

1 **Polygenic contributions to suicidal thoughts and behaviors in a sample ascertained for**
2 **alcohol use disorders**
3

4 Sarah MC Colbert¹, Niamh Mullins^{2,3,4}, Grace Chan⁵, Jacquelyn L Meyers^{6,7}, Jessica Schulman³,
5 Samuel Kuperman⁸, Dongbing Lai⁹, John Nurnberger^{10,9}, Martin H Plawecki¹⁰, Chella
6 Kamarajan^{6,7}, Andrey P Anokhin¹, Kathleen K Bucholz¹, Victor Hesselbrock⁵, Howard J
7 Edenberg^{9,11}, John Kramer⁸, Danielle M Dick¹², Bernice Porjesz^{6,7}, Arpana Agrawal¹, Emma C
8 Johnson¹
9

10 ¹Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

11 ²Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

12 ³Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New
13 York, New York, USA

14 ⁴Mount Sinai Clinical Intelligence Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York,
15 New York, USA

16 ⁵Department of Psychiatry, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT, USA

17 ⁶Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences
18 University, Brooklyn, NY, USA

19 ⁷Henri Begleiter Neurodynamics Lab, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, Brooklyn,
20 NY, USA

21 ⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA, USA

22 ⁹Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine,
23 Indianapolis, IN, USA

24 ¹⁰Department of Psychiatry and Stark Neurosciences Research Institute, Indiana University
25 School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA

26 ¹¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine,
27 Indianapolis, IN, USA

28 ¹²Department of Psychiatry, Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick,
29 NJ, USA
30

31 **Short Title:** *Polygenic contributions to suicidal thoughts and behaviors*

32
33 **Corresponding Author:**

34 Sarah Colbert
35 Department of Psychiatry
36 Washington University School of Medicine
37 St. Louis, MO, 63110, USA
38 sarah.colbert@wustl.edu
39

40 **Number of Tables:** 2

41 **Number of Figures:** 1

42 **Word count:** 5,215 (including abstract)

43 **Keywords:** polygenic risk scores, suicide, self-harm, suicidal ideation, depression
44

45 **ABSTRACT**

46 Suicidal thoughts and behaviors have partially distinct genetic etiologies. We used PRS-CS to
47 create polygenic risk scores (PRS) from GWAS of non-suicidal self-injury, broad sense self-
48 harm ideation, non-fatal suicide attempt, death by suicide, and depression. Using mixed-effect
49 models, we estimated whether these PRS were associated with a range of suicidal thoughts
50 and behaviors in the Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Alcoholism (N = 7,526). All PRS
51 were significantly associated with suicidal ideation and suicide attempt (betas=0.08-0.44,
52 FDR<0.023). All PRS except non-suicidal self-injury PRS were associated with active suicidal
53 ideation and severity of suicidality (betas=0.04-0.22, FDR<0.034). Several associations
54 remained significant in models where all significant PRS were included as simultaneous
55 predictors, and when all PRS predicted suicide attempt, the PRS together explained 6.2% of the
56 variance in suicide attempt. Significant associations were also observed between some PRS
57 and persistent suicidal ideation, non-suicidal self-injury, attempt severity and desire to die. Our
58 findings suggest that PRS for depression does not explain the entirety of the variance in suicidal
59 thoughts and behaviors, with PRS specifically for suicidal thoughts and behaviors making
60 additional and sometimes unique contributions.

61 INTRODUCTION

62
63 While several risk factors for suicidality are known [1–5], little research has identified which
64 factors may be particularly important to the progression from suicidal ideation to suicide attempt
65 to death by suicide [6–8]. Currently, the best predictors of suicide death are the preceding
66 stages. For example, high level of intent (measured using Beck’s Suicidal Intention Scale [9]) at
67 previous attempt and having made a medically serious previous attempt were found to be
68 strong predictors of suicide death [10,11]. However, longitudinal studies following individuals
69 with a prior non-fatal suicide attempt found that a modest proportion (1.2%-6.7%) die by suicide
70 [12–14]. In contrast to death by suicide, suicide attempt is well predicted by its risk factors: 29%
71 of those reporting suicidal ideation and 56% of those reporting ideation and a plan, also endorse
72 suicide attempts [15].

73
74 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of broad sense self-harm ideation (i.e., thoughts of
75 self-harm regardless of suicidal intent) [16,17], self-harm [16,17], suicide attempt [18] and death
76 by suicide [19] have revealed significant SNP-heritability (the proportion of phenotypic variance
77 that is explained by all SNPs included in a GWAS) estimates ($h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.068-0.25$). Non-suicidal
78 self-injury and suicidal thoughts and behaviors are transdiagnostic, co-occurring with psychiatric
79 disorders ranging from bipolar disorder [20] to eating disorders [21], but are most frequently
80 studied in the context of depression. Polygenic risk scores (PRS; an estimate of one’s genetic
81 liability to a trait of interest) for major depressive disorder, depressive symptoms and/or broad
82 depression are associated with self-reported suicidal thoughts and behaviors ranging from self-
83 harm (in general) to suicidal ideation, suicide attempt and death by suicide [19,22–27].
84 However, major depressive disorder [28] is only partially genetically correlated with suicidal
85 ideation ($r_g=0.46$) [17] and suicide attempt ($r_g=0.78$) [18] and depression [29] is also not
86 perfectly genetically correlated with death by suicide ($r_g=0.42$) [30]. Some studies have found
87 significant associations between observed suicidal thoughts and behaviors and PRS of self-
88 harm [16,23] and suicide attempt [18,24,31]. However, with the exception of a recent study from
89 Mullins *et al.* [18] which identified a significant association between suicide attempt and a PRS
90 constructed from a GWAS of suicide attempt conditioned on major depressive disorder [18],
91 little research has explored whether genetic liability to non-suicidal self-injury and suicidal
92 thoughts and behaviors that are independent from genetic liability to depression explain
93 variance in suicidal thoughts and behaviors. Thus, validating the transdiagnostic heritability of
94 various aspects of suicidal thoughts and behaviors requires that PRS constructed from GWAS
95 of non-suicidal self-injury and suicidal thoughts and behaviors be examined in other samples to
96 estimate whether this polygenic liability is an independent predictor of suicidal thoughts and
97 behaviors, beyond the genetics of depression. Such independent effects would further solidify
98 the need to consider the genetics of suicidal thoughts and behaviors as transdiagnostic markers
99 of liability to a broad range of psychopathology with suicidal thoughts and behaviors as
100 components.

