

THE IMPACT OF THE COVID-19 LOCKDOWN ON BIRTH WEIGHT AMONG SINGLETON TERM BIRTHS IN DENMARK

Victoria E. de Knecht^{1,2,3}, Paula L. Hedley^{1,4}, Gitte Hedermann^{1,5}, Casper Wilstrup^{1,6}, Marie Bækvad-Hansen^{1,7}, Ida N. Thagaard⁸, Henrik Hjalgrim^{9,10,11}, Jørgen Kanters¹², Mads Melbye^{3,11,13}, David M. Hougaard^{1,7}, Anders Hviid^{9,14}, Lone Krebs^{3,15}, Morten Breindahl¹⁶, Ulrik Lausten-Thomsen^{16*}, Michael Christiansen^{1,12*}

Affiliations:

¹ Department for Congenital Disorders, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

² Department of Paediatrics, University Hospital Slagelse, Slagelse, Denmark

³ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁴ Brazen Bio, Los Angeles, USA

⁵ Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁶ Abzu, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁷ Danish Center for Neonatal Screening, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁸ Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Slagelse Hospital, Slagelse, Denmark

⁹ Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹⁰ Department of Haematology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹¹ Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹² Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹³ Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

¹⁴ Pharmacovigilance Research Center, Department of Drug Development and Clinical Pharmacology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹⁵ Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Amager and Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹⁶ Department of Neonatology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

* Contributed equally

Corresponding authors #: Michael Christiansen: mic@ssi.dk: Department for Congenital Disorders, Statens Serum Institut, Artillerivej 5, Copenhagen-2300, Denmark

The effect of the COVID-19 lockdown on birth weight

Ulrik Lausten-Thomsen: ulrik.lausten-thomsen@regionh.dk: Department of Neonatology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9, Copenhagen-2100, Denmark

Abstract

In Denmark, a nationwide COVID-19 lockdown was implemented on March 12, 2020 and eased on April 14, 2020. The COVID-19 lockdown featured reduced prevalence of extremely preterm or extremely low birth weight births. Here we explore the impact of this COVID-19 lockdown on term birth weights in Denmark.

We conducted a nationwide register-based cohort study on 27,870 live singleton infants, born at term (weeks 37-41), between March 12 and April 14, 2015-2020, using data from the Danish Neonatal Screening Biobank. Primary outcomes, corrected for confounders, were birth weight, small-for-gestational-age (SGA), and large-for-gestational-age (LGA), comparing the COVID-19 lockdown to the previous five years. Data were analysed using linear regression to assess associations with birth weight. Multinomial logistic regression was used to assess associations with size-for-gestational-age (xGA) categories.

Adjusted mean birth weight was significantly increased by 16.9 g (95% CI = 4.1 – 31.3) during the lockdown period. A dip in mean birth weight was found in gestational weeks 37 and 38 balanced by an increase in weeks 40 and 41. The 2020 lockdown period was associated with an increased LGA prevalence (aOR 1.13, 95% CI = 1.05 - 1.21). No significant changes in proportions of xGA groups were found between 2015 and 2019.

Conclusion: The nationwide COVID-19 lockdown resulted in a small but significant increase in birth weight and proportion of LGA infants, driven by an increase in birth weight in gestational weeks 40 and 41.

Word count: 234

Key words: COVID-19; Communicable Disease Control; Birth Weight; Term Birth; Infant, Newborn

What is known:

- The COVID-19 lockdown periods have had profound, albeit variable, effects on pregnant women in various countries.
- Extremely preterm and stillbirth rates were reduced during COVID-19 lockdown/mitigation periods in Denmark

What is new:

- During COVID-19 lockdown a moderate, but statistically significant, increase in birth weight was seen.
- The proportion of large-for-gestational age pregnancies was statistically significantly elevated in the lockdown period.

Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 infections in pregnancy are associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes (1). However, SARS-CoV-2 mitigation efforts in some high-income countries showed decreased preterm and extreme preterm birth rates not caused by increased stillbirth and perinatal death rates (2–7). The COVID-19 pandemic has thus both direct – related to SARS-CoV-2 infection - and indirect effects on maternal and foetal health. The indirect consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic may be substantial (2,7), as all pregnant women, infected and non-infected alike, have experienced the implementation of strict COVID-19 mitigation strategies.

Both increased and decreased birth weight (BW) have been linked to a range of adverse outcomes during birth, in childhood and in later life (8), including hypertension and other chronic diseases (9,10). BW and the relative-to-gestational-age-BW (xGA) (e.g. large-for-gestational-age (LGA) or small-for-gestational-age (SGA)) are frequently used as surrogate markers of intrauterine conditions (11). Any detectable changes in term BW would indicate that COVID-19 lockdown and other indirect consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic have affected prenatal growth, which may affect future health.

Globally, the COVID-19 pandemic was mitigated through restrictions in social interaction, workplace attendance, school attendance, and travel, as well as containment policies for SARS-CoV-2 exposed individuals (2,12). The COVID-19 mitigation in Denmark was at its strictest in the period from March 12 to April 14, 2020.

It is important to assess the consequences of pandemic mitigation policies as they may profoundly influence care-seeking behaviour and access to healthcare (13,14). The aim of this study was to investigate the indirect effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and infant health by

examining BW and xGA distribution during the initial lockdown implemented in Denmark compared to the previous five years.

Materials and methods

Study design and participants

We performed a nationwide cohort study, including 27,870 singleton neonates for whom data was available from the Danish Neonatal Screening Biobank (DNSB). In Denmark it is mandatory to offer neonatal screening within three days to all newborns, and the uptake is nearly 100 % (3). All infants were born at term (gestational age (GA) 37⁺⁰ – 41⁺⁶) from March 12 to April 14, 2015 - 2020. We excluded births in which BW or gestational age data were missing or believed to be likely due to registration errors (above or below the 5*SD of the mean for each gestation week for each sex). When the number of term pregnancies obtained from the DNSB in this study was compared to the total number of term pregnancies registered in the Medical Birth Registry and The Danish National Patient Registry (2), the proportion excluded was 3.6 %. BW was recorded in grams (g). Length of gestation, calculated from an estimated due date determined by ultrasound in first trimester, was obtained from the DNSB and reported in number of completed weeks. We defined SGA as BW less than 10th percentile and LGA greater than the 90th percentile at each completed week, for each sex, according to international standards of newborn size (15). As the proportion of Danish babies with LGA is > 25% using the international standard, we also defined SGA and LGA as births with BW, corrected for sex and gestational week, below the 5- percentile or above the 95- percentile of the study population from 2015 - 2019, respectively.

Statistical analyses

The relationship between BW and period of birth (2015-2019 vs 2020), length of gestation, and sex was assessed using multivariable linear regression. The relationships between LGA or SGA and period of birth, length of gestation, and sex was assessed using multivariable logistic regression. Odds ratios (OR) were adjusted for sex and week of gestation at birth. The R libraries stats and nnet and R vers 4.2.0 were used.

Ethics approval

The study was conducted according to Danish legislation and guidelines for register research and was approved by the Data Protection Agency officer at Statens Serum Institut (No: 20/04753)

Results

The distribution of singleton births in each gestation week and xGA category for each year, as well as the distribution of mean BW for these categories for the period of March 12 to April 14, 2015-2019 and 2020 are shown in Table 1. No significant temporal trends in birth rate or sex ratio were noted during the period 2015 - 2019; the highest ratio of female births occurred in 2018 (49.6% females), the lowest ratio of female births occurred in 2016 (48.3% females).

The proportion of births in weeks 40 and 41 was 59.7 % and significantly higher in the lockdown period compared to 57.7% (95% CI: 57.5 – 57.9) in the previous five years (Table 1). This was not the result of a trend through previous years as the gestational week distribution was not significantly associated with year of birth for births between 2015 and 2019 (χ^2 test of equal proportions, $p = 0.71$).

