

1 ***Mapping sleep's phenotypic and genetic links to the brain and heart: a systematic***
2 ***analysis of multimodal brain and cardiac images in the UK Biobank***

3

4 **Running title: Imaging genetics for sleep**

5

6 Zirui Fan^{1,2}, Yujue Li², Juan Shu², Xiaochen Yang², Bingxuan Li³, Jinjie Lin⁴, Qianwen Wang⁵,
7 Peristera Paschou⁶, Tengfei Li^{7,8}, Hongtu Zhu^{9,10,11,12*}, and Bingxin Zhao^{1,2*}

8

9 ¹Department of Statistics and Data Science, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.

10 ²Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.

11 ³Department of Computer Science, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.

12 ⁴Yale School of Management, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA.

13 ⁵Peking University Sixth Hospital, Peking University Institute of Mental Health, NHC Key Laboratory of
14 Mental Health (Peking University), National Clinical Research Center for Mental Disorders (Peking University
15 Sixth Hospital), Beijing 100191, China.

16 ⁶Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.

17 ⁷Department of Radiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.

18 ⁸Biomedical Research Imaging Center, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,
19 Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.

20 ⁹Department of Biostatistics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.

21 ¹⁰Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.

22 ¹¹Department of Computer Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.

23 ¹²Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill,
24 NC 27599, USA.

25 ****Corresponding authors:***

26 Hongtu Zhu

27 3105C McGavran-Greenberg Hall, 135 Dauer Drive, Chapel Hill, NC 27599.

28 E-mail address: htzhu@email.unc.edu Phone: (919) 966-7250

29

30 Bingxin Zhao

31 413 Academic Research Building, 265 South 37th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

32 E-mail address: bxzhao@upenn.edu Phone: (215) 898-8222

1 **Abstract**

2 Sleep is essential for the health of the brain and heart. Although sleep has been identified
3 as a factor in a few specific clinical outcomes, a systematic analysis of the relationship
4 between sleep and brain/heart and their genetic underpinnings is lacking. Medical images
5 can provide useful clinical endophenotypes for organ structures and functions. Here we
6 present a systematic genetic investigation of sleep-brain/heart connections using multi-
7 modal brain and cardiac images from over 40,000 subjects in the UK Biobank. We
8 identified novel phenotypic and genetic links between sleep and a wide range of imaging
9 traits, such as brain structures, white matter integrity, brain activities, as well as cardiac
10 structures and functions. We prioritized a number of imaging modalities and traits for
11 specific sleep conditions, such as the resting brain function measures in the somatomotor
12 network with narcolepsy. Sleep and imaging had overlapping genetic influences in 39
13 genomic loci, some of which showed evidence of shared causal genetic variants. In
14 conclusion, large-scale imaging genetic data illuminate the implications of sleep on brain
15 and cardiac health and their genetic links. An interactive web browser (www.ig4sleep.org)
16 has been developed to facilitate exploring our results.

17
18 **Keywords:** Brain Imaging; Brain Health; Cardiac Imaging; Heart Health; Sleep; UK Biobank.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

1 A growing body of evidence suggests that poor sleep is a risk factor for physical, cognitive,
2 and mental health problems¹. Sleep traits (such as sleep quality and duration) and
3 disorders (such as insomnia and narcolepsy) are associated with various cardiovascular
4 diseases and brain disorders. For example, rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder
5 was found to be a strong predictor for the development of neurodegenerative diseases
6 such as dementia and Parkinson's disease^{2,3}. Sleep dysregulation and short sleep duration
7 in midlife were consistently linked to higher risk of Alzheimer's disease and other
8 dementias⁴. In addition, both insufficient and excess sleep duration may cause a higher
9 incidence of cardiovascular outcomes, including coronary heart disease⁵⁻⁷, hypertension⁸,
10 atrial fibrillation^{9,10}, and stroke^{11,12}. Individuals with sleep disturbance were also more
11 likely to have mental and psychiatric disorders¹³, such as anxiety¹⁴, post-traumatic stress
12 disorder¹⁵, schizophrenia¹⁶, bipolar disorder, major depressive disorder (MDD), and
13 attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)^{17,18}.

14

15 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides noninvasive and comprehensive measures
16 of the structure and function of the human organs, including the brain and heart. Imaging
17 traits generated from brain and cardiac MRI are well-established clinical endophenotypes
18 and have been widely used in early prediction and detection of cardiovascular,
19 neurological, and neuropsychiatric outcomes¹⁹⁻²². Using MRI data, several studies have
20 examined the sleep-related structural and functional alterations in the brain and heart²³⁻
21 ³⁰. Two major limitations of most existing studies have been i) the limited study sample
22 size, which was usually less than a few hundred; and ii) focusing on one single MRI
23 modality (or trait) and/or one sleep trait, such as hippocampal atrophy²⁵ and sleep
24 duration³⁰⁻³². It is known, however, that large sample sizes are needed for MRI studies to
25 detect small effect sizes³³ and produce reproducible findings, especially for brain
26 functional MRI (fMRI) data³⁴. In addition, distinct MRI modalities and sleep conditions
27 may be relevant to different diseases and health-related complex characteristics²¹.
28 Therefore, a systematic analysis of multi-modal MRI data and multiple sleep conditions in
29 a large cohort would provide a more comprehensive understanding of sleep-related
30 changes in brain and heart structures and functions.

31

1 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have shown that sleep disorders and traits are
2 heritable and have a polygenic genetic architecture³⁵⁻⁴⁵. The sleep-associated genetic
3 variants are enriched for genes expressed in the brain and for metabolic and psychiatric
4 pathways⁴⁴. Genetic correlations between sleep traits and brain-related disorders (such
5 as depression and schizophrenia) have been discovered, suggesting their shared
6 neurogenetic basis⁴⁶. On the other hand, both brain and cardiac MRI traits are also
7 heritable and hundreds of associated genetic loci have been identified in recent GWAS⁴⁷⁻
8 ⁵⁸. However, few studies have ever integrated the multi-organ MRI measures and sleep
9 conditions to explore the genetic interactions among sleep behavior, brain and cardiac
10 structure/function, and related clinical endpoints.

11
12 To overcome these challenges, here we examined the phenotypic and genetic sleep-
13 brain/heart connections using multi-modal cardiac and brain MRI data from more than
14 40,000 subjects in the UK Biobank (UKB) study⁵⁹. We included three major brain MRI
15 modalities: 1) brain anatomical and neuropathological structures from structural MRI,
16 including regional brain volumes⁵⁴ and cortical thickness traits⁶⁰; 2) white matter
17 microstructures from diffusion MRI, including multiple diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)
18 parameters⁵⁶; and 3) intrinsic and extrinsic functional organizations of the cerebral cortex
19 from resting and task fMRI, including functional connectivity and amplitude traits⁵⁸. For
20 heart, we used 82 traits extracted from short-axis, long-axis, and aortic cine cardiac MRI⁶¹,
21 including global and regional measures of 4 cardiac chambers (the left ventricle, right
22 ventricle, left atrium, and right atrium), as well as 2 aortic sections (the ascending aorta
23 and descending aorta). We mainly examined 7 self-reported sleep-related conditions in
24 the UKB study: sleep duration, getting up in the morning, chronotype (morning/evening
25 person), daytime nap, insomnia (sleeplessness), snoring, and narcolepsy (daytime
26 sleepiness/dozing). Detailed information on these imaging and sleep data can be found
27 in the Methods section. A series of phenotypic, genetic, and predictive analyses were
28 conducted to understand the health implications of sleep. The overview of our study is
29 presented in **Figure 1** and our results can be explored via the interactive web browser at
30 www.ig4sleep.org.

31

32 **RESULTS**

1 **An atlas of sleep associations with multi-modal brain and cardiac MRI traits**

2 We examined the phenotypic associations between 7 sleep conditions and a wide range
3 of brain and cardiac MRI traits, including 101 regional brain volumes⁵⁴, 63 cortical
4 thickness measures⁶⁰, 110 DTI parameters⁵⁶, 92 parcellation-based network-level traits in
5 resting and task fMRI⁵⁸, respectively, as well as 82 heart imaging traits^{60,61} (**Table S1**). We
6 performed regression analysis with unrelated white British subjects (average $n = 29,025$,
7 Methods). Among the 3,780 (7×540) association pairs, 395 associations were significant
8 at the false discovery rate (FDR) level of 5% (by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure) and
9 92 of them further passed the more stringent Bonferroni significance level ($P < 1.32 \times 10^{-5}$,
10 $0.05/3780$) (**Figs. 2A, S1**, and **Table S2**). Significant associations were observed for all the
11 7 sleep conditions and 6 groups of imaging traits. Below we highlight the associations
12 survived the Bonferroni multiple testing adjustment.

13

14 Resting fMRI showed the strongest sleep associations among all 6 groups of imaging traits,
15 which were mostly related to sleep duration, narcolepsy, and getting up. Specifically,
16 sleep duration had widespread negative associations with resting fMRI traits (including
17 both functional connectivity and amplitude⁶² measures) in multiple functional networks
18 (β range = $[-0.062, -0.036]$, $P < 1.02 \times 10^{-5}$), with the strongest association in the
19 somatomotor network ($\beta = -0.062$, $P = 3.11 \times 10^{-13}$). Somatomotor function was important
20 in multiple sleep stages and poor sleep quality and shorter duration have been linked to
21 increased somatomotor functional connectivity in young samples⁶³⁻⁶⁵. In addition, resting
22 fMRI traits positively correlated with easy getting up (β range = $[0.036, 0.048]$, $P <$
23 1.28×10^{-5}) and were mostly positively associated with narcolepsy (β range = $[-0.051,$
24 $0.064]$, $P < 1.27 \times 10^{-5}$). Narcolepsy patients have been found to have alerted functional
25 organizations, although previous sample sizes were usually small, making conclusions
26 inconclusive^{66,67}. In our analysis, decreased functional connectivity was mainly found in
27 the default mode network, as well as between the auditory and orbito-affective as well
28 as ventral-multimodal networks⁶⁸. Functional connectivity in most of other networks had
29 positive associations with narcolepsy (**Fig. S2**). Compared to resting fMRI, task fMRI had
30 much weaker signals, with only two associations with getting up remaining after
31 Bonferroni correction (β range = $[-0.039, 0.042]$, $P < 1.07 \times 10^{-5}$).

32

1 To uncover detailed spatial association patterns and pinpoint sleep-related brain regions,
2 we further performed area-level resting fMRI analysis with 64,620 ($360 \times 359/2$)
3 functional connectivity measures among 360 brain functional areas in 12 networks^{68,69}.
4 These high-resolution fMRI traits provided fine-grained information on the functional
5 organization of the cerebral cortex. At the Bonferroni significance level ($P < 7.74 \times 10^{-7}$,
6 $0.05/64,620$), sleep duration had negative associations with most functional areas in the
7 somatomotor and auditory networks, as well as some areas of the visual (visual1 and
8 visual2), cingulo-opercular, and language networks (**Fig. 2B**). In contrast, most of the
9 positive associations with sleep duration were related to the areas of the default mode
10 network. Furthermore, we partitioned the default mode network into seven clusters⁵⁸,
11 showing that sleep duration was predominantly related to the hippocampal and visual
12 subclusters (**Fig. S3A**). As compared to long sleep duration, self-reported shorter sleep
13 duration was associated with lower functional connectivity in the default mode
14 network⁷⁰⁻⁷². The default mode network is an active network that integrates information⁷³
15 and plays an important role in the awake brain⁷⁴. In addition to previous evidence that
16 shorter sleep duration was related directly to higher levels of Amyloid- β ⁷⁵, our findings
17 provided further evidence that reducing sleep duration had a significant impact on brain
18 functioning. For narcolepsy, positive associations were mainly in the somatomotor
19 network and the connections between the somatomotor and visual networks (**Fig. 2C**). In
20 contrast, negative associations with narcolepsy were found in the default mode network,
21 most of which were related to the visual subcluster (**Fig. S3B**). For getting up and daytime
22 nap, most of their associations were related to the visual and somatomotor networks
23 (**Figs. S4A-S4B**). Overall, these results showed that functional connectivity of the
24 somatomotor and visual networks had substantial connections with sleep conditions. In
25 the default model network, narcolepsy and sleep duration were associated with brain
26 functional activity differently than in other networks.

