High interest in Long-Acting Injectable PrEP among Filipina **Transfeminine Adults**

Arjee Javellana Restar, PhD MPH^{1,2,3*}, Ma Irene Quilantang, MA, ScM^{3,4,5}, Jeffrey Wickersham,

PhD, MA^{2,6}, Alex Adia, MPH^{3,7}, John Guigavoma, MPH^{3,4}, Amiel Nazer Bermudez, MD,

MPH^{3,8,9}, Omar Galárraga, PhD¹⁰, Dalmacio Dennis Flores, PhD, ACRN^{2,11}, Susan Cu-Uvin,

MD^{3,4}, Jennifer Nazareno, PhD^{3,4}, Don Operario, PhD,^{3,12} Olivia Sison, MS, ScM^{3,8,13}

- ¹ Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, School of Public Health, Seattle, WA, USA
- ² Department of Behavioral and Social Sciences, Research Education Institute for Diverse Scholars (REIDS), Yale University School of Public Health, New Haven, CT, USA
- ³ Philippines Health Initiative for Research, Service & Training (PHIRST), Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA
- ⁴ Department of Behavioral and Social Sciences, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA
- ⁵ Department of Behavioral Sciences, University of Philippines-Manila, Manila, Philippines
- ⁶ Department of Internal Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA
- ⁷ Division of Health Policy and Management, University of California Berkeley School of Public Health, Berkeley, CA, USA
- ⁸ Department of Epidemiology, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA
- ⁹ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Philippines-Manila, Manila, Philippines
- ¹⁰ Department of Health Services, Policy, and Practice, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, USA
- ¹¹ Department of Family and Community Health, University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing, Philadelphia, PA, USA
- ¹² Department of Behavioral, Social, and Health Education Sciences. Emory University Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA, USA
- ¹³ Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, National Institutes of Health, University of Philippines-Manila, Manila, Philippines

* Corresponding Author Email: restar@uw.edu

1 Abstract

2

3 Transfeminine adults are impacted by the HIV epidemic in the Philippines, and newly approved 4 modalities of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), including long-acting injectable (LAI-PrEP), 5 could be beneficial for this group. We utilized secondary data from the #ParaSaAtin survey that 6 sampled Filipina transfeminine adults (n=139) and conducted a series of multivariable logistic 7 regressions with lasso selection to explore factors independently associated with PrEP outcomes, 8 including awareness, discussion with trans friends, and interest in LAI-PrEP. Overall, 53% of 9 Filipina transfeminine respondents were aware of PrEP, 39% had discussed PrEP with their trans 10 friends, and 73% were interested in LAI-PrEP. PrEP awareness was associated with being non-11 Catholic, having previously been HIV tested, discussing HIV services with a provider, and 12 having high HIV knowledge (p<0.05). Discussing PrEP with friends was associated with older 13 age, having experienced healthcare discrimination due to transgender identity, having HIV 14 tested, and having discussed HIV services with a provider (p<0.05). Interest in LAI-PrEP was 15 associated with living in Central Visayas, having discussed HIV services with a provider, and having discussed HIV services with a sexual partner were associated (p<0.05). Implementing 16 17 LAI-PrEP in the Philippines requires addressing systemic improvements across personal, interpersonal, social, and structural levels in healthcare access, including efforts to create 18 healthcare settings and environments with providers who are trained and competent in 19 20 transgender health and can address the social and structural drivers of trans health inequities, 21 including HIV and barriers to LAI-PrEP. 22

23

233/300

25 Introduction

26 Transfeminine adults are disproportionately impacted by the growing HIV epidemic in the 27 Philippines, where the epidemic has grown exponentially over the last decade [1]. Based on 28 successful findings from a PrEP demonstration study in the Philippines showing clinical 29 effectiveness [2], the Philippines' Department of Health recently approved 30 Tenofovir/Emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) as daily oral pre-exposure prophylaxes (PrEP) for HIV 31 prevention [3]. Updated national clinical guidelines now recognize PrEP as part of its national 32 essential medicine formulary as of January 2022 [3], and recommend the urgent need to deliver it 33 to populations placed vulnerably to HIV infection, including Filipina transfeminine adults who 34 are bearing the brunt of the epidemic – with HIV prevalence approximately doubling (3.9% in 2020 vs. 1.7% in 2018) within a short timeline [1, 4]. Moreover, with several clinical trials in the 35 36 pipeline testing new modalities such as long-acting injectable pre-exposure prophylaxes (LAI-37 PrEP) – including the cabotegravir extended-release injectable suspension formulation that was 38 recently approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration that showed superior 39 efficacy when compared to daily oral PrEP among transfeminine adults [5, 6] – understanding 40 how LAI-PrEP would fit into the lives of transfeminine adults in the Philippines is necessary for 41 future PrEP implementation programs in the county.

42

The emerging literature of implementation science, as applied within the field of biomedical HIV prevention [7, 8], emphasizes the need for a critical, formative understanding of multiple determinants across the socio-ecological (e.g., personal, social, community, structural) levels that can guide implementation of LAI-PrEP. In a systematic review synthesizing determinants of PrEP implementation [8], frequent barriers included factors across socio-ecological levels: (a) at

48 the personal level - lack of PrEP awareness and knowledge, adherence challenges to daily 49 medication over time, and concerns about side effects and interactions with other medications 50 such as gender-affirming hormones [9-12]; (b) at the interpersonal level - discomfort talking to 51 providers about PrEP, provider's lack of knowledge or support of PrEP, and having mistrust of 52 providers [13-15]; (c) at the social level -lack of partner support for taking PrEP, and lack of 53 communication about health issues and PrEP among community members [14, 16, 17], including 54 PrEP discussion among trans friends and providers [15]; and (d) at the structural level - having 55 segregated or fragmented health care systems, concern about paying for PrEP including out-of-56 pocket costs for necessary labs and visit copays, frequency of required clinic visits, stigma and 57 discriminatory practices and policies related to PrEP, HIV, transgender identity, and sexual 58 behavior, as well structural determinants such as poverty, unemployment, unstable housing [14, 59 16-19]. Moreover, while the Philippines is considered one of the most socially tolerant countries 60 for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) people compared to other neighboring 61 countries in the Southeast Asian region [20], its policies and laws continue to reflect transphobia, 62 sexual conservatism, and highly influenced by pervasive religious fundamentalist hegemony [21-63 23], with 81% of the country's population being affiliated with Catholicism [24].

