perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

#### 1 Exposure–response relationships for personal exposure to fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), 2 carbon monoxide, and black carbon and birthweight: Results from the multi-country 3 Household Air Pollution Intervention Network (HAPIN) trial 4 Kalpana Balakrishnan, PhD,<sup>1\*</sup> Kyle Steenland, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Thomas Clasen, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Howard Chang, 5 PhD,<sup>3</sup> Michael Johnson, PhD,<sup>4</sup> Ajay Pillarisetti, PhD,<sup>5</sup> Wenlu Ye, PhD,<sup>5</sup> Luke P. Naeher, PhD,<sup>6</sup> 6 Anaite Diaz-Artiga, PhD,<sup>7</sup> John P. McCracken, PhD,<sup>6</sup> Lisa M. Thompson, PhD,<sup>8</sup> Ghislaine Rosa, 7 PhD,<sup>9</sup> Miles A. Kirby, PhD,<sup>10</sup> Gurusamy Thangavel, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Sankar Sambandam, PhD,<sup>1</sup> 8 Krishnendu Mukhopadhyay, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Naveen Puttaswamy, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Vigneswari Aravindalochanan 9 M.Sc.,<sup>1</sup> Sarada Garg, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Florien Ndagijimana,<sup>11</sup> Stella Hartinger, PhD,<sup>12,13</sup> Lindsay 10 UnderHill, PhD,<sup>12,13</sup> Katherine A Kearns, PhD,<sup>6</sup> Devan Campbell, MPH,<sup>6</sup> Jacob Kremer, BSEH,<sup>6</sup> 11 Lance Waller, PhD,<sup>3</sup> Shirin Jabbarzadeh, MD<sup>3</sup> Jiantong Wang, MS<sup>3</sup> Yunyun Chen, MSPH<sup>3</sup> 12 13 Joshua Rosenthal<sup>\*\*</sup>, PhD,<sup>14</sup> Ashlinn Quinn, PhD,<sup>3</sup> Aris T. Papageorghiou, MD,<sup>15</sup> Usha Ramakrishnan, PhD,<sup>16</sup> Penelope P. Howards, PhD,<sup>17</sup> William Checkley, MD,<sup>12,13</sup> Jennifer L. 14 Peel PhD,<sup>18</sup> and HAPIN Investigators 15 16 17 \*Corresponding author: Kalpana Balakrishnan, PhD | Department of Environmental Health 18 Engineering, ICMR Center for Advanced Research on Air Quality, Climate and Health, Sri 19 Ramachandra Institute for Higher Education and Research (Deemed University), Chennai, 20 India | kalpanasrmc@ehe.org.in | 91-44-4592-8547 21 22 <sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Health Engineering, ICMR Center for Advanced Research on Air Quality, 23 Climate and Health, Sri Ramachandra Institute for Higher Education and Research (Deemed University), 24 Chennai, India 25 <sup>2</sup> Gangarosa Department of Environmental Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, 26 Georgia, USA 27 <sup>3</sup> Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, 28 Georgia, USA 29 <sup>4</sup> Berkeley Air Monitoring Group, Berkeley, California, USA 30 <sup>5</sup> Division of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, 31 California, USA 32 <sup>6</sup> Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA 33 <sup>7</sup> Center for Health Studies, Universidad del Valle de Guatemala, Guatemala 34 <sup>8</sup> Nell Hodgson Woodruff School of Nursing, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA 35 <sup>9</sup> Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK 36 <sup>10</sup> Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, 37 Massachusetts, USA 38 <sup>11</sup> Eagle Research Centre Limited, Kigali, Rwanda 39 <sup>12</sup> Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, 40 USA 41 <sup>13</sup> Center for Global Non-Communicable Disease Research and Training, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, 42 Maryland, USA 43 <sup>14</sup> Division of Epidemiology and Population Studies, Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health, 44 Bethesda, Maryland, USA 45 <sup>15</sup> Nuffield Department of Women's and Reproductive Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK 46 <sup>16</sup> Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, 47 USA 48 <sup>17</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA 49 <sup>18</sup> Department of Environmental and Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, 50 Colorado, USA 51 52 53 \*NOTE virms gregener degenerations and respective and the second and the second s other components of the U.S. Government.

### 54 Abstract

55

### 56 Background

- 57 Household air pollution (HAP) from solid fuel use is associated with adverse birth outcomes,
- 58 but data on exposure-response relationships are limited. We examined associations
- 59 between HAP exposures and birthweight in rural Guatemala, India, Peru, and Rwanda
- 60 during the Household Air Pollution Intervention Network (HAPIN) trial.
- 61

### 62 Methods

- 63 We recruited 3200 pregnant women between 9 and <20 weeks of gestation. Women
- 64 randomized to the intervention arm received a liquified petroleum gas (LPG) stove and fuel
- 65 during pregnancy, while control arm women continued using biomass. We measured 24-hr
- 66 personal exposures to particulate matter (PM<sub>2-5</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and black carbon
- 67 (BC) once pre-intervention (baseline), twice post-intervention, and birthweight within 24
- 68 hours of birth. We examined the relationship between the average prenatal exposure and
- 69 birthweight/weight-for-gestational age z-scores using multivariate-regression models.
- 70

### 71 Findings

- 72 Results showed an inter-quartile increase in average prenatal exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> (74·5
- $\mu g/m^3$ ) and BC (7·3  $\mu g/m^3$ ) was associated with a 14·8 (95% confidence interval [CI]: -28·7g, -
- 74 0.8g) and 21.9g (95% CI: -37.3g, -6.1g) reduction in birthweight and reduced weight-for-
- 75 gestational age z-scores of -0.03 (95% CI: -0.06, 0.00) and -0.05 (95% CI: -0.08, -0.01)
- 76 standard deviations, respectively. We found no associations for birthweight or weight-for-
- 77 gestational age z-scores with CO exposures.
- 78

# 79 Interpretation

- 80 Results provide support for continuing efforts to reduce HAP exposure alongside other
- 81 drivers of low birthweight in low- and middle-income countries.

