## A modelling assessment of short- and medium-term risks of programme interruptions for *gambiense* human African trypanosomiasis in the DRC

Ching-I Huang<sup>1,2,\*,</sup>, Ronald E Crump<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Emily H Crowley<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew Hope<sup>4</sup>, Paul R Bessell<sup>5</sup>, Chansy Shampa<sup>6</sup>, Erick Mwamba Miaka<sup>6</sup>, Kat S Rock<sup>1,2</sup>,

**1** Zeeman Institute for System Biology and Infectious Disease Epidemiology Research, The University of Warwick, Coventry, U.K.

2 Mathematics Institute, The University of Warwick, Coventry, U.K.

3 The School of Life Sciences, The University of Warwick, Coventry, U.K.

4 Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM), Liverpool, U.K.

5 Independent Consultant, Edinburgh, U.K.

**6** Programme National de Lutte contre la Trypanosomiase Humaine Africaine (PNLTHA), Kinshasa, D.R.C.

These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* ching-i.huang@warwick.ac.uk

## Abstract

Gambiense human African trypanosomiasis (gHAT) is a deadly vector-borne, neglected tropical disease found in West and Central Africa targeted for elimination of transmission (EoT) by 2030. The recent pandemic has illustrated how it can be important to quantify the impact that unplanned disruption to programme activities may have in achieving elimination of transmission. We used a previously developed model of gHAT fitted to data from the Democratic Republic of Congo, a country with the highest global case burden, to explore how interruptions to intervention activities, due to e.g. COVID-19, Ebola or political instability, could impact progress towards EoT and gHAT burden. We simulated transmission and reporting dynamics in 38 health zones under six interruption scenarios lasting for nine or twenty-one months. Included in the interruption scenarios are the cessation of active screening in all scenarios and a reduction in passive detection rates and a delay or suspension of vector control deployments in some scenarios. Our results indicate that, even under the most extreme 21-month interruption scenario, EoT is not predicted to be delayed by more than one additional year compared to the length of the interruption. If existing vector control deployments continue, we predict no delay in achieving EoT even when both active and passive screening activities are interrupted. If passive screening remains fully functional, we expect a marginal negative impact on transmission, however this depends on the strength of passive screening in each health zone. We predict a pronounced increase in additional gHAT disease burden (morbidity and mortality) in many health zones if both active and passive screening were interrupted compared to the interruption of active screening alone. The ability to continue existing vector control during medical activity interruption is also predicted to avert a moderate proportion of disease burden.

# Author Summary

Whilst the COVID-19 pandemic has produced wide-spread disruption for many disease programmes there are also a range of other factors that continue to risk programme

interruptions including other disease outbreaks (e.g. Ebola, cholera, yellow fever, and measles) and the potential for political instability. In this study we examine the impact of interruptions by external factors to the *gambiense* human African trypanosomiasis (gHAT, sleeping sickness) elimination programme of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, a country which has the highest global case burden. We use our previously fitted gHAT model to simulate how transmission dynamics might be impacted by disruption to medical interventions and (where relevant) vector control activities in 38 health zones of the former Bandundu province. For each of the six interruption scenarios we use the model to forecast case numbers and disease burden as well as estimating the expected years and probabilities of elimination of transmission. This analysis provides invaluable insight into the impact that interruptions of any persuasion could have on burden, case reporting and time to achieve elimination of transmission of gHAT in the Democratic Republic of the Congo.

## Introduction

The last two years have been particularly challenging for public health systems throughout the world due to the introduction and spread of a novel type of coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2), first identified in January 2020, which led to the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. As well as the direct impact of COVID-19, wide-ranging and temporally varying restrictions to limit transmission of SARS-CoV-2 have meant other health programmes have been interrupted [1–4]. Whilst the pandemic has produced wide-spread disruption for many disease programmes, there are a range of other factors that continue to risk programme interruptions, particularly in low- and middle-income countries – for example in 2020 the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) was also battling with outbreaks of Ebola, cholera, yellow fever and measles as well as political instability in parts of the country [5]. In this study we will examine the impact of interruptions caused by external factors to the *gambiense* human African trypanosomiasis (gHAT, sleeping sickness) elimination programme of the DRC.

gHAT is a deadly vector-borne, neglected tropical disease (NTD) found in West and Central Africa. It is caused by the parasite *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* and transmitted through the bite of infected tsetse. The World Health Organization's (WHO) Global Health Observatory Data Repository shows a continued decline in the number of reported gHAT cases since the early 2000s [6]. In 2009 the number of gHAT cases reported globally dropped below 10,000 for the first time since the most recent epidemic started in the 1970s, and in 2019 only 876 cases were reported. In 2012, the WHO targeted gHAT for elimination as a public health problem (EPHP) by 2020 [7], with the subsequent goal being the elimination of transmission (EoT) to humans by 2030 [8].

In a recent WHO publication on monitoring the elimination of HAT and based on data up to 2020 [9], the global EPHP targets are not yet considered fully achieved (there were fewer than 2,000 HAT cases/year but only 83% reduction in areas at moderate or higher risk of HAT compared to the 2000–2004 baseline). Togo and Côte d'Ivoire had received official validation of gHAT EPHP, and six additional countries were close to the evaluation and validation of EPHP, however there are still 15 out of 24 countries that reported gHAT cases that had yet to meet the country level EPHP target (i.e. reporting < 1 case/10,000 inhabitants/year from each health district) in 2020. One of these countries is the DRC which has reported gHAT cases (from 5,590 cases in 2011 to 395 in 2020), the DRC accounted for nearly 70% of all global cases in 2020 (395 out of 565 cases) [6]. gHAT is an innovative and intensified disease management NTD, meaning large-scale use of treatments, like mass drug administration, are not currently feasible in

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

> the management of this disease. Formerly all cases of gHAT required a lumbar puncture to determine the correct course of treatment – both of which required intravenous administration and in-patient hospitalisation [10]. Medical advances have meant treatment has recently become simpler, and an oral drug course of fexinidazole is now approved for most patients [11,12]. To combat the disease, three major interventions have been coordinated by the national sleeping sickness control programme in the DRC (PNLTHA-DRC) including active screening (AS), performed by mobile teams in endemic villages to identify infected people, passive screening (PS), used to diagnose patients presenting at health facilities due to disease, and treatment of identified cases. Additionally vector control (VC) was added in selected, high-endemicity regions since 2015 through the deployment of Tiny Targets in tsetse habitat [13]. These interventions, including their strengthening based on new diagnostics, drugs and technology since 2000, have led to > 95% gHAT case reduction in the DRC in the past 20 years (from 16,951 in 2000 to 395 in 2020) [6] and transmission modelling estimates an ~84% reduction in new annual gHAT infections in the DRC (from 19,885 in 2000 to 3,130 in 2016) [14].

