1	Development of Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) Assays
2	Using Five Primers Reduces the False-positive Rate in COVID-19 Diagnosis
3	
4	Galyah Alhamid <sup>1,2</sup> , Huseyin Tombuloglu <sup>2*</sup> , Ebtesam Al-Suhaimi <sup>3</sup>
5	
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Master Program of Biotechnology, Institute for Research and Medical Consultations, Imam
8	Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
9	<sup>2</sup> Department of Genetics Research, Institute for Research and Medical Consultations (IRMC), Imam
10	Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, 31441, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
11	<sup>3</sup> Biology Department, College of Science and Institute of Research and Medical Consultations (IRMC),
12	Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam 31441, Saudi Arabia
13	
14	
15	*Corresponding author: <u>htoglu@iau.edu.sa</u>
16	
17	
18	<b>Declaration of competing interest:</b>
19	The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
20	relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
21	

# 22 Statements and Declarations

Ethical approval. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University (IAU), with IRB number IRB-2020-13-406. All methods were carried out in accordance with current guidelines and regulations. De-identified samples left over after completion of diagnostic tests were used; hence, this study requires no consenting, as per institutional ethics committee regulations and informed consent.

28

## 29 Acknowledgements

This study is funded by Institute for Research and Medical Consultations (IRMC) under the project number 2020-IRMC-S-3 and the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) of Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University (IAU) Fast track fund of COVID-19 (COVID19-2020-026-IRMC). Huseyin Tombuloglu received the awards.

54

35 **Competing interests** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## 37 Abstract

The reverse-transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) is a cheaper and 38 faster testing alternative for detecting SARS-CoV-2. However, high false-positive rate due to 39 misamplification is one of the major limitations. To overcome misamplifications, we developed 40 colorimetric and fluorometric RT-LAMP assays. The assay performances was verified by the 41 gold-standard RT-qPCR technique on 150 clinical samples. Compared to other primer sets with 42 43 six primers (N, S, and RdRp), E-ID1 primer set, including five primers, performed superbly on both colorimetric and fluorometric assays, yielding sensitivities of 89.5% and 100%, 44 respectively, with a limit of detection of 20 copies/µL. The colorimetric RT-LAMP had a 45 46 specificity of 97.2% and an accuracy of 94.5%, while the fluorometric RT-LAMP obtained 96.9% and 98%, respectively. No misamplification was evident even after 120 minutes, which is 47 crucial for the success of this technique. These findings are important to support the use of RT-48 49 LAMP in the healthcare systems in fighting COVID-19.

50

51 **Keywords**— SARS-CoV-2; RT-LAMP; colorimetric; fluorometric; diagnosis; misamplification.

## 52 Introduction

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19), caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome 53 54 coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), continues to cause rapid infections and deaths since its emergence 55 over two years ago, reaching up to 604 million cases and over 6.4 million deaths worldwide as of August 2022, according to world health organization (WHO) (COVID Live - Coronavirus 56 Statistics - Worldometer, 2022). Efforts to control the spread of this disease are continuous. 57 However, the emergence of new variants with high mutation rates that cause increased infectivity 58 and decreased effectiveness of vaccines, such as Omicron (B.1.1.529), hinders the efficient 59 60 control of this pandemic. Reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RTqPCR) is the accepted gold standard technique to diagnose this disease. However, it requires 61 sophisticated equipment, a laboratory environment, and expert personnel to perform the tests. 62 63 These requirements prevent rapid and expanded testing, especially in regions with lower resources. Therefore, researchers are investigating alternative and affordable point-of-care (POC) 64 testing methods that can diagnose COVID-19 with high sensitivity. One of the molecular 65 techniques that meet these criteria is reverse-transcription loop-mediated isothermal 66 amplification (RT-LAMP), which uses four to six primers to target and amplify a specific gene 67 region. The amplification reaction in this technique takes place isothermally in a single tube 68 without requiring bulky instruments and provides simple detection techniques, being also 69 cheaper and faster than the gold standard (Notomi, 2000, Notomi et al., 2015; (Alhamid and 70 Tombuloglu, 2022). The efficiency of RT-LAMP in COVID-19 diagnosis was demonstrated by 71 72 many researchers (Aoki et al., 2021, Bokelmann et al., 2021, Dao Thi, 2020, de Oliveira Coelho et al., 2021, Lu et al., 2020; Alhamid et al., 2022), and many validated the performance of FDA-73 approved colorimetric RT-LAMP kits for emergency use authorization (Baba et al., 2021, 74

75 Promlek et al., 2022, Color SARS-CoV-2 RT-LAMP Diagnostic Assay - EUA Summary, 2021). 76 However, because of the number of primers, the most common limitation of the RT-LAMP technique is the misamplifications that arise from unwanted secondary structures. Even with the 77 78 careful primer design and the availability of programs that check for dimer and hairpin structures, there is no guarantee that these structures will not be formed practically. In particular, 79 80 current protocols recommend that the reaction time not exceed 30 minutes to avoid misleading results (Amaral et al., 2021, Aoki et al., 2021, de Oliveira Coelho et al., 2021). This makes it 81 challenging to detect samples with low copy numbers and reduces the efficiency of the RT-82 83 LAMP technique.

To address this issue, we hypothesize that a lower number of LAMP primers will reduce 84 the false positivity rate, which is the most common limitation of the LAMP technique (Meagher 85 et al., 2018, Odiwuor et al., 2022). The slower amplification rate can be improved by optimizing 86 the performance of the developed colorimetric and fluorometric assays via increasing the 87 enzyme's concentration or adding primer binding enhancers like guanidine hydrochloride, as 88 shown elsewhere (Dudley et al., 2020, Lu et al., 2022, Zhang, 2020b). In this work, we 89 demonstrate the efficiency of using five primers to reduce misamplifications by comparing them 90 with five primer sets targeting different genes such as RdRP, S, and N. The optimized protocol 91 92 using five primers (E-ID1) eliminates the misamplifications, thus improving the detection's sensitivity and efficacy. By the time of writing this manuscript, there were no studies that 93 discussed the efficiency of primer sets with five primers in the colorimetric and fluorometric 94 95 detection of SARS-CoV-2, which will be comprehensively addressed here.

