1 Explanatory factors for Ethnic inequalities in Multimorbidity; findings from

2 pooled Health Survey for England 2011-2018

- 3 Authors: Linda Ng Fat¹, Jennifer S Mindell¹, Logan Manikam¹, Shaun Scholes¹
- ^{*}**Corresponding author**: Linda Ng Fat, <u>l.ngfat@ucl.ac.uk</u>, Health and Social Surveys
- 5 Group, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, UCL, 1-19 Torrington Place,
- 6 London, WC1E 7HB
- 7
- 8
- ⁹ ¹ Health and Social Surveys Group, Research Department of Epidemiology and
- ¹⁰ Public Health, University College London (UCL), 1-19 Torrington Place, London,
- 11 WC1E 7HB
- 12

13

- 14 Key words: Ethnic inequalities, Multimorbidity, Cardiovascular multimorbidity,
- 15 England
- 16

18 Abstract

19 Background

20 Social-economic factors and health behaviours may be driving variation in ethnic health 21 inequalities in multimorbidity including among distinct ethnic groups.

22 Methods

23 Using the cross-sectional nationally-representative Health Surveys for England 2011-2018 24 (N=54,438, aged 16+), we carried out multivariable logistic regression on the odds of having 25 general multimorbidity (≥2 longstanding conditions) by ethnicity (British White (reference 26 group), White Irish, Other White, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Chinese, African, 27 Caribbean, White Mixed, Other Mixed, Other), adjusting for age, sex, education, area-28 deprivation, smoking status, and survey year. This was repeated for cardiovascular 29 multimorbidity (N=37,148, aged 40+: having ≥ 2 of the following: doctor-diagnosed diabetes 30 or hypertension, heart attack or stroke) and multiple cardiometabolic risk biomarkers (HbA1c 31 \geq 6.5%, raised blood pressure, total cholesterol \geq 5mmol/L).

32 Results

20% of adults had general multimorbidity. In fully-adjusted models, compared with the White 33 34 British majority, Other White (Odds Ratio (OR)=0.63 (95% confidence interval=(0.53-0.74)), 35 Chinese (OR=0.58 (0.36-0.93)), and African adults (OR=0.54 (0.42-0.69)), had lower odds of 36 general multimorbidity. Among adults aged 40+, Pakistani (OR=1.27 (0.97-1.66) p=0.080) 37 and Bangladeshi (OR=1.75 (1.16-2.65)) had increased odds, and African adults had 38 decreased odds (OR=0.63 (0.47-0.83)) of general multimorbidity. Risk of cardiovascular 39 multimorbidity was higher among Indian (OR=3.31 (2.56-4.28)), Pakistani (OR=3.48 (2.52-4.80)), Bangladeshi (OR=3.67 (1.98-6.78)), African (OR=1.61 (1.05-2.47)), Caribbean 40 (OR=2.18 (1.59-2.99)) and White Mixed (OR=1.98 (1.14-3.44)) adults. Indian adults were 41 42 also at risk of having multiple cardiometabolic risk biomarkers.

43 Conclusion

Ethnic inequalities in multimorbidity are independent of socioeconomic factors. Ethnic minority groups are particularly at risk of cardiovascular multimorbidity, which may be exacerbated by poorer management of cardiometabolic risk factors.

- 47
- 48
- 49

50	What is already known on this topic
51	• Studies have found ethnic inequalities in multimorbidity among broad ethnic groups;
52	however, it is not known whether lower socioeconomic status or health behaviours
53	are driving this inequality in England, nor whether there are differences between
54	distinct ethnic groups.
55	
56	What this study adds
57	• Ethnic inequalities in multimorbidity in a nationally-representative sample in England
58	vary between older and younger adults, distinct ethnic groups, and are independent
59	of socioeconomic status, smoking and obesity.
60	• African, Caribbean, Bangladeshi, Indian, Pakistani and White mixed adults aged 40
61	and over had higher risk of self-reported cardiovascular multimorbidity compared with
62	British White adults. Indian adults were also at risk of multiple uncontrolled
63	cardiometabolic risk biomarkers.
64	African, Chinese, Other White and Indian adults (<40 years) had lower risk of general
65	multimorbidity compared with the British White majority, suggesting a healthy migrant
66	effect or differences in interpretation of chronic conditions.
67	
68	How this study might affect research, practice or policy
69	• Further research is needed to explore whether underdiagnosis and/or poorer
70	management of cardiometabolic risk factors among ethnic groups may be driving
71	factors behind inequalities in self-reported cardiovascular multimorbidity.
72	

73

Explanatory factors for variations in multimorbidity among ethnic groups; findings from pooled nationally representative Health Survey for England 2011-2018

76

77 Background

78

Multimorbidity is increasing, given an ageing population, affecting individuals' quality of life and increasing mortality,(1,2). Understanding the factors related to different levels of multimorbidity in ethnic groups could be used to prevent harm and reduce ethnic inequalities. Non-white ethnic groups were disproportionately affected with Covid-19, with multimorbidity potentially being one contributing factor. It was found that compared with the white population, non-white ethnicities with multimorbidity had almost triple the risk of having Covid-19(3).

86

87 Ethnic health inequalities in multimorbidity, including cardiovascular multimorbidity, exist(4-88 9). A study in East London found the Black and South Asian population had higher rates of 89 cardiovascular multimorbidity than the white majority(4). Studies of ethnic health inequalities 90 in multimorbidity in England often use GP records, which may not reflect differences in a 91 national population sample, (4,5). Furthermore, studies in England have not comprehensively 92 explored what may be accounting for some of the health differences, such as socioeconomic 93 differences. Deprivation and lower socioeconomic status could increase the risk of 94 multimorbidity,(6-8,10-12). Behavioural risk-factors could also confound the relationship. 95 Smoking and obesity were found to be risk-factors for multimorbidity,(7,10,13), and may 96 have a stronger effect than deprivation and ethnicity,(7,13). Smoking and obesity were found 97 to vary in The Health Survey for England 2011-2019,(14).