Birth weight

The crude mean BW among all term neonates increased by 26.4 g (95% CI = 11.1 – 41.7) in the lockdown period (March 12 to April 14, 2020) compared to the previous five years (Table 2). As expected, mean BW was significantly associated with sex and length of gestation. After adjustment for these confounders, the increase in adjusted BW during the 2020 lockdown period was 16.9 g (95% CI = 2.9 – 30.7) (Table 2).

As the length of gestation was clearly associated with BW we assessed whether this association differed between early term births (week 37 – 38) and late term births (week 39 – 41). The results of two multivariable linear regressions – one for each period - (Table 2) showed that the increase in BW associated with birth during the lockdown period was driven by a positive association in week 39 – 41.

Size-for-gestational-age (xGA)

Using the INTERGROWTH-21 definition of LGA and SGA, the LGA proportion increased for both sexes during the 2020 lockdown period compared to the calendar matched period for the previous five years (girls: 28.9 % vs 26.7 % (95% CI: 25.1 – 28.3), and boys: 29.8 % vs 26.8 % (95% CI: 25.7 – 28.0)) (Table 1). The sex and gestational week adjusted OR for LGA was 1.13 (95% CI: 1.05 – 1.21) in the lockdown period (Table 3). Neither LGA ($\beta = 0.009$ 95% CI: -0.002 – 0.020) nor SGA ($\beta = -0.001$ 95% CI: -0.005 – 0.004) ORs were significantly increased with year during the period 2015 – 2019. Using the population-based reference with LGA $\geq 95^{\text{th}}$ percentile BW and SGA $\leq 5^{\text{th}}$ percentile BW, the LGA proportion in the lockdown period was also found elevated, OR: 1.16 (95% CI: 1.01 – 1.33), whereas the SGA proportion was not (Table 3). The LGA OR increased slightly, $\beta = 0.003$ (95% CI: 0.000 – 0.006), per year in the period 2015 – 2019, but

this could not explain the increase seen during the lockdown. The OR for SGA did not change with year during 2015 – 2019, $\beta = 0.000$ (95% CI: -0.006 – 0.006).

Discussion

We found that giving birth at term, during the Danish national lockdown period (March 12 to April 14, 2020), was significantly associated with increased BW compared to the five previous years. This result is compatible with two previous local studies; an Austrian study examining a variety of adverse pregnancy outcomes observed a significantly higher mean BW (≈ 35 g) of all infants (i.e. preterm and term) born during the lockdown phase compared to the previous 15 years (16). They reported that the primary driver of the higher mean BW was an increase in maternal gestational weight gain during the mitigation period. A second study carried out in Wuhan, China found that term infants born during the pandemic were significantly heavier (≈ 35 g) compared to pre-pandemic infants (17). Maternal body mass index (BMI), caesarean delivery numbers, and proportions of preterm and term neonates were not significantly different between the two groups. The authors suggested that nutritional changes and lack of exercise may explain the increased BW. Both studies reported crude mean BW increases, best compared to the observed unadjusted 26.4 g found in this study. Thus, three studies, two European and one Asian, report term BW increases of a similar magnitude during the early part of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The observed 26.4 g increase in mean BW during lockdown is equivalent to less than a day of intrauterine growth in term infants. On the one hand, this small increase may not seem to be large enough to have any important consequences on infant metabolic health but, on the other hand, the 26.4 g increase is roughly the equivalent of ≈ 10 % of the effect of not regularly smoking during

The effect of the COVID-19 lockdown on birth weight

pregnancy compared to smoking 6 – 10 cigarettes per day (18). Ultimately, the consequences of the observed increase in mean BW depends on whether the increase is the result of pathologic mechanisms, such as increased gestational weight gain during pregnancy, increased incidence of dysregulated gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), and unbeneficial changes in induction of labour and delivery mode practices, or whether the increase is the result of advantageous factors such as improved maternal mental and physical health, better nutrition, and mothers naturally carrying longer to term.

