How algorithms make the workplace -- A qualitative analysis of

Chinese couriers 2

- Hua Wei^{1*}, Huiyue Shi², Yilin Kou^{2, 8}, Mengke Yu^{2, 9}, Shugang Li³, Thomas O'Toole¹, Christopher J. Armitage⁴, Tarani Chandola⁵, Pauline Whelan⁶, Yanchun Zhang⁷, Yan Xu², 3
- 4
- Martie van Tongeren¹ 5
- ¹Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care, School of Health 6
- Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; 7
- 8 ²Faculty of Psychology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China;
- ³Department of Maternal and Children Health, School of Public Health, Capital Medical University, 9
- Beijing, China; 10
- ⁴Manchester Centre for Health Psychology, Division of Psychology & Mental Health, School of 11
- Health Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; NIHR Greater Manchester Patient 12
- Safety Research Collaboration, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK 13
- 14 ⁵Department of Sociology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR China;
- ⁶Division of Informatics, Imaging & Data Sciences, School of Health Sciences, University of 15
- Manchester, Manchester, UK: 16
- 17 ⁷China National Health Development Research Centre; China Health Economics Association
- 18 ⁸Department of Social and Behavioural Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR
- 19 China
- ⁹Gender Studies Programme and Department of Psychology, Chinese University of Hong Kong, 20
- 21 Hong Kong SAR China
- * Correspondence: 22
- Hua Wei 23
- hua.wei@manchester.ac.uk 24
- 25 Keywords: Couriers, Algorithmic management, China, Dehumanization, Datafication
- 26 **Abstract**
- 27 **Introduction** Algorithmic management (AM) is rapidly changing how work is organized and
- managed globally. Courier work is one of the most affected occupations due to the common use of 28
- 29 digital labour platforms. Existing research about AM has predominantly focused on its functionalities
- 30 and failed to capture the underlying mechanism of AM as a potential source of work stress. This
- study aimed to fill the gap and explore how AM change Chinese couriers' work experience. 31
- 32 Method We conducted 15 in-depth interviews with couriers in May-June 2021 in China. Thematic
- 33 analysis was completed using Nvivo 12.

- 34 **Results** Couriers' responses to AM and its effects varied as some felt motivated while others
- 35 frustrated. AM can introduce new work stressors and interact with existing factors to alleviate or
- intensify work stress. Key themes emerged from the interviews included 'App the "boss", 'Unequal
- 37 exposure to AM and the role of human support', 'New work stressors of AM Dehumanization and
- 38 Datafication', 'Job stressors intensified by AM' and 'Varying individual response to AM'. App 'the
- 39 boss' described how the couriers felt when managed by algorithms. Employed, gig and agency
- 40 couriers had different exposure to AM and human support of different quality. Dehumanization
- 41 characterizes how algorithmic systems fulfil managerial functions in ways that differ from managers
- 42 qualitatively or quantitatively. Datafication refers to the conversion of real-world information and
- user behaviour into data and being input in the system to assist automated decision-making. Couriers
- also reported that the use of digital methods could intensify some work stressors, e.g. time pressure
- and customer behavior. Nevertheless, some couriers reported that AM provided new job resources,
- e.g. flexible hours, job opportunities, pay transparency, and bypassing office politics.
- 47 **Conclusion** This paper identified key work stressors of AM and explored how AM interacts with
- 48 existing work stressors to shape Chinese couriers' work experience. Dehumanization could reduce
- 49 the quality of support and their sense of relatedness to the workplace. Datafication or extraction of
- data from workers and lack of transparency led to concerns about potential discrimination, workplace
- unfairness and power imbalance. Couriers' responses to AM varied and future research should take
- such heterogeneity into account.

1 Introduction

53

54

- Despite the increasing prevalence of managerial algorithms and artificial intelligence (AI) at work in
- all sectors, our understanding about how algorithmic management shapes everyday work experiences
- 57 is limited (Mökander et al., 2022). Algorithmic Management (AM) has been defined by Möhlmann et
- al. (2021, p. 2001) as: "the large-scale collection and use of data on a platform to develop and
- 59 improve learning algorithms that carry out coordination and control functions traditionally performed
- by managers". It reveals three key elements of AM, data inputs, system logics and management
- outputs (Cheng & Hackett, 2021). In addition to the data process that executes managerial functions,
- 62 it also highlights the key feature of AM that is the substitution of managers. This is an essential part
- of digitalization and it revolutionizes the workplaces beyond the gig economy because it is highly
- replicable and scalable (Brennen & Kreiss, 2016; Mäntymäki et al., 2019; Parviainen et al., 2017). A
- 65 typical case of AM at work are digital labour platforms, such as Uber and Deliveroo (Möhlmann &
- typical case of Aivi at work are digital labour platforms, such as ober and Deriveroo (Monimalin &
- Zalmanson, 2017; Veen et al., 2020), or their equivalents in China: Meituan, Ele.me and Didi (Chen
- & Qiu, 2019; C. Zhang, S. P. Cheung, et al., 2022). Although AM is transforming almost every
- sector of the economy, the logistics sector is one of the most advanced and couriers are the key
- 69 workforce in this sector (Wei et al., 2022).
- Working conditions of couriers, particularly in China, appear to be highly stressful and unsafe (Wang
- et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2021). Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, media reports of
- sudden death of young couriers due to overwork emerged in South Korea, China, and Singapore. ¹
- Karoshi or sudden death from overwork is often caused by stroke, resulted from a repeated triggering
- of stress responses (Li, 2016). Lin et al developed a couriers' overwork scale to investigate risks of
- 75 Karoshi among Chinese takeaway and parcel couriers. They found that among takeaway couriers,

¹ See for example, Delivery workers in South Korea say they're dving of 'overwork' (saniuandailystar.com).