101
102 Non-suicidal self-injury and suicidal thoughts and behaviors may represent a genetically
103 heterogeneous set of phenotypes. However, genetic research to date has struggled to
104 investigate this question due to the common overlap amongst these phenotypes. Twin and
105 family studies have been best able to answer these questions using distinct phenotypes; for
106 example, a recent study from Kendler *et al.* [32] found evidence to suggest that suicide attempt
107 and death by suicide are genetically distinct in ways beyond severity. Still, some relationships
108 between these phenotypes are less clear, with some twin studies having found that a large
109 portion of the variation in suicide attempt is explained by genetic factors shared with non-
110 suicidal self-injury [33] and that the two behaviors share a genetic correlation of 0.94 [34], while
111 a study from Russell *et al.* [35] was not able to identify any genetic correlation between non-

112 suicidal self-injury and suicide attempt, although in a considerably smaller sample. Ability to
113 explore these genetic relationships using GWAS data has been much more limited by omnibus
114 phenotype definitions. For example, GWAS of suicide attempt frequently include cases who
115 have died by suicide [25], and GWAS of self-harm behavior often do not specify intent,
116 consequently combining non-suicidal self-injury and suicide attempt into one measure [16,17].
117 The inconsistent findings regarding genetic similarities and distinctions between suicidal
118 thoughts and behaviors necessitate further exploration using current, well-powered GWAS data.

119
120 Using GWAS of non-suicidal self-injury, broad sense self-harm ideation [36], non-fatal suicide
121 attempt [18], death by suicide [19] and depression [29,37], we examined whether polygenic
122 liability to these phenotypes was associated with 11 suicidal thoughts and behaviors in
123 individuals in the Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Alcoholism (COGA). As COGA was
124 primarily ascertained for alcohol use disorders (AUD), individuals in the sample may have
125 elevated risk for other mental health conditions including suicidal thoughts and behaviors, and
126 its independent assessment of these suicidal thoughts and behaviors apart from depression
127 supports the use of COGA to address these questions. We hypothesized that: (1) PRS for
128 suicidal thoughts and behaviors would explain additional, unique variance in suicidal thoughts
129 and behaviors, beyond that explained by depression PRS and (2) non-suicidal self-injury would
130 be genetically distinct from suicidal thoughts and behaviors.

131 132 **METHODS**

133 **DATA**

134 **Target Dataset**

135 COGA was designed to investigate the genetic underpinnings of AUD and has collected data
136 from extended families with an extensive AUD history as well as comparison families from the
137 community [38–40]. Using the Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism
138 (SSAGA) interview [41,42], COGA collected data from all participants, on a wide variety of
139 mental health phenotypes, including depression and, independently, suicidal thoughts and
140 behaviors. Many questions about suicidal thoughts and behaviors were nested, meaning that
141 they were only asked as follow-up questions to individuals endorsing a pre-requisite variable.
142 For example, data for active suicidal ideation was only collected for individuals who endorsed
143 any suicidal ideation. The nine mental health phenotypes used in the current study are:

- 144 • Non-suicidal self-injury: Individuals were asked, “(Other than when you tried to take
145 your own life,) did you ever hurt yourself on purpose, for example, by cutting or burning
146 yourself?”
- 147 • Suicidal ideation: Individuals were asked, “Have you ever thought about killing
148 yourself?”
 - 149 ○ Active suicidal ideation: Individuals endorsing suicidal ideation were
150 subsequently asked “Did you have a plan? (Did you actually consider a way to
151 take your life?)”
 - 152 ○ Persistent suicidal ideation: Individuals endorsing suicidal ideation were
153 subsequently asked “Did those thoughts persist for at least 7 days in a row?”
- 154 • Suicide attempt: Individuals were asked “Have you ever tried to kill yourself?”
 - 155 ○ More than one attempt: Individuals who endorsed a suicide attempt were asked
156 “How many times (did you try to kill yourself)?”. Affected individuals answered
157 more than once.
 - 158 ○ Medical treatment after attempt: Individuals who endorsed a suicide attempt
159 were asked “Did you require medical treatment after you tried to kill yourself?”
 - 160 ○ Substance use prior to attempt: Individuals who endorsed a suicide attempt
161 were asked “Did you try to kill yourself after you had been drinking?” and “Did

162 you try to kill yourself after using drugs?”. Affected individuals answered yes to
163 either one or both of these questions.
164 ○ Desire to die: Individuals who endorsed a suicide attempt were asked “Did you
165 really want to die?”. Affected individuals answered “maybe” or “yes” to this
166 question. It may seem inconsistent that an individual would endorse having
167 attempted suicide and answer no to this question, however suicidal desire and
168 suicidal intent may actually be separate facets of suicidality [43], with only the
169 latter being required to classify self-injurious behavior as an attempt.
170 Considering this, individuals answering this question retrospectively may have
171 responded no if they experienced regret during their attempt or upon reflection
172 realized they may not have truly wanted to die despite intending to die.
173

174 In addition to the above SSAGA defined phenotypes, we constructed a binary variable (“attempt
175 severity”) which compared individuals with a suicide attempt and at least one co-occurring
176 behavior considered to make it more severe (more than one attempt, requiring medical
177 treatment after an attempt, substance use prior to an attempt, desire to die) to individuals
178 endorsing only a single suicide attempt with no co-occurring conditions. We also created an
179 ordinal variable measuring “severity of suicidality”. To do so, we first created a measure in which
180 individuals were given a score of 0 to 4, with increasing scores reflecting greater severity, i.e.,
181 no suicidal thoughts and behaviors= 0, non-suicidal self-injury= 1, suicidal ideation= 2,
182 persistent or active suicidal ideation= 3, and suicide attempt= 4. For individuals with multiple
183 assessments, an assignment was made using the highest endorsed category. A description of
184 all binary phenotypes and their prevalence in the sample can be found in **Table 1**.
185

186 As discovery GWAS (see below) were only available in samples of European ancestry, and
187 accuracy of PRS prediction is low when genetic ancestries of discovery and target datasets
188 differ, our analyses were limited to individuals of European genetic ancestry. Genetic data and
189 analysis covariates were available for 7,646 individuals of European ancestry. The data
190 processing has been described in more detail previously [44]. Because the COGA sample was
191 genotyped using multiple arrays, QC focused on a common set of 47,000 high quality variants
192 that were genotyped in all arrays, and duplicate individuals were removed. Briefly, variants were
193 imputed separately for each array using the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel and were
194 filtered to only include SNPs with INFO scores > 0.8, minor allele frequency > 0.01 and that
195 passed Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE $p < 10^{-6}$).
196

197 **Discovery Datasets**

198 We used summary statistics from existing GWAS of broad sense self-harm ideation, non-fatal
199 suicide attempt, death by suicide and depression and constructed our own GWAS of non-
200 suicidal self-injury to calculate PRS for individuals in the COGA sample.

