COVID-19 prognostic model using Bayesian networks learnt on patient data

Nikolas Bernaola^{1,*}, Concha Bielza¹, Pedro Larrañaga¹

¹ Computational Intelligence Group. Departamento de Inteligencia Artificial. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain. Corresponding author: <u>nikolasbernaola@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

The response to the ongoing second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic can be helped by giving medical professionals access to models learned on patient data. To achieve this, we learned a Bayesian network model to predict risk of ICU admission, death and time of stay in the hospital from patient history, initial vital laboratory signs. initial tests and medication. Data were obtained from patients that were admitted to an HM hospital with suspicion of COVID-19 until 24/04/2020, excluding unconfirmed diagnosis, those who were admitted before the epidemic started in Madrid, had an outcome that was not discharge or death or died within 24 hours of presentation. Relevant variables for the model were selected with help from medical professionals. We learned the model usina Bavesian search as implemented in GeNIe. Of 2,307 patients in

the dataset, 679 were excluded. With the remaining 1,645 patients, we learned a model that predicted death with 86.4% Some of the initial variables accuracy. were discarded because they were independent of the outcomes of interest conditioned on some of the other variables. This high redundancy might be useful to build simpler tests for the severity of COVID-19. We show how the model can be used at different stages of patient admission and even with only partial information about the patient. This can be done by clinicians that want a fast second opinion or a summary of the available data from previous patients similar to the one at hand. We then include how we plan to improve the model with extra patient data and how it could be expanded to other contexts, like for example, an epidemiological one.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, declared by the WHO Director General at the media briefing on March 11th 2020, is caused by the named Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)¹. It started in Wuhan, China, but it later spread to the rest of the world, with as of the last week of 2020,

over 84.53^2 million confirmed cases worldwide.

Spain, with 1,958,844 confirmed cases and 51,078 confirmed deaths as of December 31th 2020^{2} , has been undergoing a very strong second wave (see Fig. 1) of the pandemic over the fall

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by Wet heven sak should not souther to during a she and cather and cather

rise again with 297 cases notified over the

no explanation of the intended use of the



Figure 1: Daily new confirmed cases of COVID-19 in Spain. It clearly shows the ongoing second wave, very high test positivity rate and how after a peak in November, the cases are slightly on the rise again. (Data by Johns Hopkins University, chart by Our World in Data⁹)

last 14 days per 100,000 inhabitants².

Because it is a new epidemic, the specific mechanisms and pathophysiology remain elusive, with clinical experience showing significant heterogeneity in the development of symptoms in severe acute cases³. The analysis of data on the clinical characteristics, received treatment and outcomes of COVID-19 patients is of vital importance to reduce its mortality, target treatment to presentation of the disease and help with triage and proper management of hospital resources. There has been previous work on finding prognostic models and clinical predictors for COVID-19^{4,5,6,7} but it has been found to be flawed⁸ due in part to poor reporting,

models and no description of the study population. There is also a lack of work of this type in Spain with, to the best of our knowledge, only one other study in collaboration with a hospital in Madrid⁷.

For these reasons, in this article we present a Bayesian network model learnt using data from 1,645 patients admitted with symptoms of COVID-19 to hospitals of the HM network in Madrid during the first wave of the pandemic. This work has been done in partnership with various medical professionals which helped with an initial analysis and reporting of the study population¹⁰ and further posed concrete questions for the model to answer so that there is a clear use case.

Methods

Data sources

We obtained the data from the HM hospital network in Madrid, thanks to its project 'COVID DATA SAVE LIVES'¹¹. This anonymized clinical dataset comes from the HM hospitals HER system. It was openly released on April 25th on demand to research groups that wanted to analyse it, provided they presented a project beforehand and said project was approved by the corresponding board of experts.

The data included patients' age, gender, past diagnoses, smoking status, admission data, initial vital signs and complementary tests performed in the Emergency Room, vitals and tests performed throughout their hospital stay, treatments received (including previous medications continued and specific treatment for COVID-19), destination at discharge (or death) and diagnoses during their stay.

Exclusion criteria

Patients admitted before the first cases were declared in Madrid (24/02/2020), who had not yet reached an outcome (discharge or death) by 24/04/2020, transferred to a different hospital for admission, voluntarily discharged, with an unconfirmed diagnosis or that were interned for less than 24 hours were excluded from the analysis. After exclusion there were 1,645 patients remaining. The exclusion process is explained in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Flow diagram of patient exclusion from the initial sample.