> On 10 March 2020, the first confirmed case of COVID-19 was reported in the DRC (a Congolese citizen returning from France) a day before the declaration of the COVID-19 pandemic on 11 March. The DRC government responded quickly to its COVID-19 outbreak by announcing a national lockdown and travel bans on 28 March 2020 to stop further spread of COVID-19. As a consequence the normal operations of PNLTHA-DRC were restricted. One of the biggest changes was to the number of people tested in AS, due to the national restrictions of the government and the advice by the WHO on 1 April 2020 to temporarily halt active case finding activities [15]. Roll out of fexinidazole started in 2020, however this slowed due to the COVID-19 pandemic which restricted the capacity to provide necessary training to medical staff across the country. Likewise, expansion of VC activities to new regions was delayed. At the beginning of the pandemic it was not clear how COVID-19 would impact people's willingness or ability to attend PS, with the potential for substantially reducing detection capacity, akin to that observed during the West African Ebola outbreak in 2014–16 which saw the gHAT programmes in Guinea experience disruption of passive and active medical activities [16].

> Although we believe PNLTHA-DRC is now operating similarly to before the pandemic, this study aims to quantify the impact that different length and severity of interruptions could have on control and elimination of gHAT in the DRC. In this paper, we use a dynamic transmission modelling approach to address this question. A similar question was posed and explored in a previous modelling comparison study [17], however the present analysis differs in two key ways: (1) rather than focusing on illustrative "moderate risk" health zones, we focus on 38 specific health zones in the former Bandundu province accounting for variable historical screening activities and case incidence, and (2) we also explore the impact of interruptions to VC activities as well as medical interventions. In this study we have used our previously fitted gHAT model to simulate the transmission dynamics, to forecast the subsequent case reporting and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), and to estimate the expected years and probabilities of elimination of transmission (YEoT and PEoT) under six interruption scenarios. Based on the uncertainty in estimates for the possible length of an interruption and its restrictions, we explored plausible combinations of interruptions to gHAT interventions in AS, PS, and/or VC corresponding to three categories of restrictions (which we denote as No AS, No AS and reduced PS, and No AS or VC and reduced PS) over a period of 9 or 21 months. This analysis does not attempt to model the scale or spread of SARS-CoV-2 itself or other potential causes of such an interruption, rather it considers interruptions to gHAT interventions which could be plausible due to outbreaks from a variety of diseases, political unrest, natural disasters,

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

or temporary loss of funding.

## Methods

#### Current interventions

In this modelling study we will simulate the impact of interruptions to on-going strategies designed to reduce gHAT transmission and burden. Strategies against gHAT are comprised of several key components which act in different ways to curtail disease and transmission of the parasite.

AS is a form of mass screening of individuals living in "at risk" villages, currently using either the card agglutination test for trypanosomiasis (CATT) or by rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs); the choice of screening test can depend on the size of the mobile team – traditional truck-based teams have capacity to use a generator which makes the CATT test feasible, whereas mini-mobile teams which travel to hard-to-reach villages by motorbike utilise RDTs [18]. Following a positive CATT or RDT, visual confirmation of the parasite in blood or lymph node aspirate must be made via microscopy to confirm infection. In the health zones from the former Bandundu province included in this analysis, annual coverage of AS ranged from 0–85% (median 13%) during the period 2014–2018. As per the WHO guidance [10], villages that have not reported cases for three years are generally not screened so health zones which more recently reporting cases typically have higher AS coverage. Since 2018 mobile teams in Bandundu have been equipped with tablets to enable video recording of microscopy for quality assurance.

PS is a form of screening integrated in fixed health facilities in gHAT endemic regions. It relies on infected people with symptoms seeking out medical care in facilities that have gHAT RDTs available and trained staff to recognise symptoms of this increasingly uncommon disease. Access to such facilities can be geographically heterogeneous, and in the case where no microscopy is available in the screening facility, if an initial RDT is positive, further travel is needed to enable the suspect to have infection confirmed. This can result in high levels of attrition between screening and confirmation [19]. As self-presentation by infected people is driven by symptoms, people identified through PS are typically in late-stage (stage 2) disease, meaning that they are not only suffering from higher disease burden, but there is a longer duration in which tsetse can acquire infection from them and create additional onward infections. Some infected people may never receive a diagnosis, especially if PS is weak near their residence, and would therefore die (unreported) from disease-induced mortality. Despite the challenges with PS, 41% of gHAT cases in the former Bandundu province were diagnosed through the passive system in 2012–2016, and improvements to the PS system in Bandundu between 2000–2016 are believed to have contributed to the decline in gHAT transmission and proportionally fewer deaths following stage 2 infection [14].

Treatment for gHAT occurs following confirmation of a case either by AS or PS. Prior to 2020 "staging" of the disease, via lumbar puncture, was also required to determine whether or not infection had crossed the blood-brain barrier. For both stages hospitalisation was required for treatment, with stage 1 diseases being treated with pentamidine, and stage 2 diseases being treated with nifurtimox-effornithine combination therapy (NECT) [10]. Since 2020 a new oral drug, fexinidazole, has been rolled out in the DRC which enables treatment of either stage in most cases (severe gHAT, children under six or those with a weight of less than 20kg, unfortunately still require staging and intravenous drugs) [11].

VC is an intervention which aims to reduce tsetse numbers sufficiently in order to interrupt transmission of a disease to humans. For gHAT in the DRC, one of the major

90

92

93

94

95

96

97

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

> VC methods conducted is the deployment of Tiny Targets, an insecticide-treated fabric mounted on sticks placed near riverine-forest habitats. Large-scale implementation of VC in the DRC began in mid-2015 in Yasa Bonga health zone. This was further expanded to five health zones by 2019, with plans for an additional six health zones in 2020 and 2021. Deployments are carried out by the provincial-level VC teams and supported by both PNLTHA-DRC and Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM) [20]. Previous studies have shown that the effectiveness of VC (measured by the annual reduction in tsetse population density) is between 80 and 99% [13,21–23]. Based on reported VC effectiveness, modelling exercises predict a rapid decline in underlying new infections when VC is used to supplement medical interventions and that EoT is expected to be achieved within four years of starting VC roll out [24].