- 201 • Broad sense self-harm ideation: GWAS summary statistics (N= 135,819) were derived
202 from European individuals in the UK Biobank who answered either “No”, “Yes, *once*”, or
203 “Yes, *more than once*” to “*Have you contemplated harming yourself (for example by*
204 *cutting, biting, hitting yourself or taking an overdose)?*” (Data-Field 20485, and was
205 analyzed as an ordinal variable, i.e., “No”= 0, “Yes, *once*”= 1 and “Yes, *more than*
206 *once*”= 2). We refer to this form of thinking as broad sense self-harm ideation as suicidal
207 intent could not be determined. The summary statistics were downloaded from the Pan-
208 UKB GWAS results (<https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org>).
209 • Non-fatal suicide attempt: Suicide attempt summary statistics came from a meta-
210 analysis of GWAS of suicide attempt by the International Suicide Genetics Consortium
211 (ISGC) using a combination of clinical interviews, self-report questionnaires, hospital
212 records and ICD-10 codes [18]. Suicide attempt cases were categorized as individuals

213 who made a non-fatal suicide attempt (an act of self-harm performed with intent to die)
214 or died by suicide (~20% of cases). As there is prior evidence to suggest partly distinct
215 genetic etiologies of suicide attempt and death by suicide [45], we obtained summary
216 statistics from a GWAS of non-fatal suicide attempt only (i.e., excluding individuals who
217 had died by suicide) from the ISGC (N= 507,744, N case= 23,767) in order to keep these
218 phenotypes independent.

- 219 • Death by suicide: GWAS summary statistics (N= 18,223, N case= 3,413) were taken
220 from data from the Utah Office of the Medical Examiner [19].
- 221 • Non-suicidal self-injury: Previous GWAS have not specifically looked at non-suicidal self-
222 injury, disentangling it from suicide attempt, so we conducted our own GWAS for non-
223 suicidal self-injury in the UK Biobank sample using SAIGE [46]. A direct measure of non-
224 suicidal self-injury is not provided by the UK Biobank; however, we derived this
225 phenotype using information from the UK Biobank's on-line "Thoughts and Feelings"
226 mental health questionnaire. The questionnaire asked individuals "*Have you deliberately*
227 *harmed yourself, whether or not you meant to end your life?*" (Data-Field 20480, N =
228 137,969), and those who answered "Yes" (N = 5,924) were then asked in a follow-up
229 question, "*Have you harmed yourself with the intention to end your life?*" (Data-Field
230 20483). Individuals who answered yes to both questions (N = 3,056), indicating self-
231 harm with suicidal intent, were excluded from our analyses. After quality control (see
232 below), we were left with a remaining sample size of 133,620 individuals (N cases =
233 2,845). SAIGE performs a GWAS in two steps: first, it uses individual level genotype
234 data to fit the null logistic model, then uses imputed dosage data to perform the
235 association tests [46]. Step 1 of SAIGE used genotype array data (i.e., non-imputed)
236 which was filtered to exclude variants with > 5% missingness, Hardy-Weinberg
237 equilibrium p-values below 1e-10 and minor allele frequency < 0.05. Following QC,
238 genotype array data underwent LD pruning in PLINK (using the command --indep-
239 pairwise 50 5 0.2). Step 2 of SAIGE was performed using imputed dosages downloaded
240 from the UK Biobank filtered for INFO score > 0.9, minor allele frequency > 0.01 and
241 minor allele count > 20. We limited our UK Biobank sample to individuals of European
242 genetic ancestry using the ancestry assignments published by the Pan-UKB team [36].
243 Following the procedure from Zhou et al. (2018), we included sex, age and the first 10
244 genetic principal components (provided by the Pan-UKB team) as covariates in the
245 GWAS. We implemented the leave-one-chromosome-out option in SAIGE. The GWAS
246 produced no genome-wide significant ($p < 5e-8$) results; the strongest association ($p =$
247 $9.21e-7$) was at lead SNP rs72778956 on chromosome 10 (Figure S1, Table S1).
- 248 • Depression: We used METAL [47] to meta-analyze two GWAS of depression (N=
249 750,414, N case= 254,566): one from the Million Veteran Program sample [37] and the
250 other from a previous meta-analysis of the UK Biobank and Psychiatric Genomics
251 Consortium [29].

252 ANALYSES

253 Polygenic risk scores

254 PRS in COGA individuals were calculated with PRS-CS [48], using effect sizes from the
255 previously mentioned GWAS summary statistics. For depression and non-fatal suicide attempt,
256 we allowed the software to learn the global shrinkage parameter from the data. However, when
257 calculating PRS using the non-suicidal self-injury, broad sense self-harm ideation and death by
258 suicide GWAS, for which sample sizes were more limited, we manually set the global shrinkage
259 parameter $\phi=1e-2$ (following guidance from the PRS-CS development team and others
260 [48,49]). We used PLINK's --score command [50] to produce individual level PRS in the COGA
261 sample. PRS were scaled to a standard normal distribution.
262
263

264 **Association Models**

265 We used logistic mixed-effect regression models to formally test for associations between the
266 non-suicidal self-injury, broad sense self-harm ideation, non-fatal suicide attempt, death by
267 suicide and depression PRS and suicidal thoughts and behaviors in COGA. As an exception,
268 we used a linear mixed-effect regression model to test for associations between PRS and the
269 ordinal severity of suicidality variable we constructed. All models included sex, age at last
270 interview, genotyping array, and the first 10 genetic principal components as fixed covariates
271 and family ID as a random intercept. Due to the ascertainment strategy for COGA families, we
272 also tested whether significant associations between the PRS and suicidal thoughts and
273 behaviors remained after additionally including AUD as a covariate. We used the MuMIn [51]
274 package in R to calculate pseudo- R^2 (percent variance explained) values.
275

276 For suicidal thoughts and behaviors that were associated with multiple PRS, we constructed
277 follow-up “multi-PRS” models which accounted for those PRS as predictors simultaneously. For
278 all models, we considered false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 as significant. FDR was calculated
279 separately in each set of models, i.e., across the main single PRS models, then across the
280 models covarying for AUD, then across the multi-PRS models.
281

282 **Average PRS Visualization**

283 To visualize the mean PRS values for affected and unaffected individuals, we fit a mixed effects
284 model to get PRS residual values by regressing the following covariates on the PRS: sex, age
285 at last interview, genotyping array, the first 10 genetic principal components, and family ID (as a
286 random effect). For each suicidal thought or behavior, we split individuals into “affected” and
287 “unaffected” groups and then calculated the average PRS residuals and standard errors in each
288 of the groups for visualization purposes (shown in Fig. 1). As this figure compares affected and
289 unaffected groups, only original binary phenotypes from COGA are included in the figure (i.e.,
290 derived phenotypes including attempt severity and severity of suicidality are excluded).
291

292 **Genetic correlations**

293 We calculated genome-wide genetic correlations (r_g) among the five GWAS using LD score
294 regression [52].
295

296 **RESULTS**

297 To maximize the total sample size in each model, our analytic sample included individuals who
298 had complete genetic data, information for all covariates and data for at least one suicidal
299 thought or behavior (e.g., individuals with suicide attempt data but not non-suicidal self-injury
300 data could still be included in the models with suicide attempt as an outcome). A total of 6,434
301 individuals had data on non-suicidal self-injury (prevalence= 0.09; see Table 1). 7,526
302 individuals had data on suicidal ideation (prevalence= 0.43) and suicide attempt (prevalence=
303 0.11). Of those self-reporting suicidal ideation, 41% indicated active suicidal ideation and 31%
304 indicated persistent ideation. In the group of individuals self-reporting suicide attempt, 40% had
305 endorsed more than one attempt. Those who self-reported a suicide attempt were asked follow-
306 up questions about their attempt, or if they had endorsed multiple attempts were asked follow-
307 up questions in regards to their most serious attempt. Of these individuals who reported at least
308 one suicide attempt, 44% required medical treatment after their attempt, 45% had used a
309 substance prior to their attempt and 72% had indicated desire to die.
310

311 PRS were correlated with each other to varying degrees (see Table S2), with the highest
312 correlation between non-fatal suicide attempt and depression ($r = 0.29$) and the lowest
313 correlation between non-suicidal self-injury and death by suicide ($r = 0.02$). Overall, we found
314 that average PRS residual values were higher in individuals endorsing suicidal thoughts or

315 behaviors compared to their counterparts who did not endorse suicidal thoughts or behaviors
316 (shown in Fig. 1), although when formally testing for associations between PRS and observed
317 suicidal thoughts and behaviors using logistic mixed-effect regression models, not all
318 associations were significant after FDR corrections (shown in Table 2).

