. J., Khunti, K., Davies, M. J. & Dhalwani, N. N. Patterns of
 1438 Multimorbidity in Middle-Aged and Older Adults: An Analysis of the UK Biobank Data.
 1439 Mayo Clin. Proc. 93, 857–866 (2018).
- 1440 17. Ronaldson, A., Arias de la Torre, J., Prina, M., Armstrong, D., Das-Munshi, J., Hatch, S.
- 1441 et al. Associations between physical multimorbidity patterns and common mental health
- 1442 disorders in middle-aged adults: A prospective analysis using data from the UK
- 1443 Biobank. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* **8**, 100149 (2021).
- 1444 18. Bycroft, C., Freeman, C., Petkova, D., Band, G., Elliott, L. T., Sharp, K. et al. The UK
- Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* **562**, 203–209

1446 (2018).

- 1447 19. Chen, Z., Chen, J., Collins, R., Guo, Y., Peto, R., Wu, F. et al. China Kadoorie Biobank
- 1448 of 0.5 million people: survey methods, baseline characteristics and long-term follow-up.
- 1449 International Journal of Epidemiology vol. 40 1652–1666 (2011).
- 1450 20. Kanai, M., Akiyama, M., Takahashi, A., Matoba, N., Momozawa, Y., Ikeda, M. et al.
- 1451 Genetic analysis of quantitative traits in the Japanese population links cell types to
- 1452 complex human diseases. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 390–400 (2018).
- 1453 21. Cotsapas, C., Voight, B. F., Rossin, E., Lage, K., Neale, B. M., Wallace, C. et al.
- Pervasive sharing of genetic effects in autoimmune disease. *PLoS Genet.* 7, e1002254
 (2011).
- 1456 22. Bhattacharjee, S., Rajaraman, P., Jacobs, K. B., Wheeler, W. A., Melin, B. S., Hartge,
- 1457 P. et al. A subset-based approach improves power and interpretation for the combined
- analysis of genetic association studies of heterogeneous traits. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **90**,
 821–835 (2012).
- 1460 23. Zhu, X., Feng, T., Tayo, B. O., Liang, J., Young, J. H., Franceschini, N. et al. Meta-
- analysis of correlated traits via summary statistics from GWASs with an application in
 hypertension. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **96**, 21–36 (2015).
- van der Sluis, S., Posthuma, D. & Dolan, C. V. TATES: efficient multivariate genotypephenotype analysis for genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003235
 (2013).
- 1466 25. Trochet, H., Pirinen, M., Band, G., Jostins, L., McVean, G. & Spencer, C. C. A.
- 1467 Bayesian meta-analysis across genome-wide association studies of diverse
- 1468 phenotypes. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **43**, 532–547 (2019).
- 1469 26. Majumdar, A., Haldar, T., Bhattacharya, S. & Witte, J. S. An efficient Bayesian meta-
- 1470 analysis approach for studying cross-phenotype genetic associations. *PLoS Genet.* 14,
 1471 e1007139 (2018).
- 1472 27. Turley, P., Walters, R. K., Maghzian, O., Okbay, A., Lee, J. J., Fontana, M. A. et al.

- 1473 Multi-trait analysis of genome-wide association summary statistics using MTAG. Nat.
- 1474 *Genet.* **50**, 229–237 (2018).
- 1475 28. O'Reilly, P. F., Hoggart, C. J., Pomyen, Y., Calboli, F. C. F., Elliott, P., Jarvelin, M.-R. et
- al. MultiPhen: joint model of multiple phenotypes can increase discovery in GWAS.
- 1477 *PLoS One* **7**, e34861 (2012).
- 1478 29. Jostins, L. & McVean, G. Trinculo: Bayesian and frequentist multinomial logistic
- regression for genome-wide association studies of multi-category phenotypes.
- 1480 *Bioinformatics* **32**, 1898–1900 (2016).
- 1481 30. Hartley, S. W. & Sebastiani, P. PleioGRiP: genetic risk prediction with pleiotropy.
- 1482 Bioinformatics **29**, 1086–1088 (2013).
- 1483 31. Stephens, M. A unified framework for association analysis with multiple related
- 1484 phenotypes. *PLoS One* **8**, e65245 (2013).
- 1485 32. Joo, J. W. J., Kang, E. Y., Org, E., Furlotte, N., Parks, B., Hormozdiari, F. et al. Efficient
- 1486 and Accurate Multiple-Phenotype Regression Method for High Dimensional Data
- 1487 Considering Population Structure. *Genetics* **204**, 1379–1390 (2016).
- 1488 33. Liu, J., Pei, Y., Papasian, C. J. & Deng, H.-W. Bivariate association analyses for the
- mixture of continuous and binary traits with the use of extended generalized estimating
 equations. *Genet. Epidemiol.* 33, 217–227 (2009).
- 1491 34. Liu, L., Tang, L., Dong, W., Yao, S. & Zhou, W. An overview of topic modeling and its
 1492 current applications in bioinformatics. *Springerplus* 5, 1608 (2016).
- 1493 35. Lee, M., Liu, Z., Kelly, R. & Tong, W. Of text and gene--using text mining methods to
- 1494 uncover hidden knowledge in toxicogenomics. *BMC Syst. Biol.* **8**, 93 (2014).
- 1495 36. Bicego, M., Lovato, P., Perina, A., Fasoli, M., Delledonne, M., Pezzotti, M. et al.
- 1496 Investigating topic models' capabilities in expression microarray data classification.
- 1497 *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinform.* **9**, 1831–1836 (2012).
- 1498 37. McCoy, T. H., Castro, V. M., Snapper, L. A., Hart, K. L. & Perlis, R. H. Efficient genome-
- 1499 wide association in biobanks using topic modeling identifies multiple novel disease loci.