27

28 Our area-level analysis also identified related functional areas for snoring, insomnia, and
29 chronotype. Particularly, snoring was linked to resting functional connections in the
30 frontoparietal network, especially the left and right 13l areas in the posterior orbital gyrus
31 (**Fig. S4C**). The functional connectivity between the left/right 13L areas and frontoparietal,
32 visual, cingulo-opercular, and dorsal attention networks was increased in snoring, while

1 the functional connectivity with the default mode network decreased. Reduced
2 functional connectivity was also observed within the default mode network (**Fig. S3C**).
3 Associations with chronotype were mainly detected among cognitive networks, especially
4 between the cingulo-opercular and default mode networks (**Figs. S3D and S4D**). In
5 addition, insomnia was found to be negatively associated with resting functional
6 connections within the somatomotor network (**Fig. S4E**). In summary, sleep-related brain
7 functional variations were uncovered in specific brain areas, prioritizing related fMRI
8 biomarkers for future sleep research.

9

10 Next, we observed significant associations between DTI parameters and multiple sleep
11 conditions, especially chronotype (**Figs. 2A and S5**). Being an evening person was
12 associated with higher mean diffusivity (MD) and axial diffusivity (AD) in multiple brain
13 white matter tracts, such as the anterior corona radiata, body of corpus callosum,
14 retrolenticular part of internal capsule, anterior limb of internal capsule, and superior
15 corona radiata (β range = [0.042, 0.048], $P < 1.23 \times 10^{-5}$). Increased MD and AD may
16 indicate abnormalities and healthy aging in glial tissue, in which the glial cells were
17 involved in the regulation of circadian rhythms⁷⁶. It has been reported that evening
18 chronotype exhibited increased level of white matter changes⁷⁷. In addition, we observed
19 significant associations between snoring and the radial diffusivity (RD) in the corticospinal
20 tract ($\beta = 0.040$, $P = 1.32 \times 10^{-6}$), and between daytime nap and the AD of the body of
21 corpus callosum tract ($\beta = 0.042$, $P = 1.14 \times 10^{-5}$). Compared to resting fMRI and DTI
22 parameters, structural MRI traits (regional brain volumes and cortical thickness) had less
23 phenotypic associations with sleep. Associations survived at the Bonferroni significance
24 level were all related to daytime nap, which was associated with reduced right cerebellum
25 white matter volume ($\beta = -0.048$, $P = 1.30 \times 10^{-5}$) and smaller cortical thickness of the left
26 pars triangularis and right insula (β range = [-0.041, -0.039], $P < 4.29 \times 10^{-5}$).

27

28 Sleep also correlated significantly with cardiac MRI traits of the left ventricle, right
29 ventricle, and right atrium (**Figs. 2A and S6**). Specifically, snoring was positively linked to
30 both left and right heart structures, including the left/right ventricular end-diastolic
31 volume (LVEDV and RVEDV), right atrium maximum and stroke volumes, and right
32 ventricular end-systolic volume (RVESV) (β range = [-0.047, 0.064], $P < 1.20 \times 10^{-5}$). Snoring

1 may affect the cardiac function and increase the risk of cardiovascular disease especially
2 in women^{24,78}. In addition, getting up had widespread associations with the LVEDV, left
3 ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV), left ventricular myocardial mass (LVM), RVEDV,
4 RVESV, and right ventricular stroke volume (RVSV) (β range = [0.045, 0.072], $P < 1.70 \times 10^{-6}$).
5 Furthermore, negative associations with RVEDV were found for daytime nap and
6 chronotype (β range = [-0.0613, -0.0610], $P < 1.30 \times 10^{-7}$). Human health is closely related
7 to sleep chronotypes⁴⁵, which are behavioral manifestations of circadian rhythms⁷⁹. In
8 perspective studies, early chronotype is often regarded as a sign of healthy sleep
9 behavior^{80,81}. Individuals with an early chronotype are typically at a reduced risk of
10 developing cardiovascular diseases⁸² and heart failure⁸¹. Circadian and cardiovascular
11 diseases, however, remain elusive in terms of their underlying mechanisms. The present
12 study established a clear physiologic relationship between early chronotype and cardiac
13 health. Overall, we mapped phenotypic links between the brain and cardiac MRI traits
14 and sleep characteristics. These imaging endophenotypes may aid in future clinical
15 research and applications to better understand sleep's role in brain and heart-related
16 clinical outcomes.

17

18 In the phenotypic analysis above, UKB subjects who had both imaging and sleep data were
19 considered, whereas more than 80% UKB subjects had only sleep data and thus were
20 excluded. In order to include these non-imaging UKB subjects in our study, we developed
21 polygenic risk scores (PRS) by using GWAS summary statistics of imaging traits from
22 previous studies^{54,56,58,60}. These genetically predicted imaging traits allowed us to repeat
23 the above sleep-imaging association analysis in non-imaging UKB unrelated white British
24 subjects (average $n = 217,254$, removing relatives of imaging subjects, Methods). In this
25 PRS analysis, 276 significant associations were identified at the FDR 5% level, covering all
26 the 7 sleep conditions and 6 groups of imaging PRS. Among these 276 associations, 35
27 further passed the stringent Bonferroni significance level ($P < 1.32 \times 10^{-5}$) (**Table S3** and
28 **Figs. S7-S8**). The results of phenotypic and PRS analyses were largely consistent, indicating
29 that the sleep-imaging associations discovered by a small proportion of UKB imaging
30 subjects had good generalizability in the whole UKB cohort. For example, similar to the
31 phenotypic analysis, resting fMRI traits also had the largest proportion of significant
32 associations (97/276 at FDR 5% level and 25/35 at the Bonferroni level) in PRS analysis.

1 Furthermore, considering that imaging PRS were predicted with genetic information,
2 genetic factors may play an important role in influencing sleep-imaging associations. In
3 the following sections, we will examine these underlying genetic influences in more detail.

4

5 **Characterizing sleep-imaging genetic overlaps in 39 genomic loci.**

6 To understand the genetic co-architecture underlying sleep-imaging associations, we
7 characterized the genetic pleiotropy between sleep conditions and multi-modal brain and
8 cardiac MRI traits at their jointly significant GWAS loci. Briefly, we searched for sleep-
9 significant genetic variants reported in the NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog⁸³ (version 2022-07-
10 09). Then we identified those variants (and variants in linkage disequilibrium [LD] with
11 them, $r^2 \geq 0.6$) that were also significant in GWAS of brain and cardiac MRI traits
12 (Methods). We found that a total of 39 genomic loci showed shared genetic influences on
13 both sleep and imaging traits, covering regional brain volumes⁵⁴, DTI parameters⁵⁶, whole
14 brain independent component analysis (ICA)-based resting fMRI traits^{53,55,84}, parcellation-
15 based resting and task fMRI traits⁵⁸, and cardiac MRI traits⁶⁰ (**Table S4**). We tagged
16 previous GWAS for a wide range of sleep conditions, including insomnia³⁹, chronotype^{85,86},
17 daytime nap³⁹, depressive symptom (sleep problems)⁸⁷, getting up³⁹, hypersomnia⁸⁸,
18 sleep duration^{37,89}, and snoring⁹⁰ (**Fig. 3** and **Table S5**). Additionally, we estimated the
19 probability that sleep-imaging trait pairs shared causal genetic variants using the Bayesian
20 colocalization test⁹¹. We considered pairs with a probability of shared causal variant
21 (PPH4) greater than 0.8 to be colocalized^{91,92}. Below we summarized the results for each
22 imaging modality group.

23

24 There were 14 genomic loci where sleep-significant variants were associated with DTI
25 parameters (LD $r^2 \geq 0.6$). For example, shared genetic components between DTI
26 parameters and chronotype⁹³ were found in 7 loci, including 1q25.2 (sleep index variant
27 rs975025, tagged many DTI parameters, such as AD of the splenium of corpus callosum),
28 19p13.11 (rs9636202, mode of anisotropy (MO) of the external capsule), 3p12.3
29 (rs7429614, MO of the corticospinal tract), 6p22.2 (rs766406, MD of the inferior fronto-
30 occipital fasciculus), 17q21.31 (rs72828815, MD of the anterior corona radiata), 11p15.5
31 (rs9795439, MO of the cingulum), 8p23.1 (rs2979256, FA of the inferior fronto-occipital
32 fasciculus) (**Figs. 4A-4B** and **S9-S12**). The 1q25.2, 19p13.11, and 11p15.5 regions had

1 strong evidence of shared causal genetic variants between chronotype and DTI
2 parameters (PPH4 > 0.976). Multiple sleep index variants were expression quantitative
3 trait loci (eQTLs) in brain tissues, such as rs975025 (for *FAM163A* and *FAM20B*),
4 rs72828815 (for *DBF4B* and *MEIOC*), and rs9795439 (*KRTAP5-4*)⁹⁴. For sleep duration³⁷,
5 we found shared genetic influences in three loci, including 10p12.31 (rs12246842, MD of
6 the fornix), 6p22.1 (rs34556183, MD of the superior corona radiata), and 17q21.31
7 (rs1991556, MD of the anterior corona radiata) (**Figs. S13-15**). Colocalization was
8 detected in 10p12.31 (PPH4 = 0.836), and the sleep index variants rs34556183 and
9 rs1991556 were brain eQTLs of multiple genes such as *ZSCAN31* and *ARL17A*.

10

11 In addition, DTI-insomnia⁴² genetic overlaps were found in 6 loci, including 3p21.31
12 (rs10865954, FA of the fornix-stria terminalis), 16q12.1 (rs1544637, AD across the whole
13 brain), 15q26.1 (rs176647, FA of the posterior limb of internal capsule), 17q21.31
14 (rs2239923, MD of the anterior corona radiata), 9q22.31 (rs10156602, FA of the body of
15 corpus callosum), and 10p12.31 (rs12246842, MD of the fornix) (**Figs. S16-S19**). The sleep-
16 significant variant rs10865954 was a brain eQTL for multiple genes such as *NCKIPSD*,
17 *WDR6*, and *GMPPB*. We also tagged daytime nap, snoring, and getting up in 17q21.31
18 (rs57222984, mean AD of the superior fronto-occipital fasciculus). Hypersomnia had
19 genetic overlaps with MO of the cingulum (hippocampus) in 2p16.1 (rs359268) (**Figs. S20**).
20 Among these sleep-DTI regions, we also found shared genetic influences with well-being
21 spectrum, neuroticism, depression, risk-taking tolerance, autism spectrum disorder,
22 cognitive traits (e.g, intelligence, math ability, reaction time, and education), life
23 satisfaction, smoking, hypertension, and coronary artery disease, which might be a cause
24 or consequence of white matter changes in the brain. Overall, these results suggested
25 that sleep conditions were genetically associated with white matter integrity across
26 multiple genomic regions, where they also overlapped with neuropsychiatric disorders,
27 cognitive traits, and cardiovascular risk factors.

28

29 For resting fMRI, we found the shared genetic influences with sleep in 9 genomic regions.
30 Insomnia genetic loci were in LD ($r^2 \geq 0.6$) with resting fMRI traits in 4 regions, including
31 2q14.1 (rs62158170, such as the somatomotor network), 2p16.1 (rs12713372, the
32 frontoparietal and posterior-multimodal networks), 4q24 (rs11097861, the default mode,

1 central executive, and salience networks), as well as 19q13.32 (rs429358, the default
2 mode network) (**Figs. 4C** and **S21-S23**). ICA-based⁸⁴ and parcellation-based⁵⁸ resting fMRI
3 traits showed similar overlaps. Strong colocalizations between insomnia and resting fMRI
4 were identified in 2q14.1, 4q24, and 19q13.32 (PPH4 > 0.951). The sleep index variant
5 rs62158170 (2q14.1) was a brain eQTL of *PAX8*. As one of the two variants in the *APOE* ϵ 4
6 locus, rs429358 (19q13.32) contributed to Alzheimer's disease risk. In rs429358, insomnia
7 also had shared genetic influences with task fMRI traits of the visual network (**Fig. S24**).
8 Snoring was genetically linked to resting fMRI traits in 12q14.3 (rs10878269, PPH4 =
9 0.976), 3p11.1 (rs145367119), and 17q21.31 (rs57222984), all of which tagged the triple
10 networks of psychopathology (the default mode, central executive, and salience
11 networks)⁹⁵ (**Fig. S25-S27**).

