Association of SARS-CoV-2 BA.4/BA.5 Omicron lineages with immune escape and clinical outcome

Joseph A. Lewnard^{1,2,3,*}, Vennis Hong⁴, Jeniffer S. Kim⁴, Sally F. Shaw⁴, Bruno Lewin⁴, Harpreet Takhar⁴, Sara Y. Tartof^{4,5,*}

- 1. Division of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
- 2. Division of Infectious Diseases & Vaccinology, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
- 3. Center for Computational Biology, College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
- 4. Department of Research & Evaluation, Kaiser Permanente Southern California, Pasadena, California 91101, United States
- 5. Department of Health Systems Science, Kaiser Permanente Bernard J. Tyson School of Medicine, Pasadena, California 91101, United States
- * Addresses for correspondence:

Joseph A. Lewnard	Sara Y. Tartof
2121 Berkeley Way	100 South Los Robles
Berkeley, California 94720	Pasadena, California 91101
jLewnard@berkeley.edu	Sara.Y.Tartof@kp.org
510-664-4050	626-564-3001

Expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 BA.4 and BA.5 Omicron subvariants in populations with prevalent immunity from prior infection and vaccination, and associated burden of severe COVID-19, has raised concerns about epidemiologic characteristics of these lineages including their association with immune escape or severe clinical outcomes. Here we show that BA.4/BA.5 cases had 15% (95% confidence interval: 9-21%) and 38% (27-49%) higher adjusted odds of having received 3 and ≥4 COVID-19 vaccine doses, respectively, than time-matched BA.2 cases, as well as 55% (43-69%) higher adjusted odds of prior documented infection. However, after adjusting for differences in epidemiologic characteristics among cases with each lineage, BA.4/BA.5 infection was not associated with differential risk of emergency department presentation, hospital admission, or intensive care unit admission following an initial outpatient diagnosis. This finding held in sensitivity analyses correcting for potential exposure misclassification resulting from unascertained prior infections. Our results demonstrate that the reduced severity associated with prior (BA.1 and BA.2) Omicron lineages, relative to the Delta variant, has persisted with BA.4/BA.5, despite the association of BA.4/BA.5 with increased risk of breakthrough infection among previously vaccinated or infected individuals.

The SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant emerged in late 2021 and rapidly achieved global dissemination, accounting for a majority of incident SARS-CoV-2 infections within the United States by late December, 2021.^{1,2} As of February, 2022, 58% of US adults and 75% of US children aged ≤17 years were estimated to have acquired SARS-CoV-2 infection, with nearly half of these infections occurring during the initial expansion of the BA.1 subvariant lineage.³ COVID-19 vaccination and naturally-acquired immunity from infection with pre-Omicron variants have generally been found to confer robust protection against clinically severe disease involving the BA.1 lineage, although at weaker levels when compared with protection against pre-Omicron variants.^{4–7} Thus, whereas expansion of the Omicron variant was associated with surges in COVID-19 hospitalizations and deaths, the proportion of Omicron cases resulting in severe illness has been lower than that experienced with prior variants and during periods with lower population-level immunity^{4,8}

Following the initial peak in BA.1 infections within the US from December, 2021 to February, 2022, multiple Omicron lineages have driven subsequent surges in cases, leading to persisting clinical burden and extended timetables for implementation of COVID-19 mitigation measures. Although not associated with enhanced severity or risk of breakthrough infection after vaccination or natural infection,^{4,9} the BA.2 lineage surpassed BA.1 in incident cases within the US beginning in March, 2022. Subsequently, the BA.4 and BA.5 lineages have become dominant globally.¹⁰ BA.4 and BA.5 share a spike (S) protein harboring numerous mutations relative to BA.2, which may compromise the effectiveness of immune responses induced by prior infection and vaccination.¹¹ Other mutations specific to BA.4 and BA.5 alter binding to human angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 and non-S antibodies derived from prior infection.^{12,13} However, clinical implications of the emergence of BA.4/BA.5 remain uncertain, as the burden of hospitalized and fatal COVID-19 cases observed during BA.4/BA.5 waves has varied widely across settings.¹⁴