98

99 Furthermore, broad ethnic groups such as 'South Asian' and 'Black' may mask heterogeneity 100 within groups, for example among Indian versus Pakistani/Bangladeshi, and Black African 101 versus Black Caribbean groups,(15). The aims of this study were to examine how risk of 102 multimorbidity including cardiovascular multimorbidity varied among distinct ethnic groups, 103 and whether this relationship was explained by difference in socioeconomic status or 104 behavioural risk factors for cardiovascular diseases, namely smoking and obesity.

- 105
- 106 Methods
- 107
- 108 Data Sources

109

110 The Health Survey for England (HSE) is a cross-sectional, nationally-representative survey 111 of the population occurring annually. Data is collected via new multistage stratified 112 probability sampling each year, as described elsewhere(16). This study uses data spanning 113 from 2011 to 2018, to maximise the number of participants from different ethnic groups. Self-114 reported data were collected from an initial face-to-face interview conducted by trained 115 interviewers using computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI). The interviewers also 116 measured height and weight. At the second stage, further biophysical measurements were 117 collected at a nurse visit using standard protocols,(17). All data collection took place within 118 participants' homes. Response rates have gradually declined over the period, e.g., from 59% 119 in 2011 to 54% in 2018 for the face-to-face interview.

120

121 General and cardiovascular multimorbidity

122

123 This study examines ethnic group differences in two types of multimorbidity: general 124 multimorbidity and cardiovascular multimorbidity, using information provided by participants 125 at the interview stage. General multimorbidity was derived through self-reported responses 126 to a question on longstanding conditions: whether the participant had any longstanding 127 physical or mental health conditions or illnesses expected to last over a period of time (2011) 128 or 12 months or more (2012-2018). Participants were then asked to list up to six conditions 129 that affected them. Responses were recoded to indicate the number of longstanding 130 conditions (none/one/two or more). Participants having two or more longstanding conditions 131 were classified as having general multimorbidity.

132

Self-reported doctor-diagnosed cardiovascular multimorbidity was defined as having two or more of the following conditions: reporting having doctor-diagnosed diabetes or high blood pressure (in response to specific questions on whether participants were ever told by a doctor they had diabetes/high blood pressure) or reporting stroke or heart disease when responding to the aforementioned follow-up question to the longstanding illness question. We included doctor-diagnosed hypertension following the example of Mathur et al.(4), although other definitions do not include hypertension,(18).

- 140
- 141

142 Multiple cardiometabolic risk biomarkers

144 Using objective health examination measures, we also examined differences by ethnicity in 145 multiple cardiometabolic risk biomarkers. Cardiometabolic biomarkers were treated 146 separately from doctor-diagnosed conditions (cardiovascular multimorbidity) to identify 147 uncontrolled risk factors. Having multiple cardiometabolic risk biomarkers was defined as 148 two or more of the following: raised glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c} levels \geq 6.5%); raised blood 149 pressure (systolic blood pressure \geq 140mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure \geq 90mmHg); 150 and/or raised total cholesterol level (>5mmol/L), suggesting uncontrolled risk-factors or 151 disease such as diabetes or hypertension. Further details on data collection during the nurse 152 visit have been published elsewhere,(15).

153

154 Ethnicity and other covariates

155

Ethnicity was self-reported at the main interview and grouped in the following way: White
British, White Irish, Other White, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Chinese, African,
Caribbean, White Mixed, Other Mixed, Other.

159

160 Other covariates included the Index of Multiple Deprivation, a standard measure of area 161 deprivation covering different social and economic dimensions, assigned based on 162 participants' residence, (17), grouped into guintiles. Highest educational gualification was 163 self-reported and grouped into degree or higher; lower than degree; no gualifications. Self-164 reported smoking status was grouped into never; former; current smoker. BMI categories 165 were based on height and weight measurements of the participants performed by the trained 166 interviewer at the main interview. Detailed information on collection can be found 167 elsewhere,(17).

168

169

170 Statistical analyses

171

172 Complete case analyses were used and the sample was limited to (i) adults aged 16+ for 173 general multimorbidity (N=54,438), (ii) adults aged 40+ for self-reported cardiovascular 174 multimorbidity (N= 37,148), and (iii) adults aged 16+ with biological measurements for 175 multiple cardiometabolic biological risk factors (N=24,203). The complete case approach 176 resulted in a reduction in sample size of around 17.5%; this was predominately driven by 177 missing data for BMI, which required physical measurements of height and weight (17.0%). 178 Few participants (<0.5%) had missing responses on key variables: general multimorbidity 179 (n=46), cardiovascular multimorbidity (n=7), and ethnicity (n=220). Missing data on

biomarkers was high due to not all the sample providing blood samples (59.8%). We accounted for non-response weighting, specific to non-response at the interview or blood samples for biological risk factors were applied to account for this, and the complex survey design (geographical clustering of participants) in al analyses.

184

185 Characteristics of ethnic groups were explored and chi-squared used to test for bivariate 186 associations. Logistic regression was carried out on the risk of having multimorbidity for each 187 of the three definitions of multimorbidity. Since longstanding conditions are broader, and 188 multimorbidity is not restricted to older participants, (8,19), analyses of general multimorbidity 189 focused on all adults. However, we also stratify results by aged under 40 and 40 and over. A 190 cut-off of age 40 has been used in other large scale studies, (20), and sample sizes among 191 some of the ethnic groups were too small if limiting to an older sample (e.g. <100, if based 192 on adults aged 65 and over).

193

To explore whether the relationship between ethnicity and multimorbidity may be confounded by socioeconomic status or behavioural risk factors, variables were added in the following way, with changes in odds ratios examined to explore the effect of adjusting for each additional potential confounder.