76 about 41.7% of respondents (n=1114) were at high risk of overwork, 35.9% were at very high risk and only 7.9% were considered risk-free (Lin & Li, 2021). The situation was similarly severe among 77 parcel couriers (n=1214), where 40.1% were at high risk, 38.6% were at very high risk and only 3.7% 78 79 were risk-free (Lin et al., 2018). Road safety is another major concern among couriers. A recent 80 cross-sectional study of road safety situations among Chinese parcel and food couriers revealed that 81 76.5% of interviewed couriers (n=480) had been involved in a traffic crash at least once, despite the 82 average length of staying in this occupation was only about 1.5 years (Wang et al., 2021). The same 83 study also conducted 600 roadside observations and found that traffic violations were very common, such as speeding, riding in the motor vehicle lane, and use of a cell phone whilst riding. The authors 84 85 believed that the tight delivery schedule set by the platforms was one of the main reason for these traffic violations. Other studies found that high job demands, long working hours etc among Chinese 86 couriers are associated with poor job satisfaction and health outcomes (Hong et al., 2022; C. Zhang, 87 88 S. Sitar, et al., 2022). Although AM was not explicitly considered in these studies, the authors 89 highlighted the potential impact of digitalized work arrangement.

90 The situation is alarming, suggesting Chinese couriers may be at high risk of worsened work environment driven by AM. Nevertheless, health researchers are not equipped to measure 91 92 occupational risks against AM due to the absence of a working definition of the key stressors and 93 measurement. Research that have made attempts to measure AM [e.g. Cram et al. (2022), Sun (2023), 94 and Rani et al. (2024)] have either avoided defining work stressors inherent to the process of AM or 95 ignored the underlying mechanism of health impacts. (Cheng & Hackett, 2021; Meijerink & 96 Bondarouk, 2021). It must be recognized that AM reverses the relationship between artefacts and 97 users (Schuetz & Venkatesh, 2020). In other words, our understanding about the role of technology 98 and how it impacted people's life were based on the assumption that the technology was a tool without cognitive capacity. When AM begins to substitute managers and make decisions about 99 100 people, it has become 'the boss' (Ivanova et al., 2018). It creates a new path of impact and renders 101 models built on the old assumptions obsolete (Schuetz & Venkatesh, 2020). Hence, it is necessary to 102 explore from the workers' perspective, or those 'being managed', to understand whether AM has 103 introduced new work stressors that differ from stressors under human management. This research set 104 out to improve our understanding about the underlying mechanisms of AM in relation to health and 105 safety outcomes among couriers in China.

2 Materials and methods

We took a qualitative and explorative approach to address the research questions.

2.1 Data collection

- We conducted 15 semi-structured interviews in May and June 2021 in China using Tencent Meeting
- software. Each of the interviews lasted about 90 minutes. We approached potential participants
- through snowballing, started by approaching couriers working in the researchers' vicinity. About half
- of those we contacted refused to participate mainly due to being too busy. Recruitment stopped when
- the seven interviewers agreed that data saturation was reached. All interviews were carried out in
- 114 Chinese.

106

- The study was reviewed and approved by ethics committees in both the UK and China (Ref:
- 116 XXXXX, Ref:XXXXX). Each participant received a Participant Information Sheet and informed
- 117 consent was confirmed either by verbal consent at the beginning of the session or by written consent.
- 118 Compensation were provided for participants' time. Researchers introduced themselves and the
- research purpose to the interviewees. Seven researchers conducted the interviews, with each session

- completed by at least two researchers. All researchers were trained for qualitative research. Two
- interviewers have PhD degrees and the other researchers were postgraduate students. Four of the
- interviewers were female. Interview questions included open inquiries about work stress, individual
- response and coping methods. The role of technology was elicited or probed during the conversation
- to allow the interviewees form their perspectives and makes sense of the phenomenon (Denzin &
- Lincoln, 2017; Fairclough, 2005). The interview schedule is available in Supplementary file.