Monitoring the relative severity of infections caused by successive SARS-CoV-2 lineages, and their capacity to evade vaccine- or infection-derived immunity, is of key importance to informing public health mitigation measures as SARS-CoV-2 establishes endemic circulation. We therefore compared clinical outcomes and characteristics of contemporaneous NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

cases with BA.2 and BA.4/BA.5 lineage Omicron variant infections within the Kaiser Permanente Southern California (KPSC) healthcare system from 29 April to 29 July, 2022.⁴ As a comprehensive, integrated care organization, KPSC delivers healthcare across telehealth, outpatient, emergency department, and inpatient settings for over 4.7 million members. Electronic health records (EHRs) across all clinical settings, together with laboratory, pharmacy, and immunization data, provide a comprehensive view into care delivered by KPSC. These observations are augmented by insurance claims for out-of-network diagnoses, prescriptions, and procedures, enabling near-complete capture of healthcare interactions for KPSC members.

Results

To compare severity of disease caused by BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 infections, we monitored the frequency of healthcare interactions indicative of COVID-19 clinical progression occurring after an initial positive molecular SARS-CoV-2 test in any outpatient setting. Endpoints of interest included subsequent (≥1 day after testing) emergency department (ED) presentation or inpatient admission due to any cause, inpatient admission associated with an acute respiratory infection (ARI) diagnosis (**Table S1**), intensive care unit (ICU) admission, mechanical ventilation, and mortality. As KPSC implemented a home-based monitoring program for COVID-19 cases throughout the study period, with standardized criteria for ED referral and inpatient admission aiming to preserve hospital capacity, these endpoints provided consistent markers of disease progression within the sample followed from an initial outpatient test.¹⁵ We restricted our analytic sample to individuals who first tested positive in an outpatient setting to select on healthcare-seeking behavior within the study population, thus maximizing internal validity when comparing outcomes among BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 cases. In total, 106,532 SARS-CoV-2 cases out of 148,105 diagnosed as outpatients at KPSC during the study period met eligibility criteria and were included in analyses. We excluded 18,799 patients without ≥1 year of continuous enrollment before their positive test, and 22,774 whose tests were not processed using the ThermoFisher TaqPath COVID-19 Combo Kit, which enabled lineage determination based on S gene target failure (SGTF; see **Methods**).

Within this sample, 59,556 (55.9%) and 46,976 (44.1%) cases were infected with the BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 lineages, respectively. Whereas the weekly proportion of cases with BA.4/BA.5 infections expanded from 3.0% to 92.9% over the study period, the proportion progressing to hospital admission was stable over this period within the range of 0.2-0.5%, as compared to 0.7-1.0% during February, 2022 (**Figure 1**). Age distributions were similar among cases infected with either lineage, with 13.1% of all cases aged 0-17 years, 31.9% aged 18-39 years, 42.6% aged 40-64 years, and 12.4% aged ≥65 years (**Table 1**). Other case attributes including race/ethnicity, sex, body mass index, Charlson comorbidity index, neighborhood socioeconomic status, prior-year healthcare utilization, and receipt of vaccines targeting respiratory pathogens other than SARS-CoV-2 did not differ markedly between cases infected with the BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 lineages.

Among BA.4/BA.5 cases, 15.8% had not received any COVID-19 vaccine doses, while 2.5%, 23.6%, 48.5%, and 9.6% had received 1, 2, 3, and \geq 4 doses, respectively, before their diagnosis (**Table 2**). Among BA.2 cases, 16.1%, 2.4%, 25.0%, 50.0%, and 6.6% had received 0, 1, 2, 3, and \geq 4 doses, respectively. In logistic regression analyses adjusting for all measured covariates among cases, including calendar time (measured as the week or weekend of diagnosis), adjusted odds of having received 3 and \geq 4 COVID-19 vaccine doses were 1.15 (95% confidence interval: 1.09-1.21) and 1.38 (1.27-1.49) fold higher among BA.4/BA.5 cases than BA.2 cases. As compared to 5.3% of BA.4/BA.5 cases, 3.1% of BA.2 cases had documentation of a prior SARS-CoV-2 infection \geq 90 days before their positive test. Adjusted odds of documented prior infection were 1.55 (1.43-1.69) fold higher among BA.4/BA.5 cases than among Contemporaneous BA.2 cases.