# 97 Materials and methods

#### 98 Alignment of SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences and RT-LAMP primer design

Genomic sequences of all SARS-CoV-2 types that have been sequenced worldwide were 99 100 downloaded from the database of GISAID (Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data, 101 <https://www.gisaid.org>) and NCBI GenBank <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>. At 102 the time of writing, 12,848,795 hCoV-19 genomes were submitted worldwide. The downloaded genome sequence information was sampled from different continents and included the globally 103 104 dominant variants such as alpha (B.1.1.7, Q.1-Q.8), beta (B.1.351, B.1.351.2, B.1.351.3), gamma 105 (P.1, P.1.1, P.1.2), delta (B.1.617.2), and Omicron (B.1.1.529) to identify the most conserved 106 regions for RT-LAMP primer design. This way, the designed assay would target the viral gene as 107 sensitive, specific, and accurate as possible, regardless of the variant. Also, whole genome 108 sequences of other SARS-CoV like AY278491.2, AY502924.1, AY502927.1, AY559094.1, 109 AY613947.1, and NC\_004718.3 were downloaded from the NCBI database. Then, a 110 comparative analysis was made by aligning the multiple sequences at the base level with the 111 bioinformatics program Clustal Omega <a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/</a>. Afterward, 112 the viral target regions were selected in conformity with COVID-19 testing directives of the 113 CDC, WHO, and EU Commission. The mutation sites were identified using JalView (v2.11.1.3) program (Waterhouse et al., 2009). The Conserved regions specific for SARS-CoV-2 but not for 114 the other SARS-COV species were selected for primers' binding sites. All RT-LAMP primer 115 116 sets, each containing five to six primers, were designed using PrimerExplorer V5 program 117 <a href="https://primerexplorer.jp/e>">https://primerexplorer.jp/e>"</a> 118 addition, the OligoAnalyzer tool from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) <https://eu.idtdna.com/pages/tools/oligoanalyzer> was used to check that each primer set would 119

120	not form unwanted secondary structures like homodimer, hetero-dimer, and hairpins. The
121	selected primers were synthesized by Alpha DNA (Montreal, Canada)
122	<http: www.alphadna.com="">. These primers were lyophilized and desalted. All the designed</http:>
123	primer sets were tested on SARS-CoV-2 positive control (PC)-a mixture of verified high
124	SARS-CoV-2-loaded specimens-and non-template control (NTC)-distilled water-and the
125	ones that showed the best performance were chosen for further testing and analysis. In 25 $\mu L$
126	reaction volume, 10x primers' concentrations in a primer mix were as follows: 2 $\mu M$ for F3 and
127	B3, 4 $\mu$ M for LF and LB, and 16 $\mu$ M for FIP and BIP. Sequences of all primer sets are shown in
128	Table 1.

129

130 **Table 1.** Sequences of each RT-LAMP primer set used in this study.

Primer set	Sequence (5' – 3')											
N-ID15	F3: AGATCACATTGGCACCCG											
	B3: CCATTGCCAGCCATTCTAGC											
	IP: TGCTCCCTTCTGCGTAGAAGCCAATGCTGCAATCGTGCTAC											
	BIP: GGCGGCAGTCAAGCCTCTTCCCTACTGCTGCCTGGAGTT											
	: GGCAATGTTGTTCCTTGAGGAAGTT											
	LB: CACGTAGTCGCAACAGTTCAA											
N-ID15n1L <sup>*</sup>	F3: AGATCACATTGGCACCCG											
	B3: CCATTGCCAGCCATTCTAGC											
	FIP: TGCTCCCTTCTGCGTAGAAGCCAATGCTGCAATCGTGCTAC											
	3IP: GGCGGCAGTCAAGCCTCTTCCCTACTGCTGCCTGGAGTT											
	F: GCAATGTTGTTCCTTGAGGAAGTT											
	B: GTTCCTCATCACGTAGTCGCAACA											
S-ID17	F3: TCTTTCACACGTGGTGTT											
	B3: GTACCAAAAATCCAGCCTC											
	FIP: CATGGAACCAAGTAACATTGGAAAAACCTGACAAAGTTTTCAGATCC											
	BIP: CTCTGGGACCAATGGTACTAAGAGGACTTCTCAGTGGAAGCA											
	LF: GGTAAGAACAAGTCCTGAGTTGAA											
	LB: GTTTGATAACCCTGTCCTACCATT											

S-ID24	F3: GGTGTTTATTACCCTGACAAAG
	B3: GTACCAAAAATCCAGCCTC
	FIP: CATGGAACCAAGTAACATTGGAAAATTTTCAGATCCTCAGTTTTACATTC
	BIP: CTCTGGGACCAATGGTACTAAGAGGACTTCTCAGTGGAAGCA
	LF: GAAAGGTAAGAACAAGTCCTGAGT
	LB: GTTTGATAACCCTGTCCTACCATT
E-ID1 <sup>*</sup>	F3: TCATTCGTTTCGGAAGAGA
	B3: AGGAACTCTAGAAGAATTCAGAT
	FIP: TGTAACTAGCAAGAATACCACGAAACAGGTACGTTAATAGTTAATAGCG
	BIP: GCTTCGATTGTGTGCGTACTCGAGAGTAAACGTAAAAAGAAGG
	LB: GCTGCAATATTGTTAACGTGAGTC
RdRp-ID37	F3: ACAAAGCCTTACATTAAGTGG
	B3: CACCATCAACAAATATTTTTCTCAC
	FIP: TGGGTGGTATGTCTGATCCCAATAGATTTGTTAAAATATGACTTCACGG
	BIP: TGTGTTAACTGTTTGGATGACAGATTGTAAGTGGGAACACTGT
	LF: ACGGTCAAAGAGTTTTAACCTCTCT
	LB: GCATTCTGCATTGTGCAAACT

131 Abbreviations: F3, forward outer; FIP, forward inner; LF, loop forward; B3, backward outer; BIP,

132 backward inner; LB, loop backward. \*The primers were designed using PrimerExplorer program,

133 (Jamwal et al., 2021) used the same primer set.