198

199 Model 1: Sex + Age in years

200 Model 2: Model 1 + Area Deprivation

201 Model 3: Model 1 + Educational qualifications

202 Model 4: Model 1 + Smoking status

203 Model 5: Model 1 + BMI category

204 Model 6: All covariates + survey year

205

As a sensitivity analyses, we replicated the final models on a sample including participants without BMI measurements (without adjusting for BMI), resulting in fewer missing cases (1%). Analyses were conducted in Stata 17,(21).

209

210 Results

211

Chinese, Indian and Other White adults had the highest proportion of adults with the highest
educational qualifications (53%-44%), whereas Bangladeshi, White Irish, Pakistani, and
Caribbean had the highest proportions with no qualifications (29%-25%) (Table 1). Over two-

- fifths of adults in the Bangladeshi, Pakistani, African and Caribbean groups lived in the most
- deprived areas; this proportion was lowest for White British adults (15%).

	White British	White Irish	Other White	Indian	Pakistani	Bangladeshi		African	Caribbean	White Mixed	Other Mixed	Other	Total	p-value
Sample size (N)	44,922	440	2,876	1,382	859	368	278	804	496	477	268	1,268	54,438	
Mean age (years)	48.8	53.4	37.6	40.6	36.8	36.5	36.2	37.3	48.6	34.1	38.8	39.8	46.8	
standard deviation	19	17.2	12.5	14.1	13	12.4	13.9	13.1	17.4	13.4	14	14.6	18.5	
Female	50	47	52	48	50	49	53	52	56	49	51	51	50	0.103
Highest Educational	qualificat	tion												
Degree or higher	25	39	44	45	29	26	53	37	23	31	40	41	28	
Lower than degree	57	33	32	38	45	45	32	48	52	54	51	43	53	
No qualifications	18	28	25	17	26	29	14	15	25	15	10	17	19	<0.001
Index of Multiple De	privation													
Least deprived	23	18	13	15	5	3	19	5	8	12	11	13	20	
2 nd	23	15	16	17	7	7	15	9	7	16	18	16	21	
3 rd	22	20	20	20	11	8	24	12	14	17	24	17	21	
4 th	18	26	27	25	29	26	27	31	28	25	27	25	20	
Most deprived Smoking status	15	21	24	23	49	56	15	43	43	30	20	29	19	<0.001
Current smoker	19	20	27	8	15	18	10	7	21	29	17	12	19	
Ex-smoker	27	34	22	8	8	8	7	8	18	17	17	12	25	
Never smoker	54	47	52	84	77	74	83	85	61	54	67	76	57	<0.001
Body Mass Index (B	MI) catego	orv		•					01	•	0.		01	
Not overweight	36	38	48	44	36	44	74	36	29	46	44	43	38	
Overweight	37	35	33	38	37	42	22	33	32	31	35	35	36	
Obese	27	27	20	18	27	15	3	31	39	23	21	22	26	<0.001
Number of longstan	dina cond	litions					-	•						
None	58	57	76	70	71	67	86	77	55	68	72	71	61	
One	21	17	14	17	15	16	7	14	22	17	15	16	20	
Two or more	22	25	10	13	15	17	7	9	24	15	13	13	20	<0.001
Number of longstan	ding cond		ged 40 a	and over										
None	49	50	63	55	53	49	79	67	46	51	64	56	51	
One	23	20	17	22	19	18	10	17	24	24	16	23	22	
Two or more	28	31	20	23	29	33	12	16	30	25	20	21	27	<0.001
Number of cardiova	scular cor	ditions	(aged 40	and ove	er. N= 37.148	B) ¹								
None	62	59	75	62	62	64	81	64	51	69	67	65	63	
One	31	32	21	26	23	22	15	28	33	22	29	26	30	
Two or more	7	9	4	12	15	15	4	7	16	9	4	9	7	<0.001
Cardiometabolic ris	k biomark	-	d 16 and				and over.	N=24,203)		Ũ		C C	-	
None	38	31	53	44	44	45	58	53	36	56	54	47	40	
One	47	52	40	42	48	47	35	38	45	39	39	43	46	
Two or more	14	17	7	15	8	9	7	10	19	6	7	10	14	<0.001

1 Doctor-diagnosed hypertension, diabetes or self-reported stroke or heart attack; 2 Raised blood pressure, raised glycated haemoglobin or raised total cholesterol;

	None	One	Two or more	Total	p-value
Sample size (N)	31,233	11,087	12,118	54,438	
Mean age (years)	41.9	50	58.3	46.8	
Standard deviation	16.5	18.5	18.2	18.5	
Female	49	52	54	50	<0.001
Highest educational qualification	ation				
Degree or higher	31	27	19	28	
Lower than degree	55	54	50	53	
No qualifications	14	20	32	19	<0.001
Index of multiple deprivation	า				
Least deprived quintile	21	21	17	20	
2 nd quintile	21	21	20	21	
3 rd quintile	21	22	21	21	
4 th quintile	19	19	21	20	
Most deprived quintile	18	17	22	19	<0.001
Smoking status					
Current	18	19	19	19	
Ex-regular	21	28	34	25	
Never regular	61	53	47	57	<0.001
Body Mass Index (BMI) cate	gory				
Not overweight	43	34	25	38	
Overweight	37	37	35	36	
Obese	21	29	40	26	<0.001
Number of cardiovascular co	onditions	(aged 40+	, row %) 1		
None	63	21	17	100	
One condition	36	26	39	100	
Two or more conditions	9	18	73	100	<0.001
Year (row %)					
2011	61	21	18	100	
2012	63	19	18	100	
2013	62	19	20	100	
2014	61	19	20	100	
2015	62	20	19	100	
2016	60	20	21	100	
2017	58	20	22	100	
2018	59	19	22	100	
2011-2018	61	20	20	100	<0.001

 Table 2 Characteristics of those with single and multiple limiting

 longstanding illness conditions (column %), HSE 2011-2018

1 Doctor-diagnosed hypertension, diabetes or self-reported stroke or heart attack

Obesity was highest among Caribbean and African adults (39%-31%); and was lowest
among Chinese, Bangladeshi and Indian adults (3%-18%). The proportion of never smoking
was highest among African, Indian, Chinese, Pakistani and Bangladeshi adults (85%-74%)
and was lowest among White Irish, White and White mixed adults (47%-54%).