### 82 83 Funding

- 84 The study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02944682) and funded by the U.S.
- 85 National Institutes of Health (1UM1HL134590) in collaboration with the Bill & Melinda Gates
- 86 Foundation (OPP1131279).
- 87

88 **Keywords:** Exposure-response, household air pollution, low birthweight, HAPIN Trial

- 89
- 90
- 91
- 92
- 93 94
- 95
- 96
- 97
- 98
- 99
- 100

### 101 Introduction

### 102

Household air pollution (HAP) exposures from the use of solid cooking fuels such as wood,
 coal, charcoal, dung, and agricultural residues are a leading risk factor for ill-health in low and middle-income countries (LMICs), accounting for an estimated 2·3 million premature
 deaths annually and 91·5 million disability-adjusted life years.<sup>1</sup> Systematic reviews have
 summarized the evidence for an association between HAP exposure and adverse health
 effects, including child pneumonia, chronic obstructive lung disease, lung cancer, and

- 109 cataracts.<sup>2</sup> Relatively few studies or reviews have focused on adverse perinatal outcomes
- 110 including low birthweight.<sup>3–6</sup>
- 111
- 112 LMICs bear a disproportionate share of low birthweight (LBW, defined as <2500 g regardless
- of gestational age), accounting for nearly 91% of the global burden.<sup>7</sup> The etiology of LBW is
- 114 complex, and despite ongoing efforts to address known risk factors such as maternal
   115 malnutrition, malaria, and smoking,<sup>8</sup> progress has been slow towards the ambitious global
- 115 Internation, Indiana, and Shoking, "progress has been slow towards the ambitious global
- nutrition target of a 30% reduction of LBW by 2025.<sup>7</sup> As nearly 3.8 billon people worldwide
   rely on solid fuels,<sup>9</sup> a strengthened understanding of the relationship between HAP and LBW
- rely on solid fuels,<sup>9</sup> a strengthened understanding of the relationship between HAP and LB
   would be extremely valuable for prioritizing efforts to decrease HAP exposures during
- would be extremely valuable for prioritizing efforts to decrease HAP exposures dpregnancy to improve birth outcomes.
- 120

121 Most previous studies that examine the association between HAP exposures and LBW have

- used categorical indicators of exposure based on primary fuel use, with only a handful
- reporting quantitative exposure-response (E-R) relationships for particulate matter with an
- aerodynamic diameter  $\leq 2.5$  micrometers (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)<sup>10,11</sup> or carbon monoxide (CO).<sup>12–14</sup> These
- 125 E-R studies report significant associations between prenatal PM<sub>2.5</sub> and/or CO exposures and
- 126 LBW, but also report many limitations: small sample sizes, an inability to measure multiple
- pollutants, and the use of single personal exposure measures during pregnancy and/or
   longitudinal kitchen area measurements as proxies of longer-term personal exposure.
- 129 Recent randomized control trials (RCTs) of HAP interventions in Nepal,<sup>15</sup> Nigeria,<sup>12</sup> and
- 130 Ghana<sup>16</sup> have reported null effects from intention-to-treat analyses for impacts on
- 131 birthweight, but E-R analyses within these studies have been limited.<sup>13</sup> To our knowledge,
- 132 no studies have examined E-R relationships between prenatal black carbon (BC) exposures
- 133 and birthweight.
- 134

We present results from E-R analyses performed as part of the Household Air Pollution
Intervention Network (HAPIN) RCT, an efficacy study of a free prenatal liquefied petroleum
gas (LPG) stove and fuel intervention conducted across four LMICs (Guatemala, India, Peru,
Rwanda) with repeated personal exposure measurements. We hypothesized that higher
pregnancy period PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC, and CO exposures would result in lower birthweight among
infants born to mothers enrolled in the HAPIN trial in each of – and across – the four
countries.

- 143 Methods
- 144

# 145 **Study participants and settings**

Participants were pregnant women enrolled in the HAPIN trial, details of which have been
 published previously<sup>17–19</sup> and are summarized in the trial registration (ClinicalTrials.gov

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

148 Identifier NCT02944682). The specific study areas in each country (Jalapa Municipality, Guatemala; Villupuram and Nagapatinam districts of Tamil Nadu, India; Department of 149 Puno, Peru; and Eastern Province, Rwanda) were selected based on high prevalence of 150 151 cooking with biomass, low background ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, and acceptable field feasibility as assessed during an 18-month period of planning and formative research.<sup>20,21</sup> 152 153 Between March 2018 and February 2020, we recruited a total of 3200 (800 per country) 154 non-smoking, pregnant women who were between 18 and ≤35 years of age, between 9 and 155  $\leq$  20 weeks of gestation (determined via ultrasound), and who used biomass as a primary 156 fuel. In accordance with the trial protocol, half of the participants in each country were 157 randomized to an intervention arm that received a liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) stove and 158 a continuous supply of LPG fuel following enrollment and throughout their pregnancy, while 159 the balance served as controls and continued to rely chiefly on solid biomass for cooking.

160

#### 161 Personal exposure monitoring during pregnancy

162 Prenatal personal exposure monitoring protocols and results have been described previously.<sup>19,22</sup> Briefly, at each study site, pregnant women participated in three 24-hr 163 personal exposure assessments, once at baseline (between 9 and <20 weeks of gestation) 164 and twice after randomization into the control or intervention arms (between 24-32 weeks 165 166 and 32-36 weeks of gestation, respectively). During each session, women wore customized 167 vests or aprons fitted so that instrumentation was situated close to their breathing zone. 168 PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring was performed using the Enhanced Children's MicroPEM<sup>™</sup> (ECM) (RTI 169 International), which collects (a) gravimetric samples on pre-weighed 15mm Teflon filters 170 (MTL,USA) utilizing a 2.5 micron impactor at a flow rate of 0.3 liters per minute and (b) real-171 time nephelometric data.<sup>23</sup> BC was estimated post-sampling on the ECM filters using the 172 SootScan<sup>®</sup> Model OT-21 Optical Transmissometer (Magee Scientific, USA). CO monitoring 173 was performed using the Lascar EL-CO-USB-300 DataLogger (Lascar Electronics, USA). 174 Participants were instructed to always wear the vest or apron during the 24-hr 175 measurement period, except when sleeping, bathing, or when conducting other activities 176 during which the equipment could not be safely worn. During these times, they were 177 instructed to keep the vest or apron nearby. Additionally, data were collected on 178 sociodemographic and household characteristics and activity patterns that may influence 179 exposure.