## Model

In the present study we used our mechanistic, deterministic gHAT model previously fitted at the health zone level [14] to perform projections under the baseline strategy and six interruption scenarios. A full description of the model equations is given in SI "S1 Text" and in the original model fitting article [14]. The model was fitted to 2000–2016 WHO HAT Atlas case data [25] accounting for varying AS coverage by location and year. Using an adaptive Metropolis-Hastings Markov chain Monte Carlo method we estimated geographically varying parameters to provide health-zone-specific fits. Complete parameter descriptions are available in SI "S1 Text" (Tables A and B). The fitted model also takes into account previous advances in AS, PS, and VC in 2000–2016 period: this includes (1) a video recording confirmation system, which we assume results in perfect specificity in the AS algorithm, has been used in active case diagnostics in Mosango and Yasa Bonga from 2015, (b) reduced time to detection through PS, and (c) biannual VC in Yasa Bonga (began in mid-2015) with an effectiveness of 90% tsetse reduction after one year. See S1 Text for model formulae of improved PS and tsetse mortality due to VC.

Although fexinidazole roll out began in 2020, if it is implemented according to the current WHO guidelines – which still requires parasitological confirmation – we assume this has no meaningful impact on the way we model transmission or case reporting in the present study; i.e. we assume that the rate of detection followed by treatment either via AS or PS is not altered by switching to fexinidazole.

Outputs from the model include expected annual active and passive case reporting, numbers of new infection events each year, and annual DALYs as a measure of disease burden. For gHAT, most of the DALYs arise from disease-induced mortality from untreated individuals and a smaller proportion is morbidity from the number of person-years lived with either stage 1 or stage 2 disease. The death rate from gHAT – and subsequently the expected number of deaths per year – is estimated by the model as part of the fitting process, where we use (where available) trends in the number of staged active and passive cases and duration of stage 1 and 2 to infer the (undetected) death rate following stage 2 disease; more details are given in Crump et al. [14]. Our underlying new infections are similarly estimated during the model fitting process by matching model case outputs to the WHO case data. Since there is no way to directly measure annual new infections, this model output is used to compute the probability that EoT has been met.

### Baseline strategy (no interruption)

In previous projections, we simulated the impact of four future strategies from 2017 onward without interruptions for 168 health zones of the DRC [24]. The strategies comprised of different levels of AS with or without additional VC. In the present study, 

Health zone	Baseline	AS	VC status <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>	VC effectiveness
	strategy	$\operatorname{coverage}^*$		
Yasa Bonga	MeanAS+VC	57%	Since 2015	Reported as $90\%$ [13]
Masi Manimba	MeanAS+VC	46%	Since 2018	Assumed as 80%
Bandundu	MeanAS+VC	34%	Since 2019	Assumed as $80\%$
Kikongo	MeanAS+VC	21%	Since 2019	Assumed as 80%
Kwamouth	MeanAS+VC	48%	Since 2019	Assumed as $80\%$
Bokoro	MeanAS+VC	27%	Planned for 2021	Assumed as $80\%$
Bolobo	MeanAS+VC	48%	Planned for 2020	Assumed as $80\%$
Bulungu	MeanAS+VC	17%	Planned for 2020	Assumed as $80\%$
Mokala	MeanAS+VC	20%	Planned for 2021	Assumed as $80\%$
Mushie	MeanAS+VC	29%	Planned for 2021	Assumed as $80\%$
Yumbi	MeanAS+VC	15%	Planned for 2021	Assumed as 80%
Mosango	MeanAS	34%	No	0%
Elsewhere	MeanAS	Varies	No	0%

Table 1.	Baseline s	strategy	$\mathbf{b}\mathbf{y}$	health	zone.
AS: active	e screening;	VC: vecto	or c	ontrol	

\*Based on 2016 estimated populations

<sup>†</sup>All initial VC deployments were started, or were planned to be started mid-way through the calendar year, so that in the first year there is a single deployment but two deployments per year afterwards.

we have updated our projections to include additional VC roll out which occurred 188 between 2015–2019 or was planned for 2020 or after (see Table 1 for a list of health 189 zones and roll out years). For those health zones without VC history or future plans, 190 the baseline strategy used was *MeanAS* representing a mean coverage of AS with the 191 mean number of people screened in each health zone being calculated based on the 192 2014–2016 HAT Atlas and the 2017–2018 PNLTHA-DRC AS data. For those health 193 zones with either VC history or future VC plans, the baseline strategy is MeanAS+VC. 194 A fixed effectiveness of 80% tsets reduction in one year is assumed in the MeanAS+VC195 strategy except for in Yasa Bonga where a reported effectiveness of 90% is used [13]. 196 Video confirmation of parasitological diagnosis is assumed in the AS algorithm from 197 2018 to remove false positives in active cases, in line with tablet roll out by 198 PNLTHA-DRC in the former Bandundu province. We also assumed the detection rates 199 in PS remain the same as 2018 from 2019 onward. The baseline strategy, and AS 200 coverage, VC status and VC effectiveness under the baseline strategy for each health 201 zone are summarised in Table 1. 202

### Interruption scenarios

In this study we examine interruptions to gHAT interventions which could be caused by an outbreak of other diseases, political instability or other factors. Motivated by the COVID-19 pandemic and interruption durations that were considered plausible in early 2020, we focus on interruptions starting in April 2020 lasting until either the end of 2020 or the end of 2021. The broader purpose of this analysis, however, is to determine what type and what severity of impact interruptions could have, regardless of cause. We established three categories of restrictions with different levels of impact on current interventions: No AS with assumed mobile screening suspension, No AS and reduced PS with limited health facility capacity or willingness of public to attend health facilities in addition to mobile screening suspension, and No AS or VC and reduced PS with mobile screening suspension, limited health facility capacity, and no VC deployment.

214

> For scenarios including the interruption of AS, we assume some AS had already 215 occurred in 2020 before restrictions were imposed in April. Therefore AS coverage in 216 2020 is a quarter of the baseline strategy. For PS we assume the impact of interruptions 217 is partial therefore PS detection rates are reduced to the post-1998 level. Finally for VC 218 interruptions, we assume that any deployments planned for July 2020 are suspended in 219 the 9-month scenario. Furthermore VC deployments planned for July 2020, January 220 2021 and July 2021 are suspended in the 21-month scenario. In regions with planned 221 roll out in 2020 or 2021 which are interrupted we assume these are then postponed to 222 July 2021 and July 2022 in the 9- and 12-month scenarios respectively. 223

Graphical illustrations in Fig 1 outline timelines and impacts on AS, PS, and VC under six interruption scenarios together with the baseline strategy considered in this analysis.