224

225 20% of all adults had general multimorbidity (27% of adults aged 40+); this was higher 226 among White Irish and Caribbean adults (24% and 25%, respectively), and lowest among 227 Chinese and African adults (7% and 9%) (Table 2). 7% of adults aged 40+ had 228 cardiovascular multimorbidity. This was highest among Caribbean, Pakistani and 229 Bangladeshi adults (15%) and lowest among Chinese and Other White adults (4%).

230

Among those aged 40+ with cardiovascular multimorbidity, the combination of diabetes and hypertension was the most common dyad (70%) followed by hypertension and heart attack (12%) (Figure S1). 14% of adults aged 16+ had multiple cardiometabolic risk biomarkers; this varied by ethnicity, being highest among White Irish, Caribbean and Indian adults (15%-19%). This largely comprised the combination of raised blood pressure and raised cholesterol (76%), followed by raised cholesterol and raised glycated haemoglobin (9%)

237

Those with general multimorbidity were more likely to have no qualifications than those with no longstanding conditions, lived in the most deprived areas, were obese or were a current or former smoker (p<0.001) (Table 2). Among those classified as having cardiovascular multimorbidity (aged 40+), 73% were classified as having general multimorbidity (p<0.001). The prevalence of general multimorbidity increased steadily over the period, from 18% in 2011 to 22% in 2018 (p<0.001).

- 244
- 245

Figure 1 General multimorbidity

246

In age-sex adjusted models, compared with White British adults, Other White (OR=0.66 95%
Confidence Interval (0.57-0.77)), Indian (0.78, (0.65-0.93)), Chinese (0.42 (0.26-0.68)),
African (0.63 (0.50-0.80)) and Other adults (0.76, (0.63-0.91)) had lower odds of general
multimorbidity. The odds for other ethnic groups were not statistically significant although
Bangladeshi adults had higher odds (1.34, (0.98, 1.84), p=0.069) (Figure 1, Table S1). In
fully adjusted models, the results remained significant only for Other White (0.63 (0.530.74)), Chinese (0.58, (0.36-0.93)) and African adults (0.54 (0.42-0.69)).

In models adjusting for single additional covariates, significant effect sizes of reduced risk were strengthened after adjusting for area deprivation, whilst adjusting for current smoking status reduced effect sizes. Bangladeshi adults had higher odds of general multimorbidity after adjusting for smoking status or BMI status, however, this association was not significant after fully adjusting for all covariates.

260

261 Among adults aged under 40, in fully adjusted models, African (0.37 (0.21-0.62)), Indian 262 (0.37 (0.24-0.57)), Other White (0.41 (0.29-0.58)), Pakistani (0.51 (0.32-0.78)) and Other 263 adults (0.50 (0.34-0.75)), had reduced odds of general multimorbidity compared with White 264 British adults (Table S2). Among adults aged 40 and over in fully adjusted models (Table 265 S3), compared with White British adults, Bangladeshi (1.27 (0.97-1.66) p=0.080) and 266 Pakistani adults (1.75 (1.16-2.65)) had higher odds of having general multimorbidity, 267 whereas Other White (0.81, (0.68-0.96)), Chinese adults (0.62 (0.36-1.07, p=0.086)) and 268 African adults had lower odds (0.63, (0.47-0. 83)).

- 269
- 270

Figure 2 Cardiovascular multimorbidity

271

272 Figure 2 (Table S4) presents odds ratios for cardiovascular multimorbidity among adults 273 aged 40+. In age-sex adjusted models, compared with White British adults, Pakistani (4.01 274 (2.94-5.46)), Bangladeshi (3.73 (2.15-6.47)), Caribbean (2.93 (2.15-3.98)), Indian (2.52 275 (1.97-3.24)), White mixed (2.23 (1.32-3.76)), Other (1.93 (1.46-2.54)), and African adults 276 (1.86 (1.23-2.81)) had higher odds of having cardiovascular multimorbidity. In fully adjusted 277 models, the relationship remained significant for all ethnicities. The effect sizes were 278 attenuated for Caribbean (2.18 (1.58-2.99)), African (1.61 (1.05-2.47)), Pakistani (3.48 (2.52-279 4.80)), White Mixed (1.98 (1.14-3.44)), and Bangladeshi (3.67 (1.98-6.78)) adults and 280 strengthened for Indian (3.31 (2.56-4.28)) and Other adults (2.12 (1.60-2.82)).

281

Broadly, introducing area-deprivation reduced effect sizes for all significant effects, whereas adjusting for smoking strengthened the effects (with the exception of White Mixed adults). Adjusting for BMI status had a differential effect, slightly attenuating effect sizes for African and Caribbean adults whilst strengthening effect sizes for Bangladeshi, Indian, Other Mixed and Pakistani adults.

- 287
- 288

Figure 3 Multiple cardiometabolic risk biomarkers

In sex-age adjusted models, among adults aged 16+, compared with White British adults,
Indian (1.54 (1.17-2.03)) and Caribbean adults (1.46 (0.98- 2.19), p=0.064) had greater odds
of multiple risk biomarkers (Figure 3). In fully adjusted models, the results were strengthened
for Indian adults (1.81 (1.36- 2.41)) and were no longer significant for Caribbean adults.

294

When based on a larger sample (not restricted to those with BMI measurements), statistically significant results were apparent for similar ethnic groups in the same direction (Table S5). Additionally, Indian adults had lower odds of general multimorbidity (0.78 (0.66-0.91) and Caribbean adults had increased odds of multiple risk biomarkers (1.47 (1.01-2.14)).

