

1 **Full Title:** The spatial-temporal effect of air pollution on GP visits and hospital admissions
2 by ethnicity in the United Kingdom: An individual-level analysis

3 **Short Title:** Air pollution, GP visits, and hospital admissions

4

5 Mary Abed Al Ahad¹

6

7 ¹ School of Geography and Sustainable Development, University of St Andrews, Scotland,
8 United Kingdom.

9

10

11



12 **Correspondence should be addressed to**

13 Mary Abed Al Ahad

14 School of Geography and Sustainable Development,

15 University of St Andrews,

16 Scotland, United Kingdom

17 Email: maaal@st-andrews.ac.uk

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 **Abstract**

34 **Background:** Air pollution has been associated with increased rates of hospital admissions
35 and general-practitioner (GP) visits. Yet, more research is to be done to reveal the spatial-
36 temporal dimension of this association and whether ethnic minorities experience greater effects
37 from exposure to air pollution compared to the rest of population. This study investigates the
38 spatial-temporal effect of air pollution on GP visits and hospital admissions by ethnicity in the
39 United-Kingdom (UK).

40 **Methods:** We used individual-level longitudinal data from the “UK Household Longitudinal
41 Study” including 46,442 adult individuals who provided 140,466 responses across five years
42 (2015-2019). This data was linked to yearly concentrations of NO₂, SO₂, and particulate-matter
43 (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}) pollution using the Lower-Super-Output-Area (LSOA) of residence for each
44 individual. We distinguished between spatial (*between* areas) and temporal (across time *within*
45 each area) effects of air pollution on GP visits and hospital admissions and we used multilevel
46 mixed-effects ordered logistic models for analysis.

47 **Results:** Results showed higher odds of outpatient hospital admissions with increasing
48 concentrations of NO₂ (OR=1.009; 95%CI=1.006-1.013), SO₂ (OR=1.063; 95%CI=1.030-
49 1.097), PM₁₀ (OR=1.013; 95%CI=1.006-1.021), and PM_{2.5} (OR=1.022; 95%CI=1.012-
50 1.032) pollutants. Higher odds of GP visits were also observed with increasing concentrations
51 of NO₂ (OR=1.011; 95%CI=1.007-1.015) and SO₂ (OR=1.123; 95%CI=1.087-1.160)
52 pollutants. Decomposing air pollution into *between* (spatial: across LSOAs) and *within*
53 (temporal: across years within each LSOA) effects, showed significant *between* effects for air
54 pollution on GP visits and hospital admissions, but not *within* effects. We observed no
55 differences between ethnic minorities and British-white for the association between air
56 pollution and hospital admissions and GP visits.

57 **Conclusion:** Using individual-level longitudinal data, our study supports the presence of a
58 spatial-temporal association between air pollution and hospital admissions and GP visits.
59 However, ethnic minorities do not seem to experience greater health-related effects from
60 exposure to air pollution compared to the rest of population.

61

62

63 **Keywords:** Air pollution, GP visits, hospital admissions, ethnicity, spatial-temporal,
64 longitudinal, United-Kingdom.

65

66

67

68

69

70

71 **1. Introduction**

72 Less than one year ago (31st October-12th November 2021), world leaders gathered at the
73 COP26 in Glasgow-Scotland to tackle the issue of climate change with air pollution being one
74 of its core elements and its consequences on humans' health and planetary wellbeing. The
75 association of air pollution with morbidity and mortality is well-documented in epidemiologic
76 and environmental health studies which examine mild to severe outcomes including self-rated
77 general health, doctor or general-practitioner (GP) visits, and hospital admissions, in particular
78 those related to cancer, cardiovascular and respiratory health problems (1-4). Nevertheless,
79 most of this research is concentrated on the health impacts of exposure to nitrogen dioxide
80 (NO₂), particulate matter with diameter of less than 10 µm (PM10) and particulate matter with
81 diameter of less than 2.5 µm (PM2.5) pollution (2, 5). For example, in Lisbon-Portugal, higher
82 rates of hospital admissions for circulatory and respiratory diseases were observed with higher
83 concentrations of carbon monoxide, NO₂, PM10 and PM2.5 pollutants (6). In New England, a
84 0.7% and a 4.2% increase in respiratory hospital admissions were noted for every 10 µg/m³
85 increase in short-term and long-term PM2.5 exposure, respectively (7). In Switzerland, doctor
86 visits for chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (COPD) and chronic bronchitis increased by
87 9.1% and 4.2%, respectively, with every 10 µg/m³ increase in NO₂ pollutant (8). This
88 highlights the need for studies that investigate the effect of other air pollutants (e.g. Sulphur
89 dioxide [SO₂]) on health-related outcomes (2).

90 Furthermore, the association between air pollution and health is not direct and several factors
91 play a role in shaping this association. These factors include: (1) socioeconomic (e.g. age,
92 gender, deprivation, income, socioeconomic classification, education, occupation, and
93 economic activity) (2, 9-12); (2) individual and life-style (e.g. pre-existing diseases, cigarette
94 smoking, alcohol drinking, physical exercise, and obesity) (13-16); (3) contextual (e.g.
95 neighbourhood conditions, area-level multiple deprivation index, rural-urban classifications,

96 and population density) (12, 17, 18); and (4) environmental (e.g. season, temperature, relative
97 humidity, altitude, rainfall and wind) (19-21).

98 Despite the documented evidence about the factors affecting the association between air
99 pollution and morbidity and mortality, published studies from Europe are mainly concentrated
100 on assessing the differential effect of air pollution on health-related outcomes by gender, age,
101 and socioeconomic status groupings, neglecting other key demographic sub-groups such as
102 ethnicity (2). Ethnicity and country of birth are two important socio-demographic factors that
103 affect individuals' health (22, 23), and possibly lead to disparities in the effect of air pollution
104 on hospital admissions and GP visits. Such ethnic disparities could be related to differences in
105 the socioeconomic status (e.g. the relatively lower socioeconomic status for ethnic minorities),
106 residential contexts (e.g. residing in more deprived neighbourhoods with inadequate housing
107 conditions), exposure to ambient air pollution, or the differential effect of pollution by ethnicity
108 (23-25). Thus, despite the "*Healthy migrant effect*", which describes the advantageous
109 characteristics (e.g. healthier, wealthier, and more educated) of immigrants (non-UK-born
110 individuals) (26, 27), we expect ethnic minorities and non-UK-born individuals to show higher
111 rates of hospital admissions and GP visits with increasing concentrations of air pollution. It
112 should be noted, however, that literature on the effect of air pollution on health by ethnicity
113 exist but is mainly focused around North America (28-30), which is characterised by a different
114 ethnic composition to that in Europe.

115 In addition to the aforementioned literature gap, there is lack of research on the spatial-temporal
116 effect of air pollution exposure on hospital admissions and GP visits using a *between-within*
117 longitudinal design. The *between-within* design decomposes the overall effect of air pollution
118 on hospital admissions and GP visits into *between* and *within* effects. The *Between* (spatial)
119 effect assesses the effect of average air pollution concentrations across the follow-up time on

120 hospital admissions and GP visits between geographical areas (e.g. census output areas) while
121 the *within* effect (temporal) assesses the effect of the yearly deviation in air pollution
122 concentrations from the average concentration on hospital admissions and GP visits across time
123 within each geographical area (31). The benefits of the *between-within* approach is that it
124 controls for data endogeneity and the effect of omitted confounders by allowing a time-varying
125 geographical-fixed analysis (32); thus, providing detailed spatial-temporal evidence for
126 policymaking decisions.