Model learning

Variable selection

Since the dataset had an enormous number of variables for each patient, we could not use all of them for risk of overfitting the model to the comparatively small amount of training data we had.

Therefore, we chose to focus on only a few variables. To do so, we consulted two independent experts on what the most

important indicators for prognosis were. These variables are the following: age (divided into the following groups: <40, 40-59, 60-79 and ≥80), gender, past diagnoses (diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, ischaemic events [recorded continued use as of anticoagulants and/or aspirin], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD] and cancer), toxic habits (current or past smoking), allergies (to penicillin and other initial medications), vital signs (temperature, heart rate, oxygen saturation [measured through pulse oximetry] and blood pressure [systolic and diastolic]) and initial laboratory parameters (lactate dehydrogenase [LDH], D-dimer, ferritin, C-reactive protein [CRP], creatinine, procalcitonine, lactate, lymphocyte count and neutrophil count). For these features we took the first analysis they had after arriving at the hospital or, when possible, the average of the first two if taken within 24 hours so as to reduce the amount of missing data. Viral load and Interleukin-6 level were considered too. However, viral load was not available in the data and only 30 patients had been tested for IL-6 level in their first two tests, so those variables were discarded.

We also added sixteen medications that were considered the most important by the experts as binary variables indicating whether a patient had been administered the drug or not. The drugs considered for network were: four corticoids the (methylprednisolone, dexamethasone, hydrocortisone and prednisone); two

		Variable se	lection	
	Initial vital	Initial laboratory		
Demographic	signs	parameters	Past diagnoses	Medication
Age Gender	Temperature Heart rate Oxygen saturation Blood pressure	LDH D-dimer Ferritin C-reactive protein Lactate Creatinine Procalcitonine Lymphocyte count Neutrophil count	Diabetes Hypertension Hypercholesterolemia Continued use of aspirin Continued use of anticoagulants COPD Cancer Current smoking Past smoking Penicillin allergy Allergy to other medication	Methylprednisolone Dexamethasone Hydrocortisone Prednisone Ritonavir and Lopinavir Oseltamivir Amoxicillin Piperacillin Linezolid Azithromycin Ceftriaxone Meropenem Levofloxacin Hydroxychloroquine
				Tocilizumab Interferon beta 1-b

Table 1: Variables considered for the model. In red, the variables that were rejected due to high redundancy. (They were independent of the targets given the rest of the variables in the same group)

antivirals (ritonavir with lopinavir, and oseltamivir); seven antibiotics (amoxicillin, piperacillin, linezolid, azithromycin, ceftriaxone, meropenem and levofloxacin); and three immunomodulators (hydroxychloroquine, tocilizumab, and interferon beta 1-b).

Finally, three possible target variables were added: time of stay in the hospital, ICU admission and death, all relevant for the main objective of predicting the severity of the disease in a given patient. Table 1 shows all the predictor variables used for the model. For a complete analysis of the dataset, see previous work by our team¹⁰. We studied the association of the variables to mortality and used propensity-score matching to find the effect of treatments on patient outcomes.

All lab tests but LDH, D-Dimer and lymphocyte count were removed from the network in an effort to improve readability by reducing the number of redundant variables. The same happened with initial blood pressure and the other vital signs. This was done because those variables were found in every network learned to be independent of mortality or ICU admission given the other initial variables. This was done through the d-separation graphical criterion¹².

Missing data

All variables except for the initial laboratory tests and initial vital signs were complete. The ones that were not, were imputed using an iterative imputation procedure, that learned a multivariate regression on all the variables except one against that, then used the newly imputed variable to learn a new regression for the other variables¹³. This process was repeated until the imputed dataset was stable.

Bayesian networks

A Bayesian network (BN) is a probabilistic graphical model that combines probability and graph theory to efficiently represent the probability distribution of a group of $\{X_1, X_n\}^{12}$ variables BNs model probabilistic conditional dependencies and independencies between the variables in terms of a directed acyclic graph and a series of conditional probability distributions (CPDs). Each of the nodes in the graph represents a variable with the edges representing conditional (in)dependencies between the variables. Each of the CPDs is associated with a variable X_i and gives the probability distribution of that variable conditioned on its parents in the graph, that is, the nodes that have edges directed towards X_i , which we denote $\mathbf{Pa}(X_i)$. The formula for the joint probability distribution of the variables given all the CPDs is:

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | \mathbf{Pa}(X_i))$$



Figure 3: The full Bayesian network model. Without any evidence it serves as a summary of the original data distribution.