12

13 In 2q14.1, 2p16.1, and 17q21.31, we observed shared genetic influences with sleep
14 duration, daytime nap, depressive symptom (sleep problems), and getting up (**Figs. S28-**
15 **S29**). Chronotype-resting fMRI overlaps were found in 11q24.1 (rs3867239, the central
16 executive, salience, default mode, and attention networks, PPH4 = 0.975) and 2p16.3
17 (rs17396357, the central executive, salience, default mode, and attention networks) (**Figs.**
18 **S30-S31**). The rs17396357 was a brain eQTL of *GTF2A1L*, *FOXN2*, *MSH6*, *STON1-GTF2A1L*,
19 *STON1*, and *LHCGR*. In these regions, we also found genetic links ($LD\ r^2 \geq 0.6$) with
20 cognitive traits (e.g, cognitive decline, math ability and education), myocardial infarction,
21 type 2 diabetes, mental health (e.g., depression, schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorder,
22 and neuroticism), neurological disorders (e.g., Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's
23 disease), and blood pressure.

24

25 Sleep traits and regional brain volumes had shared genetic influences ($LD\ r^2 \geq 0.6$) in 20
26 genomic regions. Many brain regions were affected by 9 insomnia-related genomic loci
27 (10q24.32, 12q24.31, 4q24, 2q33.3, 5q14.3, 11q14.1, 12q14.3, 10p12.31, and 18q21.2),
28 such as the left/right basal forebrain (rs12411886), cerebellar vermal lobules I-V
29 (rs28576953), left/right accumbens area (rs6855246 and rs13135092), cerebellar vermal
30 lobules VIII-X (rs55772859), left/right putamen (rs16903122, rs667730, and rs375051009),
31 total brain volume (rs61921611), and left/right lateral ventricle (rs12251016) (**Figs. S32-**
32 **S36**). Colocalizations between insomnia and regional brain volumes were identified in

1 10q24.32 and 11q14.1 (PPH4 > 0.853). Insomnia has previously been associated with
2 shrinking subcortical volumes and smaller cortical surface areas^{96,97}. These findings may
3 suggest the genetic basis of brain structure-insomnia connections. Chronotype also
4 overlapped genetically with the left/right putamen in 5q14.3 (rs12657776), as well as
5 other brain regions in 5 more loci, including 16q12.2 (rs4784256, such as brain stem),
6 9q22.2 (rs3138490, left amygdala), 11p15.5 (rs9795439, white matter), and 3q13.11
7 (rs34967119, right cuneus), and 2p11.2 (rs11681299, brain stem) (**Figs. S37-S39**). We
8 observed colocalization between sleep and chronotype in 9p22.2, 11p15.5, and 2p11.2
9 (PPH4 > 0.856). Furthermore, we found genetic overlaps for daytime nap in 5 additional
10 genomic loci: 1p31.1, 7p22.2, 17q21.31, 11q12.2, and 5q22.2. Daytime nap was
11 genetically linked to the cerebellar vermal lobules VIII-X (rs10782582), left/right lateral
12 ventricle (rs4557589), total brain volume (rs57222984), left/right cerebellum exterior
13 (rs174541), and left/right cerebellum white matter (rs2099810) (**Figs. S40-S42**). Both
14 11q12.2 and 5q22.2 had strong evidence of shared causal genetic variants between
15 daytime nap and regional brain volumes (PPH4 > 0.923). In addition, 17q21.31, 11q12.2,
16 and 4q24 (rs13109404, left accumbens area) regions contributed to the genetic links
17 between sleep duration and regional brain volumes. Similarly, 12q14.3, 14q32.2, and
18 17q21.31 were associated with both snoring and multiple regions, including the right
19 inferior parietal (rs10878269), left thalamus proper (rs2664299), right fusiform
20 (rs4792897), and total brain volume (rs57222984) (**Figs. 4D and S43**). We found
21 colocalization between snoring and regional brain volumes in 12q14.3 and 14q32.2 (PPH4 >
22 0.956). There were also genetic overlaps with getting up in 3 of the above loci: 17q21.31,
23 4q24, and 11q12.2.

24

25 Genetic pleiotropy ($r^2 \geq 0.6$) was observed between sleep and cardiac MRI traits in 5
26 regions. Chronotype-heart overlaps were observed in 8p23.1 (rs11992186, regional peak
27 circumferential strain), 22q13.1 (rs139911, ascending aorta maximum area), 11p11.2
28 (rs11605348, global myocardial-wall thickness), and 17q21.32 (rs11992186, RVEDV) (**Figs.**
29 **S44-S45**). Shared genetic influences were found in 11p11.2 with insomnia (rs10838708,
30 global myocardial-wall thickness) and in 17q21.31 with sleep duration, snoring, getting
31 up, as well as daytime nap (rs1991556 and rs57222984, regional myocardial-wall
32 thickness) (**Figs. S46-S47**). We also found genetic overlaps with mental health (e.g.,

1 neuroticism) and heart diseases (e.g., atrial fibrillation and coronary artery disease) in
2 these loci. In summary, sleep had substantial genetic links with brain and heart structural
3 and functional variations. Different sleep conditions, such as insomnia and daytime nap,
4 may have genetic overlaps with the brain and heart in different genomic regions.
5 Integrating imaging and sleep GWAS results may provide insight into sleep-related brain
6 and heart health mechanisms.

7

8 **Genome-wide and local genetic correlation patterns**

9 We quantified the genome-wide genetic similarity between sleep conditions and imaging
10 traits by genetic correlations (GC) estimated from cross-trait LD score regression⁹⁸. We
11 collected 19 sets of publicly available sleep GWAS summary statistics (**Table S6**) and
12 screened them with GWAS summary statistics of multi-modal imaging traits (Methods).
13 At 5% FDR level, significant genetic correlations were observed between sleep and all
14 imaging modalities (**Fig. 5A** and **Table S7**). We reported below the top-ranking significant
15 brain and cardiac MRI traits associated with sleep after applying the FDR correction ($P <$
16 6.18×10^{-4}).

17

18 There were many resting fMRI traits associated genetically with sleep conditions in
19 agreement with our phenotypic association analysis. Particularly, sleep duration and
20 narcolepsy were genetically associated with the functional connectivity of multiple brain
21 networks, such as the somatomotor, visual, language, cingulo-opercular, and
22 frontoparietal (GC range = [-0.472, 0.508], $P < 6.18 \times 10^{-4}$). Other sleep traits were also
23 genetically correlated with more specific brain networks. For example, snoring was
24 genetically associated with functional connectivity between the default mode and orbito-
25 affective networks, as was daytime napping with functional connectivity between the
26 cingulo-opercular and frontoparietal networks (GC range = [-0.286, 0.250], $P < 6.00 \times 10^{-4}$).
27 Besides functional connectivity traits, resting amplitude traits^{62,99,100} were linked to sleep
28 duration and narcolepsy, as well as snoring, with more area-level information revealed
29 (**Fig. S48**). For example, amplitude results consistently demonstrated that narcolepsy was
30 genetically associated with brain functional activity in the somatomotor and secondary
31 visual areas (GC range = [0.183, 0.418], $P < 6.00 \times 10^{-4}$). In addition, sleep duration had
32 negative genetic correlations with brain functional activity in the auditory, cingulo-

1 opercular, dorsal-attention, somatomotor, and visual areas (GC range = [-0.240, -0.149],
2 $P < 6.00 \times 10^{-4}$). Snoring was positively correlated with brain functional areas in the default
3 mode, frontoparietal, and orbito-affective networks (GC range = [0.137, 0.234], $P <$
4 6.00×10^{-4}). Genetic links between sleep and brain functions were also observed in task
5 fMRI (GC range = [-0.315, 0.488], $P < 6.00 \times 10^{-4}$, **Fig. S49**). Overall, sleep and brain function
6 were genetically correlated throughout brain functional networks, with specific patterns
7 confined to certain areas.

8

9 For DTI parameters, significant negative genetic correlations were consistently observed
10 between snoring and the multiple DTI-derived metrics (AD, MD, and RD) of the
11 corticospinal tract (GC range = [-0.202, -0.123], $P < 1.03 \times 10^{-4}$, **Fig. S50**). The corticospinal
12 tract is a major neuronal pathway carrying movement-related information from the
13 cerebral cortex to the spinal cord. Impaired corticospinal tract was observed in poor
14 cognitive performance and patients with obstructive sleep apnea¹⁰¹. A few genetic
15 correlations were also observed between regional brain volumes and sleep conditions,
16 especially insomnia (**Fig. S51**). Specifically, insomnia was genetically correlated with
17 increased brain volume in the left and right caudate (GC range = [0.138, 0.170], $P <$
18 7.02×10^{-5}) as well as decreased total brain volume (GC = -0.132, $P < 2.15 \times 10^{-5}$). The
19 caudate is a well-known functional region for sleep and modulates multiple sleep
20 stages¹⁰². Additionally, we found genetic correlations between left ventricle and
21 chronotype, as well as between left atrium and snoring (GC range = [-0.192, 0.166], $P <$
22 6.00×10^{-4} , **Fig. S52**). The left atrium has been reported to be associated with obstructive
23 sleep apnea in coronary artery disease¹⁰³.

24

25 In the above genome-wide genetic correlation analyses, sleep-imaging traits are assumed
26 to be genetically similar throughout the genome. To examine local patterns of shared
27 genetic basis between sleep and imaging and to localize coheritability in specific genomic
28 regions, we partitioned the genome into thousands of regions and performed local
29 genetic correlations analysis using LAVA¹⁰⁴ and SUPERGNOVA¹⁰⁵ pipelines. In both LAVA
30 and SUPERGNOVA, local genetic correlations were found at the FDR 5% level ($P < 1.87 \times 10^{-4}$
31 for LAVA, $P < 5.89 \times 10^{-5}$ for SUPERGNOVA) for all sleep conditions, and fMRI traits
32 contributed the largest proportion of significant findings (**Figs. 5B, S53, and Tables S8-S9**).

1 In comparison with LDSC, both methods revealed more genetic correlations with task
2 fMRI. For example, among the 777 significant local genetic correlations identified by LAVA,
3 46.98% (365/777) were related to task fMRI traits. More than 10% (39/365) of the task
4 fMRI-sleep local genetic correlations were located in the 19q13.32 region, which was the
5 locus identified in our association lookup analysis for task fMRI. In addition to 19q13.32,
6 other top-ranking putative pleiotropic regions for task fMRI were 16q12.2, 14q11.2,
7 7p15.1, and 15q26.2. Moreover, sleep-imaging genetic connections can vary across
8 genomic regions or even be opposites. For example, chronotype had a positive local
9 genetic correlation with left MBelt area task amplitude in 1p13.2 ($GC = 0.574$, $P = 1.10 \times 10^{-4}$),
10 but negative correlation in 16q12.2 ($GC = -0.466$, $P = 1.87 \times 10^{-4}$). These results
11 demonstrate the complex genetic co-architecture of sleep and imaging traits.