127 Considering the above-discussed literature gaps, this study utilises longitudinal individual-
128 level data to investigate the spatial-temporal effect of air pollution on GP visits and hospital
129 admissions by ethnicity in the UK. We aim specifically (1) to address the overall and the
130 *between* (spatial-*between* geographical areas) and *within* (temporal-across time *within* each
131 geographical area) effects of four air pollutants (NO₂, SO₂, PM10, and PM2.5) on hospital
132 admissions and GP visits; and 2) to assess whether the association between air pollution and
133 hospital admissions and GP visits varies between ethnic groups and by country of birth (non-
134 UK-born versus UK-born).

135
136

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and population

138 We used longitudinal individual-level data from the “*Understanding Society: The UK*
139 *Household Longitudinal Study (UKHLS)*” (33). This is a rich dataset, which consists of 10 data
140 collection waves from 2009 to 2020 with around 40,000 households recruited at wave 1 from
141 the four nations of the UK: England, Wales, Scotland, and Northern Ireland. It involves two
142 main surveys: the youth survey which is filled by young people (aged 10 to 15) and the adult
143 survey which is filled by individuals aged 16 and above (33).

144 The UKHLS dataset includes information on the socioeconomic characteristics of individuals
145 including age, gender, marital status, education, occupation, socioeconomic classification,
146 perceived financial situation, ethnicity, and country of birth and on the individuals' self-rated
147 health, wellbeing, hospital admissions, GP visits, smoking status, and the local authority and
148 lower super output areas (LSOAs) of residence. Individuals recruited in the UKHLS are visited
149 on a yearly basis to collect information on changes to their household and individual
150 circumstances (33). Further information on the UKHLS dataset is described elsewhere (34-36).
151 For this study, we utilized individual-level data from the adult survey (age: 16+) of the UKHLS
152 on 46,442 adult individuals who provided a total of 140,466 responses across four data
153 collection waves (waves 7-10) over five years (2015-2019). We only used data from waves 7-
154 10 of the UKHLS adult survey due to the unavailability of data on hospital admissions and GP
155 visits in the earlier waves. We have to also note that the initial adult survey of the UKHLS for
156 waves 7-10 involved a total of 49,028 individuals with 151,834 surveys and that 11,368 surveys
157 were omitted due to the reasons described in Fig 1.

158 Fig 1: The reasons for omitting survey responses from the UKHLS (waves 7-10) data

159

160 **2.2. Variables and measurements**

161

162 **2.2.1. Outcome variables**

163 *GP visits* and *outpatient hospital admissions* were measured as ordinal variables by asking
164 individuals on the number of times they visited a GP or went to a hospital/clinic as outpatients
165 in the past 12 months preceding the data collection date as follows: 0=none, 1=one to two
166 times, 2=three to five times, 3=six to ten times, and 4=more than ten times.

167 **2.2.2. Air pollution**

168 We downloaded yearly air pollution data that combine all sources of air pollution including
169 road traffic and industrial/combustion processes for NO₂, SO₂, PM10, and PM2.5 pollutants

170 from the “Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs” online database (37). These
171 are raster data of mean annual concentrations of pollutants measured in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ up to the year
172 of 2019, estimated using air dispersion modelling (Pollution Climate Mapping) at a spatial
173 resolution of 1x1 km and projected using the UK National Grid (37).

174 This 1x1 km raster air pollution data were linked to the UKHLS data using the Lower Super
175 Output Areas (LSOAs; data zones for Scotland and Super Output Areas for Northern Ireland)
176 of residence for each individual and each year (2015-2019). LSOAs are used to decompose
177 England and Wales based on the population size into areas with a minimum population size of
178 1000 people and are the lowest level of geography offered by the UKHLS dataset. Some
179 LSOAs, especially those located in urbanised areas, have an area of less than 1x1 km, which
180 offers high spatial resolution to assess the impact of air pollution on hospital admissions and
181 GP visits. The LSOAs in England and Wales are equivalent to data zones in Scotland and to
182 Super Output Areas in Northern Ireland. For simplicity we refer to the joint LSOAs, data zones,
183 and Super Output Areas as LSOAs. The linkage was done by computing the average air
184 pollution concentration for each LSOA from all the 1x1 km raster squares that intersected with
185 the respective LSOA. For example, if a certain LSOA intersected with only one raster square,
186 it is given the value of air pollution of that square and if a certain LSOA intersected with two
187 raster squares, it is given the average air pollution of those two squares, and so on so forth.

188 **2.2.3. Socioeconomic and lifestyle covariates**

189 For this study, we selected a number of individual-level socioeconomic and lifestyle covariates
190 based on what is available in the UKHLS dataset and based on the confounders and effect
191 modifiers considered by relevant literature (1, 2). These included gender (1=male; 2=female);
192 age (coded as 16-18 and then in 5 years increments as 19-23; 24-28; 29-33; 34-38; 39-43; 44-
193 48; 49-53; 54-58; 59-63; 64-68; 69-73; 74-78; >78); ethnicity (1=British-white;

194 2=Other-white; 3=Indian; 4=Pakistani/Bangladeshi; 5=Black/African/Caribbean; 6=mixed
195 ethnicities; 7=Other ethnicities); country of birth (1=born in UK; 2=not born in UK; 3=No
196 answer); marital status (1=married; 2=living as a couple; 3=widowed; 4=divorced/separated;
197 5=single never married; 6=no answer); education (1=university degree; 2=high school degree;
198 3=lower educational levels; 4=other qualifications; 5=still a student); perceived financial
199 situation (1=living comfortably/doing alright; 2=living difficultly; 3=no answer);
200 socioeconomic classification (1=management and professionals occupations; 2=intermediate
201 occupations; 3=routine occupations; 4=not applicable: Student/retired/Not working; 5=no
202 answer); and smoking status (0=non-smoker; 1=smoker; 2=no answer).

203 Finally, year dummies (calendar year: 2015-2019) were considered as a control for the time
204 trend in our analysis following the approach of relevant studies (38, 39). Given that our study
205 utilises yearly air pollution data, controlling for other temporal covariates considered by
206 relevant literature such as seasonal trends (40, 41) was not possible.

207 **2.3. Data analysis**

208 Percentages were computed to describe the GP visits, hospital admissions, and individuals'
209 socioeconomic and lifestyle covariates for each wave (waves 7-10) of the UKHLS sample. We
210 also examined the correlation between NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} pollutants at the LSOAs
211 level using Pearson's correlation coefficient. Given the high observed correlations between the
212 pollutants (Pearson's coefficient ≥ 0.7 (42); Table 2), the association of NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀, and
213 PM_{2.5} pollutants with GP visits and hospital admissions was examined in separate regression
214 models.

215 To account for the study design which involves linkages of air pollution to individual-level
216 data at the LSOAs level and to account for the repeated individuals' responses across time, the
217 association of the GP visits and outpatient hospital admission ordinal outcomes with each of

218 NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} pollutants was assessed using three-levels (repeated individual
219 responses across time nested within LSOAs) mixed-effects ordered logistic models. These
220 models were adjusted for the socioeconomic and lifestyle covariates and the year (2015-2019)
221 dummies. In a supplementary analysis, we also demonstrate the association of GP visits and
222 hospital admissions with each of the socioeconomic and lifestyle covariates (Appendix: Tables
223 A1 and A2).