64

Given transfeminine adults' vulnerability to HIV infection in the Philippines as well as the
multiple barriers and challenges across the socio-ecological levels in implementing PrEP,
understanding promising strategies to deliver new PrEP modalities is vital to its success. While
some lessons can be derived from previous PrEP studies, advances in injectable PrEP as a
delivery modality could pose unique challenges and barriers to implementation of LAI-PrEP
among transfeminine adults in the Philippines, which are necessary considerations for LAI-PrEP

71	program designers and providers to optimize engagement across the PrEP continua (i.e.,
72	awareness, uptake, adherence, and retention) [25-29]. To our team's knowledge, there are
73	currently no studies that specifically examine LAI-PrEP among Filipina transfeminine
74	populations [30]. Given the need for formative studies in LAI-PrEP implementation among
75	Filipina transfeminine adults, the purpose of our exploratory study is to examine prevalence and
76	correlates of outcomes along the PrEP continua, particularly awareness, discussion among
77	friends, and interest in taking LAI-PrEP.
78	
79	
80	Methods
81	Study Sample and Procedures
82	We utilized the STROBE checklist for reporting cross-sectional study, which can be found in S1
82 83	We utilized the STROBE checklist for reporting cross-sectional study, which can be found in S1 Table.
82 83 84	We utilized the STROBE checklist for reporting cross-sectional study, which can be found in S1 Table.
82 83 84 85	We utilized the STROBE checklist for reporting cross-sectional study, which can be found in S1 Table. This is a secondary data analysis of Filipina transfeminine respondents (n=139) from the
82 83 84 85 86	We utilized the STROBE checklist for reporting cross-sectional study, which can be found in S1 Table. This is a secondary data analysis of Filipina transfeminine respondents (n=139) from the #ParaSaAtin project, a cross-sectional online survey conducted between June 2018 to May 2019
82 83 84 85 86 87	We utilized the STROBE checklist for reporting cross-sectional study, which can be found in S1 Table. This is a secondary data analysis of Filipina transfeminine respondents (n=139) from the #ParaSaAtin project, a cross-sectional online survey conducted between June 2018 to May 2019 that examined the social, community, and structural drivers of HIV and factors that impact the

this analysis, we focused specifically on PrEP awareness, PrEP discussion among trans friends,

90 and interest in LAI-PrEP as outcomes.

91

92 Full study procedures are described elsewhere [31]. Briefly, the study used online convenience93 sampling recruitment strategies, which included deploying the survey using banners, social

94 media advertisements, and online social groups (e.g., Facebook, Twitter) hosted by local HIV 95 community-based organizations and transgender communities in the Philippines. Participants 96 enrolled in the study were: 18 years or older, transfeminine (i.e. have a gender identity along the 97 transfeminine spectrum such as women, trans women, and with sex assigned at birth as male), 98 had condomless sex within the past year, currently living in Metro Manila and Central Visayas 99 (i.e., the two HIV hotspot areas in the country), and demonstrated English and consent 100 comprehension via a brief cognitive screening form. We utilized multiple best practices for 101 online sampling procedures [32], which include: (1) using a captcha box to eliminate out robots 102 as well as, (2) deactivating ballot stuffing to prevent survey takers from taking the survey more 103 than once. The survey lasted between 20 to 25 minutes, and participants received ₱300 (\$5.85 104 USD) for completing the survey. 105 106 Measures

107 **Demographic factors**

108 Participants were asked about their age (18 to 24, 25 to 29, 30 to 34, 35 years or more), current

- 109 living location (Metropolitan Manila, or Central Visayas), highest education attained (high
- school and below, some college, or college and beyond), past year income (no income / less than
- 111 ₱10,000, ₱10,000 to less than ₱20,000, ₱20,000 to less than ₱30,000, ₱30,000 or more),
- religious affiliation (Catholic, non-Catholic (e.g., Protestant, Christian), or non-religious), and
- sexual orientation (gay/lesbian, bisexual, straight, or another sexual orientation).

114

115 Social marginalization factors

Social marginalization included measures specific to homelessness, unemployment, and whether
they themselves engaged in sex work. We assessed whether participants had a history of
homelessness (yes vs. no), were currently unemployed (yes vs. no), or recently within the past 4
months) had engaged in sex work themselves (yes vs. no).

120

121 Community-level factors

122 Community-level factors included measures of social cohesion, general and LGBT-specific

social participation, and healthcare accessibility. We adapted Lippman and colleagues' social

124 cohesion scale [33], which is comprised of 9 items with 5-point Likert responses (from strongly

agree=1 to strongly disagree=5) that measure participants' perceptions of trust and

126 connectedness with their communities, including items such as: "You can count on your friends

to help you access health services," and "You can count on your friends to support the use of

128 condoms." Responses were tested for internal consistency (Cronbach α = 0.87), summed, scored

129 continuously, and split at the median to dichotomize into low vs. high social cohesion categories.

130 We then assessed social participation in general and LGBT community activities by adapting

131 Fonner and colleagues' 4-item social participation scale [34]. Similarly, responses were tested

for internal consistency (Cronbach α =0.75 for general social participation, and Cronbach α =0.76

133 for LGBT social participation, respectively), summed, scored continuously, and split at the

134 median to dichotomize into low vs. high social participation categories. Lastly, we adapted

Haggerty and colleagues' 6-item healthcare accessibility scale to assess participant's level of

136 care access (e.g., traveling to their provider's office, waiting times) [35]. Responses ranged from

137 very poor to excellent, and were then tested for internal consistency, (Cronbach α =0.93),

- summed, scored continuously, and split at the median to dichotomize into poor/fair vs.
- 139 good/excellent accessibility categories.
- 140

141 HIV and other healthcare indicators

142 Participants were asked about their health care history and experiences, including if they have

143 current health insurance, ever took hormones for gender affirmation, ever had surgery for gender

144 affirmation, or experienced healthcare discrimination due to their transgender identity. We also

145 asked about their healthcare history with HIV services, including if they ever had an HIV test,

146 discussed HIV with their provider or sexual partner, or avoided HIV services due to: cost,

147 distance to/from a healthcare facility, their transgender identity, or healthcare facility's lack of

148 LGBT anti-discrimination policy. All responses were either yes or no. Additionally, using the

149 International AIDS Questionnaire, we assessed participants' HIV knowledge. Responses were

150 tested for internal consistency (Cronbach α = 0.84), summed, scored continuously, and split at the

151 median to dichotomize into low vs. high HIV knowledge categories [36].