12

13 **Causal genetic relationships detected by Mendelian randomization.**

14 To investigate the causal genetic links between sleep and imaging traits, we performed
15 Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses with their GWAS summary statistics (Methods).
16 At the Bonferroni significance level ($P < 3.67 \times 10^{-5}$), we found strong evidence of genetic
17 causal effects from brain structures to sleep conditions (**Table S10**). For example,
18 increased left ventral DC volume had genetic causal effects on being a morning person (β
19 $= -0.102$, $SE = 0.021$, $P = 1.71 \times 10^{-6}$). All of the MR methods tested indicated the same
20 causal genetic effects direction, and two of them were able to survive the Bonferroni
21 multiple testing procedure. In addition, larger subcortical volumes were causally related
22 to increased risk of daytime nap ($\beta = 0.046$, $SE = 0.01$, $P = 3.81 \times 10^{-6}$ for the left accumbens
23 area, and $\beta = 0.038$, $SE = 0.009$, $P = 1.14 \times 10^{-5}$ for the right hippocampus). By balancing
24 adenosine and dopamine activity, the accumbens played an important role in regulating
25 sleep-wake patterns^{106,107}. Furthermore, there was a significant genetic causal
26 relationship between larger total brain volume and longer sleep duration ($\beta = 0.055$, $SE =$
27 0.01 , $P = 1.08 \times 10^{-5}$). For DTI parameters, we found a causal link between higher MO of
28 the external capsule tract and being an evening person ($\beta = -0.085$, $SE = 0.02$, $P = 6.04 \times 10^{-6}$).
29 All these results were summarized in **Figure S54**. There was no evidence of a causal
30 relationship in another direction (in which sleep traits was the exposure and brain
31 structural traits was the outcomes) after Bonferroni correction.

32

1 More genetic causal effects from brain structures to sleep were found at the FDR 5% level
2 (P range = $[1.71 \times 10^{-6}, 2.09 \times 10^{-3}]$). In total, 73 imaging-sleep causal pairs were identified,
3 most of which were related to DTI parameters (42/73) and regional brain volumes (29/73).
4 DTI parameters from multiple white matter tracts showed genetic causal effects on sleep
5 conditions, such as sleep duration, daytime nap, and chronotype. More volumetric traits
6 were found to have causal effects on sleep. For example, several MR methods have
7 consistently demonstrated that total brain volume has a causal genetic influence on
8 insomnia ($\beta < -0.044$, $P < 1.93 \times 10^{-4}$). In summary, our MR analysis suggested a causal
9 genetic pathway from brain structures to sleep conditions.

10

11 **Sleep and mental health predictions by integrating multiple data types**

12 According to our imaging genetics analyses, genetic data and multimodal brain and
13 cardiac images could possibly be combined to predict sleep conditions. In clinical and
14 epidemiological studies, sleep has also been reported to be affected by environmental
15 factors, behaviors, biomarkers, and disease status, which makes it desirable to develop
16 sleep prediction models that incorporate imaging genetics and other forms of data. In this
17 section, we performed sleep predictions based on a variety of data types. Our goal is to
18 understand the contributions made by these types of data and their relative performance
19 in predicting different sleep disorders and traits. Our analysis focused on multi-modality
20 brain and cardiac MRI traits, sleep polygenic risk score (PRS), biomarkers, disease status,
21 and 6 categories of environmental variables, including lifestyle and environment,
22 psychosocial factors, physical measures, local environments, and early life factors (**Table**
23 **S11**). We used a training, validation, and testing design, where all model parameters were
24 tuned on validation data, and prediction performance was assessed on independent
25 testing data. Detailed information on model training and adjusted covariates can be found
26 in the Methods section.

27

28 **Figure 6A** summarized the results of 7 different sleep conditions using different types of
29 brain imaging data. All sleep conditions were significantly predicted by resting fMRI
30 (prediction correlation β range = $[0.040, 0.121]$, P range = $[9.82 \times 10^{-4}, 4.05 \times 10^{-23}]$). The
31 ICA-based and parcellation-based resting fMRI traits, as well as the amplitude and
32 functional connectivity traits, showed similar trends, although their relative performance

1 may vary depending on the sleep characteristics being predicted. Each of the regional
2 brain volumes, DTI parameters, and task fMRI traits can significantly predict at least five
3 sleep conditions (**Table S12**). As for sleep duration, chronotype, and insomnia, adding
4 these additional imaging types will not improve prediction performance over and above
5 resting fMRI, suggesting that resting fMRI is largely responsible for the prediction power
6 of other imaging modalities. As an example, both regional brain volumes and task-related
7 fMRI traits were marginally significant in predicting sleep duration (β range = [0.030,
8 0.042], P range = [2.47×10^{-2} , 7.28×10^{-4}]). Using regional brain volumes, task fMRI traits,
9 and resting fMRI traits together did not result in a better performance than using resting
10 fMRI alone ($\beta = 0.116$ vs. 0.120). These results suggest that among the imaging modalities
11 tested in this study, resting fMRI showed the strongest correlation with the three sleep
12 traits, and it may be the most effective imaging modality to predict insomnia.

13

14 In the case of getting up, snoring, daytime nap, and narcolepsy, it will be beneficial to
15 integrate multiple imaging modalities rather than using one type of data. For example,
16 task fMRI had the best prediction performance in predicting snoring across different
17 imaging modalities (β range = [0.068, 0.085], $P = [2.81 \times 10^{-7}$, $1.55 \times 10^{-10}]$). Snoring can also
18 be significantly predicted by DTI parameters, regional brain volumes, and resting fMRI
19 traits (β range = [0.040, 0.063], P range = [1.25×10^{-3} , 2.23×10^{-7}]). When all these imaging
20 types were combined, the prediction accuracy increased to 0.103 ($P = 1.68 \times 10^{-14}$),
21 suggesting that each imaging type contributes additionally to the prediction of snoring. In
22 another example, when combining DTI parameters, regional brain volume, and resting
23 fMRI traits, the prediction performance moved up to 0.109 ($P = 1.14 \times 10^{-18}$) for nap during
24 day.

25

26 Next, we evaluated more data types in sleep prediction (**Fig. 6B**). Cardiac MRI traits were
27 significantly predictive of 6 sleep traits, with the highest prediction accuracy observed for
28 snoring ($\beta = 0.067$, $P = 1.57 \times 10^{-6}$). Insomnia can be more accurately predicted by using
29 brain and cardiac MRI traits jointly ($\beta = 0.087$, $P = 8.39 \times 10^{-10}$, **Fig. S55**). In addition, sleep
30 PRS were significant predictors for all sleep conditions (β range = [0.108, 0.201], P range
31 = [2.46×10^{-15} , 1.71×10^{-49}]). Six different PRS methods were used, and all provided similar
32 results (**Fig. S56** and **Table S12**). The combination of PRS and brain imaging data increases

1 prediction accuracy for all sleep traits, with narcolepsy showing the greatest
2 improvement. PRS and imaging traits had a prediction accuracy of 0.108 ($P = 2.46 \times 10^{-15}$)
3 and 0.124 ($P = 2.68 \times 10^{-20}$), respectively, for narcolepsy. The performance increased to
4 0.159 ($P = 5.15 \times 10^{-30}$) after combination.

5

6 Sleep conditions were also strongly predicted by biomarkers and environmental variables.
7 The highest prediction accuracy of biomarker was observed on snoring ($\beta = 0.081$, $P =$
8 4.98×10^{-10}). Environmental variables were much better at predicting insomnia and getting
9 up than PRS and imaging traits. For example, the prediction accuracy of environmental
10 variables was 0.226 ($P = 5.25 \times 10^{-68}$) for insomnia, which was largely contributed by the
11 psychosocial factors ($\beta = 0.202$, $P = 2.15 \times 10^{-54}$, **Fig. S57**). More importantly, combining
12 PRS, imaging, and environmental variables resulted in a higher level of accuracy when
13 predicting all sleep traits. Narcolepsy and snoring were predicted similarly by the three
14 types of data (β range = [0.108, 0.122], P range = [2.46×10^{-15} , 4.58×10^{-19}] for PRS; β range
15 = [0.103, 0.124], P range = [1.68×10^{-14} , 2.68×10^{-20}] for brain imaging; β range = [0.113,
16 0.121], P range = [1.07×10^{-11} , 1.01×10^{-17}] for environmental variables), and the accuracy
17 increased by more than 50% when they were combined (β range = [0.180, 0.198], P range
18 = [1.17×10^{-19} , 8.01×10^{-30}]). In general, imaging, genetics, and environment all play key
19 roles in predicting sleep variations. Integrating multiple types of data could benefit sleep
20 prediction in clinical applications and research.

21

22 Mental health problems were highly comorbid with sleep disorders^{108,109}. In order to gain
23 a better understanding of how sleep contributes to mental health problems, we assessed
24 the predictive power of sleep traits for three mental health traits: depression, neuroticism,
25 and anxiety. We adjusted the same covariates as the above sleep prediction analysis and
26 additionally examined other data types (Methods). For all three mental health traits,
27 sleep traits and early life factors were among the top two strongest predictors (β range =
28 [0.178, 0.316], P range = [9.24×10^{-43} , 8.21×10^{-135}], **Figs. 6C, S58, and Table S13**). These
29 mental health traits were also significantly predicted by brain imaging and mental health
30 PRS (β range = [0.034, 0.152], P range = [4.84×10^{-3} , 8.43×10^{-29}], **Figs. 6C and S58**). A
31 combination of all predictors increased the prediction correlation to 0.413 for neuroticism,
32 0.353 for depression, and 0.277 for anxiety (P range = [5.65×10^{-91} , 1.83×10^{-176}]).

1 Furthermore, when sleep conditions were controlled as covariates, the prediction power
2 of many traits was reduced, suggesting that sleep may partially explain their predictive
3 power (**Figs. 6D and S58**). For example, after conditioning on sleep, electronic devices
4 usage no longer had significant predictive power for neuroticism or depression; and
5 alcohol, physical activity, and sun exposure did not have significant predictive power for
6 anxiety. In addition, prediction performance of early life factors on neuroticism decreased
7 from 0.178 ($P = 9.24 \times 10^{-43}$) to 0.147 ($P = 3.80 \times 10^{-32}$), suggesting 17.7% prediction power
8 of early life factors on neuroticism could be mediated by sleep. The proportion of
9 reduction was 16.1% for mental health PRS and 28.7% for disease status. Similar
10 reduction was also found in prediction power of PRS and early life factors for depression
11 and anxiety. In summary, sleep was an important predictor of mental health traits and
12 may be able to mediate the influence of genetic and nongenetic risk factors on mental
13 health.

14

15 **DISCUSSION**

16 Sleep is vital to both physical health and mental wellbeing. Understanding how sleep
17 interacts with human health is of great interest. This study aimed to identify novel
18 phenotypic and genetic connections between sleep-related conditions and human brain
19 and heart health by using multimodal imaging data as endophenotypes. In our study, we
20 found substantial links between sleep and the structure and function of the brain and the
21 heart, some of which can be explained by shared genetic influences. We examined the
22 genetic basis of sleep-imaging connections from a variety of perspectives, including the
23 genetic loci that were jointly significant, the genetic covariance at the local level, and the
24 genetic similarity across the genome. Based on MR analysis, sleep conditions have been
25 found to be more a consequence than a cause of brain structural differences along the
26 genetic pathway, matching the results in a recent study specially on sleep duration and
27 brain structure³². Using prediction analysis, we assessed the relative contributions of
28 genetic and imaging factors to sleep prediction and integrated them with additional
29 environmental data to provide a more accurate prediction. Future epidemiological and
30 clinical studies of sleep and comorbid conditions may benefit from these findings and
31 insights.

32

1 In our study, we found that sleep and brain functions are strongly linked through multiple
2 functional networks, including the default mode network. Several studies have shown
3 that the default mode network activity is essential to conscious awareness^{74,110,111}, which
4 may provide an opportunity to investigate the relation between sleepiness and decreased
5 functional connectivity of the default mode network. Daytime sleepiness is generally
6 considered a clinical symptom of sleep disorders or a consequence of insufficient sleep.
7 The symptoms of insomnia and sleep deprivation are usually accompanied by
8 sleepiness¹¹², which both have been linked to reduced connectivity in the brain's default
9 mode network^{70,113}. Narcolepsy is a rare neurological disorder characterized by daytime
10 sleepiness and the presence, or absence, of cataplexy-like episodes^{114,115}. The cause of
11 narcolepsy is determined by both genetic and environmental factors¹¹⁶, and it may lead
12 to adverse cardiovascular events¹¹⁷. Our phenotypic and genetic results reveal possible
13 mechanisms of default mode network brain activity that underlie these sleep disorders.