224 In further analysis, we decomposed the overall effect of air pollution (linked at the LSOAs
225 level) on GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions into *between (spatial)* and *within*
226 *(temporal)* effects. *Between* effects were used to determine the *spatial* effect of air pollution by
227 computing the mean of air pollution across the five years of follow-up (2015-2019) for each
228 LSOA. In contrast, *within* effects were used to determine the *temporal* effect of air pollution
229 by calculating the yearly air pollution deviation from the five years mean for each LSOA.
230 Therefore, the multilevel mixed-effects ordered logistic models were used to examine the
231 overall (equation 1) effect of air pollution as well as the *between* and *within* effects (equation
232 2) of air pollution on GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions.

$$233 \log\left(\frac{Y_{tij}^{(s)}}{1 - Y_{tij}^{(s)}}\right) = \beta_0^{(s)} + U_{0ij} + U_{0j} + \beta_1 \text{overall pollutant concentration}_{tij} + \beta_2 \text{Age}_{tij} + \beta_3 \text{Gender}_{tij} +$$

234 (1)

$$235 \log\left(\frac{Y_{tij}^{(s)}}{1 - Y_{tij}^{(s)}}\right) = \beta_0^{(s)} + U_{0ij} + U_{0j} + \beta_1 \text{Between pollutant concentration}_{tij} + \beta_2 \text{Within pollutant con}$$

236 (2)

237
238 Where Y_{ij} is the outcome (GP visits or outpatient hospital admissions) for individual i , in LSOA
239 j at year t ; s is the level of an ordered category with s levels for GP visits or hospital admission
240 outcomes; $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{12}$ are the slopes of fixed effects; β_0 is the fixed intercept; U_{0ij} is level 2
241 random intercept of individuals nested in LSOAs; U_{0j} is level 3 random intercept of LSOAs;

242 Between pollutant concentration $_{tij} = \overline{\text{overall pollutant concentration}_j}$;

243 Within pollutant concentration $_{tij} = |\overline{\text{overall pollutant concentration}_j} -$
244 overall pollutant concentration $_{tj}|$.

245 Finally, we incorporated into the multilevel ordered logistic models an interaction term
246 between ethnicity and each of NO₂, SO₂, PM10, and PM2.5 pollutants and between country of
247 birth and each of the four pollutants to assess whether the association between air pollution and
248 GP visits and hospital admissions varies between ethnic groups and by country of birth.
249 Interaction terms were incorporated into the overall pollutant models and into the *between-*
250 *within* models, each at a time. Coefficient plots were used to visualize the interaction analysis
251 odds ratios.

252 Statistical analysis was conducted using STATA software (StataCorp. 2015. Stata Statistical
253 Software: Release 14. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP) and spatial pre-processing was
254 conducted using ArcGIS Pro software. Regression results were reported in terms of odds ratios
255 (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) per 1 µg/m³ increase in the air pollutants. Statistical
256 significance was considered at a P-value of less than 0.05.

257 **2.4. Ethical considerations**

258 This paper was granted ethical approval on the 14th of May 2020 by the author's affiliated
259 institution (School of Geography and Sustainable Development Ethics Committee, acting on
260 behalf of the University Teaching and Research Ethics Committee (UTREC) at the University
261 of St Andrews). The paper uses secondary adult (age 16+) fully anonymised data from the
262 "Understanding Society: The UK Household Longitudinal Study (UKHLS)" and authors did
263 not have access to potentially identifying information; thus, obtaining participants' informed
264 consent is not applicable and was waved by the authors' institution ethics committee. The
265 University of Essex responsible for the UKHLS data collection and management has already

266 obtained written informed consent from all the study participants (33). Requesting consent for
 267 health record linkage was approved at Wave 1 by the National Research Ethics Service (NRES)
 268 Oxfordshire REC A (08/H0604/124), and at Wave 4 by NRES Southampton REC A
 269 (11/SC/0274). Approval for the collection of biosocial data by trained nurses in Waves 2 and
 270 3 of the main survey was obtained from the National Research Ethics Service (Understanding
 271 Society - UK Household Longitudinal Study: A Biosocial Component, Oxfordshire A REC,
 272 Reference: 10/H0604/2).

273 3. Results

274 3.1. Description of GP visits, hospital admissions and individuals' 275 socioeconomic and lifestyle covariates

276 In all the four waves, most of the individuals visited a GP one to two times, did not go to a
 277 hospital as outpatients, were females, aged between 34 and 58 years old, had British-white
 278 ethnicity, were born in the UK, were married, had a university degree or other educational
 279 qualifications, reported a comfortable/alright financial situation, had a managerial or
 280 professional socioeconomic classification (if working), were non-smokers, and lived in
 281 England (Table 1).

282

283

284

285 Table 1: Description of GP visits, outpatient hospital admissions and individual's
 286 socioeconomic and lifestyle covariates for each wave of the UKHLS sample (N=140,466
 287 surveys from 46,442 individuals)

		Wave7 (2015-2017)	Wave8 (2016-2018)	Wave9 (2017-2019)	Wave10 (2018-2019)
		N=38,663	N=36,319	N=33,727	N=31,757
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
GP visits	None	26.7	21.1	21.2	28.3
	One to two times	37.0	39.5	40.8	40.6

	Three to five times	20.8	23.1	23.2	19.7
	Six to ten times	8.5	9.3	9.1	6.8
	More than ten times	7.0	6.9	5.7	4.7
Outpatient hospital admissions	None	57.0	54.3	53.0	55.5
	One to two times	25.1	27.3	28.0	27.4
	Three to five times	10.1	10.7	11.5	10.3
	Six to ten times	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.9
	More than ten times	3.6	3.3	3.2	2.9
Gender	Male	44.5	44.7	44.3	44.3
	Female	55.5	55.3	55.7	55.7
Age	Young (<34)	24.6	23.8	23.1	22.5
	Middle age (34-58)	43.9	43.3	42.8	42.3
	Old (>58)	31.5	32.9	34.1	35.3
Ethnicity	British-white	74.5	75.0	76.3	77.3
	Other-white	5.7	5.6	5.4	5.2
	Indian	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.8
	Pakistani/Bangladeshi	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.5
	Black/African/Caribbean	4.8	4.5	4.0	3.6
	Mixed ethnicities	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.8
	Other ethnicities	3.3	3.3	2.9	2.8
Country of birth	Born in the UK	65.6	66.4	67.3	68.1
	Not born in the UK	15.9	15.1	14.0	13.0
	No answer	18.5	18.5	18.7	18.9
Marital status	Married	52.4	52.9	53.4	53.8
	Living as a couple	10.5	10.2	9.8	9.6
	Widowed	6.0	6.0	6.1	6.0
	Divorced/separated	7.9	7.7	7.9	7.9
	Single never married	23.1	23.1	22.6	22.3
	No answer	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4
Education	University degree	30.1	31.0	31.9	33.1
	High school degree	25.9	26.4	26.7	26.4
	Lower educational levels	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Other qualifications	36.3	35.4	34.3	33.7
	Still a student	6.7	6.2	6.0	5.8
Perceived financial situation	living comfortably/doing alright	71.7	72.4	70.7	71.1
	living difficultly	28.1	27.3	28.9	28.5
	No answer	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4
Socio-economic classification	Management and professional occupations	24.0	23.8	23.5	23.2
	Intermediate occupations	13.3	13.3	12.9	12.6
	Routine occupations	19.7	18.9	18.3	17.4
	NA: student/retired/not working	42.4	43.0	43.1	43.9
	No answer	0.6	1.0	2.2	2.9
Smoking status	Non-smoker	84.4	85.2	86.5	86.9
	Smoker	15.5	14.7	13.4	12.9
	No answer	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
Nation	England	79.0	78.9	78.5	78.4
	Wales	6.4	6.4	6.5	6.5
	Scotland	8.3	8.3	8.4	8.5
	Northern Ireland	6.3	6.4	6.6	6.7

289 **3.2. Description of air pollution**

290 A high correlation (Pearson’s coefficient ≥ 0.7) was observed between NO₂, PM10, and PM2.5
 291 pollutants, which could be explained by the chemical reactions between particulate matter and
 292 NO₂ pollutants in the atmosphere. Across the five years of follow-up (2015-2019), the mean of
 293 NO₂ was 14.05 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (SD=6.64), the mean of SO₂ was 1.44 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (SD=0.60), the mean of
 294 PM10 was 13.37 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (SD=3.04), and the mean of PM2.5 was 8.70 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (SD=2.14)
 295 (Table2).

