

Pneumonia in Sudan; Systematic Scoping Review of Literature and Meta Analysis

Mohamed D M¹ *, SalahEldin, M A², Idris, A B³, Idris, E B⁴, Mohamed S G⁵ and Badawi M M⁶

¹ Sultan Qaboos University Hospital, Muscat, Oman

² Medical Microbiology department, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

³ General Surgery Resident, Sudan Medical Specialization Board, Khartoum, Sudan

⁴ Department of medical microbiology, Rashid Medical Complex, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁵ Medical Microbiology department, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

⁶ Higher Academy for Strategic and Security Studies, Khartoum, Sudan.

*Corresponding author: Diyaeldienmch@gmail.com

Abstract

In addition to excessive burden of non-communicable diseases, natural and manmade disasters and internal conflicts, Sudan is predominantly susceptible to communicable diseases such as Malaria, Tuberculosis, and Pneumonia, which bring about a burden of infectious diseases and demand for high quality health care. According to the WHO as well as the Sudan Health Observatory, Pneumonia is one of the leading causes of death in Sudan. This study therefore aimed to determine pneumonia infection prevalence among Sudanese as well as its related risk factors. A systematic review of the literature was conducted in the 1st of December 2020. The review was regulated in accordance with PRISMA. After abstract and full text screening only seventeen articles met our inclusion criteria and passed the quality assessment procedure. Seven included studies determined prevalence of pneumonia; the overall pooled prevalence was around 30%. Furthermore, twelve research articles investigated risk factors related to pneumonia among Sudanese population. Further research with larger sample sizes targeting risk factors of pneumonia among Sudanese population is needed to be conducted.

Keywords

Africa, Developing countries, Risk, STIs, sub-Saharan Africa.

1 Introduction

In addition to excessive burden of non-communicable diseases, natural and manmade disasters and internal conflicts, Sudan is predominantly susceptible to communicable diseases such as Malaria, Tuberculosis, and Pneumonia, which bring about a burden of infectious diseases and demand for high quality health care^[1].

According to the *WHO* as well as the Sudan Health Observatory in the federal ministry of health, the major communicable diseases contributing to morbidity are Malaria, Schistosomiasis, Pneumonia, Tuberculosis and Diarrheal diseases^[2-4].

Pneumonia is the single largest infectious cause of death in children worldwide. It killed 808,694 children under the age of five in 2017, accounting for 15% of all deaths of children under five years old. Pneumonia affects children and families everywhere, but is most prevalent in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. According to Sudan Health Observatory; it was the third cause of death in 2017 among inpatients in Sudan as 6% of the total deaths were due to pneumonia^[5-7].

This study therefore aimed to determine what have been done regarding pneumonia infection prevalence among Sudanese as well as its related risk factors, identification of knowledge gaps will be a giant leap and of great value to the health security of human of Sudan.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Search strategy

To identify relevant studies; a systematic review of the literature was conducted in the 1st of June 2022. The review was regulated in accordance with PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews) ^[8] (S1 Table). A comprehensive search was operated in PubMed, Embase, Google scholar, Scopus, Index Copernicus, DOAJ, EBSCO-CINAHL, Cochrane databases without language limits (studies written in languages other than English were later excluded). To obtain a current situation evidence; only studies published in or after 2010 were included. Furthermore, all studies where the data collection process took place before 2010 were also excluded, the only exception was if the collection process started in or before 2010 and ended in 2010 or afterwards.

As medical literature in Sudan is generally scarce in international databases as well as risk factors may be differently reported, risk factors were not used in keyword formulation and their related results were later extracted from included studies. Moreover, studies toward *COVID-19* infection were not included as the current situation is intended to be evaluated despite of the *COVID-19* pandemic; however, studies concerned with *COVID-19* prevalence not risk factors were included. Nevertheless, studies investigating *COVID-19* associated risk factors were cited without their results being scoped to guide readers.

The keywords used in PubMed were as follow:

(Lower respiratory tract infection) OR Pneumonia OR Pneumonias OR (Lung inflammation) OR Lobitis OR (non specific inflammatory lung diseases) OR Prepneumonia OR pleuropneumonia OR Pleuropneumonitis OR (Pneumonic lung) OR (Pneumonic pleurisy) OR (Pneumonic pleuritis) OR Pnemonitides OR

Pneumonitis OR (Pulmonal inflammation) OR (Pulmonary inflammation) OR (Pulmonic inflammation) AND Sudan*[tiab]. As previously described ^[9]

Moreover, to optimize our search, hand searches of reference lists of included articles were also performed.

2.2 Study selection and data extraction

Titles and abstracts were assessed for preliminary eligibility. A copy of the full text was obtained for all research articles that were available and approved in principle to be included. Abstraction of data was in accordance with the task separation method; method and result sections in each study were separately abstracted in different occasions to reduce bias. Moreover, data abstraction was conducted with no consideration of author's qualifications or expertise as described in details previously^[10]. Each research article was screened for all relevant information and recorded in the data extraction file (Microsoft Excel), data from each method section was extracted using a predefined set of variables; study characteristics, type of the communicable disease, type of participants, study population size, geographical region, methodology used in prevalence or risk assessment and the period of the study conduction.

After inclusion, studies were further classified in each communicable disease into studies determining prevalence, studies determining risk factors and studies determining both prevalence and risk factors. Furthermore, as risk factors-related keywords were not formulated in the search strategy, each study was fully screened to check the nature of the risk investigated by authors, studies in which socio-cultural risks have not been assessed, were later excluded.

When studies included regarding socio-cultural risks of a given communicable disease is scarce where Meta analysis cannot be synthesized, scoping of the literature was conducted to highlight methodologies and results conducted as well as to illustrate gaps of research among Sudanese population.