14

15 The present study has a few limitations. First, our analyses were mainly based on
16 European subjects in the UKB study. In future studies, data from a broader range of
17 sources could be incorporated into the imaging genetics study of sleep, and findings
18 developed by UKB could be generalized to global populations. The current study focused
19 on the human brain and heart, which are two important organs of the human body. Using
20 abdominal images, such as those of the kidneys and liver¹¹⁸, it would be interesting to
21 determine how sleep is connected to more organs. Finally, we focused primarily on linear
22 relationships in our models. Several recent studies have demonstrated that certain sleep
23 traits may also have nonlinear phenotypic and genetic links (such as sleep duration^{30,31}).
24 In the future, advanced statistical and machine learning methods may be used to identify
25 more comprehensive relationships and improve prediction performance.

26

27 **METHODS**

28 Methods are available in the **Methods** section.

29 *Note: One supplementary information pdf file and one supplementary table zip file are*
30 *available.*

31

32 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

1 We thank the individuals represented in the UK Biobank for their participation and the
2 research teams for their work in collecting, processing and disseminating these datasets
3 for analysis. We would like to thank the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and
4 Purdue University and their research computing groups for providing computational
5 resources and support that have contributed to these research results. We gratefully
6 acknowledge all the studies and databases that made GWAS and eQTL summary-level
7 data publicly available. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank resource
8 (application number 22783), subject to a data transfer agreement.

9

10 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

11 B.Z. designed the study. Z.F., B.Z., J.S., X.Y., B.L., J.L., and T.L. analyzed the data. Y.L., Z.F.,
12 and B.Z. designed the website and developed online resources. Q.W., P.P., T.L., and H.Z.
13 provide comments and interpret the results. Z.F. and B.Z. wrote the manuscript with
14 feedback from all authors.

15

16 **CORRESPONDENCE AND REQUESTS FOR MATERIALS** should be addressed to B.Z.

17

18 **COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS**

19 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

20

21 **REFERENCES**

- 22 1. Tao, F. *et al.* Associations of sleep duration and quality with incident
23 cardiovascular disease, cancer, and mortality: a prospective cohort study of
24 407,500 UK biobank participants. *Sleep Medicine* **81**, 401-409 (2021).
- 25 2. Vendette, M. *et al.* REM sleep behavior disorder predicts cognitive impairment in
26 Parkinson disease without dementia. *Neurology* **69**, 1843-1849 (2007).
- 27 3. Postuma, R.B. *et al.* Risk and predictors of dementia and parkinsonism in
28 idiopathic REM sleep behaviour disorder: a multicentre study. *Brain* **142**, 744-
29 759 (2019).
- 30 4. Sabia, S. *et al.* Association of sleep duration in middle and old age with incidence
31 of dementia. *Nature Communications* **12**, 1-10 (2021).

- 1 5. Cappuccio, F.P., Cooper, D., D'Elia, L., Strazzullo, P. & Miller, M.A. Sleep duration
2 predicts cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
3 prospective studies. *European heart journal* **32**, 1484-1492 (2011).
- 4 6. Lao, X.Q. *et al.* Sleep quality, sleep duration, and the risk of coronary heart
5 disease: a prospective cohort study with 60,586 adults. *Journal of Clinical Sleep*
6 *Medicine* **14**, 109-117 (2018).
- 7 7. Jike, M., Itani, O., Watanabe, N., Buysse, D.J. & Kaneita, Y. Long sleep duration
8 and health outcomes: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression.
9 *Sleep medicine reviews* **39**, 25-36 (2018).
- 10 8. Nagai, M., Hoshida, S. & Kario, K. Sleep duration as a risk factor for
11 cardiovascular disease-a review of the recent literature. *Current cardiology*
12 *reviews* **6**, 54-61 (2010).
- 13 9. Genuardi, M.V. *et al.* Association of short sleep duration and atrial fibrillation.
14 *Chest* **156**, 544-552 (2019).
- 15 10. Morovatdar, N. *et al.* Sleep duration and risk of atrial fibrillation: a systematic
16 review. *Journal of atrial Fibrillation* **11**(2019).
- 17 11. Titova, O.E., Michaëlsson, K. & Larsson, S.C. Sleep duration and stroke:
18 prospective cohort study and mendelian randomization analysis. *Stroke* **51**,
19 3279-3285 (2020).
- 20 12. Nikbakhtian, S. *et al.* Accelerometer-Derived Sleep Onset Timing and
21 Cardiovascular Disease Incidence: A UK Biobank Cohort Study. *Available at SSRN*
22 *3857637*.
- 23 13. Freeman, D., Sheaves, B., Waite, F., Harvey, A.G. & Harrison, P.J. Sleep
24 disturbance and psychiatric disorders. *The Lancet Psychiatry* **7**, 628-637 (2020).
- 25 14. Alvaro, P.K., Roberts, R.M. & Harris, J.K. A systematic review assessing
26 bidirectionality between sleep disturbances, anxiety, and depression. *Sleep* **36**,
27 1059-1068 (2013).
- 28 15. Koffel, E., Khawaja, I.S. & Germain, A. Sleep disturbances in posttraumatic stress
29 disorder: updated review and implications for treatment. *Psychiatric annals* **46**,
30 173-176 (2016).
- 31 16. Kaskie, R.E., Graziano, B. & Ferrarelli, F. Schizophrenia and sleep disorders: links,
32 risks, and management challenges. *Nature and science of sleep* **9**, 227 (2017).

- 1 17. Lee, M.-J. *et al.* Comorbidity of narcolepsy and depressive disorders: a
2 nationwide population-based study in Taiwan. *Sleep medicine* **39**, 95-100 (2017).
- 3 18. Khurshid, K.A. Comorbid insomnia and psychiatric disorders: an update.
4 *Innovations in clinical neuroscience* **15**, 28 (2018).
- 5 19. Petersen, S.E. *et al.* UK Biobank's cardiovascular magnetic resonance protocol.
6 *Journal of cardiovascular magnetic resonance* **18**, 1-7 (2015).
- 7 20. Littlejohns, T.J., Sudlow, C., Allen, N.E. & Collins, R. UK Biobank: opportunities for
8 cardiovascular research. *European heart journal* **40**, 1158-1166 (2019).
- 9 21. Miller, K.L. *et al.* Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank
10 prospective epidemiological study. *Nature Neuroscience* **19**, 1523-1536 (2016).
- 11 22. Thompson, P.M. *et al.* ENIGMA and global neuroscience: A decade of large-scale
12 studies of the brain in health and disease across more than 40 countries.
13 *Translational psychiatry* **10**, 1-28 (2020).
- 14 23. de Oliveira, F.G., Pinto, I., Valdigem, B., Senra, T. & Bertolami, A. Evaluation of
15 late atrial enhancement by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with
16 obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Medicine* **74**, 204-210 (2020).
- 17 24. Curta, A. *et al.* Subclinical Changes in Cardiac Functional Parameters as
18 Determined by Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (CMR) Imaging in Sleep
19 Apnea and Snoring: Findings from UK Biobank. *Medicina* **57**, 555 (2021).
- 20 25. Fjell, A.M. *et al.* Self-reported sleep relates to hippocampal atrophy across the
21 adult lifespan: results from the Lifebrain consortium. *Sleep* **43**, zsz280 (2020).
- 22 26. Sexton, C.E., Storsve, A.B., Walhovd, K.B., Johansen-Berg, H. & Fjell, A.M. Poor
23 sleep quality is associated with increased cortical atrophy in community-dwelling
24 adults. *Neurology* **83**, 967-973 (2014).
- 25 27. Zitser, J. *et al.* Sleep duration over 28 years, cognition, gray matter volume, and
26 white matter microstructure: a prospective cohort study. *Sleep* **43**, zsz290
27 (2020).
- 28 28. Amorim, L. *et al.* Poor sleep quality associates with decreased functional and
29 structural brain connectivity in normative aging: a MRI multimodal approach.
30 *Frontiers in aging neuroscience* **10**, 375 (2018).
- 31 29. Li, G. *et al.* Magnetic resonance study on the brain structure and resting-state
32 brain functional connectivity in primary insomnia patients. *Medicine* **97**(2018).

- 1 30. Li, Y. *et al.* The brain structure and genetic mechanisms underlying the nonlinear
2 association between sleep duration, cognition and mental health. *Nature Aging*
3 **2**, 425-437 (2022).
- 4 31. Tai, X.Y., Chen, C., Manohar, S. & Husain, M. Impact of sleep duration on
5 executive function and brain structure. *Communications biology* **5**, 1-10 (2022).
- 6 32. Fjell, A.M. *et al.* Sleep duration and brain structure – phenotypic associations and
7 genotypic covariance. *bioRxiv*, 2022.02.15.480501 (2022).
- 8 33. Smith, S.M. & Nichols, T.E. Statistical challenges in “big data” human
9 neuroimaging. *Neuron* **97**, 263-268 (2018).
- 10 34. Marek, S. *et al.* Towards reproducible brain-wide association studies. *BioRxiv*
11 (2020).
- 12 35. Krohn, L. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of REM sleep behavior disorder
13 identifies novel loci with distinct polygenic and brain expression effects. *medRxiv*
14 (2021).
- 15 36. Dashti, H.S. *et al.* Genetic determinants of daytime napping and effects on
16 cardiometabolic health. *Nature communications* **12**, 1-15 (2021).
- 17 37. Dashti, H.S. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies genetic loci for self-
18 reported habitual sleep duration supported by accelerometer-derived estimates.
19 *Nature communications* **10**, 1-12 (2019).
- 20 38. Doherty, A. *et al.* GWAS identifies 10 loci for objectively-measured physical
21 activity and sleep with causal roles in cardiometabolic disease. *bioRxiv*, 261719
22 (2018).
- 23 39. Jansen, P.R. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of insomnia in 1,331,010 individuals
24 identifies new risk loci and functional pathways. *Nature Genetics* **51**, 394-403
25 (2019).
- 26 40. Jones, S.E. *et al.* Genetic studies of accelerometer-based sleep measures yield
27 new insights into human sleep behaviour. *Nature communications* **10**, 1-12
28 (2019).
- 29 41. Lane, J.M. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses of sleep disturbance traits
30 identify new loci and highlight shared genetics with neuropsychiatric and
31 metabolic traits. *Nature genetics* **49**, 274-281 (2017).

- 1 42. Lane, J.M. *et al.* Biological and clinical insights from genetics of insomnia
2 symptoms. *Nature genetics* **51**, 387-393 (2019).
- 3 43. Wang, H. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis of self-reported daytime
4 sleepiness identifies 42 loci that suggest biological subtypes. *Nature*
5 *communications* **10**, 1-12 (2019).
- 6 44. Watanabe, K. *et al.* Genome-wide meta-analysis of insomnia in over 2.3 million
7 individuals indicates involvement of specific biological pathways through gene-
8 prioritization. *medRxiv* (2020).
- 9 45. Lane, J.M. *et al.* Genetics of circadian rhythms and sleep in human health and
10 disease. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 1-17 (2022).
- 11 46. Hernandez, L.M. *et al.* Decoupling sleep and brain size in childhood: An
12 investigation of genetic covariation in the ABCD study. *medRxiv*, 2020.10.
13 02.20204735 (2021).
- 14 47. Aung, N. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of left ventricular image-derived
15 phenotypes identifies fourteen loci associated with cardiac morphogenesis and
16 heart failure development. *Circulation* **140**, 1318-1330 (2019).
- 17 48. Córdova-Palomera, A. *et al.* Cardiac Imaging of Aortic Valve Area From 34 287 UK
18 Biobank Participants Reveals Novel Genetic Associations and Shared Genetic
19 Comorbidity With Multiple Disease Phenotypes. *Circulation: Genomic and*
20 *Precision Medicine* **13**, e003014 (2020).
- 21 49. Meyer, H.V. *et al.* Genetic and functional insights into the fractal structure of the
22 heart. *Nature* **584**, 589-594 (2020).
- 23 50. Pirruccello, J.P. *et al.* Analysis of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in 36,000
24 individuals yields genetic insights into dilated cardiomyopathy. *Nature*
25 *communications* **11**, 1-10 (2020).
- 26 51. Pirruccello, J.P. *et al.* Genetic Analysis of Right Heart Structure and Function in
27 40,000 People. *bioRxiv* (2021).
- 28 52. Thanaj, M. *et al.* Genetic and environmental determinants of diastolic heart
29 function. *medRxiv* (2021).
- 30 53. Elliott, L.T. *et al.* Genome-wide association studies of brain imaging phenotypes
31 in UK Biobank. *Nature* **562**, 210-216 (2018).