- 1500 Mol. Med. 23, 285–294 (2017).
- 1501 38. McCoy, T. H., Castro, V. M., Snapper, L., Hart, K., Januzzi, J. L., Huffman, J. C. et al.
- Polygenic loading for major depression is associated with specific medical comorbidity. *Transl. Psychiatry* 7, e1238 (2017).
- 39. McCoy, T. H., Jr, Pellegrini, A. M. & Perlis, R. H. Using phenome-wide association to
 investigate the function of a schizophrenia risk locus at SLC39A8. *Transl. Psychiatry* 9,
- 1506 45 (2019).
- 1507 40. Zhao, J., Feng, Q., Wu, P., Warner, J. L., Denny, J. C. & Wei, W.-Q. Using topic
- 1508 modeling via non-negative matrix factorization to identify relationships between genetic
- 1509 variants and disease phenotypes: A case study of Lipoprotein(a) (LPA). *PLoS One* **14**,

1510 e0212112 (2019).

- 1511 41. Lumbreras, A., Filstroff, L. & Févotte, C. Bayesian mean-parameterized nonnegative
 1512 binary matrix factorization. *Data Min. Knowl. Discov.* 34, 1898–1935 (2020).
- 1513 42. Andrzejewski, D., Zhu, X. & Craven, M. Incorporating Domain Knowledge into Topic
- 1514 Modeling via Dirichlet Forest Priors. *Proc. Int. Conf. Mach. Learn.* **382**, 25–32 (2009).
- 1515 43. Hu, Y., Boyd-Graber, J., Satinoff, B. & Smith, A. Interactive topic modeling. *Mach.*
- 1516 *Learn.* **95**, 423–469 (2014).
- 1517 44. Li, C., Rana, S., Phung, D. & Venkatesh, S. Hierarchical Bayesian nonparametric
- models for knowledge discovery from electronic medical records. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 99, 168–182 (2016).
- 1520 45. Cortes, A., Dendrou, C. A., Motyer, A., Jostins, L., Vukcevic, D., Dilthey, A. et al.
- 1521 Bayesian analysis of genetic association across tree-structured routine healthcare data
- 1522 in the UK Biobank. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 1311–1318 (2017).
- 1523 46. Choi, E., Bahadori, M. T., Song, L., Stewart, W. F. & Sun, J. GRAM: Graph-based
- 1524 Attention Model for Healthcare Representation Learning. *KDD* **2017**, 787–795 (2017).
- 1525 47. Cao, J., Xia, T., Li, J., Zhang, Y. & Tang, S. A density-based method for adaptive LDA
- 1526 model selection. *Neurocomputing* vol. 72 1775–1781 (2009).

