

1 **Title**

2 **Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the socioeconomic inequality of health behavior among**

3 **Japanese adolescents: a two-year-repeated cross-sectional survey**

4

5 Akira Kyan^{1,2}, Minoru Takakura²

6 ¹ Graduate School of Human development and environment, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan;

7 ² Faculty of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Japan

8

9 *** Corresponding author's information**

10 Name: Akira Kyan

11 Institutional addresses: Graduate School of Human development and environment, Kobe University,

12 Kobe, 657-8501 Japan

13 Business telephone number: +81- 78-803-7721

14 E-mail addresses: a-kyan@pony.kobe-u.ac.jp

15

16 **Affiliations and Postal addresses**

17 ¹ Graduate School of Human Development and Environment, Kobe University, 3-11 Tsurukabuto,

18 Kobe, Hyogo 867-8501, Japan

19 ² Faculty of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, 207 Uehara, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0215, Japan.

20

21 **Telephone numbers**

22 A.K.: +81-78-803-7721

23 M.T.: +81-98-895-1255

24

25 **Email addresses**

26 A.K.: a-kyan@pony.kobe-u.ac.jp

27 M.T.: minoru@med.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

28

29 **ORCiDs**

30 A.K.: 0000-0002-8134-8687

31 M.T.: 0000-0001-5558-7863

32 **Abstract**

33 **Background:**Although disparities in socioeconomic status in health behaviors have been highlighted
34 globally, they are not well understood in Japanese adolescents. The purpose of this study was to
35 clarify the changes in socioeconomic disparities in adolescents' fundamental health behaviors, such
36 as physical activity, screen time (ST), sleep, breakfast intake, and bowel movement before and
37 during COVID-19.

38 **Methods:**This was a repeated cross-sectional study which used data from the 2019 and 2021
39 National Sports-Life Survey of Children and Young in Japan. Data of 766 and 725 participants in
40 2019 and 2021, respectively, were analyzed. Favorable health behaviors were defined as daily
41 moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) of at least 60 minutes, ST of less than 2 hours, sleep
42 of 8 to 10 hours, daily breakfast intake, and bowel movement frequency of at least once in every 3
43 days. We calculated the slope index of inequality (SII) and relative index of inequality (RII) in each
44 health behavior for equivalent household income levels for assessing absolute and relative economic
45 inequalities.

46 **Results:**Compliance with MVPA and ST recommendation significantly declined from 20.1% and
47 23.0% in 2019 to 11.7% and 14.9% in 2021, respectively. The SII and RII increased in MVPA for
48 income levels, but decreased in daily breakfast in 2019 to 2021. Although the widening and
49 narrowing of the disparity was inconclusive for ST, it exacerbated for the higher income groups.

50 **Conclusions:**Our study revealed widening of economic disparities in the achievement of
51 recommended MVPA and narrowing of it in breakfast intake among adolescents before and during

52 COVID-19.

53

54 **Keywords:** Epidemiology; Health inequities; Household income; Physical Activity; Public health

55 **What is already known on this subject**

- 56 • Although disparities in the economic status of the family and neighborhood have been observed
57 in several fundamental health behaviors among western countries' adolescents, they have not
58 been well understood in Japan. It has been pointed out that the economic disparities caused by
59 the COVID-19 pandemic threaten to increase disparities in health and health behaviors.

60

61 **What this study adds**

- 62 • This is the first study to examine the change in socioeconomic inequalities in various health
63 behavior among Japanese adolescents before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 64 • We found widening of socioeconomic disparities in the achievement of recommended PA
- 65 • We found narrowing of socioeconomic disparities in breakfast intake.

66

67 **How this study might affect research, practice or policy**

- 68 • Continuous monitoring of how this affects health in the short and long term is needed.

69

70 **Introduction**

71 The issue of socioeconomic disparities of health in Japan has been pointed out only in the last 20
72 years, after the period of rapid economic growth. A systematic review of health disparities in Japan
73 reports that the gradient of disparities is modest in the first decades since the 1990s compared with
74 western countries [1]. In the last decade, as it worsened, the situation has been recognized as a public
75 health concern [1]. Since 2013, correcting the situation has been one of the goals of Japan's health
76 policies [2].

77 In western countries, disparities by the economic status of the family and neighborhood have been
78 observed in several fundamental health behaviors, such as physical activity (PA) [3], sedentary
79 behavior (screen time (ST)) [4], sleep behavior [5], bowel movement frequency (constipation) [6],
80 and food intake [7], among adolescents. In Japan, on the other hand, they are not well understood.
81 This may be because it has only been a few decades since the health inequalities in Japan were first
82 called to attention. In relatively recent very few examples of the inequality of health behavior, daily
83 breakfast intake is higher in the high-income group [8], while socioeconomic disparities in food and
84 nutrient intake have been restrained owing to serving of school lunches on weekdays in schools [9].
85 There are no studies on the relationship between socioeconomic status (SES) and exercise in
86 Japanese adolescents, except for one inconclusive study in a local Japanese journal [10].