- 1 54. Zhao, B. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis of 19,629 individuals identifies
2 variants influencing regional brain volumes and refines their genetic co-
3 architecture with cognitive and mental health traits. *Nature genetics* **51**, 1637-
4 1644 (2019).
- 5 55. Smith, S.M. *et al.* An expanded set of genome-wide association studies of brain
6 imaging phenotypes in UK Biobank. *Nature neuroscience* **24**, 737-745 (2021).
- 7 56. Zhao, B. *et al.* Common genetic variation influencing human white matter
8 microstructure. *Science* **372**(2021).
- 9 57. Grasby, K.L. *et al.* The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. *Science*
10 **367**(2020).
- 11 58. Zhao, B. *et al.* Genetic influences on the intrinsic and extrinsic functional
12 organizations of the cerebral cortex. *medRxiv* (2021).
- 13 59. Sudlow, C. *et al.* UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes
14 of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS medicine* **12**,
15 e1001779 (2015).
- 16 60. Zhao, B. *et al.* Heart-brain connections: phenotypic and genetic insights from
17 40,000 cardiac and brain magnetic resonance images. *medRxiv* (2021).
- 18 61. Bai, W. *et al.* A population-based phenome-wide association study of cardiac and
19 aortic structure and function. *Nature Medicine* **26**, 1654-1662 (2020).
- 20 62. Bijsterbosch, J. *et al.* Investigations into within-and between-subject resting-
21 state amplitude variations. *Neuroimage* **159**, 57-69 (2017).
- 22 63. Bai, Y. *et al.* Resting-state functional connectivity of the sensory/somatomotor
23 network associated with sleep quality: evidence from 202 young male samples.
24 *Brain Imaging and Behavior*, 1-10 (2022).
- 25 64. Brooks, S.J., Katz, E.S. & Stamoulis, C. Shorter Duration and Lower Quality Sleep
26 Have Widespread Detrimental Effects on Developing Functional Brain Networks
27 in Early Adolescence. *Cerebral cortex communications* **3**, tgab062 (2022).
- 28 65. Gorgoni, M. *et al.* Sleep deprivation affects somatosensory cortex excitability as
29 tested through median nerve stimulation. *Brain Stimul* **7**, 732-9 (2014).
- 30 66. Xiao, F. *et al.* Independent component analysis and graph theoretical analysis in
31 patients with narcolepsy. *Neuroscience bulletin* **35**, 743-755 (2019).

- 1 67. Engström, M., Hallböök, T., Szakacs, A., Karlsson, T. & Landtblom, A.-M.
2 Functional magnetic resonance imaging in narcolepsy and the Kleine–Levin
3 syndrome. *Frontiers in neurology* **5**, 105 (2014).
- 4 68. Ji, J.L. *et al.* Mapping the human brain's cortical-subcortical functional network
5 organization. *Neuroimage* **185**, 35-57 (2019).
- 6 69. Glasser, M.F. *et al.* A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature*
7 **536**, 171-178 (2016).
- 8 70. De Havas, J.A., Parimal, S., Soon, C.S. & Chee, M.W. Sleep deprivation reduces
9 default mode network connectivity and anti-correlation during rest and task
10 performance. *Neuroimage* **59**, 1745-51 (2012).
- 11 71. Robinson, J.L., Erath, S.A., Kana, R.K. & El-Sheikh, M. Neurophysiological
12 differences in the adolescent brain following a single night of restricted sleep - A
13 7T fMRI study. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* **31**, 1-10 (2018).
- 14 72. Samann, P.G. *et al.* Increased sleep pressure reduces resting state functional
15 connectivity. *MAGMA* **23**, 375-89 (2010).
- 16 73. Yeshurun, Y., Nguyen, M. & Hasson, U. The default mode network: where the
17 idiosyncratic self meets the shared social world. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*
18 **22**, 181-192 (2021).
- 19 74. Ferrier, J., Tiran, E., Deffieux, T., Tanter, M. & Lenkei, Z. Functional imaging
20 evidence for task-induced deactivation and disconnection of a major default
21 mode network hub in the mouse brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
22 *Sciences* **117**, 15270-15280 (2020).
- 23 75. Winer, J.R. *et al.* Association of short and long sleep duration with amyloid- β
24 burden and cognition in aging. *JAMA neurology* **78**, 1187-1196 (2021).
- 25 76. García-Marín, L.M. *et al.* Evidence of genetic overlap between circadian
26 preference and brain white matter microstructure. *Twin Research and Human*
27 *Genetics* **24**, 1-6 (2021).
- 28 77. Rosenberg, J., Maximov, I., Reske, M., Grinberg, F. & Shah, N.J. "Early to bed,
29 early to rise": diffusion tensor imaging identifies chronotype-specificity.
30 *Neuroimage* **84**, 428-34 (2014).
- 31 78. Hu, F.B. *et al.* Snoring and risk of cardiovascular disease in women. *Journal of the*
32 *American College of Cardiology* **35**, 308-313 (2000).

- 1 79. Facer-Childs, E. & Brandstaetter, R. The impact of circadian phenotype and time
2 since awakening on diurnal performance in athletes. *Current biology* **25**, 518-522
3 (2015).
- 4 80. Wang, Z. *et al.* Association of Sleep Duration, Napping, and Sleep Patterns With
5 Risk of Cardiovascular Diseases: A Nationwide Twin Study. *Journal of the*
6 *American Heart Association* **11**, e025969 (2022).
- 7 81. Li, X. *et al.* Adherence to a healthy sleep pattern and incident heart failure: a
8 prospective study of 408 802 UK Biobank participants. *Circulation* **143**, 97-99
9 (2021).
- 10 82. Fan, M. *et al.* Sleep patterns, genetic susceptibility, and incident cardiovascular
11 disease: a prospective study of 385 292 UK biobank participants. *European heart*
12 *journal* **41**, 1182-1189 (2020).
- 13 83. Buniello, A. *et al.* The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide
14 association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids*
15 *Research* **47**, D1005-D1012 (2018).
- 16 84. Zhao, B. *et al.* Common variants contribute to intrinsic human brain functional
17 networks. *Nature Genetics in press* (2022).
- 18 85. Jones, S.E. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses of chronotype in 697,828
19 individuals provides insights into circadian rhythms. *Nature communications* **10**,
20 343 (2019).
- 21 86. Lane, J.M. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis identifies novel loci for
22 chronotype in 100,420 individuals from the UK Biobank. *Nature communications*
23 **7**, 1-10 (2016).
- 24 87. Thorp, J.G. *et al.* Genetic heterogeneity in self-reported depressive symptoms
25 identified through genetic analyses of the PHQ-9. *Psychological Medicine* **50**,
26 2385-2396 (2020).
- 27 88. Khor, S.-S. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of HLA-DQB1* 06: 02 negative
28 essential hypersomnia. *PeerJ* **1**, e66 (2013).
- 29 89. Doherty, A. *et al.* GWAS identifies 14 loci for device-measured physical activity
30 and sleep duration. *Nature communications* **9**, 1-8 (2018).

- 1 90. Campos, A.I. *et al.* Insights into the aetiology of snoring from observational and
2 genetic investigations in the UK Biobank. *Nature communications* **11**, 1-12
3 (2020).
- 4 91. Giambartolomei, C. *et al.* Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of
5 genetic association studies using summary statistics. *PLoS genetics* **10**, e1004383
6 (2014).
- 7 92. Kibinge, N.K., Relton, C.L., Gaunt, T.R. & Richardson, T.G. Characterizing the
8 causal pathway for genetic variants associated with neurological phenotypes
9 using human brain-derived proteome data. *The American Journal of Human*
10 *Genetics* **106**, 885-892 (2020).
- 11 93. Jones, S.E. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses of chronotype in 697,828
12 individuals provides insights into circadian rhythms. *Nat Commun* **10**, 343 (2019).
- 13 94. de Klein, N. *et al.* Brain expression quantitative trait locus and network analysis
14 reveals downstream effects and putative drivers for brain-related diseases.
15 *bioRxiv* (2021).
- 16 95. Menon, V. Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: a unifying triple
17 network model. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **15**, 483-506 (2011).
- 18 96. Emamian, F. *et al.* Alterations of subcortical brain structures in paradoxical and
19 psychophysiological insomnia disorder. *Frontiers in psychiatry*, 611 (2021).
- 20 97. Leerssen, J. *et al.* Brain structural correlates of insomnia severity in 1053
21 individuals with major depressive disorder: results from the ENIGMA MDD
22 Working Group. *Translational psychiatry* **10**, 1-11 (2020).
- 23 98. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases
24 and traits. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1236-41 (2015).
- 25 99. Alfaro-Almagro, F. *et al.* Image processing and Quality Control for the first 10,000
26 brain imaging datasets from UK Biobank. *NeuroImage* **166**, 400-424 (2018).
- 27 100. Zou, Q.-H. *et al.* An improved approach to detection of amplitude of low-
28 frequency fluctuation (ALFF) for resting-state fMRI: fractional ALFF. *Journal of*
29 *neuroscience methods* **172**, 137-141 (2008).
- 30 101. Chen, H.-L. *et al.* White matter damage and systemic inflammation in obstructive
31 sleep apnea. *Sleep* **38**, 361-370 (2015).

- 1 102. Jones, N.F. & Ikuta, T. Sleep Duration is Associated with Caudate Volume and
2 Executive Function. (2022).
- 3 103. Holtstrand Hjälml, H. *et al.* Association between left atrial enlargement and
4 obstructive sleep apnea in a general population of 71-year-old men. *Journal of*
5 *Sleep Research* **27**, 254-260 (2018).
- 6 104. Werme, J., van der Sluis, S., Posthuma, D. & de Leeuw, C.A. An integrated
7 framework for local genetic correlation analysis. *Nature genetics* **54**, 274-282
8 (2022).
- 9 105. Zhang, Y. *et al.* SUPERGNOVA: local genetic correlation analysis reveals
10 heterogeneous etiologic sharing of complex traits. *Genome Biol* **22**, 262 (2021).
- 11 106. Oishi, Y. *et al.* Slow-wave sleep is controlled by a subset of nucleus accumbens
12 core neurons in mice. *Nature communications* **8**, 1-12 (2017).
- 13 107. Sardi, N.F., Tobaldini, G., Morais, R.N. & Fischer, L. Nucleus accumbens mediates
14 the pronociceptive effect of sleep deprivation: the role of adenosine A2A and
15 dopamine D2 receptors. *Pain* **159**, 75-84 (2018).
- 16 108. Taylor, D.J. *et al.* Comorbidity of chronic insomnia with medical problems. *Sleep*
17 **30**, 213-218 (2007).
- 18 109. Ohayon, M.M. & Roth, T. What are the contributing factors for insomnia in the
19 general population? *Journal of psychosomatic research* **51**, 745-755 (2001).
- 20 110. Luppi, A.I. *et al.* Consciousness-specific dynamic interactions of brain integration
21 and functional diversity. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4616 (2019).
- 22 111. Horovitz, S.G. *et al.* Decoupling of the brain's default mode network during deep
23 sleep. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 11376-81 (2009).
- 24 112. Stores, G. Clinical diagnosis and misdiagnosis of sleep disorders. *J Neurol*
25 *Neurosurg Psychiatry* **78**, 1293-7 (2007).
- 26 113. Nie, X. *et al.* Functional connectivity of paired default mode network subregions
27 in primary insomnia. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* **11**, 3085-93 (2015).
- 28 114. Shan, L., Dauvilliers, Y. & Siegel, J.M. Interactions of the histamine and
29 hypocretin systems in CNS disorders. *Nat Rev Neurol* **11**, 401-13 (2015).
- 30 115. Brown, J. & Makker, H.K. An approach to excessive daytime sleepiness in adults.
31 *Bmj* **368**, m1047 (2020).