152

153 PrEP awareness, PrEP discussion among trans friends, and Interest in LAI-PrEP

154 (outcomes)

We asked whether participants are aware of PrEP, have had discussions about PrEP with their trans friends, and if they are interested in taking LAI PrEP. These questions were adapted from Restar and colleague's PrEP acceptability study [13]. Specifically, all participants were first provided a brief definition of PrEP: "One way to fight HIV is called PrEP, which stands for preexposure prophylaxis. PrEP works by giving HIV- negative people HIV drugs to keep them from getting HIV." To assess for PrEP awareness, we asked participants if they "have heard of HIV-

161	negative people taking HIV medication before sex because they thought it would lower their
162	chances of getting HIV (also known as PrEP)?" We then asked participants if they have had
163	PrEP discussions among their trans friends. Response options for both questions were either yes
164	vs. no. Lastly, we assessed interest in LAI-PrEP by asking, "If the possibility of having a long-
165	lasting injectable drug to prevent HIV ("injectable PrEP") was available, would you be interested
166	in taking it?" and responses were conservatively recoded and dichotomized to very interested vs.
167	somewhat/not at all interested.

168

169 **Data Analysis**

We conducted descriptive analyses (frequencies, percentages) to examine the overall distribution
of the final analytic sample (n=139) of Filipina transfeminine adults, and stratified per our
outcomes (PrEP awareness, PrEP discussion, and interest in LAI-PrEP). We then performed
bivariate analyses to examine patterns of our outcomes across our measured exposure variables
(e.g., demographics, social marginalization factors, community factors, HIV and other healthcare
indicators).

176

To examine characteristics associated with our outcomes (PrEP awareness, PrEP discussion, and
interest in LAI-PrEP), we performed a series of multivariate logistic regression analyses. Given
the exploratory nature of this study, we utilized lasso regression procedures to identify the key
variables per outcome [37]. All variables that were selected via the lasso procedure were
included in the final adjusted model per outcome. Additionally, given the modest sample size,
we used nonparametric bootstrapping procedures using 100 iterations to reduce Type 1 error per

- 183 model and estimate confidence intervals [38]. Alpha for analyses was set to <0.05 a priori, and
- all analyses were conducted using StataMP version 17.0.
- 185

186 **Ethics**

- 187 Electronic, written consent forms were obtained from all participants in this study, which
- 188 provided information about participants' confidentiality, privacy, and voluntary participation in
- this study. This study acquired approval from Institutional Review Board of the Brown
- 190 University Brown University Human Research Protection Program (IRB Protocol,
- **191** *#*1802001982).

192

193 **Results**

194 Sample Characteristics

195 Shown in Table 1, most respondents in the sample were between 25-29 years old (39%), lived in

the Metropolitan Manila area (79%), attained college education or beyond (44%), earned less

than ₱10,000 or no income in the past year (55%), Catholic (79%), and gay or lesbian (55%). A

total of 27% of the sample had ever been homeless, 42% currently unemployed, and 52% had

199 themselves recently engaged in sex work.

- 201 The majority of the respondents had high social cohesion and high LGBT-specific social
- 202 participation (53% and 57%, respectively). Less than half of the respondents had high general
- social participation and good/excellent healthcare accessibility (38% and 47%, respectively).
- Additionally, 32% of respondents had current health insurance, 65% had ever taken gender-

- affirming hormones, 19% had gender-affirming surgery, and 45% faced healthcare
- 206 discrimination due to their transgender identity. Most respondents had previously received an
- HIV test (68%), discussed HIV with their provider (71%) as well as their sexual partner (80%),
- and displayed high HIV knowledge (50%). About half of the respondents experienced avoidance
- of HIV services due to: cost (50%), distance to/from a facility (47%), their transgender identity
- 210 (45%), and lack of LGBT anti-discrimination policy (47%).

Table 1. Study sample characteristics of Filipina transfeminine adults (n=139).

	Total	<u>PrEP</u>		PrEP Discussion		Interest in Long-	
		Awareness		among Trans Friends		<u>Acting injectable</u> PrFP	
		Yes	γ2 test	Yes	γ2 test	Very Interested	γ2 test
	n (%)	n (%)	p-values	n (%)	p-values	n (%)	p-values
Total	139	74 (53.24)	P · ·····	54 (38.85)	P	101 (72.66)	P . a. a. a.
Demographics		, , (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				(,)	
Age							
18 to 24	45 (32.37)	19 (25.68)	^0.108	9 (16.67)	^0.014*	35 (36.46)	^0.255
25 to 29	54 (38.85)	29 (39.19)		27 (50.00)		37 (38.54)	
30 to 34	20 (14.39)	15 (20.27)		9 (16.67)		16 (16.67)	
35 years or more	20 (14.39)	11 (14.86)		9 (16.67)		8 (8.33)	
Current living location							
Metropolitan Manila	110 (79.14)	56 (75.68)	^0.304	40 (74.07)	0.242	75 (74.26)	^0.020*
Central Visayas	29 (20.86)	18 (24.32)		14 (25.93)		26 (25.74)	
Highest educational attainment							
High School or below	55 (39.57)	22 (29.73)	^0.041*	20 (37.04)	^0.920	36 (35.64)	^0.319
Some College	23 (16.55)	15 (20.27)		9 (16.67)		18 (17.82)	
College or beyond	61 (43.88)	37 (50.00)		25 (46.30)		47 (46.53)	
Past year income (Philippine							
Pesos)							
No Income / Less than ₱10,000	77 (55.40)	31 (41.89)	^0.006**	27 (50.00)	^0.346	50 (49.50)	^0.044*
₱10,000 – less than P20,000	27 (19.42)	17 (22.97)		11 (20.37)		24 (23.76)	
₱20,000 – less than P30,000	11 (7.91)	8 (10.81)		7 (12.96)		7 (6.93)	
₱30,000+	24 (17.27)	18 (24.32)		9 (16.67)		20 (19.80)	
Religious affiliation							
Catholic	110 (79.14)	50 (67.57)	^<0.001***	41 (75.93)	^0.404	79 (78.22)	^0.545
Non-Catholic (e.g., Protestant,	21 (15.11)	19 (25.68)		8 (14.81)		17 (16.83)	
Christian)							
Non-religious	8 (5.76)	5 (6.76)		5 (9.26)		5 (4.95)	
Sexual orientation							
Gay / Lesbian	77 (55.40)	41 (55.41)	^0.866	34 (62.96)	^0.270	54 (53.47)	^0.891
Bisexual	18 (12.95)	10 (13.51)		5 (9.26)		14 (13.86)	
Straight	26 (18.71)	15 (20.27)		11 (20.37)		20 (19.80)	
Another sexual orientation	18 (12.95)	8 (10.81)		4 (7.41)		13 (12.87)	
Social Marginalization Factors Ever homelessness							