87 During the COVID-19 pandemic, the fundamental health behaviors have deteriorated among
88 adolescents around the world [11,12]. Likewise, national surveys among Japanese adolescents
89 reported that exercise, including active play, declined significantly, screen time of 2 hours or more

90 per day increased significantly, and daily breakfast intake, adequate sleep of 8-10 hours, and the
91 frequency of bowel movement remained almost unchanged [13,14]. The COVID-19 pandemic has
92 not only disrupted healthcare, but also the lifestyle and social and economic activities of people. In
93 Japan, measures to prevent the spread of the pandemic included policies to restrict people's activities,
94 such as closure of restaurants and restriction on large-scale events [15]. Thereby, the service industry,
95 including the restaurants, and tourism industry, experienced a significant drop in sales, and many
96 businesses were forced into unemployment and bankruptcy [15]. In contrast, the information and
97 communication industry, including online businesses, saw an increase in sales [15]. Thereby, the
98 accompanying widening of household income inequality became a concern [16]. The public health
99 concern associated with it is that this may increase disparities in people's health or health behaviors
100 [17]. The possibility of increasing disparities in mental well-being [18] and recreational PA [19]
101 among Japanese adults has been reported recently. Regarding dietary intake among Japanese
102 adolescents, a recent retrospective study found that meat, fish, eggs, and vegetables intake worsened,
103 especially in low-income households, during school closure (from 16 April to 6 May, 2020), while it
104 mended after school lunch restarted [20]. However, no study has been conducted on other health
105 behaviors in either Japan or the rest of the world [21].

106 Adolescent health behaviors have been documented to not only determine the physical and mental
107 growth and development and health during adolescence, but also how these are carried over into the
108 future [22]. It is also a right for all children to have a healthy life [22]. If they are suffering from
109 inequality because of the home environment, which is beyond their control, they are victims. While

110 some health behaviors, which are affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, should be recovered for
111 adolescents, understanding how these behaviors vary with household income is important to form
112 intervention strategies (providing necessary support to those who need it) and policies. Thus, this
113 study aimed to clarify the trends in socioeconomic disparities in adolescents' health behaviors,
114 especially the fundamental health behaviors that constituted daily life, such as PA, ST, breakfast
115 intake frequency, and bowel movement frequency before and during COVID-19.

116

117 **Methods**

118 **Data source**

119 This study used data from the 2019 and 2021 National Sports-Life Survey of Children and Young
120 conducted by the Sasakawa Sports Foundation (SSF) [14]. The survey is a nationwide cross-
121 sectional survey that has been conducted every two years since 2001. From the 2017 survey, the
122 target age groups were categorized into ages 4-11 and 12-21. The survey mainly measures exercise
123 and sports participation of children and youth during after-school periods and holidays, and sports
124 environments as well as health behaviors including sleep time, media usage time, and bowel
125 movement frequency. Data are collected using self-administered questionnaires from adolescents
126 and parents/guardians between June and July of each survey year.

127 The study sample of each year was selected using the two-staged stratified random sampling
128 method from 225 locations (2019: 61 in metro areas, 94 in cities with a population of over 100,000,
129 50 in cities with a population of less than 100,000, and 20 in towns and villages; 2021: 61 in metro

130 areas, 96 in cities with a population of over 100,000, 50 in cities with a population of less than
131 100,000, and 18 in towns and villages), which were proportionally distributed from the strata by
132 district/city size based on the population of the Basic Resident Register as of January 1st in the prior
133 year of each survey year. The study area established at the time of the census in 2015 was used as the
134 primary sampling unit at the time of the survey. The target sample size allocated to each location was
135 10 to 19. Survey points were extracted by the probability proportional sampling method, using the
136 following formula to calculate the sampling interval for strata in which two or more survey points
137 were assigned.

138 *Total number of people aged 4 to 21 years in the study area in the strata / Number of survey sites*
139 *calculated in strata*

140 A total of 3,000 individuals participated in the survey. Detailed information on the survey methods
141 can be found on the SSF website [14].

142 In this study, we used data from the surveys of young people aged 12 to 21 in 2019 and 2021, as all
143 variables of interest were available. The number of responses for 2019 and 2021 were 1,675
144 (response rate 55.8%) and 1,663 (response rate 55.4%), respectively. The analysis included 12- to
145 18-year-olds, excluding 18-year-olds who were not attending high school. In the Japanese
146 educational system, most students enrolled in high school are 15- to 18-year-olds. Of these, most 17-
147 and 18-year-olds are enrolled in the third grade of high school and share school routines. This was
148 the reason for including 18-year-olds attending high school in the analysis, while the age categories
149 for the analysis of PA, ST, and sleep recommendations were up to age 17. After excluding

150 individuals with missing variables of interest, data from 766 participants (359 boys and 407 girls) in
151 2019 and 725 participants (365 boys and 360 girls) in 2021 were used for analysis. Ethical approval
152 was not required, as this study was a secondary analysis conducted using public datasets from the
153 SSF that did not include identifiable personal information.

154

155 **Measures**

156 *Physical activity*

157 PA was measured using the Japanese version of the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children
158 survey (HBSC) questionnaire [23]. The HBSC questionnaire is widely used globally as the basis of
159 moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) surveillance of adolescents [24]. In the questionnaire, PA referred
160 to any activity that increased the heart rate and made an individual feel out of breath for some time.
161 PA can include sports, school activities, playing with friends, or walking to school. Examples of PA
162 include running, brisk walking, rollerblading, biking, dancing, skateboarding, swimming, playing
163 soccer, basketball, football, etc., and surfing. The question item was as follows: ‘Over the past 7
164 days, on how many days were you physically active for a total of at least 60 minutes per day?’.
165 Participants were dichotomized into either active or inactive based on whether they achieved seven
166 days per week of 60 minutes MVPA, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines
167 on PA and sedentary behavior [25].