180

Procedures for assuring data quality, weighing filters, and estimating missing gravimetric 181 data based on nephelometry have been described previously.<sup>22</sup> Briefly, gravimetric data 182 183 quality assurance involved a combination of threshold values for flow rates, inlet pressure, 184 and sampling duration, as well as visual inspection of damaged filters by weighing room 185 technicians. In cases where nephelometric but not gravimetric data were available, PM<sub>2.5</sub> 186 exposure was estimated based on nephelometric data, using an instrument-specific regression coefficient for the association between nephelometric and gravimetric data for 187 that specific ECM instrument as described previously.<sup>22</sup> CO quality assurance protocols 188 189 included calibrations with zero air and span gas and a visual inspection system similar to 190 what was applied in the GRAPHS trial in Ghana.<sup>24</sup> 191

192 For E-R analyses, gestational exposures were defined for the intervention group as the 193 average of the pre- and post-intervention exposures, weighted by the amount of gestational 194 time spent in each period. The pre-intervention period exposure was estimated using the

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 195 baseline measurement, while the post-intervention exposure was estimated using one or
- 196 both personal measurements performed after intervention. This allowed for exposure
- 197 changes resulting from the introduction of the intervention to be weighted according to the
- 198 length of time participants had the intervention during gestation. An unweighted average
- 199 of the baseline and other available (1-2) gestational period measurements was used for
- 200 controls, as they continued using biomass as the primary cooking fuel throughout gestation.
- 201

#### 202 **Birthweight Outcome Measurements**

- 203 Following a standard protocol, birthweight was measured within 24 hours of birth by a 204 trained field worker or nurse using a Seca 334 mobile digital baby scale.
- 205 Newborns were weighed naked to the nearest 10 g and duplicate measurements were
- 206 recorded on tablet-based REDCap forms. If the first two measured birthweights differed by
- 207 more than 10 g, a third measurement was taken. The average of the measurements was 208 used in the data analysis. Infants were typically assessed at health facilities where they were
- 209 born. Each scale was calibrated weekly in the field offices before deployment using standard
- 210 5-lb and 10-lb weights; scales not within ±2.5% of the standard weight were re-calibrated. In
- 211 cases in which we were unable to reach the child during the prescribed 24-hr window—due
- 212 mainly to COVID restrictions or critically ill infants admitted to ICUs or referral hospitals-we
- 213 used measurements provided by the facility, if available, but conducted sensitivity analyses
- 214 to compare results.
- 215
- 216 As gestational age is a potential mediator in the causal pathway between HAP exposure and
- birthweight, we did not adjust for it in the E-R models; had we done so, its inclusion would 217
- not allow estimation of the total effect of exposure.<sup>25</sup> However, we additionally estimated z-218
- 219 scores for weight adjusted by gestational age defined using INTERGROWTH tables
- 220 (intergrowth21.tghn.org) as a secondary analysis. These weight-for-gestational age z-scores
- 221 were derived by subtracting off the standard INTERGROWTH sex-specific weight for a given
- 222 gestational age and dividing by the INTERGROWTH standard deviation of that weight.
- 223 Measurements were considered invalid if the gestational age at birth was greater than 300
- 224 days or if the birth weight-for-gestational age z-score did not fall between -6 and 5.
- 225

### 226 Exposure-Response Modeling

- 227 The statistical analysis plan was agreed upon in advance and published with the trial
- registration prior to unblinding. Analyses were independently replicated by a second 228
- 229 member of the study team. E-R analyses were modeled separately for each pollutant ( $PM_{2.5}$ ,
- 230 BC, and CO) and birthweight/birthweight-for-gestational age z-score.
- 231
- 232 Covariate selection for models was guided by a directed acyclic graph (DAG) (Figure S3). A
- 233 minimal set of potential confounders or strong risk factors (e.g., infant sex) were identified
- in systematic reviews of birthweight,<sup>3,6</sup> and from previous studies of HAP and birthweight.<sup>10-</sup> 234
- 235 <sup>12,24,26</sup> We used 10% change-in-estimate (CIE) methods as outlined in Greenland (1989)<sup>27</sup> to
- 236 evaluate and determine covariates included in the model. Final models included the
- 237 following covariates: mother's age (categorical: <20/20-24/25-29/30-35), nulliparity
- (categorical: yes/no), diet diversity (categorical: low/median/high), food insecurity 238
- 239 (categorical: food secure/mild/moderate), baseline BMI (continuous), mother's education
- 240 (categorical), child gender (categorical), baseline hemoglobin (continuous), and second-hand
- 241 smoke (categorical: yes/no). We also included a variable for ten geographical randomization

- perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .
- 242 strata (one in Rwanda, one in Guatemala, two in India, six in Peru). Eighteen and twenty-six
- subjects were missing BMI and hemoglobin, respectively, and we created a category of 243
- missing for these variables so that they were not excluded from the analysis. 244
- 245
- For both birthweight and z-scores, we first fitted linear models with different exposure 246
- metrics (i.e., linear, log linear). We then evaluated nonlinear categorical (quartile modes), as 247
- well as quadratic, 2-piece linear and restricted cubic spline model with three knots<sup>28</sup> 248
- models, and assessed model fit using Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). The knots for the 249
- 250 2-piece spline were chosen based on AIC (using quartile cutpoints initially and then
- 251 narrowing down), while knots for restricted cubic splines were placed at the 5<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and
- 252 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of exposure. We also used thin plate smoothing splines via generalized
- 253 additive models, with penalization determined by generalized cross-validation score, using R
- 254 package mgcv. We also examined effect modification by country, as well as by infant sex, via
- 255 interaction terms between our exposure metrics and these variables.
- 256