No	101 (73.19)	49 (67.12)	^0.123	36 (67.92)	0.324	71 (71.00)	^0.396
Yes	37 (26.81)	24 (32.88)		17 (32.08)		29 (29.00)	
Currently unemployed		()					
No	59 (42.45)	53 (71.62)	^<0.001**	33 (61.11)	0.598	39 (38.61)	^0.178
Yes	80 (57.55)	21 (28.38)		21 (38.89)		62 (61.39)	
Recent (<4 mos) sex work		()		()			
engagement							
No	67 (48.20)	41 (55.41)	^0.089	23 (42.59)	0.303	46 (45.54)	^0.344
Yes	72 (51.80)	33 (44.59)		31 (57.41)		55 (54.46)	
Community-level Factors		. , ,					
Social cohesion							
Low	66 (47.48)	23 (31.08)	^0.010**	16 (29.63)	^0.023*	37 (36.63)	0.047
High	73 (52.52)	51 (68.92)		38 (70.37)		64 (63.37)	
General social participation		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Low	86 (61.87)	39 (52.70)	^0.023*	29 (53.70)	0.114	62 (61.39)	0.848
High	53 (38.13)	35 (47.30)		25 (46.30)		39 (38.61)	
LGBT-specific social participation		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Low	60 (43.17)	20 (27.03)	^<0.001***	16 (29.63)	0.010*	36 (35.64)	0.004**
High	79 (56.83)	54 (72.97)		38 (70.37)		65 (64.36)	
Healthcare Accessibility							
Poor/Fair	74 (53.24)	37 (50.00)	^0.025*	26 (48.15)	0.038*	55 (54.46)	0.085
Good/Excellent	65 (46.76)	37 (50.00)		28 (51.85)		46 (45.54)	
HIV and Other Healthcare							
Indicators							
Current health insurance							
No	94 (67.63)	40 (54.05)	^<0.001***	29 (53.70)	0.005**	62 (61.39)	^0.014*
Yes	45 (32.37)	34 (45.95)		25 (46.30)		39 (38.61)	
Ever taken hormones for gender		- ()					
affirmation							
No	49 (35.25)	24 (32.43)	0.458	13 (24.07)	0.028*	31 (30.69)	0.076
Yes	90 (64.75)	50 (67.57)		41 (75.93)		70 (69.31)	
Ever had surgery for gender		. , ,					
affirmation							
No	112 (80.58)	58 (78.38)	0.485	42 (77.78)	0.506	79 (78.22)	0.338
Yes	27 (19.42)	16 (21.62)		12 (22.22)		22 (21.78)	
Healthcare discrimination due to							
transgender identity							
No	77 (55.40)	37 (50.00)	0.172	21 (38.89)	0.003**	55 (54.46)	0.716
Yes	62 (44.60)	37 (50.00)		33 (61.11)		46 (45.54)	
Ever had HIV test							

No	45 (32.37)	5 (6.76)	<0.001***	9 (16.67)	0.002**	26 (25.74)	0.006**
Yes	94 (67.63)	69 (93.24)		45 (83.33)		75 (74.26)	
Discussed HIV-services with a							
healthcare provider							
No	41 (29.50)	4 (5.41)	<0.001***	9 (16.67)	^0.013*	20 (19.80)	<0.001***
Yes	98 (70.50)	70 (94.59)		45 (83.33)		81 (80.20)	
Discuss HIV-services with sexual							
partner							
No	28 (20.14)	11 (14.86)	^0.137	6 (11.11)	^0.034*	14 (13.86)	0.003**
Yes	111 (79.86)	63 (85.14)		48 (88.89)		87 (86.14)	
HIV knowledge							
Low	69 (49.64)	68 (67.33)	0.541	36 (66.67)	0.626	68 (67.33)	0.470
High	70 (50.36)	33 (32.67)		18 (33.33)		33 (32.67)	
Avoided HIV services due to cost							
of services							
No	69 (49.64)	49 (48.51)	0.665	27 (50.00)	0.946	49 (48.51)	0.665
Yes	70 (50.36)	52 (51.49)		27 (50.00)		52 (51.49)	
Avoided HIV services due to							
distance of travel to/from							
healthcare facility							
No	73 (52.52)	55 (54.46)	0.456	28 (51.85)	0.900	55 (54.46)	0.456
Yes	66 (47.48)	46 (45.54)		26 (48.15)		46 (45.54)	
Avoided HIV services due to							
transgender identity							
No	76 (54.68)	59 (58.42)	0.149	31 (57.41)	0.606	59 (58.42)	0.149
Yes	63 (45.32)	42 (41.58)		23 (42.59)		42 (41.58)	
Avoided HIV services due to lack							
of LGBT anti-discrimination policy							
No	73 (52.52)	57 (56.44)	0.132	29 (53.70)	0.823	57 (56.44)	0.132
Yes	66 (47.48)	44 (43.56)		25 (46.30)		44 (43.56)	

Yes *p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ***p<0.001 ^ Fisher's Exact Test

216 **PrEP Outcomes**

- As shown in S1 Fig 1, 53% of the sample were aware of PrEP, 39% had discussed PrEP with
 their trans friends, and 73% were interested in LAI-PrEP.
- 219

220 Multivariable logistic regression analyses of PrEP Outcomes

221 Table 2 shows the final adjusted multivariable logistic regression models with variables selected 222 by lasso procedure per PrEP outcome. Specifically, the first adjusted model (outcome: PrEP 223 awareness) included the following lasso-selected factors: age, past year income, religious 224 affiliation, ever homelessness, current unemployment, general social participation, current health 225 insurance, healthcare discrimination due to transgender identity, ever had HIV test, discussed 226 HIV-services with a healthcare provider, and HIV knowledge. The second adjusted model 227 (outcome: PrEP Discussion among trans friends) included the following lasso-selected factors: 228 age, sexual orientation, recent sex work engagement, LGBT-specific social participation, 229 healthcare accessibility, current health insurance, ever taken hormones for gender affirmation, ever had HIV test, discussed HIV-services with a healthcare provider and with a sexual partner. 230 231 And lastly, the third adjusted model (outcome: interest in LAI-PrEP) the following lasso-selected factors: currently living location, discussed HIV services with a healthcare provider, and with a 232 233 sexual partner.