224

225

	Interruption period			Year										Scenarios					
				2019			2020		2021		2022		2023	(dark grey squares in difference compare Baseline (B)) B 1 2 3 4					ate ว 6
			Baseline																
	AS coverage		9-month interruption				No AS												
			21-month interruption					No AS						1					
			Baseline											1					
	PS level		9-month interruption				Post-1998 level												
			21-month interruption				Ро	st-1998 le	vel										
ntion		VC initiated before 2020	Baseline	v/x v	6	/	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	V	V	V	V	<b>v</b>	V						
			9-month interruption	✓/X ✓	6	/	×	~	V	V	v	V	v						
erve			21-month interruption	✓/X ✓	6	/	×	×	×	V	V	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>						
Ē			Baseline				<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	V	V	<b>v</b>	V	]					
	1/6 1	VC planned from 2020	9-month interruption				×	<b>X</b> *	V	V	v	~	v						
	vc aepioyments		21-month interruption				×	×	×	<b>X</b> *	V	V	V						
			Baseline						v	V	V	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	1					
		VC planned from 2021	9-month interruption						V	V	v	V	V						
			21-month interruption						×	<b>X</b> *	V	V	V						
							n	o deployments					1						

Fig 1. Gantt chart showing simulated baseline (no interruption) and interruption scenarios. Each section shows the model assumptions for the timing of interruptions to different strategy components (interventions). The green background indicates the intervention is running as normal. Orange background indicates the intervention has been suspended (in the case of AS or VC) or reduced (in the case of PS). E.g. a 9-month interruption to AS is assumed to last from April 2020 to December 2020 and so has an orange background for this time period. The right hand side of the diagram shows how the different types of interruptions are combined together to make up the baseline and six interruption scenarios. Each health zone falls into one of four categories, (i) existing VC prior to 2020, (ii/iii) VC was planned to start in 2020/21, or (iv) no VC planned. For health zones with existing or planned VC, interruption is indicated by crosses for no deployment when there was one expected in the baseline. In these health zones. In regions with existing VC deployments, only the deployments during the interruption period are impacted. However, for health zones planning to start new VC intervention, we assume the initial deployment was pushed back by one or two full years and so deployment does not take place immediately after interruption ends; these additional missed deployments are denoted by crosses with stars. AS: active screening; PS: passive screening; VC: vector control; B: Baseline

## Results

## Health zones with no previous or planned VC

In health zones which have not had VC (inclusive of the majority of the health zones in 229 the former Bandundu province considered in this analysis), the predicted impact of 230 interruptions to interventions on case reporting, underlying transmission, disease burden, 231 and probability of meeting the EoT target is dependent on several factors. These 232 include endemicity at the beginning of 2020, coverage of AS, and the strength of PS in 233 2019 (especially for detection of stage 2 disease). To illustrate our findings for health 234 zones with no previous or planned VC, we have selected Mosango health zone as an 235 exemplar. Of note, Mosango has an estimated population size of around 125,000 in 2016, 236 had an average of 0.99 reported cases per 10,000 people per annum durning 2014–2018 237 (classified as a low-risk health zone according to the gHAT risk categories defined by the 238 WHO [26]), had moderate AS coverage during 2014-2018 (34%), and it has been 239 estimated there was substantial improvement in detection rate for stage 2 disease 240 between 2000–2016 (shortening the time for stage 2 detection from 393 to 293 days). 241

In the scenario of No AS (and continuing PS), our model predicts slightly larger prediction intervals on active cases after AS resumes in Mosango (first column, Fig 2). Although the increase in numbers of passive cases and new infections are marginal during and after the interruption period, the model predicts 35.2 and 76.3 additional DALYs accrued over the next ten years in the 9- and 21-month interruption scenarios respectively. It is mainly due to the disease progression in infected people but also due to a stagnation in the reduction of underlying transmission caused by the interruption of AS. The delay in YEoT is only predicted in the 21-month interruption scenario (delay of one year). The model predicts 2.7 and 5.9% reduction in PEoT by 2030 when AS is interrupted by 9 and 21 months respectively.

In the No AS and reduced PS scenario (second column, Fig 2), the model predicts more post-interruption active and passive cases and new infections compared to those in the No AS scenario. Fewer infections being picked up during the interruption period via PS, especially in stage 2 disease, has a substantial impact on disease burden. Reduced PS (delay in passive detection) in addition to no AS could result in doubling the additional DALYs accrued (61.4 and 152.7 additional DALYs for 9- and 21-months interruptions) compared to interruption of AS alone in Mosango. This highlights the importance of maintaining fully functional PS in managing disease burden in the absence of vertical interventions. The estimated delay in YEoT is one year, and PEoT by 2030 is predicted to be lower by 4.6 and 11.2% for 9- and 21-month interruptions respectively (in line with the doubling of additional DALYS accrued). Since there is no on-going or planned VC in Mosango, the scenario of No AS or VC and reduced PS is absent in Fig 2.

### Heath zones with existing or planned VC

We selected Kwamouth, where VC began in mid-2019, as an exemplar to study the potential impact caused by different interruptions in areas that had existing, but recently started, VC before 2020 (see Fig 3). Data show that Kwamouth health zone (8.7 reported cases per 10,000 people per annum in 2014–2018) is in the gHAT moderate-risk category [26, 27] and its average recent AS covered 48% of the population (estimated population size was around 131,000 in 2016). Our fitted model estimates that there was substantial improvement in detection rate for stage 2 disease between 2000–2016 (shortening the time for stage 2 detection from 559 to 380 days).

The projections in Kwamouth under the baseline show that case reporting would be 274 expected to continue for some time as humans infected before the roll out of VC can 275

227

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272



Fig 2. Time series of model outputs in Mosango health zone (no on-going or planned VC) under the baseline and six interruption scenarios. One year (2019) of fitted results and the projected baseline are shown in gray. Interruptions, indicated by coloured background, are assumed to take place in April 2020 and last until the end of 2020 (darker tan) or 2021 (lighter tan) in our simulations. The projections of the baseline (gray) and interruption scenarios (coloured) start from 2020. Note that the results of the "No AS or VC and reduced PS" scenario are identical to "No AS and reduced PS" because there was no on-going or planned vector control (VC) in Mosango and so is not shown. There are n = 10,000 independent samples, 10 from each of 1,000 independent samples from the joint posterior distributions of the fitted model parameters. Box plots summarise parameter and observational uncertainty. The lines in the boxes represent the medians of predicted results. The lower and upper bounds of the boxes indicate 25th and 75th percentiles. The minimum and maximum values are 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles and therefore whiskers cover 95% prediction intervals. AS: active screening; PS: passive screening; VC: vector control; DALYs: disability-adjusted life years; PEoT: probability of elimination of transmission