A significant increase in the proportion of LGA infants born during the lockdown was found compared to the previous five years. Irrespective of whether the INTERGROWTH-21 reference, that is international and results in a LGA proportion of > 25% among Danish children, or the 95th percentile population reference was used. This, to our knowledge, is the first observation of increased proportion of LGA infants during COVID-19 mitigation. This increase is worrying as LGA predisposes to delivery complications (19, 20), neonatal hypoglycaemia (21), and obesity and metabolic syndrome later in life (9). The proportion of LGA infants should be monitored carefully during future pandemic mitigations.

Factors that could result in increased number of LGA infants are increased maternal BMI and gestational weight gain, which are known to affect neonatal growth, particularly in second- and third-trimester (22). Increased maternal BMI does not, however, explain the (insignificant) dip in BW seen in gestational week 37 and 38 (Table 2). Another theory could be the reduced stress level when staying home causing an increase in BW. A recent randomized trial reported that mindfulness therapy in pregnancy reduced corticosteroid and stress levels in pregnant women causing an increase in BW (23).

We found a modest increase in the proportion of births occurring in weeks 40 and 41 in the lockdown period (Table 1). This raises the question of whether acute changes in delivery practices, with postponement or suspension of labour induction and/or changes in mode of delivery at hospitals led to fewer iatrogenic births and, thus, later delivery. Since BW depends largely on the length of gestation, both scenarios would result in higher BW.

As Denmark provides universal health care including extensive prenatal, childbirth and postnatal services, it is unlikely that access to medical care was a major contributing factor in our setting. However, changes in the allocation of resources within the healthcare sector and disruptions and/or interruptions in antenatal care, with the acute shift from in-house check-ups to virtual controls, may have harmed prophylactic measures and treatment of pregnancy related diseases, such as GDM (24). This effect is evident in the increased number of stillbirths noted in a London hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic, where none of the pregnant women were infected with SARS-CoV-2 (25). However, a Danish national study found a significant reduction in stillbirth rate during prolonged COVID-19 mitigation following the strict lockdown (2).

Care-seeking behaviour, both decreased (13) and increased (14), may also have affected pregnancy outcomes. Reduced social interactions, physical distancing, better hygiene, and the dramatic fall in overall infection rates of non-COVID-19 infectious diseases (26–28), albeit not chlamydia (29), could all have influenced the overall inflammatory state of pregnant women, and subsequently influenced term BW through yet to be established pathways. Furthermore, factors relating to maternal stress may also have contributed, as stress is a known negative influencer of intrauterine growth (30). A pronounced increase in depression and anxiety were noted in pregnant women during the lockdown period (14,31). Conversely, a reduction in work-related stress, physical work strain, and harmful work- and environmental exposures (32), as well as the ability to rest more while in lockdown, could have had an opposite and positive effect on intrauterine growth and/or

prolong gestation. Finally, lifestyle changes, including changes in dietary and exercise habits, resulting in greater gestational weight gain, could have increased term BW.

Our study has several strengths. We have examined a national cohort of 27,870 infants, where data is available from the national neonatal screening program, which has a nearly 100% uptake rate. Neonatal screening is performed within three days of birth at the Danish National Screening Biobank, and relevant birth-related data is registered, based on reliable, real-time, mandatory reporting. Because exposure (the lockdown) is independent of the recorded outcome, differential misclassification is not expected to be a problem. However, the study does have some limitations. The use of data from neonatal screening databases is slightly biased towards healthy, uncomplicated births, as stillbirths and very early postnatal deaths (before day three) will not be reflected in the database. Yet, as most early neonatal demise occurs among very preterm babies, it is unlikely to influence the findings of this study substantially. Furthermore, due to a lack of access to more precise data at the time of analysis, length of gestation was reported in complete weeks rather than days. Thus, we cannot confidently conclude whether length of gestation changed within a period of less than seven days. Finally, we did not have any data on maternal BMI, gestational weight gain, pregnancy complications, labour induction, or mode of delivery, all of which would have aided in identifying a causal link between the observed increased mean BW and the nationwide lockdown. Such data will only become available with time.