Discretization

Continuous variables needed to be discretized, due to limitations of the algorithm. To do so, we followed different strategies depending on the variable in question. For those where it made sense, we consulted the experts to define ranges for which the values were considered normal. This included the initial vital signs and the initial laboratory parameters. We also consulted with them for the age intervals, although given the tremendous age dependency of mortality in COVID-19 cases, more granularity in the upper intervals could be beneficial. Finally, given didn't have we any clear recommendations for the time in the hospital, we decided to discretize by using equal counts.

Bayesian search

Bayesian search of the BN structure is an algorithm introduced by Cooper and Herkovitz in 1992¹⁴. It is a hill climbing heuristic algorithm guided by a scoring function. In the case of GeNIe's implementation it is the Bayesian Dirichlet equivalent uniform (BDeu)¹⁵. This score is optimized by maximizing the probability of the structure of the BN given the dataset used for learning. By Bayes theorem, we have that:

 $P(S|D) \propto P(D|S)P(S)$

where P(S|D) is the probability of the structure given the data, P(S) is the prior distribution over the structures and P(D|S) is the probability of the data given the structure (the marginal likelihood). BDeu assumes a uniform prior so that maximizing P(S|D) is the same as maximizing P(D|S) for which there is a closed formula. The assumption of a uniform prior is reasonable since it is mostly uninformative, so no structures are preferred over others initially.

With this score, the algorithm starts with a structure at random and does random edge additions, removals and reversals while checking if the score increases or not. As long as the score increases, the change is accepted. If the score doesn't change or decreases the change is rejected. If no changes increase the score, the algorithm stops and returns the current network which is the highest scoring one. Then, the algorithm repeats the process with another random starting structure for a number of iterations decided by the user.

Finally, the networks resulting from each iteration are compared and the highest

scoring one is returned as the result. The main change in our process was that the comparison between models after each iteration was not done on the basis of the BDeu score but on how well they predicted patient mortality, since it is the most important variable of the three we want to predict.

This accuracy was tested through leave-one-out cross-validation. This was done in an effort to get the best possible model at assessing the severity of each patient.

Model

The BN model structure is shown in Figure 3. In this state, without any evidence, it serves as a summary of the distribution of the original data. The three variables of interest for prediction are the length of stay, admission to ICU and death. Tables 2a and 2b show accuracy and area under the ROC curve for each of the targets.

Accuracies for the length of stay seem to indicate that the model is generally overestimating the severity of the cases.

	Death	ICU Admission
Accuracy	86.4%	95.2%
AUC	0.870	0.634

Table 2a: Accuracy and area under the ROC curve for ICU admission and death targe	t
variables.	

Length of stay	Up to 5 days	From 5 to 7 days	From 7 to 11 days	Over 11 days		
Accuracy	16.3%	15.8%	68.6%	50.1%		
AUC	0.702	0.642	0.592	0.745		

Table 2b: Accuracy and area under the ROC curve for each of the bins of the length of stay target variable.