### 257 Ethics/registration/funding

- The study protocol was reviewed and approved by institutional review boards (IRBs) or 258
- 259 Ethics Committees at Emory University (00089799), Johns Hopkins University (00007403),
- 260 Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research (IEC-N1/16/JUL/54/49) and the
- 261 Indian Council of Medical Research – Health Ministry Screening Committee (5/8/4-
- 262 30/(Env)/Indo-US/2016-NCD-I), Universidad del Valle de Guatemala (146-08-2016/11-2016)
- 263 and Guatemalan Ministry of Health National Ethics Committee (11-2016), A.B. PRISMA, the
- 264 London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (11664-5) and the Rwandan National
- Ethics Committee (No·357/RNEC/2018), and Washington University in St. Louis 265
- 266 (201611159). The study was funded by the U.S. National Institutes of Health
- 267 (1UM1HL134590) in collaboration with the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1131279).
- 268 The funding sources were not involved in study design, collection, analysis, and
- interpretation of data, or decisions to submit the paper for publication. 269

### 270 271 Results

### 272 **Participant Characteristics**

- While 3200 women were enrolled in the study, 5 enrollees were determined to be ineligible 273 274 after randomization and exited the study. After accounting for miscarriages, stillbirth, and
- withdrawals, the 3195 pregnancies yielded 3060 live births. Of these, 3018 had valid 275
- 276 birthweights (others had birthweights measured outside the 24-hr window or the study
- 277 team was unable to obtain any birthweight measurement, see CONSORT diagram, Figure
- 278 S1). Sixteen additional births were excluded on account of a gestational age >300 days;
- 279 weight-for-gestational age z-scores are unavailable in the INTERGROWTH database beyond
- 280 300 days of gestation. 3002 subjects were thus eligible for inclusion in E-R analyses. These
- 281 were further restricted by the availability of exposure data for each of the three pollutants
- 282 of interest (Table 1).
- 283
- 284 Participant characteristics are summarized in Table 2. The average age was 27.6 years, with
- 38% of participants reporting nulliparity. The average (SD) gestational age at enrollment was 285 286 15.3 (± 3.1) weeks. Only 33% women reported acquiring secondary or higher levels of
- 287 education. India had the lowest BMI, hemoglobin, and diet diversity scores, while Peru had

the highest. India had the highest proportion of smokers in the household. Mobile phoneownership was uniformly high across countries.

290

## 291 PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC, and CO exposures

- We obtained 2717, 2560, and 2772 valid 24-hr prenatal personal PM 2-5, BC, and CO
- 293 exposure measurements, respectively. Mean (SD) weighted exposures during pregnancy
- 294 were 92·2 (83·9) μg/m<sup>3</sup> for PM<sub>2·5</sub>, 10·0 (7·4) μg/m<sup>3</sup> for BC, and 2.0 (2·9) ppm for CO (Table
- S6). PM<sub>2·5</sub> and BC exposures were highly correlated (Spearman's  $\rho$  = 0·79), but correlations
- between exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CO (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.34$ ) as well as BC and CO (Spearman's
- 297  $\rho = 0.39$ ) were relatively weak. The intervention resulted in marked reduction in exposure.
- 298 Post-intervention mean personal  $PM_{2.5}$  was 24.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in the intervention arm and
- $299 \quad 70.7 \mu g/m^3$  in the control arm. Similar reductions of exposure were seen for BC and CO. 300
- 301 Exposure distributions are depicted in Figure 1 and described in Supplemental Table 6.
- 302 Details on exposure settings and additional sociodemographic characteristics are reported
- 303 elsewhere.<sup>22</sup> Missing exposure data was largely due to equipment failure and was likely to
- 304 be missing at random (MAR); for more details see Johnson et al. (2021).<sup>22</sup>

# 305306 *Birthweight*

- The mean (SD) birthweight of live born infants was 2909 (471) g with mean gestational age at delivery of 39·3 (1·5) weeks; 5·3% of births were classified as preterm (163/3002) and 17·7% as LBW (Figure 1). Mean (SD) birthweight was 2921 g (474·3 g) in the intervention arm and 2898 g (467·9 g) in the control arm, a difference of 19·6 g (95% CI: -10·1 g, 49·2 g).
- 311

### 312 *Exposure-response analyses*

- 313 Results for linear and log-linear models for birthweight and for weight-for-gestational age z-314 score are shown in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively, for each of the three measured 315 pollutants. Quartile models are presented in Tables S1 and S2. In linear models, an inter-316 quartile increase in gestational exposure for PM<sub>2.5</sub> (74.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and BC (7.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) was 317 associated with a change in birthweight of -14.8 g (95% CI: -28.7 g, -0.8 g] and -21.9 g (95% 318 CI: -37.7 g, -6.1 g], respectively (Table 3). For weight-for-gestational age z-scores, the same 319 exposure increases were associated with a decrease of 0.03 (95% CI: -0.06, 0.00) and 0.05 320 (95%CI: -0.08, -0.01) standard deviations, respectively (Table 4). No associations were apparent between CO exposures and birthweight in the linear models or between any of the 321
- 322 measured pollutants and LBW prevalence. Quartile analyses (Tables S1 and S2) showed that
- 323 the decrease in birthweight and z-scores were not monotonic for  $PM_{2.5}$ , while decreases
- 324 were monotonic for z-scores but not birthweight for BC.
- 325
- 326 Evaluation of different models indicated that the linear fit presented above was appropriate
- to model the relationships between the birthweight outcomes and BC. For PM<sub>2.5</sub>, however,
- 328 a quadratic (non-linear) fit was better suited to the birthweight outcome (Table S3 and
- Figure S2), with a positive linear coefficient (0.2325) and a negative quadratic coefficient (-
- 0.009), indicating an initial increase in birthweight with higher PM<sub>2.5</sub> followed by a
- 331 subsequent decrease at the higher exposures. Both categorical and cubic spline models
- supported this relationship (Figure S2). Linear models fit best for BC for both birthweight
- and z-scores, as well as PM<sub>2.5</sub> and z-scores. Smoothed E-R curves for PM<sub>2.5</sub>/BC and
- birthweight and weight-for-gestational age z-scores can be seen in Figure 2 and Figure 3.

# perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

### 335

336 Trends for full term births (95% of births) were similar to trends for all births (Table S4). No 337 statistically significant interactions (at the 0.05 level) were observed with infant sex, but 338 female births showed a larger effect than male births for birthweight, and for z-scores 339 (Table S5). Trends were reasonably consistent across countries for the association between 340 PM<sub>2.5</sub> and BC with both birthweight and z-scores (Tables S7 and S8). We also ran separate 341 models for our three exposure measurements during gestation, i.e. for baseline, mid-point, and end of gestation measurements (these corresponding roughly to early 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester, 342 end of 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester, and end of 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester). These models, for both birthweight and z-343 344 score, showed no pattern whereby early or later exposures had stronger effects on the 345 outcome (Table S9). Indeed all time-specific E-R coefficients were weaker than those 346 coefficients using average exposure. This might occur because single measurements involve 347 more measurement error than average exposure across gestation, biasing results to the 348 null.

349

#### 350 Discussion

351

352 The findings of this study suggest that reducing prenatal HAP exposure could yield modest 353 potential benefits for birthweight that are not consistent across all pollutants. To our 354 knowledge, ours is the first study reporting on E-R relationships between gestational BC 355 exposures from HAP and birthweight. Notably, a 7.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> reduction in prenatal BC 356 exposure was associated with an increase in birthweight of about 22 g, which could have

357 positive implications for populations with a high prevalence of low birthweight.

358 359 Only three prior studies have published quantitative E-R results for birth outcomes in 360 relation to HAP exposure, focusing on PM<sub>2.5</sub> and/or CO. In a cohort of 239 pregnant women 361 in Tanzania, there was a negative association between CO exposure and new-born birthweight, but results were not statistically significant.<sup>29</sup> The Tanzania study also reported 362 a 150 g (95% CI: -300 g, 0 g) reduction in birthweight per 23.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The 363 364 second study, among 1285 women in the Tamil Nadu region of India, reported a 4 g (95% CI: 365 1.08 g, 6.76 g) decrease in birthweight and a 2% increase in the prevalence of LBW (95% CI: 0.05%, 4.1%) for each 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in kitchen area PM<sub>2.5</sub> measured during 366 pregnancy.<sup>10</sup> The third study, conducted as part of the GRAPHS trial in Ghana,<sup>13</sup> observed 367 effects of CO on birthweight, birth length, and gestational age that were modified by 368 369 placental malarial status. Among infants from pregnancies without evidence of placental 370 malaria, each 1 ppm increase in CO was associated with reduced birthweight (-53.4 g [95% 371 CI: -84.8, -21.9 g]), birth length (-0.3 cm [95% CI: -0.6, -0.1 cm]), gestational age (-1.0 days 372 [95% Cl: -1.8, -0.2 days], and weight-for-gestational age z-score (-0.08 [95% Cl: -0.16, -0.16)373 -0.01] standard deviations]). These associations were not observed in pregnancies with 374 evidence of placental malaria. PM<sub>2.5</sub> measurements were, however, limited in the GRAPHS 375 trial and no association between PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure and birthweight was observed.

376

377 The negative associations between PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposures and birthweight in our study are

consistent with previous studies, but at the lower end of reported estimates. In contrast, 378

379 the lack of an association between prenatal CO exposure and birthweight was unexpected.

- 380 However, this is not entirely surprising as the correlations between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and CO have not 381 been uniform across HAP settings. A systematic review examining this relationship<sup>30</sup> found
- 8

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

inconsistent correlation with slightly stronger correlation among exclusive biomass users relative to mixed fuel users (R2 = 0.29 versus 0.18). The relatively modest correlations between either PM<sub>2.5</sub> or BC and CO observed in our study may have been driven under our study conditions of exclusive biomass and LPG use.

386

387 Trials of cookstove interventions to improve birth outcomes have had mixed outcomes: an 388 improved biomass cookstove in a cohort of 174 infants in Guatemala was associated with 89 g higher birth weight (95% CI: –27 g, 204 g) in adjusted analysis <sup>14</sup>, and a clean-burning 389 390 ethanol stove intervention in Nigeria was associated with 128 g higher birth weight (95% CI: 20 g, 236 g) among 258 infants in adjusted analysis.<sup>12</sup> Meanwhile, neither an improved 391 biomass nor an LPG stove improved birth outcomes in two linked trials covering almost 392 3000 individuals in southern Nepal.<sup>15</sup> These trials have not reported quantitative E-R 393 relations. In the GRAPHS trial, while there was a significant E-R relationship between CO 394 395 exposures and birthweight,<sup>13</sup> neither prenatally-introduced LPG nor improved biomass 396 cookstoves improved birthweight. The investigators in all previous trials hypothesize that 397 this is perhaps due to lower-than-expected exposure reductions in the intervention arm. 398

399 The HAP exposure levels associated with biomass use (such as at baseline and in the control 400 arm) in our study are at the lower end of what has been reported in previous trials, with the possible exception of the GRAPHS trial. Based on pilot phase exposure reductions <sup>20,21</sup> and 401 estimated supra-linear E-R relationships for HAP and birthweight,<sup>31</sup> we hypothesised that 402 403 the levels observed during pilot work implied that exposure reductions would occur on the 404 steep part of the response curve for birthweight. Given the relative paucity of studies on 405 quantitative E-R analyses for HAP based on personal exposures, it is quite possible that the 406 shape of the E-R curve is different than what was previously estimated. Our study 407 contributes important information regarding this relationship based on high quality personal 408 HAP exposure and birthweight measurements from four diverse settings that can inform 409 future development of pooled E-R coefficients spanning the range of experienced HAP 410 exposures and may inform future E-R curves that integrate across air pollution sources. 411

412 Finally, we note that other unmeasured factors including placental malaria, water and

- 413 sanitation, and nutritional deficiencies may have outweighed the effects of HAP on
- 414 birthweight outcomes.
- 415

### 416 **Conclusions**

417

In this study population drawn from diverse socio-demographic settings across four
countries, exposure to HAP – particularly to BC and to a lesser extent to PM<sub>2.5</sub> during
pregnancy was associated with reduced birthweight and weight-for-gestational age zscores. To our knowledge, ours is the first study reporting on E-R relationships between
gestational BC exposures from HAP and birthweight. The association, while modest,
provides strong support for continuing efforts to address HAP exposures alongside other
drivers of impaired fetal growth in LMICs.

