# Development and validation of a methodology to

# 2 measure exhaled carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and control

# 3 indoor air renewal

- 4 Marta Baselga <sup>1</sup>, Juan J. Alba <sup>1,2</sup>, and Alberto J. Schuhmacher <sup>1,3,\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> Institute for Health Research Aragon (IIS Aragón), 50009 Zaragoza Spain; <sup>2</sup> Department of Mechanical En-
- 6 gineering, University of Zaragoza, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain; <sup>3</sup> Fundación Agencia Aragonesa para la Investi-
- 7 gación y el Desarrollo (ARAID), 50018 Zaragoza, Spain. \* Correspondence: ajimenez@iisaragon.es

#### **Abstract:**

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31 32

33

3435

3637

38

The measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> has positioned itself as a low-cost and straightforward technique to indirectly control indoor air quality, allowing the reduction of the concentration of potentially pathogen-loaded aerosols to which we are exposed. However, on numerous occasions, bad practice limits the technique for CO<sub>2</sub> level interpreting and does not apply methodologies that guarantee air renewal. This work proposes a new methodology for measuring and controlling CO<sub>2</sub> levels for indoor air in shared spaces. The proposed methodology is based on three stages: diagnosis, correction protocols, and monitoring/control/surveillance (MCS). The procedure is explained using a cultural center as an actual base case study. Additionally, the procedure was validated by implementing 40 voluntary commercial spaces in Zaragoza (Spain). Standardization of methods is suggested so that the measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> becomes an effective strategy to control the airborne transmission of pathogens and thus prevent future Covid-19 outbreaks and novel pandemics.

#### 22 Keywords

23 Carbon dioxide; CO<sub>2</sub>; infectious diseases; environmental engineering; covid-19; airborne

### 1. Introduction

Since the global acceptance of the transmission of COVID-19 by aerosols,<sup>1</sup> numerous strategies have been developed to prevent air quality degradation by different means. It is possible to differentiate between air renewal and air purification strategies. On the one hand, renewal techniques evaluate and optimize air renewal in closed spaces. On the other hand, purification methods are varied and struggle to eliminate potential airborne pathogens. Although both strategies share the common goal of reducing the airborne spreading of respiratory diseases, air purification is seriously handicapped because it usually requires higher economic investments (e.g., bipolar ionization systems, UV-C radiation devices), and its efficacy has been poorly tested against SARS-CoV-2. As an exception, high-efficiency portable air cleaners (e.g., HEPA filters) are presented as an affordable, high-performance method that can filter up to 99.9% of submicron particles and reduce SARS-CoV-2 aerial viral viral viral load up to 80%.<sup>2,3</sup> However, in actual operating conditions, it is necessary to consider the workflow of the equipment and its location to take advantage of its performance.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Ideally, measuring aerosols would allow knowing the quality of the air and estimating the risk of contagion. Nevertheless, the direct measurement of aerosols is highly complex and expensive since it requires specialized equipment. To overcome these hurdles, the carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) measurement has been suggested as an indirect indicator of the risk of respiratory infectious diseases transmission.<sup>4</sup> The measure of exhaled  $CO_2$  was proposed before the pandemic to control the airborne spread of diseases since it represents a greater probability of breathing air previously inhaled by other people.<sup>5</sup> Consequently, the indoor  $CO_2$  measurement is suggested as a reasonable ventilation proxy. It is possible to determine what percentage of the exhaled air by another individual (y) according to the expression  $y = C_e x + C_a (1 - x)$ . Where,  $C_e$  corresponds to the concentration of  $CO_2$  in exhaled air (estimated at 40,000 ppm),  $C_a$  to the ambient  $CO_2$  concentration and x to the fraction of exhaled air. For instance, assuming a basal value of 440 ppm (fresh air outdoors), which increases indoors up to 2,000 ppm, the approximate percentage of air that those individuals have already breathed will be 3.9 %.

- One of the significant limitations of CO<sub>2</sub> level measurement is that it cannot be directly related to the concentration of aerosols in the environment since the generation of bioaerosols depends on the type of respiratory activity.<sup>6</sup> For example, aerosols generated during sustained vocalization (e.g., singing) are not comparable to those generated during silent breathing.<sup>7–10</sup> Nevertheless, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration limits have been proposed to reduce COVID-19 transmission, usually between 700 and 1,000 ppm, regardless of the event.<sup>11,12</sup>
- SARS-CoV-2 is mainly spread over time and distance. An average of 3.1  $\pm$  2.9 copies/L of air viral load average is deduced from a total of 313 samples. 13-35 It has been established that close contact transmission is predominant, while fomites and aerosols explain special propagation events in punctual events, according to CDC guidelines.<sup>36</sup> The massive infections associated with superspreading represent a scenario of complex epidemiological management. To date, superspreading events have only been reported indoors.<sup>28,37-55</sup> Due to the difficulty of discriminating between superspreaders and non-spreaders, 28,56 it is imperative to improve air quality in public and shared spaces. By implementing the proper measures, it is possible to reduce cases of respiratory diseases in the community and prevent future pandemics with devastating effects.
  - Many countries have implemented CO<sub>2</sub> measurement as a standard in different spaces, such as shopping centers,<sup>57</sup> collective transport,<sup>58-61</sup> workspaces,<sup>62</sup> or university and school classrooms.<sup>62-67</sup> Beyond research projects, some governments have decided to bet on scientific criteria and invest resources to mitigate contagion among the population. For example, the U.K. government distributed more than 300,000 CO<sub>2</sub> meters in its schools to optimize air renewal during classes.<sup>68</sup> In Germany, a global approach was also carried out, investing more than €17M to guarantee the presence of meters in schools.<sup>69</sup> An investment of \$350 billion for state and local governments has recently been reported and \$122 billion for schools, which can support making ventilation and air filtration upgrades in the U.S. to reduce the respiratory pathogens spreading.<sup>70</sup>
- However, there are still no standardized methodologies for measuring and controlling CO<sub>2</sub>.