126 **2.2 Data analysis**

- We followed the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ) to report our
- findings (Tong et al., 2007). A reporting checklist can be found in Supplementary file. Data were
- analysed in Chinese, with quotes translated into English by the bilingual researchers. Coding was
- completed using Nvivo12 software, with codes generated inductively (Braun & Clarke, 2006;
- 131 Castleberry & Nolen, 2018). Researchers first pilot coded three transcripts to generate codes.
- Relevant codes were grouped to form themes and the initial codebook. The initial codebook was
- discussed with the rest of the research team and revised based on the feedback. The researchers then
- coded all the transcripts based on the final codebook. Five researchers each coded two same
- transcripts independently. Coding results were merged to assess inter-coder reliability. The average
- Kappa coefficient of each coder against the second coder worked on the same documents ranged
- from 0.54 to 0.63 (0.41–0.75 is considered fair to good (Fleiss et al., 2013)). The percentage of
- agreement between the two coders was generally very high (>90%). Disagreements were discussed
- 139 until consensus reached.

140 **3 Results**

- Of the 15 interviewees, 11 were Beijing-based, two based in a second-tier city and another two based
- in a smaller city. Two of the interviewees were female and 13 were male. The mean age was 33 (22-
- 57 years old) and average length of working as a courier was 3.5 years (2 months-9 years). We
- interviewed six parcel couriers and nine takeaway food couriers. There were three employment types,
- directly employed, agency worker and gig worker. We interviewed six employed couriers (0509e,
- 146 0523e, 0524e, 0529e, 0601e, 0607e), three agency couriers (0525a, 0528s, 0529a) and six gig
- 147 couriers (0510g, 0510-Yg, 0517g, 0518g, 0522g, 0604g). Employed couriers were all parcel couriers.

148 **3.1 App 'the boss'**

- 149 **App 'the boss'** describes how the interviewees felt when managed by algorithms. The app or the
- system functioned like a manager and dictated who got the job, and how the job should be
- 151 completed, evaluated and paid. One interviewee (0517g) put it simply as:
- No matter how long we have been working [for the app], a few months or a few years, we all have
- to listen to the system, no matter who. Nobody can interfere with the system. The system is the
- 154 boss. It decides.
- Or as another interviewee (0525a) put it:
- 156 The system determines whether you are busy or can take it easy.
- As the couriers seemingly accept the app or the system as a dictating boss, there was a strong sense
- of powerlessness. Some interviewees described the system just like a manager. One interviewee
- 159 (0528a), for example, believed the algorithm would balance the workload for the couriers.

The system will allocate [orders]. Where we should go or the distance of our orders would be

- proportionate to the total amount of orders that we deliver every month. The system will decide,
- based on each individual's workload, that where we deliver to. It won't be too far [from the
- supposed ratio]. It [the system] will balance the overall workload.
- 164 Interviewees also noted the system's learning capacity. It was believed that the system was intelligent
- and learning from multiple data sources, as described by an interviewee (0517g).
- 166 The system, you can't imagine, the system is really smart, like it knows everything. I'd say they
- 167 even know whether the restaurants are slow or quick in preparing food. The system is just smart.
- Nevertheless, a key problem associated with app the boss was that although this 'boss' could learn
- from data and make decisions based on average, predictable situations, it lacked the capability to
- listen, process and respond to individual cases. After an interviewee (0510g) described a range of
- stressors, such as restaurant delays, customer behaviors and road traffic, the researcher asked whether
- there were any ways for the system to accommodate unusual situations or challenges beyond
- 173 couriers' control.

161

178

- 174 They would not allow couriers to have voices (in the system). Whatever the algorithm tells you to
- do, you just have to do it. If you were late, penalties on you... Even the customer service would not
- be able to interfere [with the algorithms]. If you phone them up, and they support you, they could
- only put a note in so you don't get penalties. (0510g)

3.2 Unequal exposure to AM and the role of human support

- 179 Although AM techniques were commonly used but not equally distributed within the occupation. It
- appeared to us that employment types may be an indicator of the intensity of exposure to AM. Gig
- couriers appeared to be the most algorithmically managed, employed couriers appeared to be the
- least, with agency couriers somewhere in between. Employed couriers had employment contract with
- their companies and were hired or fired through the HR department. They had frontline managers and
- access to other parts of the organization. They also had a depot to report to on daily basis. For
- employed couriers, job allocation, route planning and partially performance were managed by
- algorithms and their managers played an important role in mediating relations between the couriers
- and the organization and sometimes customers. For example, 0607e told us how his manager
- mediated the relationships when he faced with aggressive customer behavior following three failed
- 189 attempts of delivery.
- When my colleague arrived at the address, the customer came out with a knife and chased him.
- The police was called and the site manager got involved to investigate. We had a long chat. He
- arranged for me to apologize and buy some small presents for the customer. I was extremely upset
- that I had to apologize. I was so reluctant. But the site manager said if the customer made a
- 194 complaint, I would be fined for ¥2000 by the company and possibly fired. He suggested the
- 195 presents would appease the customer and wouldn't cost much.
- 196 In this case, if the customer issued a formal complaint, automatically the courier would face a hefty
- 197 fine. If the complaint was too serious, it would cost him the job. The manager provided his support
- based on his understanding what was the best solution for the courier. We also sensed that the courier
- did not like the solution as he felt it was unfair. Hence, the role of manager in this case was two-fold.
- 200 On the one hand, he moderated the impact of AM by advising the courier how to avoid the fine as the
- system's logic automatically equates customer complaint to fines on couriers. On the other hand, he

reinforced the system logic by persuading the courier to accept a solution that was considered unfair

203 by the courier.

