1	Distinct origins and transmission pathways of <i>bla</i> _{KPC} Enterobacterales across three U.S.
2	states
3	
4	Zena Lapp ¹ , Rany Octaria ^{2,3} , Sean M. O'Malley ⁴ , Tu Ngoc Nguyen ⁵ , Hannah Wolford ⁶ , Ryan
5	Crawford ¹ , Christina Moore ³ , Paula Snippes Vagnone ⁴ , Diane Noel ⁵ , Nadezhda Duffy ⁶ , Ali
6	Pirani ⁷ , Linda S. Thomas ³ , Brittany Pattee ⁴ , Claire Pearson ⁵ , Sandra N. Bulens ⁶ , Sophie
7	Hoffman ¹ , Marion Kainer ³ , Melissa Anacker ⁴ , James Meek ⁸ , Isaac See ⁶ , Allison Chan ³ , Ruth
8	Lynfield ⁴ , Meghan Maloney ⁵ , Mary K. Hayden ^{9,10} , Evan Snitkin ⁷ , Rachel B. Slayton ⁶
9	
10	¹ Department of Computational Medicine and Bioinformatics, University of Michigan, Ann
11	Arbor, Michigan, USA
12	² Department of Medicine, Division of Epidemiology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville,
13	Tennessee, USA
14	³ Tennessee Department of Health, Nashville, Tennessee, USA
15	⁴ Minnesota Department of Health, USA
16	⁵ Connecticut Department of Public Health, USA
17	⁶ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA
18	⁷ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan,
19	USA
20	⁸ Connecticut Emerging Infections Program, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT
21	⁹ Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Rush University Medical Center,
22	Chicago, Illinois, USA
23	¹⁰ Department of Pathology, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, USA

24	
25	Keywords:
26	Transmission, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE), importation, patient transfer
27	
28	Running title:
29	CRE origin and transmission in 3 states
30	
31	Corresponding author:
32	Evan Snitkin
33	esnitkin@med.umich.edu
34	1520D MSRB I, 1150 W. Medical Center Dr., Ann Arbor, MI, 48109-5680
35	(734) 647-6472
36	
37	Alternate corresponding author:
38	Rachel B. Slayton
39	via3@cdc.gov
40	1600 Clifton Rd NE, MS H16-3, Atlanta, GA 30329
41	404-636-4566

43 Abstract

44 Background

- 45 Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE) are among the most concerning antibiotic
- 46 resistance threats due to high rates of multidrug resistance, transmissibility in healthcare settings,
- 47 and high mortality rates. We evaluated the potential for regional genomic surveillance to track
- 48 *bla*_{KPC}-carrying CRE (KPC-CRE) transmission across healthcare facilities in three U.S. states.

49 Methods

- 50 Clinical isolates were collected from Connecticut (CT; 2017-2018), Minnesota (MN; 2012-
- 51 2018), and Tennessee (TN; 2016-2017) through the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and
- 52 Prevention's Multi-site Gram-negative Surveillance Initiative and additional surveillance. KPC-
- 53 CRE isolates were whole-genome sequenced, and case report data on patient comorbidities,

54 healthcare utilization, and interfacility patient transfer were extracted.

55 Findings

- 56 In CT, most KPC-CRE isolates showed evidence of importation from outside the state, with
- 57 limited local transmission. In MN, cases were mainly from sporadic importation and
- 58 transmission of *bla*_{KPC}-carrying *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KPC-Kp) ST258, and clonal expansion
- 59 of an imported epidemic lineage of *bla*_{KPC}-carrying *Enterobacter hormaechei* (KPC-Ec) ST171
- 60 primarily at a single focal facility and its satellite facilities. In TN, KPC-Kp ST258, and more
- 61 recently emerged KPC-Kp ST307 and KPC-Eh ST114 were most common, with largely non-
- 62 overlapping facility networks mediating the spread of ST258 versus ST307 and ST114.

63 Conclusions

64 The underlying processes driving KPC-CRE burden can differ substantially across regions, and

65 different STs can spread via distinct pathways within a region. Integrating genomic and

- 66 epidemiological data from regional surveillance, and information on interfacility patient
- 67 transfers, can provide insights to target interventions.

69 Introduction

70 Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE) accounted for an estimated 13,100 infections 71 among hospitalized patients in the United States (U.S.) in 2017 [1], and likely colonized upwards 72 of 100,000 additional patients [2]. Infections with CRE are an urgent public health threat as they 73 can be difficult to treat due to resistance to carbapenems and other last-resort antibiotics [3,4]. Of 74 concern are CRE containing a carbapenemase gene found on a mobile genetic element, which 75 can disseminate within and between different species via horizontal gene transfer (HGT) 76 followed by subsequent clonal dissemination [5]. To implement interventions that effectively 77 reduce CRE infections and transmission requires not only monitoring regional CRE infections, 78 but also understanding where they were acquired. In particular, because of the capacity for CRE 79 to colonize patients for months and even years, the facility where a patient developed an 80 infection may not be where they acquired it. As active surveillance for CRE colonization at a 81 regional level is logistically infeasible, strategies are needed to leverage clinical isolate 82 collections to discern the origin of patient's CRE infections. 83 84 Understanding whether and how a hospitalized patient's CRE strain is related to previous cases 85 is critical for effective and efficient surveillance and intervention strategies. The CRE burden in 86 some regions appears to be driven by the evolution or importation of lineages with epidemic 87 potential, such as *bla*_{KPC}-carrying *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KPC-Kp) ST258 (e.g. in California) 88 [5] and Enterobacter hormaechei (KPC-Eh) ST171 (e.g. in Minnesota) [6] in the U.S. Following 89 initial regional emergence, these strains may be transmitted within healthcare facilities and 90 spread between facilities via transfer of colonized patients [5,7,8]. In regions with sustained 91 transmission of epidemic clones, effective regional control requires identification of locations

92	where transmission is occurring and impacting the burden in the region, and monitoring the
93	movement of CRE carriers between healthcare facilities [9,10]. In contrast, others have observed
94	a role for HGT of mobile carbapenemase elements in driving CRE spread within individual
95	healthcare facilities [11–13]. If HGT is a significant contributor to overall regional CRE burden,
96	effective prevention and control requires identification of reservoirs of these resistance elements
97	and an understanding of the propensity of different strain/mobile element combinations to spread
98	between patients or act as HGT donors.

99

100 Here, we evaluate the potential for passive regional genomic surveillance to inform the pathways 101 leading to CRE cases across regional healthcare networks in three U.S. states involved in the 102 U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Multi-site Gram-negative Surveillance 103 Initiative (MuGSI). In particular, we focus on the most commonly observed CRE in the MuGSI 104 catchment areas, KPC-Kp and KPC-Ec. This builds off of the MuGSI aim to quantify the burden 105 of certain resistant gram-negative bacteria in the U.S. by supplementing preexisting data with 106 whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of CRE isolates collected through MuGSI and supplemental 107 state-wide surveillance. By integrating genomic data from densely sampled CRE cases and 108 healthcare exposure data, and comparing local strains with global isolates, we gained insight into 109 local strain origins and regional transmission pathways.