Conclusion

We found that term birth during the nationwide lockdown resulted in increased mean BW and a significant increase in proportion of LGA infants, driven largely by an increase in BW in gestational

The effect of the COVID-19 lockdown on birth weight

week 40 and 41. Pandemic mitigation measures should be carefully monitored with respect to effects on perinatal health.

Word count: 2330

References

1. Regan AK, Arah OA, Fell DB, Sullivan SG. SARS-CoV-2 Infection During Pregnancy and Associated Perinatal Health Outcomes: A National US Cohort Study. *J Infect Dis*. 2022 Mar 2;225(5):759–67.
2. Hedley PL, Hedermann G, Hagen CM, Bækvad-Hansen M, Hjalgrim H, Rostgaard K, et al. Preterm birth, stillbirth and early neonatal mortality during the Danish COVID-19 lockdown. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2022 Mar;181(3):1175–84.
3. Hedermann G, Hedley PL, Bækvad-Hansen M, Hjalgrim H, Rostgaard K, Poorisrisak P, et al. Danish premature birth rates during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2021 Jan;106(1):93–5.
4. Been JV, Burgos Ochoa L, Bertens LCM, Schoenmakers S, Steegers EAP, Reiss IKM. Impact of COVID-19 mitigation measures on the incidence of preterm birth: a national quasi-experimental study. *Lancet Public Health*. 2020 Nov;5(11):e604–11.
5. Philip RK, Purtill H, Reidy E, Daly M, Imcha M, McGrath D, et al. Unprecedented reduction in births of very low birthweight (VLBW) and extremely low birthweight (ELBW) infants during the COVID-19 lockdown in Ireland: a “natural experiment” allowing analysis of data from the prior two decades. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2020 Sep;5(9):e003075.
6. Graff I, De Broucker C, Vargas J, Vanoost A, Gondry J, Foulon A. [COVID-19 and lockdown: Impact on pregnancy complications]. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol*. 2022 Mar;50(3):236–9.
7. Chmielewska B, Barratt I, Townsend R, Kalafat E, van der Meulen J, Gurol-Urganci I, et al. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and perinatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2021 Jun;9(6):e759–72.
8. Belbasis L, Savvidou MD, Kanu C, Evangelou E, Tzoulaki I. Birth weight in relation to health and disease in later life: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *BMC Med*. 2016 Sep 28;14(1):147.
9. Hales CN, Barker DJ. The thrifty phenotype hypothesis. *Br Med Bull*. 2001;60:5–20.
10. Pinheiro TV, Brunetto S, Ramos JGL, Bernardi JR, Goldani MZ. Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy and health outcomes in the offspring: a systematic review. *J Dev Orig Health Dis*. 2016;7(4):391–407.
11. Fallucca S, Vasta M, Sciallo E, Balducci S, Fallucca F. Birth weight: genetic and intrauterine environment in normal pregnancy. *Diabetes Care*. 2009 Dec;32(12):e149.
12. Hale T, Angrist N, Goldszmidt R, Kira B, Petherick A, Phillips T, et al. A global panel database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker). *Nat Hum Behav*. 2021 Apr;5(4):529–38.
13. Khalil A, von Dadelszen P, Kalafat E, Sebghati M, Ladhani S, Ugwumadu A, et al. Change in obstetric attendance and activities during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2021 May;21(5):e115.