226

- Both gig couriers and agency couriers we interviewed worked for food and grocery delivery
- platforms. Being platform-based meant gig couriers and agency couriers were both highly
- algorithmically managed with limited human support. Nevertheless, there were some differences in
- terms of their exposure to AM. Gig couriers signed up freely on the app and were not obliged to sign
- in at any time. They were added to a chat group that ran by a manager who only released group
- 209 notices but never replied to their enquiries nor disclosed a contact number. The only non-digitalized
- support gig couriers getting was through the 'customer service hotline' provided by the platform,
- which was responding to customers (who placed the orders), merchants (restaurants and shops) and
- 212 couriers as they were all users of the platform.
- In contrast, agency couriers had zero-hour type contracts with an agency company that was different
- from the name of the platform. Agency couriers had a site manager and needed to report to a depot
- on daily basis. They were expected to work for certain number of hours per day and number of days
- 216 per week. During busy hours, they were obliged to sign in and take orders. They also needed
- approval from the manager if they wanted to take more leaves. Although rules were tight, an agency
- 218 courier interviewee reported how her manager were considerate of health conditions.
- Yes it is required to work during weekends, all riders. But like today I took the afternoon off. It's
- 220 not too difficult for me [to ask for leave]. Because it was raining and I took a vaccination shot this
- 221 morning and I can't be rained up or catch a cold. Situations like this, if you explain to him [the
- 222 manager], he will let you off. (0528a)
- In this case, the manager provided some negotiating space between the system and the agency
- courier. In comparison, gig couriers who did not have access to managers also did not have
- commitment to log in or work for set hours. In this aspect, they were genuinely their own boss.

3.3 New work stressors of AM – Dehumanization and Datafication

- We identified two themes as they were repeatedly brought up by the interviewees when we probed
- into the role of technology: Dehumanization and Datafication
- 229 **Dehumanization** characterizes how algorithmic systems fulfil managerial functions in ways that
- differ from managers qualitatively or quantitatively. Gig courier interviewees described their
- manager as the "virtual manager" who they had never met in person, who merely disseminated
- 232 notices or information in a group chat but rarely responded to anyone in the group chat and called
- them using virtual numbers, meaning they would never be able to trace the manager's number or call
- back (0510g, 0517g). It led to difficulties in seeking support when they had accidents, for example.
- Gig couriers reported they were not clear about what was covered by the insurance, or that they were
- 236 not familiar with the claim procedures (0518g, 0604g).
- 237 Since the "virtual manager" was inaccessible, gig couriers turned to the platforms' customer service
- 238 hotline to gain support when faced with customer abuse, restaurants delays or other challenges at
- work. However, as delivery schedules were so tight, contacting customer service over the phone was
- considered time consuming and might cause further delay (0510g, 0517g, 0522g). As described
- above, customer service hotline serve all types of platform users, some couriers were concerned the
- 242 hotline had too much power to decide which party was at fault for any disputes with customers and

243 might not judge fairly. One interviewee (0517g) reported a case about customer behavior. When the 244 researcher asked whether he sought support from the hotline, he said: 245 It won't work. Once the customer made a complaint, or wrote a bad review, nothing works. It's 246 fines and money deducted (by the platform). 247 When the researcher asked whether he could appeal against customer complaints or penalties, he 248 answered: 249 Of course you can. But whether they would approve is another issue. The chance is normally 250 small. 251 The absence of manager support meant gig couriers often had to navigate the system without guidance. This led to frustration and emotional responses. One of the interviewees (0604g) told us he 252 253 was screaming at the sky one night because he was so frustrated: 254 ... It was near the end of my shift, normally 10pm... Although they say 10pm, we could never 255 finish at 10pm. Orders often came in near 10, like 9:50 and it could be a few kilometers away. We 256 (fellow couriers) said we'd go for dinner together that night. So I tried to cancel, tried to transfer 257 it to other couriers, but no way... I wanted to curse someone, but didn't know who. 258 **Datafication** refers to the conversion of real-world information and user behaviour into data and 259 being input in the system to assist automated decision-making. Gamification, for example, is a 260 typical example of datafication and dehumanization. It uses game elements to increase user 261 interaction on a product or a software application by making it more interesting and challenging 262 (Prabowo et al., 2019). It is commonly used to engage and motivate platform-based workers. Couriers may see gamification as a challenge or hindrance stressor. This will be elaborated with 263 264 examples in later section about individual response. 265 Although interviewees were not data experts, they were able to understand what data means when 266 being managed by algorithmic systems. 267 That is to say they have worked for long enough to see the result, hence their data looks good. My 268 data does not look good because I've only done it for a few months. Even though you're like 269 running all day but you can't beat them [the more experienced ones]. They could deliver 40, 50 270 orders per day. You work for a whole day but could only deliver 20, 30 orders. Your data will 271 never look as good as theirs. (0517g) 272 They were also able to make sense of how the system use the data and figure out the rules embedded 273 in the system. 274 No one knows how exactly the system works. It is really not clear what the situations are. But the 275 system appeared to be working this way: if your data is good, it gives you good orders. You get 276 orders quickly, the orders are close to each other and easier to complete... For example, if they 277 are Gold [grade], not like us, we're Bronze or Silver, there can be quite a bit of difference. 278 Imagine the system allocated six orders (to one courier), they might get places like hotels, or 279 office buildings where you just drop the orders at the reception and the customers will come down 280 to collect them. Whilst for someone like me [low grades, e.g. Bronze], they may send me to climb 281 six floors, or take the lift to the 22nd floor in a residential building. You certainly cannot be as

quick as them, because you have to climb up stairs, take lift, wait for lift etc. During peak hours, these take lots of time. (0517g)