300

301

302 Discussion

303

304 General multimorbidity varied with age among ethnic groups, with the risk being greater 305 among the British White population aged under 40, and greater among ethnic minorities 306 (Pakistani and Bangladeshi) aged 40 and over. Age-related differences in patterns of 307 multimorbidity by ethnicity, was also found in a recent study, (22). This correlates well with 308 the increased risk of cardiovascular multimorbidity among Pakistani and Bangladeshi adults 309 aged 40 and over; however, differences between other ethnic groups and greater risk of 310 cardiovascular multimorbidity but not general multimorbidity suggest the general white 311 population has higher prevalence of non-cardiovascular longstanding conditions and/or there 312 are ethnic differences in reporting or in being diagnosed.

313

314 Chinese and Asian Indian adults were less likely to report having multimorbidity in the US, 315 however, they were more likely to have a combination of high cholesterol and hypertension, 316 risk factors for CVD consistent with our study,(9). Cultural barriers or differences in 317 considering what constitutes a long-term condition could be a possible explanation for the 318 discrepancy, which requires further investigation. In this study, among those who had 319 cardiovascular multimorbidity, around one in four did not report having general 320 multimorbidity, indicating a discrepancy between definitions, supporting this theory. Healthier 321 ethnic minorities compared with the British White majority, in terms of multimorbidity, could 322 also be due to a 'healthy migrant effect', (23). In our study, African, Chinese, Other White and 323 Indian adults (<40 years) had a lower risk of general multimorbidity. Likewise similar groups 324 were found to be less likely than the majority white population to report poorer self-rated

health in a different study using the HSE,(15), further highlighting the need to separate thesedistinct ethnic groups.

327

328 Despite the reduced risk of general multimorbidity for some ethnic groups, Indian and African 329 adults and other ethnic groups (Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Caribbean and White Mixed)), were 330 at increased risk of cardiovascular multimorbidity, consistent with a study which found the 331 South Asian and Black populations to have the highest rates of cardiovascular multimorbidity 332 in East London, (4). It is established that ethnic minorities have greater cardiometabolic risk 333 factors, (24). In particular, South Asian and Black adults are more likely to have hypertension and Type 2 Diabetes, (23–25). Moreover, for the South Asian population, the risks of Type 2 334 335 diabetes and dyslipidaemia are apparent at lower levels of BMI compared with the white 336 population, (24). We too found Indian and Bangladeshi adults to be at greater risk of 337 cardiometabolic multimorbidity despite having lower levels of obesity than the average in this 338 study. The exact mechanisms behind the differences in risk among ethnicities are not fully 339 understood but are likely due to a complex interplay between genetics and environmental 340 factors,(24).

341 The association between ethnicity and multimorbidity remained after adjusting for 342 socioeconomic factors, obesity and smoking, suggesting ethnicity to be an independent risk 343 factor for general and cardiovascular multimorbidity. Other studies which adjust for 344 socioeconomic factors have found the greater risk of multimorbidity for ethnic minorities 345 remain,(6,8) or even strengthen, when based on a younger sample,(8). In our models, 346 adjusting for area-deprivation and education tended to reduce the effect, whereas 347 adjustment for smoking and obesity tended to strengthen the effect. In general, after full 348 adjustment, the net effect was a slight reduction in risk, although the Indian population was 349 an exception with a slightly stronger effect after full adjustment, suggesting that the risk for 350 the Indian population is greater despite lower levels of smoking and obesity, and higher 351 levels of educational attainment, which requires further investigation.

352

353 Multiple cardiometabolic risk biomarkers exceeding recommended targets, particularly 354 among Indian and Caribbean adults, could be one explanation behind the increase in 355 cardiovascular multimorbidity. Exceeding targets could be exacerbated by undiagnosed 356 diseases or poorer management, such as lack of treatment or adherence to treatment. 357 Ethnic minority groups may face barriers to treatment or health-seeking behaviours. 358 Difficulties with healthcare access are well-recognised, (5). Discrimination, which we were 359 unable to account for, was found to be a risk-factor for multimorbidity in the US,(28) and 360 metabolic syndrome in the Netherlands, (29).

361

362 Strengths and limitations

363

364 Strengths of this study include the use of a nationally representative sample in England, 365 where studies based on GP records may only capture people in contact with health 366 services,(5) and studies using a selective sample of volunteers may generate conservative 367 prevalence estimates of multimorbidity,(20). Furthermore, we were able to separate out 368 distinct ethnic groups where differences were found especially in terms of general 369 multimorbidity.

370

371 There are many limitations to this study. Even though eight years of data was pooled, 372 sample sizes within distinct ethnic minorities were still relatively small. Consequently, except 373 for cardiovascular multimorbidity, combinations of conditions could not be explored in detail 374 and we were unable to stratify analyses by smaller age groups. We could not adjust for 375 important covariates, such as foreign-born status, which could have suggested a healthy 376 migrant effect, as the question was not asked in the survey years assessed. Furthermore, 377 diet, physical activity, and mental health were not accounted for due to a lack of consistency 378 of data being collected in all years. The extent to which culture, including influences on diet, 379 affect multimorbidity risk could also not be assessed

380

There is no agreed definition of multimorbidity, and the conditions listed by participants did not include conditions that may be counted in the definition elsewhere, such as alcohol problems,(12) which makes comparing prevalence rates across studies challenging. Finally, our study was cross-sectional therefore we cannot determine the temporal order of events between variables such as deprivation and multimorbidity. However, we believe the use of a nationally representative sample and the ability to assess distinct ethnic groups offset this limitation, where research on ethnicity and multimorbidity is lacking.