168

169 *Screen time*

170 ST was assessed by asking about recreational TV/DVD viewing time and
171 computer/game/smartphone usage on weekdays and weekends separately. These questions were
172 formulated as follows: 'For how many hours do you watch TV or DVD or use computer, video
173 games (including TV, computer, and cellular device games, etc.), or smartphone per day outside of
174 school and/or work?'. Possible answers to the question were 'less than half-hour/day,' 'half-hour to 1
175 h/day,' '1–2 h/day,' '2–3 h/day,' '3–4 h/day,' '4–5 h/day,' 'more than 5 h/day,' and 'I don't know'.
176 The answer categories were recorded in minutes using the midpoint method. The 'I don't know'
177 option was deemed a missing case. Average minutes of daily ST were weighted by weekdays and
178 weekends using the following formula:

$$179 \quad [(minutes\ of\ ST\ on\ weekdays \times 5) + (minutes\ of\ ST\ on\ weekends \times 2)] / 7.$$

180 We then categorized the participants based on the cut-off of 2 h of recreational ST [25].

181

182 *Sleep duration*

183 Sleep duration was assessed by questioning on bedtime and awakening hours on weekdays and
184 weekends separately. Participants were asked to report the times they typically went to sleep at night
185 and woke up in the morning on weekdays and weekends. Sleep duration was calculated by
186 subtracting wake-up time from bedtime.

187 Average minutes of daily sleep were weighted by weekdays and weekends using the following
188 formula:

$$189 \quad [(sleep\ duration\ on\ weekdays \times 5) + (sleep\ duration\ on\ weekends \times 2)] / 7.$$

190 The participants were grouped based on whether they had a sleep duration that was within the
191 range (8.0–10.0 h/night) recommended by the National Sleep Foundation [26].

192

193 *Breakfast*

194 Breakfast frequency was determined by asking the question ‘How often do you have breakfast per
195 week?’; the possible responses were ‘almost every day’, ‘eating 4-5 days per week’, ‘eating 2-3 days
196 per week’, and ‘very few’. Participants were dichotomized into almost every day or others based on
197 the recommendation of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Japan [27].

198

199 *Bowel movement frequency*

200 Bowel movement frequency was determined by asking the question ‘How often do you have
201 bowel movement?’; the possible responses were ‘almost every day’, ‘once per two days’, ‘once per
202 three days’, ‘less than once per three days’, and ‘irregularly’. We dichotomized participants into two
203 groups: ‘almost every day to once every three days’ and ‘less than once every three days and
204 irregular’, with reference to the definition of constipation [6]. While the definition of constipation
205 ranges from self-reported constipation to clinical criteria regarding frequency of bowel movements
206 and symptoms [6], one of the symptoms included in the Rome IV criteria is ‘two or fewer
207 defecations in the toilet per week [6].

208

209 *Household Income*

210 The parents/guardians of the participants were asked about their annual pre-tax household income
211 using 11 options ranging from ‘no income’ to ‘10 million yen or more’. The ‘I don't know’ option
212 was deemed a missing case. The midpoint of each option was substituted for the household income.
213 The equivalent household income was calculated by dividing household income by the square root
214 of the number of members in the household [28]. Subsequently, the equivalent household income
215 was categorized based on one-half of the median equivalent household income as a cut-off point:
216 ‘less than 1.375 million yen’, ‘1.375 to less than 2.75 million yen’, and ‘2.75 million yen or
217 more’[29]. One million yen was roughly ten thousand US dollars at the time of the survey.

218

219 *Confounding factors*

220 Place of residence, family structure, sex, age, sports participation, self-rated health, and preference
221 of PA were used as covariates, and were considered as potential confounders [3,30]. The place of
222 residence was categorized into two groups based on the population of the cities. Family structure
223 was assessed using information regarding the people living in the home, coded as ‘living with both
224 parents’ or ‘other.’ Sports participation was assessed by asking whether the participants were
225 involved in extra-curricular exercise activity in school, sports clubs in local community, and private.
226 Self-rated health was dichotomized into ‘good’ and ‘poor’. Preference for PA was dichotomized into
227 ‘like’ and ‘dislike’.

228

229 **Statistical analysis**

230 Initially, the percentage of compliance with health behavior recommendation was estimated by
231 each income level and each survey year. Cochran-Armitage test for trend was used to assess time
232 trends in the percentage of compliance with each recommendation of health behavior and prevalence
233 of constipation over time by each income level. Fisher's exact test was performed to evaluate
234 differences in compliance with each recommendation of health behavior and prevalence of
235 constipation among different categories for each income level by each survey year. Next,
236 socioeconomic inequalities in health behavior between low- and high-income groups were assessed
237 by absolute and relative measures. Both absolute and relative measures were estimated with 95%
238 confidence intervals (CIs) for income levels in each survey year. For the absolute measures, the
239 slope index of inequality (SII) [31] was calculated using generalized linear models with binomial
240 distribution and identity link function. The coefficient yielded an estimate of the absolute inequality.
241 When this binomial model failed to converge, a generalized linear model with normal distribution
242 and identity link function was used [32]. For the relative measures, the relative index of inequality
243 (RII) [31] was calculated using generalized linear models with binomial distribution and log link
244 function. The exponentiated coefficient yielded an estimate of the relative inequality. The SII and
245 RII were estimated using ridit score for income levels as an independent variable. The SII and RII
246 were summary measures of inequality as the changes in health behavior between the bottom and top
247 points in the income hierarchy while accounting for the cumulative distribution in each income level
248 [33]. Time trends of absolute and relative measures were assessed by the inclusion of the interaction
249 term between income levels (ridit score for the SII and RII) and survey year [33]. In the model,

250 survey year was treated as a continuous variable coded as 1 for 2019 and 2 for 2021 [33]. Wald test
251 was used to test if the interaction term was statistically significant. Finally, these models were
252 adjusted for confounding factors, such as place of residence, family structure, sex, age, sports
253 participation, self-rated health, and preference of PA. To explore the association between the
254 outcomes, when each health behavior was considered in the dependent variable as an outcome, other
255 health behaviors were included in the independent variables.