> take several years to be identified even though VC is expected to interrupt transmission 276 by rapid reduction of tsetse populations. In the No AS scenario (first column, Fig 3), 277 our model predicts fewer active cases during the interruption period and more active 278 cases after AS resumes. The predicted passive cases and DALYs are slighter greater 279 than those estimated under the baseline in 2020–2030 (also see Figure A in S2 Text). 280 Despite having more overall active and passive cases and diseases burden, the difference 281 of predicted underlying new infections between the baseline and the interruption 282 scenario are negligible. Therefore, PEoT remains unaffected and the model predicts 283 virtual certainty in EoT by 2030 due to tsetse reductions from the continuation of VC in 284 this scenario. In the No AS and Reduced PS scenario (second column, Fig 3), there are 285 fewer passive cases and a significant drop in PEoT in 2021 (by 14.9% and 20.4% for 9-286 and 21-month interruptions) during the interruption period in contrast to the No AS287 scenario. However, there is no delay to the predicted YEoT. Our model results show 288 that the reduction in passive detection rates has significant impact on disease burden 289 (365.9 and 727.5 additional DALYs for 9- and 21-months interruptions) in contrast to 290 rapid reduction new infections when VC continues. In the No AS or VC and reduced PS 291 scenario (third column, Fig 3), new infections do not fall as rapidly as the baseline or 292 other interruption scenarios where VC continues, and therefore the model predicts a 293 shift on the PEoT curve for both 9- and 21-month interruptions. The value of PEoT 294 increase rapidly after VC resumes and all realisations achieve EoT by 2030 despite 295 model predicts YEoT is delay by one and two years in 9- and 21-month interruptions. 296 In Kwamouth, there was only two rounds of VC deployments prior to the start of 297 interruption scenarios, and therefore numbers of tsetse and infected humans are high. 298 Hence, our model predicts huge amounts additional DALYs accrued (463.7 and 1069.3 299 additional DALYs for 9- and 21-months interruptions) in the scenarios with VC 300 interruption. 301

Bokoro health zone is one of six health zones that had planned VC in 2020 or 2021 prior to 2020. The historical data show that Bokoro health zone is also at moderate risk from gHAT (3.3 reported cases per 10,000 people per annum in 2014-2018) [26,27]. It had a population size of around 225,000 people in 2016 and recent AS covered on average 27% of the population. Previous modelling results show that PS is still poor despite there was substantial improvement in detection rate for stage 2 disease between 2000-2016 (shortening the time for stage 2 detection from 4348 to 2500 days). Fig 4 shows that the trends and patterns of modelling outputs under the baseline strategy and interruption scenarios in Bokoro are similar to those predicted in Kwamouth. The model predicts little impact on new infections and the same PEoT curves if only AS is interrupted (first column, Fig 4). When both AS and PS are affected in the No AS and reduced PS scenario (second column, Fig 4), there is limited and short-term impact on transmission (i.e. PEoT lowered by 5.5 and 13.4% in 2023) and therefore no delay in YEOT. As seen in the shift in PEoT curve, one year delay in the YEoT is predicted in the 21-month No AS or VC and reduced PS scenario, and no delay is expected if the lockdown ends in 2020 (9-month interruption). This difference is due to VC being planned in 2021 in Bokoro, and therefore the VC intervention itself is unaffected in the 9-month No AS or VC and reduced PS scenario. The 9-month No AS or VC and reduced PS and No AS and reduced PS scenarios are therefore equivalent. Note that predicted case reporting and DALYs tail off slowly in the baseline and all scenarios because Bokoro has lower passive stage 2 detection rate.

#### Comparison of delay in YEoT and DALYs across all health zones 323

Comparing the model outputs of interruption scenarios in Mosango, Kwamouth, and Bokoro, the patterns of case reporting and DALYs are similar. Conversely patterns of new infections and PEoT differ in the No AS and No AS and reduced PS scenarios for 326

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

health zones with and without VC (Kwamouth and Bokoro vs. Mosango; see Figs 2 – 4). In Kwamouth and Bokoro health zones, the continued rapid decline in the numbers of new infections and the rate at which the PEoT reaches 1 irrespective of the interruption scenario is a consequence of the continuation of VC. It is expected, therefore, that a delay in YEoT is unlikely to happen in those scenarios where VC remains uninterrupted for health zones with existing or planned VC. The maps in Fig 5 and Table A in S2 Text summarise the median delay in YEoT under different interruption scenarios in 38 modelled health zones. For health zones have no on-going or planned VC, a delay of YEoT is more likely in No AS and reduced PS scenarios with the delay being up to the length of the interruption but is unlikely in the 9-month No AS scenario. On the other hand, for health zones that should have VC during the interruption period, our model predicts a delay only occurs in the No AS or VC and reduced PS scenarios and is capped to the length of the interruption as VC is an efficient tool in reducing transmission to humans.

Fig 6 shows a stacked bar chart summarising DALYs under the baseline and additional DALYs caused by different interruption scenarios in all modelled health zones in the former Bandundu province. Looking across these health zones, a key feature of interruptions is the decline in case reporting from the impacted interventions during the interruption period (see Figs 2 – 4 and Figs B – AJ in S2 Text). Infected humans spend longer time in infection and potentially create more new infections. Therefore, an overall increase in predicted DALYs between 2020–2030. The magnitude of additional DALYs accured is not only dictated by the baseline infection prevalence but also determined by the between-health-zone differences in epidemiology and medical interventions. For example, our model predicts Kwamouth has fewer total DALYs accured in 2020–2030 than Bokoro (1445.9 and 3221.4 respectively under the baseline) but more additional DALYs accured in each interruption scenario due to higher AS coverage (48% in Kwamouth and 27% in Bokoro) and better estimated PS detection rate (see Table B in S1 Text). This finding highlights the importance of the capacity and strength of medical interventions to gHAT management.



Fig 3. Time series of model outputs in Kwamouth health zone (on-going vector control since 2019) under the baseline and six interruption scenarios. One year (2019) of fitted results and the projected baseline are shown in gray. Interruptions, indicated by coloured background, are assumed to take place in April 2020 and last until the end of 2020 (darker tan) or 2021 (lighter tan) in our simulations. The projections of the baseline (gray) and interruption scenarios (coloured) start from 2020. There are n = 10,000 independent samples, 10 from each of 1,000 independent samples from the joint posterior distributions of the fitted model parameters. Box plots summarise parameter and observational uncertainty. The lines in the boxes present the medians of predicted results. The lower and upper bounds of the boxes indicate 25th and 75th percentiles. The minimum and maximum values are 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles and therefore whiskers cover 95% prediction intervals.