2.3 Assessment of quality

Each included article was evaluated based on a framework for making a summary assessment of the quality. The related published literature was crossed, then a framework was structured specifically to determine the level of representativeness of the studied population and to judge the strength of the estimates provided. Five questions were to be answered in each article, each answer represent either 1 score for yes, 0 score for No or 0 score for not available; a total score for risk of bias and quality was calculated by adding up the scores in all five domains, resulting in a score of between 0 and 5. The highest score indicates the highest quality, only studies with a score for quality greater or equal to 3 (higher quality) were included. The five domains were: is the study objective clearly defined?, is the study sample completely determined?, is the study population clearly defined and specified?, is the methodology rigorous? And is the data analysis rigorous?

2.4 Quantitative analysis

Meta-analysis was performed—whenever possible using Review Manager Software (Version 5.3). The software automatically provided the Confidence Interval (CI) according to the calculated *SE*, if the *CI* is provided in a study; it was introduced accordingly. The heterogeneity of each meta-analysis was assessed as well, the random effect was favored over the fixed effect model in all meta-analysis

established as variations between studies is predicted to be probable due to the diversity of the study populations. Sensitivity analysis was also approached to determine the effect of studies conducted in populations proposed to behave in indifference manners or proposed to be more aware on the overall pooled prevalence. Moreover, subgroup analysis was also conducted -whenever suitable to determine prevalence or risk level in specific State or population. An outcome to take part in the meta-analysis has to be included in at least two studies.


Trim and Fill method was used to assess the risk of publication bias in each Meta analysis conducted ^[11].

3 Results

3.1 Studies included

A total of 1,420 articles were identified from the search strategy including hand searches of reference lists of included original research articles and reviews. From these, 1,348 articles were excluded. After abstract and full text screening only fifteen articles met our inclusion criteria and passed the quality assessment procedure. The articles reported prevalence among specific population and/or risk factors. (Fig. 1) illustrates the PRISMA flow diagram. The included articles are depicted in (Table 1). Assessment of the quality of included studies is depicted in (Table S2).

Fig (1): PRISMA flow diagram



(Table 1): Characteristics of included studies

Study ID	Year of publication	Study design	City/State	study population/s	Assessment of	sample size	Gender	Participants' Age
Abd Elrhman E.,2015 [12]	2015	Cross sectional	Khartoum State	Suspected Patients	Prevalence of M. Catarrhalis (Bacteriological methods)	200	Both	19-86 years
Essa M.,2017 [13]	2017	Retrospective	South Darfur State	Suspected Patients	Prevalence	9000	Both	1-44 years
Gabbad A.,2014 [14]	2014	Cross Sectional	Omdurman City	Children	Prevalence of S. pneumoniae (Bacteriological methods) & Risk factor	282	Both	< 5 years
Gritly S.,2018 [15]	2018	Cross Sectional	Omdurman City	Children	Risk Factors	40	Both	< 5 years
Idress W.,2017 [16]	2017	Cross Sectional	Khartoum State	Nurses	Risk Factors	60	Both	20 - > 40 years
Mahgoub A.,2013 [17]	2013	Cross Sectional	Khartoum State	Children	Prevalence & Risk Factors	260	Not determined	Not determined
Mohammed S.,2013 [18]	2013	Cross Sectional	Gezira State	Mothers of children less than 5 years	Risk Factors	250	Female	18-63 years
Mohamoud A.,2014 [19]	2014	Cross Sectional	Gezira State	Parents of children less than 5 years	Risk Factors	182	Both	20 - > 50 years
Moser W.,2022 [20]	2022	Cross Sectional	Omdurman City	General population	Prevalence of SARS-Cov-2 (ELISA) & Risk factor	2374	Not determined	< 5 - ≥ 50 years
Omar M.,2016 [21]	2016	Cross sectional	Kassala City	Pulmonary TB Patients	Prevalence of S. pneumoniae (Bacteriological methods)	150	Both	10-50 years
Salah E.,2015 [22]	2015	Cross Sectional	Omdurman City	Children with pneumonia	Risk Factors	150	Both	2-60 months
Salih K.,2011 [23]	2011	Cross Sectional	Khartoum State	Children with severe pneumonia	Risk Factors	224	Both	0-59 months
Salih K.,2014 [24]	2014	Cross Sectional	Khartoum State	Children with severe pneumonia	Risk Factors	208	Both	mean 28 months

Salih K.,2015 [25]	2015	Cross Sectional	Khartoum State	Children with severe pneumonia	Risk Factors	195	Both	2-59 months
Salih K.,2015 [26]	2015	Cross Sectional	Khartoum State	Children with severe pneumonia symptoms	Prevalence (Bacteriological Methods)	189	Both	< 5 years

3.2 Study characteristics

The characteristics of the included studies are depicted in (Table 1), among which the oldest were published in 2011 [23] while the most recent one was published in 2022 [20]. Eleven research articles determining pneumonia prevalence or risk factors conducted in Khartoum State [12,14–17,20–26], two in Gezira State [18,19] and one in South Darfur State [13]. Moreover, almost all articles were conducted among both gender, nevertheless, one study recruited females only (mothers of infected children) [18] while another study did not determine the gender of their participants [17].

Furthermore, 10 articles were concerned of pneumonia prevalence/risk factors among children [14,15,17–19,22–26]. All characteristics of included studies are depicted in (Table 1). Publication bias assessment indicated no major asymmetry.

3.3 COVID 19 Studies

Studies concerned with pathogenesis, health services, knowledge, behavior or risks related to *COVID-19* were beyond the scope of this review. Nevertheless, to guide interested readers as well as to pool literature related to pneumonia among Sudanese population and for further research prospect, all related articles according to the current search strategy are depicted in (Table 2).