- 1 116. Sehgal, A. & Mignot, E. Genetics of sleep and sleep disorders. *Cell* **146**, 194-207
2 (2011).
- 3 117. Jennum, P.J., Plazzi, G., Silvani, A., Surkin, L.A. & Dauvilliers, Y. Cardiovascular
4 disorders in narcolepsy: Review of associations and determinants. *Sleep Med Rev*
5 **58**, 101440 (2021).
- 6 118. Liu, Y. *et al.* Genetic architecture of 11 organ traits derived from abdominal MRI
7 using deep learning. *Elife* **10**, e65554 (2021).
- 8 119. Littlejohns, T.J. *et al.* The UK Biobank imaging enhancement of 100,000
9 participants: rationale, data collection, management and future directions.
10 *Nature communications* **11**, 1-12 (2020).
- 11 120. Avants, B.B. *et al.* A reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric
12 performance in brain image registration. *Neuroimage* **54**, 2033-2044 (2011).
- 13 121. Jahanshad, N. *et al.* Multi-site genetic analysis of diffusion images and voxelwise
14 heritability analysis: A pilot project of the ENIGMA-DTI working group.
15 *Neuroimage* **81**, 455-469 (2013).
- 16 122. Kochunov, P. *et al.* Multi-site study of additive genetic effects on fractional
17 anisotropy of cerebral white matter: comparing meta and megaanalytical
18 approaches for data pooling. *Neuroimage* **95**, 136-150 (2014).
- 19 123. Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic
20 data. *Nature* **562**, 203-209 (2018).
- 21 124. Ge, T., Chen, C.-Y., Ni, Y., Feng, Y.-C.A. & Smoller, J.W. Polygenic prediction via
22 Bayesian regression and continuous shrinkage priors. *Nature Communications*
23 **10**, 1776 (2019).
- 24 125. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases
25 and traits. *Nature Genetics* **47**, 1236-1241 (2015).
- 26 126. Consortium, I.H. Integrating common and rare genetic variation in diverse
27 human populations. *Nature* **467**, 52-58 (2010).
- 28 127. Hemani, G. *et al.* The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference
29 across the human phenome. *Elife* **7**(2018).
- 30 128. Chang, C.C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and
31 richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).

- 1 129. Friedman, J., Hastie, T. & Tibshirani, R. Regularization paths for generalized linear
2 models via coordinate descent. *Journal of statistical software* **33**, 1 (2010).
- 3 130. Mak, T.S.H., Porsch, R.M., Choi, S.W., Zhou, X. & Sham, P.C. Polygenic scores via
4 penalized regression on summary statistics. *Genetic epidemiology* **41**, 469-480
5 (2017).
- 6 131. Privé, F., Arbel, J. & Vilhjálmsson, B.J. LDpred2: better, faster, stronger.
7 *Bioinformatics* **36**, 5424-5431 (2020).
- 8 132. Márquez-Luna, C. *et al.* Incorporating functional priors improves polygenic
9 prediction accuracy in UK Biobank and 23andMe data sets. *Nature*
10 *Communications* **12**, 1-11 (2021).
- 11 133. Yang, S. & Zhou, X. Accurate and scalable construction of polygenic scores in
12 large biobank data sets. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **106**, 679-693
13 (2020).

14

15 **METHODS**

16 **Phenotypic sleep-imaging analyses.**

17 The UKB study (<https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>) recruited approximately half a million
18 participants aged between 40 and 69 years between 2006 and 2010⁵⁹. The ethics approval
19 of the UKB study was from the North West Multicentre Research Ethics Committee
20 (approval number: 11/NW/0382). The UKB imaging study started in 2014 and aimed to
21 collect multi-modal imaging data from 100,000 subjects¹¹⁹. Detailed procedures to
22 generate brain and cardiac MRI traits used phenotypic analysis can be found in previous
23 papers^{54,56,58,60,61}. All these imaging traits were generated from the raw images in
24 Category 100003 (<https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/label.cgi?id=100003>).
25 Overall, we used 101 regional brain volumes and 63 cortical thickness measures from T1-
26 weighted structural MRI image^{54,60}, 110 DTI parameters from diffusion MRI image⁵⁶, 92
27 functional activity (amplitude) and connectivity traits from resting-state and task-based
28 fMRI image⁵⁸, respectively, as well as 82 cardiac MRI traits from the short-axis, long-axis,
29 and aortic cine images⁶¹. These traits captured the structural and functional
30 characteristics of the human brain, heart, and aorta. Briefly, the advanced normalization
31 tools¹²⁰ (ANTs) was used to generate regional brain volumes for 98 cortical and subcortical
32 areas, as well as 3 global brain volume measures, including the total gray matter volume,

1 total white matter volume, and total brain volume. Similarly, 63 global and regional
2 cortical thickness measured were also generated by ANTs. In addition, we applied the
3 ENIGMA-DTI pipeline^{121,122} to diffusion MRI, and generated 110 tract-averaged
4 parameters, including the fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial
5 diffusivity (AD), radial diffusivity (RD), and mode of anisotropy (MO) for 21 predefined
6 major white matter tracts, as well as across the whole brain (5×22). For fMRI, we used a
7 parcellation-based approach with the Glasser360 atlas⁶⁹ and partitioned the cerebral
8 cortex into 360 regions in 12 functional networks⁶⁸, including the primary visual,
9 secondary visual, auditory, somatomotor, cingulo-opercular, default mode, dorsal
10 attention, frontoparietal, language, posterior multimodal, ventral multimodal, and
11 orbito-affective networks. We calculated the mean amplitude of each network, the mean
12 functional connectivity for each pair of networks (including within the same network),
13 and the mean amplitude and mean functional connectivity of the whole cortex. As strong
14 signals were identified in resting fMRI, we also considered the 64,620 ($360 \times 359/2$) area-
15 level high-resolution resting functional connectivity measures, which can provide more
16 fine-grained details on functional organizations of cerebral cortex. The 82 cardiac MRI
17 traits were from 6 categories, including 64 traits of left ventricle, 4 of left atrium, 4 of right
18 ventricle, 4 of right atrium, 3 of ascending aorta, and 3 of descending aorta. See **Table S1**
19 for the complete ID list of these traits.

20

21 We studied the sleep-imaging phenotypic relationships with 7 self-reported sleep traits:
22 the sleep duration (*"About how many hours sleep do you get in every 24 hours? (please*
23 *include naps)"*, Data field 1160); getting up in morning (*"On an average day, how easy do*
24 *you find getting up in the morning? 1) not at all easy; 2) not very easy; 3) fairly easy; 4)*
25 *very easy"*, Data field 1170); morning/evening person (chronotype, *"Do you consider*
26 *yourself to be 1) definitely a 'morning' person; 2) more a 'morning' person than an*
27 *'evening' person; 3) more an 'evening' person than a 'morning' person; 4) definitely an*
28 *'evening' person?"*, Data field 1180); nap during day (*"Do you have a nap during the day?*
29 *1) never/rarely; 2) sometimes; 3) usually."*, Data field 1190); sleeplessness/insomnia (*"Do*
30 *you have trouble falling asleep at night or do you wake up in the middle of the night? 1)*
31 *never/rarely; 2) sometimes; 3) usually."*, Data field 1200); snoring (*"Does your partner or*
32 *a close relative or friend complain about your snoring?"*, Data field 1210); and daytime

1 dozing/sleeping (narcolepsy, “How likely are you to doze off or fall asleep during the
2 daytime when you don't mean to? (e.g. when working, reading or driving) 1) never/rarely;
3 2) sometimes; 3) often; 4) all of the time.”, Data field 1220). We used the data coded by
4 the UKB study and removed the subjects with responses “do not know” or “prefer not to
5 answer”. In our phenotypic analysis, we used the white British imaging individuals in UKB
6 phases 1 to 3 data release (released up through 2020, average $n = 29,025$, mean age range
7 = (45,82), mean = 64.15, standard error = 7.67, and proportion of female was 51.6%). We
8 fitted linear models for each pair of sleep and imaging traits, in which we adjusted for the
9 effects of age (at imaging), age-squared, sex, age-sex interaction, age-squared-sex
10 interaction, imaging site code, the top 40 genetic principal components (PCs)¹²³,
11 volumetric scaling, head motion, head motion-squared, brain position, and brain position-
12 squared^{53,55}. For regional brain volumes and regional cortical thickness measures, we
13 additionally adjusted for the total brain volume and global mean thickness, respectively,
14 to remove the global effects. The values greater than five times the median absolute
15 deviation from the median were removed in each imaging trait and continuous covariate
16 variable. The P values from two-sided t test were reported (R version 3.6.0).

17
18 We also performed the PRS-based association analysis for the same set of imaging traits.
19 Specifically, we used the GWAS summary statistics of these imaging traits released by
20 previous imaging GWAS studies^{54,56,58,60,61} and constructed PRS based on PRS-CS¹²⁴. We
21 used all default parameters in the PRS-CS software (<https://github.com/getian107/PRScs>)
22 and generated the PRS for all non-imaging individuals in the UKB study (removing relatives
23 of the UKB imaging individuals). We then used these genetically predicted imaging traits
24 to repeat the above association analysis with the 7 sleep traits in non-imaging unrelated
25 white British UKB subjects (average $n = 217,254$, removing relatives of imaging subjects,
26 Methods). We adjusted for the effects of age (at baseline), age-squared, sex, age-sex
27 interaction, age-squared-sex interaction, and the top 40 genetic PCs¹²³.

28

29 **Genetic sleep-imaging analyses.**

30 Our genetic analyses were mainly based on GWAS summary statistics of sleep-related
31 traits and imaging traits from previous studies. First, we systematically looked for the
32 genomic loci that were reported to be significant for both sleep and imaging traits. We

1 looked up the reported sleep-significant genetic variants in the NHGRI-EBI GWAS
2 catalog⁸³ (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/>, version 2022-07-09). Among these sleep-
3 associated variants (and variants in LD with them, $r^2 \geq 0.6$), we searched for those that
4 were also reported to be significant in previous GWAS of brain and cardiac MRI traits,
5 including 101 regional brain volumes⁵⁴, 110 DTI parameters⁵⁶, 1,777 ICA-based resting
6 fMRI traits⁸⁴ (76 ICA-based amplitude traits and 1,701 ICA-based functional connectivity
7 traits), 1,985 network-level parcellation-based resting and task fMRI traits⁵⁸ (1,066 for
8 resting and 919 for task), and 82 cardiac MRI traits⁶⁰ (**Table S4**). For the sleep-significant
9 genetic variants, we also searched to see whether they were reported brain eQTLs on
10 MetaBrain (<https://www.metabrain.nl/>)⁹⁴. Finally, we tested for whether the sleep and
11 imaging traits had shared causal genetic variants using the Bayesian colocalization test⁹¹.

12
13 We examined genetic correlation analysis between sleep and imaging traits via LDSC¹²⁵
14 (<https://github.com/bulik/ldsc/>, version 1.0.1). We collected and used 19 set of publicly
15 available GWAS summary statistics for sleep traits (**Table S6**). For imaging traits, we
16 screened the 101 regional brain volumes⁵⁴, 110 DTI parameters⁵⁶, 1,985 network-level
17 parcellation-based resting and task fMRI traits⁵⁸ (1,066 for resting and 919 for task), and
18 82 cardiac MRI traits⁶⁰. To provide more details of the brain functional organization, we
19 also examined the amplitude traits in each of the 360 brain regions for resting and task
20 fMRI, respectively. The LD scores were calculated by LDSC and were based on the 1000
21 Genomes European data. We used the default setups of LDSC, in which the HapMap3¹²⁶
22 variants and the variants in the major histocompatibility complex region were removed.