AS: active screening; PS: passive screening; VC: vector control; DALYs: disability-adjusted life years; PEoT: probability of elimination of transmission



Fig 4. Time series of model outputs in Bokoro health zone (planned vector control from 2021) under the baseline and six interruption scenarios. One year (2019) of fitted results and the projected baseline are shown in gray. Interruptions, indicated by coloured background, are assumed to take place in April 2020 and last until the end of 2020 (darker tan) or 2021 (lighter tan) in our simulations. The projections of the baseline (gray) and interruption scenarios (coloured) start from 2020. There are n = 10,000 independent samples, 10 from each of 1,000 independent samples from the joint posterior distributions of the fitted model parameters. Box plots summarise parameter and observational uncertainty. The lines in the boxes present the medians of predicted results. The lower and upper bounds of the boxes indicate 25th and 75th percentiles. The minimum and maximum values are 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles and therefore whiskers cover 95% prediction intervals. AS: active screening; PS: passive screening; VC: vector control; DALYs: disability-adjusted life years; PEoT: probability of elimination of transmission



Fig 5. Maps of median delay in YEoT under six interruption scenarios in 38 modelled health zones in the former Bandundu province. In each health zone, the baseline strategy is either *MeanAS* or *MeanAS+VC* depending on its VC status (summarised in Table 1). 'Median YEoT > 2050' where the median year of elimination of transmission, either baseline, interruption, or both, was beyond 2050. Shapefiles used to produce these maps were provided by Nicole Hoff and Cyrus Sinai under a CC-BY licence (current versions can be found at https://data.humdata.org/dataset/drc-health-data).

AS: active screening; PS: passive screening; VC: vector control; YEoT: year of elimination of transmission



Fig 6. Stacked bar chart showing DALYs accrued under baseline and interruption scenarios in each health zones of former Bandundu province for the period 2020–2030. Health zones are colour coded: blue, health zone with existing VC; yellow, health zones with planned VC; orange, health zones without existing or planned VC. The median DALYs (2020–2030) under the baseline strategy

AS: active screening; PS: passive screening; VC: vector control

## Discussion

In this study we simulated six scenarios that interrupted gHAT elimination programme 357 activities in the DRC to predict the impact on case reporting, gHAT burden, and time 358 to achieve EoT for the health zones in the former Bandundu province. We predicted 359 that EoT could be delayed by a maximum of the length of interruptions in the worst 360 case scenarios (i.e. No AS and reduced detection rate in PS for the interruption period, 361 and, if a health zone had previous or planned VC, an interruption to VC deployments). 362 However, our model estimates the worst case scenarios have an overall 28% increment in 363 the DALYs accrued during 2020–2030 in the former Bandundu province, varying from 0 364 to 774.2 (Kimputu in the 21-month No AS and reduced PS scenario) and 1069.3 365 (Kwamouth in the 21-month No AS or VC and reduced PS scenario) compared to the 366 baseline strategy. The impact on disease burden depends on endemicity, strength and 367 coverage of medical interventions, and the VC history of the health zone. These results 368 for a range of health zones of different historical AS coverages and case incidences align 369 with the previous results presented by Aliee et al. in which (using gHAT models 370 developed by two different research groups) there was limited impact from short-term 371 interruptions to gHAT interventions in illustrative "moderate-risk" health zones [17]. 372 These results are generalisable to health zones across the former Bandundu province. 373

The present analysis was the first to examine a potential interruption of VC. We expect VC to have a direct impact on EoT through the reduction in tsetse population which consequently decreases transmission from tsetse to humans. We found a delay in YEoT only occurs when VC is interrupted but it is in general the interruption of PS, not VC, that leads to the most additional DALYs being accrued (see the lengths of the colour bars of health zones colour coded blue in Fig 6). In summary, this modelling study indicates that the gHAT elimination programme is fairly robust to short shocks if activities can be resumed again. We believe this is, for the most part, due to the slow-progressing nature of the gHAT infection. Nevertheless, additional disease burden is predicted to arise as a consequence of interruptions and the more interventions that are interrupted and the longer they are interrupted for, so the more DALYs accumulate.

The model variant used here has been published and refined several times before for both the DRC and Chad [14, 21, 24, 28-31]. Although this variant is deterministic (representing average expected dynamics), a stochastic model version could better capture chance events around EoT. Other work by this group used stochastic gHAT models, with similar predictions between the deterministic and stochastic models [17]. If a similar analysis was conducted for smaller spatial scales (e.g. a health area of around 10,000 people rather than health zones of around 100,000 people) then stochastic models would become more desirable. This model variant does not include either non-human animal transmission nor self-curing asymptomatic human infections, which have both been flagged as a potential concern for meeting EoT [32]. Other modelling work comparing the model variant used in this study with a variant including possible animal transmission found that, in some health zones in the former Bandundu province, EoT may occur later if animal transmission is occurring [14]. Similarly, if frequent self-curing asymptomatic transmission exists, this may result in more pessimistic predictions for EoT [33]. Although the impacts of interruptions on delays to EoT and additional DALYs accrued in models with animal transmission or self-curing asymptomatic infections are unknown, we speculate that results would not be qualitatively dissimilar to those presented here. This is because (1) infection timescales are still long and (2) fitting different model variants to other regions which had a gap in AS for two years then resumed showed no discernible difference between inferred transmission trends for different model variants [21, 31].

This analysis was performed to simulate the potential impact of interruptions to

356

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

38

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

> gHAT programmes due to a range of possible causes, however the COVID-19 pandemic 407 was at the forefront of our concerns and consequently interruptions were simulated from 408 April 2020 lasting either for the rest of 2020 or until the end of 2021. Fortunately, in the 409 DRC we now know that some of our worse case interruption scenarios did not occur, 410 and it is believed that the main impact was a reduced coverage of AS in 2020 (similar to 411 the 9-month No AS interruption scenario in this article). In the analysis, mean 412 screening in 2019 was 16% annually averaged across health zones and our simulated 413 coverage for 2020 was 4% for all interrupted scenarios. In reality this is now known to 414 have been 26% and 16% for 2019 and 2020 respectively. In health zones with existing 415 VC prior to 2020, deployments continued [20], although planned roll outs in Bolobo and 416 Bulungu health zones were delayed to 2021. 417

Although this study did not make alterations to the transmission and reporting model used with the roll out of fexinidazole, we acknowledge the clear benefits to patients and clinicians of the new drug. Furthermore we do believe that the new drug could have more important impacts on costs and resources (including in patient hospitalisation, staff time, etc.) and should therefore be included in any cost-effectiveness analyses. Another modelling study has explored the potential pros and cons from a transmission perspective of fexinidazole. They found that reductions in compliance in treatment could lead to lower effectiveness but there were also the possibility for improved access to treatment and subsequently reduced transmission [34]. Should the oral, single-dose drug, acoziborole, become available following completion of clinical trials [35] and have a suitable safety profile, it may be possible for the gHAT programme to add a "screen and treat" algorithm in the coming years. This approach, which could remove the need for parasitological confirmation prior to treatment, is one way in which the strategies against gHAT could change in the DRC, hopefully enabling more rapid progress towards zero. Other changes to AS or PS algorithms, or operating protocols for VC also have the potential to modify what we describe as our baseline strategy in this study and to accelerate progress.