- 426
- 427
- 428

### 429 Data Sharing

Will individual participant data be available (including data dictionaries)?	Yes
What data in particular will be shared?	Individual participant data that underlie the results reported in this article, after de-identification (all results summarized in text, tables, figures, and appendices)
What other documents will be available?	Study protocol, statistical analysis plan, informed consent form, analytic code
When will data be available (start and end dates)?	Beginning 6 months following article publication
With whom?	Anyone who wishes to access the data
For what types of analyses?	Any purpose
By what mechanism will data be made available?	Data are available indefinitely at DataVerse (link to be included)

430

### 431 Acknowledgments

### 432

433 The HAPIN trial is funded by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (cooperative

434 agreement 1UM1HL134590) in collaboration with the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

435 (OPP1131279). The investigators would like to thank the members of the advisory

436 committee - Patrick Brysse, Donna Spiegelman, and Joel Kaufman - for their valuable insight
437 and guidance throughout the implementation of the trial. We also wish to acknowledge all
438 research staff and study participants for their dedication to and participation in this

439 important trial.

440

441 A multidisciplinary, independent Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) appointed by 442 the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) monitors the quality of the data and 443 protects the safety of patients enrolled in the HAPIN trial. NHLBI DSMB: Nancy R Cook, 444 Stephen Hecht, Catherine Karr (Chair), Joseph Millum, Nalini Sathiakumar, Paul K Whelton, 445 Gail Weinmann and Thomas Croxton (Executive Secretaries). Program Coordination: Gail 446 Rodgers, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation; Claudia L Thompson, National Institute of 447 Environmental Health Science; Mark J. Parascandola, National Cancer Institute; Marion 448 Koso-Thomas, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human 449 Development; Joshua P Rosenthal, Fogarty International Center; Conception R Nierras, NIH 450 Office of Strategic Coordination Common Fund; Katherine Kavounis, Dong-Yun Kim, 451 Antonello Punturieri, and Barry S Schmetter, NHLBI. The findings and conclusions in this 452 report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the 453 US National Institutes of Health or Department of Health and Human Services. 454 455 456

457 **References** 

459 1 Bennitt FB, Wozniak SS, Causey K, Burkart K, Brauer M. Estimating disease burden
460 attributable to household air pollution: new methods within the Global Burden of Disease
461 Study. *The Lancet Global Health* 2021; **9**: S18.

Smith KR, Bruce N, Balakrishnan K, *et al.* Millions Dead: How Do We Know and What Does
It Mean? Methods Used in the Comparative Risk Assessment of Household Air Pollution. *Annual Review of Public Health* 2014; **35**: 185–206.

- Amegah AK, Quansah R, Jaakkola JJK. Household Air Pollution from Solid Fuel Use and
  Risk of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the
  Empirical Evidence. *PloS one* 2014; **9**: e113920.
- 4 Ghosh R, Causey K, Burkart K, Wozniak S, Cohen A, Brauer M. Ambient and household
  PM2.5 pollution and adverse perinatal outcomes: A meta-regression and analysis of
  attributable global burden for 204 countries and territories. *PLOS Medicine* 2021; 18:
  e1003718.

Fope DP, Mishra V, Thompson L, *et al.* Risk of Low Birth Weight and Stillbirth Associated
With Indoor Air Pollution From Solid Fuel Use in Developing Countries. *Epidemiol Rev*2010; **32**: 70–81.

- 475 6 Younger A, Alkon A, Harknett K, Jean Louis R, Thompson LM. Adverse birth outcomes
  476 associated with household air pollution from unclean cooking fuels in low- and middle477 income countries: A systematic review. *Environmental Research* 2022; **204**: 112274.
- 478 7 Blencowe H, Krasevec J, de Onis M, *et al.* National, regional, and worldwide estimates of
  479 low birthweight in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob*480 *Health* 2019; **7**: e849–60.
- 481 8 Accrombessi M, Zeitlin J, Massougbodji A, Cot M, Briand V. What Do We Know about Risk
  482 Factors for Fetal Growth Restriction in Africa at the Time of Sustainable Development
  483 Goals? A Scoping Review. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2018; **32**: 184–96.
- 484 9 Stoner O, Lewis J, Martínez IL, Gumy S, Economou T, Adair-Rohani H. Household cooking
  485 fuel estimates at global and country level for 1990 to 2030. *Nature Communications*486 2021; **12**: 5793.
- 487 10Balakrishnan K, Ghosh S, Thangavel G, *et al.* Exposures to fine particulate matter (PM2.5)
  488 and birthweight in a rural-urban, mother-child cohort in Tamil Nadu, India. *Environmental*489 *Research* 2018; **161**: 524–31.
- 490 11 Wylie BJ, Kishashu Y, Matechi E, *et al.* Maternal exposure to carbon monoxide and fine
  491 particulate matter during pregnancy in an urban Tanzanian cohort. *Indoor Air* 2017; 27:
  492 136–46.
- 493 12 Alexander DA, Northcross A, Karrison T, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes and ethanol cook
  494 stove intervention: A randomized-controlled trial in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Environment*495 *International* 2018; **111**: 152–63.

496 13 Quinn AK, Adjei IA, Ae-Ngibise KA, *et al.* Prenatal household air pollutant exposure is
497 associated with reduced size and gestational age at birth among a cohort of Ghanaian
498 infants. *Environ Int* 2021; **155**: 106659.