134

## 135 Fluorometric RT-LAMP reaction

The Fluorometric RT-LAMP reactions were carried out in 25 µL reaction volume. Two brands 136 were tested in the fluorometric observation to choose the most compatible reagents that show the 137 best performance: WarmStart<sup>®</sup> (New England BioLabs) and LavaLAMP<sup>TM</sup> (Lucigen). Unless 138 otherwise stated, a LavaLAMP<sup>TM</sup> master mix contained 2.5 µL 10x LavaLAMP<sup>TM</sup> RNA buffer, 139 1.25 µL 100 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 2 µL 10 mM dNTP solution, 1 µL 20x Green Fluorescent Dye, 1 µL 140 141 LavaLAMP<sup>TM</sup> RNA enzyme (Lucigen), 2.5 µL primer mix, 5 µL template (or dH<sub>2</sub>O for NTC), and dH<sub>2</sub>O up to 25 µL. In the fluorometric assay using WarmStart<sup>®</sup> reagents, the master mix 142 included 2.5 µL 10x isothermal amplification buffer, 1.5 µL 100 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 3.5 µL 10 mM 143 144 deoxynucleotide (dNTP) solution, 0.5 µL 50x LAMP fluorescent dye, 8000 U/mL Bst 2.0

145	WarmStart® DNA polymerase, 0.5 µL WarmStart® RTx reverse transcriptase (New England
146	BioLabs), 2.5 $\mu$ L primer mix, 2 $\mu$ L template (or dH <sub>2</sub> O for NTC), and dH <sub>2</sub> O up to 25 $\mu$ L.
147	Reactions were performed in a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System, Thermo Fisher Scientific
148	(Waltham, MA, USA) <https: www.thermofisher.com="">, at 65 °C for Warmstart<sup>®</sup> and 70 °C for</https:>
149	LavaLAMP <sup>TM</sup> for 80 cycles, 45-60 seconds using FAM filter as the reporter dye channel.

150

## 151 Colorimetric RT-LAMP detection

For a 25  $\mu$ L total reaction volume, unless otherwise specified, the colorimetric RT-LAMP mixture is composed of 12.5  $\mu$ L WarmStart<sup>®</sup> Colorimetric LAMP 2x master mix with UDG (New England BioLabs), 2.5  $\mu$ L 10x primer mix, 2  $\mu$ L template (or dH<sub>2</sub>O for NTC), and dH<sub>2</sub>O up to 25  $\mu$ L. The mixture is incubated in a water bath, Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA) <https://www.thermofisher.com>, set at 65 °C for 60 minutes or until a distinguishable color change is observed.

158

# 159 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Positive or negative amplifications upon RT-LAMP reactions were validated by loading RT-LAMP products in 2% agarose gel prepared with VisualaNA (A) DNA Stain from Molequle-On (Auckland, New Zealand) <a href="http://molequle-on.com">http://molequle-on.com</a> and run in electrophoresis unit (Analytik Jena) for 45 minutes operating at 100 V. Then, the gel is visualized under a UV-trans illuminator (ChemiDoc<sup>TM</sup> XRS+ System with Image Lab<sup>TM</sup> Software, Bio-Rad, USA). Successful amplifications in positive samples were visualized as ladder-type DNA bands.

## 167 Collection of samples and validation of results

168 Multiple validations were conducted on real clinical specimens in collaboration with the Microbiology Department of King Fahd University Hospital (KFUH), Dammam, which is 169 170 authorized to store and analyze SARS-CoV-2 samples from patients. A sufficient number of samples (150 validated SARS-CoV-2 positive or negative RNA samples) were simultaneously 171 tested and validated with the herein developed colorimetric RT-LAMP assay, fluorometric RT-172 173 LAMP assay, and other commercially or in-house developed RT-qPCR kits (Tombuloglu et al., 174 2021, Tombuloglu et al., 2022). The limit of detection (LoD) was determined using serial dilutions of synthetic SARS-CoV-2 RNA control (Twist synthetic RNA control 51 175 (EPI\_ISL\_7718520), Twist Bioscience, USA). The specificity was determined by testing other 176 respiratory viruses' RNA extracted from clinical samples, including parainfluenza virus 3, 177 178 enterovirus, rhinovirus, human metapneumovirus A+B, parainfluenza virus 4, bocavirus, and 179 coronavirus 229 E. Eventually, the following results for further validation were obtained: 1) RTqPCR results from the abovementioned hospitals, 2) RT-qPCR results from in situ lab, 3) 180 181 fluorescent RT-LAMP, 4) the colorimetric RT-LAMP, and 5) agarose gel electrophoresis.

182

#### 183 **RT-qPCR assay**

Since RT-qPCR assay is accepted as the gold standard method, the positivity or negativity of the collected specimens was tested to verify the RT-LAMP results. RNA samples were used as template by targeting at least two viral genes (RdRp, N, and E) and a human RP gene as the internal control, as described earlier (Tombuloglu et al., 2021, Tombuloglu et al., 2022). In addition, no-template control (NTC) was used to detect any possible misamplifications. The reactions were run in a real-time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems<sup>TM</sup> real-time PCR 7500).

The samples having a threshold cycle (Ct or Cq) score over 37 (Ct > 37) were considered negative. The SARS-CoV-2 positive specimens were identified if the Ct number was  $\leq$  37 with a sigmoidal amplification curve.

193

### 194 Ethical approval

The study is approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University (IAU) with an IRB number of IRB-2020-13-406. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. The de-identified samples left over after completion of diagnostic tests were used; hence this study requires no consenting as per institutional ethics committee regulations and informed consent.

200

### 201 Statistical analysis

For the colorimetric quantification, the difference between the positive and negative samples' 202 203 color development was determined spectrophotometrically by the mean values of the optical density ( $\Delta$ OD). This was done by transferring equal amounts of five positive and five negative 204 205 clinical samples in a 96-well cell culture plate (Thermofisher Scientific) and reading absorbance 206 values at wavelengths 434 and 560 nm using a plate reader (BioTek Synergy HTX microplate 207 reader, Agilent). The difference in optical densities was considered statistically significant if the 208 p-value <0.05 in an unpaired t-test performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0 (GraphPad Software, 209 USA).

# 211 **Results**

## 212 **RT-LAMP primers performance**

213 The performance of the five primer sets targeting N, E, S, or RdRp genes was tested on positive 214 (PC) and non-template controls (NTC). In the colorimetric identification, the color in all tubes was observed at 0 minutes (before reaction) and then subsequently every ten minutes. According 215 216 to the results shown in Figure 1, primer sets N-ID15n1L and RdRp-ID37 showed a red-to-yellow 217 color difference in positive reactions at 40 minutes. Primer set E-ID1 started to develop a color change at 50 min (without optimization), while most primer sets started to show 218 219 misamplifications in NTC at this time. The reaction was terminated after 60 minutes due to 220 developing false positivity in NTC in all the sets except E-ID1.

Depending on the primer set, the appearance time of false-positive results differs. Since misamplification is evident in the NTC tubes of N-ID15 and S-ID17 for around 40 min, it is not recommended to incubate the reaction for longer than 40 minutes. However, extending the time over 120 minutes did not lead to misamplifications for the E-ID1 set harboring five primers. Therefore, the E-ID1 primer set was selected for further testing.



227

Figure 1 Colorimetric test using all primer sets on positive controls (PC) and non-template controls (NTC). A visible color change from red to yellow develops in PC tubes in the colorimetric identification, and negatives remain red.