110

111 Methods

This retrospective study was a collaboration between the Emerging Infections Program (EIP) and CDC Prevention Epicenters. The EIP is a collaboration between 10 state health departments and their partnering academic institutions and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and

115	other federal agencies [14]. The Healthcare-Associated Infections Community Interface (HAIC)
116	is one of the core components of the EIP and conducts active population- and laboratory-based
117	surveillance for CRE [15]. Three EIP sites participated in this study: Connecticut (CT),
118	Minnesota (MN), and Tennessee (TN).
119	
120	<u>CRE surveillance</u>
121	While only selected counties in MN and TN participated in the HAIC MuGSI [16], each of the
122	participating states conducted active statewide public health surveillance for CRE. All
123	carbapenem-resistant isolates were submitted by clinical laboratories to each state's respective
124	State Public Health Laboratory (SPHL; see Supplemental Methods). Multiple isolates from the
125	same patient were obtained if the new isolate was from at least 30 days after a previous case
126	isolate was identified.
127	
128	We define CRE as any organism in the Enterobacterales order isolated from any clinical
129	specimen with resistance to doripenem, meropenem, or imipenem (minimum inhibitory
130	concentrations [MIC] of \geq 4 µg/ml); with resistance to ertapenem (MIC \geq 2 µg/ml); or with
131	demonstrated production of a carbapenemase [16]. All CRE isolates identified were tested for the
132	carbapenemase genes bla_{KPC} , bla_{NDM} , $bla_{\text{OXA-48}}$, bla_{VIM} , and bla_{IMP} (see Supplemental Methods).
133	
134	CRE considered for WGS were collected in CT from 2017-2018, in MN from 2012-2018, and in
135	TN from 2016-2017. Unless otherwise noted, only isolates from 2016-2018 were analyzed to
136	enable more meaningful comparison of analyses across states. For CT and MN, isolates from the
137	entire state were included. In TN, only isolates from TN Emergency Medical Services (EMS)

138	Regions 2-7 were included (76.6% of the population). We excluded counties in the Memphis-
139	Delta and Northeast Tennessee Region due to significant healthcare utilization across state lines.
140	Table S1 provides information on the number of each type of healthcare facility in each state and
141	the number of each type for which we have at least one sequenced isolate.
142	
143	Epidemiologic data
144	Epidemiologic data were obtained through medical record review and in TN supplemented by
145	additional data sources (see Supplemental Methods). Isolate metadata includes state, treatment
146	facility ID, patient ID, age, sex, culture source, and hospitalizations in the previous year. MuGSI
147	surveillance data also included information on infection type and underlying conditions, which
148	was only obtained for selected non-MuGSI isolates. The only time we used this additional data
149	was to investigate shared underlying conditions; this analysis was limited to samples for which
150	we had data on these conditions. All other analyses included all isolates.
151	
152	Generation of aggregate patient transfer networks
153	Aggregate patient transfer networks (i.e., patient flow across healthcare facilities [5]) for each
154	state for 2017 were derived from CMS fee-for-service beneficiary claims data linked to the CMS
155	Minimum Data Set by Medicare beneficiary ID. The number of transfers between two facilities
156	includes transfers directly from one facility to another and transfers with an intervening stay in
157	the community of less than 365 days. See Supplemental Methods.
158	
159	Isolate and genomic data processing

160	Over 90% of clinical CRE isolates considered for WGS were KPC-Kp or KPC-Eh; we therefore
161	performed Illumina WGS on only this subset of isolates (see Supplemental Methods). Study
162	isolates included isolates from BioProject numbers PRJNA272863 [6] and PRJNA873034 (new
163	from this study) (Supplemental File S1). For this set of isolates, we identified species and
164	sequence types (STs) [17,18], called single-nucleotide variants using species-specific reference
165	genomes (K. pneumoniae: KPNIH1, GenBank accession number CP008827.1, 5,394,056 base
166	pairs; E. hormaechei: MNCRE9, GenBank accession number JZDE00000000.1, 4,911,317 base
167	pairs) [19-25], built reference-based phylogenetic trees [24-28], and generated and annotated
168	assemblies [19,20,29,30] (see Supplemental Methods). These reference-based data were used for
169	all analyses unless otherwise noted. Furthermore, to prevent overcounting of intra-facility
170	transmission, isolates were limited to the first isolate per patient for each ST/facility/patient
171	combination.

172

173 Identification of putative geographic importation events

174 5,346 public KPC-positive and KPC-negative K. pneumoniae and E. hormaechei isolates were 175 downloaded from the PATRIC database on 2021-04-23 [18,31] and used to contextualize study 176 isolates. In particular, we aimed to group study isolates into clusters that could be traced back to 177 common local emergence events based on phylogenetic clustering, and then used geographic 178 context to determine whether a cluster likely originated via importation of a *bla*_{KPC} carrying 179 strain from outside the state. For all public and study isolates, ST-specific core genome 180 phylogenies were generated [24,32,33] and *bla*_{KPC} was identified [31,34] (see Supplemental 181 Methods). For each core genome tree, maximum likelihood ancestral reconstruction of each 182 study site location (MN, TN, CT) and presence of *bla*_{KPC} was performed individually in R v4.0.2

183 [35] using the ace() function in ape v5.5 [36]. Nodes with an ancestral reconstruction confidence 184 of < 0.875 were discarded. All transition events (for each geographic location and *bla*_{KPC}) were 185 then mapped onto the edges of the phylogeny. Each KPC-positive study isolate tip was traversed 186 towards the root of the tree until the first transition event was identified. If the first transition 187 event was a geographic transition event with no $bla_{\rm KPC}$ transition event on the same edge, 188 isolates were considered to originate from geographic importation of a KPC-positive strain. If the 189 first transition event was for *bla*_{KPC}, this supports local acquisition of *bla*_{KPC} via HGT; therefore, 190 these isolates were presumed to not originate from importation of a KPC-positive strain. If a 191 geographic and bla_{KPC} transition occurred on the same edge, or if transition edges were of low 192 confidence, we considered there to be no evidence of importation of a KPC-positive strain. Study 193 isolates traced back to the same edge transition event, regardless of the type or confidence of the 194 transition, were considered part of a local cluster traced back to a common local emergence 195 event. We consider the number of transition events for a given geographic location to be the 196 number of identified clusters.

197

198 Computing pairwise distances

Pairwise single nucleotide variant (SNV) distances and patristic distances for study isolates from each species-specific reference-based sequence alignment were calculated in R v4.0.2 [35] using the dist.dna() function in ape v5.5 [36] with pairwise.deletion = TRUE. These pairwise SNV distances were classified as intra- and inter-facility pairs using the get_pair_types() function in regentrans v0.1 [37]. Rather than choosing a specific pairwise SNV distance threshold, we highlight various thresholds (\leq 5, 10, 15) as a sensitivity analysis. A threshold of 10 was chosen

- where needed based on a decrease in the fraction of intra-facility pairs at various SNV distances
- 206 (Figure S3A).
- 207
- 208 Integrated genomic and epidemiologic analyses
- 209 *Relatedness of intra- vs. inter-facility isolates*
- 210 For each isolate with at least one intra-facility isolate pair and at least one inter-facility isolate
- 211 pair, we identified the minimum pairwise SNV distance of all intra-facility pairs and the pairwise
- 212 minimum pairwise SNV distance of all inter-facility isolate pairs. We then compared the
- 213 pairwise SNV distances of intra- vs. inter-facility isolate pairs using a paired Wilcox test.
- 214
- 215 Intra-facility isolate pair shared comorbidity analysis
- 216 For each intra-facility isolate pair, we determined whether they had at least one shared
- 217 comorbidity and used a Wilcox test to compare pairwise SNV distances of patients who shared
- 218 comorbidities and those who did not. This was done based on the assumption that patients with
- shared comorbidities would be provided care in more proximate inpatient locations.
- 220
- 221 Inter-facility isolate pair shared prior healthcare exposure analysis

For each inter-facility patient pair, we determined whether they had a shared healthcare exposure in the past year (data collection method described in the Supplemental Methods). Then we used a Wilcox test compared the pairwise SNV distances of patients who shared a healthcare exposure and those who did not.

226

227 Data analysis and visualization

228	R v4.1.1 was used for all data analysis and visualization using these packages: tidyverse v1.3.1
229	[39], ggtree v2.5.2 [40,41], igraph v1.2.6 [42], regentrans v0.1 [37], tidygraph v1.2.0 [43],
230	ggraph v2.0.5 [44], exact2x2 v1.6.5 [38], cowplot v1.1.1 [45], readxl v1.3.1 [46], ape v5.5 [36].
231	The code used to perform analyses is here: https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/eip-cre-
232	transmission-ms.
233	
234	Human subjects research
235	The study was reviewed and approved by the Tennessee Department of Health institutional
236	review board (IRB). See 45 CFR. part 46; 21 CFR part 56. The Minnesota and Connecticut
237	Department of Health IRB determined that the study was exempt from IRB review in accordance
238	with 45 CFR 46.101(b)(4). The study was approved by the CDC IRB with a waiver of HIPAA
239	authorization under the Privacy Rule as per 45 CFR 46.512(i). The University of Michigan
240	Medical School IRB approved this protocol.
241	
242	Results
243	Over 90% of collected clinical CRE isolates were KPC-Kp or KPC-Eh; we therefore focus our
244	analysis on this subset of isolates to understand the pathways leading to the dominant causes of
245	infections. We included the first isolate of each unique ST/facility/patient combination. In each
246	state, over 50 KPC-Kp and KPC-Eh isolates were present across over 20 distinct healthcare
247	facilities (Table S1; Figure S1). The dominant STs were KPC-Kp ST258 in all three states,
248	KPC-Eh ST171 in MN, and KPC-Eh ST114 and KPC-Kp ST307 in TN.
249	
250	Clonal dissemination after importation or <i>bla</i> _{KPC} acquisition differs across states and STs