23
24 Local genetic correlation analyses between sleep and imaging traits were performed
25 separately using LAVA¹⁰⁴ (<https://github.com/josefin-werme/LAVA>) and SUPERGONA¹⁰⁵
26 (<https://github.com/qlu-lab/SUPERGNOVA>). We tested the same sets of GWAS summary
27 statistics as used in the above LDSC analysis. We followed the tutorials of the two
28 methods and used the default setups. In SUPERGONA, we removed all single nucleotide
29 polymorphisms (SNPs) with missing values in GWAS summary statistics and minor allele
30 frequency (MAF) less than 0.05. We used the provided 1000 Genomes European
31 reference panel and the genome partition file. In LAVA, we input the GWAS summary
32 statistics, the 1000 Genomes European reference genotype data file, and the provided

1 locus definition file. Within each locus, LAVA processed these input files and converted
2 the marginal GWAS summary statistics to locus-specific joint effects. LAVA first performed
3 univariate tests on all traits and loci to select those with sufficient local genetic signals.
4 Bivariate tests were then performed on the selected loci and traits to examine their local
5 genetic correlations.

6

7 We performed MR analyses using multiple methods in the two-sample MR package
8 (<https://mrcieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/>), including MR Egger, simple median, weighted
9 median, panelized weighted median, and inverse variance weighted¹²⁷. Bidirectional MR
10 analyses were performed using GWAS summary statistics between each pair of sleep
11 conditions and imaging traits, including 101 regional brain volumes⁵⁴, 110 DTI
12 parameters⁵⁶, 92 network-level parcellation-based resting fMRI traits⁵⁸ (the same traits
13 as in the phenotypic analysis), and 82 cardiac MRI traits⁶⁰. GWAS summary statistics of
14 sleep conditions were obtained from the IEU GWAS database
15 (<https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/>). To select independent strong genetic instrumental
16 variables, exposure GWAS summary statistics were clumped by using Plink¹²⁸ (v1.9) with
17 P -value significance threshold being 5×10^{-8} (p_1) and the secondary significance threshold
18 for clumped genetic variants was also set to be 5×10^{-8} (p_2). Physical distance threshold
19 for clumping was $kb = 1,000$, LD threshold for clumping was $r^2 = 0.01$, and we used the
20 1000 Genomes European reference panel. Genetic variants of the exposure remained
21 were extracted from the outcome dataset via the *extract_outcome_data()* function with
22 default parameters. Harmonization was further performed to ensure the effects of each
23 genetic variant on exposure and outcome were corresponding to each other, which was
24 done by the *harmonise_data_function()* with level of strictness in dealing with SNPs action
25 = 2. Sleep-imaging pairs with number of SNPs less than 10 were discarded. MR was then
26 conducted with the *mr()* function with the above methods.

27

28 **Integrative prediction models for sleep and mental health traits.**

29 We developed prediction models for sleep by using genetic variants, imaging traits, and a
30 wide range of other data types, such as biomarkers, disease status, and 6 categories of
31 environmental variables, including lifestyle and environment, psychosocial factors,
32 physical measures, local environments, early life factors, and cognitive functions (**Table**

1 **S11**). First, we considered performance of the following imaging predictors: 101 regional
2 brain volumes⁵⁴, 110 DTI parameters⁵⁶, 1,777 ICA-based resting fMRI traits⁸⁴, 1,985
3 network-level parcellation-based resting and task fMRI traits⁵⁸, 720 area-level
4 parcellation-based resting and task fMRI amplitude traits (360 each), and 82 cardiac MRI
5 traits⁶⁰. We focused on the unrelated white British subjects and randomly divided the
6 data into three independent parts: training (average $n = 20270$), validation (average $n =$
7 6790), and testing (average $n = 6764$). We estimated the effect sizes of these imaging
8 traits by ridge regression in the glmnet¹²⁹ package (R version 3.6.0). The same set of the
9 covariates as in the phenotypic association analysis were controlled. Model parameters
10 were tuned on validation data, and prediction performance was evaluated on testing data
11 by calculating the correlation between predicted and observed sleep traits. Next, we
12 examined the performance of genetic PRS for sleep traits. Data from all UKB white British
13 subjects were used as training data, except for those in the above validation and testing
14 data (and their relatives). We adjusted for the effects of age (at baseline), age-squared,
15 sex, age-sex interaction, age-squared-sex interaction, and the top 40 genetic PCs. We
16 developed using 6 different methods, including pruning and thresholding (P + T),
17 lassosum¹³⁰, LDpred2¹³¹, LDpred-funct¹³², DBSLMM¹³³, and PRSCS¹²⁴. The above validation
18 dataset was used to tune the parameters. We also used other data types as predictors
19 with ridge regressions. To help interpret and identify the top-ranking predictors from each
20 category, we also performed phenotypic associations between these variables and sleep
21 traits and shared the results in **Table S14** and our website. The performance was evaluated
22 on the subjects with all these data types in the testing dataset. Similar prediction models
23 were also developed on three mental health traits, where sleep traits were added as
24 predictors.

25

26 **Code availability**

27 We made use of publicly available software and tools. The codes are available upon
28 reasonable request.

29

30 **Data availability**

31 GWAS summary statistics of brain and cardiac MRI traits can be freely downloaded at BIG-
32 KP <https://bigkp.org/> and Heart-KP <https://heartkp.org/>. GWAS summary statistics of

1 sleep conditions used in this study are publicly available and the links can be found in
2 Table S6. The individual level UK Biobank data used in this study can be obtained from
3 <https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>. We have built an interactive web browser to share our
4 results at www.ig4sleep.org.

5

6 **Figure legends**

7 **Fig. 1 Overview of our imaging genetics studies for sleep.**

8 Multimodal brain and cardiac imaging were used to investigate the relationship between
9 sleep and brain and heart health. We covered a full spectrum of imaging modalities,
10 including T1-weighted structural MRI, diffusion MRI, resting-state and task-based fMRI,
11 as well as short-axis, long-axis, and aortic cine images of cardiac MRI. Seven sleep
12 conditions were examined, including sleep duration, getting up in the morning,
13 chronotype, daytime nap, insomnia, snoring, and narcolepsy. Sleep-imaging connections
14 can be explained in part by shared genetic factors. A variety of analyses were conducted
15 throughout the paper in order to uncover the overlapping genetic influences, including
16 polygenic risk scores, identification of shared genetic risk loci and colocalization, genome-
17 wise and local genetic correlations, Mendelian randomizations, and integrated
18 predictions.

19

20 **Fig. 2 Phenotypic sleep-imaging associations.**

21 **(A)** The $-\log_{10}(\text{p-value})$ of phenotypic correlations between 7 sleep traits and 6 groups of
22 imaging, including 101 regional brain volumes, 63 cortical thickness measures, 110 DTI
23 parameters, 92 resting fMRI traits, 92 task fMRI traits, and 82 heart imaging traits. See
24 Table S1 for more information of these imaging traits. The red and black dashed lines
25 indicate the Bonferroni significance level ($P < 1.33 \times 10^{-5}$) and the false discovery rate at
26 5%, respectively. Each sleep condition is labeled with a different color. **(B)** and **(C)**
27 Significant correlations between area-level resting functional connectivity traits and
28 narcolepsy (in B) and sleep duration (in C). We show the correlations that passed the
29 Bonferroni significance level ($P < 7.74 \times 10^{-7}$). Correlation estimates are indicated by the
30 color. Visual1, the primary visual network; and Visual2, the secondary visual network.

1

2 **Fig. 3 Jointly significant genomic loci for sleep conditions and imaging traits.**

3 **(A)** In the NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/>, version 2022-07-09),
4 we found shared genetic influences between sleep and imaging traits in 39 genomic loci
5 (names are in black color). That is, sleep and imaging GWAS reported significant variants
6 in these loci and the index variants were in linkage disequilibrium (LD, $r^2 \geq 0.6$). Each
7 imaging modality is labeled with a different color. We tagged a wide range of reported
8 sleep traits and grouped them into chronotype (e.g., morningness and morning person),
9 insomnia (e.g., insomnia and insomnia symptoms), sleep duration (e.g., sleep duration
10 long sleep and short sleep), and others, such as snoring, hypersomnia, getting up, and
11 daytime nap. **(B)** We further summarized the results into a table, where the x-axis
12 represents the 39 genomic regions and y-axis displays the sleep traits. Each imaging
13 modality is labeled with a different color and the orange color is used when more than
14 one imaging modalities are observed in the locus.

15

16 **Fig. 4 Selected genetic loci that were associated with both sleep conditions and imaging**
17 **traits.**

18 **(A)** In 1q25.2, we observed colocalization between chronotype (index variant rs975025)
19 and the mean axial diffusivity of the splenium of corpus callosum tract (SCC AD, index
20 variant rs755699529). The posterior probability of Bayesian colocalization analysis for the
21 shared causal variant hypothesis (PPH4) is 0.976. **(B)** In 19p13.11, we observed
22 colocalization between chronotype and the mean mode of anisotropy of the external
23 capsule (EC MO, shared index variant rs9636202, PPH4 = 0.992). In this region,
24 chronotype was also in LD ($r^2 \geq 0.6$) with reaction time, life satisfaction, smoking,
25 hypertension, and coronary artery disease. **(C)** In 4q24, we observed colocalization
26 between insomnia (index variant rs11097861) and functional connectivity among the
27 triple networks of psychopathology (the default mode, central executive, and salience
28 networks, index variant rs4417974, PPH4 = 0.952). **(D)** In 14q32.2, we observed
29 colocalization between snoring and volume of the left thalamus proper (shared index
30 variant rs2664299, PPH4 = 0.997). We also observed the shared associations (LD $r^2 \geq 0.6$)

1 with self-reported math ability, cognitive performance, smoking initiation, and
2 educational attainment.

3

4 **Fig. 5 Genetic correlations between sleep and imaging traits.**

5 **(A)** We illustrated the pattern of significant genome-wide genetic correlation estimates
6 (at the 5% false discovery rate level by LDSC, $P < 6.18 \times 10^{-4}$) between various groups of
7 imaging traits (left upper panel) and sleep conditions (left lower panel). We listed the
8 number of significant pairs for each imaging group and sleep condition on the right side.

9 **(B)** We illustrated pattern of significant local genetic correlation estimates (at the 5% false
10 discovery rate level, $P < 1.87 \times 10^{-4}$) between various groups of imaging traits (left upper
11 panel) and sleep conditions (left lower panel) by LAVA. We listed the number of significant
12 pairs for each imaging group and sleep condition on the right side.

13

14 **Fig. 6 Integrative prediction analysis for sleep conditions and mental traits.**

15 **(A)** Predicting 7 sleep conditions using multimodal brain imaging traits, including DTI
16 parameters, region brain volumes, ICA-based resting fMRI traits (resting ICA),
17 parcellation-based network and area-level resting and task fMRI traits (resting g360
18 network, resting g360 area, task g360 network, and task g360 area), and all modalities
19 (joint of all brain MRI traits). **(B)** Predicting 7 sleep conditions using multiple data types.
20 PRS, sleep polygenic risk scores of genetic variants, Brain imaging, multimodal brain
21 imaging traits. **(C)** The accuracy of neuroticism prediction analysis using different types of
22 data. PRS, neuroticism polygenic risk scores of genetic variants, Brain imaging, multimodal
23 brain imaging traits. **(D)** The accuracy of different data types in neuroticism prediction
24 analysis before (marginal) and after controlling sleep traits (conditional on sleep).




Figure 1




Figure 2





Figure 3





Figure 4

A



B




Figure 5




Figure 6