319
320 We found that all PRS were significantly associated with suicidal ideation (beta= 0.08-0.31, se=
321 0.026-0.028, FDR < 0.004, $R^2 = 0.002-0.025$) (shown in Table 2). Given that all PRS were
322 significantly associated with suicidal ideation in separate models, we constructed a multi-PRS
323 model in which all five PRS simultaneously predicted suicidal ideation. In the multi-PRS model,
324 the depression, non-fatal suicide attempt and broad sense self-harm ideation PRS remained
325 significantly associated with suicidal ideation (FDR < $1.10e-3$), while the death by suicide PRS
326 and non-suicidal self-injury PRS were no longer significantly associated with suicidal ideation
327 (shown in Table S3).

328
329 Similarly, we observed that all PRS were significantly associated with suicide attempt (beta=
330 0.10-0.44, se= 0.040-0.044, FDR < 0.023, $R^2 = 0.003-0.047$), but the strongest association was
331 with non-fatal suicide attempt PRS and the weakest association was with the non-suicidal self-
332 injury PRS. In the follow-up multi-PRS model which included all PRS as simultaneous predictors
333 ($R^2 = 0.062$), associations only remained significant between suicide attempt and the
334 depression and non-fatal suicide attempt PRS.

335
336 Active suicidal ideation was significantly associated with all PRS (beta= 0.14-0.22, se= 0.039-
337 0.043, FDR < 0.003, $R^2 = 0.005-0.011$) except for the non-suicidal self-injury PRS, and
338 associations with all PRS remained significant, although they were slightly weaker, in the multi-
339 PRS model (beta= 0.11-0.16, se= 0.041-0.045, FDR < 0.024, $R^2 = 0.023$). We also observed
340 significant, although comparatively weak, associations between severity of suicidality and all
341 PRS (beta= 0.04-0.10, se= 0.015-0.016, FDR < 0.034, $R^2 = 0.002-0.013$) except for the non-
342 suicidal self-injury PRS. In the multi-PRS model in which all PRS, except for the non-suicidal
343 self-injury PRS, simultaneously predicted severity of suicidality ($R^2 = 0.021$), only the depression
344 and non-fatal suicide attempt PRS remained significant predictors (shown in Table S3).

345
346 Persistent suicidal ideation was significantly associated with the depression PRS (beta= 0.12,
347 se= 0.042, FDR= 0.016, $R^2 = 0.003$) and non-fatal suicide attempt PRS (beta= 0.18, se= 0.044,
348 FDR= $2.2e-4$, $R^2 = 0.007$). However, in the multi-PRS model, the association between persistent
349 suicidal ideation and the depression PRS was no longer significant, while the association with
350 the non-fatal suicide attempt PRS remained significant (beta= 0.16, se= 0.05, FDR= 0.002).
351 Desire to die was only significantly associated with non-fatal suicide attempt PRS (beta= 0.26,
352 se= 0.098, FDR= 0.019, $R^2 = 0.009$); similarly, attempt severity was only associated with the
353 non-fatal suicide attempt PRS (beta= 0.33, se= 0.15, FDR= 0.049, $R^2 = 0.017$).

354
355 Non-suicidal self-injury was significantly associated with all PRS (beta= 0.16-0.24, se= 0.049-
356 0.053, FDR < 0.0035, $R^2 = 0.005-0.011$) except for the death by suicide PRS. Notably, in the
357 multi-PRS model in which non-suicidal self-injury was predicted by all PRS except for the death
358 by suicide PRS, associations with the PRS remained significant with the exception of non-
359 suicidal self-injury's association with the non-suicidal self-injury PRS. More than one attempt,
360 requiring medical treatment after an attempt and substance use prior to an attempt were not
361 significantly associated with any of the PRS (shown in Table 2).

362
363 Additionally, we tested whether PRS remained significantly associated with suicidal thoughts
364 and behaviors after controlling for AUD by adding it as an additional covariate in the models. We

365 found that all previously significant associations (shown in Table 2) remained significantly
366 associated (FDR < 0.05) in the models covarying for AUD (shown in Table S4).

367
368 Finally, we calculated significant, positive genetic correlations amongst all five GWAS ($r_g = 0.54-$
369 0.84 , all $p < 6e-4$; shown in Table S5). Death by suicide and suicide attempt were most strongly
370 genetically correlated ($r_g = 0.84$, $se = 0.08$, $p = 7.1e-24$), while the weakest genetic correlation
371 was observed between death by suicide and self-harm ideation ($r_g = 0.53$, $se = 0.11$, $p = 9.2e-$
372 7). In general, non-suicidal self-injury had the weakest genetic correlations with the other traits
373 ($r_g = 0.54-0.69$, $se = 0.09-0.16$, all $p < 6e-4$).

374 375 376 **DISCUSSION**

377 We explored the associations of polygenic liability for non-suicidal self-injury, broad sense self-
378 harm ideation, non-fatal suicide attempt, death by suicide, and depression with a variety of
379 suicidal thoughts and behaviors observed in the COGA sample, a family-based sample that was
380 enriched for AUD diagnoses. All PRS were significantly associated with suicidal ideation and
381 suicide attempt, and active suicidal ideation and severity of suicidality were associated with all
382 PRS except for the non-suicidal self-injury PRS. In models where depression and suicidal
383 thoughts and behaviors were included as simultaneous predictors, many associations remained
384 significant, supporting our hypothesis that while genetic liability to suicidal thoughts and
385 behaviors and depression are related, they retain some independent genetic contributions to
386 suicidal thoughts and behaviors. On the other hand, non-suicidal self-injury PRS did not remain
387 significantly associated with any self-harm behaviors in COGA after accounting for the
388 depression and suicidal thoughts and behaviors PRS, suggesting that beyond its shared
389 genetics with the other PRS, non-suicidal self-injury PRS is not significantly associated with
390 suicidal thoughts and behaviors in this sample. Additionally, in models testing the association
391 between single PRS and active suicidal ideation and severity of suicidality, non-suicidal self-
392 injury PRS was the only PRS that was not significantly associated, further suggesting that non-
393 suicidal self-injury is somewhat genetically distinct from suicidal thoughts and behaviors. A
394 follow-up exploratory analysis in which we calculated genetic correlations between the non-
395 suicidal self-injury and suicidal thoughts and behaviors GWAS provided partial support for a
396 genetic distinction, as the genetic correlations between non-suicidal self-injury and the suicidal
397 thoughts and behaviors GWAS ($r_g = 0.54-0.69$) were, for the most part, weaker than those
398 observed amongst the suicidal thoughts and behaviors themselves ($r_g = 0.53-0.84$) (shown in
399 Table S5).