The effect of the COVID-19 lockdown on birth weight

14. Naurin E, Markstedt E, Stolle D, Enström D, Wallin A, Andreasson I, et al. Pregnant under the pressure of a pandemic: a large-scale longitudinal survey before and during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Eur J Public Health*. 2021;7–13.
15. Villar J, Cheikh Ismail L, Victora CG, Ohuma EO, Bertino E, Altman DG, et al. International standards for newborn weight, length, and head circumference by gestational age and sex: the Newborn Cross-Sectional Study of the INTERGROWTH-21st Project. *Lancet*. 2014 Sep 6;384(9946):857–68.
16. Kirchengast S, Hartmann B. Pregnancy Outcome during the First COVID 19 Lockdown in Vienna, Austria. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021 Apr 5;18(7):3782.
17. Li M, Yin H, Jin Z, Zhang H, Leng B, Luo Y, et al. Impact of Wuhan lockdown on the indications of cesarean delivery and newborn weights during the epidemic period of COVID-19. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(8):e0237420.
18. Kataoka MC, Carvalheira APP, Ferrari AP, Malta MB, de Barros Leite Carvalhaes MA, de Lima Parada CMG. Smoking during pregnancy and harm reduction in birth weight: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018 Mar 12;18(1):67.
19. Rosen H, Shmueli A, Ashwal E, Hirsch L, Yogev Y, Aviram A. Delivery outcomes of large-for-gestational-age newborns stratified by the presence or absence of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2018 Apr;141(1):120–5.
20. Middleton P, Shepherd E, Morris J, Crowther CA, Gomersall JC. Induction of labour at or beyond 37 weeks' gestation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2020 Jul 15;7:CD004945.
21. Bülbül A, Bahar S, Uslu S, Sözeri Ş, Bülbül L, Baş EK, et al. Risk Factor Assessment and the Incidence of Neonatal Hypoglycemia in the Postnatal Period. *Sisli Etfal Hastan Tip Bul*. 2019;53(4):389–94.
22. Sridhar SB, Xu F, Hedderson MM. Trimester-Specific Gestational Weight Gain and Infant Size for Gestational Age. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(7):e0159500.
23. Crovetto, F, Crispi F, Casas R, Martin-Asuero A, Borrás R, Viela E, et al. Effects of Mediterranean Diet or Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction on Prevention of Small-for-Gestational Age Birth Weights in Newborns Born to At-Risk Pregnant Individuals. *JAMA*. 2021; 326(21):2150-2160.
24. Jensen NH, Nielsen KK, Dahl-Petersen IK, Maindal HT. The experience of women with recent gestational diabetes during the COVID-19 lockdown: a qualitative study from Denmark. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2022 Jan 29;22(1):84.
25. Khalil A, von Dadelszen P, Draycott T, Ugwumadu A, O'Brien P, Magee L. Change in the Incidence of Stillbirth and Preterm Delivery During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA*. 2020 Jul 10;
26. Olsen SJ, Winn AK, Budd AP, Prill MM, Steel J, Midgley CM, et al. Changes in Influenza and Other Respiratory Virus Activity During the COVID-19 Pandemic - United States, 2020-2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021 Jul 23;70(29):1013–9.
27. Bodilsen J, Nielsen PB, Søgaaard M, Dalager-Pedersen M, Speiser LOZ, Yndigeegn T, et al. Hospital admission and mortality rates for non-covid diseases in Denmark during covid-19 pandemic: nationwide population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2021 May 25;373:n1135.

