It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Analysis of CNN features with multiple machine learning classifiers in diagnosis of monkeypox from digital skin images

¹Vidit Kumar (viditkumar.cse@geu.ac.in)

¹Graphic Era Deemed to be University

¹https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6157-7562

https://www.scopus.com/authid/detail.uri?authorId=57226373136

¹https://sciprofiles.com/profile/2110534

Abstract

Concerns about public health have been heightened by the rapid spread of monkeypox to more than 90 countries. To contain the spread, AI assisted diagnosis system can play an important role. In this study, different deep CNN models with multiple machine learning classifiers are investigated for monkeypox disease diagnosis using skin images. For this, bottleneck features of three CNN models i.e. AlexNet, GoogleNet and Vgg16Net are exploited with multiple machine learning classifiers such as SVM, KNN, Naïve Bayes, Decision Tree and Random Forest. Results shows that with Vgg16Net features, Naïve Bayes classifier gives highest accuracy of 91.11%.

1. Introduction

The fast spread of monkeypox in more than 40 nations outside of Africa has raised public health concerns. Early clinical identification of monkeypox can be difficult because of the disease's similarities to chickenpox and measles. Even though 3-6% of people who got monkeypox during the recent outbreak died [1], isolating people who have been in contact with them and finding out who they are is important to stop the virus from spreading in the community.

Computer-aided detection of monkeypox lesions could be useful for surveillance and rapid identification of suspected cases in areas where confirmatory Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) tests are not easily accessible. In the case of automated disease diagnosis, deep learning algorithms have proven useful It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2. Method

In this study, we explore three deep CNN features and analyze its performance with different machine learning classifiers for monkeypox disease diagnosis. The three CNNs are AlexNet [8], GoogleNet [9] and Vgg16Net [10].

AlexNet : It won first place at the 2012 ILSVRC. It's an 8-layer deep network with 5 convolutional layers and 3 fully linked ones [8]. Its convolution kernel sizes are (from largest to smallest) 11×11, 5×5, 3×3, and 3x3. Its input is of 227×227×3 input. In total, there are 64,000,000 parameters that can be trained. The error rate produced by AlexNet (15.3%) was substantially greater. Additionally, it replaces the sigmoid activation function with the more effective ReLU activation function.

Vgg16Net: Unlike AlexNet, this network employs a set of 3×3 kernels [10]. For a given receptive field range, the effect of using multiple small convolution kernels is preferred over using a single large convolution kernel. This is because the multi-layer nonlinear layer can increase the network depth, allowing for the learning of more complex patterns at a reduced computational cost.

GoogleNet : Compared to alexnet, this CNN is more in-depth, and it adds the idea of an inception block; as a result, it placed first in the 2014 ILSVRC [9]. There are several convolutions in each Inception module, with kernel sizes of 1×1, 3×3 and 5×5 in use. Interleaving 1×1 convolutional layers accomplishes dimensionality reduction in the feature space. There are nine inception modules in total, and they all link to one another in order.

We used 5 machine learning algorithms for classification purpose. These are SVM, KNN, Decision Tree, Naïve Bayes and Random Forest.

For this, Monkeypox-Skin-Lesion-Dataset [11] is used which consists of 228 original RGB images (102 monkey pox and 126 others) and 3192 augmented images (1428 monkey pox and 1764 others). We tested on Fold 1 of the dataset.

3. Implementation

All the experiments are done using Pytorch with Google-Colab. The augmented images are only used during training the model. The testing is done using the original images.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

To evaluate the model's performance, four measurements are selected: accuracy, precision, recall, f1-score, which are computed using (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) respectively.

$$Accuracy = \frac{(TP+TN)}{(TP+FP+TN+FN)} \tag{1}$$

$$Precision = TP / (TP + FP)$$
 (2)

$$Recall = TP / (TP + FN)$$
 (3)

$$F1_score = 2 \times (Precision \times Recall) / (Precision + Recall)$$
 (4)