400
401 While genetic liability to broad sense self-harm ideation, non-fatal suicide attempt, death by
402 suicide and depression were all associated with greater likelihood of several suicidal thoughts
403 and behaviors, the finding that these associations even remain significant in some multi-PRS
404 models indicates that genetic liabilities to depression, broad sense self-harm ideation, non-fatal
405 suicide attempt and death by suicide independently contribute to variance in observed suicidal
406 thoughts and behaviors in COGA. While previous work has also shown that predisposition to
407 suicide attempt has a genetic component independent from depression [18,25], the current
408 study finds that genetic liability to other suicidal thoughts and behaviors, specifically broad
409 sense self-harm ideation and death by suicide, also make contributions that are independent
410 from depression (i.e., both PRS independently contribute to active suicidal ideation, and broad
411 sense self-harm ideation PRS independently contributes to suicidal ideation). These results add
412 to evidence from epidemiological studies that have found a family history of suicidal thoughts
413 and behaviors significantly increases risk of suicidal thoughts and behaviors independently of
414 family history for psychiatric illness (e.g., depression) [53]. Furthermore, we find that PRS for
415 suicidal thoughts and behaviors, particularly non-fatal suicide attempt PRS, may be better

416 predictors of some suicidal thoughts and behaviors than PRS for depression. For example, non-
417 fatal suicide attempt PRS was significantly associated with desire to die and attempt severity
418 while depression PRS was not, non-fatal suicide attempt PRS showed a stronger association
419 with active suicidal ideation than depression PRS in univariate models, and depression PRS
420 was no longer significantly associated with persistent suicidal ideation after accounting for non-
421 fatal suicide attempt PRS in a multi-PRS model. Thus, studies predicting suicidal thoughts and
422 behaviors should not rely on depression PRS alone.

423
424 The death by suicide PRS was predictive of suicidal ideation, active suicidal ideation, suicide
425 attempt and severity of suicidality in univariable models, although only the association with
426 active suicidal ideation remained significant in the multi-PRS models. The non-suicidal self-
427 injury PRS was only predictive of non-suicidal self-injury, suicidal ideation and suicide attempt,
428 although none of these associations remained significant after accounting for the other
429 associated PRS. We consider that the relatively smaller sample sizes of the death by suicide
430 GWAS (N= 18,223, N cases = 3,413) and non-suicidal self-injury GWAS (N= 133,620, N cases=
431 2,845) may be contributing to the differences observed in the non-suicidal self-injury and death
432 by suicide PRS, considering that sample size of the discovery GWAS is an important factor in
433 determining accuracy and predictive power of PRS [49,54]. Based on the SNP-heritability,
434 prevalence and sample sizes of these traits, we estimate our power to detect an association
435 between the non-suicidal self-injury PRS and non-suicidal self-injury in COGA to be only 5.6%,
436 and between the death by suicide PRS and suicide attempt in COGA to be between 40.51%
437 and 48.99%. Additional factors beyond the smaller sample size of the GWAS may have
438 influenced our lack of findings for the death by suicide PRS. For instance, individuals who are at
439 the highest levels of genetic risk for death by suicide may not be adequately represented in a
440 longitudinal study such as COGA. Furthermore, the death by suicide GWAS included individuals
441 who died by varied violent and nonviolent means [19], and as such may reflect a highly
442 heterogeneous set of genetic liabilities [60].

443
444 We did not observe associations between PRS and several suicidal thoughts and behaviors,
445 specifically no PRS were significantly associated with endorsing substance use prior to attempt,
446 requiring medical treatment after attempt, or reporting more than one attempt. Additionally,
447 several suicidal thoughts and behaviors (e.g., desire to die, persistent suicidal ideation) were
448 only significantly associated with one or two PRS. While it is possible that these behaviors may
449 not have as strong of a genetic component, we also consider that the nestedness of these
450 phenotypes may be contributing to these observations; these suicidal thoughts and behaviors
451 specifically represent co-occurring behaviors, such that they were only asked of individuals who
452 had endorsed suicidal ideation or suicide attempt and as such, any individual not endorsing the
453 prerequisite variable was not included in the follow-up variables (e.g., for requiring medical
454 treatment after an attempt, the unaffected group only included individuals who had made a
455 suicide attempt). As a result, the “control” groups for these variables represent an already high-
456 risk group and we consider that while genetic liability contributes to suicidal thoughts and
457 behaviors broadly, related features of individual suicidal thoughts and behaviors may not be
458 influenced by the PRS studied by us. It is also plausible that some features of individual suicide
459 attempts may be situational; for example, requiring medical treatment after an attempt may
460 reflect lethality and mode of attempt, which may be environmental in nature [61–63].
461 Furthermore, individuals with multiple suicide attempts only answered follow-up questions in
462 reference to their self-defined most serious attempt. For example, an individual may have made
463 a previous attempt after using substances, but if they had a made a different attempt they
464 believed to be more serious in which they didn’t use a substance before the attempt, then they
465 answered no to substance use prior to attempt. As a result, some of the behaviors co-occurring

466 with suicide attempt that we studied may not accurately represent an individuals' history of
467 these behaviors over their lifetime.

468
469 In addition to small discovery GWAS sample sizes for some traits and nestedness of some
470 variables in COGA, we acknowledge various other limitations to this study. First, COGA was
471 ascertained for families with an extensive history of AUD, and as such is not representative of
472 the broader population. Since individuals with substance use disorders are at higher risk for
473 suicidal thoughts and behaviors [64,65], our sample may be enriched for these phenotypes.
474 Second, concerns of accuracy and limited availability relating to data on family history of suicidal
475 thoughts and behaviors prevented us from constructing models in which PRS and family history
476 simultaneously predicted suicidal thoughts or behaviors, a method shown to improve risk
477 prediction for other disorders [66,67]. Third, as is the case for many PRS, these PRS may be
478 strongly associated with suicidal thoughts and behaviors at the population scale, but are not
479 useful for individual level prediction. This is not to say PRS for suicidal thoughts and behaviors
480 will never be useful for classification purposes, but currently they are not sufficiently powered,
481 nor have important ethical concerns been addressed [68] for prediction at the individual or
482 clinical level. Finally, because of the limited non-European ancestry GWAS available for suicidal
483 thoughts and behavior phenotypes, we were only able to conduct these analyses in a subset of
484 individuals in COGA with European ancestry, despite the diversity of the full COGA sample.