Table (2) Studies toward *COVID-19* among Sudanese population according to search strategy

Author	Year of publication	Title
Abd El-Raheem et al [27]	2022	Extent of COVID-19 Healthcare Services of Isolation Center of Private Hospital across Khartoum State, Sudan
Abd El-Raheem et al [28]	2021	Characteristics and severity of COVID-19 among Sudanese patients during the waves of the pandemic
Abdelmagid et al [29]	2021	Acceptability and feasibility of strategies to shield the vulnerable during the COVID-19 outbreak: a qualitative study in six Sudanese communities
Abdou et al [30]	2021	The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination psychological antecedent assessment using the Arabic 5c validated tool: An online survey in 13 Arab countries
Abouzid et al [31]	2021	Influence of COVID-19 on lifestyle behaviors in the Middle East and North Africa Region: a survey of 5896 individuals
Ahmed et al [32]	2021	Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on intimate partner violence in Sudan, Malawi and Kenya
Ahmed et al [33]	2021	COVID-19 in Sudan
Ahmed et al [34]	2022	Clinical characteristics, complications, and predictors of outcome of hospitalized adult Sudanese patients with COVID-19 and malaria coinfection in Sudan: A multicenter retrospective cross-sectional study
Ahmed et al [35]	2020	Controlling the spread of COVID-19 in Sudan with limited resources: a unique community-engaged approach
Ali et al [36]	2022	The role of integrated programs in the prevention of COVID-19 in a humanitarian setting
Aljak et al [37]	2021	The first laboratory-confirmed imported infections of SARS-CoV-2 in Sudan
Attaallah et al [38]	2021	The Prognostic Value of Thrombocytopenia in COVID-19 Pneumonia, Gezira Isolation Centers, Sudan
Aung et al [39]	2021	Community responses to COVID-19 pandemic first wave containment measures: a multinational study
Bara'a et al [40]	2021	Coronavirus 2019-like illness and public adherence to preventive measures, Sudan 2020
Deek et al [41]	2021	A multi-centric study on validation of the Fear Scale for COVID-19 in five Arabic speaking countries
Elagib et al [42]	2022	Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding infection control measures among dental students during COVID-19 pandemic
Elhadi et al [43]	2020	The formidable task of fighting COVID-19 in Sudan
El-Sadig et al [44]	2021	Obstacles faced by healthcare providers during COVID-19 pandemic in Sudan
El-Sadig et al [45]	2021	Impact of COVID-19 on doctors and healthcare providers during the pandemic in Sudan
Fahal et al [46]	2022	The Mycetoma Research Centre experience during the COVID-19 pandemic: obstacles and beyond
Gayawan et al [47]	2020	The spatio-temporal pandemic dynamics of COVID-19 outbreak in Africa
Gilbert et al [48]	2020	Preparedness and vulnerability of African countries against importations of COVID-19: a modelling study


Gismalla et al [49]	2021	Medical students' perception towards E-learning during COVID 19 pandemic in a high burden developing country
Hassan et al [50]	2020	Convalescent plasma as a treatment modality for coronavirus disease 2019 in Sudan
Hezima et al [51]	2020	Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of Sudanese residents towards COVID-19
Lami et al [52]	2022	Risk Factors of COVID-19 Critical Outcomes in the Eastern Mediterranean Region: Multicountry Retrospective Study
Mahgoub et al +	2021	Psychological effects of the COVID-19 pandemic: Perceived stress, anxiety, work-family imbalance, and coping strategies among healthcare professionals in Khartoum state hospitals, Sudan
Mahmoud et al [53]	2021	Awareness and perception of COVID-19 among final-year dental students, Sudan
Badawi et al [54]	2020	Have We Passed the Peak? Prevalence and Clinical Presentations of Covid-19 among Sudanese Health Workers
Mehanna et al [55]	2021	Public willingness to adhere to COVID-19 precautionary measures in Sudan: an application of the Health Belief Model
Mohamed et al [56]	2021	Knowledge, attitude and practice of the Sudanese people towards COVID-19: an online survey
Moser et al [20]	2022	SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Prevalence and Population-Based Death Rates, Greater Omdurman, Sudan
Mukhtar & Khogali [57]	2021	The accelerating COVID-19 pandemic in Sudan
Musa et al [58]	2020	COVID-19 in Sudan: Response towards control and prevention
Pigoga et al [59]	2021	Derivation of a Contextually-Appropriate COVID-19 Mortality Scale for Low-Resource Settings
Raja et al [60]	2022	COVID-19 vaccine acceptance, hesitancy, and associated factors among medical students in Sudan
Sayedahmed et al [61]	2020	Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding COVID-19 among Sudanese population during the early days of the pandemic: Online cross-sectional survey
Siddig et al [62]	2022	COVID-19 and cognitive impairment: A cross-sectional clinic-based study
Tahir et al [63]	2021	Internet addiction and sleep quality among medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic: A multinational cross-sectional survey
Yassin et al [64]	2022	COVID-19 Vaccination Acceptance among Healthcare Staff in Sudan
Yousif et al [65]	2021	Use of Remdesivir in the treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection among Sudanese patients: a case series

3.4 Prevalence of pneumonia

Seven included studies determined prevalence of pneumonia. Three studies determined prevalence among suspected outpatients, one study determined prevalence among tuberculosis patients while the other three studies determine


pneumonia prevalence among children. Furthermore, three studies determined prevalence of several microorganisms causing pneumonia; two studies were concerned with prevalence of *S. pneumonia*, one was concerned with prevalence of *M. Catarrhalis* and one was concerned with prevalence of *SARS-Cov-2*. Characteristics of studies are depicted in (Table 1).

The pooled prevalence of all seven studies using fixed effect model was 33.33% [CI: 33.32, 33.34] and 30.47% [CI: 10.41, 50.53] using the random effect model. Moreover, after conducting sensitivity analysis; fixed effect pooled prevalence was 30.02% [CI: 30.01, 30.03] and 26.45% [CI: 3.16, 49.74] using the random effect model. Heterogeneity was high among all Meta analysis conducted ($I^2=100\%$) (Fig 2).



(Fig 2): Pooled prevalence of pneumonia among included studies. **Above:** Fixed effect model. **Below:** Random effect model.

Children pneumonia prevalence were investigated among three included studies [14,17,25], pooled prevalence using fixed effect model was 29.94% [CI: 29.91, 29.97] and 32.30% [CI: 10.96, 53.64] using the random effect model (Fig 3).