- The interviewee certainly sensed the discrimination against their performance and the lack of
- transparency as he declared 'no one knows how exactly the system works'. Nevertheless, they form
- their own strategies to deal with incidents that would affect their data. For example, one interviewee
- mentioned one day he was very upset because of the behavior of a customer, he then rejected all the
- orders allocated to him for the rest of the day. High rejection rate would negatively affect his record
- or 'his data'. He then carried on to tell us:
- You'll just have to carry on. I just carried on delivering orders the next day. The worst would be
- the orders will take longer, the distance [between orders] become longer, and more difficult to
- complete. You'll just have to build your data again. You re-build it with a positive attitude, if you
- want to continue doing it. If you want to do it do it well. (0525a)
- 294 Performance data were undoubtedly being collected, analyzed and ranked, so that the system could
- 295 give them grades (gold, silver, bronze), as described by the interviewees above. The interviewees
- tried to make sense about the process of datafication and learn to deal with discriminating rules such
- as 'good data' is associated with 'good orders'.
- 298 The platforms seemed be able to collect personal information from platform-based couriers without
- much restriction. For example, the platforms were under pressure to ensure couriers safety. Hence,
- 300 couriers often received requests initiated by the app, normally within minutes or sometimes seconds
- of completing an order, to check whether they were in full uniform and wearing helmet. When they
- responded, the app would use facial recognition technology to verify their identity and took photo
- shots of their images (0510Yg, 0518g, 0525a). The situation is the same for both agency and gig
- 304 couriers. On the contrary, the employed couriers did not have to face this. Their companies took a
- different approach and sent inspectors on the road to check compliance and safety behavior (0509e,
- 306 0524e).

307

3.4 Job stressors intensified by AM

- The overall work environment was stressful as one of the interviewees described there were "all sorts
- of triggers for mental breakdowns" (0604g). We found that AM played a role to intensify other
- 310 stressors.
- Time pressure was extremely high among the platform-based couriers.
- You can't afford to be stuck. You run all the time. If one order gets stuck, all the other orders
- 313 down the line will be delayed. You'd be nervous. (0525a)
- "Late delivery" is a situation when order was not delivered within the time scale set by the AM or
- 315 "the system". It was a major source of stress and mentioned 79 times by 10 interviewees (one
- employed and 9 platform-based), of which 78 were from platform-based couriers whose orders were
- allocated by the apps, with driving routes planned and delivery time set. It was believed that the
- algorithms were designed to push couriers to work faster (0517g, 0525a).
- 319 Customer incivility represents a major source of stress. Location tracking and customer rating landed
- some power to customer (who place and receive the orders) to pressurize couriers further. An
- interviewee (0517g) shared this experience:

322 Once I was delivering an order, the next customer saw me [location on the app]. I was like a few 323 hundred meters away from him. He saw that I was not moving for like 5 or 6 minutes. But I was 324 just delivering the order before his. He started phoning and rushing me, saying 'I saw your 325 location, why were you not moving?' I said sorry I'd be quick. After 30 seconds, he phoned again, 326 saying 'you need to hurry, you are not moving'. I explained and told him I'd be with him right 327 away. He saw me [location] not moving, then phoned the third time and said he would make a 328 complaint. The moment he hang up, I completed the other order. One minute, I was 300 meters 329 away from him, it took me one minute to get to him. I drove so quickly, was not afraid of accidents 330 at all. I wanted to rush and worried I might get a complaint. One complaint then it's all wasted 331 [as fine due to customer complaint is the worth of delivery fees from several orders]. When I 332 handed the order to him, I sincerely apologized to him and said sorry. He took the order 333 reluctantly and said 'sorry meant nothing'. He then made a complaint. (0517g)

3.5 Varying individual response to AM

- Interviewees reported varying responses and perceptions about the same feature of AM. Using gamification as an example, some of the interviewees said they enjoyed it. One interviewee talked proudly about the day when she delivered a record number of orders and regretted that she could not
- achieve a higher number.