  "Aireamos" (in Spanish, it refers to "renewing air"),<sup>71,72</sup> as an international independent scientific working group, has been a pioneer in developing the bases for measurement,

82 making available to the population a set of valuable tools and resources to control the

83 renewal of indoor air. However, there is still no straightforward procedure for intervening

84 in public and shared spaces. Before the pandemic, CO<sub>2</sub> measurement was mainly explored

in school settings. Numerous investigations pointed to an improvement in the pupil's

86 concentration in well-ventilated classrooms.<sup>73–75</sup>

- 87 This article proposes a new methodology for effectively measuring and controlling CO<sub>2</sub> in
- 88 closed and shared spaces. The methodology is based on three stages: diagnosis, correction,
- 89 and controlled monitoring. The methodology allows us to interpret the air renewal patterns
- 90 and estimate if the renewal is appropriate to the activity and type of space. Additionally, this
- 91 method has been validated as a pilot project in a 3-floors building with multiple teaching
- 92 rooms and cultural activities and a subset of 40 local businesses in Zaragoza (Spain).

### 2. Materials and Methods

- 94 2.1. General description of the methodology
- 95 A methodology based on three standard stages with specific goals was developed. They
- 96 enumerate as follows:

85

93

97

98

99

100

101

102

103104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

- a) Diagnosis. It is intended to acquire detailed knowledge on how CO<sub>2</sub> concentration levels vary within the physical limits of the shared space and what is its time evolution as a function of specific activities carried out in the shared space. The initial diagnosis includes a description of the space indicating the measures of the shared space (e.g., size, volume, and architectural geometry), other CO<sub>2</sub> sources (e.g., gas stove), the presence and ubication of windows and doors, as well as the availability of Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems and hoods. During this process, it is necessary to identify the "person in charge" and perform minimal instruction about the project and concepts such as air renewal, ventilation, metabolic CO<sub>2</sub>, and how to measure it.
  - b) Correction. According to the acquired knowledge in the diagnosis stage, it is possible to proceed with the design of customized protocols and procedures to improve the air renovation that will imply variations in the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. The CO<sub>2</sub> levels will be monitored with a CO<sub>2</sub> meter to avoid trespassing a defined threshold considered as a low-risk level by the scientific community.
  - c) Monitoring, control, and surveillance (MCS): Continuous monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is performed to guarantee that the shared space is always kept at low-risk levels. In case of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration levels reach the maximum level, an alert is issued so that people in charge of the shared space activate the protocols and procedures defined in the previous stage to fix the situation.
- 117 For each stage, achieving the primary goals depends on specific characteristics of the
- shared space, such as main business or social activity, geometrical properties, number and
- location of windows and doors, or a maximum number of people sharing the room at the
- 120 same time. A case study and a practical application is presented in this article to explain this
- methodology.

#### 2.2. Measurement of metabolic CO<sub>2</sub>

Any time measuring metabolic CO<sub>2</sub> levels is required, Aranet CO<sub>2</sub> meters (Aranet Wireless Solutions España SL, Spain) and Signos CO<sub>2</sub> meters (Signos.io, Spain) will be used. The technical characteristics of these measuring devices are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Technical characteristics of Aranet and Signos SIO<sub>2</sub> CO<sub>2</sub> meters.

Characteristics	Aranet 4 Pro	Signos (SiO2)
CO <sub>2</sub> measurement	< 9,999 ppm	< 5,000 ppm
Temperature measurement	0 – 50 °C	-60 – 80 °C
Humidity measurement	0 - 85 %	0 - 95 %
Atmospheric pressure	0.3 – 1.1 atm	0.3 – 1.1 atm
Sensor	N-DIR	N-DIR
Sampling frequency	1 min	11 min
Transmission	Bluetooth (-12–4 dBm)	LoRa
Precision	± 50 ppm	± 50 ppm
Dimensions / weight	70x70x24 mm / 104 g	100x100x23 mm / 170 g

#### 2.3. The methodology explained in a case study

- As a case study, the methodology for improving air quality was applied in a cultural center.
- The building has 1,200 m<sup>2</sup> divided in three floors (Figure 1a). A 132 m<sup>2</sup> room located in the basement was selected (Classroom 1, see Figure 1b).
  - a) Diagnosis: The shared spaces were analyzed for four days (February 24<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup>, 2021) by placing 10 CO<sub>2</sub> meters (Aranet 4) in strategic locations, as shown in Figure 1a. A CO<sub>2</sub> measuring device was placed outdoors so that it was possible to calculate the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> ( $\Delta CO_2$ ) associated with human activity according to Equation 1; where (CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>ext</sub> corresponds to CO<sub>2</sub> outside and (CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>ind</sub> to CO<sub>2</sub> indoors.

$$\Delta CO_2 = (CO_2)_{ind} - (CO_2)_{out} \qquad (Equation 1)$$

In the first approach, the data showed frequent high  $CO_2$  concentration levels probably linked to specific activities. Subsequently, the shared spaces were carefully monitored for six more days (February 27<sup>th</sup> to March 5<sup>th</sup>, 2021) to confirm the hypotheses that could be made after the initial analysis. This process makes it possible to characterize the air renewal patterns in each space based on activity and capacity. At least one  $CO_2$  meter was placed every 20 m² at a minimum height of 1.5 m. Ideally, they will be placed near exhaust fans (to measure a significant air sample) and/or in locations where air turnover is poor (e.g. corners), and far from windows and doors.

b) Correction: Based on the obtained results, an attempt was made to reduce the level of metabolic CO<sub>2</sub> below 800 ppm. One of the classrooms in the basement of the building (Classroom 1) showed inefficient ventilation patterns. Dry ice was used to saturate the room with CO<sub>2</sub> and find effective strategies to improve air renewal. According to Figure 1b, 10 CO<sub>2</sub> meters (Aranet 4) were placed in the classroom to analyze the improvement of changes in the HVAC and natural ventilation (through doors and windows opening or closing).

c) MCS: This stage was performed until May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022 using 10 CO<sub>2</sub> meters (Signos). Whenever the cultural center exceeds the 800 ppm level for more than 30 minutes, it receives a telematic alert or phone call to ask the "person in charge" to correct the situation.