Following a positive outpatient test, crude 30-day incidence of ED presentation, any inpatient admission, and inpatient admission associated with acute respiratory infection (ARI) diagnoses was 24.2, 3.3, and 1.3 per 1,000 cases with BA.4/BA.5 infection, respectively (**Figure 1**; **Table 3**). Similarly, for those with BA.2 infection, crude 30-day incidence of the same outcomes was 26.4, 3.4, and 1.4 per 1,000 cases. Higher-acuity endpoints of intensive care unit (ICU) admission, mechanical ventilation, and death occurred in a far smaller proportion of cases. Crude incidence of ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, and mortality per 10,000 cases over the first 30 days after diagnosis was 3.7, 1.0, and 0.8 among BA.4/BA.5 cases and 3.4, 0.9, and 1.3 among BA.2 cases, respectively. In analyses restricted to cases eligible for follow-up of ≥60 days, crude incidence of ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, and 3.9 per 10,000 BA.2 cases, respectively.

After adjustment for calendar time as well as clinical and epidemiologic factors listed in **Table 1** and **Table 2**, we did not identify independent associations of BA.4/BA.5 lineage infection with risk of any of the studied clinical outcomes (**Table 3**). Compared to observations among BA.2 cases, adjusted hazards of ED presentation and hospital admission among BA.4/BA.5 cases were 0.96 (0.87-1.06) and 0.96 (0.73-1.26) fold as high over 30 days following diagnosis. Likewise, adjusted hazards of ED presentation and hospital admission were 0.95 (0.84-1.07) and 0.96 (0.73-1.27) fold as high among BA.4/BA.5 cases as compared to BA.2 cases over the first 15 days after diagnosis (**Table S2**), a period during which such outcomes have greater specificity as markers of COVID-19 progression.^{16,17} Consistent with this observation, adjusted hazards ratios (aHRs) comparing BA.4/BA.5 cases to BA.2 cases were 1.09 (0.70-1.69) for ARI-associated

hospital admission over 30 days after diagnosis, and 0.68 (0.36-1.27) for ICU admission over 60 days after diagnosis (**Table 3**). Instances of mechanical ventilation and death were too infrequent within the sample to support multivariate regression analyses adjusting for potential confounding factors.

Within these analyses, prior COVID-19 vaccination remained independently associated with protection against progression to ED presentation, hospital admission, ARI-associated hospital admission, and ICU admission for both BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 cases (**Table 4**; **Table S3**). Effect size estimates for associations of prior COVID-19 vaccination and documented prior infection with each clinical outcome did not differ appreciably for BA.4/BA.5 cases and BA.2 cases.

Because underdiagnosis of mild or asymptomatic infections could hinder our ability to control for individuals' history of SARS-CoV-2 infection, we repeated these analyses within the subgroup of 4,597 cases (3,139 and 1,458 with BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 infections, respectively) with documented history of SARS-CoV-2 infection ≥90 days before their index test during the study period. Within this analysis, adjusted hazards of emergency department presentation over 15 and 30 days following diagnosis were 0.81 (0.43-1.52) and 0.87 (0.54-1.41) fold as high among BA.4/BA.5 cases as among BA.2 cases, while adjusted hazards of hospital admission over 30 days were 1.45 (0.29-7.14) fold as high (**Table S4**). However, event counts were prohibitively low for adjusted analyses of ARI-associated hospital admission and higher-acuity outcomes such as ICU admission within this subgroup.

To overcome these limitations to statistical power, we further undertook risk-of-bias analyses allowing for differential degrees of under-detection of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection among individuals who ultimately experienced, or did not experience, each clinical outcome, consistent with the framework of prior analyses.⁴ Across the range of parameters considered, we did not identify scenarios where 95% confidence intervals would rule out the null hypothesis of equivalent risk of hospital admission for any cause, ARI-associated hospital admission, or ICU admission among BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 cases (**Figure S1**). Differences in risk of ED presentation over 15 days and 30 days were expected to meet this threshold of statistical significance only when true prevalence of prior infection was modeled as \geq 3-fold higher-than-observed among cases who ultimately presented to the ED, and \geq 9-fold higher-than-observed among cases who did not. However, even under this scenario, bias-corrected effect sizes were expected to convey only modest differences in risk among BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 cases (aHRs equal to 1.21 [1.07-1.37] and 1.22 [1.10-1.35], respectively, for ED presentation over 15 and 30 days among BA.4/BA.5 cases as compared to BA.2 cases).