(Fig 3): Pooled prevalence of children pneumonia among included studies. **Above:** Fixed effect model. **Below:** Random effect model.

3.5 Risk factors

Five research articles investigated risk factors related to pneumonia among Sudanese population.

The study of Gritly and colleagues [15] was conducted to determine the risk factors contributing to pneumonia at Omdurman city among 40 children under five years of age using a questionnaire, (57.50%) were males and less than one year, (67.50%) of patient's fathers were labor (worker), (55.0%) with educations as basic, and (65.0%) of patient fathers make as less than 150 SDG (per day), moreover, most of the children (72.50%) of patients were breastfed. Majority of

study participants (65.0%) used tap water, Most of the study participants (mothers) (47.50%) used *zeer* pot fridge for drinking water and storage. No determination of any significant associations was described in the study.

The study of Salih and colleagues ^[23] investigated 224 children under 5 years of age who presented to the emergency units at Gaafar Ibn Oaf Children's Hospital and Omdurman Children's Hospital and were assessed and managed for severe presentation of pneumonia, the participants were (52.7%) female, (41.0%) less than one year of age, (29.0%) had 13-18 months breastfeeding, (65%) have unemployed parents, 67.9% were using Gas for cooking and 67.4% having father as a source of exposure to tobacco smoke. No determination of any significant associations was described.

Another study ^[14] was determined to measure the proportion of pneumonia among 282 children attending Omdurman Paediatric Hospital to highlight the disease related factors, using questionnaire. Their results indicated that males *OR* (2.41), mother illiteracy *OR* (7.54), low income *OR* (1.55), urban residence *OR* (2.09) are socio-cultural risks that are significantly related to children pneumonia.

Moreover, the study of Mahgoub and colleagues ^[17] was aimed to determine the prevalence of pneumonia among under ten years children in Khartoum State as well as to investigate associated factors using questionnaires. 400 families were included in their study. Income *OR* (2.73), mother education less than university *OR* (1.4), home size (1-2 rooms) *OR* (2.36) were concluded as socio-cultural risks that are significantly related to children pneumonia.

Furthermore, the study of Salih and colleagues ^[25] was aimed to determine risk factors of death among 195 children aged 2-59 months hospitalized for severe pneumonia in Khartoum State, authors investigated the significant change of

outcome (recovery vs death) according to gender. Their result indicated that male are more likely to die *OR* (1.1).

3.6 Knowledge, practice and awareness assessment

Two research articles investigated Knowledge, practice or awareness related to pneumonia among Sudanese population.

A study ^[16] was aimed to assess nurses' knowledge and practice regarding nursing care of patients with ventilator associated pneumonia using interview questionnaire at Ahmed Gasim Hospital in Khartoum State. Sixty nurses were included, only (34.5%) of participants responded correctly regarding signs of ventilator-associated pneumonia and prevention methods of ventilator associated pneumonia, (85%) correctly practiced gastric reflux prevention, (55%) practiced equipment maintenance, (73.69%) practiced suctioning from the ETT/tracheotomy, (68.65%) practiced care of patient with ventilator associated pneumonia. Moreover, another study ^[18] aimed to assess mothers' knowledge and recognition of pneumonia among children less than 5 years of age in *bashir Banaga* village, Hassahisa locality, Gezira State as well as mothers' attitude toward seeking medical help if they had a child with symptoms of pneumonia. 250 mothers were interviewed using questionnaire. Participants were (41.8%) 31-35 years old, 73.2% were unemployed. weather change (17.6%) and cold temperatures (12.4%) were indicated as causes. Only 26 % of mothers reported that a virus or germ causes pneumonia. Moreover, only (23.6%) of mothers said they would recognize pneumonia if their child had rapid breathing or (34.0%) if the child had cough.

The study of Mohamoud ^[19] was aimed to investigate Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of 182 parents of children suffered from pneumonia less than five years of age in Daraga district, Wad Madani town, Gezira State using questionnaires.

81.4% of parents were 30-49 years of age, 70.3% were still married, 38.5% have secondary education as the high degree, and 44.0% were housewives. Moreover, (70.3%) of parents said Virus causes pneumonia in children, (23.1%) said bacteria, (2.2%) listed congenital, (2.7%) listed parasites, and (1.6%) said other. Furthermore, (31.3%) of the parents listed fever and cough as symptoms of pneumonia, (13.7%) listed chest pain, (3.3%) listed vomiting, (51.1%) listed fever cough and chest pain and (0.5%) listed other. As routes of transmission (28.0%) of the parents said coughing, while (31.9%) said sneezing, (1.6%) said handshake, and (37.4%) said all of them. As prevention strategy, (59.9%) of the parents listed vaccination, good health and complete cycle of breastfeeding to the child as best ways to prevent childhood pneumonia, Most of parents (73.6%) indicated that they had no animals' shelter in their houses.

3.7 Other outcomes

The study of Salah and colleagues ^[22] was aimed to determine the prevalence of hypoxemia among less than five years children with pneumonia with presented with an acute history of cough and rapid respiration or difficulty in breathing in Omdurman city, Khartoum State. Out of 150 children participated, (57.3%) were males, (32%) were 2 to \leq 12 months. 42.7% of patients had hypoxemia (with pulse oximeter oxygen saturation $<$ 90%), 36 (56.25%) were in the age group $<$ 2 months. Of the hypoxic patients, 30 (46.88%) have severe pneumonia and 7 (10.94) have very severe pneumonia. Moreover, Hypoxemia also increased in male sex but not of statistical significance ($P = 0.72$) and Hypoxemia significantly increased in patients diagnosed as very severe disease ($P < 0.001$).