296 Table 2: Exposure description and correlation matrix of air pollutants (N=42,619 LSOAs;
 297 time=2015-2019)

	Pearson’s correlation coefficient				Mean	SD	Median	Interquartile range
	NO ₂ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	SO ₂ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	PM10 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	PM2.5 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)				
NO ₂ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	1.00				14.05	6.64	13.29	8.35
SO ₂ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	0.55	1.00			1.44	0.60	1.34	0.75
PM10 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	0.72	0.43	1.00		13.37	3.04	13.56	4.58
PM2.5 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	0.74	0.47	0.98	1.00	8.70	2.14	8.89	3.29

298 Strong correlations with correlation coefficient ≥ 0.70 are highlighted in bold.

299

300 **3.3. The spatial-temporal effect of air pollution on GP visits and hospital**
 301 **admissions**

302 Results showed that higher visits to a GP are associated with higher concentrations of NO₂
 303 (OR=1.011, 95%CI=1.007-1.015) and SO₂ (OR=1.123, 95%CI=1.087-1.160) pollutants.
 304 Higher odds of outpatient hospital admissions were observed with increased concentrations of
 305 NO₂ (OR=1.009, 95%CI=1.006-1.013), SO₂ (OR=1.063, 95%CI=1.030-1.097), PM10
 306 (OR=1.013, 95%CI=1.006-1.021) and PM2.5 (OR=1.022, 95%CI=1.012-1.032) pollutants
 307 (Table 3).

308 Decomposing the overall effect of air pollution on GP visits and hospital admissions into
 309 *between* (spatial: across LSOAs) and *within* (temporal: across years within each LSOA) effects,
 310 showed significant positive associations for the *between* effect on GP visits for NO₂

311 (OR=1.011, 95%CI=1.007-1.015) and SO₂ (OR=1.123, 95%CI=1.077, 1.170) pollutants, and
 312 a significant *between* effect on outpatient hospital admissions for all the four pollutants. No
 313 significant *within* effects were noted for all the four pollutants neither on GP visits nor on
 314 hospital admissions (Table 3).

315 Table 3: The association of air pollution with GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions
 316 (N=140,466 surveys from 46,442 individuals)

	GP visits	Outpatient hospital admissions
	OR [95%CI]	OR [95%CI]
Overall pollution effect		
NO ₂ (µg/m ³)	1.011 [1.007, 1.015]**	1.009 [1.006, 1.013]**
SO ₂ (µg/m ³)	1.123 [1.087, 1.160]**	1.063 [1.030, 1.097]**
PM10 (µg/m ³)	1.003 [0.995, 1.010]	1.013 [1.006, 1.021]**
PM2.5 (µg/m ³)	1.004 [0.994, 1.015]	1.022 [1.012, 1.032]**
Between pollution effect		
NO ₂ (µg/m ³)	1.011 [1.007, 1.015]**	1.010 [1.007, 1.014]**
SO ₂ (µg/m ³)	1.123 [1.077, 1.170]**	1.053 [1.013, 1.095]*
PM10 (µg/m ³)	1.005 [0.997, 1.013]	1.014 [1.006, 1.022]**
PM2.5 (µg/m ³)	1.009 [0.997, 1.022]	1.024 [1.012, 1.035]**
Within pollution effect		
NO ₂ (µg/m ³)	0.999 [0.977, 1.022]	0.994 [0.970, 1.018]
SO ₂ (µg/m ³)	1.068 [0.972, 1.173]	1.011 [0.915, 1.118]
PM10 (µg/m ³)	1.009 [0.982, 1.036]	1.009 [0.980, 1.038]
PM2.5 (µg/m ³)	1.000 [0.963, 1.039]	0.997 [0.958, 1.039]

317 **P-value <0.01; *P-value<0.05

318 ORs and 95%CIs are expressed in terms of 1 µg/m³ increase in the air pollutants; Models are adjusted
 319 for age, gender, ethnicity, country of birth, marital status, education, perceived financial situation,
 320 socioeconomic classification, smoking status, and year dummies (2015-2019).

321

322

323 3.4. The association of air pollution with GP visits and hospital admissions by 324 ethnicity and country of birth

325 Examining the association between ethnicity and GP visits revealed higher odds of GP visits
 326 among Indian (OR=1.301, 95%CI=1.159-1.462), Pakistani/Bangladeshi (OR=1.525,
 327 95%CI=1.372-1.694), and mixed ethnicities (OR=1.249, 95%CI=1.079-1.447) in comparison
 328 to British-white and among non-UK-born individuals (OR=1.239, 95%CI=1.148-1.337)
 329 compared to UK-born (Appendix: Table A1). In contrast, higher odds of outpatient hospital

330 admissions were observed only among mixed ethnicities (OR=1.385, 95%CI=1.199-1.600),
331 while Pakistani/Bangladeshi (OR=0.886, 95%CI=0.800-0.981) and non-UK-born individuals
332 (OR=0.893, 95%CI=0.828-0.963) exhibited lower odds of hospital admissions (Appendix:
333 Table A2).

334 Our analysis showed no differences between ethnic minorities and British-white for the effect
335 of air pollution on GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions (Fig 2 and Fig 3). Thus, ethnic
336 minorities do not seem to experience greater health-related effects from exposure to air
337 pollution compared to the rest of population. The only exception were non-UK-born
338 individuals who were more likely to visit a GP than UK-born individuals with increasing
339 concentrations of all the four pollutants (Fig 2).

340 Analysing the *between-within* (spatial-temporal) effects of air pollution on GP visits and
341 hospital admissions by ethnicity and country of birth did not show significant associations
342 neither for the *between* nor for the *within* effects (Fig 4 and Fig 5); except for non-UK-born
343 individuals who showed higher odds of GP visits with increasing concentrations of all the four
344 pollutants for the *between* (spatial) effects in comparison to UK-born individuals (Fig 4).

345

346

347 Fig 2: The overall effect of air pollution on GP visits by ethnicity and country of birth
348 (N=140,466 surveys from 46,442 individuals)

349 ORs and 95%CIs are expressed in terms of 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in the air pollutants; The dashed line is placed at
350 OR=1 as a cut-off for statistically insignificant results; The solid line separates between the air pollution-ethnicity
351 interaction models and the air pollution-country of birth interaction models; Air pollution-ethnicity interaction
352 models where the reference category is “British-white” are adjusted for country of birth, age, gender, marital
353 status, education, perceived financial situation, socioeconomic classification, smoking status, and year dummies
354 (2015-2019); Air pollution-country of birth interaction models where the reference category is “born in UK” are
355 adjusted for ethnicity, age, gender, marital status, education, perceived financial situation, socioeconomic
356 classification, smoking status, and year dummies (2015-2019).