Discussion

Our analysis has provided insight into several characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 BA.4/BA.5 Omicron lineage infections. First, outpatient-diagnosed BA.4/BA.5 cases had 55% higher adjusted odds of a prior documented infection than time-matched BA.2 cases, as well as modestly higher adjusted odds of having received ≥3 COVID-19 vaccine doses. These findings corroborate earlier suggestions of immune escape in BA.4/BA.5 infections, which to date have been based largely on data from genomic¹⁰ and neutralization^{11,12,18} analyses rather than direct clinical evidence. Reassuringly, however, our findings are consistent with previous evidence that vaccination remains protective against severe disease associated with the BA.4/BA.5 lineages, at levels comparable to those reported for the BA.2 lineage.^{5,19–21} Within our large sample of 49,976 BA.2 cases and 59,556 BA.4/BA.5 cases, vaccination was not associated with statistically meaningful differences in estimates of protection against progression from an initial outpatient diagnosis to subsequent illness requiring ED presentation or either hospital or ICU admission. As our study is limited to infected cases who received clinical molecular testing, it is important to note our findings do not measure the effectiveness of prior infection or vaccination against infection with either lineage BA.4/BA.5 or BA.2 lineages. However, at least one previous study has further demonstrated that prior infection, especially with BA.1 or BA.2 Omicron lineages, remains modestly protective against BA.4/BA.5 infection, although at lower levels than those seen for earlier Omicron lineages and pre-Omicron variants.²²

We also identify that the BA.4/BA.5 infections were not associated with enhanced risk of subsequent healthcare utilization indicative of disease progression, including ED presentation, hospital admission, or other severe endpoints, relative to BA.2 infections. As we have established in prior work that BA.2 and BA.1 lineage infections likewise do not differ in clinical severity within the KSPC population,⁴ our findings suggest that reductions in the severity of disease caused by BA.1 lineage Omicron infections, relative to the Delta variant, have persisted with BA.4/BA.5. While estimates of the severity of illness associated with BA.4/BA.5 lineage infections remain limited, our findings are consistent with those of several other studies. During the first weeks following BA.4/BA.5 emergence in South Africa, BA.4/BA.5 infections did not differ in severity from BA.1 infections, although statistical power in these analyses was constrained (*n*=1,806 BA.4/BA.5 cases analyzed) and data on cases' clinical comorbidities and healthcare-seeking behavior were not available to fully support causal inference addressing the role of infecting variant.⁹ Consistent with this finding, risk of hospital admission during the BA.4/BA.5 and BA.1 waves in South Africa did not differ within analyses of all diagnosed cases.²³ Whereas a population-based study in Denmark suggested moderately increased risk of hospital admission among BA.5 cases as compared to BA.2 cases,²¹ this analysis did not include adjustment for potentially relevant confounders including individuals' healthcare-seeking behavior and calendar time. Our analyses sought to adjust for these variables based on the observed association of prior vaccination or infection with heightened risk of BA.4/BA.5 breakthrough infection, and because patient

and provider demand for clinical SARS-CoV-2 testing changed markedly over the course of the BA.2 and BA.4/BA.5 waves, as public health mitigation measures were relaxed and access to home antigen testing expanded. Emergence of BA.5 was not associated with increased burden in hospital settings within Denmark, consistent with our findings and contrary to associations reported at the level of individual cases, where differing sources of confounding may apply.²⁴