388

389 Conclusion

Ethnic inequalities in multimorbidity vary between older and younger adults, distinct ethnic groups, and are independent of social–economic status, smoking and obesity. Differences in findings between general multimorbidity and cardiovascular multimorbidity suggests a possible healthy migrant effect, different susceptibility to different diseases, differential use of healthcare for diagnosis and management, or differences in interpretation of chronic conditions. Several ethnic minority groups among the South Asian and Black ethnic groups

- are at risk of cardiovascular multimorbidity, which may be exacerbated by underdiagnosis
- 397 and/or poorer management of cardiometabolic risk factors.
- 398

399 Acknowledgements

- 400 We thank NHS Digital for funding The Health Survey for England, participants, fieldwork staff
- 401 and colleagues at Natcen, for collecting, processing the data and creating the dataset

402 Contributors

LNF designed and conceived the idea of the study, conducted analyses and drafted the manuscript. JM, LM, SS advised on analyses, and contributed to revisions of the manuscript and approved the final version.

406 Role of the funding source

The HSE is funded by NHS Digital. The authors are funded to produce HSE reports but this study received no specific funding. NHS Digital had no role in study design, interpretation or production of the manuscript.

410 **Competing interests**

411 The authors have no competing interests to declare.

412 Ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the following Research Ethics Committees (REC): HSE
2011 and 2012: Oxford A REC: 10/H0604/56; HSE 2013 and 2014: Oxford A REC:
12/sc/0317; HSE 2015: West London NRES Committee: 14/LO/0862; HSE 2016:
Nottingham REC: 15/EE/0299, HSE 2017: East of England Research Ethics Committee
(Reference no 15/EE/0229), HSE 2018: East Midlands Nottingham 2 Research Ethics
Committee (Reference no. 15/EM/0254)... Ethical approval was obtained ahead of data
collection, no further ethical approval is needed for secondary analyses.

420 Patient consent

Verbal or written consent was obtained from each participant for their involvement ahead ofdata collection for the various stages of the HSE

423 Data availability statement

424 The Health Survey for England is available to UK Academic Institutions from the UK Data

- 425 Archive subject to their end-user license. This study utilised a dataset used for the Health
- 426 Survey for England report on Ethnicity, which the authors were funded to produce.

427 **Transparency statement**

- 428 The lead author affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account
- 429 of the study being reported; no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that
- 430 any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

431

432

433 References

- Pearson-Stuttard J, Ezzati M, Gregg EW. Multimorbidity—a defining challenge for health systems. The Lancet Public Health. 2019 Dec 1;4(12):e599–600.
- Jani BD, Hanlon P, Nicholl BI, McQueenie R, Gallacher KI, Lee D, et al. Relationship
 between multimorbidity, demographic factors and mortality: findings from the UK
 Biobank cohort. BMC Medicine. 2019 Apr 10;17(1):74.
- McQueenie R, Foster HME, Jani BD, Katikireddi SV, Sattar N, Pell JP, et al.
 Multimorbidity, polypharmacy, and COVID-19 infection within the UK Biobank cohort.
 PLOS ONE. 2020 Aug 20;15(8):e0238091.
- 442 4. Mathur R, Hull SA, Badrick E, Robson J. Cardiovascular multimorbidity: the effect of
 443 ethnicity on prevalence and risk factor management. Br J Gen Pract. 2011
 444 May;61(586):e262-270.
- Hayanga B, Stafford M, Bécares L. Ethnic Inequalities in Healthcare Use and Care Quality among People with Multiple Long-Term Health Conditions Living in the United Kingdom: A Systematic Review and Narrative Synthesis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health [Internet]. 2021;18(23). Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/23/12599
- Verest WJGM, Galenkamp H, Spek B, Snijder MB, Stronks K, van Valkengoed IGM.
 Do ethnic inequalities in multimorbidity reflect ethnic differences in socioeconomic
 status? The HELIUS study. European Journal of Public Health. 2019 Aug 1;29(4):687–
 93.
- Ashworth M, Durbaba S, Whitney D, Crompton J, Wright M, Dodhia H. Journey to
 multimorbidity: longitudinal analysis exploring cardiovascular risk factors and
 sociodemographic determinants in an urban setting. BMJ Open. 2019 Dec
 1;9(12):e031649.
- Johnson-Lawrence V, Zajacova A, Sneed R. Education, race/ethnicity, and
 multimorbidity among adults aged 30-64 in the National Health Interview Survey. SSM
 Popul Health. 2017 Dec;3:366–72.
- Zhang Y, Misra R, Sambamoorthi U. Prevalence of Multimorbidity among Asian Indian,
 Chinese, and Non-Hispanic White Adults in the United States. Int J Environ Res Public
 Health. 2020 May 11;17(9).

- Gallacher KI, McQueenie R, Nicholl B, Jani BD, Lee D, Mair FS. Risk factors and
 mortality associated with multimorbidity in people with stroke or transient ischaemic
 attack: a study of 8,751 UK Biobank participants. J Comorb. 2018 Feb 19;8(1):1–8.
- Ingram E, Ledden S, Beardon S, Gomes M, Hogarth S, McDonald H, et al. Household
 and area-level social determinants of multimorbidity: a systematic review. J Epidemiol
 Community Health. 2021 Mar 1;75(3):232.
- 470 12. Knies G, Kumari M. Multimorbidity is associated with the income, education,
 471 employment and health domains of area-level deprivation in adult residents in the UK.
 472 Sci Rep. 2022 May 4;12(1):7280.
- Blümel JE, Carrillo-Larco RM, Vallejo MS, Chedraui P. Multimorbidity in a cohort of
 middle-aged women: Risk factors and disease clustering. Maturitas. 2020/05/04 ed.
 2020 Jul;137:45–9.
- 14. NHS Digital. Health Survey for England Additional Analyses, Ethnicity and Health,
 2011-2019 Experimental statistics [Internet]. [cited 2022 Feb 8]. Available from:
 https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/health-surveyengland-additional-analyses/ethnicity-and-health-2011-2019-experimental-statistics

480 15. Mindell JS, Knott CS, Ng Fat LS, Roth MA, Manor O, Soskolne V, et al. Explanatory
481 factors for health inequalities across different ethnic and gender groups: data from a
482 national survey in England. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2014 Dec 1;68(12):1133.