357

358 Fig 3: The overall effect of air pollution on outpatient hospital admissions by ethnicity and
359 country of birth (N=140,466 surveys from 46,442 individuals)

360 ORs and 95%CIs are expressed in terms of 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in the air pollutants; The dashed line is placed at
361 OR=1 as a cut-off for statistically insignificant results; The solid line separates between the air pollution-ethnicity
362 interaction models and the air pollution-country of birth interaction models; Air pollution-ethnicity interaction
363 models where the reference category is “British-white” are adjusted for country of birth, age, gender, marital
364 status, education, perceived financial situation, socioeconomic classification, smoking status, and year dummies
365 (2015-2019); Air pollution-country of birth interaction models where the reference category is “born in UK” are
366 adjusted for ethnicity, age, gender, marital status, education, perceived financial situation, socioeconomic
367 classification, smoking status, and year dummies (2015-2019).

368

369 Fig 4: The *between-within* (spatial-temporal) effect of air pollution on GP visits by ethnicity
370 and country of birth (N=140,466 surveys from 46,442 individuals)

371 ORs and 95%CIs are expressed in terms of 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in the air pollutants; The dashed line is placed at
372 OR=1 as a cut-off for statistically insignificant results; The solid line separates between the air pollution-ethnicity
373 interaction models and the air pollution-country of birth interaction models; Air pollution-ethnicity interaction
374 models where the reference category is “British-white” are adjusted for country of birth, age, gender, marital
375 status, education, perceived financial situation, socioeconomic classification, smoking status, and year dummies
376 (2015-2019); Air pollution-country of birth interaction models where the reference category is “born in UK” are
377 adjusted for ethnicity, age, gender, marital status, education, perceived financial situation, socioeconomic
378 classification, smoking status, and year dummies (2015-2019).

379

380 Fig 5: The *between-within* (spatial-temporal) effect of air pollution on outpatient hospital
381 admissions by ethnicity and country of birth (N=140,466 surveys from 46,442 individuals)

382 ORs and 95%CIs are expressed in terms of 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in the air pollutants; The dashed line is placed at
383 OR=1 as a cut-off for statistically insignificant results; The solid line separates between the air pollution-ethnicity
384 interaction models and the air pollution-country of birth interaction models; Air pollution-ethnicity interaction
385 models where the reference category is “British-white” are adjusted for country of birth, age, gender, marital
386 status, education, perceived financial situation, socioeconomic classification, smoking status, and year dummies
387 (2015-2019); Air pollution-country of birth interaction models where the reference category is “born in UK” are
388 adjusted for ethnicity, age, gender, marital status, education, perceived financial situation, socioeconomic
389 classification, smoking status, and year dummies (2015-2019).

390

391 4. Discussion

392 In this study, we showed that exposure to four air pollutants (NO_2 , SO_2 , PM_{10} , and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$)
393 results in higher frequency of GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions in the UK, which is
394 supported by relevant literature (1, 2, 6, 8, 43, 44). For instance, a 1% increase in the risk of
395 cardiac hospital admissions in Italy was observed per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} pollutant
396 (45). In Istanbul-Turkey, short-term exposure to NO_2 , PM_{10} , and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ air pollution was
397 positively associated with increased respiratory hospital admissions between 2013 and 2015

398 (46). In Australia, a 1% increase in PM10 was associated with 0.6% increase in the number of
399 hospital admissions and 0.5% increase in the number of GP visits (47).

400 One main novelty of this study is the application of a *between-within* analysis that reveals the
401 *spatial-temporal* effects of air pollution on GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions.

402 Although the *between-within* analysis is widely used in the fields of economics, behavioural
403 finance, and strategic management (32), it is rarely applied in health research (48); and no

404 previous study has assessed the *between-within* effects of air pollution on GP visits and hospital
405 admissions. Applying the *between-within* analysis in this study allowed us to observe

406 significant *between* effects for air pollution on GP visits and hospital admissions; yet, no
407 significant *within* effects were noticed. Therefore, individuals residing in LSOAs with higher

408 exposure to air pollution across the five years of follow-up exhibited higher frequency of GP
409 visits and outpatient hospital admissions than individuals residing in LSOAs with lower

410 pollution exposure. Thus, our study shows strong evidence for the spatial rather than temporal
411 effects of air pollution on GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions in the UK. This could

412 be explained by the emission source of air pollutants and their chemical and physical properties.

413 The major source of NO₂ emissions is traffic exhaust (49) which varies across both LSOAs
414 (*between: spatial*) and time (*within: temporal*) depending on the number of vehicles and the

415 movement of people. Yet, nitrogen oxides are highly reactive and seasonal pollutants (50),
416 which makes it difficult to capture their temporal variation through yearly measurements. For

417 instance, more NO₂ will be liberated into the atmosphere during warm seasons due to the
418 chemical reactions between nitrogen oxides and ozone (50). Additionally, NO₂ is converted

419 into nitric acid by several different reactions in the atmosphere (51). That's why only spatial
420 (*between*) but not temporal (*within*) effects for NO₂ pollutant were observed when taking the

421 year as our time measuring unit. On the other hand, industrial processes and power plants are
422 the major sources of SO₂ pollution (52), which is dominated by spatial (*between*) variation

423 rather than temporal (*within*) variation as building a new factory requires much longer time
424 than purchasing a motor vehicle. Particulate matter results from both traffic exhaust and
425 industrial processes (53), and is considered a more stable pollutant that may stay suspended in
426 the air for long periods of time (51). Given the localized industrial source of particulate matter
427 pollution and its stability in the atmosphere which limits its variation across time, a spatial
428 (*between*) effect on health-related outcomes is expected rather than a temporal (*within*) effect.
429 It should be noted, however, that the insignificant *within* effects for all the pollutants could also
430 be attributed to the relatively short period of follow-up (2015-2019) which does not allow for
431 a high variation in the yearly air pollution concentrations. Thus, for future research, it is
432 recommended to use datasets that allow for a longer follow-up time that might result in
433 significant *within* effects.

434 Apart from the spatial-temporal (*between-within*) analysis, our study contributed to the topic
435 of ethnic inequalities in health by investigating the differences in the effect of air pollution on
436 GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions by ethnicity and country of birth. Our results
437 showed no differences between ethnic minorities and British-white for the association between
438 air pollution and hospital admissions and GP visits. Nevertheless, non-UK-born individuals
439 were more likely to visit a GP than UK-born individuals with increasing concentrations of all
440 the four pollutants. The more pronounced effect of air pollution on GP visits among non-UK-
441 born individuals compared to UK-born was a spatial one rather than a temporal one as shown
442 in the *between-within* analysis. This shows the importance of contextual location-specific
443 factors in explaining the differences in air pollution exposure and their consequent effect on
444 health-related outcomes for non-UK-born individuals versus UK-born. Research has shown
445 that immigrants (i.e. non-UK-born) often live in large cities and highly populated urbanised
446 regions, near major roads and key transportation networks. This facilitates their movement and
447 increases their chances of personal development, employment, and business start-ups (54).

448 Furthermore, immigrants often live in low-priced housing, which is often situated in more
449 deprived immigrants' concentration neighbourhoods or close to major roads (25). These
450 contextual-spatial factors can result in more pronounced mild health consequences and higher
451 frequency of GP visits among immigrants due to the greater exposure to traffic exhaustion and
452 industrial pollution in comparison to UK-born individuals who have additional financial
453 resources and inheritance tenure to move away from metropolitan areas and highly polluted
454 industrial regions. Additionally, immigrants (especially those coming from outside Europe)
455 might have been exposed to higher air pollution concentrations in their countries of origin prior
456 to arriving into the UK, which might result in higher frequency of GP visits due to the
457 cumulative effect of air pollution on health among non-UK-born individuals compared to UK-
458 born.