Our analysis has several limitations. As our sample is restricted to individuals receiving outpatient molecular testing, our findings do not convey the comprehensive burden of the BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 lineages in the KPSC healthcare system, including cases who were admitted upon their initial presentation. Rather, this analytic framework enabled us to maximize internal validity for our primary study questions comparing BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 cases with similar healthcare-seeking behavior, and from a similar point in their disease progression. Prior infections are likely undercounted among both BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 cases. Because this misclassification may obscure the true magnitude of differences in prevalence of prior infection among cases acquiring each lineage, the increase in odds of prior infection among BA.4/BA.5 cases as compared to BA.2 cases likely exceeds our estimate of 55%. However, our findings of equivalent risk of severe clinical outcomes with each lineage are unlikely to be driven by this factor alone. Sensitivity analyses identified BA.4/BA.5 lineage infections would be associated with higher risk of ED presentation only under extreme scenarios where <1 in 9 prior infections were recorded among cases who did not require ED care (representing 97.5% of cases analyzed). Even under such a scenario, bias-corrected adjusted hazards ratio estimates were expected to identify only ~20% higher risk of ED presentation among BA.4/BA.5 cases as compared to BA.2 cases; differences in risk of other endpoints were not expected to reach statistical significance even within our large sample of 106,532 cases. While the true prevalence of unascertained prior infection in this population is not precisely known, this scenario represents a considerable departure from prior estimates of the reporting fraction in California.²⁵ It is important to note that our analyses do not distinguish causes of ED presentations and hospital admission, although ED presentations and hospital admissions occurring within 15 days of cases' first positive test, and ARI-associated hospital admissions, likely have greater specificity for indicating healthcare interactions precipitated by COVID-19 illness.^{16,17} Last, our analyses do not distinguish cases infected with BA.4 and BA.5, or cases with BA.2.12.1 versus other BA.2 sublineages, which may be associated with distinct epidemiologic and clinical characteristics.

While it is encouraging that we find BA.4/BA.5 lineage infections are not associated with differential severity in comparison to other Omicron lineages, it is important to note that disease burden is influenced by further variant-specific properties including the intrinsic capacity to transmit and to infect individuals with immunity from prior vaccination or infection.²⁶ These fitness advantages are relevant to consider in the context of BA.4/BA.5, which outcompeted BA.2 in the context of substantial population immunity.¹⁰ As of the week ending 29 October, BA.5 continues to account for over half of newly-diagnosed SARS-CoV-2 cases in the US, although other lineages including BQ.1 and BF.7 are identified in an increasing proportion of cases. As novel SARS-CoV-2 variants continue to emerge, monitoring associations of novel circulating lineages with risk of severe illness and post-vaccination breakthrough infection will provide key insight to inform public health responses.

Acknowledgments. This work was funded by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; grant 75D3-121C11520 to SYT). The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the CDC. JAL was supported by grant R01-Al14812701A1 from the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases (US National Institutes of Health), which had no role in design or conduct of the study, or the decision to submit for publication.

Author contributions statement. JAL, VH, and SYT contributed to the study concept and design. JAL, VH, and SYT led acquisition and statistical analysis of data. JAL and SYT led interpretation of data. JAL drafted the manuscript, and VH and SYT critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. SYT obtained funding and provided supervision.

Competing interests. JAL has received research grants and consulting honoraria unrelated to this study from Pfizer. SYT has received research grants unrelated to this study from Pfizer. VH discloses no competing interests.

References

- 1. Viana, R. *et al.* Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. *Nature* **603**, 679–686 (2022).
- 2. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. COVID Data Tracker. Accessed 2 November, 2022. Available from: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker.
- 3. Clarke, K. E. N. *et al.* Seroprevalence of infection-induced SARS-CoV-2 antibodies United States, September 2021–February 2022. *MMWR* **71** (2022).
- 4. Lewnard, J. A. *et al.* Clinical outcomes associated with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant and BA.1/BA.1.1 or BA.2 subvariant infection in southern California. *Nat Med* **28**, 1933–1943 (2022).