## 232 Optimization of the colorimetric and fluorometric RT-LAMP assays

Colorimetric and fluorometric RT-LAMP assays were developed and optimized to enhance the 233 performance of the E gene primers. The optimization includes addition of guanidine 234 hydrochloride, testing different DNA polymerases (Bst 2.0 vs Bst 3.0 versions), and adjusting the 235 optimum reaction temperature. In contrast to the detection time before optimization, the 236 237 colorimetric assay showed an earlier color change within 30 minutes (Figure 2(a)). Also, this improvement was seen in the fluorometric RT-LAMP assay, where it detected all positive 238 samples in less than 60 minutes, an average of around 40 min, compared to its performance 239 before optimization (Figure 2 (b, c)). Supplementary Table S1 compares of the assay's detection 240 241 speed and sensitivity on different clinical samples.





Figure 2 (a) Colorimetric RT-LAMP using E-ID1 primer set on clinical samples before and after optimization. This colorimetric assay started to detect the positive samples within 30 minutes compared to 50 minutes before optimization. (b) Fluorometric RT-LAMP before and (c) after optimized using LavaLAMP<sup>TM</sup> reagents on different clinical samples, where the detection enhancement can be evident.

249

Bst 3.0 enzyme demonstrates improvements in amplification performance and high reverse transcriptase activity, allowing single enzyme RT-LAMP reactions. By comparing with Bst 2.0 DNA polymerase in the fluorometric RT-LAMP, the enzyme that shows the best performance with the E-ID1 primer set was chosen for further analysis. Both enzymes were added at the same concentration on PC and NTC triplicates. However, positive amplifications appeared around 17 minutes using Bst 2.0 enzyme, while with Bst 3.0, PC amplified after 21 minutes (Figure S1).

257 The effect of guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) on the performance of E-ID1 primer set 258 in both colorimetric and fluorometric RT-LAMP reactions was tested since it was reported to 259 enhance the detection speed and efficiency (Dudley et al., 2020, Lu et al., 2022, Zhang, 2020b). 260 Figure 3 (a) shows two sets of colorimetric RT-LAMP with and without the addition of GuHCl 261 (40 mM) on positive clinical specimens, in addition to PC and NTC. The colors started to develop in the positive samples after 24-27 minutes. In reactions with GuHCl, the sample J49 262 263 started to show a color change after 27 minutes, and the light-yellow color fully developed after 264 30 minutes. On the other hand, this sample's color started to change after 35 minutes without 265 adding GuHCl, which fully developed after 40 minutes (Figure 3 (b)). The effect of GuHCl was also tested fluorometrically on PC and NTC triplicates, and it indeed improved the detection 266 speed. No misamplification in either test was evident upon 120 min. 267



Figure 3 Testing the effect of adding 40 mM guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) in (a) 270 colorimetric RT-LAMP reaction on positive clinical samples (P13, P14, J10, J49) and an 271 inconclusive sample (J43). This addition improved the detection speed in one sample, where it 272 started to change color eight minutes earlier compared to reactions without GuHCl. The 273 inconclusive sample J43, however, remained negative in both. (b) Fluorometric RT-LAMP PC 274 and NTC triplicate test with (yellow) and without GuHCl (red). All PC triplicates with GuHCl 275 amplified earlier than those without. There were no amplifications in NTC in both colorimetric 276 and fluorometric tests, suggesting that GuHCl indeed increases the detection speed without 277 278 causing misamplification in NTC.

279

## 280 Limit of detection of *E* gene primers

281 SARS-CoV-2 synthetic RNA control (Twist synthetic RNA control 51 (EPI\_ISL\_7718520),

Twist Bioscience, USA) was serially diluted  $(1, 10^1, 10^2, 10^3, 10^4, 10^5, \text{ and } 10^6 \text{ times})$  to

283	determine the limit of detection (LoD) of RT-LAMP reactions. Figure 4 (a) shows the LoD of
284	the colorimetric RT-LAMP assay targeting the $E$ gene. The color development to yellow is
285	prominent in 1, 10 <sup>1</sup> , 10 <sup>2</sup> , and 10 <sup>3</sup> -times dilutions, corresponding to 500 copies/reaction volume
286	(25 $\mu$ L) or 20 copies/ $\mu$ L for the 10 <sup>3</sup> -times dilution. After the reaction, the reaction mixture of
287	each dilution was loaded in 2% agarose and visualized under a UV trans-illuminator to further
288	check if band-pattern intensities decreased with reduced viral loads (Figure 4 (b)). The results
289	indicate a ladder-type banding pattern in the detected dilutions, while no bands appeared in the
290	others. Agarose gel electrophoresis and the colorimetric identification are in line.



292

**Figure 4** The limit of detection (LoD) of *E* gene primers tested in the colorimetric RT-LAMP assay on serially diluted SARS-CoV-2 synthetic RNA control (**a**). The assay detected up to  $10^3$ dilutions, which correspond to 500 copies/reaction volume (25 µL) or 20 *copies/µL*. (**b**) Validation of the colorimetric LoD results via loading the RT-LAMP product post-reaction in 2% agarose gel showing band patterns of the detected diluted samples.

298

# 299 **RT-LAMP assays on clinical samples**

The performance of the E-ID1 primer set was colorimetrically and fluorometrically tested on clinical specimens, as depicted in Figure 5 (a). These results were then validated using agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 5 (c)). In the colorimetric RT-LAMP reaction, the tubes were incubated in a thermal block set at 65 °C, and the positive clinical specimens started to show a color change after 20 minutes, while the color difference between positives and negatives was

the clearest after 30 minutes. The reaction was then terminated after 40 minutes. Eventually,

there was 94.5% agreement between the colorimetric RT-LAMP and RT-qPCR results.

Similarly, clinical samples were fluorometrically tested using the optimized RT-LAMP
protocol (Figure 5(b)). The reaction was placed at 70 °C in a thermal cycler for 75 minutes. This
fluorometric assay had 98% agreement with RT-qPCR results.

310



Figure 5 Optimized (a) colorimetric and (b) fluorometric RT-LAMP assays tested on SARS-CoV-2 clinical samples show agreement in the detection sensitivity. (c) Loading eight samples in agarose stained with a DNA stain, a 0.05-10 Kb DNA ladder was used as a marker, where positive samples show DNA bands under UV transilluminator.