485
486 In conclusion, we find evidence that PRS computed from GWAS of non-suicidal self-injury,
487 broad sense self-harm ideation, non-fatal suicide attempt, death by suicide and depression are
488 significantly associated with increased risk of suicidal thoughts and behaviors in individuals in
489 COGA. Results further corroborate that suicidal thoughts and behaviors are heterogeneous and
490 partially genetically distinct from depression.

491 492 **Acknowledgements**

493 The Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Alcoholism (COGA), Principal Investigators B.
494 Porjesz, V. Hesselbrock, T. Foroud; Scientific Director, A. Agrawal; Translational Director, D.
495 Dick, includes eleven different centers: University of Connecticut (V. Hesselbrock); Indiana
496 University (H.J. Edenberg, T. Foroud, Y. Liu, M.H. Plawecki); University of Iowa Carver College
497 of Medicine (S. Kuperman, J. Kramer); SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University (B.
498 Porjesz, J. Meyers, C. Kamarajan, A. Pandey); Washington University in St. Louis (L. Bierut, J.
499 Rice, K. Bucholz, A. Agrawal); University of California at San Diego (M. Schuckit); Rutgers
500 University (J. Tischfield, R. Hart, J. Salvatore); The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia,
501 University of Pennsylvania (L. Almasy); Virginia Commonwealth University (D. Dick); Icahn
502 School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (A. Goate, P. Slesinger); and Howard University (D. Scott).
503 Other COGA collaborators include: L. Bauer (University of Connecticut); J. Nurnberger Jr., L.
504 Wetherill, X. Xuei, D. Lai, S. O'Connor, (Indiana University); G. Chan (University of Iowa;
505 University of Connecticut); D.B. Chorlian, J. Zhang, P. Barr, S. Kinreich, G. Pandey (SUNY
506 Downstate); N. Mullins (Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai); A. Anokhin, S. Hartz, E.
507 Johnson, V. McCutcheon, S. Saccone (Washington University); J. Moore, Z. Pang, S. Kuo
508 (Rutgers University); A. Merikangas (The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and University of
509 Pennsylvania); F. Aliev (Virginia Commonwealth University); H. Chin and A. Parsian are the
510 NIAAA Staff Collaborators. We continue to be inspired by our memories of Henri Begleiter and
511 Theodore Reich, founding PI and Co-PI of COGA, and also owe a debt of gratitude to other past
512 organizers of COGA, including Ting- Kai Li, P. Michael Conneally, Raymond Crowe, and Wendy
513 Reich, for their critical contributions. This national collaborative study is supported by NIH Grant
514 U10AA008401 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) and the
515 National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA).

516

517 **Statement of Ethics**

518 This research was conducted at Washington University in St. Louis under approved IRB
519 protocol # 201906072. All participants in the COGA sample provided written informed consent.

520

521 **Conflict of Interest Statement**

522 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

523

524 **Funding Sources**

525 ECJ was supported by K01DA051759. NM was supported by a NARSAD Young Investigator
526 Award from the Brain & Behavior Research Foundation.

527

528 **Author Contributions**

529 ECJ and SMCC designed the project. SMCC performed analyses. ECJ supervised the study. All
530 authors contributed to interpretation of results. SMCC and ECJ wrote the manuscript and all
531 authors contributed to editing and revising the manuscript.

532

533 **Data Availability Statement**

534 All previously published summary statistics used in these analyses are publicly available. The
535 non-suicidal self-injury data were derived from the UK Biobank (<https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>)
536 under application number 19798. The non-suicidal self-injury GWAS summary statistics
537 generated in this study are publicly available (https://github.com/WashU-BG/nssi_gwas).

538

539 **References**

- 540 1. Bernal M, Haro JM, Bernert S, Brugha T, de Graaf R, Bruffaerts R, et al. Risk factors for
541 suicidality in Europe: Results from the ESEMED study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*.
542 2007;101(1–3).
- 543 2. Turecki G, Brent DA. Suicide and suicidal behaviour. Vol. 387, *The Lancet*. 2016.
- 544 3. Franklin JC, Ribeiro JD, Fox KR, Bentley KH, Kleiman EM, Huang X, et al. Risk factors
545 for suicidal thoughts and behaviors: A meta-analysis of 50 years of research.
546 *Psychological Bulletin*. 2017;143(2).
- 547 4. Gili M, Castellví P, Vives M, de la Torre-Luque A, Almenara J, Blasco MJ, et al. Mental
548 disorders as risk factors for suicidal behavior in young people: A meta-analysis and
549 systematic review of longitudinal studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2019 Feb
550 15;245:152–62.
- 551 5. Yoshimasu K, Kiyohara C, Miyashita K. Suicidal risk factors and completed suicide:
552 Meta-analyses based on psychological autopsy studies. *Environmental Health and*
553 *Preventive Medicine*. 2008 Sep 19;13(5):243–56.
- 554 6. Glenn CR, Nock MK. Improving the Short-Term Prediction of Suicidal Behavior.
555 *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2014 Sep 1;47(3):S176–80.
- 556 7. Kessler RC, Borges G, Walters EE. Prevalence of and Risk Factors for Lifetime Suicide
557 Attempts in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1999 Jul
558 1;56(7):617–26.
- 559 8. May AM, David Klonsky E. What Distinguishes Suicide Attempters From Suicide
560 Ideators? A Meta-Analysis of Potential Factors. *Clinical Psychology: Science and*
561 *Practice*. 2016;23(1):5–20.
- 562 9. Beck AT, Schuyler D, Herman I. Development of suicidal intent scales. In: *The prediction*
563 *of suicide*. Oxford, England: Charles Press Publishers; 1974. p. 249, xii, 249–xii.
- 564 10. Suominen K, Isometsä E, Ostamo A, Lönnqvist J. Level of suicidal intent predicts overall
565 mortality and suicide after attempted suicide: A 12-year follow-up study. *BMC*
566 *Psychiatry*. 2004;4.
- 567 11. Beautrais AL. Subsequent mortality in medically serious suicide attempts: A 5 year
568 follow-up. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 2003;37(5).
- 569 12. Beautrais AL. Further Suicidal Behavior Among Medically Serious Suicide Attempters.
570 *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*. 2004;34(1).
- 571 13. Hall DJ, O’Brien F, Stark C, Pelosi A, Smith H. Thirteen-year follow-up of deliberate
572 self-harm, using linked data. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1998 Mar 2;172(3):239–42.
- 573 14. Parra-Urbe I, Blasco-Fontecilla H, Garcia-Parés G, Martínez-Naval L, Valero-Coppin O,
574 Cebrià-Meca A, et al. Risk of re-attempts and suicide death after a suicide attempt: A
575 survival analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017;17(1).
- 576 15. Nock MK, Borges G, Bromet EJ, Alonso J, Angermeyer M, Beautrais A, et al. Cross-
577 national prevalence and risk factors for suicidal ideation, plans and attempts. *British*
578 *Journal of Psychiatry*. 2008;192(2):98–105.
- 579 16. Campos AI, Verweij KJH, Statham DJ, Madden PAF, Maciejewski DF, Davis KAS, et al.
580 Genetic aetiology of self-harm ideation and behaviour. *Scientific Reports*. 2020;10(1):1–
581 11.
- 582 17. Strawbridge RJ, Ward J, Ferguson A, Graham N, Shaw RJ, Cullen B, et al. Identification
583 of novel genome-wide associations for suicidality in UK Biobank, genetic correlation with
584 psychiatric disorders and polygenic association with completed suicide. *EBioMedicine*.
585 2019;41:517–25.