256

257 **Results**

258 Table 1 shows the distribution of study participants by sociodemographic characteristics and
259 survey years. There were significant relationships between survey year and MVPA and ST ($P < 0.05$).

260 The number and percentage of missing each variable were shown in the e-Table (appendix). The
261 missing percentages for each variable were similar in both surveys. Household income was missing
262 by approximately 22% in each survey. This is because the "I don't know" option was treated as a
263 missing value.

264 Table 2 shows the prevalence in compliance with each health behavior recommendation by
265 income levels and survey years. Overall, although the compliance with PA and ST recommendation
266 significantly declined from 20.1% and 23.0% in 2019 to 11.7% and 14.9% in 2021, respectively, the
267 compliance with sleep and breakfast frequency recommendation and constipation prevalence
268 remained unchanged between 2019 (63.2%, 83.6%, and 10.1%, respectively) and 2021 (64.7%,
269 83.3%, and 10.5%, respectively). While declining trends in PA were observed for all income levels,

270 declining trends in ST were observed for only high-income level. Regarding breakfast consumption,
271 no overall trend of change was observed between 2019 and 2021, but a declining trend was observed
272 only at the high-income level, although it was not statistically significant. Table 2 also shows the
273 results of Fisher's exact test. A clear difference between 2019 and 2021 emerged in breakfast intake:
274 the percentage of breakfast intake was lower in the low-income group and higher in the highest-
275 income group in 2019 ($P < 0.001$), but the differences between the groups obscured in 2021 ($P =$
276 0.151). A similar trend was observed for ST, although it was not statistically significant (P in 2019
277 and 2021 were 0.097 and 0.863 , respectively). On the other hand, PA showed differences by income
278 levels in 2021, although it was not statistically significant ($P = 0.063$), but not in 2019 ($P = 0.907$).
279 These differences by socioeconomic levels between the study periods were not found in compliance
280 with sleep duration (P in 2019 = 0.916 ; P in 2021 = 0.356) and bowel frequency (P in 2019 = 0.503 ;
281 P in 2021 = 0.265).

282 Table 3 shows the SII and RII in each health behavior for the income levels by survey years.
283 Significant increase and decrease trends of disparities were shown in the compliance of MVPA and
284 daily breakfast intake. The SII in MVPA increased from -2.37% (95% CI $13.62- 8.87$) in 2019 to
285 11.2% (95% CI $2.90- 19.50$) in 2021. Although it was not statistically significant in the crude model
286 (P for trends = 0.057), the adjusted model showed significant increase trends (P for trends = 0.032).
287 The RII in MVPA increased from 0.86 (95% CI $0.43- 1.73$) in 2019 to 3.03 (95% CI $1.16- 7.93$) in
288 2021 (P for trends = 0.038). In contrast, the SII in breakfast intake decreased from 20.22% (95% CI
289 $9.4- 31.04$) in 2019 to 1.35% (95% CI $-9.87- 12.57$) in 2021 (P for trends = 0.018). The RII also

290 decreased from 4.34 (95% CI 2.05– 9.18) in 2019 to 1.11 (95% CI 0.5–2.47) in 2021 (P for trends =
291 0.015). The SII in ST decreased from 20.72% (95% CI 7.71– 33.72) in 2019 to 4.74% (95% CI -
292 7.97– 17.45) in 2021, but it was not statistically significant (P for trends = 0.085). Similarly, for RII,
293 the disparity decreased numerically but was not statistically significant. For sleep duration and
294 bowel movement, no disparities or increase and decrease trends were observed for the survey years.
295 Except the SII in PA, all adjusted models showed almost the same results as the crude models.

296

297 **Discussion**

298 This is the first study to examine the time trend in socioeconomic inequalities in various health
299 behaviors among the Japanese adolescents before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. We found
300 widening of socioeconomic disparities in the achievement of recommended PA and narrowing of
301 socioeconomic disparities in breakfast intake among adolescents. For MVPA particularly, while all
302 income groups showed deterioration during the COVID-19 pandemic, the trend was more prominent
303 in the lowest-income groups. Breakfast intake frequency showed an improving trend among lower
304 income groups, while it was worsening among higher-income groups. For ST, the widening and
305 narrowing of the disparity was inconclusive. However, it exacerbated in the higher income groups.
306 Sleep duration and bowel movement frequency did not change from pre-COVID-19 time to the
307 present, and no socioeconomic disparities were observed. The percentage of the present study
308 participants achieving the recommended sleep duration was poor at approximately 35%, while other
309 countries achieved roughly 65% [34]. The prevalence of constipation at about 10% was not

310 relatively high [6].