The effect of the COVID-19 lockdown on birth weight

28. Brueggemann AB, Jansen van Rensburg MJ, Shaw D, McCarthy ND, Jolley KA, Maiden MCJ, et al. Changes in the incidence of invasive disease due to *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *Neisseria meningitidis* during the COVID-19 pandemic in 26 countries and territories in the Invasive Respiratory Infection Surveillance Initiative: a prospective analysis of surveillance data. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2021 Jun;3(6):e360–70.
29. Hedley PL, Hoffmann S, Lausten-Thomsen U, Voldstedlund M, Bjerre KD, Hviid A, et al. A Nationwide Observational Study of *Chlamydia trachomatis* Infections in Denmark during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 2022 May 4;102:adv00704.
30. Lima SAM, El Dib RP, Rodrigues MRK, Ferraz GAR, Molina AC, Neto CAP, et al. Is the risk of low birth weight or preterm labor greater when maternal stress is experienced during pregnancy? A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(7):e0200594.
31. López-Morales H, Del Valle MV, Canet-Juric L, Andrés ML, Galli JI, Poó F, et al. Mental health of pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal study. *Psychiatry Res*. 2021 Jan;295:113567.
32. Aix ML, Petit P, Bicout DJ. Air pollution and health impacts during the COVID-19 lockdowns in Grenoble, France. *Environ Pollut*. 2022 Jun 15;303:119134.

Contributors:

- 1) Victoria de Knecht analysed and interpreted the data and co-wrote the first draft.
- 2) Paula L. Hedley co-designed the study, did the statistical analyses, analysed and interpreted the data, and co-wrote the first draft.
- 3) Gitte Hedermann co-designed the study and analysed and interpreted the data.
- 4) Casper Wilstrup did the statistical analyses and analysed and interpreted the data.
- 5) Marie Bækvad-Hansen collected the data and analysed and interpreted the data.
- 6) Ida N. Thagaard analysed and interpreted the data.
- 7) Henrik Hjalgrim did the statistical analyses and analysed and interpreted the data.
- 8) Jørgen Kanters analysed and interpreted the data.
- 9) Mads Melbye analysed and interpreted the data.
- 10) David M. Hougaard analysed and interpreted the data.
- 11) Anders Hviid, interpreted the results, critically revised the draft and approved the final manuscript.
- 12) Lone Krebs, analysed and interpreted the data.
- 13) Morten Breindahl analysed and interpreted the data.
- 14) *Ulrik Lausten-Thomsen co-designed the study, analysed and interpreted the data, and co-wrote the first draft.
- 15) *Michael Christiansen co-designed the study, did the statistical analyses, analysed and interpreted the data, and co-wrote first draft.

All authors contributed to the final version and critically reviewed the manuscript.

* contributed equally as corresponding authors

Declaration of interests:

Dr Breindahl has a patent (NeoHelp) with royalties paid. Dr Breindahl has nothing else to disclose. All other authors reported to have nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgements:

This research was conducted using the Danish Neonatal Screening Biobank and the Danish National Biobank resource, funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation.

Tables

Table 1: Distribution of births per gestation week and xGA category for each year. Comparison of mean birth weights among the 2015-2019 aggregate data and the 2020 lockdown period, March 12 to April 14.