4. Results

Bottleneck Features	Classifiers	Class	Precision	Recall	F1 Score	Accuracy
AlexNet	SVM	Monkey Pox	78.30%	90.00%	83.70%	84.44%
		Others	90.90%	80.00%	85.10%	
	Naïve Bayes	Monkey Pox	79.20%	95.00%	86.40%	86.66%
		Others	95.20%	80.00%	87.00%	
	KNN	Monkey Pox	66.70%	80.00%	72.70%	73.33%
		Others	81.00%	68.00%	73.90%	
	Decision Tree	Monkey Pox	65.00%	65.00%	65.00%	68.89%
		Others	72.00%	72.00%	72.00%	
	Random Forest	Monkey Pox	81.00%	85.00%	82.90%	84.44%
		Others	87.50%	84.00%	85.70%	
GoogleNet	SVM	Monkey Pox	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	42.22%
		Others	48.00%	48.00%	48.00%	
	Naïve Bayes	Monkey Pox	58.80%	50.00%	54.10%	62.22%
		Others	64.30%	72.00%	67.90%	
	KNN	Monkey Pox	41.70%	50.00%	45.50%	46.66%
		Others	52.40%	44.00%	47.80%	
	Decision Tree	Monkey Pox	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	46.66%
		Others	52.00%	52.00%	52.00%	
	Random Forest	Monkey Pox	50.00%	40.00%	44.40%	55.55%
		Others	58.60%	68.00%	63.00%	
Vgg16Net	SVM	Monkey Pox	69.60%	80.00%	74.40%	75.55%
		Others	81.80%	72.00%	76.60%	
	Naïve Bayes	Monkey Pox	90.50%	95.00%	92.70%	91.11%
		Others	95.70%	91.70%	93.60%	
	KNN	Monkey Pox	84.20%	80.00%	82.10%	84.44%
		Others	84.60%	88.00%	86.30%	
	Decision Tree	Monkey Pox	78.30%	90.00%	83.70%	84.44%
		Others	90.90%	80.00%	85.10%	
	Random Forest	Monkey Pox	83.30%	100.00%	90.90%	91.11%
		Others	100.00%	84.00%	91.30%	

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

5. Conclusion

With AlexNet features, Naïve Bayes classifier gives highest accuracy of 86.66%. With GoogleNet features, Naïve Bayes classifier gives highest accuracy of 62.22%. And, with **Vgg16Net features**, **Naïve Bayes** classifier gives highest accuracy of 91.11%

For future works, large scale dataset need to be explored. Also, real time efficient network to be explored for mobile based fast diagnosis. Furthermore, self-supervised approaches [12][13][14] are also needed to explore in future since labelling at large-scale can be expensive. Video based methods [15] can also be investigated for diagnosis in future.

References

- [1] "Monkeypox," Who.int. [Online]. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/monkeypox. [Accessed: 15-Aug-2022].
- [2] V. Kumar, "A Review on Deep Learning based diagnosis of COVID19 from X-ray and CT Images," *In 2022 International Mobile and Embedded Technology Conference (MECON)*, 2022, pp. 547-552, doi: 10.1109/MECON53876.2022.9752060.
- [3] L. Gao et al., "Brain disease diagnosis using deep learning features from longitudinal MR images," in Web and Big Data, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 327–339.
- [4] H. Li, Y. Pan, J. Zhao, and L. Zhang, "Skin disease diagnosis with deep learning: A review," Neurocomputing, vol. 464, pp. 364–393, 2021.
- [5] J. Choe et al., "Content-based image retrieval by using deep learning for interstitial lung disease diagnosis with chest CT," Radiology, vol. 302, no. 1, pp. 187–197, 2022.
- [6] V. Kumar, V. Tripathi, and B. Pant, "Exploring the strengths of neural codes for video retrieval," in *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2022, pp. 519–531.
- [7] V. Kumar, V. Tripathi, and B. Pant, "Content based Surgical Video Retrieval via Multi-Deep Features Fusion." *In 2021 IEEE International Conference on Electronics, Computing and Communication Technologies (CONECCT)*, 2021, pp. 1-5.
- [8] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2012, pp. 1097–1105.
- [9] C. Szegedy et al., "Going deeper with convolutions," in Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2015, vol. 07-12-June, pp. 1–9.
- [10] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition," in 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015 Conference Track Proceedings, 2015.
- [11]S. N. Ali et al., "Monkeypox skin lesion detection using deep learning models: A feasibility study," arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.03342, 2022
- [12] V Kumar, V Tripathi, B Pant., "Enhancing unsupervised video representation learning by temporal contrastive modelling using 2d CNN," In 5th IAPR International Conference on Computer Vision & Image Processing (CVIP), 2022, pp. 494-503.
- [13] V Kumar, "Unsupervised Learning of Spatio-Temporal Representation with Multi-Task Learning for Video Retrieval", In 2022 National Conference on Communications (NCC), 2022, pp. 118-123.
- [14] V Kumar, V Tripathi, B Pant. "Learning Unsupervised Visual Representations using 3d Convolutional Autoencoder with Temporal Contrastive modeling for Video Retrieval," *International Journal of Mathematical, Engineering and Management Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 272-287, 2022.
- [15] V. Kumar et al., "Hybrid Spatiotemporal Contrastive Representation Learning for Content-Based Surgical Video Retrieval," *Electronics*, vol. 11, no. 9, p. 1353, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3390/electronics11091353.