316

## 317 Sensitivity and specificity of RT-LAMP Assays

A total of 150 clinical specimens were tested in both assays. Accordingly, the colorimetric RT-

LAMP assay had a sensitivity of 89.5%, specificity of 97.2%, and accuracy of 94.5%. The

320 positive percent agreement (PPA) with the RT-qPCR was calculated to be 94.4%, while the

negative percent agreement (NPA) was 94.6%. In the fluorometric detection, the results revealed
100% sensitivity, 96.9% specificity, and 98% accuracy. The fluorometric RT-LAMP assay had a
PPA of 94.7% and an NPA of 100%.

The color development in the positive and negative samples was quantified post-reaction 324 325 using the spectrophotometer by measuring the absorbance at 434 and 560 nm wavelengths. 326 Figure 6 (a) shows that the difference in optical densities ( $\Delta OD$ ) between the positive yellow and 327 negative red samples is statistically significant (p<0.0001, 95% CI). Among the tested samples, 328 the samples having high viral loads were detected in ~20 minutes, while those with low viral 329 loads took up to 75 minutes (Figure 6 (b, c)). On average, the detection time was calculated as 37 330 minutes. Besides, 90% of SARS-CoV-2 positive samples were detected within 50 minutes 331 (Figure 6(c)).



332

**Figure 6 (a)** Quantification of the color change between positive and negative samples by the spectrophotometric measurement of optical density ( $\Delta$ OD) at 434 and 560 nm. The line inside the box represents the median and the whiskers extend to the maximum and minimum values. The four asterisks (\*) correspond to p<0.0001, which is a statistically significant difference (unpaired t-test, p<0.05, 95% CI). (b) Time to detection threshold of all positive samples. The dash line indicates the mean (37 minutes) and the dotted line represents the median (33 minutes). (c) Percentage of total positive samples detected plotted against time.

340

341 In addition, the inclusivity of the E-ID1 primer set was tested *in-silico* by aligning the 342 five VOC with other SARS viruses. These primers bind to the conserved regions in all SARS-343 CoV-2 variants, including the recent Omicron but are uncommon for the other SARS (Figure 344 S3). In addition, the cross-reactivity of E-ID1 primers was tested in-vitro against the most 345 common respiratory viruses, including parainfluenza virus 3, enterovirus, rhinovirus, human 346 metapneumovirus A+B, parainfluenza virus 4, bocavirus, and coronavirus 229 E. The colorimetric assay only detected SARS-CoV-2 but none of the other respiratory viruses, which 347 348 indicates high specificity against the diagnosis of COVID-19 infection (Figure S4).

349

### 350 **Discussion**

Diagnostic tests are the first line of defense against the uncontrolled spread of pandemics. Such 351 tests must be accessible, fast, and simple with high diagnostic accuracy to be used for POC in 352 resource-limited areas. Aside from the gold standard RT-qPCR, alternative molecular and 353 354 serological techniques are being widely investigated to develop fast, accurate, and cost-effective 355 tests to efficiently diagnose pathogenic diseases, including the recent COVID-19. The 356 colorimetric RT-LAMP technique's simplicity allows for its use as a POC testing alternative for large-scale screening. In this work, two RT-LAMP assays were developed to target and detect 357 358 the E gene of SARS-CoV-2. The colorimetric RT-LAMP holds the advantage of simple and 359 cost-effective reaction conditions, in addition to the visual interpretation of the results. Its diagnostic accuracy, however, must be comparable to the gold standard RT-qPCR to consider 360 361 this technique reliable to avoid false results and their undesired consequences. In the colorimetric

362 detection, 94.5% of the results were found to be consistent with RT-qPCR, and three samples 363 contradicted it, hence were considered inconclusive. We also monitored the rate of color change in positive specimens throughout incubation times at 0, 20, 30, and 40 minutes to detect the 364 365 minimum time when the color between positives and negatives is distinguishable. It was observed that the color in high viral load samples started to change after 20 minutes, and lower 366 viral load samples began to change to yellow after 30-40 minutes. Over 50% of positive samples 367 changed to yellow at 30 minutes (Figure 6). This color difference between the positive and 368 369 negative color was statistically significant (p<0.0001, 95% CI) as the  $\Delta$ OD of both were 370 measured spectrophotometrically. Overall, the sensitivity of the colorimetric RT-LAMP reaction greatly depends on the assay's LoD, sample quality, viral load, and time of sample collection 371 from the disease onset (Promlek et al., 2022). 372

373 The fluorometric RT-LAMP assay also showed a great performance when testing the 374 clinical samples, where 98% of the results agreed with RT-qPCR. The fluorometric and 375 colorimetric results agree in all tested samples except the inconclusive samples-namely J20, 376 which was fluorometrically negative but colorimetrically positive; and J43, which was 377 fluorometrically positive but colorimetrically negative— (Figure S2). This difference in the results is due to the low viral load in these samples (Ct > 30). It can also be caused by insufficient 378 379 pH reduction to cause a color change to yellow. The solution's pH is also affected by the elution buffer used during the sample's RNA extraction process (Aoki et al., 2021). It should be noted 380 381 that one clinical specimen (J15) was detected in both colorimetric and fluorometric RT-LAMP 382 but was negative in RT-qPCR. Accordingly, both assays had higher sensitivity than RT-qPCR in detecting SARS-CoV-2 in this specimen. 383

384 Among the designed primer sets, the E gene primers target conserved, non-mutated 385 regions of the most recent VOC but are uncommon for other SARS, based on our *in-silico* analysis. So, this assay is expected to detect any SARS-CoV-2 variant, including the heavily 386 387 mutated Omicron. Indeed, even with Omicron specific point mutation (C/T) at FIP binding site, this primer set efficiently detected Omicron-infected samples along with the synthetic copy of 388 Omicron (Twist Bioscience). The five primers in this set caused a delayed detection time in 389 390 positive specimens compared to other primer sets composed of six primers, as demonstrated in Figure 1. However, other primer sets (N-ID15, N-ID15n1L, S-ID17, S-ID24, and RdRp-ID37) 391 392 had non-specific amplification in NTC appeared in 40 minutes (N-ID15 and S-ID17). The 393 misamplification was clear in all tested primer sets within 50-60 minutes, except the E-ID1 set. Regardless of the late color development, the E-ID1 primer set showed high stability at a longer 394 395 reaction time when the incubation lasted up to two hours (data not shown). For this reason, we tested tripling the concentration of Bst 2.0 DNA polymerase to compensate for the smaller 396 397 number of primers. Increasing the enzyme concentration up to three times normally causes non-398 specific amplification in NTC due to the number of primers and high polymerase activity; 399 however, this was not seen with E-ID1. This increase in the enzyme's concentration would increase the assay's cost as well; nonetheless, the developed assays are expected to be more 400 accurate in eliminating false-positive results. 401