251 To gain insight into the origin and magnitude of spread of different KPC-Kp and KPC-Eh strains 252 in each state we performed phylogenetic analyses including 5,346 publicly available 253 *Enterobacter* spp. and *Klebsiella* spp. genomes deposited in the PATRIC database (Figures 254 S2A-B). We sought to use the genetic and geographic context provided by PATRIC isolates to 255 identify phylogenetic clusters including only isolates from a given state (Figure 1; Figure S2C). 256 Despite what we assume to be relatively sparse sampling of circulating *Klebsiella* and 257 Enterobacter strains in PATRIC, phylogenetic context revealed that KPC-Kp and KPC-Eh cases 258 in all three states were attributable to large numbers of independent regional emergence events. 259 In CT and MN, large phylogenetic clusters consistent with local dissemination were almost 260 exclusively restricted to those that could be traced back to the outside importation of the 261 epidemic lineages KPC-Kp ST258 and KPC-Eh ST171. In TN, we also detected evidence for 262 local dissemination of imported KPC-Kp ST258, and to a lesser extent KPC-Eh ST171. 263 However, in contrast to CT and MN, we also found large phylogenetic clusters that could not be 264 traced to an external source. Most notable were large clusters of the emerging epidemic clones 265 KPC-Kp ST307 [48] and KPC-Eh ST114 [49]. Support for these phylogenetic clusters being due 266 to local acquisition of $bla_{\rm KPC}$ comes from the nearest neighbors of these strains in public 267 collections being KPC-negative (Figure S2C).

268

Genomic analysis of regional clinical isolate collections allowed for the detection of local transmission in MN and TN, and lack thereof in CT

271 Having characterized the origin of circulating strains, we next sought to understand whether

272 regional genomic surveillance of clinical isolates was dense enough to discern facilities and

273 regional subnetworks where transmission was occurring. To this end, we first investigated the

274	extent to which the surveillance isolates captured putative recent local transmission events,
275	defined as small pairwise SNV distances (Figures S3B) or small patristic distances (Figure
276	S3C). Within an ST, smaller pairwise SNV distances indicate potential local transmission, while
277	larger distances are not indicative of local transmission [50]. We captured very few local
278	transmission events in CT, suggesting that importation from other geographic regions may be
279	driving the case load there. In contrast, we captured likely local transmission events of KPC-Eh
280	ST171 in MN and of KPC-Kp ST258, KPC-Kp ST307 and KPC-Eh ST114 in TN, consistent
281	with our observation of large phylogenetic clusters for these STs (Figure 1).
282	
283	Patients with closely related intra-facility isolate pairs did not share any underlying conditions
284	that may be indicative of an outbreak related to a certain location or procedure in the hospital (all
285	p > 0.05). In addition, we observed that isolates close in pairwise SNV distance to another isolate
286	from the same facility were also often closely related to an isolate from a different facility
287	(Figure 2A). In fact, for ST258 and ST114 in TN, isolates tended to have closer genetic
288	neighbors at different facilities versus the same facility ($p < 0.05$). These findings suggest that
289	observation of closely related isolates from within a facility does not directly equate to an intra-
290	facility transmission event having occurred, but may also reflect recent transmission at a
291	connected facility. Supporting the notion that we observed signatures of inter-facility
292	transmission is that patients from different facilities with a common prior healthcare exposure
293	tended to have more closely related isolates than those with no common prior healthcare
294	exposure (Figure 2B).
295	

296 Longitudinal genomic surveillance in MN revealed limited spread of ST258 and localized

297 transmission of ST171 in a regional sub-network

298 With evidence for isolate pairs with small SNV distances being informative of recent intra- and 299 inter-facility transmission, we next set out to look at genomic linkages across MN and TN to 300 gain insight into where transmission of different STs is occurring. MN has been collecting CRE 301 isolates since 2012. We observed a decrease in the amount of KPC-Eh ST171 and KPC-Kp 302 ST258 (Figure S4A) and corresponding signatures of transmission over time (Figure S4B). 303 Incorporating information from this extended dataset to investigate transmission, we observed 304 stark contrasts in the relatedness of ST171 compared to ST258 isolates across time. ST258 305 isolates collected over one year apart were rarely closely related to each other; however, ST171 306 isolates collected even over 5 years apart were often quite closely related (Figure 3A). We 307 investigated whether this difference was driven by certain facilities, and found that one facility, 308 F38, was highly represented in closely related ST171 intra- (Figure 3B) and inter-facility 309 (Figure 3C) isolate pairs. Of all ST171 isolate pairs within 10 SNVs, 66/86 (77%) intra-facility 310 pairs were from F38 and 240/430 (56%) inter-facility pairs contained at least one isolate from 311 F38. About half of these inter-facility pairs were connected to one of three other facilities 312 (Figure 3D). F38 is highly connected to other facilities in the patient transfer network (Figure 313 S3E) and is well-connected by patient transfer to the other three highly represented facilities (Figure S3F; \geq 90th percentile of all facility pairs). These findings indicate that the pattern by 314 315 which ST171 and ST258 disseminated, and the potential role of specific facilities, is very 316 different.

317

318 Distinct facility sub-networks in TN harbor different lineages

319	We next explored whether we could discern where transmission was occurring in TN by
320	investigating what facilities patients with each ST had been to in the previous year. We observed
321	a cluster of seven facilities where patients with ST114, ST307, and as STs categorized as Other,
322	had been in the previous year (Figure 4A). Visualizing these seven facilities in the context of the
323	aggregate patient transfer network revealed that they are closely connected (Figure 4B) and have
324	more patient sharing among themselves than with other facilities (Figure 4C; Wilcox $p < 0.001$).
325	Additionally, this facility cluster is distinct from a cluster containing predominately ST258
326	(Figure 4B).

327

328 Discussion

329 As public health laboratories increase their capacity for genomic surveillance, it is critical to 330 understand if and how genomic data from passive surveillance can be used to guide regional 331 intervention efforts. Statewide active surveillance is infeasible, and while that would be required 332 to infer who transmitted to whom, passive surveillance may be enough to provide practical 333 guidance for interventions including if and where transmission is happening and if there are any 334 emerging threats. Here, we evaluated whether genomic analysis of clinical bla_{KPC} -positive CRE 335 isolates from three U.S. states could inform our understanding of the origins and transmission 336 pathways of circulating strains. We could discern the relative contributions of importation and 337 clonal dissemination to each state's KPC-Kp and KPC-Eh case burden and highlight individual 338 facilities and connected regional sub-networks where putative transmission occurred. These 339 insights did not rely on detailed clinical metadata, indicating that other regions interested in 340 identifying hotspots and pathways for regional spread may be able to do so with only knowledge 341 of recent healthcare exposures. Our findings suggest that states should consider prioritizing

genomic analysis of clinical isolates to monitor transmission hotspots as insights gleaned from
 these analyses may enable targeting of regional infection prevention efforts to certain facilities.
 344

345 Despite focusing on the same two Enterobacterales species harboring the same carbapenemase 346 gene, we observed stark differences in the underlying drivers of each state's KPC-Kp and KPC-347 Eh burden. In CT, strains were frequently imported but did not show evidence of onward 348 transmission. Importation is unsurprising, given the state's proximity to other major CRE 349 hotspots in the Northeast U.S. [51]. However, this still leaves unanswered why imported *bla*_{KPC}-350 positive CRE have apparently not gained a foothold. One reason may be that the structure of the 351 CT regional healthcare network decreases local transmission. Recent work showed that post-352 acute care settings can act as initiators and amplifiers of regional CRE epidemics [52,53]. Thus, 353 infection prevention practices in these facilities, and their patient transfer connections with other 354 facilities, may have large impacts on overall regional CRE spread. Regardless, our observations 355 suggest that focusing resources on testing admission cultures from out-of-state may allow CT to 356 continue having a low burden of these CRE strains.