311 The association between SES and PA observed in other countries [3] has not been reported in
312 Japan [10]. This was probably due to having extracurricular activities as a social system in Japan that
313 allowed many adolescents to participate in sports without spending money. Japan has a system of
314 extracurricular activities in which teachers are engaged in sports coaching from junior high school
315 through high school [35]. In most cases, the cost of participation in extracurricular activities is small,
316 with minimal expenses for the essential equipment. The course of study stipulate a policy for
317 extracurricular activities [36] and almost all schools have it. This is a unique initiative worldwide
318 [35]. According to national comprehensive survey data, as of 2019, the percentage of second-year
319 middle school students enrolled in sports/exercise programs was as high as 66.5% [13], which meant
320 that it played an important role as an equitable opportunity for PA for the Japanese adolescents. The
321 decline in PA among Japanese adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic was probably the result
322 of the prohibition of that activity due to the pandemic. The widening socioeconomic disparity in PA
323 may be due, in part, to the dependency of accessibility of sports activities on the SES of the family.
324 The local government took measures, such as banning extracurricular activities, at the municipal
325 level to prevent the spread of COVID-19, and the decision to exercise was up to the individuals.
326 Meanwhile, paid sports organizations continued to provide certain services, such as online lectures.
327 The association between paid sports activities and household income has been pointed out before the
328 pandemic [37]. That is, it can be presumed that a larger proportion of adolescents from families with
329 sufficient household income were engaged in paid sports and benefited from them, while a larger

330 proportion of those from low-income families, who were not engaged in paid sports, were inactive.

331 Further research is needed to clarify these details.

332 Socioeconomic disparities in compliance with breakfast intake recommendation have narrowed:

333 the percentage of lower-income groups has improved, while that of higher-income groups has

334 declined. The reason for the decrease in the percentage of higher income groups is not clear, but it is

335 possible that the overall increase in people's health awareness due to the pandemic [38] has led to an

336 improvement in the percentage of low-income groups consuming breakfast. Assuming that the

337 acquisition of knowledge about diet causes behavioral change [39], the change to have regular

338 breakfast, irrespective of its content, may be easier than changing other health behaviors. This is

339 because food resources are also abundant in Japan.

340 A meta-analysis in high-income Western countries found that young people from lower

341 socioeconomic backgrounds have higher levels of ST compared with those from higher

342 socioeconomic backgrounds [4]. According to our results, in 2019, before the COVID-19 pandemic,

343 the trend in Japan was similar to that in other countries. It can be seen that ST has also worsened for

344 higher income groups due to the pandemic. Although the economic disparity can be interpreted to

345 have been eliminated, the overall deterioration is an urgent public health issue. It is plausible to

346 assume that the lifestyles of the higher income groups are changing since the restrictions on going

347 out have led people, at all income levels, to spend more time at home. As mentioned above, higher

348 income groups are more likely to participate in paid private tutoring and sports activities [37]. It is

349 assumed that adolescents from higher-income families had more ST at home due to the COVID-19

350 pandemic. Similar changes may be occurring around the world, but no research has yet elucidated it,
351 except for our study. It should be urgently verified.

352

353 **Limitations**

354 This study had some limitations. Because this is not a longitudinal study, individual trajectory is
355 unknown. Although the sampling method of the present study is appropriate to ensure the
356 representativeness of the data, eligible samples included in the analysis were 45.7% and 43.6% of all
357 respondents in 2019 and 2021, respectively. However, the proportion of population in each
358 district/city based on their sizes was similar to that of the nation as a whole. Therefore, the
359 representativeness of the data would not deviate considerably. Another limitation is that all data are
360 from self-reported questionnaires, which cannot deny the possibility of including biases such as
361 social desirability. However, the question items used in this study were almost identical to those used
362 in large international or national surveys. For example, PA, ST, sleep, and breakfast frequency were
363 similar to those used in the HBSC [24] and Japanese comprehensive surveys [13]. Thus, these items
364 have sufficient validity as questionnaire to capture the health behaviors of adolescents. The number
365 of the missing value of income was relatively large, but the pattern of missing values was similar in
366 each survey year. This may not be a critical issue in discussing trends in socioeconomic disparities.
367 It also has been pointed out that disparities in these health behaviors have been recognized for other
368 SES indicators, such as parental education level, jobs, and psychosocial deprivation. Impacts to
369 health behaviors may vary by SES indicators [3]. Future studies need to examine disparities in other

370 SES indicators to identify needs for support. Although a number of factors related to each health
371 behavior were taken into account, dietary intake related to bowel movement frequency was only
372 taken into account for breakfast intake frequency due to a lack of data. No socioeconomic disparities
373 in bowel movement frequency have been detected previously in Japan; our results are in line with
374 previous studies [30]. Given that bowel movement frequency is related to dietary intake, school
375 lunches may also contribute to reducing the socioeconomic inequality in bowel movement. To
376 clarify this, future studies need to examine dietary intake and bowel movement simultaneously.

377

378 **Conclusion**

379 In conclusion, our study revealed that economic disparities in the achievement of recommended
380 PA widened, while it narrowed for breakfast intake among adolescents before and during COVID-19.
381 The fact that we found socioeconomic disparities that were insignificant before the pandemic
382 confronted us with the need for urgent supports and medium- and long-term monitoring. Possible
383 supports include financial assistance to low-income populations, interventions that encourage more
384 effective health behavior change among them, etc. Because of the relatively mild restrictions on
385 behavior in Japan compared to other countries (e.g. no lockdowns are imposed) [12], a severer
386 situation is feared in other countries. Indeed, a recent ecological study among Scottish adolescents
387 reported on the cautionary on a possible widening socioeconomic inequality in PA and ST [40].
388 Further individual-level research is necessary to verify this.