The study of Salih and colleagues ^[24] was designed to investigate the adherence and response of the *WHO* guidelines for treatment of severe pneumonia in Khartoum State. 208 children aged 2 to 59 months with definite labeling diagnosis

of “severe pneumonia” were included. The mean (SD) of the age was reported as 28.12 (13.9) months and (52.4%) were females. Only 39 (18.8%) of these children received the prescription that was adherent to the *WHO* guidelines (penicillin) of severe pneumonia. The antimicrobial prescriptions, which were not in accordance with the *WHO* guidelines, were: amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid (46, 22.1%), ceftriaxone (42, 20.2%), cefuroxime (41, 19.7%), a combination of penicillin/gentamicin (29; 13.9%) and others 11 (11, 5.3%). None of the investigated factors (age, gender, symptoms and signs) were found as predictors for adherence of the *WHO* guidelines for treatment of severe pneumonia. There was no significant difference in the response between adherence and non-adherence prescriptions. Lastly, none of the investigated predictors was found to be associated with treatment outcome

4 Discussion

To our knowledge, this scoping review is the first attempt to find out the magnitude of information on pooled prevalence of pneumonia as well as its associated risk factors in Sudan. A widespread search from several published databases and stringent methodology to screen and include every potential study was approached in the present study.

Pooled prevalence of pneumonia among Sudanese children was around 30% considering the differences between fixed and random effect models, lower estimates have been reported in Uganda (25%), Kenya (21%). However, an almost similar result has been reported in Ethiopia (33%)^[66,67].

Several studies among included assessed socio-cultural risk factors related to acquisition of pneumonia; with male gender, illiteracy, low income and urban

residence as the main socio-cultural related factors. This is similar to what had been reported that gender distribution indicated that pneumonia was more prevalent in male than in female in previous several reports ^[68–70].

Moreover, a study conducted in Uganda ^[67] indicated that children of rural residence had 5.7 higher odd of having pneumonia compared to the urban residence. Rural residence reported in Uganda finding (OR=5.7, 95%CI=2.97-11.05, $p < 0.001$), is comparable with a study conducted in Ethiopia as well ^[71], which reported 4.5 higher odds of developing pneumonia among children of rural residence. Nevertheless, due to exposure to factors as air pollution and overcrowding in urban areas other studies indicated that the odds are higher in urban compared to rural. Further research is needed to investigate specific ecological risk factors to better study the urban/rural pneumonia infection patterns ^[72].

Furthermore, it is concluded that incidence of pneumonia is higher in people at the extremes of age as well as people living in socially deprived areas as indicated by several studies, this finding is in agreement with several research articles in literature ^[73,74].

Regarding socio-economic status and supporting findings from included studies; a study conducted by Park in 2007 reported that children from low socio-economic status tend to have more risk of respiratory infections and that children of mothers with a personal source of income are at a lower risk of developing pneumonia as indicated by a study conducted in Gambia by O’Dempsey and colleagues ^[5,75–77].

Furthermore, (43.5%) of nurses in the study of Idress ^[16] was correctly responded regarding signs of ventilator-associated pneumonia and prevention methods of ventilator associated pneumonia, this is similar to what had been reported in USA

by study of Mietto and colleagues in 2013 where average knowledge score regarding signs and symptoms of ventilator-associated pneumonia was (43.28)%. Moreover, poor knowledge had been reported as more than 65% in Sudan in a study by Elbokhary & Osama in 2015 ^[78,79].

This scoping review identified several key gaps within the existing body of literature. Studies concerning pneumonia in Sudan are generally scarce that Meta analysis cannot be synthesized. Moreover, no studies – to our knowledge were conducted to investigate specific ecological risk factors which may facilitate pneumonia rather than “rural residence”. Moreover, the risk of pneumonia is partially driven by host genetics. *CYP1A1* for example is a widely studied pulmonary *CYP* family gene primarily expressed in peripheral airway epithelium. No studies – to our knowledge were conducted to investigate related genetic variations of infected participants and its possible relations to pneumonia or its severity.

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this review are that we systematically identified and included related studies from 2010 to 2020, Moreover; we have conducted meta-analysis to derive pooled prevalence estimates of studies related. Furthermore, we carried out a quality assessment of the included studies based on criteria specifically developed to determine the quality of included studies.

Nevertheless, several limitations are to be considered when interpreting study results; grey literature evidence was not assessed. Moreover, African journals that are not indexed in the screened databases was not considered for inclusion as well, although all included studies are of good quality, several good studies might have

been missed. Lastly, the heterogeneity was high among the Meta analysis conducted.

Conclusion

The current study findings indicate that the pooled prevalence of pneumonia is around 30% for general population as well as children. Further research with larger sample sizes targeting prevalence and risk factors of pneumonia among Sudanese population is needed to be conducted.

Conflict of interests

Authors declare they have no competing interests; financial or others.

References

1. Jacquet NHA, RGA. The state of emergency care in the Republic of the Sudan. *African J Emerg Med*. 2014;4(2):55-60.
2. Federal Ministry of Health, Department of Health Information, Research & Evidence , Sudan Health Observatory. <http://www.sho.gov.sd/>. Accessed on 25th March, 2020.
3. World Health Organisation. Who world malaria report 2018. Geneva World Health Organisation; 2018.
4. S. B. Infectious diseases of Sudan and South Sudan. In: *Gideon Informatics*. ; 2017.
5. WHO, World Health Organization: Sudan statistics [Internet]. 2022. Available from: <http://www.who.int/countries/sdn/en/>.
6. Eastern Mediterranean Region: framework for health information systems and core indicators for monitoring health situation and health system performance 2018. Cairo: WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean; 2019. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
7. *Federal Ministry of Health, Department of Health Information, Research & Evidence , Sudan Health Observatory. Http://Www.Sho.Gov.Sd/*. Accessed on 25th March, 2020.
8. D M, A L, J T, DG A. The PRISMA Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7):e1000097.
9. Kevat PM, Morpeth M, Graham H, Gray AZ. A systematic review of the