0		Aç	je															
Below_	40	09	%			002	Satur	ation A	dmis	si	Hea	art Rate	Admi	ssion	O Ter	nperat	ure Adn	nission
From_4	0_to_60	09	6	- F	•	Нурож	<u>tia</u> 100	%		No	ormal		0%		Normal	0%		
From 6	0 to 80	09	6			Norma	0	%			chyca	ndia 10	00%	÷	Fever	100%		÷
Over 8	0	1009	6	E	_	0	Abn	ormal L	.DH) A	bnorma	l D-di	mer	Abr	ormal	Lympho	cyte
0		Geo	der			False	94%			Fa	ilse 91	%			False 9	4%		
<u> </u>		Gen	UGI	_	47	True	6%			Tn	ue 9	%			True	6%		
Female	0%				£		71	\bigcirc	7	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		12		\sim	1	•/		
Male	100%	- 13		Æ	1	6	71	~	2		-	. 1.6				6		
				K) As	pirin us	se	0	Cano	er	OP	enicillir	n Allergy	0	Diabe	/tic
\circ	L	.engt	h of stay			Fa	lse 919	%		Falses	94%		False	97%		False	86%	
Below_5_d	ays	149	6			1/1	ue 94	%		True	6%		True	3%	V	True	14%	
From_5_to_	7_days	15%	6			K C) Smok	ing His	tory		ticoag	ulant	\circ	Нуре	rtense	0	yperch	olest
From_7_to	11_days	\$ 279	6			Fa	lse 894	%		False	95%		False	70%		False	79%	
Over_11_da	ays	449	6		5	Tn	ue 119	%		True	5%		True	30%		True	21%	
	dmissio		0	Death		XIC)	COPD		0	Smol	ker	0	ther d	Irug all			
			<u> </u>		_		Ise 961	M.		False	97%		False	96%				
raise 95%			raise 59%	_		To	ue 4	~ K	_	True	396		True	4%				
True 5%			True 41%			र प्र	λ			1/4	X.	Æ	1	474	Y			
		<u>~</u> //		The second	277	XII		Ronau		11001	42		4					
	O Met	hylp	rednisolone	· O	Mero	penem	0,	utonav	r an	a copi	· O	Interfer	on be	ta 1-b				
	False 60	0%		Fals	e 91%		False	39%			Fals	e 94%						
	True 4)%	F	True	9%	6	True	61%		F	True	6%		~				
	0	Lin	ezolid	\circ	Pipe	racillin	O	lydroxy	ychlo	roquin	•	Ose	eltami	/ir				
	False 93	3%		Fals	e 95%		False	15%			Fals	e 92%			1			
	True 7	7%	F	True	5%	L F	True	85%		F	True	8%			,			
	0	Am	oxicillin	0	Ceftr	riaxone	0	Too	ilizur	mab	0	Leve	ofioxa	cin				
	False 94	4%		Fals	e 35%		False	76%			Fals	e 73%			$\sum N$			
	True (6%	F	True	65%	6	True	24%		F	True	27%						
	0	Azith	romycin	0	Hydroo	cortisone	0	Dexa	meth	asone	0	Pre	dnisor	ne	M			
	False 4	1%		Fals	e 96%		False	85%			Fals	e 92%			1			
	True 58	9%	F	True	4%	L F	True	15%		F	True	8%			-			

Figure 4: Example at admission. The figure shows an elderly man, over 80 years old, that is admitted with symptoms of COVID-19: hypoxia, fever and increased heart rate. Before we know anything else about the patient, the model already outputs the expected severity of the case (with the probability of death rising to 41%), how long it expects the patient to remain in the hospital and what treatment similar patients have received in the past. Past the clinical level, the probabilities of ICU admission and of length of stay could be used by hospital administrators to be able to make more informed guesses about future occupancy levels and better manage resources.

Use case explanation

The model can be used for prognosis at the clinical level (giving doctors the ability to have a quick second opinion that just summarizes the available data), as an example figures 4 to 6 show how the model could be used for a concrete case showing it as we get more information on the severity of the case. From admission (Fig. 4), with only demographic and triage data; followed by adding the initial laboratory tests and past conditions (Fig. 5) and, finally, the medication that the patient is receiving (Fig. 6).

0	A	ge													
Below	40 0	%	_	0	2 Satura	ation Adr	missi	\circ	Heart Ra	ite Admis	ssion	O Ten	nperatu	re Adm	ission
From_4	0_to_60_0	%	•	Нуро	<u>xia</u> 1009	%		Norm	al	0%		Normal	0%		
From_6	0_to_80 0	%		Norma	al Of	4	÷	Tach	ycardia	100%	÷	Fever	100%		÷
Over 8	100	%	R.	0	Abn	ormal LD	н	0	Abnor	mal D-din	ner	OAbr	ormal L	ympho	cyte
0	Ger	nder		False	0%			Jaise	<u>100%</u>			False	0%		
Famala	09/		-4	True	100%		ŧ	True	0%		ŧ	True 10	00%		÷
Male	10.0%				1	SX	\sim			X		1	•/		
male	100 %				As	pirin use	0	c	ancer	OP	enicilin	Allergy	Ó	Diabe	tic
0	Leng	th of stav		F	alse 10	0%	Fals	e 100	0%	False	100%		False	0%	
Balow 5 d		× –		— /ī	rue (0%	True		0%	True	0%	F	True 1	00%	L.
From 5 to	7 dave 15	76		K	Smok	ing Histo		Antico	agulant		Hyper	tense	О Ну	percho	lest
From 7 to	11 days 279	%			alse 0	96	Fals	e 100	0%	False	100%		False	100%	
Over 11 de	avs 459	%			rue 100	%	Ta True		0%	True	0%	L L	True	0%	E
	dmission		Death			COPD	0	S	moker		ther dr	ug all			T.
	Composition	· · · · · ·	Zeau		also 10	096	Eala	e 10/	196	False	100%				
False 93%		False 52%			rue (0%	True		0%	True	0%	-			
True 7%		True 48%	(1.) (1.)	R 7	X L	2	1/5		P	1		T A			
	O Methyla	redaisalana			10B	itonavir	and Loc	N. C		laron hai	a 1-b				
	Calca CON	reanaoione	Coloro R	av l	Color.	2024			-les 000		a 1-0				
	True 44%		True 1	376	Taise	61%			aise 697	2					
	0			170	Ou	0176	the second	<u> </u>							
		nezolia	<u> </u>	nperacilin		yaroxyc	nioroqu	ine		seitamiv	a.				
	False 81%		False 9	5%	False	15%			alse 919						
	True 19%		True	5%	rue	85%			rue 97	•	_ _				
	O Am	noxicillin	<u>о</u> с	eftriaxone	0	Tocili	zumab	() Le	vofioxa	cin				
	False 88%		False 4	1%	False	76%		F	alse 739	6					
	True 12%		True 5	9%	True	24%		P	rue 279	6					
	Aziti	hromycin	🔾 Нус	drocortison	e 🔾	Dexame	ethason	e (D P	rednison	ne -				
	False 41%		False 8	8%	False	82%		F	alse 929	6					
	True 59%		True 1	2%	True	18%			rue 89	6					