357

In MN, *bla*_{KPC}-positive CRE burden was driven by a major importation event of the epidemic lineage KPC-Eh ST171 followed by dissemination within the region. Incorporation of historical data revealed that isolates far apart in time were often closely related and were largely from or connected to isolates from a single hub facility with many referrals that provides specialty care, F38. These observations could indicate an environmental reservoir within or proximate to F38, or undetected endemic spread at one or more referral facilities. Having this data in real-time generates actionable hypotheses to potentially stem regional spread. F38 could prioritize testing

365 patients admitted from facilities connected by extensive patient transfer. Similarly, state 366 epidemiologists could investigate facilities with large numbers of genomic linkages to isolates 367 from F38, such as the three identified here, to detect other potential reservoirs and locations 368 where intervention may have a broad positive impact on regional KPC-Eh burden. 369 370 TN showed a diversity in circulating strains. In addition to a significant burden of ST258, we 371 observed CRE isolates from two more recently emerged lineages – KPC-Kp ST307 and KPC-Eh 372 ST114 – and less-common STs that appear to have emerged and spread more recently. This 373 pattern is in stark contrast with CT and MN, where there were very few cases of onward 374 transmission detected after a non-epidemic strain acquired $bla_{\rm KPC}$. Furthermore, these recently 375 emerged lineages traversed a distinct sub-network of healthcare facilities relative to KPC-Kp 376 ST258. These observations suggest that the propensity for potential HGT of $bla_{\rm KPC}$ into 377 emerging and novel receptive strains, followed by clonal dissemination, appears to be higher in a 378 subset of TN healthcare facilities. While we were unable to discern specific HGT events in this

379 study, nor why these facilities may be hotspots for successful HGT, our observations do suggest

that the subnetwork observed here may be an important location to monitor for emerging threats.

381

Our study has several limitations. First, our use of only clinical isolates may lead to an underestimation of clonal dissemination, that may be different for different STs depending on their virulence and associated colonization-to-infection ratio (i.e. ST-specific iceberg effect). However, we were still able to uncover patterns of clonal dissemination using these data, suggesting that regional clinical isolate collections can provide actionable insights for public health labs. While transmission may not have occurred at the facilities where clinical isolates

388 were collected, this nevertheless provides a location at which to initiate an investigation and 389 could ultimately guide more targeted surveillance efforts. Second, the isolate collection periods 390 were different for each state. This may result in different levels of contextual information 391 provided by public databases, through which importation was inferred. However, our 392 observations are consistent with prior studies in that KPC is known to be stably associated with 393 ST258, ST171 emerged in the upper Midwest, and ST307 and ST114 only recently became 394 associated with KPC. Another limitation is that the public isolates used from PATRIC to inform 395 the origin of locally circulating strains are not equally representative of all geographic regions, 396 which may underestimate the extent of importation, as multiple importations from an unsampled 397 reservoir may be merged. While the analyses performed here would be enhanced by additional 398 context, we note that inferences made into importation using phylogenetic clustering are largely 399 consistent with the SNV distance-based analyses, supporting the robustness of our conclusions. 400 Furthermore, the aggregate patient transfer data used to understand the connectivity between 401 regional healthcare facilities was derived from Medicare patient transfers, which may lead to 402 biases in patient transfer connections. However, despite this, we were able to discern local sub-403 networks of healthcare facilities with distinct transmission patterns.

404

In conclusion, our results suggest that, not only can the origins and transmission patterns of *bla*_{KPC}-positive CRE be investigated using genomic surveillance of sufficiently comprehensive
regional clinical isolate collections, but also that these transmission dynamics can vary across
strains and regions. The differences underlying the KPC-Kp and KPC-Eh burden in these three
states would not have been discernible without genomic data, and in fact would be strengthened
further by the existence of larger genomic surveillance initiatives that could provide more

411	granular context for the origin of strains and their mobile elements to guide prevention efforts.							
412	Similarly, our understanding of how KPC-Kp and KPC-Eh proliferate in a region would be							
413	limited without knowledge of patient healthcare exposures and transfer networks, which enable a							
414	more nuanced understanding of where transmission is occurring, where reservoirs might exist,							
415	and where additional surveillance or intervention is required. Taken together, these observations							
416	support the value of robust regional genomic surveillance for antibiotic resistance threats and the							
417	need for analytic platforms capable of integrating genomic and patient movement data to guide							
418	local and state infection prevention efforts.							
419								
420	Acknowledgements							
421	Pamela Talley and Matt Estes from the Tennessee Department of Health. The MN-PHL							
422	Microbiology and Sequencing & Bioinformatics laboratories for their work in identifying and							
423	sequencing all of the MN isolates in the project. Gwendolyn Hughes and Christina Sancken from							
424	CDC.							
425								
426	Funding							
427	This work was supported by the CDC through the Emerging Infections Program cooperative							
428	agreement (grant number CK17-1701). It was also supported in part by CDC Prevention							
429	Epicenter Program Cooperative Agreement No. U54CK000481-S1. ZL received support from							
430	the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE							
431	1256260. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material							
432	are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science							
433	Foundation.							

43	4
----	---

435 **Conflicts of interest**

- 436 M.K.H. was a member of a clinical adjudication panel for an investigational SARS-CoV-2
- 437 vaccine developed by Sanofi for a year and a half, ending in July 2022.

438

- 439 **Disclaimer:** The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not
- 440 necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The use of
- trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Centers for Disease
- 442 Control and Prevention.

443

444 References

- 445 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U.S.). Antibiotic resistance threats in the
- 446 United States, 2019. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U.S.), 2019. Available at:

447 https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/82532. Accessed 11 June 2020.

- 448 2. Lee BY, Bartsch SM, Wong KF, et al. Tracking the spread of carbapenem-resistant
- 449 Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) through clinical cultures alone underestimates the spread of CRE
- 450 even more than anticipated. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2019; 40: 731–
- 451 734.
- 452 3. World Health Organization, editor. Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance.
- 453 Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2014.
- 454 4. Potter RF, D'Souza AW, Dantas G. The rapid spread of carbapenem-resistant
- 455 Enterobacteriaceae. Drug Resistance Updates **2016**; 29:30–46.
- 456 5. Han JH, Lapp Z, Bushman F, et al. Whole-genome sequencing to identify drivers of

457	carbapenem-	resistant	Klebsiella	pneumoniae	transmission	within and	1 between	regional
101	our oup on oni	1 COIDCOILC	I LICODICIIC	privationia	ti wiioiiiiiooioii	TT I CITITI COLLO		1 U GIUIIMI

- 458 long-term acute-care hospitals. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **2019**; 63:e01622-
- 459 19.
- 460 6. Hargreaves ML, Shaw KM, Dobbins G, et al. Clonal Dissemination of Enterobacter cloacae
- 461 Harboring blaKPC-3 in the Upper Midwestern United States. Antimicrobial Agents and
- 462 Chemotherapy **2015**; 59:7723–7734.
- 463 7. Snitkin ES, Won S, Pirani A, et al. Integrated genomic and interfacility patient-transfer data
 464 reveal the transmission pathways of multidrug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in a regional
- 465 outbreak. Science Translational Medicine **2017**; 9:eaan0093.
- 466 8. Lapp Z, Crawford R, Miles-Jay A, et al. Regional Spread of blaNDM-1-Containing
- 467 Klebsiella pneumoniae ST147 in Post-Acute Care Facilities. Clinical Infectious Diseases
- 468 **2021**; Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab457. Accessed 15 July 2021.
- 469 9. Slayton RB, Toth D, Lee BY, et al. Vital Signs: Estimated Effects of a Coordinated
- 470 Approach for Action to Reduce Antibiotic-Resistant Infections in Health Care Facilities —
- 471 United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2015**; 64:826–831.
- 472 10. Toth DJA, Khader K, Slayton RB, et al. The Potential for Interventions in a Long-term

473 Acute Care Hospital to Reduce Transmission of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae

- 474 in Affiliated Healthcare Facilities. Clin Infect Dis **2017**; 65:581–587.
- 475 11. Mathers AJ, Cox HL, Kitchel B, et al. Molecular Dissection of an Outbreak of
- 476 Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae Reveals Intergenus KPC Carbapenemase
- 477 Transmission through a Promiscuous Plasmid. mBio **2011**; Available at:
- 478 https://journals.asm.org/doi/abs/10.1128/mBio.00204-11. Accessed 21 February 2022.
- 479 12. Mathers AJ, Stoesser N, Sheppard AE, et al. Klebsiella pneumoniae Carbapenemase