234

In the final model of PrEP awareness (Model 1), higher odds of PrEP awareness were associated
with respondents who were non-Catholic (adjusted odds ratio [aOR]=1.26, 95% Confidence
Interval [CI]=1.04-1.52), had an HIV test (aOR=1.24, 95%CI=1.03-1.49), had discussed HIV

services with a healthcare provider (aOR=1.39, 95%CI=1.16-1.68), and had high HIV

240

- 241 Moreover, higher odds of PrEP discussion among trans friends (Model 2) were associated with
- respondents who were between 30-34 years older (compared to 18-24 years old) (aOR=1.24,

243 95%CI=1.01-1.55), had experienced healthcare discrimination due to transgender identity

244 (aOR=1.15, 95%CI=1.1-1.33), had an HIV test (aOR=1.40, 95%CI=1.14-1.70), and had

discussed HIV services with a healthcare provider (aOR=1.49, 95%CI=1.21-1.84).

246

- Finally, the odds of being interested in LAI-PrEP (Model 3) were significantly higher among
- respondents who were currently living in Central Visayas (aOR=1.19, 95%CI=1.01-1.41), had
- discussed HIV services with a healthcare provider (aOR=1.33, 95%CI=1.13-1.56), and had
- discussed HIV services with a sexual partner (aOR=1.17, 95%CI=1.08-1.69).

252Table 2. Results of adjusted multivariable logistic regression with lasso variable selection: Lasso-selected factors253associated with PrEP awareness, PrEP discussion among trans friends, and interest in LAI-PrEP.

PrEP Outcomes	Lasso-selected factors	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
Model 1: PrEP Awaren	ess		
	Age		
	18 to 24	ref	
	25 to 29	1.04 (0.88-1.22)	0.589
	30 to 34	1.19 (0.96-1.48)	0.097
	35 years or more	1.11 (0.89-1.37)	0.331
	Past year income (Philippine Pesos)		
	No Income / Less than ₱10,000	ref	
	₱10,000 – P20,000	1.00 (0.82-1.22)	0.970
	₱20,000 – P30,000	1.14 (0.86-1.50)	0.349
	₱30,000+	1.10 (0.90-1.34)	0.325
	Religious affiliation		
	Catholic	ref	
	Non-Catholic (e.g., Protestant, Christian)	1.26 (1.04-1.52)	0.017*
	Non-religious	0.99 (0.74-1.32)	0.952
	Ever homelessness		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.13 (0.97-1.32)	0.096
	Currently unemployed		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.02 (0.86-1.21)	0.747
	General social participation		
	Low	ref	
	High	1.07 (0.93-1.22)	0.312
	Current health insurance		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.04 (0.89-1.22)	0.566
	Healthcare discrimination due to transgender identity		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.04 (0.89-1.22)	0.080
	Ever had HIV test		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.24 (1.03-1.49)	0.023*
	Discussed HIV-services with a healthcare provider		

	No	ref	
	Yes	1.39 (1.16-1.68)	<0.001***
	HIV knowledge		
	Low	ref	
	High	1.20 (1.02-1.41)	0.021*
Model 2: PrEP Discussion	among Trans Friends		
	Age		
	18 to 24	ref	
	25 to 29	1.07 (0.91-1.26)	0.366
	30 to 34	1.24 (1.01-1.55)	0.040*
	35 years or more	1.10 (0.88-1.37)	0.395
	Sexual orientation		
	Gay / Lesbian	ref	
	Bisexual	1.01 (0.80-1.26)	0.921
	Straight	1.11 (0.91-1.35)	0.283
	Another sexual orientation	0.97 (0.78-1.20)	0.793
	Recent (<4 mos) sex work engagement		
	No	ref	
	Yes	0.94 (0.81-1.10)	0.491
	LGBT-specific social participation		
	Low	ref	
	High	1.02 (0.86-1.21)	0.777
	Healthcare Accessibility		
	Poor/Fair	ref	
	Good/Excellent	0.99 (0.85-1.15)	0.911
	Current health insurance		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.06 (0.91-1.25)	0.402
	Ever taken hormones for gender affirmation		
	No	ref	
	Yes	0.99 (0.84-1.18)	0.976
	Healthcare discrimination due to transgender identity		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.15 (1.1-1.33)	0.044*
	Ever had HIV test		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.40 (1.14-1.70)	0.001**
	Discussed HIV-services with a healthcare provider		

	No	ref	
	Yes	1.49 (1.21-1.84)	<0.001***
	Discuss HIV-services with sexual partner		
	No	ref	
	Yes	0.89 (0.74-1.08)	0.262
Model 3: Interest in Long-	Acting Injectable PrEP		
_	Current living location		
	Metropolitan Manila	ref	
	Central Visayas	1.19 (1.01-1.41)	0.045*
	Discussed HIV-services with a healthcare provider		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.33 (1.13-1.56)	0.001**
	Discuss HIV-services with sexual partner		
	No	ref	
	Yes	1.17 (1.08-1.69)	0.008**

 I cs
 1.17 (1.08-1.69)
 0.008**

 *p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ***p<0.001, OR=odds ratio, 95% CI=95% Confidence Interval. Each model/outcome ran under a nonparametric bootstrapping procedure with 100 iterations.</td>

256 **Discussion**

To our team's expertise, this is the first study to examine LAI-PrEP outcomes among
transfeminine adults in the Philippines. This setting is a compelling national context for
understanding the implementation factors to optimize LAI-PrEP, based on the concentrated
growth in HIV diagnoses among transfeminine people in the Philippines and the country's stated
commitment to providing PrEP medications to priority populations. Results from this analysis, as
discussed below, provide vital insights into future LAI-PrEP studies and programming for this

264

265 Notably, all three PrEP outcomes were associated with having a discussion about HIV services 266 with a healthcare provider, reflecting a critical point for future implementation of this 267 intervention. Although the Philippines' Health Department has articulated a commitment to 268 providing access to PrEP mediations for key populations in the Philippines, efforts are needed to 269 train providers in delivering inclusive care for trans populations. Previous research from the 270 Philippines has corroborated the critical importance of non-discriminatory and gender 271 affirmative health settings as the foundation for the delivery of HIV services to transgender 272 people [39]. Additionally, systems are necessary to monitor for trans-discriminatory practices 273 and hold providers and service settings accountable in instances where discrimination and stigma 274 are reported.

275

Overall, PrEP awareness was relatively low with just over half of the sample having heard of
PrEP. This level of awareness might reflect the very recent approval of PrEP as part of the
Philippines government's medical formulary, with a potential for higher awareness over time.