Figure 5: History of the patient and initial tests. In this step, we input the history of the patient, which seems like a controlled diabetic (no hypertension or hypercholesterolemia) that used to smoke. We also add the initial results from the lab, which show a high lymphocyte count and abnormal LDH levels. The evidence is used by the model to update the results, now giving a 48% probability of death and a higher probability of admission to the ICU. This higher risk is also reflected in the medication recommended: probabilities for stronger antibiotics (like meropenem) and steroids (like dexamethasone) have gone up.

Conclusions

We have presented a Bayesian network model learnt using data from patients diagnosed with COVID-19 during the first wave of the pandemic in Spain. Given the current, rapidly worsening, situation we believe that models such as this can be used to help clinicians arrive at conclusions informed by previous patient data much faster which would

facilitate the work, especially if the situation worsens and hospital saturation increases.

The model is not a replacement for medical professionals but a tool for informed decision making that will hopefully be useful. Our current goal is to gather as much data as we can so that the model can be improved and then work on building a browser-based app to make it as accessible as possible.

Finally, there is a possible application of this model to an epidemiological setting by taking demographic data and using it to predict incidence of ICU admission and time spent in the hospital if we have an estimate of how much of the population will be infected and how many will need hospitalization. This would help with better resource allocation.

	Ag	е																	
40	09	6			O 02	Satur	ation Ad	dmissi	\circ	Heart	Rate	Admis	sion	O Te	mperat	ure Adı	mission		
- 40 to 6	0 09	6		•	Нурох	<u>ia</u> 100	%		Norm	al		0%		Normal	0%				
60_to_8	0 09	6			Normal	0	%	ŧ	Tach	ycan	<u>dia</u> 10	0%	÷	Fever	100%		(÷		
80	1009	6			0	Abn	ormal Li	DH	0	Abr	norma	D-dim	ner	OAb	normal	Lymph	ocyte		
	Gen	der			False	0%			False	<u>e</u> 100	%			False 0%					
			_	-/	True 1	00%		÷	True	0	%		÷	True 1	00%		ŧ		
0%				6-	_	\square	Z	\sim						1	1				
100%	113				6		nicia un	16		-		Ó.	nicillin	Allarm	16	Diah	atio		
					K	AS	prin us			ance			nicilin	Allergy	<u> </u>	Diab	euc		
	Lengt	h of stay			Fal	<u>se</u> 10	0%	Fal	<u>se</u> 10	0%		False	100%		False	0%			
days	20%	· 💶				le	0%	4 Iru	e (0%	\$	True	0%	1	Irue	100%	ŧ		
_7_days	\$ 24%	·			Nº	Smok	ing Hist	ory 🔾	Antici	oagui	ant	0	Hyper	tense	0 "	yperch	olest		
_11_day	/s 30%				Fal	se ()%	Fal	<u>se</u> 10	0%		False	100%		False	100%			
lays	25%				In	<u>ue</u> 100)%	a Tru	e (0%	÷	True	0%		True	0%	ŧ		
Admissi	on	0	Death		XIO)	COPD	0	S	moke	r	00	ther dr	ug all					
		False 71%			Fal	<u>se</u> 10	0%	Fal	<u>se</u> 10	0%		False	100%						
		True 29%		-	Tru	e	0%	⊕ Tru	e (0%	÷	True	0%	3	7				
A b	নগাঁ			17	A			N	۸ ×	Ł		*							
OM	ethylpr	ednisolone	0	Merop	enem	OF	Ritonavi	r and Lo	pi () In	terfer	on bet	a 1-b						
False	100%		False	100%		Fals	e 100%		F	alse	100%								
True	0%		True	0%		True	0%		I T	rue	0%		(F						
0	Lin	ezolid	0	Piper	acillo		ludrowy	chioroa	uine (0	Ose	Tamiv	r						
Ealan	1009/	02010	Ealar	1000/		Eala	0.00%	enner e qu		alaa	10086								
Toue	0%		True	00%		True	100%			aise	0%								
	0.76	1		0.0	1		2100%		<u> </u>		0.76	-	÷						
0	Amo	oxicillin	<u> </u>	Cettra	axone	<u> </u>	100	lizumab	_	<u> </u>	Levo	floxad	in .						
False	100%		False	0%		Fals	<u>e</u> 100%		E	alse	100%								
True	0%	l l	True	100%		True	0%		ŧ	rue	0%		÷						
	A - 20-	romucio	O H	vdroce	ortisone		Dexan	nethasor	ne (Prec	Inison	e						
0	Azim	ionly can	<u> </u>	,		\sim			~	_			-						
False	AZEN 100%	loniyen	False	100%		Fals	<u>e</u> 100%		F	alse	0%		-						