- The highest number I achieved was 92 orders [in a day]...I worked normal amount of hours [that day] but it was a festival so lots of orders...there were people who could complete 100 more orders most of the days...12 o'clock [midnight] came too early [on that day]. I was 8 orders short of 100...the system did not give me the chance [to reach 100]. It's a shame. After summer, there are fewer orders. I only get 50 to 60 orders per day now. (0529a)
- Another interviewee (0528a) stated that her desire to win kept her in this job, and that she preferred this job to her previous office-based one, because both her physical health and sleep quality improved since she switched. On the other hand, there was an interviewee who felt they could never be as good as those more experienced couriers (see quotes from the Datafication 0517g).
- 348 Because AM made performance measures highly transparent, voluntary competition among couriers 349 were stimulated as some would show off on social media or in group chats, inducing pressure on the 350 other couriers.
- There are all sorts of screenshots in our [WeChat] group, sometimes it's unit price [delivery fee goes up when they achieved certain goals], sometime it's how much they made that day, all sorts screenshots, anyway, all sorts of show-off, all sorts of psychological attack. (0604g)
- AM and digital labour platforms undoubtedly provided more job **opportunities and flexibility.** Gig couriers found the process straightforward. They just needed to download the app, register and verify identity, and there was no contract binding except for insurance where the platform paid \(\frac{1}{3}\) daily for their safety (0517g, 0518g, 0604g). They agreed that there was autonomy and freedom but outside of the system, as described by the interviewees:
- To a certain extent, freedom means that you can decide whether to do it or not, whether to work or not to work today, but once you started working, the system dictates everything. (0517g)
- Yes, we can reject orders but not too many. If rejected too many [orders], they [the system] will restrict orders your can get. (0510Yg)

Most of the interviewees considered it good pay and many said that their income was higher,

compared to their previous jobs. In addition, they appreciated that hard work was rewarded for

example, attendance bonus, workload and service quality related bonus, and weather subsidy

366 (additional fees they receive when delivering in bad weathers), without the need to deal with office

politics (0528a). We found that younger interviewees (0604g, 0528a, 0529a; aged in their twenties)

368 tended to have a more positive response to AM and were often motivated to be competitive in

algorithm-driven incentive programs, e.g. gamification and ranking, or voluntarily engaged social

networks, e.g. chat groups.

4 Discussion

364

367

- 372 AM is a paradigm-shifting force as it challenges our long-held beliefs about what is human ability
- and what is machine capability (Schuetz & Venkatesh, 2020). The human-like characteristics of
- algorithmic systems thus created a new artefact-user relationship that necessitates new definitions
- and models. Coming from the worker users' perspective, or those 'being managed', we identified two
- key stressors introduced by AM: Dehumanization and Datafication. Dehumanization is fundamental
- 377 to AM but the withdrawal of organizational support, e.g. an inaccessible virtual manager or a generic
- hotline with whom the couriers had no confidence whether they would be supported, might reduce
- 379 the perceived quality of support and the sense of relatedness to the digitalized workplace.
- Datafication or extraction of data from workers, is the foundational process of AM as the system
- cannot deliver management outputs without the data inputs. Features such as gamification, ranking
- and job allocation are based on behavioral data collected from the workers. Digital labour platforms
- also had the power to collect biometric data from the couriers, e.g facial imagines. The interviewees
- did not raise the issue of data privacy or surveillance as they accepted the fact that AM is the boss
- and the boss dictates. There was a strong sense of power imbalance and reluctant acceptance of
- unfairness and discrimination. It must be recognized that datafication can function alone to deliver
- such effects without dehumanization. Because the lack of transparency about how workers' data are
- being collected, analyzed and used against them plaques the workplaces, even when decision-making
- remains in the hands of human managers, datafication lends great power to them to judge those
- 390 'being managed'. (Cheng & Hackett, 2021; Tan et al., 2021; Veen et al., 2020)
- 391 Couriers' exposure to AM was not equally distributed cross employment types and work
- 392 organization mode. This is consistent with finding from qualitative research that compared
- 393 experience of Uber drivers working in London, New York and multiple other cities (Karanović et al.,
- 394 2021). The identification of key stressors of AM can assist future study to develop a typology in
- relation to the extent of Dehumanization and Datafication and the perceived effects on, for example,
- 396 quality of support, sense of relatedness, data transparency, discrimination, workplace unfairness and
- 397 power imbalance. In terms of dehumanization and quality of support, organizational support provided
- through human, e.g. managers and customer service hotlines, should be taken into account, as they
- can reinforce system rules or provide some negotiating space for worker users. These findings are
- 400 consistent with literature from Human-factor in Computing Systems (Chen & Qiu, 2019; Gal et al.,
- 401 2020; Schuetz & Venkatesh, 2020; A. Zhang et al., 2022) but were not articulated to explain the
- 402 mechanisms of AM for health researchers.
- 403 Another key finding of this study is the interaction between AM and other psychosocial factors, e.g.
- 404 time pressure and customer behavior. Although several studies on Chinese couriers' occupational
- stress, mental health and safety have highlighted the highly stressful working conditions, they did not
- evaluate the role of AM in intensifying the effects of relevant factors (Hong et al., 2022; Sun, 2023;

- 407 Xie et al., 2021; C. Zhang, S. P. Cheung, et al., 2022). Future studies should take into account the
- interactive effects between AM and other work stress factors.
- 409 Couriers in China are at the forefront of experiencing and coping with these new job stressors and
- 410 hence provide an important starting point for conceptualizing the AM and understanding the
- 411 underlying mechanisms. Similar to other gig workers in the world, Chinese couriers have also tried to
- 412 figure out how the system works, what it means to their work life and have developed coping
- 413 strategies (McDonald et al., 2021; Möhlmann & Zalmanson, 2017). However, studies focusing solely
- on platform-based workers, e.g. Uber or Deliveroo, provided limited insights into how workers
- an algoriate with automated management through the limited human support provided by the system.
- As we talked to couriers with varying exposure to AM, the importance of human-factor was
- 417 identified.