- Mindell J, Biddulph JP, Hirani V, Stamatakis E, Craig R, Nunn S, et al. Cohort Profile:
 The Health Survey for England. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2012 Dec
 1;41(6):1585–93.
- 17. NatCen Social Research, UCL. Methods [Internet]. NHS Digital; 2019 Mar. (Health
 Survey for England 2018). Available from:
 https://files.digital.nhs.uk/CA/2393EF/HSE18-Methods-rep.pdf
- The Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Association of Cardiometabolic
 Multimorbidity With Mortality. JAMA. 2015 Jul 7;314(1):52–60.
- 491 19. Whitty CJM, MacEwen C, Goddard A, Alderson D, Marshall M, Calderwood C, et al.
 492 Rising to the challenge of multimorbidity. BMJ. 2020 Jan 6;368:I6964.
- 493 20. Hanlon P, Jani BD, Nicholl B, Lewsey J, McAllister DA, Mair FS. Associations between 494 multimorbidity and adverse health outcomes in UK Biobank and the SAIL Databank: A
 495 comparison of longitudinal cohort studies. PLOS Medicine. 2022 Mar 7;19(3):e1003931.
- 497 21. StataCorp. 2021. Stata Statistical Software: Release 17. College Station, TX:
 498 StataCorp LLC.;
- Hayanga B, Stafford M, Saunders CL, Bécares L. Ethnic inequalities in age-related
 patterns of multiple long-term conditions in England: analysis of primary care and
 nationally representative survey data. medRxiv. 2022 Jan 1;2022.08.05.22278462.
- Moullan Y, Jusot F. Why is the 'healthy immigrant effect' different between European countries? Eur J Public Health. 2014 Aug;24 Suppl 1:80–6.

- 504 24. Dal Canto E, Farukh B, Faconti L. Why are there ethnic differences in cardio-metabolic
 505 risk factors and cardiovascular diseases? JRSM Cardiovascular Disease. 2018 Jan
 506 1;7:2048004018818923.
- 507 25. Cappuccio FP. Ethnicity and cardiovascular risk: variations in people of African 508 ancestry and South Asian origin. J Hum Hypertens. 1997 Sep;11(9):571–6.
- Pham TM, Carpenter JR, Morris TP, Sharma M, Petersen I. Ethnic Differences in the
 Prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes Diagnoses in the UK: Cross-Sectional Analysis of the
 Health Improvement Network Primary Care Database. Clin Epidemiol. 2019;11:1081–8.
- 512 27. Schofield P, Saka O, Ashworth M. Ethnic differences in blood pressure monitoring and 513 control in south east London. Br J Gen Pract. 2011 Apr;61(585):190–6.
- S14 28. Oh H, Glass J, Narita Z, Koyanagi A, Sinha S, Jacob L. Discrimination and
 Multimorbidity Among Black Americans: Findings from the National Survey of American
 Life. Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities. 2021 Feb 1;8(1):210–9.
- 29. Ikram UZ, Snijder MB, Agyemang C, Schene AH, Peters RJG, Stronks K, et al.
 Perceived Ethnic Discrimination and the Metabolic Syndrome in Ethnic Minority
 Groups: The Healthy Life in an Urban Setting Study. Psychosomatic Medicine
 [Internet]. 2017;79(1). Available from:
 https://journals.lww.com/psychosomaticmedicine/Fulltext/2017/01000/Perceived_Ethnic
- 522 _Discrimination_and_the_Metabolic.12.aspx
- 523
- 524
- 525

Odds Ratio for General Multimorbidity

Model (ref: White British) Odds ratio (95% CI)

0.4000000000000000000000000000000000000			03 CR351 96293 97	
White-Instruction Age-setwords was not + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted	loi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.1 t certified by peer review) is the It is made avail	0.03.22280637; this teuthor/funder, who tele-under a CC-BY-	version posted Octob has granted 104(8):82 NC-ND 4.0104r(0):82 0.95 (0.74 1.01 (0.80 1.05 (0.83 0.96 (0.75	a fice2 se to display the at ice2se . , 1.20) , 1.28) , 1.34)
Other White Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted	+++++		0.66 (0.57 0.66 (0.57 0.61 (0.52 0.65 (0.56 0.69 (0.59 0.63 (0.53	, 0.78) , 0.72) , 0.76) , 0.81)
Indian Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted	++++++	-1	0.78 (0.65 0.79 (0.66 0.72 (0.60 0.88 (0.74 0.83 (0.69 0.86 (0.72	, 0.95) , 0.86) , 1.06) , 0.99)
Pakistani Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			1.08 (0.85 1.02 (0.81 0.84 (0.67 1.18 (0.93 1.04 (0.82 0.91 (0.72	, 1.28) , 1.05) , 1.49) , 1.32)
Bangladeshi Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			1.34 (0.98 1.24 (0.90 1.01 (0.73 1.46 (1.06 1.47 (1.06 1.21 (0.87	, 1.71) , 1.40) , 2.00) , 2.02)
Chinese Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.42 (0.26 0.43 (0.27 0.42 (0.26 0.48 (0.30 0.53 (0.33 0.58 (0.36	, 0.70) , 0.67) , 0.77) , 0.85)
African Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.63 (0.50 0.64 (0.50 0.49 (0.39 0.72 (0.57 0.58 (0.46 0.54 (0.42	, 0.81) , 0.63) , 0.91) , 0.73)
Caribbean Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted		+++	1.15 (0.93 1.12 (0.90 0.90 (0.72 1.17 (0.95 1.05 (0.85 0.88 (0.70	, 1.39) , 1.12) , 1.46) , 1.30)
White mixed Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			1.24 (0.94 1.21 (0.92 1.10 (0.83 1.21 (0.91 1.25 (0.94 1.11 (0.83	, 1.61) , 1.46) , 1.60) , 1.67)
Other mixed Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.81 (0.55 0.85 (0.57 0.75 (0.50 0.84 (0.57 0.84 (0.57 0.84 (0.56	, 1.26) , 1.11) , 1.24) , 1.26)
	1 I .25 .5 1	1	1 4	