480		(KPC)-Producing K. pneumoniae at a Single Institution: Insights into Endemicity from
481		Whole-Genome Sequencing. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015; 59:1656–1663.
482	13.	León-Sampedro R, DelaFuente J, Díaz-Agero C, et al. Pervasive transmission of a
483		carbapenem resistance plasmid in the gut microbiota of hospitalized patients. Nat Microbiol
484		2021 ; 6:606–616.
485	14.	About EIP DPEI CDC. 2021. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/ncezid/dpei/eip/eip-
486		about.html. Accessed 2 April 2022.
487	15.	Magill SS, Dumyati G, Ray SM, Fridkin SK. Evaluating Epidemiology and Improving
488		Surveillance of Infections Associated with Health Care, United States - Volume 21,
489		Number 9—September 2015 - Emerging Infectious Diseases journal - CDC. Available at:
490		https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/21/9/15-0508_article. Accessed 16 February 2022.
491	16.	MuGSI HAIC Activities HAI CDC. 2022. Available at:
492		https://www.cdc.gov/hai/eip/mugsi.html. Accessed 2 January 2023. 2015; 314:1479–1487.
493	17.	Hunt M, Mather AE, Sánchez-Busó L, et al. ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance
494		genotyping directly from sequencing reads. Microb Genom 2017; 3. Available at:
495		https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5695208/. Accessed 26 March 2021.
496	18.	Davis JJ, Wattam AR, Aziz RK, et al. The PATRIC Bioinformatics Resource Center:
497		expanding data and analysis capabilities. Nucleic Acids Res 2020; 48:D606–D612.
498	19.	Andrews S. s-andrews/FastQC. 2021. Available at: https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC.
499		Accessed 10 March 2021.
500	20.	Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
501		data. Bioinformatics 2014; 30:2114-2120.
502	21.	Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.

- 503 Bioinformatics **2009**; 25:1754–1760.
- 504 22. broadinstitute/picard. Broad Institute, 2021. Available at:
- 505 https://github.com/broadinstitute/picard. Accessed 20 February 2021.
- 506 23. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
- 507 Bioinformatics **2009**; 25:2078–2079.
- 508 24. Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, et al. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of
- 509 recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res **2015**;
- 510 43:e15–e15.
- 511 25. Poplin R, Ruano-Rubio V, DePristo MA, et al. Scaling accurate genetic variant discovery to
- tens of thousands of samples. bioRxiv **2018**; :201178.
- 513 26. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, et al. Versatile and open software for comparing large
 514 genomes. Genome Biology 2004; 5:R12.
- 515 27. Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, et al. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage
 516 search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 2016; 44:W16–W21.
- 517 28. Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective
- 518 Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol
 519 2015; 32:268–274.
- 520 29. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, et al. SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and
- 521 Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing. Journal of Computational Biology 2012;
 522 19:455–477.
- 30. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, et al. Pilon: An Integrated Tool for Comprehensive Microbial
 Variant Detection and Genome Assembly Improvement. PLOS ONE 2014; 9:e112963.
- 525 31. Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, et al. RASTtk: A modular and extensible implementation of the

- 526 RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of
 527 genomes. Sci Rep 2015; 5:8365.
- 528 32. Crawford RD, Snitkin ES. cognac: rapid generation of concatenated gene alignments for
- 529 phylogenetic inference from large, bacterial whole genome sequencing datasets. BMC
- 530 Bioinformatics **2021**; 22:70.
- 531 33. Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
- stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 2015;
 32:268–274.
- 534 34. Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation
 535 sequencing data. Bioinformatics 2012; 28:3150–3152.
- 35. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2020; Available
 at: https://www.R-project.org/.
- 36. Paradis E, Schliep K. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary
 analyses in R. Bioinformatics 2019; 35:526–528.
- 540 37. Hoffman S, Lapp Z, Wang J, Snitkin ESY 2022. regentrans: a framework and R package
- for using genomics to study regional pathogen transmission. Microbial Genomics 8:000747.
- 542 38. Fay MP. Confidence intervals that match Fisher's exact or Blaker's exact tests. Biostatistics
 543 2010; 11:373–374.
- Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, et al. Welcome to the Tidyverse. Journal of Open Source
 Software 2019; 4:1686.
- 546 40. Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TT-Y. ggtree: an r package for visualization and

547 annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. Methods in

548 Ecology and Evolution **2017**; 8:28–36.

- 549 41. Yu G, Lam TT-Y, Zhu H, Guan Y. Two Methods for Mapping and Visualizing Associated
 550 Data on Phylogeny Using Ggtree. Mol Biol Evol 2018; 35:3041–3043.
- 551 42. Csardi G, Nepusz T. The Igraph Software Package for Complex Network Research.
- 552 InterJournal **2005**; Complex Systems:1695.
- 43. Pedersen TL. tidygraph: A Tidy API for Graph Manipulation. 2020. Available at:
- 554 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidygraph. Accessed 16 February 2022.
- 555 44. Pedersen TL, RStudio. ggraph: An Implementation of Grammar of Graphics for Graphs and
- 556 Networks. 2021. Available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggraph. Accessed 16
- 557 November 2021.
- 45. Wilke CO. cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for 'ggplot2'. 2019.

559 Available at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=cowplot. Accessed 15 April 2020.

- 560 46. Wickham H, Bryan J, attribution) Rs (Copyright holder of all R code and all C code without
- 561 explicit copyright, et al. readxl: Read Excel Files. 2019. Available at: https://CRAN.R-

562 project.org/package=readxl. Accessed 16 February 2022.

- 563 47. Bowers JR, Kitchel B, Driebe EM, et al. Genomic Analysis of the Emergence and Rapid
- Global Dissemination of the Clonal Group 258 Klebsiella pneumoniae Pandemic. PLoS
 ONE 2015; 10:e0133727.
- 566 48. Peirano G, Chen L, Kreiswirth BN, Pitout JDD. Emerging Antimicrobial-Resistant High-
- 567 Risk Klebsiella pneumoniae Clones ST307 and ST147. Antimicrobial Agents and
- 568 Chemotherapy **2020**; 64. Available at: http://aac.asm.org/content/64/10/e01148-20.
- 569 Accessed 26 February 2021.
- 570 49. Izdebski R, Baraniak A, Herda M, et al. MLST reveals potentially high-risk international
- 571 clones of Enterobacter cloacae*. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **2015**; 70:48–56.

- 572 50. Worby CJ, Chang H-H, Hanage WP, Lipsitch M. The Distribution of Pairwise Genetic
- 573 Distances: A Tool for Investigating Disease Transmission. Genetics **2014**; 198:1395–1404.
- 574 51. Logan LK, Weinstein RA. The Epidemiology of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae:
- 575 The Impact and Evolution of a Global Menace. J Infect Dis **2017**; 215:S28–S36.
- 576 52. Lin MY, Lyles-Banks RD, Lolans K, et al. The Importance of Long-term Acute Care
- 577 Hospitals in the Regional Epidemiology of Klebsiella pneumoniae Carbapenemase–
- 578 Producing Enterobacteriaceae. Clin Infect Dis **2013**; 57:1246–1252.
- 579 53. Pacilli M, Kerins JL, Clegg WJ, et al. Regional Emergence of Candida auris in Chicago and
- 580 Lessons Learned From Intensive Follow-up at 1 Ventilator-Capable Skilled Nursing
- 581 Facility. Clin Infect Dis **2020**; 71:e718–e725.
- 582 54. Harrison E, Brockhurst MA. Plasmid-mediated horizontal gene transfer is a coevolutionary
 583 process. Trends in Microbiology 2012; 20:262–267.
- 584 55. Snitkin ES, Won S, Pirani A, et al. Integrated genomic and interfacility patient-transfer data
- reveal the transmission pathways of multidrug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in a regional
- 586 outbreak. Science Translational Medicine **2017**; 9.