Figure 1. (a) General distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> meters in the education building and (b) classroom 1 detail.

#### 2.4. Broader validation in commercial spaces

Subsequently, the method was applied to 40 businesses / retail stores spaces located in Zaragoza's city center (Spain). These included: one optician, twelve clothing stores, two kids' clothing stores, five shoe stores, two jewelry stores, four food stores, two restaurants, five home stores, two consultancies, two dietary and health centers, and two florists. The selection of commercial spaces was made to cover different typologies. For example, customers spend long periods in restaurants, while their exposure to indoor air in flower retailers is minor. Two  $CO_2$  meters were placed in each establishment, except for a kid's clothing store, where only one was placed, and another children's clothing store, where three were placed.

Interventions in shared spaces were carried out following the three phases: diagnosis, correction, and MCS.

a) Diagnostic. Two CO<sub>2</sub> meters (Aranet 4) devices were placed at strategic points for 2 or 3 days in each business. These meters were chosen because they record the CO<sub>2</sub> level every minute. The CO<sub>2</sub> curves were analyzed during the business hours of each establishment. This phase allowed us to know if the sensors took representative measurements of the total space and have a global image of the air quality in the volume area. The diagnostic process might include the use of risk calculators or

- methods for simulating disease propagation via aerosols based on the standard Wells-Riley model for infectious respiratory disease transmission by airborne particles.<sup>71,72,76</sup>
- b) Correction. In spaces where it was necessary (typically presenting CO<sub>2</sub> levels >1,000 ppm for more than 30 minutes), a correction phase was carried out where preventive measures were implemented to improve indoor air renewal. In most cases, this phase was superimposed on monitoring to determine the advantage obtained at the time.
- c) MCS. The monitoring stage occurred during January and February 2022. For this stage, CO2 (Signos) meters were installed in each establishment. These CO₂ meters, include IoT technology, enabled remote access to streaming data.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. The diagnostic stage to allow detection of inefficient air renewals

The diagnosis must be performed on a long enough time interval to cover the specific and regular casuistry of the establishment. For example, in the base case study (cultural center), the classrooms usually are occupied for daily classes. Therefore, a selection of days where the classrooms are occupied is sufficient. In this case, four days were used for the preliminary analysis of the space. Assuming a proper maximum  $CO_2$  level of 800 ppm, a maximum increase of 400-450 ppm compared to fresh outdoor air was considered acceptable.




Figure 2. Increment of CO<sub>2</sub> values recorded in the diagnostic period.

As shown in Figure 2, there are peaks in the increment of  $CO_2$  associated with the activity of each room. Knowing the activity and the number of occupants is essential to interpret the  $CO_2$  measurements. Determining the ppm/person ratio can be helpful, as we proposed in previous work (see  $^{61}$ ). This value incorporates the occupancy variable and allows a more precise definition of the efficiency of air renewal, although it assumes homogeneity in exhalation between individuals. In addition, it is crucial to request the usual activity in the space. Those responsible must not consciously increase ventilation (e.g., opening windows) to avoid underestimating  $CO_2$  measurements. A typical table with essential information is shown in Table 2. The method to fill in the table must be explained in the training phase since it is of utmost importance to interpret the data properly later. In the base case, the room had no open windows, except for the Hall and the Art Classroom. In contrast, all doors were open during diagnostic measurements.

**Table 2.** Example of a data sheet for  $CO_2$  measurements interpretation.


Day	Duration	Activity	Occupation	Location	Mean ∆CO₂	Max ∆CO₂	Mean ppm/person	Max ppm/per- son
1 <sup>st</sup> day	1:30 h	English class	8 pax	Auditorium	106 ppm	196 ppm	13.3 ppm	24.5 ppm
2 <sup>nd</sup> day	2:00 h	History class	15 pax	Auditorium	164 ppm	234 ppm	11.0 ppm	15.6 ppm
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	2:00 h	English class	9 pax	Auditorium	116 ppm	170 ppm	12.9 ppm	18.9 ppm
1 <sup>st</sup> day	1:30 h	English class	10 pax	Classroom 1	89 ppm	153 ppm	8.9 ppm	15.3 ppm
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	2:00 h	English class	8 pax	Classroom 1	76 ppm	140 ppm	9.5 ppm	17.5 ppm
4 <sup>th</sup> day	2:30 h	English exam	18 pax	Classroom 1	219 ppm	312 ppm	12.2 ppm	17.3 ppm
4 <sup>th</sup> day	4:00 h	English exam	7 pax	Informatics	328 ppm	751 ppm	46.9 ppm	107.3 ppm
2 <sup>nd</sup> day	3:00 h	Art class	6 pax	Art classroom	68 ppm	121 ppm	11.3 ppm	20.2 ppm
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	1:30 h	Art class	6 pax	Art classroom	34 ppm	125 ppm	5.8 ppm	20.8 ppm
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	3:00 h	Art class	6 pax	Art classroom	79 ppm	243 ppm	13.2 ppm	40.5 ppm
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	2:30 h	Art class	4 pax	Art classroom	58 ppm	118 ppm	9.7 ppm	19.7 ppm
1 <sup>st</sup> day	2:30 h	English class	7 pax	Multi. hall	163 ppm	263 ppm	23.3 ppm	37.6 ppm
2 <sup>nd</sup> day	1:00 h	English class	10 pax	Multi. hall	181 ppm	213 ppm	18.1 ppm	21.3 ppm
3 <sup>rd</sup> day	2:30 h	English class	8 pax	Multi. hall	177 ppm	279 ppm	22.2 ppm	34.9 ppm

Comparing the ppm/person indicator in similar activities in different spaces makes it possible to determine the relative efficiency of air renewal in each space. For instance, in English classes (not oral),  $9.2 \pm 16.4$ ,  $13.1 \pm 21.7$ , and  $18.1 \pm 21.3$  ppm/person were achieved in Classroom 1, the Auditorium, and the Multipurpose Room, respectively. It indicates that air renewal is similar in all rooms, although slightly improved in Classroom 1. On the contrary, disparate ratios were obtained in the Cambridge exams in Classroom 1 and the Informatics Classroom. While in Classroom 1, the ratio was  $12.2 \pm 17.3$  ppm/person, in the Informatics Classroom, a  $46.9 \pm 107.3$  ppm/person was found. Again, this indicates more efficient air renewal inside the basement room.