426

432

433

434

- Individuals responded to AM in different ways. For example, some participants in our study enjoyed
- 419 gamification and found it a fulfilling experience, whilst some other participants expressed concerns
- about discrimination. Some preferred the flexibility and pay transparency provided by the system
- 421 while some found navigating the system without guidance frustrating. These findings are consistent
- with sociological research of AM (Li, 2022; Prabowo et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2023). However,
- previous studies tend to focus on either positive or negative impacts of AM without taking into
- 424 account that variations due to individual circumstances. Future research should further identify
- individual factors that predict work experience and outcomes.

4.1 Limitations and future research

- This is an exploratory study based on a relatively small number of interviews. The insights may be
- 428 nuanced and insightful about Chinse couriers, although it is unclear to what extent the findings are
- generalizable to other countries. However, the results appear to be broadly in line with existing
- sociology and psychology literature of this topic. Future research can continue to develop the
- concepts of Dehumanization and Datafication and utilize it for larger studies on AM.

5 Reference

- Brennen, J. S., & Kreiss, D. (2016). Digitalization. *The international encyclopedia of communication theory and philosophy*, 1-11.
- Chen, J. Y., & Qiu, J. L. (2019). Digital utility: Datafication, regulation, labor, and DiDi's
- platformization of urban transport in China. Chinese Journal of Communication, 12(3), 274-
- 439 289. https://doi.org/10.1080/17544750.2019.1614964
- Cheng, M. M., & Hackett, R. D. (2021). A critical review of algorithms in HRM: Definition, theory, and practice. *Human Resource Management Review*, *31*(1), 100698.
- https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100698
- 443 Cram, W. A., Wiener, M., Tarafdar, M., & Benlian, A. (2022). Examining the Impact of Algorithmic
- 444 Control on Uber Drivers' Technostress. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 39(2),
- 445 426-453. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2022.2063556

- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2017). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (Fifth ed.). SAGE.
- Fairclough, N. (2005). Peripheral Vision: Discourse Analysis in Organization Studies: The Case for Critical Realism. *Organization Studies*, 26(6), 915-939.

 https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840605054610
- Fleiss, J. L., Levin, B., & Paik, M. C. (2013). *Statistical methods for rates and proportions*. john wiley & sons.
- Gal, U., Jensen, T. B., & Stein, M.-K. (2020). Breaking the vicious cycle of algorithmic management: A virtue ethics approach to people analytics. *Information and Organization*, 30(2), 100301. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2020.100301
- Hong, Y., Zhang, Y., Xue, P., Fang, X., Zhou, L., Wei, F., . . . Zou, H. (2022). The Influence of Long
 Working Hours, Occupational Stress, and Well-Being on Depression Among Couriers in
 Zhejiang, China [Original Research]. Frontiers in psychology, 13.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.928928
- Ivanova, M., Bronowicka, J., Kocher, E., & Degner, A. (2018). The App as a Boss? Control and
 Autonomy in Application-Based Management. https://opus4.kobv.de/opus4-euv/frontdoor/index/index/docId/388
- 463 <u>https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:kobv:521-opus4-3889</u> (Arbeit | Grenze | Fluss 2)
- Karanović, J., Berends, H., & Engel, Y. (2021). Regulated Dependence: Platform Workers'
 Responses to New Forms of Organizing. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(4), 1070-1106.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12577
- 467 Li, A. K. (2022). Beyond algorithmic control: flexibility, intermediaries, and paradox in the on-468 demand economy. *Information, Communication & Society*, 25(14), 2012-2027. 469 https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2021.1924225
- 470 Li, J. (2016). Karoshi: An international work-related hazard? *International Journal of Cardiology*, 471 206, 139-140. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.01.092
- 472 Lin, Y. 林., Li, X. 李., & Li, Y. 李. (2018). 北京市快递员过劳现状及其影响因素—基于 1214 名
- 473 快递员的调查 (Empirical Research on Employment and Overwork Situation of Couriers—
- Based on the Investigation of 1214 Couriers in Beijing). 中国流通经济 (China Business
- 475 And Market), 32(08), 79-88. https://doi.org/DOI:10.14089/j.cnki.cn11-3664/f.2018.08.009
- 476 Lin, Y. 林., & Li, Y. 李. (2021). 心理资本、组织支持感在职业紧张与过度劳动关系中的作用—
- 477 基于北京地区外卖骑手的调查 (The Role of Psychological Capital and Organizational
- Support in the Relationship between Occupational Stress and Overwork—Based on a Survey
- of Take-out Riders in Beijing). 中国流通经济 (China Business And Market), 35(4), 116-
- 480 126. https://doi.org/doi : 10.14089/j.cnki.cn11-3664/f.2021.04.011
- 481 Mäntymäki, M., Baiyere, A., & Islam, A. K. M. N. (2019). Digital platforms and the changing nature
- of physical work: Insights from ride-hailing. *International Journal of Information*
- 483 *Management*, 49, 452-460. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.007