588 Figures



589

592

590 Figure 1: Evidence of importation and clonal dissemination differs across states and

591 sequence types (STs). Clusters are separated by white lines between the colored bars, where the

bar height of a given cluster is the cluster size. Clusters were defined as subclades that were

593 monophyletic for a single state. Importation events were considered those with a KPC+ edge

transition from another state to the EIP state (see Methods). We observed events with limited

595 onward transmission in all states. We also see a large cluster of *E. hormaechei* ST171 in MN that

arose from a putative importation event, indicating importation followed by sustained

597 dissemination. Additionally, we observed large clusters of *E. hormaechei* ST114 and *K.*

598 *pneumoniae* ST307 in TN with no evidence of importation from another state. CT=Connecticut;

599 MN=Minnesota; TN=Tennessee; ST=sequence type; Eh=*E. hormaechei*; Kp=*K. pneumoniae*.





- 610 Wilcox p-values compare pairwise SNV distances of isolates with a shared facility exposure (N
- from 14 to 35) to isolates without a shared facility exposure (N ranges from 67 to 376).
- 612 CT=Connecticut; MN=Minnesota; TN=Tennessee; SNV=single nucleotide variant;
- 613 ST=sequence type; Eh=*E. hormaechei*; Kp=*K. pneumoniae*.
- 614

615



616 **Figure 3: Transmission of KPC-Eh ST171 in Minnesota (MN).** (A) Number of years

617 separating ST171 and ST258 isolate pairs. (B) Intra-facility ST171 isolate pairs by facility. Each

618 pair is represented once. (C) Inter-facility isolate pairs by facility. Each pair is represented twice

619 (once per facility). (D) Facilities connected by ST171 isolates within 10 SNVs of each other. (E)

620 Degree centrality of all facilities, with F38 highlighted. The in- and out- degree values were

621 summed (from and to a facility). (F) Number of patient transfers between F38 and each other

622 facility. The values to and from each facility were summed. SNV=single nucleotide variant;

623 ST=sequence type; Eh=*E. hormaechei*; Kp=*K. pneumoniae*.



626 Figure 4: Distinct facility sub-networks in Tennessee (TN) harbor different lineages. (A) 627 Number of patient facility exposures in the prior year for each facility and ST. Each patient may 628 be represented more than once if they have more than one facility exposure. A cluster of 629 facilities identified using the complete linkage clustering method (dashed box) are common in 630 patients with ST114, ST307, and other isolates. (B) Patient transfer network of facilities with at 631 least one whole-genome sequenced isolate plotted using the Kamada-Kawai algorithm. The 632 cluster of facilities identified in the heatmap in panel A (Kp ST307/Ec ST114/Other facility 633 cluster) are also clustered in the network. (C) Number of patient transfers for facility pairs where 634 0, 1, or 2 of the facilities are in the facility cluster identified in the heatmap in panel A. Facility 635 pairs where both facilities are in the cluster have more patient sharing than facility pairs where 636 only 0 or 1 of the facilities is in the identified cluster. ST=sequence type; Eh=E. hormaechei; 637 Kp=*K*. pneumoniae.

639 Supplemental information

640

641 Supplemental methods

642 Catchment areas

643 The Multi-site Gram-negative Surveillance Initiative (MuGSI) [16] CRE surveillance catchment

areas for each state participating in this study are: the entire state of CT; Hennepin and Ramsey

645 counties, encompassing the metropolitan Minneapolis-St. Paul area, of MN; and TN EMS

646 Region 5, an 8-county region encompassing the metropolitan Nashville area, of TN. The TN the

647 Memphis-Delta and Northeast Regions were excluded due to healthcare utilization across state

648 lines.

649

650 <u>CRE surveillance</u>

651 CRE are defined as any organism in the Enterobacterales order isolated from any clinical

652 specimen and resistant to doripenem, meropenem, or imipenem (minimum inhibitory

653 concentrations [MIC] of $\geq 4 \mu g/ml$), ertapenem (MIC $\geq 2 \mu g/ml$), or demonstrates production of a

654 carbapenemase [16]. Specifically, isolates from residents of the surveillance area identified at the

655 clinical laboratory as CRE from *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter cloacae* complex species (i.e., *E*.

656 cloacae, E. asburiae, E. bugandensis, E. hormaechei, E. kobei, E. ludwigii, and E.

657 *nimipressuralis*), and *Klebsiella* species (i.e., *K. aerogenes*, *K. oxytoca*, and *K. pneumoniae*)

- 658 were included. All CRE isolates identified through the described public health surveillance
- 659 systems were characterized at the respective SPHL, which tested for carbapenemase genes (e.g.,
- 660 *bla*_{KPC}, *bla*_{NDM}, *bla*_{OXA-48}, *bla*_{VIM}, *bla*_{IMP}; see below for more details). While all CRE are

661	supposed to be tested for carbapenemases, this does not always happen in practice; the		
662	percentage of CRE tested for carbapenemases was 81.5% in CT, 90% in MN, and 78% in TN.		
663			
664	Connecticut		
665	CT did not participate in MuGSI surveillance during the study period, so all study isolates from		
666	CT came from statewide passive surveillance for CRE. CRE surveillance of all Enterobacterales		
667	isolates collected from all invasive clinical sites, respiratory sources, and urine began on Jan 1,		
668	2017. All CRE isolates undergo antimicrobial susceptibility testing with a custom Sensititre and		
669	disk diffusion panel, phenotypic detection of carbapenemase activity using the mCIM test and		
670	PCR detection of carbapenemase genes (<i>bla</i> _{KPC} , <i>bla</i> _{NDM} , <i>bla</i> _{OXA-48} , <i>bla</i> _{VIM} , <i>bla</i> _{IMP}). Isolates		
671	carrying bla_{KPC} that were identified in 2017 and 2018 from this collection were included.		
672			
673	Minnesota		
674	MuGSI surveillance in Minnesota is conducted in Ramsey and Hennepin counties. MuGSI		
675	isolates are obtained from sterile sites or urine and include E. coli, Klebsiella spp., and		
676	Enterobacter spp. Non-MuGSI isolates are collected from a variety of culture sources (e.g.,		
677	blood, urine, respiratory) and represent multiple Enterobacterales species. Isolate identification is		
678	confirmed by the MALDI Biotyper CA System (Bruker Daltonics, Inc., Billerica, MA). All CRE		
679	isolates are tested for carbapenemase production using the modified carbapenem inactivation		
680	method (mCIM). Isolates demonstrating phenotypic carbapenemase production undergo		
681	molecular testing (i.e., polymerase chain reaction [PCR]) for detection of carbapenemase genes		
682	bla _{KPC} , bla _{NDM} , bla _{OXA-48} , bla _{VIM} , bla _{IMP} . All bla _{KPC} -positive K. pneumoniae and E. cloacae		
683	complex isolates submitted to MN PHL from 2012-2018 were analyzed for this study.		

684

- 685 Tennessee
- EMS region 2-7 were selected because it provides a good representation of patient referrals;
- 687 captures most isolates in patient transfer networks covering the area; and includes both the
- 688 Knoxville area in which CRE emerged in 2016, and the Nashville area (the MuGSI catchment
- area: Dickson, Cheatham, Robertson, Sumner, Wilson, Rutherford, Williamson and Davidson
- 690 counties) where CRE increased in 2017. CRE isolates underwent organism confirmation using
- 691 the MALDI-TOF bioMerieux. Isolates were tested for antimicrobial resistance with the Kirby
- Bauer method. Those isolates that were resistant to at least one carbapenem were then tested by
- 693 the CarbaNP Method for carbapenemase production. Those that demonstrated carbapenemase
- 694 production from the CarbaNP underwent a PCR assay developed by the CDC to test for the
- 695 *bla*_{KPC} and *bla*_{NDM}. All *bla*_{KPC}-positive isolates collected during 2016–2017 and submitted to the
- 696 TN SPHL were included.
- 697

698 Epidemiologic data

699 MuGSI surveillance data were collected through medical record review by EIP surveillance

700 officers in each site. Additional details on data collection for isolates identified outside of the

- 701 MuGSI program are listed below for each site.
- 702
- 703 Connecticut

Clinical case details were abstracted from medical records by EIP surveillance officers with theConnecticut Department of Public Health.

707	Minnesota

708 At the time of culture collection, surveillance staff completed CRF through electronic or in-

- 709 person medical chart review.
- 710
- 711 Tennessee

For all carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales cases, a questionnaire is completed by the

713 healthcare facility of origin. For cases that did not have a completed questionnaire,

hospitalization details were gathered from the Statewide Hospital Discharge data (described

715 below).