459 In addition to highlighting the contributions of the present study, it is equally important to
460 discuss its limitations. First, the study design involved linking individual-level data from the
461 UKHLS dataset to yearly air pollution data at the LSOAs level, under the assumption that
462 individuals residing in the same LSOA are exposed to the same concentration of air pollution.
463 Although LSOAs have high spatial resolution, using another dataset that allows for air
464 pollution linkages at the level of postcodes which possess the highest spatial resolution in the
465 UK is recommended as it would greatly minimize the exposure bias. Another source of
466 exposure bias in this study might come from the assessment of individuals' exposure to air
467 pollution using the place (LSOA) of residence which does not necessarily equate to the true
468 personal exposure. In reality, an exposure scenario is more complex involving exposure
469 indoors, at the workplace and through commuting patterns. Therefore, future studies are
470 encouraged to integrate air pollution exposure at the residence and workplace and to consider
471 both ambient and indoors air pollution exposure. Finally, our study included individuals
472 followed over five years (2015-2019) and we assessed the association between air pollution

473 and GP visits and hospital admissions at a yearly rather than monthly or daily basis. This could
474 explain the inability of observing significant temporal (*within*) effects of air pollution on GP
475 visits and outpatient hospital admissions due to the low variation in air pollution across the five
476 years of follow-up. Thus, for future research, we recommend using other datasets that allow
477 for longer follow-up time and for monthly or daily air pollution exposure which would increase
478 the temporal and seasonal variation and result in significant temporal effects.

479 **5. Conclusion**

480 Using longitudinal individual-level data linked to air pollution data at the LSOAs level, this
481 study supports the presence of a spatial-temporal association between air pollution and higher
482 frequency of GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions in the UK. Results showed stronger
483 *between* (spatial) effects across LSOAs rather than *within* (temporal) effects across time within
484 each LSOA. However, ethnic minorities do not seem to experience greater health-related
485 effects from exposure to air pollution compared to the rest of population. An exception was for
486 non-UK-born individuals who showed higher odds of GP visits with increasing concentrations
487 of air pollution compared to those born in the UK, which is mainly attributed to contextual
488 location-specific differences. Our results are of importance for policymakers toward improving
489 the environmental conditions that would reduce air pollution and eventually lower the
490 frequency of GP visits and outpatient hospital admissions for people residing in the UK.

491 **Acknowledgements**

492 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

493 **Funding Statement:** The work presented in this paper was funded by the Royal Society of
494 Edinburgh (RSE) Saltire Early Career Fellowships grant (RSE Grant Reference Number:
495 1846).

496 **Author contribution statement:**

497 Mary Abed Al Ahad: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Data curation, Formal
498 Analysis, Writing-Original Draft, Writing-Review and Editing, Visualization, Project
499 administration, Funding acquisition.

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513 **References**

- 514 1. Ab Manan N, Noor Aizuddin A, Hod R. Effect of Air Pollution and Hospital Admission: A
515 Systematic Review. *Ann Glob Health*. 2018;84(4):670-8.
- 516 2. Abed Al Ahad M, Sullivan F, Demšar U, Melhem M, Kulu H. The effect of air-pollution and
517 weather exposure on mortality and hospital admission and implications for further research: A
518 systematic scoping review. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(10):e0241415.
- 519 3. Manisalidis I, Stavropoulou E, Stavropoulos A, Bezirtzoglou E. Environmental and Health
520 Impacts of Air Pollution: A Review. *Front Public Health*. 2020;8:14-.

- 521 4. Tsangari H, Paschalidou AK, Kassomenos AP, Vardoulakis S, Heaviside C, Georgiou KE, et al.
522 Extreme weather and air pollution effects on cardiovascular and respiratory hospital admissions in
523 Cyprus. *Science of The Total Environment*. 2016;542:247-53.
- 524 5. Dominski FH, Lorenzetti Branco JH, Buonanno G, Stabile L, Gameiro da Silva M, Andrade A.
525 Effects of air pollution on health: A mapping review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses.
526 *Environmental Research*. 2021;201:111487.
- 527 6. Cruz AMJ, Sarmiento S, Almeida SM, Silva AV, Alves C, Freitas MC, et al. Association between
528 atmospheric pollutants and hospital admissions in Lisbon. *Environmental Science and Pollution*
529 *Research*. 2015;22(7):5500-10.
- 530 7. Kloog I, Coull BA, Zanobetti A, Koutrakis P, Schwartz JD. Acute and Chronic Effects of
531 Particles on Hospital Admissions in New-England. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(4):e34664.
- 532 8. Mehta AJ, Schindler C, Pérez L, Probst-Hensch N, Schwartz J, Brändl O, et al. Acute
533 respiratory health effects of urban air pollutants in adults with different patterns of underlying
534 respiratory disease. *Swiss medical weekly*. 2012;142:w13681.
- 535 9. Riedel N, Loerbroks A, Bolte G, Li J. Do perceived job insecurity and annoyance due to air and
536 noise pollution predict incident self-rated poor health? A prospective analysis of independent and
537 joint associations using a German national representative cohort study. *BMJ Open*.
538 2017;7(1):e012815.
- 539 10. Kuźma Ł, Struniawski K, Pogorzelski S, Bachórzewska-Gajewska H, Dobrzycki S. Gender
540 Differences in Association between Air Pollution and Daily Mortality in the Capital of the Green
541 Lungs of Poland-Population-Based Study with 2,953,000 Person-Years of Follow-Up. *J Clin Med*.
542 2020;9(8):2351.
- 543 11. Strak M, Weinmayr G, Rodopoulou S, Chen J, de Hoogh K, Andersen ZJ, et al. Long term
544 exposure to low level air pollution and mortality in eight European cohorts within the ELAPSE
545 project: pooled analysis. *BMJ*. 2021;374:n1904.
- 546 12. Milojevic A, Niedzwiedz CL, Pearce J, Milner J, MacKenzie IA, Doherty RM, et al.
547 Socioeconomic and urban-rural differentials in exposure to air pollution and mortality burden in
548 England. *Environmental Health*. 2017;16(1):104.
- 549 13. Faustini A, Stafoggia M, Renzi M, Cesaroni G, Alessandrini E, Davoli M, et al. Does chronic
550 exposure to high levels of nitrogen dioxide exacerbate the short-term effects of airborne particles?
551 *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2016;73(11):772.
- 552 14. Andersen Zorana J, de Nazelle A, Mendez Michelle A, Garcia-Aymerich J, Hertel O,
553 Tjønneland A, et al. A Study of the Combined Effects of Physical Activity and Air Pollution on
554 Mortality in Elderly Urban Residents: The Danish Diet, Cancer, and Health Cohort. *Environmental*
555 *Health Perspectives*. 2015;123(6):557-63.
- 556 15. Weichenthal S, Hoppin JA, Reeves F. Obesity and the cardiovascular health effects of fine
557 particulate air pollution. *Obesity*. 2014;22(7):1580-9.
- 558 16. Beelen R, Hoek G, van den Brandt Piet A, Goldbohm RA, Fischer P, Schouten Leo J, et al.
559 Long-Term Effects of Traffic-Related Air Pollution on Mortality in a Dutch Cohort (NLCS-AIR Study).
560 *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2008;116(2):196-202.
- 561 17. Cesaroni G, Badaloni C, Gariazzo C, Stafoggia M, Sozzi R, Davoli M, et al. Long-Term Exposure
562 to Urban Air Pollution and Mortality in a Cohort of More than a Million Adults in Rome.
563 *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2013;121(3):324-31.
- 564 18. Tonne C, Halonen JI, Beevers SD, Dajnak D, Gulliver J, Kelly FJ, et al. Long-term traffic air and
565 noise pollution in relation to mortality and hospital readmission among myocardial infarction
566 survivors. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2016;219(1):72-8.
- 567 19. Nawrot TS, Torfs R, Fierens F, De Henauw S, Hoet PH, Van Kersschaever G, et al. Stronger
568 associations between daily mortality and fine particulate air pollution in summer than in winter:
569 evidence from a heavily polluted region in western Europe. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community*
570 *Health*. 2007;61(2):146.