- 5. Tartof, S. Y. *et al.* Durability of BNT162b2 vaccine against hospital and emergency department admissions due to the omicron and delta variants in a large health system in the USA: a test-negative case–control study. *Lancet Respir Med* **10**, 689–699 (2022).
- 6. Andrews, N. et al. Covid-19 vaccine effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant. NEJM 386, 1532– 1546 (2022).
- 7. Tseng, H. F. *et al.* Effectiveness of mRNA-1273 against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta variants. *Nat Med* 28, 1063–1071 (2022).
- 8. Madhi, S. A. *et al.* Population immunity and Covid-19 severity with Omicron variant in South Africa. *NEJM* **386**, 1314–1326 (2022).
- 9. Wolter, N. *et al.* Clinical severity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 lineages compared to BA.1 and Delta in South Africa. *Nat Commun* **13**, 5860 (2022).
- 10. Tegally, H. *et al.* Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron lineages BA.4 and BA.5 in South Africa. *Nat Med* **28**, 1785–1790 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41591-022-01911-2.
- 11. Tuekprakhon, A. *et al.* Antibody escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 from vaccine and BA.1 serum. *Cell* **185**, 2422-2433.e13 (2022).
- 12. Cao, Y. *et al.* BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5 escape antibodies elicited by Omicron infection. *Nature* **608**, 593–602 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04980-y.
- 13. Kumar, S. *et al.* Structural insights for neutralization of Omicron variants BA.1, BA.2, BA.4, and BA.5 by a broadly neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 antibody. *Science Advances* **8**, eadd2032 (2022).
- 14. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Implications of the emergence and spread of the SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern BA.4 and BA.5 for the EU/EEA. Accessed 2 November, 2022. Available from: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/news-events/implications-emergence-spread-sars-cov-2-variants-concern-ba4and-ba5.
- 15. Huynh, D. N. *et al.* Description and early results of the Kaiser Permanente Southern California COVID-19 home monitoring program. *Permanente J* **25** (2021).
- 16. Malden, D. E. *et al.* Natural language processing for improved COVID-19 characterization: evidence from more than 350,000 patients in a large integrated health care system. Preprint at doi:10.2139/ssrn.4075842 (2022).
- 17. Lewnard, J. A. *et al.* Effectiveness of nirmatrelvir-ritonavir against hospital admission: a matched cohort study in a large US healthcare system. Preprint at doi:10.1101/2022.10.02.22280623 (2022).
- 18. Hachmann, N. P. *et al.* Neutralization escape by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants BA.2.12.1, BA.4, and BA.5. *NEJM* **387**, 86–88 (2022).
- 19. Kirsebom, F. C. M., Andrews, N., Stowe, J., Ramsay, M. & Bernal, J. L. Effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccines against severe disease with Omicron sub-lineages BA.4 and BA.5 in England. Preprint at doi:10.1101/2022.08.31.22279444 (2022).
- 20. Tseng, H. F. *et al.* Effectiveness of mRNA-1273 against infection and COVID-19 hospitalization with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants: BA.1, BA.2, BA.2.12.1, BA.4, and BA.5. Preprint at doi:10.1101/2022.09.30.22280573 (2022).
- Hansen, C. H. *et al.* Risk of reinfection, vaccine protection, and severity of infection with the BA.5 omicron subvariant: a nation-wide population-based study in Denmark. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2022) doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00595-3.
- 22. Altarawneh, H. N. *et al.* Protective effect of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection against Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 subvariants. *NEJM* **387**, 1620–1622 (2022).
- 23. Davies, M. A. *et al.* Outcomes of laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection during resurgence driven by Omicron lineages BA.4 and BA.5 compared with previous waves in the Western Cape Province, South Africa. Preprint from: doi:10.1101/2022.06.28.22276983v2 (2022).
- 24. Bhattacharyya, R. P. & Hanage, W. P. Challenges in inferring intrinsic severity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *NEJM* **386**, e14 (2022).
- 25. Mehrotra, M. L. *et al.* CalScope: Monitoring severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 seroprevalence from vaccination and prior infection in adults and children in California, May 2021–July 2021. *Open Forum Infect Dis* **9**, ofac246 (2022).
- 26. Bushman, M., Kahn, R., Taylor, B. P., Lipsitch, M. & Hanage, W. P. Population impact of SARS-CoV-2 variants with enhanced transmissibility and/or partial immune escape. *Cell* **184**, 6229-6242.e18 (2021).
- 27. Malden, D. E. *et al.* Hospitalization and emergency department encounters for COVID-19 after Paxlovid treatment — California, December 2021–May 2022. *MMWR* **71**, (2022).

Methods

Setting, procedures, and study population. Care delivery within KPSC has been described previously.⁴ Briefly, approximately 19% of the population of southern California receives care from KPSC through employer-provided, prepaid, or federally sponsored insurance plans. In-network care delivery data encompassing diagnoses (and accompanying clinical notes), immunizations, laboratory tests administered and test results, and prescriptions are captured in near-real time via patient EHRs, while out-of-network care is captured through insurance claim reimbursements. Delivery of COVID-

19 vaccine doses by other providers was identified via linkage to California Immunization Registry data and other health systems using the Epic EHR system. Online portals provide an automated platform for individuals to upload or notify providers of positive at-home test results or test results received from other providers.