- 716
- 717 Facility identification

718 Facility identification was performed through chart review at the reporting facility. State-specific

719 details are described below.

720

721 Connecticut

722 Chart review was performed at the reporting facility for all inpatient and hospital network events.

723 When additional referring facilities (including nursing homes, long term acute care hospitals,

etc.) were identified on medical record review, follow-up investigation was performed at the

additional facilities. Upon identification of outpatient isolates, patient details were collected from

726 ordering providers by phone call and/or faxed data collection instrument.

727

728 Minnesota

729 MN staff maintain a compendium with facility name and type according to CMS. The facility 730 name and type are recorded in the MN surveillance database for each isolate and verified using 731 this compendium. For MuGSI cases, chart review at the facility where the isolate was collected 732 was also performed by MN staff. 733 734 Tennessee 735 TN staff linked patient identifiers of each case in the National Electronic Disease Surveillance 736 System (NEDDS)-Base System (NBS) surveillance data to the TN Hospital Discharge Data 737 System (HDDS) to identify and confirm the healthcare facility where they had the first specimen 738 culture collected for each CP-CRE case in TN. Alternatively, if the patient was not hospitalized 739 in a TDH-licensed hospital and therefore, was not found in the HDDS, TN staff used 740 surveillance data from NBS and the afore-mentioned questionnaire to identify the healthcare 741 facility of origin. Using this approach, the healthcare facility of origin was identified for 139 742 (89%) of the 157 included isolates. TN staff also gathered information on healthcare exposures 743 within one year prior to specimen collection by linking the patient identifiers in the surveillance 744 data with the inpatient and outpatient HDDS dataset. Isolates with no healthcare exposure 745 information were not used for the patient sharing network analysis. 746 747 Linkage/matching of facility names to CMS ID 748 Linkage of facility names to CMS ID was performed at each respective SPHL.

749

750 Connecticut

751	Facilities were matched with CMS IDs using CMS Provider of Services Files available at:
752	https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Downloadable-Public-Use-
753	Files/Provider-of-Services
754	
755	Minnesota
756	In MN, CMS IDs were manually entered for each isolate using Excel.
757	
758	Tennessee
759	In TN, the name healthcare facility of origin was linked with the registered facility name by
760	CMS ID using the SPEDIS and COMPGED commands in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North
761	Carolina) to allow for inexact matches that accounted for minor spelling variations between the
762	facility names from TN's surveillance data and those from the CMS dataset. Due to the data-
763	sharing agreement between TDH and the University of Michigan, the facility names and CMS
764	IDs in the WGS dataset and patient transfer data from CMS were de-identified before sharing
765	with The University of Michigan.

- 766
- 767 <u>Generation of aggregate patient transfer networks</u>

Aggregate patient transfer networks for each state for the year 2017 were derived from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) fee for service beneficiary claims data linked to the CMS Minimum Data Set (MDS) by Medicare beneficiary ID. The dataset comprises a network of medical facilities, including but not limited to short-term acute care hospitals, skilled nursing facilities and long-term acute care hospitals, but may not be representative of non-Medicare beneficiaries. To create an annual transfer network, CDC staff linked each unique beneficiary's

healthcare utilization. This included CMS inpatient claims for acute care stays and the MDS to ascertain a beneficiary's presence in a nursing home, regardless of payer for that stay. Transfer networks were comprised of the year of interest and looked back to the prior year to obtain all discharging facilities where patients had an opportunity to acquire CRE.

778

Transfers are defined as a patient discharged from a facility and then subsequently admitted to a facility. The number of transfers between two facilities includes transfers directly from one facility to another as well as transfers with an intervening stay in the community of less than 365 days. We chose to use a 365-day time interval rather than a smaller time interval because this gives us more resolution in comparing the extent of patient flow between facilities. Any facility pairs with 10 or fewer patient transfers were censored, as per data use agreements between CDC and CMS.

786

For each state, we subset the aggregate patient transfer network to only include the facilities for which we have at least one WGS isolate or ones that are connected to one of these facilities by at least one patient transfer event over the course of a year. We then identified paths of maximum patient flow between this subset of facilities using the get_patient_flow() function in regentrans v0.1 [37].

792

793 DNA extraction

794 Connecticut

Total DNA extractions performed by CT DPHL for WGS were either by the manual method or
 automated on the QIAcube. Manual extractions from pure single colony Gram-negative bacterial

797	isolates by QIAgen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit and follow-up quality control of all purified
798	extracts were conducted following PulseNet Protocols for Whole Genome Sequencing on the
799	Illumina MiSeq system. Automated extractions of bacterial cell pellets were performed using the
800	QIAcube protocol for Isolation of DNA from Gram-negative bacteria and QIAGEN DNeasy
801	Blood and Tissue kit. To obtain the cell pellets, colonies from overnight plates were resuspended
802	in 1x Phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Sigma) to an OD600 of 0.35-0.40. Two milliliter cell
803	suspension were centrifuged at 5,000 $x g$ for 5 minutes at 2-8 °C and used for extractions.
804	
805	Minnesota
806	DNA extraction of the isolates was performed in MN using either the QIAcube (Qiagen,
807	Germantown, MD) extractor and QIAmp DNA Mini extraction kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD)
808	or the Roche MagnaPure LC and the TNA Isolation extraction kit.
809	
810	Tennessee
811	DNA extraction of the isolates was performed in TN on a Thermo Fisher, KingFisher Flex auto-
812	extraction instrument, using an Applied Biosystems MagMAX DNA Multi-Sample Ultra
813	extraction kit.
814	
815	Whole-genome sequencing (WGS)
816	The extracted DNA was prepared for WGS using Illumina Nextera XT library Prep kits with
817	Illumina, Nextera XT Index kits (MN, TN, CT) or with Illumina DNA Prep kit and Illumina CD
818	Indexes (CT) (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The Library preparation process was performed on a
819	Beckman Coulter Biomek Fxp instrument (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA) (TN), on the Eppendorf

820	epMotion (CT) (Eppendorf, Enfield, CT), or manually (MN, CT). The prepared libraries were		
821	sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq sequencing instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA) using 2x250		
822	(MN, TN, CT) or 2x150 (CT) paired end sequencing with V2 chemistry. The fastq files		
823	generated were transferred to the University of Michigan for processing and analysis.		
824			
825	Genomic data processing		
826	All genomic data processing and analysis was performed by members of Evan Snitkin's lab at		
827	the University of Michigan.		
828			
829	Species/ST identification		
830	MLST was assigned with Ariba v2.14.4 [17]. For PATRIC isolates mlst were extracted from the		
831	isolate metadata [18].		
832			
832 833	Sequence alignments		
832 833 834	Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT		
832 833 834 835	Sequence alignments Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT (https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/snpkit). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC		
 832 833 834 835 836 	Sequence alignments Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT (https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/snpkit). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [19]. Adapter sequences and low-quality bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36		
 832 833 834 835 836 837 	Sequence alignments Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT (https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/snpkit). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [19]. Adapter sequences and low-quality bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36 [20]. Variants were identified by mapping filtered reads to the KPNIH1 reference genome		
 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 	Sequence alignments Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT (https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/snpkit). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [19]. Adapter sequences and low-quality bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36 [20]. Variants were identified by mapping filtered reads to the KPNIH1 reference genome (GenBank accession number CP008827.1; 5,394,056 base pairs) for <i>K. pneumoniae</i> sequences		
 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 	 Sequence alignments Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT (https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/snpkit). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [19]. Adapter sequences and low-quality bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36 [20]. Variants were identified by mapping filtered reads to the KPNIH1 reference genome (GenBank accession number CP008827.1; 5,394,056 base pairs) for <i>K. pneumoniae</i> sequences and the MNCRE9 reference genome (GenBank accession number JZDE0000000.1; 4,911,317 		
 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 	Sequence alignments Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT (https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/snpkit). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [19]. Adapter sequences and low-quality bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36 [20]. Variants were identified by mapping filtered reads to the KPNIH1 reference genome (GenBank accession number CP008827.1; 5,394,056 base pairs) for <i>K. pneumoniae</i> sequences and the MNCRE9 reference genome (GenBank accession number JZDE0000000.1; 4,911,317 base pairs) for <i>E. hormaechei</i> sequences using bwa v0.7.17 [21], removing polymerase chain		
 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 	Sequence alignments Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT (https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/snpkit). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [19]. Adapter sequences and low-quality bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36 [20]. Variants were identified by mapping filtered reads to the KPNIH1 reference genome (GenBank accession number CP008827.1; 5,394,056 base pairs) for <i>K. pneumoniae</i> sequences and the MNCRE9 reference genome (GenBank accession number JZDE0000000.1; 4,911,317 base pairs) for <i>E. hormaechei</i> sequences using bwa v0.7.17 [21], removing polymerase chain reaction duplicates with Picard 2.21.7 [22], removing clipped alignments using Samclip 0.4.0,		
 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 	Sequence alignments Single-nucleotide variants were called in study sequences using SNPKIT (https://github.com/Snitkin-Lab-Umich/snpkit). Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [19]. Adapter sequences and low-quality bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36 [20]. Variants were identified by mapping filtered reads to the KPNIH1 reference genome (GenBank accession number CP008827.1; 5,394,056 base pairs) for <i>K. pneumoniae</i> sequences and the MNCRE9 reference genome (GenBank accession number JZDE0000000.1; 4,911,317 base pairs) for <i>E. hormaechei</i> sequences using bwa v0.7.17 [21], removing polymerase chain reaction duplicates with Picard 2.21.7 [22], removing clipped alignments using Samclip 0.4.0, and calling variants with SAMtools v1.11and bcftools [23]. Variants were filtered from raw		