	_40 40_to_60 60_to_8 80 20% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Ag _40 09 40_to_60 09 60_to_80 09 80 1009 Genu = 0% 100% Lengti days 20% _7_days 24% _11_days 30% days 25% Admission Admission False 100% True 0% C Lin False 100% True 0% C Amo False 100% True 0% C Amo	Age _40 0% 40_to_60 0% 60_to_80 0% 80 100% Gender = 0% 100% Length of stay days 20% _7_days 24% _11_days 30% Jays 25% Methylprednisolone False 71% False 70% False 70% Fal	Age _40 0% 40_to_60 0% 60_to_80 0% 80 100% Gender 0% 100% 0 Length of stay days 20%	Age _40 0% 40_to_60 0% 60_to_80 0% 80 100% Gender 0% 100% 0 Length of stay days 20%	Age 02 _40 0% 02 40_to_60 0% Normal 80 100% False Gender False ge 0 Length of stay False 100% False 100% False Jays 20% 1days 20%	Age 02 Satur 40_to_60_0% 0% 60_to_80_0% 0% 80_100% 0% Gender False_0% True 100% 0 0% 0% 100% 0% 100% 0% Gender False_0% True 100% 0% 100% 0% 7_days 20% 0%	Age O2 Saturation Ad 40_to_60_0% Normal 0% 80_100% Abnormal Li Gender False 0% 100% False 100% 100% False 100% 100% False 0% 11_days 30% Smoking Hist 11_days 30% False 0% Admission Death False 71% True 100% False 71% True 0% False 71% False 100% Methylprednisolone Meropenem Methylprednisolone Meropenem Methylprednisolone Meropenem Methylprednisolone Meropenem False 100% False 100% True 0% False 100% False 100% False 0% Methylprednisolone Meropenem Methylprednisolone Meropenem False 100% False 0% False 10	Age O2 Saturation Admissi 40 0% 40_to_60 0% 60_to_80 0% 80 100% Gender False e 0% 100% Ealse 0% Ealse 100% Ealse 11_days 30% Ealse 100% Ealse 11_days 30% Ealse 11_days 30% Ealse 11_days 30% Ealse 11_days 30% Ealse 100% Ealse 100% Ealse 100% Ealse 10% Ealse	Age O2 Saturation Admissi _40 0% 40_to_60 0% 60_to_80 0% 80 100% Gender False addition False addition False 100% False addition False <td>Age O2 Saturation Admissi Heart _40 0% O2 Saturation Admissi Heart 40_to_50 0% Incention Admissi Normal 60_to_50 0% Incention Admissi Normal 80 100% Incention Admissi Normal Gender Incention Admissi Abnormal LDH Abromal LDH Gender False 0% False 100% Incention Admission 100% Incention Admission Aspirin use Cance Length of stay Aspirin use Cance Jays 20% Smoking History Anticoagul _11_days 30% Smoking History Anticoagul _11_days 30% False 100% False 100% Admission Death COPD Smoke False 71% True 100% False 100% False 100% True 29% False 100% False 100% False 100% Methylprednisolone Meropenem Ritonavir and Lopi In False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% Chinezolid Piperacil</td> <td>Age 02 Saturation Admissi Heart Rate _40_to_60_0% Normal Normal 60_to_80_0% Normal Normal 80_100% Abnormal LDH Abnormal Gender Faise 0% False 100% age Cancer False 100% False 20% True 0% True 0% 100% Image: True 0% True 0% 20% Smoking History Anticoagulant _11_days 30% False 71% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 71% False 100% False 100% False 71% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% COPD Smoker False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100%</td> <td>Age 02 Saturation Admissi Heart Rate Admis 40_to_50 0% Normal 0% Normal 0% 60_to_80 0% Abnormal LDH Abnormal D-dim Gender False 0% False 100% 00% False 0% False 100% 00% False 0% False 100% 100% False 0% False 100% 100% False 100% False 100% 100% False 100% False 100% 11_days 30% Smoking History Anticoagulant _11_days 30% False 100% False 100% 11_days 30% False 100% False 100% _11_days 30% False 100% False 100% _11_ue 29% Furue 0% Furue 0% Furue 0% _11_ue 29% Furue 0% Furue 0% Furue 0% Furue 29% Furue</td> <td>Age O2 Saturation Admissi Heart Rate Admission 40_to_60_0% Normal 10% Normal 0% 60_to_80_0% Abnormal LDH Abnormal D-dimer Gender False 0% False 100% False 100% 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% 100% False 10% False 100% False 100% False 100% 11_days 20% Smoking History Anticoagulant Hyper 11_days 30% False 100% False 100% False 100% Admission Death COPD Smoker Other dr False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% Fals</td> <td>Age 02 Saturation Admissi. Heart Rate Admission Telever 40_to_60 0% Normal 0% Normal 0% 80_to_50 0% Normal 0% Normal 0% Normal 0% 80_to_50 0% Abnormal LDH Abnormal D-dimer Abit Gender False 0% False 100% Fal</td> <td>Age O2 Saturation Admissi. Heart Rate Admission Temperat 40 0% Hypoxia 100% Normal 0% Fever 100% 80 100% False 100% False 100% Fever 100% Fever 100% 80 100% False 0% False 100% False 0% False 0% False 0% 100% False 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% 100% False 100% False</td> <td>Age O2 Saturation Admissi Heart Rate Admission Temperature Admission 40_to_60 0% Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Normal 