- 571 20. Stafoggia M, Schwartz J, Forastiere F, Perucci CA, the SG. Does Temperature Modify the
572 Association between Air Pollution and Mortality? A Multicity Case-Crossover Analysis in Italy.
573 *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2008;167(12):1476-85.
- 574 21. Analitis A, De' Donato F, Scortichini M, Lanki T, Basagana X, Ballester F, et al. Synergistic
575 Effects of Ambient Temperature and Air Pollution on Health in Europe: Results from the PHASE
576 Project. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(9).
- 577 22. Walker RJ, Strom Williams J, Egede LE. Influence of Race, Ethnicity and Social Determinants
578 of Health on Diabetes Outcomes. *Am J Med Sci*. 2016;351(4):366-73.
- 579 23. Smith NR, Lewis DJ, Fahy A, Eldridge S, Taylor SJC, Moore DG, et al. Individual socio-
580 demographic factors and perceptions of the environment as determinants of inequalities in
581 adolescent physical and psychological health: the Olympic Regeneration in East London (ORIEL)
582 study. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15(1):150.
- 583 24. Glad JA, Brink LL, Talbott EO, Lee PC, Xu X, Saul M, et al. The Relationship of Ambient Ozone
584 and PM2.5 Levels and Asthma Emergency Department Visits: Possible Influence of Gender and
585 Ethnicity. *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health*. 2012;67(2):103-8.
- 586 25. van Ham M, Manley D. Social housing allocation, choice and neighbourhood ethnic mix in
587 England. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*. 2009;24(4):407-22.
- 588 26. Cézard G, Finney N, Kulu H, Marshall A. Ethnic differences in self-assessed health in Scotland:
589 The role of socio-economic status and migrant generation. *Population, Space and Place*.
590 2020;n/a(n/a):e2403.
- 591 27. Wallace M, Kulu H. Migration and Health in England and Scotland: a Study of Migrant
592 Selectivity and Salmon Bias. *Population, Space and Place*. 2014;20(8):694-708.
- 593 28. Johnson D, Parker JD. Air pollution exposure and self-reported cardiovascular disease.
594 *Environmental Research*. 2009;109(5):582-9.
- 595 29. Nachman KE, Parker JD. Exposures to fine particulate air pollution and respiratory outcomes
596 in adults using two national datasets: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Health*. 2012;11:25.
- 597 30. Parker JD, Kravets N, Vaidyanathan A. Particulate Matter Air Pollution Exposure and Heart
598 Disease Mortality Risks by Race and Ethnicity in the United States. *Circulation*. 2018;137(16):1688-
599 97.
- 600 31. Schmidt-Catran AW, Fairbrother M. The Random Effects in Multilevel Models: Getting Them
601 Wrong and Getting Them Right. *European Sociological Review*. 2016;32(1):23-38.
- 602 32. McNeish D, Kelley K. Fixed effects models versus mixed effects models for clustered data:
603 Reviewing the approaches, disentangling the differences, and making recommendations. *Psychol*
604 *Methods*. 2019;24(1):20-35.
- 605 33. University of Essex. Understanding Society: Waves 1-10, 2009-2019 and Harmonised BHPS:
606 Waves 1-18, 1991-2009. In: University of Essex IfSaER, NatCen Social Research, Kantar Public, editor.
607 13th Edition ed. UK Data Service 2020.
- 608 34. Lynn P. Sample design for understanding society. *Underst Soc Work Pap Ser*. 2009;2009.
- 609 35. Lynn P, Nandi A, Parutis V, Platt L. Design and implementation of a high-quality probability
610 sample of immigrants and ethnic minorities: Lessons learnt. *Demographic Research*. 2018;38:513-48.
- 611 36. Abed Al Ahad M, Demšar U, Sullivan F, Kulu H. Air pollution and individuals' mental well-
612 being in the adult population in United Kingdom: A spatial-temporal longitudinal study and the
613 moderating effect of ethnicity. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(3):e0264394.
- 614 37. Modelled background pollution data [Internet]. 2020. Available from: [https://uk-](https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/pcm-data)
615 [air.defra.gov.uk/data/pcm-data](https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/pcm-data).
- 616 38. Dimakopoulou K, Samoli E, Beelen R, Stafoggia M, Andersen ZJ, Hoffmann B, et al. Air
617 pollution and nonmalignant respiratory mortality in 16 cohorts within the ESCAPE project. *American*
618 *journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2014;189(6):684-96.
- 619 39. Beelen R, Stafoggia M, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Andersen ZJ, Xun WW, Katsouyanni K, et al.
620 Long-term exposure to air pollution and cardiovascular mortality: an analysis of 22 European
621 cohorts. *Epidemiology*. 2014;25(3):368-78.

- 622 40. Carugno M, Consonni D, Randi G, Catelan D, Grisotto L, Bertazzi PA, et al. Air pollution
623 exposure, cause-specific deaths and hospitalizations in a highly polluted Italian region.
624 *Environmental Research*. 2016;147:415-24.
- 625 41. Hůnová I, Malý M, Řezáčová J, Braniš M. Association between ambient ozone and health
626 outcomes in Prague. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*.
627 2013;86(1):89-97.
- 628 42. Schober P, Boer C, Schwarte LA. Correlation Coefficients: Appropriate Use and
629 Interpretation. *Anesth Analg*. 2018;126(5):1763-8.
- 630 43. Lanzinger S, Schneider A, Breitner S, Stafoggia M, Erzen I, Dostal M, et al. Ultrafine and Fine
631 Particles and Hospital Admissions in Central Europe. Results from the UFIREG Study. *American*
632 *Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2016;194(10):1233-41.
- 633 44. Almeida SM, Silva AV, Sarmento S. Effects of exposure to particles and ozone on hospital
634 admissions for cardiorespiratory diseases in Setúbal, Portugal. *J Toxicol Environ Health A*.
635 2014;77(14-16):837-48.
- 636 45. Colais P, Faustini A, Stafoggia M, Berti G, Bisanti L, Cadum E, et al. Particulate Air Pollution
637 and Hospital Admissions for Cardiac Diseases in Potentially Sensitive Subgroups. *Epidemiology*.
638 2012;23(3).
- 639 46. Çapraz Ö, Deniz A, Doğan N. Effects of air pollution on respiratory hospital admissions in
640 İstanbul, Turkey, 2013 to 2015. *Chemosphere*. 2017;181:544-50.
- 641 47. Afoakwah C, Nghiem S, Scuffham P, Huynh Q, Marwick T, Byrnes J. Impacts of air pollution
642 on health: evidence from longitudinal cohort data of patients with cardiovascular diseases. *The*
643 *European Journal of Health Economics*. 2020;21(7):1025-38.
- 644 48. Dieleman JL, Templin T. Random-Effects, Fixed-Effects and the within-between Specification
645 for Clustered Data in Observational Health Studies: A Simulation Study. *PLoS One*.
646 2014;9(10):e110257.
- 647 49. Grazuleviciene R, Maroziene L, Dulskiene V, Malinauskiene V, Azaraviciene A, Laurinaviciene
648 D, et al. Exposure to urban nitrogen dioxide pollution and the risk of myocardial infarction.
649 *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*. 2004;30(4):293-8.
- 650 50. Masiol M, Agostinelli C, Formenton G, Tarabotti E, Pavoni B. Thirteen years of air pollution
651 hourly monitoring in a large city: Potential sources, trends, cycles and effects of car-free days.
652 *Science of The Total Environment*. 2014;494-495:84-96.
- 653 51. Malek E, Davis T, Martin RS, Silva PJ. Meteorological and environmental aspects of one of
654 the worst national air pollution episodes (January, 2004) in Logan, Cache Valley, Utah, USA.
655 *Atmospheric Research*. 2006;79(2):108-22.
- 656 52. Vestreng V, Myhre G, Fagerli H, Reis S, Tarrasón L. Twenty-five years of continuous sulphur
657 dioxide emission reduction in Europe. *Atmos Chem Phys*. 2007;7(13):3663-81.
- 658 53. Jyethi DS. Air Quality: Global and Regional Emissions of Particulate Matter, SO_x, and NO_x. In:
659 Kulshrestha U, Saxena P, editors. *Plant Responses to Air Pollution*. Singapore: Springer Singapore;
660 2016. p. 5-19.
- 661 54. Lucas K, Stokes G, Bastiaanssen J, Burkinshaw J. Inequalities in Mobility and Access in the UK
662 Transport System. *Future of Mobility: Evidence Review*, Government Office for Science. 2019.