Some gaps may go unnoticed during the diagnostic phase. Due to the atmospheric conditions or the activity during the diagnosis, the  $CO_2$  levels may be decreased. Although we recommend analyzing 3-4 critical days, subsequent days (e.g., 5-6 days) should be closely monitored to ensure representative results. For example, in the base case, on the monitoring days after diagnosis, higher  $CO_2$  concentrations were recorded in Classroom 1 than in other areas, even in similar conditions of capacity and activity.

- 227 3.2. Interpretation of CO<sub>2</sub> measurements, methods to correct gaps, and 228 monitoring
- After detecting spaces that can be improved, it is necessary to implement efficient corrective measures that guarantee adequate air renewal. First, it is crucial to interpret the measurements and begin to rule out possibilities. In the case of Classroom 1, the following hypotheses were prepared:
  - Hypothesis 1. The activities studied in the initial diagnosis were not representative, and the high activity in the classroom, so more CO<sub>2</sub> is exhaled. Then, the following 12 English classes in Classroom 1, comprising the months of April to June, were analyzed. In the studied activities, no significant increase in CO<sub>2</sub> was observed,

except for the classes held in June, where an increase of 522 ppm was reached. Figure 3 shows the evolution of average  $CO_2$  from April to June, showing an increase in concentration in the latter month. However,  $CO_2$  exhalation in the records studied is similar, so this hypothesis is ruled out.



**Figure 3.** Evolution of average  $\Delta CO_2$  in English classes from April to June.

- Hypothesis 2. The weather conditions of the initial diagnosis were favorable for air renewal ratios. The weather influence on the ventilation ratios was evaluated by analyzing the incidences (values above 800 ppm for more than 30 minutes) recorded from April to July (regardless of the activity carried out). Of the 13 incidences found, 46 % (6) came from July, while 31 % (4) came from June, and the remaining 23% (3) from May. The climatic variation has affected the ventilation performance of the space. Working on days with less favorable weather will be necessary to test the corrective measures.
- Testing corrective measures. An experiment where the space was saturated with CO<sub>2</sub> using dry ice was conducted, stabilized as a function of the amount evaporated. Two scenarios were evaluated with an activated HVAC system: with and without opening doors. Figure 4 shows the results obtained. With doors closed, it takes ~1,600 ppm ~37 minutes to reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration to ~800 ppm, at 22 ppm/minute. While, with doors open, the reduction from ~1,600 ppm to ~800 ppm occurs in ~17 minutes, at 47 ppm/minute. The opening of doors with the HVAC system active supposes a remarkable improvement of the ventilation in this classroom.

In addition, this method helps calculate actual air changes per hour (ACH) in space. The HVAC flow in Classroom 1 is 750 m³/s. For a volume of 363 m³ corresponding to the space, a theoretical ACH of 2.06 (door closed) is estimated. An ACH of 4.42 (with opened doors) and 2.03 (closed doors) has been determined by dry ice tests. It suggests that the tests have been carried out correctly and that the opening of the doors represents a considerable improvement in air renewal compared to the closed space.

Sometimes, the subsequent monitoring phase can be used to assess the benefit of the corrective actions imposed. In the base case, opening doors in Classroom 1 was enough to achieve adequate air renewal, even in the summer months. Ideally, during monitoring, it would be necessary to implement a system that alerts those

responsible for the space if the established CO<sub>2</sub> limits are exceeded. This strategy allows for preventing possible incidents and correcting CO<sub>2</sub> levels exceeded in streaming, thus, guaranteeing adequate air quality.




Figure 4. Results of the experiment with dry ice in Classroom 1, with and without open doors.

#### 3.3. Applying and validating the method in local businesses

To perform a broader application of the methodology to diagnose, correct, and monitor  $CO_2$  we performed a study in 40 retail stores. To this end we modified the phases to meet the pre-established requirements, and the absolute  $CO_2$  concentration was measured (instead of the outdoor-indoor  $CO_2$  increase). In addition, the ppm/person indicator could not be used since the businesses could not provide a continuous record of the occupancy. Initially, a preliminary characterization (diagnosis) of the participant businesses was conducted. 23 businesses with  $CO_2$  values above the maximum recommended (800 ppm)


were identified. Eight of them did not require specific follow-up interventions as they were minimal and discrete increases. The other 15 participants were subjected to an exhaustive evaluation that served to design and implement a series of prevention measures that had

to be implemented to improve air recirculation in the commercial spaces.

The implementation of corrective measures was modified from the original approach. Instead of *in-situ* experimenting, it was decided to assess the improvement of the proposed measures throughout the MCS stages. Thus, we evaluate the air renewal patterns from week to week. In our case, it was enough to agree on the terms with each person in charge through fortnight reports and phone calls. The presented strategy allowed us to reduce the costs of the project and to be able to evaluate several stores at the same time.

In the period studied (January-February 2022), the average  $CO_2$  of all businesses was 547  $\pm$  87 ppm in the first fortnight of January, 559  $\pm$  86 ppm in the second fortnight of January, 531  $\pm$  82 ppm in the first fortnight of February, and 529  $\pm$  89 in the second half of January (Figure 5a). Substantial differences were observed according to the type of store, as shown in Figure 5b. Specifically, the highest  $CO_2$  peaks were observed in Kids' clothing stores (691  $\pm$  209 ppm with maximums of 2,297 ppm). It may be associated with a limited opening of doors or windows to maintain the thermal comfort of the children. In contrast, florists reported the

lowest  $CO_2$  levels (mean of 466  $\pm$  47 ppm with a maximum of 874 ppm), possibly due to customers' reduced time during service.