A study by (Jamwal et al., 2021) designed the same primers' sequences, which is expected because the size of targeted E gene is small (228 bases), and the same primer designing program was used. However, this is the first study to test this primer set using the colorimetric and fluorometric RT-LAMP methods. Also, the performance of this primer set was improved by optimizing the enzyme concentration and adding reaction enhancers like guanidine

407 hydrochloride. Indeed, after optimization, the detection time of the colorimetric assay was over 408 ten minutes earlier than pre-optimization when testing the same positive samples. It also detected the clinical samples (i.e., J13, J31, J41, and J44) that were previously undetected before 409 410 increasing the enzyme's concentration, confirming its effectiveness (Table S1). We tested the effect of Bst 3.0 DNA polymerase compared to the widely used Bst 2.0 DNA polymerase to 411 choose the enzyme that better matches the primers and brings about their best performance. Bst 412 413 3.0 DNA polymerase enzyme enhances amplification performance and has a high reverse 414 activity, allowing RT-LAMP reactions transcriptase single enzyme 415 <https://international.neb.com/products/m0374-bst-3-0-dna-

polymerase#Product%20Information>. However, the results in Figure S1 indicate that Bst 2.0 416 enzyme was faster than Bst 3.0 in detecting positive sample triplicates, concluding that this assay 417 418 has a faster and more efficient detection when Bst 2.0 enzyme is used. Furthermore, the addition 419 of guanidine hydrochloride (GuHCl) to the RT-LAMP reaction has been reported to enhance the 420 speed and sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 detection. For instance, Zhang et al. reported up to a ten-421 fold increase in sensitivity and speed in low viral RNA samples; this addition did not increase misamplification in NTC. It is hypothesized that GuHCl enhances base pairing between primers 422 and their targets, significantly improving detection speed and sensitivity (Zhang, 2020b). 423 Another study by Dudley et al. revealed that this addition had lowered the LoD in their assay 424 (Dudley et al., 2020). The improvement was evident with final concentrations between 40-60 425 426 mM in a 25 µL reaction volume, with 40 mM being the optimum recommended concentration 427 <a href="https://international.neb.com">https://international.neb.com</a> (Zhang et al., 2020b). Most studies reported this enhancement from GuHCl on RNA-extracted clinical samples but not in direct ones (Dudley et al., 2020, Lu et 428 429 al., 2022). Based on that, we used 40 mM GuHCl in the colorimetric and fluorometric RT-

430 LAMP reactions; both resulting in 3–7-minute earlier amplifications in positive reactions with 431 GuHCl. Adding GuHCl enhanced the detection speed in this reaction, but it did not improve the sensitivity in detecting the inconclusive sample J43 (positive in RT-qPCR and fluorometric RT-432 433 LAMP but negative in colorimetric RT-LAMP). In general, the effect of GuHCl varies depending on the primers used in the reaction. After optimizing the reaction conditions, our 434 assay detects down to 20 copies/µL, or 500 copies/reaction. A study designed an E gene primer 435 436 set that is composed of four primers and had a detection limit of 2000 copies/reaction, which signifies the role of loop primer addition in improving sensitivity. Our LoD is comparable to the 437 438 one obtained by Zhang et al. (2020a), who designed primer sets that detected down to 480 copies/reaction. Also, another study detected lower copy numbers (10 copies/µL) using primers 439 that target the ORF1ab gene (Yu et al., 2020). In general, the LoD depends on the assays' 440 reaction conditions and the primers' binding sites. 441

442 Generally, the reaction conditions of our developed colorimetric RT-LAMP assay agree with the previous studies that used the same reagents from WarmStart® (New England 443 444 BioLabs), being 30 minutes incubation time at 65 °C (Amaral et al., 2021, Aoki et al., 2021, 445 Chow et al., 2020, Dao Thi, 2020, de Oliveira Coelho et al., 2021, Huang et al., 2020, Lalli et al., 446 2021, Luo et al., 2022, Nawattanapaiboon et al., 2021, Promlek et al., 2022). In the fluorometric RT-LAMP assay, we used LavaLAMP<sup>™</sup> RNA enzyme (Lucigen), which has an activation 447 temperature of 68-74 °C. Accordingly, based on primers' melting temperature (T<sub>m</sub>) and after 448 optimizing reaction conditions, 40-60 minutes at 70 °C were the optimum settings. 449

Both colorimetric and fluorometric assays showed excellent performance in diagnosing COVID-19, being also highly selective against SARS-CoV-2 variants since the primers showed no cross-reactivity against other respiratory viruses *in-silico* and *in-vitro*. The primer set E-ID1

453 was only used in one study to diagnose SARS-CoV-2 using densitometry and agarose gel 454 electrophoresis to detect RT-LAMP amplification products. However, this primer set did not 455 perform well in their study, so they did not test it further (Jamwal et al., 2021). In addition, their 456 study used Bst 3.0 enzyme for showing the fastest amplification among the other tested enzymes, while our primers performed best when Bst 2.0 enzyme was used. Hence, our optimization to 457 enhance E-ID1 performance in the colorimetric and fluorometric RT-LAMP assay is novel. The 458 459 assays can be improved by testing alternative enzymes with high strand displacement activity or 460 developing homemade reagents to reduce cost. We found that this assay successfully detected 461 SARS-CoV-2 within 20 minutes. The reaction can be extended up to 120 minutes –without misamplification- in particular for patients with low viral loads. In that regard, the current RT-462 LAMP test recommends the use of five primers that are rapid and sensitive in detecting COVID-463 19 infection, especially in symptomatic individuals. 464

465

#### 466 Conclusion

The purpose of this study is to improve one of the limiting factors of the RT-LAMP technique, 467 which is the non-specific amplifications leading to false-positive results. In general, false-468 469 positive results begin to occur within 30 minutes, even after critical primer design. Therefore, 470 current protocols do not recommend exceeding the reaction time over 30 minutes, leading to 471 difficulties in detecting particularly low viral load samples. In order to eliminate the 472 misamplifications, we designed a primer set, namely E-ID1, consisting of five primers that 473 exclude the loop forward (LF) primer. Results showed that the five-primer design provides stable primer targeting and avoids misamplification for 120 minutes. Optimizing the colorimetric and 474 475 fluorometric RT-LAMP assays decreased the detection time to 20-30 minutes. The colorimetric

476 technique uses only a heating block, without any bulky or expensive instruments, and the test 477 results can be visually interpreted through a simple color change from red to yellow in SARS-CoV-2-infected specimens. Besides, the fluorometric RT-LAMP assay shows a sigmoid (S-478 479 shaped) amplification curve in COVID-19-infected samples within 30 minutes. The primers used in this study were originally designed to target a conserved region in the SARS-CoV-2 E gene 480 regardless of the variant, and the assays were optimized according to the primer set's 481 482 performance. The colorimetric assay yielded 89.5% sensitivity and 97.2% specificity, while sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 96.9 % were obtained in the fluorometric assay when 483 484 tested on 150 clinical samples. Both assays performed remarkably, making them successful candidates for replacing the conventional RT-qPCR, thereby greatly contributing to improving 485 the economy. The future work would include improving the accuracy and cost of the assays by 486 487 further optimization or using homemade reagents. Also, the colorimetric RT-LAMP assay has the potential to be developed for in-home use for self-diagnosis. This technique reduces time and 488 489 abundance on healthcare workers, making it suitable for POC testing in local screening centers, 490 emergency departments, and airports.