- clinical features of pneumonia in children aged 5-9 years: Implications for guidelines and research. *J Glob Health* 2022;12:10002.
10. MM B, MS A, YY M. Systematic review and meta-analysis of HIV, HBV and HCV infection prevalence in Sudan. *Viol J.* 2018;15(148).
 11. Duval S, Wee die R. Trim and Fill: A Simple Funnel-Plot–Based Method of Testing and Adjusting for Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis. *Biometrics.* 2000;(56):455-463.
 12. Abd Elrhman EM, Ibrahim AH, Abdelhalim KA. FREQUENCY OF MORAXELLA CATARRHALIS FROM PATIENTS WITH LOWER RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTION IN KHARTOUM STATE, SUDAN. *World J Pharm Res.* 2015;4(5):2286-2293.
 13. Essa MEA, Ahmed AA, Osman SME. PREVALENCE OF PNEUMONIA IN SOUTH DARFUR STATE-SUDAN DATA COMPARED FROM 2009 THROUGH 2013. *Eur J Biomed Pharm Sci.* 2017;4(3):32-35.
 14. Gabbad AA, Alrahman GMA, Elawad MA. Childhood pneumonia at Omdurman paediatric hospital, Khartoum, Sudan. *Int J Multidiscip Curr Res.* 2014;2.
 15. Gritly SMO, Elamin MO, Rahimtullah H, et al. Risk factors of pneumonia among children under 5 years at a pediatric hospital in Sudan. *Int J Med Res Heal Sci.* 2018;7(4):60-68.
 16. Idress WMO. *Nurse’s Knowledge and Practice Regarding Nursing Care of Patients with Ventilator Associated Pneumonia at Ahmed Gasim Hospital, Khartoum State, Sudan (2017).* University of Gezira; 2017.

17. Mahgoub ATE, Elmedani KA, Elkhalifa MY. The influence of Mothers' Socioeconomic status on Pneumonia in Childhood (Case study in Khartoum State-Sudan). *Res J Humanit Soc Sci.* 2013;4(4):460-464.
18. Mohammed SMA. *Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Mothers of under Five Year-Old, to Words Children towards Pneumonia, Bashir Banaga Health Centre, Gezira State, Sudan (2013)*. University of Gezira; 2013.
19. Mohamoud AM. *Knowledge, Attitude and Practices (KAP) of Parents toward Pneumonia among Children under Five Years Old in Daraga District, Wad Madani, Sudan.(2013)*. University of Gezira; 2014.
20. Moser W, Fahal MAH, Abualas E, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Prevalence and Population-Based Death Rates, Greater Omdurman, Sudan. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2022;28(5):1026-1030. doi:10.3201/eid2805.211951
21. Omer MB, Omer AFA, Fadhil M Al. Isolation and Phenotyping of Streptococcus pneumonia associated with Pulmonary Tuberculosis in Patients attending Kassala Teaching Hospital, Sudan. *Afr J Med Sci.* 2016;1(8).
22. Salah ET, Algasim SH, Mhamoud AS, Husian NEOSA. Prevalence of hypoxemia in under-five children with pneumonia in an emergency pediatrics hospital in Sudan. *Indian J Crit Care Med Peer-reviewed, Off Publ Indian Soc Crit Care Med.* 2015;19(4):203.
23. Salih KEMA, Salih A, El Fatih ZE, Hussien KE, Ibrahim SA. Characteristics associated with severe pneumonia in under-five children admitted to emergency units of two teaching hospitals in Khartoum, Sudan. *Sudan J Paediatr.* 2011;11(2):25.

24. Salih KEM, Bilal JA, Alfadeel MA, et al. Poor adherence to the World Health Organization guidelines of treatment of severe pneumonia in children at Khartoum, Sudan. *BMC Res Notes*. 2014;7(1):1-4.
25. Salih KMA, AliBilal J, Karsani AH. Risk factors of mortality among children admitted with severe pneumonia at a reference hospital in Khartoum, Sudan. *Am J Med Med Sci*. 2015;5(3):130-134.
26. Salih KMA, El-Samani EF, Bilal JA, Eldouch W, Ibrahim SA. Clinical and laboratory potential predictors of blood culture positivity in under five children with clinically severe Pneumonia-Khartoum-Sudan. *J Clin Diagnostic Res JCDR*. 2015;9(8):SC04.
27. Abd El-Raheem GOH, Yousif MAA, Mohamed DSI, et al. Extent of COVID-19 Healthcare Services of Isolation Center of Private Hospital across Khartoum State, Sudan. *J Environ Public Health*. 2022;2022:6745813. doi:10.1155/2022/6745813
28. Abd El-Raheem GOH, Mohamed DSI, Yousif MAA, Elamin HES. Characteristics and severity of COVID-19 among Sudanese patients during the waves of the pandemic. *Sci African*. 2021;14:e01033. doi:10.1016/j.sciaf.2021.e01033
29. Abdelmagid N, Ahmed SAE, Nurelhuda N, et al. Acceptability and feasibility of strategies to shield the vulnerable during the COVID-19 outbreak: a qualitative study in six Sudanese communities. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):1153. doi:10.1186/s12889-021-11187-9
30. Abdou MS, Kheirallah KA, Aly MO, et al. The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination psychological antecedent assessment using the

- Arabic 5c validated tool: An online survey in 13 Arab countries. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(11):e0260321. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0260321
31. Abouzid M, El-Sherif DM, Elteuacy NK, et al. Influence of COVID-19 on lifestyle behaviors in the Middle East and North Africa Region: a survey of 5896 individuals. *J Transl Med*. 2021;19(1):129. doi:10.1186/s12967-021-02767-9
 32. Ahmed SAE, Changole J, Wangamati CK. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on intimate partner violence in Sudan, Malawi and Kenya. *Reprod Health*. 2021;18(1):222. doi:10.1186/s12978-021-01272-y
 33. Ahmed A, Mohamed NS, El-Sadig SM, et al. COVID-19 in Sudan. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2021;15(2):204-208. doi:10.3855/jidc.14520
 34. Abbasher Hussien Mohamed Ahmed K, Hasabo EA, Haroun MS, et al. Clinical characteristics, complications, and predictors of outcome of hospitalized adult Sudanese patients with COVID-19 and malaria coinfection in Sudan: A multicenter retrospective cross-sectional study. *J Med Virol*. 2022;94(8):3685-3697. doi:10.1002/jmv.27771
 35. Ahmed G, Mohamed Y, Adulhameed R, Ishag M, Elzubair A. Controlling the spread of COVID-19 in Sudan with limited resources: a unique community-engaged approach. *East Mediterr Heal J = La Rev sante la Mediterr Orient = al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit*. 2020;26(6):636-637. doi:10.26719/emhj.20.072
 36. Ali Y, Ahmed A, Siddig EE, Mohamed NS. The role of integrated programs in the prevention of COVID-19 in a humanitarian setting. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2022;116(3):193-196. doi:10.1093/trstmh/trab119