At present there is limited data for tsetse reduction in four of five health zones with VC prior to 2020 as they have started recently with six-monthly monitoring. As such we assumed 80% tsetse reduction after one year, which is less than that observed 90% in Yasa Bonga health zone and Uganda [23], or 99% in Chad [21,31] and on par with Boffa in Guinea [22]. Once entomological data from the health zones is available we can appropriately adjust the simulated VC reduction, however in practise there is limited difference in modelled outcomes for similar tsetse reduction values.

For this analysis we used AS coverage for 2000–2018 but not 2019 onward which could have impacted our results. We had assumed this missing coverage data for 2019 was the mean of 2014–2018. When the data becomes available for the years after 2020 (e.g. until the end of 2022), it would be possible to perform modelling validation to examine our predictions by using the actual screening numbers with the same model fits and compare to the case reporting observed during and post interruption. Our results presented in this article and our open source code mean this validation exercise should be straightforward to conduct, in line with the "testable model outcomes" recommended by the Policy-Relevant Items for Reporting Models in Epidemiology of Neglected Tropical Diseases (PRIME-NTD) checklist [36] (see S3 Text). It would also be possible to refit the model to the actual screening and case data to quantify if there is any statistical evidence of the interruption's impact on PS during 2020.

More generally, this analysis demonstrates the type of results that could be expected from interruptions of 9 or 21 months due to any cause, which we believe provides valuable insights not solely into the impact of COVID-19 on gHAT in the DRC, but how other potential interruptions in the future could affect gHAT burden, case reporting and trajectory towards EoT.

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

## Data availability

This study was a re-analysis of the *gambiense* human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) data that were obtained from the WHO HAT Atlas and are subject to a data sharing agreement. Interested parties should apply to the WHO (contact J.R. Franco, francoj@who.int) in order to gain access to these data. Data derived through the re-analysis undertaken in this study are available in Open Science Framework at https://osf.io/gur7c/.

# Acknowledgments

The authors thank PNLTHA-DRC for original data collection, and the WHO for data access (in the framework of the WHO HAT Atlas [25]), and Cyrus Sinai and Nicole Hoff from UCLA Fielding School of Public Health for providing health-zone-level shapefiles (current versions can be found at 470

https://data.humdata.org/dataset/drc-health-data). We thank Prof Steve Torr for his feedback on this article.

# Funding

This work was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (www.gatesfoundation.org) through the Human African Trypanosomiasis Modelling and Economic Predictions for Policy (HAT MEPP) project [OPP1177824 and INV-005121] (C.H, R.E.C, E.H.C, K.S.R.), through the NTD Modelling Consortium [OPP1184344] (K.S.R.), and through the TrypElim-Bandundu project [OPP1155293] (A.H., P.R.B., C.S, E.M.M.). Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License has already been assigned to the Author Accepted Manuscript version that might arise from this submission. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

# Author contributions

CRediT checklist:

	105
• Conceptualisation KSR	486
• Methodology CH	487
• Software CH, REC	488
• Validation CH, KSR, REC	489
• Formal Analysis CH, REC, KSR	490
• Investigation CH, REC, EHC, AH, PRB, CS, EMM, KSR	491
• Data Curation CH, REC, CS, EMM	492
• Writing - Original Draft CH, EHC, KSR	493
• Writing Review and editing CH, REC, EHC, AH, PRB, CS, EMM, KSR	494

• Visualisation CH

495

459

460

461

462

464

465

466

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

• Supervision EHC, KSR	496
• Project administration EHC, KSR	497
• Funding acquisition KSR, AH, EMM	498
Supplementary information	499
S1 Text. Methods. An expansion of the mathematical modelling methodology.	500
<b>S2 Text.</b> Additional model outputs. Individual time series plots for all modelled health zones and additional figures and tables summarising impacts on EoT and DALYs.	501 502

S3 Text. PRIME-NTD criteria. Addressing the PRIME-NTD criteria for good 503 modelling practises. 504

# References

Ref	erences	505
1.	Coker M, Folayan MO, Michelow IC, Oladokun RE, Torbunde N, Sam-Agudu NA. Things must not fall apart: the ripple effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on children in sub-Saharan Africa. Pediatric Research. 2021;89(5):1078–1086.	506 507 508
2.	Pley CM, McNaughton AL, Matthews PC, Lourenço J. The global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection. BMJ Global Health. 2021;6(1):e004275.	509 510 511
3.	McQuaid CF, Vassall A, Cohen T, Fiekert K, White R, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on TB: a review of the data. The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. 2021;25(6):436–446.	512 513 514
4.	Toor J, Adams ER, Aliee M, Amoah B, Anderson RM, Ayabina D, et al. Predicted impact of COVID-19 on neglected tropical disease programs and the opportunity for innovation. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021;72(8):1463–1466.	515 516 517
5.	Health Ministry of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Health Ministry of the Democratic Republic of the Congo; 2022. Available from: https://www.minisanterdc.cd/.	518 519 520
6.	World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory data repository; 2022. Available from: https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/ human-african-trypanosomiasis.	521 522 523
7.	Organization WH. Accelerating work to overcome the global impact of neglected tropical diseases : a roadmap for implementation : executive summary; 2012.	524 525
8.	World Health Organization. Report of a WHO meeting on elimination of human African trypanosomiasis ( <i>Trypanosoma brucei gambiense</i> ): Geneva, 3–5 December 2012. World Health Organization; 2013.	526 527 528
9.	Franco JR, Cecchi G, Paone M, Diarra A, Grout L, Kadima Ebeja A, et al. The elimination of human African trypanosomiasis: Achievements in relation to WHO road map targets for 2020. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2022;16:1–19.	529 530 531
10.	World Health Organization & WHO Expert Committee on the Control and Surveillance of Human African Trypanosomiasis (2013: Geneva, Switzerland). Control and surveillance of human African trypanosomiasis: report of a WHO expert committee. WHO technical report series; no. 984. World Health Organization; 2013.	532 533 534 535 536
11.	World Health Organization. WHO interim guidelines for the treatment of gambiense human African trypanosomiasis; 2019. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/326178/9789241550567-eng.pdf.	537 538 539
12.	Lindner AK, Lejon V, Chappuis F, Seixas J, Kazumba L, Barrett MP, et al. New WHO guidelines for treatment of <i>gambiense</i> human African trypanosomiasis including fexinidazole: substantial changes for clinical practice. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020;20:e38–e46.	540 541 542 543
13.	Tirados I, Hope A, Selby R, Mpembele F, Miaka EM, Boelaert M, et al. Impact of tiny targets on <i>Glossina fuscipes quanzensis</i> , the primary vector of human African trypanosomiasis in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2020;14:1–20.	544 545 546 547