389

390 **Author statements**

391 *Acknowledgements*

392 We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

393

394 *Ethical approval*

395 Ethical approval was not required, as this study was a secondary analysis conducted using public

396 datasets from the SSF that did not include identifiable personal information.

397

398 *Funding*

399 This study was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (JSPS KAKENHI Grant

400 Number 20K10473 and 20K13966) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

401

402 *Competing interests*

403 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

404

405 *Authors contribution*

406 All authors contributed to the concept or design of the study and the acquisition, analysis, or

407 interpretation of data for the work. A.K. drafted the manuscript. M.T. critically revised the

408 manuscript. All authors gave final approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of work,

409 thus ensuring integrity and accuracy.

410 **References**

- 411 1 Ikeda N, Saito E, Kondo N, *et al.* What has made the population of Japan healthy? *Lancet*
412 2011;**378**:1094–105. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61055-6
- 413 2 Ministry of Health L and W. Healthy Japan 21 (the second term).
414 2018.<https://www.nibiohn.go.jp/eiken/kenkounippon21/en/> (accessed 23 Jun 2022).
- 415 3 O’Donoghue G, Kennedy A, Puggina A, *et al.* Socio-economic determinants of physical activity
416 across the life course: a ‘DEterminants of DIet and Physical ACTivity’ (DEDIPAC) umbrella
417 literature review. *PLoS One* 2018;**13**:e0190737. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0190737
- 418 4 Mielke GI, Brown WJ, Nunes BP, *et al.* Socioeconomic Correlates of Sedentary Behavior in
419 Adolescents: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sport Med* 2017;**47**:61–75.
420 doi:10.1007/S40279-016-0555-4/FIGURES/5
- 421 5 Sosso FE, Khoury T. Socioeconomic status and sleep disturbances among pediatric population: a
422 continental systematic review of empirical research. *Sleep Sci* 2021;**14**:245. doi:10.5935/1984-
423 0063.20200082
- 424 6 Mugie SM, Benninga MA, Di Lorenzo C. Epidemiology of constipation in children and adults: A
425 systematic review. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2011;**25**:3–18.
426 doi:10.1016/j.bpg.2010.12.010
- 427 7 Cislak A, Safron M, Pratt M, *et al.* Family-related predictors of body weight and weight-related
428 behaviours among children and adolescents: a systematic umbrella review. *Child Care Health*
429 *Dev* 2012;**38**:321–31. doi:10.1111/J.1365-2214.2011.01285.X

- 430 8 Okamoto S. Parental socioeconomic status and adolescent health in Japan. *Sci Rep*
431 2021;**11**:12089. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-91715-0
- 432 9 Murayama N, Ishida H, Yamamoto T, *et al.* Household income is associated with food and
433 nutrient intake in Japanese schoolchildren, especially on days without school lunch. *Public*
434 *Health Nutr* 2017;**20**:2946–58. doi:10.1017/S1368980017001100
- 435 10 Yamakita M, Sato M, Ando D, *et al.* Associations between parent’s educational levels and
436 children’s time spent in exercise. *Res Exerc Epidemiol* 2017;**19**:36–43. doi:10.24804/ree.19.36
- 437 11 Farello G, Di Lucia A, Fioravanti B, *et al.* Analysis of the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on
438 functional gastrointestinal disorders among paediatric population. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*
439 2021;**25**:5836–42. doi:10.26355/EURREV_202109_26802
- 440 12 Kharel M, Sakamoto JL, Carandang RR, *et al.* Impact of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on
441 movement behaviours of children and adolescents: a systematic review. *BMJ Glob Heal*
442 2022;**7**:e007190. doi:10.1136/BMJGH-2021-007190
- 443 13 Japan sports agency. National survey of the physical strength, exercise ability and exercise habits.
444 2021.https://www.mext.go.jp/sports/b_menu/toukei/kodomo/zencyo/1368222.htm (accessed 24
445 Jun 2022).
- 446 14 Sasakawa Sports Foundation. Publications. 2021.<https://www.ssf.or.jp/en/publications/> (accessed
447 21 Jul 2022).
- 448 15 Statistics Bureau of Japan. Summary of the Results of Monthly Survey on Service Industries.
449 2018.<https://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/mssi/kekka/index.html> (accessed 22 Sep 2021).

- 450 16 Cabinet office. 2021 Analysis of the Survey of Children's Living Conditions Report.
451 2022.<https://www8.cao.go.jp/kodomonohinkon/chousa/r03/pdf-index.html> (accessed 23 Jun
452 2022).
- 453 17 Ahmed F, Ahmed N, Pissarides C, *et al.* Why inequality could spread COVID-19. *Lancet Public*
454 *Heal* 2020;**5**:e240. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30085-2
- 455 18 Kanbayashi H, Hommerich C, Sudo N. Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Household Income
456 and Mental Well-Being: *Sociol Theory Methods* 2021;**36**:259–77. doi:10.11218/ojjams.36.259
- 457 19 Kyan A, Takakura M. Socio-economic inequalities in physical activity among Japanese adults
458 during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Public Health* 2022;**207**:7–13. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2022.03.006
- 459 20 Horikawa C, Murayama N, Kojima Y, *et al.* Changes in Selected Food Groups Consumption and
460 Quality of Meals in Japanese School Children during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Nutrients*
461 2021;**13**:2743. doi:10.3390/nu13082743
- 462 21 López-Bueno R, López-Sánchez GF, Casajús JA, *et al.* Potential health-related behaviors for pre-
463 school and school-aged children during COVID-19 lockdown: A narrative review. *Prev Med*
464 *(Baltim)* 2021;**143**:106349. doi:10.1016/j.yjmed.2020.106349
- 465 22 Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, *et al.* Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health
466 and wellbeing. *Lancet* 2016;**387**:2423–78. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00579-1
- 467 23 Tanaka C, Kyan A, Takakura M, *et al.* Validation of the Physical Activity Questions in the World
468 Health Organization Health Behavior in School-Aged Children Survey Using Accelerometer Data
469 in Japanese Children and Adolescents. *J Phys Act Heal* 2021;**18**:151–6. doi:10.1123/jpah.2019-