37. Aljak ER, Eldigail M, Mahmoud I, et al. The first laboratory-confirmed imported infections of SARS-CoV-2 in Sudan. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2021;115(1):103-109. doi:10.1093/trstmh/traa151
38. Attaallah A, Amir O, Elbalal M, Gibreel A. The Prognostic Value of Thrombocytopenia in COVID-19 Pneumonia, Gezira Isolation Centers, Sudan. Published online 2021.
39. Aung MN, Stein C, Chen WT, et al. Community responses to COVID-19 pandemic first wave containment measures: a multinational study. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2021;15(8):1107-1116. doi:10.3855/jidc.15254
40. Bara'a HMI, Nori MMM, Abdallah WS, Ali SM. Coronavirus 2019-like illness and public adherence to preventive measures, Sudan 2020. *J Prev Med Hyg.* 2021;62(2):E305-E310. doi:10.15167/2421-4248/jpmh2021.62.2.1988
41. Deek H, El Nayal M, Alabdulwahhab K, et al. A multi-centric study on validation of the Fear Scale for COVID-19 in five Arabic speaking countries. *Brain Behav.* 2021;11(11):e2375. doi:10.1002/brb3.2375
42. Elagib MFA, Baldo SMH, Tawfig A, Alqarni MA, Ghandour IA, Idris AM. Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding infection control measures among dental students during COVID-19 pandemic. *Arch Environ Occup Health.* 2022;77(6):455-467. doi:10.1080/19338244.2021.1931796
43. Elhadi YAM, Adebisi YA, Hassan KF, Mohammed SEE, Lin X, Lucero-Prisno Iii DE. The formidable task of fighting COVID-19 in Sudan. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2020;35(Suppl 2):137. doi:10.11604/pamj.suppl.2020.35.137.24984
44. El-Sadig SM, Mohamed NS, Ahmed ES, et al. Obstacles faced by healthcare

- providers during COVID-19 pandemic in Sudan. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2021;15(11):1615-1617. doi:10.3855/jidc.14726
45. El-Sadig SM, Fahal LA, Abdelrahim ZB, Ahmed ES, Mohamed NS, Siddig EE. Impact of COVID-19 on doctors and healthcare providers during the pandemic in Sudan. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2021;115(6):577-578. doi:10.1093/trstmh/trab016
46. Fahal LA, Ahmed ES, Bakhiet SM, Siddig EE, Fahal AH. The Mycetoma Research Centre experience during the COVID-19 pandemic: obstacles and beyond. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2022;116(1):1-3. doi:10.1093/trstmh/trab111
47. Gayawan E, Awe OO, Oseni BM, et al. The spatio-temporal epidemic dynamics of COVID-19 outbreak in Africa. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2020;148:e212. doi:10.1017/S0950268820001983
48. Gilbert M, Pullano G, Pinotti F, et al. Preparedness and vulnerability of African countries against importations of COVID-19: a modelling study. *Lancet (London, England).* 2020;395(10227):871-877. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30411-6
49. Gismalla MDA, Mohamed MS, Ibrahim OSO, Elhassan MMA, Mohamed MN. Medical students' perception towards E-learning during COVID 19 pandemic in a high burden developing country. *BMC Med Educ.* 2021;21(1):377. doi:10.1186/s12909-021-02811-8
50. Hassan MO, Osman AA, Elbasit HEA, et al. Convalescent plasma as a treatment modality for coronavirus disease 2019 in Sudan. *Transfus Apher Sci.* 2020;59(6):102918. doi:10.1016/j.transci.2020.102918

51. Hezima A, Aljafari A, Aljafari A, Mohammad A, Adel I. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of Sudanese residents towards COVID-19. *East Mediterr Heal J = La Rev sante la Mediterr Orient = al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit*. 2020;26(6):646-651.
doi:10.26719/emhj.20.076
52. Lami F, Elfadul M, Rashak H, et al. Risk Factors of COVID-19 Critical Outcomes in the Eastern Mediterranean Region: Multicountry Retrospective Study. *JMIR public Heal Surveill*. 2022;8(3):e32831. doi:10.2196/32831
53. Mahmoud MO, Ali MMEI, Khalifa AFM. Awareness and perception of COVID-19 among final-year dental students, Sudan. *J Fam Med Prim care*. 2021;10(10):3611-3616. doi:10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_36_21
54. Marwan M Badawi, Hazim I El-amin, Ammar N Mohammed, Elsiddig H Elfaki, Tagwa M Badawi MMB and AAO. Have We Passed the Peak? Prevalence and Clinical Presentations of Covid-19 among Sudanese Health Workers. *Am J Biomed Res*. 2020;8(3):59-62.
55. Mehanna A, Elhadi YAM, Lucero-Prisno Iii DE. Public willingness to adhere to COVID-19 precautionary measures in Sudan: an application of the Health Belief Model. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2021;39:135.
doi:10.11604/pamj.2021.39.135.29171
56. Mohamed AAO, Elhassan EAM, Mohamed AO, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice of the Sudanese people towards COVID-19: an online survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):274. doi:10.1186/s12889-021-10319-5
57. Mukhtar MM, Khogali M. The accelerating COVID-19 epidemic in Sudan. *Nat Immunol*. 2021;22(7):797-798. doi:10.1038/s41590-021-00950-0