**Figure 5.** (a) Mean of  $CO_2$  value (inbox) of all businesses based on the fortnight and (b) mean of  $CO_2$  and maximum measurement depending on the type of business where the mean value (blue) and the maximum values (pink label) are shown.

A total of 15 businesses were selected for exhaustive monitoring. Among them, only five agreed to implement the corrective measures. The following sections describe the evolution of 3 establishments under the three typical scenarios:


- 1. Retail stores with good starting air quality. Initially, 17 shops showed a renewal of the air appropriate to the architecture of the space and the type of activity carried out. Three cases are shown below as an example.
  - *Example 1)* In a shoe store, the limit of 800 ppm was not exceeded during the recorded period (Figure 6a). Specifically, it obtained an average  $CO_2$  value of 441  $\pm$  42 ppm, reaching a maximum value of 776 ppm.
  - *Example 2*) A food store was kept below 800 ppm (Figure 6b). Despite the large influx of customers, the levels maintained an average of 454  $\pm$  39 ppm, reaching a maximum value of 782 ppm
  - *Example 3)* In one of the clothing stores, the maximum established by the scientific community of 800 ppm was not exceeded (Figure 6c). On average, it reached a value of  $469 \pm 33$  ppm, reaching a maximum value of 783 ppm.
- 2. Businesses with improvable air quality that did not implement corrective actions. Of the 23 stores that showed levels above 800 ppm during the first week, only 15 of them did so continuously and with values above 900 ppm. The remaining eight stores were recommended to maximize the frequency of opening doors and windows. This section shows the evolution of CO₂ in a business that refused to establish the proposed corrective measures.
  - Example 1) On average, a clothing store reached a value of 709  $\pm$  295 ppm, reaching a maximum of 2956 ppm (Figure 6d).
  - *Example 2)* A restaurant establishment reached an average value of  $589 \pm 139$  ppm, reaching a maximum value of 1976 ppm (Figure 6e).
  - Example 3) The mean of  $CO_2$  in a children's clothing store was 762  $\pm$  229 ppm, reaching a maximum of 2297 ppm (Figure 6f).

3. Spaces with improvable air quality that implemented corrective actions. After implementing the prevention measures (especially the reinforcement of natural ventilation), the reduction of CO₂ in the establishments was substantial. Three cases are described below. The values of the first weeks concerning the final weeks are comparable, given that similar trends and averages are observed in the previous section.

Example 1) In a clothing shop, the mean of  $CO_2$  was reduced from 605  $\pm$  78 ppm (maximum of 1100 ppm) to 529  $\pm$  78 ppm (745 ppm) after trying different measures. The increase in the frequency of door opening (Figure 6g) was more effective in the fourth fortnight. In this period, no values over 800 ppm were registered.

*Example 2)*  $CO_2$  levels on average were reduced from 536  $\pm$  89 ppm (maximum of 1001 ppm) to 485  $\pm$  51 ppm (714 ppm) from the third fortnight in a food store, as shown in Figure 6h.

*Example 3)* In a shoe store, the mean of  $CO_2$  was reduced from 528  $\pm$  67 ppm (maximum of 946 ppm) to 473  $\pm$  45 ppm (764 ppm). Although, at one point, 800 ppm was exceeded (Figure 6i).



**Figure 6.** Evolution of  $CO_2$  levels in the monitoring period (January-February 2022) in (a-c) businesses with good starting air quality, (d-f) businesses with improvable air quality that did not implement corrective measures, and (g-i) businesses with improvable air quality that implemented corrective actions. The pink dots indicate the  $CO_2$  curves of stores with poor air renewal, while the blue dots represent  $CO_2$  curves of establishments with good air renewal.

## 4. Conclusions

This work presents and discusses a new methodology for measuring  $CO_2$  in shared spaces based on diagnosis, correction, and MCS (monitoring-control and surveillance phases). This methodology was validated in a subset of 40 local businesses in Zaragoza (Spain). The COVID-19 pandemic has pointed to the need to rethink the epidemiological control techniques. In particular, the increasing knowledge on airborne transmission of diseases makes it imperative to implement new public health strategies that guarantee that air quality follows specific standards. If the incorporation of these strategies proves effective, it will be possible to prevent numerous respiratory affections and potential new pandemics.

Briefly, the methodology can be sequenced in three stages:

- 1. Diagnosing. After training the responsible person, the diagnostic phase was carried out. A first assessment of the shared space is made by placing  $CO_2$  meters for a time interval long enough to address the maximum possible casuistry. Usually, 3 or 4 business days is enough. Ideally, placing more than 1  $CO_2$  meter will let us know if the measurement represents the space. Additionally, it will bring preliminary -but no definitive- information about how the air renewal occurs in the shared space. After an in-depth analysis of the evolution of  $CO_2$  levels in the diagnosis phase, it is necessary to follow up in subsequent days (typically 6 or 7 days) to ensure that the diagnosis is representative of the space.
- 2. Correcting. Poor air renewal must be identified once an exhaustive analysis of the space has been carried out. Based on current scientific knowledge, a criterion of not exceeding 800 ppm for more than 20-30 minutes may be valuable. After detecting these incidences, it is necessary to look for the cause of the problem. It is helpful to raise possible hypotheses and try to rule them out to propose efficient corrective measures. Ideally, these proposed measures can be validated by conducting experiments (e.g., saturating with CO<sub>2</sub> and analyzing the advantage of each one) or carrying out a detailed follow-up in the monitoring phase. Note that this phase can be performed linearly (e.g., after diagnosis), or it can also be implemented during monitoring if necessary.
- 3. MCS. A monitoring and follow-up phase is crucial to warn of any incident where the CO<sub>2</sub> limit is exceeded. It is the only strategy that guarantees that good indoor air quality. It is possible that, in spaces where natural ventilation influences the space air renewal, the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration fluctuates depending on the weather. In these cases, it may be necessary to re-implement the correction phase to maintain an adequate air renewal. Ideally, an alert system can be valuable for the monitored center, allowing it to prevent the accumulation of CO<sub>2</sub> or modulate the capacity and, ultimately, correct the situation.