- Meijerink, J., & Bondarouk, T. (2021). The duality of algorithmic management: Toward a research agenda on HRM algorithms, autonomy and value creation. *Human Resource Management Review*, 100876. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2021.100876
- Möhlmann, M., & Zalmanson, L. (2017). Hands on the wheel: Navigating algorithmic management and Uber drivers'. Autonomy', in proceedings of the international conference on information systems (ICIS), Seoul South Korea,
- Möhlmann, M., Zalmanson, L., Henfridsson, O., & Gregory, R. W. (2021). Algorithmic Management
 of Work on Online Labor Platforms: When Matching Meets Control [Article]. *MIS Quarterly*,
 45(4), 1999-2022. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2021/15333
- Mökander, J., Juneja, P., Watson, D. S., & Floridi, L. (2022). The US Algorithmic Accountability
 Act of 2022 vs. The EU Artificial Intelligence Act: what can they learn from each other?
 Minds and Machines, 1-8.
- 496 Parviainen, P., Tihinen, M., Kääriäinen, J., & Teppola, S. (2017). Tackling the digitalization 497 challenge: how to benefit from digitalization in practice. *International journal of information* 498 *systems and project management*, 5(1), 63-77.
- 499 Prabowo, R., Sucahyo, Y. G., Gandhi, A., & Ruldeviyani, Y. (2019, 12-13 Oct. 2019). Does
 500 Gamification Motivate Gig Workers? A Critical Issue in Ride-Sharing Industries. 2019
 501 International Conference on Advanced Computer Science and information Systems
 502 (ICACSIS),
- Rani, U., Pesole, A., & Gonzalez Vazquez, I. (2024). Algorithmic Management practices in regular workplaces: case studies in logistics and healthcare.

 https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2760/712475
- Schuetz, S., & Venkatesh, V. (2020). Research Perspectives: The Rise of Human Machines: How
 Cognitive Computing Systems Challenge Assumptions of User-System Interaction. *Journal* of the Association for Information Systems, 21(2). https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00608
- Sun, G. (2023). Quantitative Analysis of Online Labor Platforms' Algorithmic Management
 Influence on Psychological Health of Workers. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(5), 4519. https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/20/5/4519
- Tan, Z. M., Aggarwal, N., Cowls, J., Morley, J., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2021). The ethical debate about the gig economy: A review and critical analysis. *Technology in Society*, *65*, 101594. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101594
- Veen, A., Barratt, T., & Goods, C. (2020). Platform-Capital's 'App-etite' for Control: A Labour
 Process Analysis of Food-Delivery Work in Australia. Work, employment and society, 34(3),
 388-406. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017019836911
- Wang, Z., Neitzel, R. L., Zheng, W., Wang, D., Xue, X., & Jiang, G. (2021). Road safety situation of electric bike riders: A cross-sectional study in courier and take-out food delivery population.

 Traffic Injury Prevention, 22(7), 564-569. https://doi.org/10.1080/15389588.2021.1895129
- Wei, H., Daniels, S., Whitfield, C. A., Han, Y., Denning, D. W., Hall, I., . . . van Tongeren, M. (2022). Agility and Sustainability: A Qualitative Evaluation of COVID-19 Non-
- pharmaceutical Interventions in the UK Logistics Sector [Original Research]. Frontiers in
- 524 public health, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.864506

525 Xie, Y., Tian, J., Jiao, Y., Liu, Y., Yu, H., & Shi, L. (2021). The Impact of Work Stress on Job Satisfaction and Sleep Quality for Couriers in China: The Role of Psychological Capital 526 [Original Research]. Frontiers in psychology, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.730147 527 528 Zhang, A., Boltz, A., Wang, C. W., & Lee, M. K. (2022). Algorithmic Management Reimagined For 529 Workers and By Workers: Centering Worker Well-Being in Gig Work Proceedings of the 530 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, New Orleans, LA, USA. https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3501866 531 532 Zhang, C., Cheung, S. P., & Huang, C. (2022). Job Demands and Resources, Mindfulness, and Burnout Among Delivery Drivers in China [Original Research]. Frontiers in psychology, 13. 533 534 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsvg.2022.792254 Zhang, C., Sitar, S., & Huang, C.-C. (2022). Effects of Job Demands and Resources on Positive and 535 536 Negative Affect of Delivery Drivers in China. International Journal of Environmental 537 Research and Public Health, 19(13), 8140. https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/19/13/8140 Zhang, L., Yang, J., Zhang, Y., & Xu, G. (2023). Gig worker's perceived algorithmic management, 538 539 stress appraisal, and destructive deviant behavior. *PLoS ONE*, 18(11), e0294074.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294074

541 6 Tables