58. Musa TH, El Bingawi HM, Musa IH, Mohammed LA, Arbab MA, Musa HH. COVID-19 in Sudan: Response towards control and prevention. *Med J Malaysia*. 2020;75(4):403-405.
59. Pigoga JL, Omer YO, Wallis LA. Derivation of a Contextually-Appropriate COVID-19 Mortality Scale for Low-Resource Settings. *Ann Glob Heal*. 2021;87(1):31. doi:10.5334/aogh.3278
60. Raja SM, Osman ME, Musa AO, Hussien AA, Yusuf K. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance, hesitancy, and associated factors among medical students in Sudan. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(4):e0266670. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0266670
61. Sayedahmed AMS, Abdalla AAA, Khalid MHM. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding COVID-19 among Sudanese population during the early days of the pandemic: Online cross-sectional survey. *Sci African*. 2020;10:e00652. doi:10.1016/j.sciaf.2020.e00652
62. Siddig A, Abbasher Hussien Mohamed Ahmed K, Abdelrahman A, et al. COVID-19 and cognitive impairment: A cross-sectional clinic-based study. *Brain Behav*. 2022;12(3):e2538. doi:10.1002/brb3.2538
63. Tahir MJ, Malik NI, Ullah I, et al. Internet addiction and sleep quality among medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic: A multinational cross-sectional survey. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(11):e0259594. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0259594
64. Yassin EOM, Faroug HAA, Ishaq ZBY, et al. COVID-19 Vaccination Acceptance among Healthcare Staff in Sudan, 2021. *J Immunol Res*. 2022;2022:3392667. doi:10.1155/2022/3392667
65. Yousif M, Abd El-Raheem G, Mohamed D. Use of Remdesivir in the

- treatment of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection among Sudanese patients: a case series. *F1000Research*. 2021;10:512.
doi:10.12688/f1000research.51375.2
66. T A. Prevalence of pneumonia and factors as-associated among children 2-59 months old in Wondo Genet district , Sidama zone , SNNPR , Ethiopia. *Curr Pediatr Res*. 2017;21(1):19-25.
 67. G K, Turyasiima M, Ndamira A, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of pneumonia among under-fives with acute respiratory symptoms: a cross sectional study at a Teaching Hospital in Bushenyi District, Western.
 68. Victora, Cesar G. et al. Risk factors for pneumonia among children in a Brazilian metropolitan area. *Pediatrics*. 1994;93(6):977-985.
 69. Mahalanabis, D. et al. Risk factors for pneumonia in infants and young children and the role of solid fuel for cooking: a case-control study. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2002;129(1):65-71.
 70. Almirall, J. et al. Risk factors for community-acquired pneumonia in adults: A population-based case–control study. *Eur Respir J*. 1999;13(2):349-355.
 71. G.A F, M.W, Terefe, G.A A. Prevalence of pneumonia among under-fives Children in Este Town and the Sorrounding RuralKebeles, Northwest Ethiopia; A Community Based Cross Sectional Study. *Sci Journal Public Heal*. 2014;3(2):150-155.
 72. Vojko B, Natalija P, Anja P, Matjaz H, Maja T BK. Sensitivity of chest ultrasound in the detection of pneumonia in children. *Eur Respir J*. 2015;46(OA492).

73. CLF W, I R, L L, et al. Global burden of childhood pneumonia and diarrhoea. *Lancet*. 2013;20(381):1405-1416.
74. T W, A T, D N. Clinical and economic burden of community-acquired pneumonia among adults in Europe. *Thorax*. 2012;67(1):71-79.
75. O'dempsey, T. J. D. et al. A study of risk factors for pneumococcal disease among children in a rural area of West Africa. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1996;25(4):885-893.
76. Park K. *Park's Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine.*; 2007.
77. A T, WE P, G V, F B. Risk factors for community-acquired pneumonia in adults in Europe: a literature review. *Thorax*. 2013;68(11):1057-1065.
78. MiettoA, JM G, Aznar E et al. Re-intubation increases the risk of nosocomial pneumonia in patients needing mechanical ventilation. *Am J RespirCrit Care Med*. Published online 2013.
79. R EB, Osama M. Critical care clinicians' knowledge of evidence based guidelines for preventing ventilator associated pneumonia. *Am J Crit Care*. 2015;19(3):267-272.

Appendices

Table (S1): Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	1
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	2
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	2
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	NA
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	3
Information sources*	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	3
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	4
Selection of sources of evidence†	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	4
Data charting process‡	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	4
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	4
Critical appraisal of	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical	4

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
individual sources of evidence§		appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	5
RESULTS			
Selection of sources of evidence	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	6
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	7
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	8
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	8
Synthesis of results	18	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	10
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	16
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	18
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	19
FUNDING			
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	NA

Table (S2): Quality assessment of included studies

Study ID	Is the study objective clearly defined	Is the study sample completely determined	Is the study population clearly defined and specified	Is the methodology rigorous	Is the data analysis rigorous	Final score
Abd Elrhman E.,2015	1	1	1	1	0	4
Essa M.,2017	1	1	1	1	0	4
Gabbad A.,2014	1	1	1	1	1	5
Gritly S.,2018	1	1	1	1	1	5
Idress W.,2017	1	1	1	1	1	5
Mahgoub A.,2013	1	1	1	1	1	5
Mohammed S.,2013	1	1	1	1	1	5
Mohamoud A.,2014	1	1	1	1	1	5
Moser W.,2022	1	1	1	1	1	5
Omar M.,2016	1	1	1	1	1	5
Salah E.,2015	1	1	1	1	1	5
Salih K.,2011	1	1	1	1	1	5
Salih K.,2014	1	1	1	1	1	5
Salih K.,2015	1	1	1	1	1	5
Salih K.,2015	1	1	1	1	1	5