The results obtained from the validation in 40 retail stores in the center of Zaragoza (Spain) suggest that it is possible to implement measures that favor the renewal of shared air quickly. Opening doors and windows is one of the most straightforward and immediate methods applied in almost any situation. We have shown that the methodology is practical and can reduce the risk of transmission of respiratory diseases by aerosols by increasing air renovation. We hope this work contributes to laying the methodological foundations for  $CO_2$  measurement to become a valuable, standardized, and guaranteed tool.

### **Acknowledgments**

405

413

- 406 We would like to express our gratitude to "Fundación Ibercaja" and "Federación de
- 407 Empresarios de Comercio y Servicios de Zaragoza (ECOS Zaragoza)" for supporting this
- research and their invaluable collaboration. We thank Signos.io for help and support with
- 409 the CO<sub>2</sub> meters. We also would like to thank "Ayuntamiento de Zaragoza" and "Instituto de
- 410 Investigación Sanitaria Aragón" for the received support.

#### 411 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### References

- 414 1. Lewis BD. Why the WHO took two years to say COVID is airborne. *Nature*. 2022;604:26–31.
- 2. Rodríguez M, Palop ML, Seseña S, Rodríguez A. Are the Portable Air Cleaners (PAC) really effective to terminate airborne SARS-CoV-2? *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;785:147300. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147300.
- 418 3. Liu DT, Philips KM, Speth MM, Besser G, Mueller CA, Sedaghat AR. Portable HEPA Purifiers to Eliminate Airborne SARS-CoV-2: A Systematic Review. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg (United States)*. 420 2021:1–8.
- 421 4. Peng Z, Jimenez JL. Exhaled CO2as a COVID-19 infection risk proxy for different indoor environments and activities. *Environ Sci Technol Lett.* 2021;8:392–397.
- 423 5. Rudnick S, Milton D. Risk of indoor airborne infection transmission estimated from carbon dioxide concentration. *Indoor Air*. 2003;13:237–45.
- 425 6. Kappelt N, Russell HS, Kwiatkowski S, Afshari A, Johnson MS. Correlation of respiratory aerosols and metabolic carbon dioxide. *Sustain*. 2021;13:1–11.
- 5. Shao S, Zhou D, He R, et al. Risk assessment of airborne transmission of COVID-19 by asymptomatic individuals under different practical settings. *J Aerosol Sci.* 2021;151:105661. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2020.105661.
- 430 8. Fabian P, Brain J, Houseman E, Gern J, Milton D. Origin of exhaled breath particles from healthy and human rhinovirus-infected subjects. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv*. 2011;24:137–47.
- 432 9. Wang CC, Prather KA, Sznitman J, et al. Airborne transmission of respiratory viruses. *Science (80- ).* 2021;373:eabd9149.
- 434 10. Johnson GR, Morawska L, Ristovski ZD, et al. Modality of human expired aerosol size distributions. *J Aerosol Sci.* 2011;42:839–851.
- 436 11. Ingenieros Industriales de Aragón y la Rioja C, Ayuntamiento de Zaragoza A. *Guía de Referencia*437 *Covid.*; 2021. Available on: http://www.zaragoza.es/contenidos/coronavirus/guia-referencia438 covid.pdf (accessed on June 11th 2022).
- 439 12. Cheng SY, Wang CJ, Shen ACT, Chang SC. How to Safely Reopen Colleges and Universities During COVID-19: Experiences From Taiwan. *Ann Intern Med.* 2020;173:638–641.
- 441 13. Liu Y, Ning Z, Chen Y, et al. Aerodynamic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in two Wuhan hospitals. *Nature*. 442 2020;582:557–560.
- 443 14. Stern RA, Koutrakis P, Martins MAG, et al. Characterization of hospital airborne SARS-CoV-2. *Respir Res.* 2021;22:1–8. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-021-01637-8.
- 445 15. Department of Infectious Disease, Imperial College London, London, UK W 1PG. EVALUATION OF 446 SARS-C o V-2 AIR CONTAMINATION IN HOSPITALS WITH CORIOLIS  $\mu$  AIR SAMPLER / CONTEXT / 447 PROTOCOL / RESULTS / CUSTOMER / CONCLUSION. 2020. Available on: https://www.bertininstruments.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/CORM-026-039-B-SARS-CoV-2-in-hospitals-1.pdf
- 449 (accessed on June 11th 2022)
- 450 16. Mallach G, Kasloff SB, Kovesi T, et al. Aerosol SARS-CoV-2 in hospitals and long-term care homes