279 However, research in other settings has noted that PrEP messaging tends to prioritize MSM 280 populations exclusively (e.g., in print and social media campaigns) and has called for the 281 intentional creation of trans-specific messaging to maximize visibility and uptake [16]. Our 282 findings also reveal patterns of PrEP awareness within this transfeminine sample. Of note, those 283 who identified as being Catholic had lower PrEP awareness, suggesting a need to explore the 284 possible roles of stigma and religion as contributors to HIV prevention and risk in this 285 predominantly Catholic setting. Moreover, while many LGBTQ people in the Philippines 286 identify as religious from one recent study [40], the extent to which religiosity and Catholic 287 affiliation dictate sexual behavior and health-seeking behavior specific to sexual health including PrEP is unknown. 288

289

290 Even fewer participants (39%) had ever discussed PrEP with their friends. Social communication 291 about PrEP has proven to be a challenge in many settings due to PrEP-related stigma in many 292 parts of the world [8]. For example, studies have suggested some of the judgmental beliefs 293 attributed to people interested in PrEP – e.g., beliefs that equate PrEP with promiscuity, risk-294 taking, shame [41, 42]. Health providers are often viewed as barriers to PrEP due to patients' 295 concerns about stigma when seeking advice about PrEP [42], and due to providers' lack of both 296 HIV knowledge and trans-specific competency [39]. Indeed, our findings indicated that prior 297 experience of gender-based discrimination in a healthcare setting was negatively associated with 298 PrEP discussion among trans friends. This suggests a need for interventions and support systems 299 that counteract internalized stigma and shame, for example, based on negative health-seeking 300 experiences, and that empower transfeminine people with comfort in openly discussing PrEP as 301 an option for HIV prevention with their peers. These efforts are particularly needed for younger

302 transfeminine people who might lack comfort and experience handling these discussions, as our 303 findings show that those ages 18 to 24 were significantly less likely to discuss PrEP with their 304 peers. Given the lack of comprehensive and LGBTQ-inclusive sex education programming in 305 public schools in the Philippines that may otherwise socialize them to frank discussions with 306 peers about sexuality and sexual health [43-45], it is not surprising to find that younger Filipina 307 transfeminine adults are less to discuss PrEP with trans friends compared to older groups. This 308 finding may also reflect the lack of capacity or comfort to articulate both broad and specific 309 sexuality and sexual health concerns as young adults who may not have disclosed their gender 310 identities or may be newly out. Future HIV education programs for this young adult group must 311 take into account the minimal or total lack of formative experiences they have to discuss LGBTQ 312 sexuality concerns with peers and the skills necessary to develop comfort in these peer-to-peer 313 discussions. As such, one point of further research would be for future interventions to integrate 314 developmentally appropriate and culturally-sensitive peer-led education programs that may be 315 utilized for this subpopulation.

316

317 The majority of the sample reported interest in LAI-PREP thereby indicating a target for future 318 intervention development around this modality. Notably, interest in LAI-PREP was more 319 strongly endorsed by those who had previously discussed HIV services with a sexual partner, 320 suggesting an opportunity for couples-involved strategies to promote this modality as a strategy 321 to promote sexual safety and satisfaction within relationships. Additionally, interest was also stronger among those who were currently based outside of Metro Manila, suggesting the 322 323 geographic appeal of this long-acting modality among those who might not have proximity to the 324 nation's HIV most resourced hospital and public health settings. Leveraging innovative strategies

and lessons learned from the Philippines' local community-based organizations' responses to
delivering HIV services in light of the recent COVID-19 pandemic could be promising to
integrate into future LAI-PrEP programming [46]; this includes improving logistics and
protocols such as online remote service delivery (e.g., counseling, referrals, refill appointments),
a continuance of supply chain of medications, and app-based strategies to reach individuals who
may be remote or experience travel difficulties to clinics.

331

332 While transfeminine adults in our sample showed high interest in taking LAI-PrEP, it is 333 necessary for future studies aiming to inform PrEP implementation strategies to ascertain what 334 transfeminine adults know about LAI-PrEP, including attitudes and beliefs about LAI-PrEP and 335 how to best deliver it to their communities and social groups [8]. This includes understanding 336 key health communication strategies such as efficacy messaging and framings about LAI-PrEP 337 that resonate with other health priorities among communities of transfeminine adults such as 338 access to hormones and gender-inclusive providers [47]. Future research priorities also include 339 examining preferences for how to best market and package LAI-PrEP with gender-affirming 340 care, along with exploration of other PrEP options and regimens such as daily PrEP, intermittent 341 (2-1-1) dosing, and implants [47, 48] – to ensure that LAI-PrEP fully aligns with and directly 342 benefits Filipina transfeminine adults' goals for HIV prevention and gender-affirmation [49]. 343

Given that our study only examined three PrEP outcomes (awareness, discussion among friends,
and interest), future studies must also expand the scope of examination to other outcomes along
the PrEP continua (e.g., awareness, uptake, adherence, and retention) [29], to fully optimize,
track, and evaluate the progress of LAI-PrEP implementation programs as it gets delivered at a

population-level in the Philippines. This includes formatively understanding and conceptualizing
if there are any other unique points or steps to the care continuum that are unique to LAI-PrEP
among transfeminine adults.

351

352 Lastly, while findings from adjusted models specific to social marginalization such as 353 homelessness, unemployment, and recent engagement in sex work were not significantly 354 predictive of PrEP outcomes, it is noteworthy for future studies to explore and investigate 355 whether such subpopulations have unique needs specific to LAI-PrEP continuum that may not 356 have been captured in this study. Given the well-recognized role of social marginalization in 357 predisposing communities to higher HIV risks and lower engagement in HIV services [50-52], it 358 is vital for future implementation research to qualitatively explore how LAI-PrEP may be 359 accepted by transfeminine individuals who experience and/or are placed in such socially 360 marginalized settings.

361

362

363 Limitations

There are several limitations to this study. First, this study is limited in its transferability to other settings in the Philippines due to our recruitment focus on the two largest metropolitan settings in the country. Specifically, in addition to our convenient online sampling, we only recruited in two sites where the HIV epidemic is highly prevalent and only included transfeminine adults. As such, our sample may not capture the diversity of trans communities in the Philippines, particularly those who do not have access to the internet, are not living in the study's recruited areas, or transmasculine and nonbinary adults who are also part of trans communities and are

impacted by the epidemic [53]. Second, due to the cross-sectional nature of this study's data
collection design, we are unable to ascertain temporal patterns with regard to PrEP outcomes.
Lastly, given that our study utilized secondary analysis from an existing dataset, we were unable
incorporate additional detailed PrEP-specific outcomes. Future research is necessary to explore
socio-ecological factors that are vital in LAI-PrEP implementation in this population and setting.