Odds Ratio for Cardiovascular Multimorbidity

Model (ref: White British) Odds ratio (95% CI)

C/ C/ C/ 2400000 100000 100000 1001			00 85 10 X 20 M 25 M
Whiteelrish preprint of Age-se(words was not + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted	loi: https://doi.org/10.11 t certified by peer rewind the second se	01/2022.10.03.22280637; t ctv) is the author/funder, where a cc-t ode available under a cc-t	his version posted October 4, 2022. The copyrig no has granted madwite for the form of the second se
Other White Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted	+++++		0.80 (0.57, 1.13) 0.82 (0.58, 1.15) 0.76 (0.54, 1.07) 0.80 (0.57, 1.13) 0.84 (0.60, 1.19) 0.82 (0.58, 1.15)
Indian Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted		± + +	2.52 (1.97, 3.24) 2.55 (1.98, 3.28) 2.37 (1.84, 3.04) 2.84 (2.21, 3.66) 3.16 (2.46, 4.06) 3.31 (2.56, 4.28)
Pakistani Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			4.01 (2.94, 5.46) 3.64 (2.65, 5.00) 3.07 (2.24, 4.20) 4.40 (3.22, 6.01) 4.11 (2.99, 5.65) 3.48 (2.52, 4.80)
Bangladeshi Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			3.73 (2.15, 6.47) 3.14 (1.79, 5.51) 2.66 (1.48, 4.78) 4.07 (2.33, 7.10) 4.92 (2.77, 8.75) 3.67 (1.98, 6.78)
Chinese Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.83 (0.29, 2.40) 0.85 (0.29, 2.51) 0.86 (0.30, 2.50) 0.94 (0.32, 2.71) 1.48 (0.52, 4.21) 1.69 (0.59, 4.83)
African Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted	-		1.86 (1.23, 2.81) 1.95 (1.29, 2.96) 1.42 (0.94, 2.16) 2.08 (1.37, 3.14) 1.70 (1.11, 2.60) 1.61 (1.05, 2.47)
Caribbean Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			2.93 (2.15, 3.98) 2.71 (1.97, 3.73) 2.19 (1.59, 3.01) 3.02 (2.22, 4.13) 2.67 (1.97, 3.62) 2.18 (1.58, 2.99)
White mixed Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			2.23 (1.32, 3.76) 2.15 (1.26, 3.66) 1.93 (1.14, 3.27) 2.22 (1.30, 3.78) 2.25 (1.32, 3.82) 1.98 (1.14, 3.44)
Other mixed Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.92 (0.40, 2.12) 1.02 (0.45, 2.33) 0.85 (0.37, 1.95) 0.94 (0.41, 2.18) 1.04 (0.45, 2.39) 1.06 (0.47, 2.39)
	.25 .5 1	1 2 4	I I 8 16

Odds Ratio for Multiple Biological Risk Factors

Model (ref: White British) Odds ratio (95% CI)

Whiteetrissiv preprint of Age-se(white was not + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted	loi: https://doi.org/10.1101/20 t certified by peer review) is It is made a	022.10.03.22280637; th s the author/funder, wh available under a CC-B	is version posted October 4, 2022. The cop o has grante@.@@@@&&&a fice0se to display Y-NC-ND 4.0.0.000 (0.57, 1.39) 0.90 (0.58, 1.39) 0.90 (0.57, 1.43) 0.92 (0.58, 1.46)	byrigh the p
Other White Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.84 (0.66, 1.07) 0.88 (0.69, 1.12) 0.83 (0.66, 1.06) 0.84 (0.66, 1.07) 0.92 (0.72, 1.17) 0.95 (0.74, 1.21)	
Indian Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			1.54 (1.17, 2.03) 1.60 (1.22, 2.11) 1.53 (1.16, 2.02) 1.58 (1.20, 2.09) - 1.68 (1.27, 2.24) - 1.81 (1.36, 2.41)	
Pakistani Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.95 (0.61, 1.48) 0.96 (0.62, 1.50) 0.92 (0.59, 1.44) 0.96 (0.62, 1.50) 0.89 (0.56, 1.40) 0.93 (0.58, 1.47)	
Bangladeshi Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			1.20 (0.63, 2.28) 1.19 (0.63, 2.27) 1.16 (0.61, 2.20) 1.21 (0.64, 2.29) 1.36 (0.71, 2.61) 1.42 (0.73, 2.76)	
Chinese Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted	Ξ		0.77 (0.41, 1.46) 0.80 (0.42, 1.52) 0.77 (0.40, 1.46) 0.79 (0.42, 1.50) 1.12 (0.59, 2.12) - 1.19 (0.63, 2.25)	
African Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			1.15 (0.72, 1.85) 1.18 (0.73, 1.89) 1.12 (0.69, 1.80) 1.19 (0.74, 1.91) 1.04 (0.64, 1.70) 1.10 (0.67, 1.81)	
Caribbean Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			1.46 (0.98, 2.19) 1.47 (0.98, 2.20) 1.42 (0.94, 2.13) 1.46 (0.97, 2.18) 1.31 (0.87, 1.95) 1.33 (0.89, 2.00)	
White mixed Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.73 (0.41, 1.28) 0.73 (0.41, 1.30) 0.72 (0.41, 1.27) 0.72 (0.40, 1.27) 0.74 (0.41, 1.31) 0.74 (0.41, 1.32)	
Other mixed Age-sex only + Education + Area-deprivation + Smoking + BMI Fully-adjusted			0.66 (0.32, 1.35) 0.69 (0.34, 1.42) 0.65 (0.32, 1.34) 0.67 (0.32, 1.37) 0.74 (0.36, 1.53) 0.77 (0.37, 1.58)	
	1 1 1 .125 .25 .5	1 1	4	