girls	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2015-2019		2020	
	N		N		N		N		N		N		mean birth weight, g (SD)			
SGA	68	3.2%	58	2.6%	52	2.2%	72	3.0%	58	2.6%	58	2.6%	2506.1	(254.88)	2430.1	(222.66)
AGA	1532	72.3%	1591	70.6%	1652	70.9%	1672	69.6%	1561	69.6%	1550	68.5%	3323.8	(306.42)	3331.8	(299.52)
LGA	520	24.5%	604	26.8%	626	26.9%	659	27.4%	623	27.8%	654	28.9%	4040.3	(301.95)	4059.0	(300.63)
	N		N		N		N		N		N		mean birth weight, g (SD)			
week 37	86	4.1%	107	4.7%	113	4.8%	120	5.0%	103	4.6%	95	4.2%	3029.2	(464.35)	3040.0	(510.73)
week 38	264	12.5%	316	14.0%	280	12.0%	323	13.4%	295	13.2%	274	12.1%	3247.6	(451.11)	3178.0	(419.09)
week 39	513	24.2%	531	23.6%	563	24.2%	562	23.4%	513	22.9%	507	22.4%	3396.5	(414.14)	3421.3	(426.55)
week 40	703	33.2%	694	30.8%	758	32.5%	815	33.9%	731	32.6%	754	33.3%	3548.3	(423.91)	3583.7	(422.93)
week 41	554	26.1%	605	26.9%	616	26.4%	583	24.3%	600	26.8%	632	27.9%	3717.0	(434.82)	3739.8	(441.31)
TOTAL	2120	100.0%	2253	100.0%	2330	100.0%	2403	100.0%	2242	100.0%	2262	100.0%	3493.0	(468.04)	3519.0	(477.07)
boys	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2015-2019		2020	
	N		N		N		N		N		N		mean birth weight, g (SD)			
SGA	59	2.7%	69	2.9%	52	2.1%	59	2.4%	67	2.8%	60	2.5%	2614.5	(256.79)	2600.1	(263.77)
AGA	1545	71.2%	1713	71.0%	1701	69.6%	1724	70.5%	1681	70.5%	1627	67.7%	3444.9	(319.64)	3438.0	(318.25)
LGA	565	26.0%	630	26.1%	692	28.3%	662	27.1%	638	26.7%	716	29.8%	4183.2	(317.26)	4205.0	(310.07)
	N		N		N		N		N		N		mean birth weight, g (SD)			
week 37	115	5.3%	129	5.3%	135	5.5%	128	5.2%	126	5.3%	105	4.4%	3126.6	(473.56)	3122.2	(519.23)
week 38	305	14.1%	344	14.3%	353	14.4%	345	14.1%	331	13.9%	340	14.1%	3371.0	(463.57)	3343.3	(475.20)
week 39	521	24.0%	565	23.4%	569	23.3%	585	23.9%	582	24.4%	560	23.3%	3550.5	(431.86)	3577.3	(442.86)
week 40	695	32.0%	715	29.6%	749	30.6%	744	30.4%	747	31.3%	746	31.0%	3688.9	(440.69)	3722.4	(429.39)
week 41	533	24.6%	659	27.3%	639	26.1%	643	26.3%	600	25.1%	652	27.1%	3847.0	(437.21)	3858.4	(478.97)
TOTAL	2169	100.0%	2412	100.0%	2445	100.0%	2445	100.0%	2386	100.0%	2403	100.0%	3622.0	(483.52)	3645.6	(498.66)
ALL (% girls)	4289	(49.4%)	4665	(48.3%)	4775	(48.8%)	4848	(49.6%)	4628	(48.4%)	4665	(48.5%)	3558.9	(480.35)	3584.2	(492.35)

AGA: appropriate-for-gestational-age, LGA: large-for-gestational-age, SD: standard deviation, SGA: small-for-gestational-age

Table 2. Change in infant birth weight in relation to lockdown, sex, and length of gestation.

	Birth weight (grams) increase (95% CI)	
	Crude*	Adjusted#
Birth in lockdown period	26.4 (11.15 – 41.05)	16.9 (2.80 – 30.75)
	Adjusted# BW (grams) increase (95% CI)	
	Gestational week 37 - 38	Gestational week 39 - 41
Birth in lockdown period	- 33.9 (-68.53 – 0.69)	26.3 (11.33 – 41.31)

*Linear regression, #Linear regression with adjustment for infant sex and length of gestation; CI: confidence interval

Table 3: Sex and gestational age adjusted odds-ratios for LGA and SGA using INTERGROWTH-21 and Population-based birth weight references.

	INTERGROWTH-21			
	LGA		SGA	
Variable	aOR	95% CI	aOR	95% CI
Birth in lockdown period	1.13	(1.05 – 1.21)	1.00	(0.82 – 1.22)
	Population-based			
	LGA ($\geq 95^{\text{th}}$ percentile BW)		SGA ($\leq 5^{\text{th}}$ percentile BW)	
Birth in lockdown period	1.16	(1.01 – 1.33)	0.99	(0.85 – 1.15)

aOR: adjusted odds ratio; BW: birth weight, CI: confidence interval; LGA: large-for-gestational-age; SGA: small-for gestational-age