14Thompson LM, Bruce N, Eskenazi B, Diaz A, Pope D, Smith KR. Impact of Reduced
Maternal Exposures to Wood Smoke from an Introduced Chimney Stove on Newborn
Birth Weight in Rural Guatemala. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2011; **119**: 1489–94.

- 502 15 Katz J, Tielsch JM, Khatry SK, *et al.* Impact of Improved Biomass and Liquid Petroleum Gas
   503 Stoves on Birth Outcomes in Rural Nepal: Results of 2 Randomized Trials. *Glob Health Sci* 504 *Pract* 2020; **8**: 372–82.
- 16 Jack DW, Ae-Ngibise KA, Gould CF, *et al.* A cluster randomised trial of cookstove
  interventions to improve infant health in Ghana. *BMJ Glob Health* 2021; 6: e005599.
- 17 Barr DB, Puttaswamy N, Jaacks LM, *et al.* Design and Rationale of the Biomarker Center of
  the Household Air Pollution Intervention Network (HAPIN) Trial. *Environ Health Perspect*2020; **128**: 047010.
- 18 Clasen T, Checkley W, Peel JL, *et al.* Design and Rationale of the HAPIN Study: A
   Multicountry Randomized Controlled Trial to Assess the Effect of Liquefied Petroleum Gas
   Stove and Continuous Fuel Distribution. *Environ Health Perspect* 2020; **128**: 047008.
- 513 19 Johnson MA, Steenland K, Piedrahita R, *et al.* Air Pollutant Exposure and Stove Use
  514 Assessment Methods for the Household Air Pollution Intervention Network (HAPIN) Trial.
  515 *Environ Health Perspect* 2020; **128**: 047009.
- 20Liao J, Kirby MA, Pillarisetti A, *et al.* LPG stove and fuel intervention among pregnant
  women reduce fine particle air pollution exposures in three countries: Pilot results from
  the HAPIN trial. *Environmental Pollution* 2021; **291**: 118198.
- 21Sambandam S, Mukhopadhyay K, Sendhil S, *et al.* Exposure contrasts associated with a
  liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) intervention at potential field sites for the multi-country
  household air pollution intervention network (HAPIN) trial in India: results from pilot
  phase activities in rural Tamil Nadu. *BMC Public Health* 2020; **20**: 1799.
- 523 22 Johnson M, Pillarisetti A, Piedrahita R, *et al.* Exposure contrasts of pregnant women
  524 during the Household Air Pollution Intervention Network randomized controlled trial.
  525 Occupational and Environmental Health, 2021 DOI:10.1101/2021.11.04.21265938.
- 23 Burrowes VJ, Piedrahita R, Pillarisetti A, *et al.* Comparison of next-generation portable
  pollution monitors to measure exposure to PM <sub>2.5</sub> from household air pollution in Puno,
  Peru. *Indoor Air* 2020; **30**: 445–58.
- 24 Chillrud SN, Ae-Ngibise KA, Gould CF, *et al.* The effect of clean cooking interventions on
  mother and child personal exposure to air pollution: results from the Ghana Randomized
  Air Pollution and Health Study (GRAPHS). *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 2021; **31**: 683–98.

- 532 25 Wilcox RR. Introduction to Robust Estimation and Hypothesis Testing. Academic Press,533 2011.
- 26Tielsch JM, Katz J, Thulasiraj RD, *et al.* Exposure to indoor biomass fuel and tobacco
  smoke and risk of adverse reproductive outcomes, mortality, respiratory morbidity and
  growth among newborn infants in south India. *International Journal of Epidemiology*2009; **38**: 1351–63.
- 538 27 Greenland S. Modeling and variable selection in epidemiologic analysis. *Am J Public* 539 *Health* 1989; **79**: 340–9.
- 540 28 Harre FE Jr, Lee KL, Pollock BG. Regression Models in Clinical Studies: Determining
  541 Relationships Between Predictors and Response2. *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer*542 *Institute* 1988; **80**: 1198–202.
- 543 29 Wylie BJ, Matechi E, Kishashu Y, *et al.* Placental Pathology Associated with Household Air
  544 Pollution in a Cohort of Pregnant Women from Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Environmental*545 *health perspectives* 2017; **125**: 134–40.
- 30 Carter E, Norris C, Dionisio KL, *et al.* Assessing Exposure to Household Air Pollution: A
  Systematic Review and Pooled Analysis of Carbon Monoxide as a Surrogate Measure of
  Particulate Matter. *Environmental health perspectives* 2017; **125**: 076002.
- 31Steenland K, Pillarisetti A, Kirby M, *et al.* Modeling the potential health benefits of lower
  household air pollution after a hypothetical liquified petroleum gas (LPG) cookstove
  intervention. *Environment International* 2018; **111**: 71–9.

**Table 1.** Summary of number of observations used in the exposure-response analysis

Research center	Pregnant women enrolled	Valid birthweights <sup>1</sup>	Valid PM <sub>2·5</sub> exposure measures	Valid BC exposure measures	Valid CO exposure measures
Guatemala	800	750	703	677	727
India	799	773	710	698	735
Peru	798	730	609	567	600
Rwanda	798	749	695	618	710
Total N (%)	3195*	3002	2717 (91%)	2560 (85%)	2772 (92%)

<sup>1</sup>Women with valid birthweights, excluding children whose birth with gestational age greater than 300 days (z-scores unavailable from INTERGROWTH)