491

## 492 **References**

Alhamid, G., Tombuloglu, H., Motabagani, D., Motabagani, D., Rabaan, A. A., Unver,
K., Dorado, G., Al-Suhaimi, E., Unver, T. (2022) Colorimetric and fluorometric reversetranscription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) assay for diagnosis of
SARS-COV-2. MedRxiv.

497 https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.30.22279408

- Alhamid, G., Tombuloglu, H. (2022). Perspective Chapter: Recent Progressions on the
  Colorimetric Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 by Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification
  (LAMP) Assay. In (Ed.), SARS-CoV-2 Variants Two Years After. IntechOpen.
- 501 https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.105911
- 502 Amaral, C., Antunes, W., Moe, E., Duarte, A. G., Lima, L. M. P., Santos, C., Gomes, I. L.,
- Afonso, G. S., Vieira, R., Teles, H. S. S., Reis, M. S., da Silva, M. A. R., Henriques, A.
- 504 M., Fevereiro, M., Ventura, M. R., Serrano, M., & Pimentel, C. (2021). A molecular test
- 505 based on RT-LAMP for rapid, sensitive and inexpensive colorimetric detection of SARS-
- 506 CoV-2 in clinical samples. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 16430.
   507 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95799-6
- Aoki, M. N., de Oliveira Coelho, B., Góes, L. G. B., Minoprio, P., Durigon, E. L., Morello, L.
  G., Marchini, F. K., Riediger, I. N., do Carmo Debur, M., Nakaya, H. I., & Blanes, L.
  (2021). Colorimetric RT-LAMP SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic sensitivity relies on color
  interpretation and viral load. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 9026.
- 512 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88506-y
- Baba, M. M., Bitew, M., Fokam, J., Lelo, E. A., Ahidjo, A., Asmamaw, K., Beloumou, G. A.,
  Bulimo, W. D., Buratti, E., Chenwi, C., Dadi, H., D'Agaro, P., De Conti, L., Fainguem,
  N., Gadzama, G., Maiuri, P., Majanja, J., Meshack, W., Ndjolo, A., ... Marcello, A.
- 516 (2021). Diagnostic performance of a colorimetric RT -LAMP for the identification of
- 517 SARS-CoV-2: A multicenter prospective clinical evaluation in sub-Saharan Africa.
   518 *EClinicalMedicine*, 40, 101101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101101
- 519 Bokelmann, L., Nickel, O., Maricic, T., Pääbo, S., Meyer, M., Borte, S., & Riesenberg, S.
- 520 (2021). Point-of-care bulk testing for SARS-CoV-2 by combining hybridization capture

- with improved colorimetric LAMP. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 1467.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21627-0
- 523 Chow, F. W.-N., Chan, T. T.-Y., Tam, A. R., Zhao, S., Yao, W., Fung, J., Cheng, F. K.-K., Lo,
- 524 G. C.-S., Chu, S., Aw-Yong, K. L., Tang, J. Y.-M., Tsang, C.-C., Luk, H. K.-H., Wong,
- 525 A. C.-P., Li, K. S.-M., Zhu, L., He, Z., Tam, E. W. T., Chung, T. W.-H., ... Lau, S. K.-P.
- 526 (2020). A Rapid, Simple, Inexpensive, and Mobile Colorimetric Assay COVID-19-
- 527 LAMP for Mass On-Site Screening of COVID-19. *International Journal of Molecular*
- 528 *Sciences*, *21*(15), 5380. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21155380
- 529 Color SARS-CoV-2 RT-LAMP Diagnostic Assay—EUA Summary. (2021). 25.
- 530 COVID Live—Coronavirus Statistics—Worldometer. (2022). Retrieved May 12, 2022, from
   531 https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/
- 532 Dao Thi, V. L., Herbst, K., Boerner, K., Meurer, M., Kremer, L. P., Kirrmaier, D., Freistaedter,
- A., Papagiannidis, D., Galmozzi, C., Stanifer, M. L., Boulant, S., Klein, S., Chlanda, P.,
- 534 Khalid, D., Barreto Miranda, I., Schnitzler, P., Kräusslich, H.-G., Knop, M., & Anders, S.
- 535 (2020). A colorimetric RT-LAMP assay and LAMP-sequencing for detecting SARS-
- 536 CoV-2 RNA in clinical samples. *Science Translational Medicine*, 12(556), eabc7075.
- 537 https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abc7075
- de Oliveira Coelho, B., Sanchuki, H. B. S., Zanette, D. L., Nardin, J. M., Morales, H. M. P.,
  Fornazari, B., Aoki, M. N., & Blanes, L. (2021). Essential properties and pitfalls of
  colorimetric Reverse Transcription Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification as a point-
- 541 of-care test for SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis. *Molecular Medicine*, 27(1), 30.
- 542 https://doi.org/10.1186/s10020-021-00289-0