377 Conclusion

378 This study provides important foundational work for understanding how LAI-PrEP may fit into 379 the appropriate HIV prevention infrastructure among Filipina transfeminine adults. Though we 380 categorize initial interest in LAI-PrEP, critical other insights will be needed to effectively build 381 towards LAI-PrEP rollout among Filipina transfeminine adults. Building upon these nascent 382 findings, we encourage future work on these fronts, especially moving beyond just research and 383 policy goals and ensuring that other efforts actively engage with community-based organization 384 and their aims and needs. In doing so, the Philippines' HIV response can continue to build 385 towards improvements in equity among transfeminine adults and other impact subpopulations, an 386 important and essential goal.

388 Acknowledgments

- 389 We are grateful for all of the Filipina transfeminine participants who took part in this study. This
- 390 study was supported by the following sponsors: National Institute on Drug Abuse
- 391 (R36DA048682), the Fogarty International Center (D43TW010565), Providence/Boston Center
- for AIDS Research (P30AI042853), and the National Institute of Mental Health
- 393 (R21TW012010). Dr. Restar is a Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Health Policy Research
- 394 Scholar and a Public Policy Fellow at amFAR, the Foundation for AIDS Research. Drs. Restar,
- 395 Flores, and Wickersham are supported by the Research Education Institute for Diverse Scholars
- 396 (REIDS), funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (R25MH087217). This article does
- 397 not represent the official views of the sponsors.
- 398

399 Compliance of Ethical Standards

- 400 **Conflict of Interest**: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 401 **Ethical approval**: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in
- 402 accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee (Brown University
- 403 Ethics Review Board, IRB Protocol, #1802001982) and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and
- 404 its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

405 **Informed consent**: Electronic informed consent was obtained from all individual participants

406 included in the study.

References

- Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS [UNAIDS]. UNAIDS Data 2021.
 Geneva, Switzerland: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS Available at: https://wwwunaidsorg/sites/default/files/media_asset/2020_aids-data-book_enpdf. 2021.
- 2. PrEPWatch A. Country Updates: Philippines2022.
- Health Technology Assessment Council Philippines Department of Health.
 Emtricitabine + Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate fixed-dose combination as Oral Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to reduce the risk of sexually acquired HIV infection.
 Available at: <u>https://bit.ly/HTAC-ES-OralPrEP_Recomm</u>. 2022.
- 4. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS [UNAIDS]. UNAIDS Data 2019 2020.
- Landovitz RJ, Donnell D, Clement ME, Hanscom B, Cottle L, Coelho L, et al. Cabotegravir for HIV prevention in cisgender men and transgender women. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021;385(7):595-608.
- Food U, Administration D. FDA approves first injectable treatment for HIV pre-exposure prevention. FDA gov Updated December. 2021;20.
- Smith JD, Li DH, Rafferty MR. The implementation research logic model: a method for planning, executing, reporting, and synthesizing implementation projects. Implementation Science. 2020;15(1):1-12.
- Li DH, Benbow N, Keiser B, Mongrella M, Ortiz K, Villamar J, et al. Determinants of implementation for HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis based on an updated Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research: a systematic review. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2022;90(1):S235-S46.

- 9. Galindo GR, Walker JNJ, Hazelton P, Lane T, Steward WT, Morin SF, et al. Community member perspectives from transgender women and men who have sex with men on preexposure prophylaxis as an HIV prevention strategy: implications for implementation. Implementation Science. 2012;7(1):1-13.
- Hoagland B, De Boni RB, Moreira RI, Madruga JV, Kallas EG, Goulart SP, et al.
 Awareness and willingness to use pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) among men who have sex with men and transgender women in Brazil. AIDS and Behavior. 2017;21(5):1278-87.
- Yang D, Chariyalertsak C, Wongthanee A, Kawichai S, Yotruean K, Saokhieo P, et al. Acceptability of pre-exposure prophylaxis among men who have sex with men and transgender women in Northern Thailand. PloS one. 2013;8(10):e76650.
- Bass SB, Kelly PJ, Brajuha J, Gutierrez-Mock L, Koester K, D'Avanzo P, et al.
 Exploring barriers and facilitators to PrEP use among transgender women in two urban areas: implications for messaging and communication. BMC public health. 2022;22(1):1-10.
- Restar AJ, Kuhns L, Reisner SL, Ogunbajo A, Garofalo R, Mimiaga MJ. Acceptability of antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis from a cohort of sexually experienced young transgender women in two US cities. AIDS and Behavior. 2018;22(11):3649-57.
- 14. Ogunbajo A, Storholm ED, Ober AJ, Bogart LM, Reback CJ, Flynn R, et al. Multilevel barriers to HIV PrEP uptake and adherence among black and Hispanic/Latinx transgender women in southern California. AIDS and Behavior. 2021;25(7):2301-15.
- 15. Restar AJ, Adia A, Cu-Uvin S, Operario D. Characterizing PrEP awareness and interest among Filipina transgender women. AIDS Education and Prevention. 2020;32(3):212-28.

- Sevelius JM, Deutsch MB, Grant R. The future of PrEP among transgender women: the critical role of gender affirmation in research and clinical practices. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2016;19:21105.
- Sevelius JM, Keatley J, Calma N, Arnold E. 'I am not a man': Trans-specific barriers and facilitators to PrEP acceptability among transgender women. Global public health.
 2016;11(7-8):1060-75.
- 18. Golub SA, Gamarel KE, Rendina HJ, Surace A, Lelutiu-Weinberger CL. From efficacy to effectiveness: facilitators and barriers to PrEP acceptability and motivations for adherence among MSM and transgender women in New York City. AIDS patient care and STDs. 2013;27(4):248-54.
- Pacífico de Carvalho N, Mendicino CCP, Cândido RCF, Alecrim DJD, Menezes de Pádua CA. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) awareness and acceptability among trans women: a review. AIDS care. 2019;31(10):1234-40.
- 20. Flores AR. Social acceptance of LGBT people in 174 countries: 1981 to 2017. 2019.
- Ross AG, Ditangco RA, Belimac JG, Olveda RM, Mercado ES, Rogers GD, et al. HIV epidemic in men who have sex with men in Philippines. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2013;13(6):472-3.
- 22. Reyes MES, Lanic PJP, Lavadia ENT, Tactay E, Tiongson ER, Tuazon PJG, et al. Selfstigma, self-concept clarity, and mental health status of Filipino LGBT individuals. North American Journal of Psychology. 2015;17(2):343-50.
- 23. Ruiz Austria CS. The church, the state and women's bodies in the context of religious fundamentalism in the Philippines. Reproductive health matters. 2004;12(24):96-103.