0% 80_to_60 0% Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission 80_to_60 0% Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission 80_to_80 0% Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission 80_to_80 0% Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Gender Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Gender Image: Temperature Admission Abnormal LDH Abnormal LDH Abnormal LDH Gender Image: Temperature Admission False 0% False 100% False 0% Image: Temperature Admission 100% Image: Temperature Admission Aspirin use Cancer Pencilin Alergy Diab Length of stay Aspirin use Cancer Pencilin Alergy Diab Image: Temperatemase True 0% Image: Tru</td>	Age O2 Saturation Admissi Heart _40 0% O2 Saturation Admissi Heart 40_to_50 0% Incention Admissi Normal 60_to_50 0% Incention Admissi Normal 80 100% Incention Admissi Normal Gender Incention Admissi Abnormal LDH Abromal LDH Gender False 0% False 100% Incention Admission 100% Incention Admission Aspirin use Cance Length of stay Aspirin use Cance Jays 20% Smoking History Anticoagul _11_days 30% Smoking History Anticoagul _11_days 30% False 100% False 100% Admission Death COPD Smoke False 71% True 100% False 100% False 100% True 29% False 100% False 100% False 100% Methylprednisolone Meropenem Ritonavir and Lopi In False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% Chinezolid Piperacil	Age 02 Saturation Admissi Heart Rate _40_to_60_0% Normal Normal 60_to_80_0% Normal Normal 80_100% Abnormal LDH Abnormal Gender Faise 0% False 100% age Cancer False 100% False 20% True 0% True 0% 100% Image: True 0% True 0% 20% Smoking History Anticoagulant _11_days 30% False 71% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 71% False 100% False 100% False 71% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% COPD Smoker False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100%	Age 02 Saturation Admissi Heart Rate Admis 40_to_50 0% Normal 0% Normal 0% 60_to_80 0% Abnormal LDH Abnormal D-dim Gender False 0% False 100% 00% False 0% False 100% 00% False 0% False 100% 100% False 0% False 100% 100% False 100% False 100% 100% False 100% False 100% 11_days 30% Smoking History Anticoagulant _11_days 30% False 100% False 100% 11_days 30% False 100% False 100% _11_days 30% False 100% False 100% _11_ue 29% Furue 0% Furue 0% Furue 0% _11_ue 29% Furue 0% Furue 0% Furue 0% Furue 29% Furue	Age O2 Saturation Admissi Heart Rate Admission 40_to_60_0% Normal 10% Normal 0% 60_to_80_0% Abnormal LDH Abnormal D-dimer Gender False 0% False 100% False 100% 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% 100% False 10% False 100% False 100% False 100% 11_days 20% Smoking History Anticoagulant Hyper 11_days 30% False 100% False 100% False 100% Admission Death COPD Smoker Other dr False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% CPTue 0% Fals	Age 02 Saturation Admissi. Heart Rate Admission Telever 40_to_60 0% Normal 0% Normal 0% 80_to_50 0% Normal 0% Normal 0% Normal 0% 80_to_50 0% Abnormal LDH Abnormal D-dimer Abit Gender False 0% False 100% Fal	Age O2 Saturation Admissi. Heart Rate Admission Temperat 40 0% Hypoxia 100% Normal 0% Fever 100% 80 100% False 100% False 100% Fever 100% Fever 100% 80 100% False 0% False 100% False 0% False 0% False 0% 100% False 0% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% False 100% 100% False	Age O2 Saturation Admissi Heart Rate Admission Temperature Admission 40_to_60 0% Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Normal 0% 80_to_60 0% Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission 80_to_60 0% Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission 80_to_80 0% Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission 80_to_80 0% Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Gender Abnormal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Normal 0% Image: Temperature Admission Gender Image: Temperature Admission Abnormal LDH Abnormal LDH Abnormal LDH Gender Image: Temperature Admission False 0% False 100% False 0% Image: Temperature Admission 100% Image: Temperature Admission Aspirin use Cancer Pencilin Alergy Diab Length of stay Aspirin use Cancer Pencilin Alergy Diab Image: Temperatemase True 0% Image: Tru		