- 1527 48. Wallach, H. M., Murray, I., Salakhutdinov, R. & Mimno, D. Evaluation methods for topic
- 1528 models. Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning
- 1529 *ICML* '09 (2009) doi:10.1145/1553374.1553515.
- 1530 49. Carrasco, M. V., Manolopoulou, I., O'Sullivan, J., Prior, R. & Musolesi, M. Posterior
- summaries of grocery retail topic models: Evaluation, interpretability and credibility.
- 1532 Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics) (2022)
- 1533 doi:10.1111/rssc.12546.
- 1534 50. Wallach, H., Mimno, D. & McCallum, A. Rethinking LDA: Why Priors Matter. in
- 1535 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (eds. Bengio, Y., Schuurmans, D.,
- 1536 Lafferty, J., Williams, C. & Culotta, A.) vol. 22 (Curran Associates, Inc., 2009).
- 1537 51. Minka, T. Estimating a Dirichlet distribution.
- 1538 https://vismod.media.mit.edu/pub/tpminka/papers/minka-dirichlet.ps.gz.
- 1539 52. Islam, M. M., Valderas, J. M., Yen, L., Dawda, P., Jowsey, T. & McRae, I. S.
- 1540 Multimorbidity and comorbidity of chronic diseases among the senior Australians:
- 1541 prevalence and patterns. *PLoS One* **9**, e83783 (2014).
- 1542 53. Griffiths, T. L. & Steyvers, M. Finding scientific topics. *Proceedings of the National*1543 *Academy of Sciences* vol. 101 5228–5235 (2004).
- 1544 54. Eckel, R. H., Grundy, S. M. & Zimmet, P. Z. The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* 365,
 1545 1415–1428 (2005).
- 1546 55. Cornier, M.-A., Dabelea, D., Hernandez, T. L., Lindstrom, R. C., Steig, A. J., Stob, N. R.
 1547 et al. The metabolic syndrome. *Endocr. Rev.* 29, 777–822 (2008).
- 1548 56. MacArthur, J., Bowler, E., Cerezo, M., Gil, L., Hall, P., Hastings, E. et al. The new
- 1549 NHGRI-EBI Catalog of published genome-wide association studies (GWAS Catalog).
- 1550 *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, D896–D901 (2017).
- 1551 57. Ernst, J. & Kellis, M. ChromHMM: automating chromatin-state discovery and
- 1552 characterization. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 215–216 (2012).
- 1553 58. Ng, S. K., Tawiah, R., Sawyer, M. & Scuffham, P. Patterns of multimorbid health

- 1554 conditions: a systematic review of analytical methods and comparison analysis. *Int. J.*
- 1555 *Epidemiol.* **47**, 1687–1704 (2018).
- 1556 59. Bisquera, A., Gulliford, M., Dodhia, H., Ledwaba-Chapman, L., Durbaba, S., Soley-Bori,
- 1557 M. et al. Identifying longitudinal clusters of multimorbidity in an urban setting: A
- 1558 population-based cross-sectional study. *The Lancet Regional Health Europe* vol. 3
- 1559 100047 (2021).
- Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y. & Jordan, M. I. Latent dirichlet allocation. *the Journal of machine Learning research* 3, 993–1022 (2003).
- 1562 61. Xu, C. & Su, Z. Identification of cell types from single-cell transcriptomes using a novel
 1563 clustering method. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 1974–1980 (2015).
- 1564 62. Que, X., Checconi, F., Petrini, F. & Gunnels, J. A. Scalable Community Detection with
 1565 the Louvain Algorithm. in *2015 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing*1566 *Symposium* 28–37 (2015). doi:10.1109/IPDPS.2015.59.
- 1567 63. Bastarache, L. Using Phecodes for Research with the Electronic Health Record: From
 1568 PheWAS to PheRS. *Annu Rev Biomed Data Sci* 4, 1–19 (2021).
- 1569 64. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K., Loh, P.-R., Finucane, H. K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Schizophrenia
- 1570 Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium et al. LD Score regression
- 1571 distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat.*
- 1572 *Genet.* **47**, 291–295 (2015).
- 1573 65. Heritability and Genetic Correlation. *GitHub* https://github.com/bulik/ldsc.
- 1574 66. Burdett, T., Hastings, E., Welter, D., SPOT, EMBL-EBI & NHGRI. GWAS Catalog.
- 1575 https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/.
- 1576 67. Cano-Gamez, E. & Trynka, G. From GWAS to Function: Using Functional Genomics to
- 1577 Identify the Mechanisms Underlying Complex Diseases. *Front. Genet.* **11**, 424 (2020).
- 1578 68. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping
- and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1826 (2017).
- 1580 69. Functional Mapping and Annotation of Genome-wide association studies.

- 1581 https://fuma.ctglab.nl/.
- 1582 70. GEO Accession viewer.
- 1583 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE87112.
- 1584 71. GTEx Portal. https://www.gtexportal.org/home/.
- 1585 72. Euesden, J., Lewis, C. M. & O'Reilly, P. F. PRSice: Polygenic Risk Score software.
- 1586 *Bioinformatics* **31**, 1466–1468 (2015).