- 586 18. Mullins N, Kang J, Campos AI, Coleman JRI, Edwards AC, Galfalvy H, et al. Dissecting
587 the shared genetic architecture of suicide attempt, psychiatric disorders and known risk
588 factors. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2022;
- 589 19. Docherty AR, Shabalín AA, DiBlasi E, Monson E, Mullins N, Adkins DE, et al. Genome-
590 wide association study of suicide death and polygenic prediction of clinical antecedents.
591 *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2020;177(10):917–27.
- 592 20. Miller JN, Black DW. Bipolar Disorder and Suicide: a Review. *Current Psychiatry*
593 *Reports*. 2020 Feb 1;22(2):1–10.
- 594 21. Smith AR, Zuromski KL, Dodd DR. Eating disorders and suicidality: what we know, what
595 we don't know, and suggestions for future research. *Current Opinion in Psychology*. 2018
596 Aug 1;22:63–7.
- 597 22. Lee PH, Doyle AE, Li X, Silberstein M, Jung J-Y, Gollub RL, et al. Genetic Association
598 of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Major Depression With Suicidal Ideation
599 and Attempts in Children: The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study.
600 *Biological Psychiatry*. 2021;
- 601 23. Johnson EC, Aliev F, Meyers JL, Salvatore JE, Tillman R, Chang Y, et al. Associations
602 between Suicidal Thoughts and Behaviors and Genetic Liability for Cognitive
603 Performance, Depression, and Risk-Taking in a High-Risk Sample. *Complex Psychiatry*.
604 2021;7(1–2):34–44.
- 605 24. Russell AE, Hemani G, Jones HJ, Ford T, Gunnell D, Heron J, et al. An exploration of the
606 genetic epidemiology of non-suicidal self-harm and suicide attempt. *BMC Psychiatry*.
607 2021;21(1).
- 608 25. Mullins N, Bigdeli TB, Børglum AD, Coleman JRI, Demontis D, Mehta D, et al. GWAS
609 of suicide attempt in psychiatric disorders and association with major depression
610 polygenic risk scores. *American Journal of Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2019;176(8):651–60.
611 Available from: <https://ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.18080957>
- 612 26. Shen H, Gelaye B, Huang H, Rondon MB, Sanchez S, Duncan LE. Polygenic prediction
613 and GWAS of depression, PTSD, and suicidal ideation/self-harm in a Peruvian cohort.
614 *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2020;45(10):1595–602.
- 615 27. Martínez-Levy GA, Campos AI, Rabinowitz JA, García-Marín LM, Benjet C, Méndez E,
616 et al. Suicidal ideation and planning among Mexican adolescents are associated with
617 depression polygenic risk scores. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B:*
618 *Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 2021 Dec 1;186(8):476–84.
- 619 28. Wray NR, Ripke S, Mattheisen M, Trzaskowski M, Byrne EM, Abdellaoui A, et al.
620 Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic
621 architecture of major depression. *Nature Genetics*. 2018;50(5):668–81.
- 622 29. Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke TK, Hafferty JD, Gibson J, Shihali M, et al. Genome-
623 wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the
624 importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2019;22(3):343–52.
- 625 30. Colbert SMC, Hatoum AS, Shabalín A, Li QS, Coon H, Nelson EC, et al. Exploring the
626 genetic overlap of suicide-related behaviors and substance use disorders. *American*
627 *Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 2021 Dec 1;186(8):445–
628 55.
- 629 31. Ruderfer DM, Walsh CG, Aguirre MW, Tanigawa Y, Ribeiro JD, Franklin JC, et al.
630 Significant shared heritability underlies suicide attempt and clinically predicted
631 probability of attempting suicide. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2020;25(10):2422–30.

- 632 32. Kendler KS, Ohlsson H, Sundquist J, Sundquist K, Edwards AC. The sources of parent-
633 child transmission of risk for suicide attempt and deaths by suicide in Swedish national
634 samples. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2020;177(10):928–35.
- 635 33. Richmond-Rakerd LS, Trull TJ, Gizer IR, McLaughlin K, Scheiderer EM, Nelson EC, et
636 al. Common genetic contributions to high-risk trauma exposure and self-injurious
637 thoughts and behaviors. *Psychological Medicine*. 2019;
- 638 34. Lim KX, Krebs G, Rimfeld K, Pingault J-B, Rijdsdijk FV. Investigating the genetic and
639 environmental aetiologies of non-suicidal and suicidal self-harm: a twin study.
640 *Psychological Medicine*. 2021 Feb 9;1–11.
- 641 35. Russell AE, Hemani G, Jones HJ, Ford T, Gunnell D, Heron J, et al. An exploration of the
642 genetic epidemiology of non-suicidal self-harm and suicide attempt. *BMC Psychiatry*.
643 2021;21(1).
- 644 36. Pan-UKB team. <https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org>. 2020.
- 645 37. Levey DF, Stein MB, Wendt FR, Pathak GA, Zhou H, Aslan M, et al. Bi-ancestral
646 depression GWAS in the Million Veteran Program and meta-analysis in >1.2 million
647 individuals highlight new therapeutic directions. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2021;
- 648 38. Bucholz KK, McCutcheon V V., Agrawal A, Dick DM, Hesselbrock VM, Kramer JR, et
649 al. Comparison of Parent, Peer, Psychiatric, and Cannabis Use Influences Across Stages of
650 Offspring Alcohol Involvement: Evidence from the COGA Prospective Study. *Alcohol*
651 *Clin Exp Res*. 2017 Feb 1;41(2):359–68.
- 652 39. Reich T, Edenberg HJ, Goate A, Williams JT, Rice JP, Van Eerdewegh P, et al. Genome-
653 wide search for genes affecting the risk for alcohol dependence. *American Journal of*
654 *Medical Genetics - Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 1998;81(3).
- 655 40. Edenberg HJ. The collaborative study on the genetics of alcoholism: an update. *Alcohol*
656 *Res Health*. 2002;26(3):214–8.
- 657 41. Bucholz KK, Cadoret R, Cloninger CR, Dinwiddie SH, Hesselbrock VM, Nurnberger JJ,
658 et al. A new, semi-structured psychiatric interview for use in genetic linkage studies: A
659 report on the reliability of the SSAGA. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 1994;55(2).
- 660 42. Hesselbrock M, Easton C, Bucholz KK, Schuckit M, Hesselbrock V. A validity study of
661 the SSAGA - A comparison with the SCAN. *Addiction*. 1999;94(9).
- 662 43. Joiner T, Kalafat J, Draper J, Stokes H, Knudson M, Berman AL, et al. Establishing
663 Standards for the Assessment of Suicide Risk Among Callers to the National Suicide
664 Prevention Lifeline. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior* [Internet]. 2007 Jun 1 [cited
665 2022 Jul 11];37(3):353–65. Available from:
666 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1521/suli.2007.37.3.353>
- 667 44. Lai D, Wetherill L, Bertelsen S, Carey CE, Kamarajan C, Kapoor M, et al. Genome-wide
668 association studies of alcohol dependence, DSM-IV criterion count and individual criteria.
669 *Genes, Brain and Behavior*. 2019;18(6).
- 670 45. Edwards AC, Ohlsson H, Mościcki E, Crump C, Sundquist J, Lichtenstein P, et al. On the
671 Genetic and Environmental Relationship Between Suicide Attempt and Death by Suicide.
672 *Am J Psychiatry*. 2021;178(11).
- 673 46. Zhou W, Nielsen JB, Fritsche LG, Dey R, Gabrielsen ME, Wolford BN, et al. Efficiently
674 controlling for case-control imbalance and sample relatedness in large-scale genetic
675 association studies. *Nature Genetics*. 2018;50(9).
- 676 47. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: Fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide
677 association scans. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(17).