- 24. Lipka M. 5 Facts about Catholicism in the Philippines. Pew Research Center Available from: <u>https://wwwpewresearchorg/fact-tank/2015/01/09/5-facts-about-catholicism-in-the-philippines/#:~:text=About%20eight%2Din%2Dten%20Filipinos,they%20view%20the%20pope%20favorably</u>. 2015.
- 25. Kanazawa JT, Saberi P, Sauceda JA, Dubé K. The LAIs are coming! Implementation science considerations for long-acting injectable antiretroviral therapy in the United States: a scoping review. AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses. 2021;37(2):75-88.
- 26. Mantsios A, Murray M, Karver TS, Davis W, Galai N, Kumar P, et al. Multi-level considerations for optimal implementation of long-acting injectable antiretroviral therapy to treat people living with HIV: perspectives of health care providers participating in phase 3 trials. BMC health services research. 2021;21(1):1-14.
- 27. Lykins WR, Luecke E, Johengen D, van der Straten A, Desai TA. Long acting systemic HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis: an examination of the field. Drug delivery and translational research. 2017;7(6):805-16.
- Philbin MM, Bergen S, Parish C, Kerrigan D, Kinnard EN, Reed S, et al. Long-acting injectable ART and PrEP among women in six cities across the United States: a qualitative analysis of who would benefit the most. AIDS and Behavior. 2022;26(4):1260-9.
- Nunn AS, Brinkley-Rubinstein L, Oldenburg CE, Mayer KH, Mimiaga M, Patel R, et al. Defining the HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis care continuum. AIDS (London, England).
 2017;31(5):731.

- 30. Restar A, Nguyen M, Nguyen K, Adia A, Nazareno J, Yoshioka E, et al. Trends and emerging directions in HIV risk and prevention research in the Philippines: A systematic review of the literature. PloS one. 2018;13(12):e0207663.
- 31. Restar AJ, Breslow AS, Jin H, Quilantang MI, Sison O, Bermudez AN, et al. Transgender-specific developmental milestones and associated experiences of violence, discrimination, and stigma among Filipinx transgender women who are sexually active with men. PLoS one. 2021;16(3):e0248248.
- Buchanan EA, Hvizdak EE. Online survey tools: Ethical and methodological concerns of human research ethics committees. Journal of empirical research on human research ethics. 2009;4(2):37-48.
- 33. Lippman SA, Donini A, Díaz J, Chinaglia M, Reingold A, Kerrigan D. Socialenvironmental factors and protective sexual behavior among sex workers: the Encontros intervention in Brazil. American journal of public health. 2010;100(S1):S216-S23.
- Fonner VA, Kerrigan D, Mnisi Z, Ketende S, Kennedy CE, Baral S. Social cohesion, social participation, and HIV related risk among female sex workers in Swaziland. PloS one. 2014b;9(1):e87527.
- 35. Haggerty JL, Lévesque J-F, Santor DA, Burge F, Beaulieu C, Bouharaoui F, et al. Accessibility from the patient perspective: comparison of primary healthcare evaluation instruments. Healthcare Policy. 2011;7(Spec Issue):94.
- 36. Davis C, Sloan M, MacMaster S, Hughes L. The international AIDS questionnaire— English version (IAQ-E) assessing the validity and reliability. Journal of HIV/AIDS prevention in children & youth. 2007;7(2):29-42.

- Tibshirani R. Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological). 1996;58(1):267-88.
- Parra-Frutos I. Controlling the Type I error rate by using the nonparametric bootstrap when comparing means. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology. 2014;67(1):117-32.
- 39. Guigayoma J, Bermudez AN, Palatino M, Nazareno J, Cu-Uvin S, Operario D, et al. Responsive Medical Providers and Recent HIV Medical Services Engagement Among Transgender Women and Cisgender Men Who Have Sex With Men in the Philippines. AIDS Education and Prevention. 2021;33(6):495-510.
- 40. del Castillo F, del Castillo CD, Ching G, Campos MS. Centrality of Religiosity among Select LGBTQs in the Philippines. Religions. 2021;12(2):83.
- Golub SA. PrEP stigma: implicit and explicit drivers of disparity. Current HIV/AIDS Reports. 2018;15(2):190-7.
- 42. Hull SJ, Tessema H, Thuku J, Scott RK. Providers PrEP: identifying primary health care Providers' biases as barriers to provision of equitable PrEP services. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2021;88(2):165-72.
- Gangcuangco LMA. HIV crisis in the Philippines: urgent actions needed. The Lancet Public Health. 2019;4(2):e84.
- 44. Tanaka Y, Araullo GO, Tuliao MT, Yamashita T, Okuda K, Baua EC, et al. The current situation and issues of sexual health education by school nurses in Muntinlupa City, Philippines. Universal Journal of Public Health. 2020;8(6):185-92.
- 45. Iyer P, Clarke D, Aggleton P. Barriers to HIV and sexuality education in Asia. Health Education. 2014.

- 46. Quilantang M, Irene N, Bermudez ANC, Operario D. Reimagining the future of HIV service implementation in the Philippines based on lessons from COVID-19. AIDS and Behavior. 2020;24(11):3003-5.
- Operario D, Restar A. Gender-affirmative systems needed for PrEP implementation. The Lancet HIV. 2020;7(12):e799-e800.
- Celum C, Baeten J. PrEP for HIV prevention: evidence, global scale-up, and emerging options. Cell Host & Microbe. 2020;27(4):502-6.
- Minalga B, Chung C, Davids J, Martin A, Perry NL, Shook A. Research on transgender people must benefit transgender people. The Lancet. 2022;399(10325):628.
- 50. Arrington-Sanders R, Hailey-Fair K, Wirtz AL, Morgan A, Brooks D, Castillo M, et al. Role of structural marginalization, HIV stigma, and mistrust on HIV prevention and treatment among young black latinx men who have sex with men and transgender women: perspectives from youth service providers. AIDS patient care and STDs. 2020;34(1):7-15.
- 51. Hood JE, Eljallad T, Abad J, Connolly M, Heumann C, Fritz J, et al. Getting preexposure prophylaxis to high-risk transgender women: lessons from Detroit, USA. Sexual health. 2018;15(6):562-9.
- 52. Brennan J, Kuhns LM, Johnson AK, Belzer M, Wilson EC, Garofalo R, et al. Syndemic theory and HIV-related risk among young transgender women: the role of multiple, cooccurring health problems and social marginalization. American journal of public health. 2012;102(9):1751-7.
- 53. Bumanglag KC. Enhancing HIV/AIDS surveillance in the Philippines to ensure the transgender population's visibility. American Public Health Association; 2018. p. 58-60.

S1 Fig. Figure 1. PrEP awareness, PrEP discussion among trans friends, and interest in long-acting PrEP among Filipina transfeminine adults (n=139).