663

664

665

666

667

668

669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692

693 **Appendix**

694 Table A1: The association of GP visits with the socioeconomic and lifestyle covariates
695 (N=140,466 surveys from 46,442 individuals)

		OR	P-value	lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI
Ethnicity	British-white	Ref			
	Other-white	0.840	0.001	0.762	0.927
	Indian	1.301	0.000	1.159	1.462
	Pakistani/Bangladeshi	1.525	0.000	1.372	1.694
	Black/African/Caribbean	1.104	0.079	0.989	1.233
	Mixed ethnicities	1.249	0.003	1.079	1.447

	Other ethnicities	0.884	0.046	0.782	0.998
Country of birth	Born in the UK	Ref			
	Not born in the UK	1.239	0.000	1.148	1.337
	No answer	0.775	0.000	0.725	0.829
Age	16-18	Ref			
	19-23	1.112	0.027	1.012	1.222
	24-28	1.277	0.000	1.138	1.433
	29-33	1.316	0.000	1.165	1.486
	34-38	1.202	0.003	1.064	1.358
	39-43	1.259	0.000	1.115	1.423
	44-48	1.446	0.000	1.281	1.631
	49-53	1.615	0.000	1.431	1.824
	54-58	1.909	0.000	1.689	2.157
	59-63	1.963	0.000	1.733	2.225
	64-68	2.084	0.000	1.834	2.367
	69-73	2.306	0.000	2.023	2.628
	74-78	2.823	0.000	2.458	3.242
	>78	3.547	0.000	3.071	4.096
Gender	Male	Ref			
	Female	2.235	0.000	2.147	2.327
Education	University degree	Ref			
	High school degree	1.054	0.056	0.999	1.112
	Lower educational levels	1.496	0.000	1.230	1.819
	Other qualifications	1.452	0.000	1.366	1.543
	Still a student	0.799	0.000	0.730	0.876
Marital status	Married	Ref			
	Living as a couple	1.141	0.000	1.071	1.217
	Widowed	0.916	0.050	0.839	1.000
	Divorced/separated	1.220	0.000	1.137	1.309
	Single never married	0.990	0.745	0.931	1.052
	No answer	0.913	0.461	0.716	1.164
Subjective financial situation	Living comfortably/doing alright	Ref			
	Living difficultly	1.501	0.000	1.451	1.552
	No answer	0.819	0.093	0.648	1.034
Socio-economic classification	Management and professional occupations	Ref			
	Intermediate occupations	0.992	0.791	0.935	1.053
	Routine occupations	1.117	0.000	1.056	1.182
	NA: student/retired/not working	1.845	0.000	1.749	1.946
	No answer	1.013	0.830	0.904	1.135
Smoking status	Non smoker	Ref			
	Smoker	1.128	0.000	1.072	1.187
	No answer	0.961	0.815	0.686	1.345
Time dummies	2015	Ref			
	2016	1.151	0.000	1.109	1.194
	2017	1.199	0.000	1.155	1.244

	2018	0.890	0.000	0.857	0.925
	2019	0.707	0.000	0.673	0.743

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714


715

716






717 Table A2: The association of outpatient hospital admissions with the socioeconomic and
718 lifestyle covariates (N=140,466 surveys from 46,442 individuals)

		OR	P-value	lower 95%CI	Upper 95% CI
Ethnicity	British-white	Ref			
	Other-white	0.985	0.753	0.894	1.084
	Indian	0.907	0.090	0.809	1.015
	Pakistani/Bangladeshi	0.886	0.019	0.800	0.981
	Black/African/Caribbean	1.101	0.080	0.988	1.227
	Mixed ethnicities	1.385	0.000	1.199	1.600
	Other ethnicities	0.928	0.230	0.822	1.048
Country of birth	Born in the UK	Ref			
	Not born in the UK	0.893	0.003	0.828	0.963


	No answer	0.945	0.088	0.886	1.008
Age	16-18	Ref			
	19-23	0.949	0.329	0.855	1.054
	24-28	1.109	0.105	0.979	1.256
	29-33	1.228	0.002	1.078	1.399
	34-38	1.139	0.051	0.999	1.297
	39-43	1.242	0.001	1.090	1.414
	44-48	1.364	0.000	1.199	1.552
	49-53	1.588	0.000	1.396	1.806
	54-58	1.941	0.000	1.705	2.209
	59-63	2.122	0.000	1.860	2.420
	64-68	2.287	0.000	2.000	2.616
	69-73	2.588	0.000	2.257	2.968
	74-78	3.291	0.000	2.851	3.800
	>78	3.811	0.000	3.287	4.418
Gender	Male	Ref			
	Female	1.458	0.000	1.401	1.517
Education	University degree	Ref			
	High school degree	1.002	0.944	0.950	1.057
	Lower educational levels	1.061	0.547	0.874	1.289
	Other qualifications	0.989	0.708	0.931	1.049
	Still a student	0.660	0.000	0.597	0.729
Marital status	Married	Ref			
	Living as a couple	1.001	0.985	0.938	1.068
	Widowed	0.955	0.296	0.877	1.041
	Divorced/separated	1.231	0.000	1.148	1.319
	Single never married	0.904	0.001	0.850	0.961
	No answer	0.836	0.180	0.643	1.087
Subjective financial situation	Living comfortably/doing alright	Ref			
	Living difficultly	1.303	0.000	1.258	1.350
	No answer	1.227	0.104	0.959	1.569
Socio-economic classification	Management and professional occupations	Ref			
	Intermediate occupations	0.935	0.033	0.879	0.995
	Routine occupations	0.993	0.805	0.936	1.053
	NA: student/retired/not working	1.669	0.000	1.580	1.763
	No answer	1.052	0.424	0.929	1.191
Smoking status	Non smoker	Ref			
	Smoker	1.001	0.973	0.950	1.054
	No answer	0.743	0.120	0.511	1.080
Time dummies	2015	Ref			
	2016	1.094	0.000	1.051	1.138
	2017	1.156	0.000	1.110	1.203
	2018	1.052	0.015	1.010	1.096
	2019	1.000	0.989	0.948	1.054




Figure




Figure




NO2 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)




SO2 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)



PM10 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)





PM2.5 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)





Figure

a) Between








- Other-white
- Indian
- ▲ Pakistani/Bangladeshi
- ◆ Black/African/Caribbean
- ◇ Mixed ethnicities
- △ Other ethnicities
- Not born in UK
- No answer

b) Within







Figure

a) Between



b) Within



Figure