Figure 5: Adding medication. In this final figure, we add the medication that has been given to the patient. In this case, hydroxychloroguine, ceftriaxone and prednisone. This informs the model that the case is less severe than it thought, which updates the estimates of death, ICU admission and length of stay downward. This can be further updated as the case develops, adding new medication, with which the model can keep updating until it resolves.

Bibliography

- "Naming the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and the virus that causes it," 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.who.int/emergencies/dis eases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technic al-guidance/naming-the-coronavirusdisease-(covid-2019)-and-the-virus-th at-causes-it [Accessed: 20-Nov-2020]
- 2. "COVID-19 situation update worldwide, as of 20 October 2020," European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 20-Oct-2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/geog raphical-distribution-2019-ncov-cases [Accessed: 20-Nov-2020]
- Zhou, Fei, et al. "Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study." *The Lancet* (2020).
- Jianfeng, Xie, et al. "Development and external validation of a prognostic multivariable model on admission for hospitalized patients with covid-19." *medRxiv* (2020)
- Yadaw, Arjun S., et al. "Clinical predictors of COVID-19 mortality." *medRxiv* (2020).
- Soto-Mota, Adrian, et al. "The low-harm score for predicting mortality in patients diagnosed with COVID-19: A multicentric validation study" *medRxiv* (2020).
- Torres-Macho, Juan, et al. "The PANDEMYC Score. An easily applicable and interpretable model for predicting mortality associated with COVID-19." *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 9.10 (2020): 3066.
- 8. Wynants, Laure, et al. "Prediction models for diagnosis and prognosis of

covid-19: Systematic review and critical appraisal." *BMJ* 369 (2020).

 Max Roser, et al. (2020) - "Coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19)". [Online] Available:

https://ourworldindata.org/coronavir us [Accessed: 20-Nov-2020]

- 10. Bernaola, Nikolas, et al. "Observational Study of the Efficiency of Treatments in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19 in Madrid". *medRxiv*, 2020. Available: <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.</u> 1101/2020.07.17.20155960v1
- HM Hospitales, "COVID data save lives" [Online].
 Available:https://www.hmhospitales.c om/coronavirus/covid-data-save-lives.
 [Accessed: 20-Nov-2020]
- Koller, Daphne, and Nir Friedman. *Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques*. MIT press, 2009.
- Buck, Samuel F. "A method of estimation of missing values in multivariate data suitable for use with an electronic computer." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* 22.2 (1960): 302-306.
- Cooper, Gregory F., and Edward Herskovits. "A Bayesian method for constructing Bayesian belief networks from databases." Uncertainty Proceedings 1991. Morgan Kaufmann, 1991, 86-94.
- Heckerman, David, et al. "Learning Bayesian networks: The combination of knowledge and statistical data." *Machine Learning* 20, 3 (1995): 197-243.