- 451 during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:1–17. 2021. 452 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0258151.
- Winslow RL, Zhou J, Windle E.F., et al. SARS-CoV-2 environmental contamination from hospitalised COVID-19 patients receiving aerosol generating procedures. *Thorax*. 2021:thoraxjnl-2021-218035.
- 455 18. Kenarkoohi A, Noorimotlagh Z, Falahi S, Amarloei A, Abbas S. Hospital indoor air quality monitoring for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) virus. *Sci Total Envionment*. 2020;748:141324.
- 457 19. Ma J, Qi X, Chen H, et al. Exhaled breath is a significant source of SARS-CoV-2 emission. *medRxiv*. 458 2020: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.31.20115154.
- 459 20. Styczynski A, Hemlock C, Hoque KI, et al. Ventilation and detection of airborne SARS-CoV-2: 460 elucidating high-risk spaces in naturally ventilated healthcare settings. *medRxiv*. 461 2021:2021.06.30.21258984. 2021.
- 462 https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.06.30.21258984v1.
- 463 21. Moore G, Rickard H, Stevenson D, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 within the healthcare environment: a multi-centre study conducted during the first wave of the COVID-19 outbreak in England. *J Hosp Infect*. 2021;108:189–196. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.024.
- Dumont-Leblond N, Veillette M, Mubareka S, et al. Low incidence of airborne SARS-CoV-2 in acute care hospital rooms with optimized ventilation. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2020;9:2597–2605.
- 468 23. Song ZG, Chen YM, Wu F, et al. Identifying the Risk of SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Environmental Monitoring in Airborne Infectious Isolation Rooms (AIIRs). *Virol Sin.* 2020;35:785–792. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12250-020-00301-7.
- 471 24. Nissen K, Krambrich J, Akaberi D, et al. Long-distance airborne dispersal of SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 wards. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10:1–9. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76442-2.
- Ding Z, Qian H, Xu B, et al. Toilets dominate environmental detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in a hospital. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;753:141710. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141710.
- 476 26. Moreno T, Pintó RM, Bosch A, et al. Tracing surface and airborne SARS-CoV-2 RNA inside public buses and subway trains. *Environ Int*. 2021;147:106326.
- 478 27. Barbieri P, Zupin L, Licen S, et al. Molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2 from indoor air samples in environmental monitoring needs adequate temporal coverage and infectivity assessment. *Environ* 480 *Res.* 2021;198:111200.
- 481 28. Baselga M, Güemes A, Alba JJ, Schuhmacher AJ. SARS-CoV-2 Droplet and Airborne Transmission Heterogeneity. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11:2607.
- 483 29. Lednicky J, Shankar S, Elbadry M, et al. Collection of SARS-CoV-2 Virus from the Air of a Clinic Within a University Student Health Care Center and Analyses of the Viral Genomic Sequence. *Aerosol Air Qual Res.* 2020;20:1167–71.
- 486 30. Chia PY, Coleman KK, Tan YK, et al. Detection of air and surface contamination by SARS-CoV-2 in hospital rooms of infected patients. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11:2800.
- 488 31. Chen GM, J.I. J.J., Jiang S, et al. Detecting Environmental Contamination of Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in Isolation Wards and Fever Clinics\*. *Biomed Environ Sci.* 490 2020;33:943–947.
- 491 32. Santarpia JL, Rivera DN, Herrera VL, et al. Aerosol and surface contamination of SARS-CoV-2 observed in quarantine and isolation care. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10:1–8. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69286-3.
- 494 33. Zhou AJ, Otter JA, Price J.R., et al. Investigating SARS-CoV-2 surface and air contamination in an acute healthcare 2 setting during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in London. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021:73:7:e1870-e1877.
- 497 34. Lei H, Ye F, Liu X, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Environmental Contamination Associated with Persistently 498 Infected COVID-19 Patients. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2020. 14:6:688-699.
- 499 35. Gomes P, Gonçalves J, Isabel A, et al. Evidence of Air and Surface Contamination with SARS-CoV-2 in a Major Hospital in Portugal. 2022.
- 501 36. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention C. Scientific Brief: SARS-CoV-2 Transmission. Available

502	on: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/more/scientific-brief-	sars-cov-2.html	e-
503	hriefs%2Fscientific-hrief-sars-cov-2 html (accessed June 11 2022)		

- 504 37. Shim E, Tariq A, Choi W, Lee Y, Chowell G. Transmission potential and severity of COVID-19 in South Korea. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2020;93:339–344. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.031.
- 506 38. Park SY, Kim YM, Yi S, et al. Coronavirus disease outbreak in call center, South Korea. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2020;26:1666–1670.
- 508 39. Fontanet A, Tondeur L, Madec Y, et al. Cluster of COVID-19 in Northern France: A Retrospective Closed Cohort Study. *SSRN Electron J.* 2020:1–22.
- 510 40. MOH. News Highlights. Available on: 511 http://web.archive.org/web/20200420074230/https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-512 highlights/details/16-more-cases-discharged-35-new-cases-of-covid-19-infection-confirmed
- 514 41. Cai J, Sun W, Huang J, Gamber M, Wu J, He G. Indirect Virus Transmission in Cluster of COVID-19 Cases, Wenzhou, China, 2020. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2020;26:1343–1345.

(accessed June 11th 2022).

513

- 516 42. Chu J, Yang N, Wei Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of 54 medical staff with COVID-19: A retrospective study in a single center in Wuhan, China. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92:807–813.
- 518 43. Sim W. Japan identifies 15 clusters as Covid-19 cases. *The Straits Times*. Available on: 519 http://web.archive.org/web/20200420073241/https://www.straitstimes.com/asia/east-asia/japan-identifies-15-clusters-as-covid-19-cases-mount (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 44. Aljazeera. After one infected 16 at Berlin nightclub, coronavirus fears grow. Available on: http://web.archive.org/web/20200420071353/https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/03/infected-16-berlin-nightclub-coronavirus-fears-grow-200310132859234.html (accessed on June 11th 2022).
- Marcelo P, O'brien M. Cluster of coronavirus cases tied to U.S. Biotech Meeting. Available on: http://web.archive.org/web/20200401222019/https://time.com/5801554/coronavirus-cluster-biotech-biogen-boston-cambridge/ (accessed on June 11th 2022).
- 527 46. Orban A. 26 passengers tested positive for Covid-19 on an Emirates flight to Hong Kong. *Aviation 24.*528 Available on: https://www.aviation24.be/airlines/emirates-airline/26-passengers-tested-positive529 on-an-emirates-flight-to-hong-kong/ (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 530 47. Shen Y, Li C, Dong H, et al. Community Outbreak Investigation of SARS-CoV-2 Transmission among Bus Riders in Eastern China. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;180:1665–1671.
- 532 Zauzmer I. 'Take it very seriously': Pastor at Arkansas church where 34 people came down with 48. Available 533 coronavirus sends The Washinaton Post. а warning. on. 534 http://web.archive.org/web/20200420073657/https://www.washingtonpost. 535 (accessed
- 535 com/religion/2020/03/24/pastor-arkansas-church-coronavirus-warning-greers-ferry/ (accessed June 11th 2022)
- 537 49. McKie R. Did singing together spread coronavirus to four choirs? *The Guardian*. Available on: 538 https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/may/17/did-singing-together-spread-coronavirus-to-four-choirs (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 540 50. Dashboard of the COVID-19 Virus Outbreak in Singapore. Available on: http://web.archive.org/web/20200420073524/https://www.againstcovid19.com/singapore?start=2 1-01-2020&end=20-04-2020 (accessed June 11th 2022)
- 543 51. Adam DC, Wu P, Wong JY, et al. Clustering and superspreading potential of SARS-CoV-2 infections in Hong Kong. *Nat Med.* 2020;26:1714–1719. 2020. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1092-0.
- 545 52. D.W. Coronavirus: German slaughterhouse outbreak crosses. Available on: 546 https://www.dw.com/en/coronavirus-german-slaughterhouse-outbreak-crosses-1000/a-53883372 (accessed on June 11th 2022).
- 548 53. Cannon A. Spike in COVID-19 cases in Iowa packing plants a big part of 389 new cases, state's largest single-day increase. *Des Moines Register*. Available on: 550 https://eu.desmoinesregister.com/story/news/2020/04/19/coronavirus-iowa-largest-single-day-increase-iowa-covid-19-cases-tied-meatpacking-plants/5162127002/ (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 552 54. Adebayo B. A Worker Infected 533 Others With Coronavirus at a Factory in Ghana, President Says.