843	results using GATK's VariantFiltration v3.8 (QUAL, >100; MQ, >50; ≥10 reads supporting
844	variant; and FQ, <0.025) [25]. Consensus files generated during variant calling were
845	recombination filtered using Gubbins v3.0.0 [24]. The alleles at each position that passed
846	filtering were concatenated to generate a non-core variant alignment relative to the respective
847	reference genome. Alleles that did not pass filtering were considered unknown (denoted as N in
848	the alignment).

849

850 Reference-based phylogenies

A custom Python script was used to filter out (mask) single nucleotide variants in the whole-

genome alignment that were: (i) <5 base pairs (bp) in proximity to indels that were identified by

853 GATK HaplotypeCaller [25], (ii) in a recombinant region identified by Gubbins v3.0.0 [24], (iii)

in a phage region identified by the Phaster web tool [27] or (iv) they resided in tandem repeats of

length greater than 20bp as determined using the exact-tandem program in MUMmer v3.23 [26].

856 This whole-genome masked variant alignment was used to reconstruct a maximum likelihood

857 phylogeny with IQ-TREE v1.6.12 using the general time reversible model GTR+G and ultrafast

bootstrap with 1000 replicates (-bb 1000) [33].

859

860 *Genome assemblies*

861 Quality of reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [19]. Adapter sequences and low-quality

bases were removed with Trimmomatic v0.36 [20]. The clean reads were assembled with Spades

v3.14.1 (careful mode) [29] followed by Pilon v1.23 assembly correction [30]. Contigs smaller

than 500bp were discarded.

866 *Public isolates*

All assemblies from the species identified within the EIP dataset were downloaded from the
PATRIC database on 04/23/2021 [18]. These data were merged with assemblies from
PRJNA603790, PRJNA690239, PRJNA401340, and PRJNA415194 for a total of 74,367
assemblies. Genome annotations for the dataset were generated with RAST v1.035 [31]. *Core genome phylogenies*A concatenated gene alignment of core genes was generated for the entire dataset with cognac

874 v1.0 [32]. Relevant isolates for understanding the population structure and geographic 875 distribution of the isolates collected as part of the EIP study were identified as any isolate within 876 25 substitutions or the nearest phylogenetic neighbor by alignment distance. This yielded a 877 subset of the 5,346 isolates, including study isolates and public isolates; and a second, 878 concatenated, core gene alignment was generated with cognac for these most relevant isolates, 879 returning the nucleotide alignment for more precise distance comparisons. To generate sequence 880 type specific alignments, these data were divided into relevant clonal groups. Any clonal group 881 with less than 10 members was merged into a group of its respective species. Outgroups were 882 identified as the isolate with the lowest substitution distance to an isolate assigned to that clonal 883 group or species, for clonal group or species alignments, respectively. A core, concatenated gene 884 alignment for each set of isolates corresponding to species/clonal group was generated using 885 cognac with the corresponding outgroup specified, returning the nucleotide alignment. 886 Recombinant positions were removed with Gubbins v3.0.0 [24]. For alignments with more than 887 1000 isolates included, the alignments were randomly downsampled into subsets of 250 888 sequences, including the outgroup in each alignment, and gubbins was run on the downsampled

889	alignment. This was performed in triplicate, and any position identified as recombinant in the		
890	three runs was masked from the alignment. The recombination filtered alignments were then		
891	input to IQ-TREE v1.6.12 [33] to generate maximum likelihood phylogenies.		
892			
893	bla _{KPC} identification		
894	The bla_{KPC} gene was identified using the CD-HIT v4.7 [34] data generated with cognac. The		
895	gene cluster containing coding sequences annotated by RAST v1.035 [31] as bla_{KPC} was		
896	identified. $bla_{\rm KPC}$ status was determined for each genome as having representation in the $bla_{\rm KPC}$		
897	cluster.		
898			
899	Supplemental table and figures		
900			
901	Table S1: Number of each facility type by state and how many facilities were represented		
902	in whole-genome sequencing (in parentheses).		

903

Facility type	СТ	MN	TN
АСН	30 (19)	50 (16)	92 (31)
LTACH	3 (0)	2 (1)	10 (4)
SNF	224 (0)	366 (5)	309 (0)
Other	7 (0)	96 (1)	48 (1)
Unknown	0 (2)	0 (12)	0 (4)



905

906 Figure S1: Distribution of species and sequence types of sequenced isolates from each state.

907 (A) Across time. (B) Across healthcare facilities with at least one identified CRE with *bla*KPC.

908 X axis shows facilities. Grey is any other ST of the species corresponding to that faceted plot.

909 Notes: The MuGSI catchment area for MN is Hennepin and Ramsey counties. Four counties in

910 the Memphis-Delta Emergency Medical Services (EMS) and 8 in Northeastern TN were

911 excluded due to extensive healthcare utilization across state lines; the MuGSI catchment area is

912 TN EMS Region 5, an 8-county region encompassing the metropolitan Nashville area, of TN.

- 913 CT=Connecticut; MN=Minnesota; TN=Tennessee; ST=sequence type; Eh=*E. hormaechei*;
- 914 Kp=K. pneumoniae.
- 915



Figure S2: Information about public isolates downloaded from the PATRIC database that
were included for context in the investigation of importation. (A) Distribution of year of
isolation for isolates where this information was provided. (B) Distribution of location and KPC
presence/absence. (C) Phylogenies used to identify importation events, including isolates from
this study. ST=sequence type.



924 Figure S3: Inter-facility genomic analysis. (A) Fraction of intra-facility isolate pairs for 925 pairwise single nucleotide variant (SNV) distances to help identify pairwise SNV distance 926 cutoffs. We expect there to be an enrichment in closely related intra-facility pairs under the 927 assumption that intra-facility transmission is more common than inter-facility transmission. This 928 plot helps us identify potential pairwise SNV distance thresholds by looking for a SNV distance 929 where there is a decrease in the fraction of intra-facility isolate pairs (around 5 and 10 appear to 930 be reasonable thresholds for a sensitivity analysis). Vertical lines at 5, 10, and 15 SNVs represent 931 potential cutoffs for determining what isolates are considered closely related; 3 thresholds were 932 chosen as a sensitivity analysis. (B) Pairwise SNV distances of all STs. Within an ST, smaller 933 pairwise SNV distances indicate potential local transmission, while larger pairwise SNV 934 distances are not indicative of local transmission. Varying extents of transmission were captured 935 for different states and STs. Putative local transmission events are those in the tail of the 936 distribution (lower pairwise SNV distances). (C) Pairwise patristic distances are concordant with 937 pairwise SNV distance thresholds. CT=Connecticut; MN=Minnesota; TN=Tennessee; 938 ST=sequence type; Eh=E. hormaechei; Kp=K. pneumoniae; SNV = single nucleotide variant. 939





942 time. (B) Pairwise SNV distance of isolates over time. ST=sequence type; Eh=E. hormaechei;

⁹⁴³ Kp=K. pneumoniae.