Maternal characteristics <sup>1</sup>	Guatemala	India	Peru	Rwanda	Total
	N=750	N=773	N=730	N=749	N=3002
Age (years), N (%)					
<20	115 (15)	122 (15)	93 (12)	46 (6)	376 (12)
20 – 24	303 (40)	373 (48)	261 (35)	187 (25)	1124 (37)
25-29	221 (29)	223 (28)	232 (31)	280 (37)	956 (31)
30-35	111 (15)	55 (7)	144 (19)	236 (31)	546 (18)
Gestational age at recruitment (weeks), mean (SD)	14.3 (3)	16 (3)	15·7 (3·3)	15.4 (2.8)	15·3 (3·1)
Nulliparous, N (%)					
Yes	213 (28)	442 (57)	278 (38)	218 (29)	1151 (38)
No	537 (71)	337 (42)	448 (61)	529 (70)	1845 (61)
Highest level of education completed, N (%)					
No formal education / some primary school	358 (47)	275 (35)	32 (4)	316 (42)	981 (32)
Primary school / some secondary school	298 (38)	219 (28)	224 (30)	299 (40)	1034 (35)
Secondary / Vocational /Some University	100 (13)	279 (36)	474 (65)	134 (18)	987 (33)
Height (cm), mean (SD)	148 (5·3)	151 (5·6)	152 (4·5)	156 (5·8)	152 (6·2)
Body mass index (kg/m2) mean (SD)	23.7 (3.3)	19·7 (3·1)	26 (3·5)	23.4 (3.4)	23·2 (4·1)
Hemoglobin (gm/dl), mean (SD)	12.7 (1.04)	10.3 (1.2)	14 (1·2)	12·4 (1·5)	12·4 (1·9)
Minimum dietary diversity, Category (score) N (%)					
Low (<4)	514 (68)	600 (77)	73 (10)	505 (67)	1692 (56)
Medium (4-5)	206 (27)	149 (20)	403 (55)	208 (27)	966 (32)
High (>5)	30 (4)	24 (3)	254 (34)	35 (4)	343 (11)
Household food insecurity, Category (score), N (%)					
Food secure	415 (56)	628 (81)	378 (52)	276 (37)	1697 (57)
Mild (1, 2, 3)	238 (32)	108 (14)	251 (34)	212 (29)	809 (27)
Moderate (4, 5, 6) / Severe (7, 8)	88 (11)	33 (4)	91 (12)	243 (33)	455 (15)

### **Table 2.** Trial-wide and country-specific maternal characteristics

Number of people sleeping in house, mean (SD)	5.1 (2.6)	3·7 (1·5)	4·5 (1·7)	3.4 (1.4)	4·3 (2)
Someone in the household smokes, N (%)					
Yes	39 (5)	244 (31)	7 (1)	28 (4)	318 (11)
No	711 (94)	529 (68)	722 (99)	719 (96)	2681 (89)
Owns household assets, N (%)					
Color Television	344 (45)	577 (74)	470 (64)	98 (13)	1489 (49)
Radio	283 (37)	105 (13)	540 (73)	420 (56)	1348 (45
Mobile phone	687 (91)	635 (82)	699 (95)	594 (79)	2815 (87)
Bicycle	94 (12)	120 (15)	278 (38)	229 (30)	721 (24)
Bank account	186 (24)	695 (89)	172 (23)	221 (29)	1274 (42)

<sup>1</sup>Descriptive statistics summary based on 3002 pregnant women included in the final analyses, which includes women with live births, valid birthweights,

10 and gestational age at birth < 300 days

#### 11 Table 3. Change in birthweight for an IQR increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC, and CO<sup>1</sup>

Pollutant	Model Type	Estimate (95% CI)	p-value	AIC
PM <sub>2·5</sub>	Linear	-14·8 (-28·7, -0·8)	0.04	40211
	Log linear	-11·2 (-33·6, 11·2)	0.33	40215
BC	Linear	-21·9 (-37·7, -6·1)	0.01	37876
	Log linear	-19·2 (-40·1, 1·7)	0.07	37880
СО	Linear	-3·1 (-12·1, 5·8)	0.50	41017
	Log Linear	10.6 (-7.2, 28.4)	0.24	41017

12 <sup>1</sup> All models adjusted for mother's education, baseline BMI, nulliparity, diet diversity, food insecurity 13 score, second-hand smoke, baseline hemoglobin, age, infant sex, and 10 randomization strata. IQRs

14 for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC, and CO were 74.51, 7.30, and 1.68 respectively. On the log scale, IQRs for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC,

15 and CO were 1.04, 0.85, and 1.40 respectively.

18	Table 4. Change in weight-for-g	estational age z-scores with ar	n IQR increase in PM <sub>2.5</sub> ,	BC, and CO <sup>1</sup>
----	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------

Pollutant	Model	Estimate (95% CI)	p-value	AIC
PM <sub>2·5</sub>	Linear	-0.03 (-0.06, 0.00)	0.04	7021
	Log linear	-0.04 (0.09, 0.01)	0.10	7023
BC	linear	-0.05 (-0.08, -0.01)	0.01	6591
	Log linear	-0.06 (-0.10, -0.01)	0.02	6593
СО	linear	-0·003 (-0·023, 0·017)	0.78	7215
	Log linear	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)	0·24	7214

19 <sup>1</sup>All models adjusted for mother's education, baseline BMI, nulliparity, diet diversity, food insecurity

20 score, second-hand smoke, baseline hemoglobin, age, infant sex and 10 randomization strata. IQRs

21 for PM2·5, BC, and CO were 74·51, 7·30, and 1·68 respectively. On the log scale, IQRs for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC,

22 and CO were 1.04, 0.85, and 1.40 respectively.



**Figure 1.** Distribution of (A) birthweight and time-weighted (B)  $PM_{2\cdot5}$ , (C) BC, and (D) CO. Corresponding numeric data are in Supplemental Table 6. Results are presented separately for each study site and in combination for the entire trial. Dots are individual datapoints. X-axes are log transformed. Thick solid lines inside the box are medians. The lower and upper hinges (i.e., the ends of the box) correspond to the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles. The whiskers (i.e., the lines beyond the box) extend from the hinge to  $1\cdot5 * IQR$ . The panel-wide dotted vertical lines are study-wide medians. In panel (A), the shaded area indicates low birthweight (< 2500 g). In Panel (B), the dashed line is the WHO Interim Target 1 annual guideline value of 35 µg/m3.



**Figure 2.** Exposure-response relationships between birthweight and prenatal PM<sub>2:5</sub>, BC, and CO personal exposures. Vertical dashes along the x-axis are observed measurements.



**Figure 3.** Exposure-response relationships between weight-for-gestational age z-scores and prenatal PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC, and CO personal exposures. Vertical dashes along the x-axis are observed measurements.