- Available on: https://edition.cnn.com/2020/05/11/africa/ghana-factory-coronavirus-infection-intl/index.html (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 555 55. Halliday J. Over 450 Covid-19 cases reported at food factories in England and Wales. *The Guardian*.
  556 Available on: https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2020/jun/25/over-450-covid-19-cases557 reported-at-food-factories-in-england-and-wales (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 558 56. Sneppen K, Taylor RJ, Simonsen L. Impact of superspreaders on dissemination and mitigation of COVID-19. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.17.20104745.
- 560 57. Ryukyu A, Haebaru H, Ono K. Providing Visualized Information on "Safety and Security" Installation of CO 2 Concentration Monitors for Customers at Aeon Stores. 2021. Available online: https://www.aeondelight.co.jp/english/news/20210901\_installation-of-co2-concentration-monitors-for-customers-at-aeon-stores.pdf (accessed on 27 May 2022).
- 564 58. Querol X, Alastuey A, Moreno N, et al. How can ventilation be improved on public transportation buses? Insights from CO2 measurements. *Environ Res.* 2022;205:112451.
- 566 59. Woodward H, Fan S, Bhagat RK, et al. Air flow experiments on a train carriage—Towards understanding the risk of airborne transmission. *Atmosphere (Basel)*. 2021;12:1267.
- 568 60. Salthammer T, Fauck C, Omelan A, Wientzek S, Uhde E. Time and spatially resolved tracking of the air quality in local public transport. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12:1–9. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-07290-5.
- 571 61. Baselga M, Alba JJ, Schuhmacher AJ. The Control of Metabolic CO2 in Public Transport as a Strategy to Reduce the Transmission of Respiratory Infectious Diseases. 2022:1–20.
- 573 62. Bazant MZ, Kodio O, Cohen AE, Khan K, Gu Z, Bush JWM. Monitoring carbon dioxide to quantify the risk of indoor airborne transmission of COVID-19. *Flow*. 2021;1:1–18.
- 575 63. Chillon SA, Millan M, Aramendia I, Fernandez-Gamiz U, Zulueta E, Mendaza-Sagastizabal X. Natural ventilation characterization in a classroom under different scenarios. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18.
- 578 64. Zhang D, Ding E, Bluyssen PM. Guidance to assess ventilation performance of a classroom based on CO2 monitoring. *Indoor Built Environ*. 2022;31:4:1107-1126.
- 580 65. McNeill VF, Corsi R, Huffman JA, et al. Room-level ventilation in schools and universities. *Atmos Environ X*. 2022;13.
- 582 66. Avella F, Gupta A, Peretti C, et al. Low-Invasive CO2-based visual alerting systems to manage natural ventilation and improve IAQ in historic school buildings. *Heritage*. 2021;4:3442–3468.
- 584 67. Zivelonghi A, Lai M. Mitigating aerosol infection risk in school buildings: the role of natural ventilation, volume, occupancy and CO2 monitoring. *Build Environ*. 2021;204:29:108139.
- 586 68. GOV.UK. All schools to receive carbon dioxide monitors. Available on: https://www.gov.uk/government/news/all-schools-to-receive-carbon-dioxide-monitors (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 589 69. Dutch News. All classrooms to get a CO2 meter as part of ventilation package. Available on: 590 https://www.dutchnews.nl/news/2022/02/all-classrooms-to-get-a-co2-meter-as-part-of-ventilation-package/ (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 592 70. The Withe House. Biden Administration Launches Effort to Improve Ventilation and Reduce the Spread of COVID-19 in Buildings. Available on: https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2022/03/17/fact-sheet-biden-administration-launches-effort-to-improve-ventilation-and-reduce-the-spread-of-covid-19-in-buildings/ (accessed June 11<sup>th</sup> 2022).
- 596 71. Aireamos. Aireamos Home. Available on: www.aireamos.org (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 597 72. Aireamos Covid Risk Airborne. Available on: https://www.aireamos.org/herramienta/ (accessed June 11th 2022).
- 599 73. Bogdanovica S, Zemitis J, Bogdanovics R. The effect of CO2 concentration on children's well-being during the process of learning. *Energies*. 2020;13:6099.
- 601 74. Bartyzel J, Zieba D, Necki J, Zimnoch M. Assessment of ventilation efficiency in school classrooms based on indoor-outdoor particulate matter and carbon dioxide measurements. *Sustain*.

603		2020;12:5600.
604 605	75.	Muelas Á, Remacha P, Pina A, et al. Analysis of different ventilation strategies and CO2 distribution in a naturally ventilated classroom. <i>Atmos Environ</i> . 2022;283:119176.
606 607	76.	Wells WF. Airborne Contagion and Air Hygiene; an Ecological Study of Droplet Infections. <i>JAMA</i> . 1955:159:90.
608		