14.	Crump RE, Huang CI, Knock ES, Spencer SEF, Brown PE, Mwamba Miaka E, et al. Quantifying epidemiological drivers of <i>gambiense</i> human African trypanosomiasis across the Democratic Republic of Congo. PLOS Computational Biology. 2021;17:1–23.	548 549 550 551
15.	World Health Organization. COVID-19: WHO issues interim guidance for implementation of NTD programmes; 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/news/ COVID19-WHO-interim-guidance-implementation-NTD-programmes/en/.	552 553 554 555
16.	Kagabadouno M, Camara O, Camara M, Ilboudo H, Camara M, Rayaisse JB, et al. Ebola outbreak brings to light an unforeseen impact of tsetse control on sleeping sickness transmission in Guinea. BioRxiv. 2018; p. 202762.	556 557 558
17.	Aliee M, Castaño S, Davis CN, Patel S, Miaka EM, Spencer SEF, et al. Predicting the impact of COVID-19 interruptions on transmission of <i>gambiense</i> human African trypanosomiasis in two health zones of the Democratic Republic of Congo. Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2021;115:245–252.	559 560 561 562 563
18.	Snijders R, Fukinsia A, Claeys Y, Mpanya A, Hasker E, Meheus F, et al. Cost of a new method of active screening for human African trypanosomiasis in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2020;14(12):e0008832.	564 565 566 567
19.	Snijders R, Fukinsia A, Claeys Y, Hasker E, Mpanya A, Miaka E, et al. Costs and outcomes of integrated human African trypanosomiasis surveillance system using rapid diagnostic tests, Democratic Republic of the Congo. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2021;27(8):2144.	568 569 570 571
20.	Abomo P, Miaka EM, Crossman SJ, Hope A. Demonstrating the sustainability of capacity strengthening amidst COVID-19. International Health. 2021;13:480–481.	572 573
21.	Mahamat MH, Peka M, Rayaisse Jb, Rock KS, Toko MA, Darnas J, et al. Adding tsetse control to medical activities contributes to decreasing transmission of sleeping sickness in the Mandoul focus (Chad). PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2017;11:e0005792.	574 575 576 577
22.	Courtin F, Camara M, Rayaisse JB, Kagbadouno M, Dama E, Camara O, et al. Reducing human-tsetse contact significantly enhances the efficacy of sleeping sickness active screening campaigns: a promising result in the context of elimination. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2015;9:e0003727.	578 579 580 581
23.	Tirados I, Esterhuizen J, Kovacic V, Mangwiro TNC, Vale GA, Hastings I, et al. Tsetse control and gambian sleeping sickness; implications for control strategy. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2015;9:1–22.	582 583 584
24.	Huang CI, Crump RE, Brown PE, Spencer SE, Miaka EM, Shampa C, et al. Identifying regions for enhanced control of gambiense sleeping sickness in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Nature communications. 2022;13(1):1–11.	585 586 587
25.	Franco JR, Cecchi G, Priotto G, Paone M, Diarra A, Grout L, et al. Monitoring the elimination of human African trypanosomiasis: Update to 2016. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2018;12:e0006890.	588 589 590
26.	Simarro PP, Cecchi G, Franco JR, Paone M, Diarra A, Ruiz-Postigo JA, et al. Estimating and Mapping the Population at Risk of Sleeping Sickness. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2012;6:1–12.	591 592 593

27.	Simarro PP, Cecchi G, Jannin JG. The Atlas of human African trypanosomiasis: a contribution to global mapping of neglected tropical diseases. International Journal of Health Geographics. 2010;9:57.	594 595 596
28.	Rock KS, Torr SJ, Lumbala C, Keeling MJ. Quantitative evaluation of the strategy to eliminate human African trypanosomiasis in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Parasites & Vectors. 2015;8:532.	597 598 599
29.	Rock KS, Torr SJ, Lumbala C, Keeling MJ. Predicting the impact of intervention strategies for sleeping sickness in two high-endemicity health zones of the Democratic Republic of Congo. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2017;11:e0005162.	600 601 602 603
30.	Rock KS, Pandey A, Ndeffo-Mbah ML, Atkins KE, Lumbala C, Galvani A, et al. Data-driven models to predict the elimination of sleeping sickness in former Equateur province of DRC. Epidemics. 2017;18:101–112.	604 605 606
31.	Rock KS, Huang CI, Crump RE, Bessell PR, Brown PE, Tirados I, et al. Update of transmission modelling and projections of <i>gambiense</i> human African trypanosomiasis in the Mandoul focus, Chad. Infectious Diseases of Poverty. 2022;11:11.	607 608 609 610
32.	Büscher P, Bart JM, Boelaert M, Bucheton B, Cecchi G, Chitnis N, et al. Do cryptic reservoirs threaten <i>gambiense</i> -sleeping sickness elimination? Trends in Parasitology. 2018;34:197–207.	611 612 613
33.	Aliee M, Keeling MJ, Rock KS. Modelling to explore the potential impact of asymptomatic human infections on transmission and dynamics of African sleeping sickness. PLoS computational biology. 2021;17(9):e1009367.	614 615 616
34.	Das AM, Chitnis N, Burri C, Paris DH, Patel S, Spencer SE, et al. Modelling the impact of fexinidazole use on human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) transmission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2021;15(11):e0009992.	617 618 619 620
35.	Kande Betu Ku Mesu V, Mutombo Kalonji W, Bardonneau C, Valverde Mordt O, Ngolo Tete D, Blesson S, et al. Oral fexinidazole for stage 1 or early stage 2 African <i>Trypanosoma brucei gambiense</i> trypanosomiasis: a prospective, multicentre, open-label, cohort study. The Lancet Global Health. 2021;9:e999–e1008.	621 622 623 624 625
36.	Behrend MR, Basáñez MG, Hamley JI, Porco TC, Stolk WA, Walker M, et al. Modelling for policy: the five principles of the Neglected Tropical Diseases Modelling Consortium. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2020;14(4):e0008033.	626 627 628