- 543 Dudley, D. M., Newman, C. M., Weiler, A. M., Ramuta, M. D., Shortreed, C. G., Heffron, A. S.,
- 544 Accola, M. A., Rehrauer, W. M., Friedrich, T. C., & O'Connor, D. H. (2020). Optimizing
- 545 direct RT-LAMP to detect transmissible SARS-CoV-2 from primary nasopharyngeal
- 546 swab samples. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(12), e0244882.
- 547 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244882
- Huang, C., Wen, T., Shi, F.-J., Zeng, X.-Y., & Jiao, Y.-J. (2020). Rapid Detection of IgM
  Antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 Virus via Colloidal Gold Nanoparticle-Based
  Lateral-Flow Assay. ACS Omega, 5(21), 12550–12556.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c01554
- Jamwal, V. L., Kumar, N., Bhat, R., Jamwal, P. S., Singh, K., Dogra, S., Kulkarni, A., Bhadra,
- 553 B., Shukla, M. R., Saran, S., Dasgupta, S., Vishwakarma, R. A., & Gandhi, S. G. (2021).
- 554 Optimization and validation of RT-LAMP assay for diagnosis of SARS-CoV2 including
- the globally dominant Delta variant. *Virology Journal*, 18(1), 178.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-021-01642-9
- Lalli, M. A., Langmade, J. S., Chen, X., Fronick, C. C., Sawyer, C. S., Burcea, L. C., Wilkinson,
- 558 M. N., Fulton, R. S., Heinz, M., Buchser, W. J., Head, R. D., Mitra, R. D., & Milbrandt,
- 559 J. (2021). Rapid and Extraction-Free Detection of SARS-CoV-2 from Saliva by
- Colorimetric Reverse-Transcription Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification. *Clinical Chemistry*, 67(2), 415–424. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa267
- Lu, R., Wu, X., Wan, Z., Li, Y., Jin, X., & Zhang, C. (2020). A Novel Reverse Transcription
- Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Method for Rapid Detection of SARS-CoV-2. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 10.

565	Lu, S., Duplat, D., Benitez-Bolivar, P., León, C., Villota, S. D., Veloz-Villavicencio, E.,
566	Arévalo, V., Jaenes, K., Guo, Y., Cicek, S., Robinson, L., Peidis, P., Pearson, J. D.,
567	Woodgett, J., Mazzulli, T., Ponce, P., Restrepo, S., González, J. M., Bernal, A.,
568	Bremner, R. (2022). Multicenter international assessment of a SARS-CoV-2 RT-LAMP
569	test for point of care clinical application. PLOS ONE, 17(5), e0268340.
570	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268340
571	Luo, Z., Ye, C., Xiao, H., Yin, J., Liang, Y., Ruan, Z., Luo, D., Gao, D., Tan, Q., Li, Y., Zhang,
572	Q., Liu, W., & Wu, J. (2022). Optimization of loop-mediated isothermal amplification
573	(LAMP) assay for robust visualization in SARS-CoV-2 and emerging variants diagnosis.
574	Chemical Engineering Science, 251, 117430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2022.117430
575	Meagher, R. J., Priye, A., Light, Y. K., Huang, C., & Wang, E. (2018). Impact of primer dimers
576	and self-amplifying hairpins on reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal
577	amplification detection of viral RNA. Analyst, 143(8), 1924–1933.
578	https://doi.org/10.1039/C7AN01897E
579	Nawattanapaiboon, K., Pasomsub, E., Prombun, P., Wongbunmak, A., Jenjitwanich, A.,
580	Mahasupachai, P., Vetcho, P., Chayrach, C., Manatjaroenlap, N., Samphaongern, C.,
581	Watthanachockchai, T., Leedorkmai, P., Manopwisedjaroen, S., Akkarawongsapat, R.,
582	Thitithanyanont, A., Phanchana, M., Panbangred, W., Chauvatcharin, S., & Srikhirin, T.
583	(2021). Colorimetric reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-
584	LAMP) as a visual diagnostic platform for the detection of the emerging coronavirus
585	SARS-CoV-2. The Analyst, 146(2), 471-477. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0AN01775B
586	Notomi, T. (2000). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Research,

587 28(12), 63e–663. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.12.e63

- Notomi, T., Mori, Y., Tomita, N., & Kanda, H. (2015). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification
  (LAMP): Principle, features, and future prospects. *Journal of Microbiology*, *53*(1), 1–5.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-015-4656-9
- 591 Odiwuor, N., Xiong, J., Ogolla, F., Hong, W., Li, X., Khan, F. M., Wang, N., Yu, J., & Wei, H.
- 592 (2022). A point-of-care SARS-CoV-2 test based on reverse transcription loop-mediated
- isothermal amplification without RNA extraction with diagnostic performance same as
- 594
   RT-PCR.
   Analytica
   Chimica
   Acta,
   1200,
   339590.

   595
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2022.339590

   339590.
- Promlek, T., Thanunchai, M., Phumisantiphong, U., Hansirisathit, T., Phuttanu, C.,
  Dongphooyao, S., Thongsopa, W., & Nuchnoi, P. (2022). Performance of colorimetric
  reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification as a diagnostic tool for
  SARS-CoV-2 infection during the fourth wave of COVID-19 in Thailand. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *116*, 133–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.12.351
- Tombuloglu, H., Sabit, H., Al-Khallaf, H., Kabanja, J. H., Alsaeed, M., Al-Saleh, N., & Al-
- 602 Suhaimi, E. (2022). Multiplex real-time RT-PCR method for the diagnosis of SARS-
- 603 CoV-2 by targeting viral N, RdRP and human RP genes. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 2853.
  604 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06977-z
- Tombuloglu, H., Sabit, H., Al-Suhaimi, E., Al Jindan, R., & Alkharsah, K. R. (2021).
  Development of multiplex real-time RT-PCR assay for the detection of SARS-CoV-2. *PLOS ONE*, *16*(4), e0250942. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250942
- Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M., & Barton, G. J. (2009). Jalview
  Version 2—A multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics*, 25(9), 1189–1191. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033

611	Yu, L.,	Wu,	S., I	Hao,	Х.,	Dong,	Х.,	Mao,	L.,	Pelechano,	V.,	Chen,	WH.,	&	Yin,	Х.	(2020).
					. ,	- 0,		,		,		,			,		· · · / ·

- 612 Rapid Detection of COVID-19 Coronavirus Using a Reverse Transcriptional Loop-
- 613 Mediated Isothermal Amplification (RT-LAMP) Diagnostic Platform. *Clinical*
- 614 *Chemistry*, 66(7), 975–977. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa102
- <sup>615</sup> Zhang, Y., Odiwuor, N., Xiong, J., Sun, L., Nyaruaba, R. O., Wei, H., & Tanner, N. A. (2020a).
- 616 *Rapid Molecular Detection of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Virus RNA Using Colorimetric*
- 617 *LAMP* (p. 2020.02.26.20028373). medRxiv.
- 618 https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.26.20028373
- Zhang, Y., Ren, G., Buss, J., Barry, A. J., Patton, G. C., & Tanner, N. A. (2020b). Enhancing
- 620 colorimetric loop-mediated isothermal amplification speed and sensitivity with guanidine
- 621 chloride. *BioTechniques*, 69(3), 178–185. https://doi.org/10.2144/btn-2020-0078