- 678 48. Ge T, Chen CY, Ni Y, Feng YCA, Smoller JW. Polygenic prediction via Bayesian
679 regression and continuous shrinkage priors. *Nature Communications*. 2019;10(1).
- 680 49. Schultz LM, Merikangas AK, Ruparel K, Jacquemont S, Glahn DC, Gur RE, et al.
681 Stability of polygenic scores across discovery genome-wide association studies. *Human*
682 *Genetics and Genomics Advances*. 2022 Apr 14;3(2):100091.
- 683 50. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LCAM, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation
684 PLINK: Rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience*.
685 2015;4(1):s13742-015.
- 686 51. Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H. A general and simple method for obtaining R² from
687 generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. 2013 Feb
688 1;4(2):133–42.
- 689 52. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Anttila V, Gusev A, Day FR, Loh PR, et al. An atlas of
690 genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nature Genetics* [Internet].
691 2015;47(11):1236–41. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/ng.3406>
- 692 53. Qin P, Agerbo E, Mortensen PB. Suicide risk in relation to family history of completed
693 suicide and psychiatric disorders: a nested case-control study based on longitudinal
694 registers. *The Lancet*. 2002 Oct 12;360(9340):1126–30.
- 695 54. Chatterjee N, Wheeler B, Sampson J, Hartge P, Chanock SJ, Park JH. Projecting the
696 performance of risk prediction based on polygenic analyses of genome-wide association
697 studies. *Nature Genetics*. 2013;45(4).
- 698 55. Maciejewski DF, Creemers HE, Lynskey MT, Madden PAF, Heath AC, Statham DJ, et al.
699 Overlapping genetic and environmental influences on nonsuicidal self-injury and suicidal
700 ideation: Different outcomes, same etiology? *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2014;71(6).
- 701 56. Mars B, Heron J, Crane C, Hawton K, Kidger J, Lewis G, et al. Differences in risk factors
702 for self-harm with and without suicidal intent: Findings from the ALSPAC cohort. *Journal*
703 *of Affective Disorders*. 2014;168.
- 704 57. Glenn CR, Klonsky ED. Social context during non-suicidal self-injury indicates suicide
705 risk. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2009;46(1).
- 706 58. Muehlenkamp JJ, Gutierrez PM. Risk for suicide attempts among adolescents who engage
707 in non-suicidal self-injury. *Archives of Suicide Research*. 2007;11(1).
- 708 59. Kim KL, Cushman GK, Weissman AB, Puzia ME, Wegbreit E, Tone EB, et al.
709 Behavioral and emotional responses to interpersonal stress: A comparison of adolescents
710 engaged in non-suicidal self-injury to adolescent suicide attempters. *Psychiatry Research*.
711 2015;228(3).
- 712 60. Punzi G, Ursini G, Chen Q, Radulescu E, Tao R, Huuki LA, et al. Genetics and Brain
713 Transcriptomics of Completed Suicide. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.21030299>.
714 2022 Mar 3;179(3):226–41.
- 715 61. Ajdacic-Gross V, Weiss MG, Ring M, Hepp U, Bopp M, Gutzwiller F, et al. Methods of
716 suicide: international suicide patterns derived from the WHO mortality database. *Bull*
717 *World Health Organ*. 2008;86(9).
- 718 62. Fischer EP, Comstock GW, Monk MA, Sencer DJ. Characteristics of Completed Suicides:
719 Implications of Differences Among Methods. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*.
720 1993 Jun 1;23(2):91–100.
- 721 63. Klieve H, Barnes M, De Leo D. Controlling firearms use in Australia: Has the 1996 gun
722 law reform produced the decrease in rates of suicide with this method? *Social Psychiatry*
723 *and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. 2009 Oct 4;44(4):285–92.

- 724 64. Crump C, Sundquist J, Kendler KS, Edwards AC, Sundquist K. Comparative risk of
725 suicide by specific substance use disorders: A national cohort study. *Journal of Psychiatric*
726 *Research*. 2021 Dec 1;144:247–54.
- 727 65. Nock MK, Hwang I, Sampson NA, Kessler RC. Mental disorders, comorbidity and
728 suicidal behavior: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Molecular*
729 *Psychiatry* 2010 15:8 [Internet]. 2009 Mar 31 [cited 2022 Jul 17];15(8):868–76. Available
730 from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/mp200929>
- 731 66. Do CB, Hinds DA, Francke U, Eriksson N. Comparison of Family History and SNPs for
732 Predicting Risk of Complex Disease. *PLOS Genetics*. 2012 Oct;8(10):e1002973.
- 733 67. Lu Y, Pouget JG, Andreassen OA, Djurovic S, Esko T, Hultman CM, et al. Genetic risk
734 scores and family history as predictors of schizophrenia in Nordic registers. *Psychological*
735 *Medicine*. 2018 May 1;48(7):1201–8.
- 736 68. Docherty A, Kious B, Brown T, Francis L, Stark L, Keeshin B, et al. Ethical concerns
737 relating to genetic risk scores for suicide. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B:*
738 *Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. 2021 Dec 1;186(8):433–44.
- 739

740 **Figure Captions**

741 **Figure 1. Average PRS for individuals exhibiting specific Suicidal thoughts and**
742 **behaviors.** PRS studied were non-suicidal self-injury, self-harm ideation, suicide attempt, death
743 by suicide and depression. Dots represent average PRS residuals after regressing out
744 covariates and bars represent standard errors. Dark blue dots represent average PRS in the
745 affected groups, while light blue dots represent average PRS in the unaffected groups. Asterisks
746 indicate associations that are significant (see Table 2). A) average PRS residuals for non-
747 suicidal self-injury, B) average PRS residuals for self-harm ideation, C) average PRS residuals
748 for non-fatal suicide attempt, D) average PRS residuals for death by suicide, E) average PRS
749 residuals depression.