- 470 0671
- 471 24 Inchley J, Currie D, Cosma A, *et al.* Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study
472 protocol: background, methodology and mandatory items for the 2017/18 survey. 2018.
- 473 25 World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour.
474 2020.<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240015128> (accessed 10 Sep 2021).
- 475 26 Hirshkowitz M, Whiton K, Albert SM, *et al.* National Sleep Foundation’s sleep time duration
476 recommendations: methodology and results summary. 2015. doi:10.1016/j.sleh.2014.12.010
- 477 27 Ministry of Agriculture F and F. What Are the Benefits of Shokuiku (Food and Nutrition
478 Education)? 2018.<https://www.maff.go.jp/j/syokuiku/evidence/index.html> (accessed 9 Jul 2022).
- 479 28 OECD. What are equivalence scales? 2011.[http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/OECD-Note-](http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/OECD-Note-EquivalenceScales.pdf)
480 [EquivalenceScales.pdf](http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/OECD-Note-EquivalenceScales.pdf) (accessed 10 Sep 2021).
- 481 29 Keppel K, Pamuk E, Lynch J, *et al.* Methodological Issues in Measuring Health Disparities. *Vital*
482 *Health Stat* 2005;:1–16./pmc/articles/PMC3681823/ (accessed 10 Sep 2021).
- 483 30 Yamada M, Sekine M, Tatsuse T. Psychological Stress, Family Environment, and Constipation in
484 Japanese Children: The Toyama Birth Cohort Study. *J Epidemiol* 2019;29:220–6.
485 doi:10.2188/jea.JE20180016
- 486 31 Mackenbach JP, Kunst AE. Measuring the magnitude of socio-economic inequalities in health:
487 An overview of available measures illustrated with two examples from Europe. *Soc Sci Med*
488 1997;44:757–71. doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(96)00073-1
- 489 32 Naimi AI, Whitcomb BW. Estimating Risk Ratios and Risk Differences Using Regression. *Am J*

- 490 *Epidemiol* 2020;**189**:508–10. doi:10.1093/aje/kwaa044
- 491 33 Wachtler B, Hoebel J, Lampert T. Trends in socioeconomic inequalities in self-rated health in
492 Germany: a time-trend analysis of repeated cross-sectional health surveys between 2003 and 2012.
493 *BMJ Open* 2019;**9**:e030216. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030216
- 494 34 Rollo S, Antsygina O, Tremblay MS. The whole day matters: Understanding 24-hour movement
495 guideline adherence and relationships with health indicators across the lifespan. *J Sport Heal Sci*
496 2020;**9**:493–510. doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2020.07.004
- 497 35 Nakazawa A. Seeing sports as educational activities: a postwar history of extracurricular sports
498 activities in Japan. *Hitotsubashi J Soc Stud* 2014;**45**:1–14.
- 499 36 Japan Sports Agency. Course of Study.
500 2018.https://www.mext.go.jp/sports/b_menu/sports/mcatetop04/list/1398875.htm (accessed 11
501 Jul 2022).
- 502 37 Benesse Education Research Institute. Third Annual Survey of Out-of-School Educational
503 Activities 2017. 2017.<https://berd.benesse.jp/shotouchutou/research/detail1.php?id=5210>
504 (accessed 11 Jul 2022).
- 505 38 Japan Sports Agency. Survey research on the public's participation in sports, changes in
506 awareness, and health status due to the outbreak of new coronavirus infection.
507 2019.https://www.mext.go.jp/sports/b_menu/sports/mcatetop01/list/detail/jsa_00003.html
508 (accessed 11 Jul 2022).
- 509 39 Asakura K, Todoriki H, Sasaki S. Relationship between nutrition knowledge and dietary intake

510 among primary school children in Japan: Combined effect of children's and their guardians'

511 knowledge. *J Epidemiol* 2017;**27**:483–91. doi:10.1016/J.JE.2016.09.014

512 40 Bardid F, Tomaz SA, Johnstone A, *et al.* Results from Scotland's 2021 report card on physical

513 activity and Health for children and youth: Grades, secular trends, and socio-economic

514 inequalities. *J Exerc Sci Fit* Published Online First: 19 July 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jesf.2022.07.002

515

516

Table 1. Distribution of study participants by sociodemographic characteristics

	2019		2021		P ^a
	N	%	N	%	
Total	766		725		
Sex					
Male	359	46.9	365	50.3	0.195
Female	407	53.1	360	49.7	
Age group (year)					
12	88	11.5	78	10.8	0.571
13	152	19.8	129	17.8	
14	122	15.9	110	15.2	
15	140	18.3	120	16.6	
16	119	15.5	133	18.3	
17	115	15.0	120	16.6	
18	30	3.9	35	4.8	
Residence area					
21 metropolises and cities with population of 100,000+	679	88.6	652	89.9	0.452
Cities with population < 100,000, and towns and villages	87	11.4	73	10.1	
Equivalent household income (million yen)					
< 1.375	69	9.0	66	9.1	0.347
1.375 - 2.75	259	33.8	220	30.3	
2.75+	438	57.2	439	60.6	
Family structure					
Both parents	651	85.0	635	87.6	0.153
Other	115	15.0	90	12.4	
Sports participation					
No	270	35.2	292	40.3	0.048
Yes	496	64.8	433	59.7	
Self-rated health					
Good	650	84.9	602	83.0	0.359
Bad	116	15.1	123	17.0	
Preference of physical activity					
Dislike	142	18.5	130	17.9	0.789
Like	624	81.5	595	82.1	
MVPA					
< 7 days	612	79.9	640	88.3	0.000
7 days	154	20.1	85	11.7	
Screen time					
< 2 h	176	23.0	108	14.9	0.000
≥ 2 h	590	77.0	617	85.1	
Adequate sleep duration					
8hr–10hr	484	63.2	469	64.7	0.553
Other	282	36.8	256	35.3	
Breakfast					
Almost everyday	126	16.4	121	16.7	0.944
Other	640	83.6	604	83.3	
Constipation					
Yes	77	10.1	76	10.5	0.798
No	689	89.9	649	89.5	

^a χ^2 test

Table 2. Prevalence of health behavior in 2019 and 2021 according to the equivalent household income

	Compliance with MVPA guideline					Compliance with screen time guideline					Compliance with sleep duration guideline					Daily breakfast intake				
	2019		2021		P ^b for trend	2019		2021		P ^b for trend	2019		2021		2019		2021			
	n	(%)	n	(%)		n	(%)	n	(%)		n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)		
Total	154	20.1	85	11.7	0.000	176	23	108	14.9	0.000	282	36.8	256	35.3	0.545	640	83.6	604	83.3	
Equivalent household income (million yen)																				
< 1.375	15	21.7	3	4.5	0.003	14	20.3	9	13.6	0.304	27	39.1	19	28.8	0.205	48	69.6	50	75.8	
1.375 - 2.75	53	20.5	22	10.0	0.002	49	18.9	35	15.9	0.388	95	36.7	84	38.2	0.735	208	80.3	189	85.9	
2.75+	86	19.6	60	13.7	0.018	113	25.8	64	14.6	0.000	160	36.5	153	34.9	0.604	384	87.7	365	83.1	
P for Fisher's exact test	0.907		0.063			0.097		0.863			0.916		0.356			0.000		0.151		

MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity,

a bowel movement frequency is less than once every 3 days.

b Cochran-Armitage trend test

Table 3. Slope index of inequality (SII) and relative index of inequality (RII) in health behavior according to the income levels by survey year

	2019		2021		P for trend ^c
MVPA					
Crude SII (95% CI)	-2.37	(-13.62, 8.87)	11.20	(2.9, 19.5)	0.057
Adjusted SII ^a (95% CI) ^b	-7.94	(-18.94, 3.06)	8.86	(0.23, 17.48)	0.032
Crude RII	0.86	(0.43, 1.73)	3.03	(1.16, 7.93)	0.038
Adjusted RII ^a (95% CI)	0.59	(0.28, 1.23)	2.50	(0.95, 6.58)	0.018
Screen time					
Crude SII (95% CI)	20.72	(7.71, 33.72)	4.74	(-7.97, 17.45)	0.085
Adjusted SII ^a (95% CI) ^b	15.85	(3, 28.7)	6.42	(-6.19, 19.03)	0.137
Crude RII	2.57	(1.4, 4.7)	1.27	(0.66, 2.47)	0.125
Adjusted RII ^a (95% CI)	2.16	(1.13, 4.12)	1.41	(0.71, 2.82)	0.208
Sleep duration					
Crude SII (95% CI)	-1.84	(-15.32, 11.64)	-0.15	(-13.96, 13.66)	0.864
Adjusted SII ^a (95% CI) ^b	-5.42	(-18.68, 7.83)	0.40	(-12.42, 13.23)	0.707
Crude RII	0.92	(0.52, 1.65)	0.99	(0.54, 1.82)	0.865
Adjusted RII ^a (95% CI)	0.79	(0.42, 1.46)	1.02	(0.52, 1.99)	0.720
Breakfast					
Crude SII (95% CI)	20.22	(9.4, 31.04)	1.35	(-9.87, 12.57)	0.018
Adjusted SII ^a (95% CI) ^b	18.98	(8.4, 29.56)	1.29	(-9.44, 12.01)	0.018
Crude RII	4.34	(2.05, 9.18)	1.11	(0.5, 2.47)	0.015
Adjusted RII ^a (95% CI)	4.19	(1.89, 9.27)	1.14	(0.5, 2.62)	0.022
Constipation					
Crude SII (95% CI)	-1.09	(-9.29, 7.11)	-0.82	(-9.46, 7.82)	0.965
Adjusted SII ^a (95% CI) ^b	2.68	(-5.61, 10.97)	3.08	(-5.44, 11.6)	0.865
Crude RII	0.89	(0.36, 2.19)	0.92	(0.37, 2.29)	0.960
Adjusted RII ^a (95% CI)	1.49	(0.51, 4.31)	1.44	(0.53, 3.89)	0.845

SII slope index of inequality (%), RII relative index of inequality, CI confidence interval

a Adjusted for place of residence, family structure, sex, age, sports participation, self-rated health, and preference of PA.

b Generalized linear model with normal distribution and identity link function

c Wald test of the interaction term between equivalent household income (ridit score) and survey year