Molecular diagnostic testing for COVID-19 was made available to all individuals receiving outpatient care from KSPC for any indication during the study period, and was used to screen all patients for SARS-CoV-2 infection at the point of hospital admission for any cause. Consistent with prior analyses,⁴ we restricted our analytic sample to cases with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result from testing undertaken in outpatient settings using the ThermoFisher TaqPath COVID-19 Combo Kit (the most commonly used assay for outpatient testing at KPSC during the study period), with ≥1 year of continuous enrollment in KPSC health plans prior to their test date. In addition to enabling longitudinal follow-up for severe endpoint ascertainment, restricting analyses to cases tested as outpatients was expected to provide two design advantages helping to mitigate bias. First, excluding individuals first ascertained in hospital settings helped to reduce bias driven by differential healthcare-seeking behavior among cases tested as outpatients versus those who deferred testing to more severe stages of illness. Second, this approach enabled us to minimize the inclusion of cases hospitalized for other cuases who were identified incidentally via SARS-CoV-2 infection screening at admission. Although ED presentations, hospital admissions, and other study endpoints are generally rare events, individuals' risk of each endpoint is greatly increased by SARS-CoV-2 infection. Thus, a majority of observed severe endpoints observed within the outpatientdiagnosed sample were expected to be attributable to COVID-19.

Laboratory data included qualitative (presence/absence) detection of RNA for probes targeting the SARS-CoV-2 S, nucleocapsid (N), and Orf1a/b genes. As BA.4/BA.5 lineages harbor the Δ69-70 amino acid deletion in the S protein, SGTF has been proposed elsewhere as a proxy for distinguishing BA.4/BA.5 from BA.2 lineages.^{9,10} Analyses of a randomly selected subset of SARS-CoV-2 specimens submitted for whole-genome sequencing supported this approach, with the S gene target identified in 97.7% (2,600/2,660) of BA.2 specimens and absent from 99.4% (347/349) of BA.4 specimens and 97.5% (977/1,002) of BA.5 specimens.

To ensure our analyses captured new-onset infections, we excluded cases with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result within the prior 90 days. The study protocol was approved by the KPSC Institutional Review Board.

Outcomes. Outcomes of interest to our analyses included: (1) any ED presentation; (2) any inpatient admission; (3) ARIassociated inpatient admission, at which physicians recorded ≥ 1 of the ARI diagnostic codes indicated in **Table S1**; (4) ICU admission; (5) mechanical ventilation; and (6) death. We limited follow-up time for ED presentation and hospital admission to 30 days following the initial positive outpatient test; we included follow-up time through 60 days from the initial positive outpatient test for endpoints of ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, and death, owing to the longer course of disease expected to precede such outcomes. We censored observations at study end date or at disenrollment for cases who had not experienced each outcome. As cases diagnosed in outpatient settings were enrolled in a homebased monitoring program with standardized criteria for ED referral and inpatient admission,¹⁵ we expected severity of illness associated with each endpoint to be internally comparable within the study cohort. Last, to facilitate our ability to measure intrinsic associations of infecting lineage with risk of progression to severe outcomes, we censored observations at dates of Paxlovid dispense for individuals who received this treatment (5.5% of patients analyzed [*n*=5,833]). Realworld effectiveness of Paxlovid in preventing adverse clinical outcomes within this population has been described elsewhere.^{17,27}

Case characteristics. We recorded the following characteristics for each case: age (defined in 10-year age bands), sex, race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic of any race, Asian, Pacific Islander, and other/mixed/unknown race), neighborhood deprivation index, measured at the Census block level; smoking status (current, former, or never smoker); body mass index (BMI; underweight, normal weight, overweight, obese, and morbidly obese); Charlson comorbidity index (0, 1-2, 3-5, and ≥ 6); prior-year emergency department visits and inpatient admissions (each defined as 0, 1, 2, or ≥ 3 events); prior-year outpatient visits (0-4, 5-9, 10-14, 15-19, 20-29, or ≥ 30 events); documented prior SARS-CoV-2 infection; and history of COVID-19 vaccination (receipt of 0, 1, 2, 3, or ≥ 4 doses, and time from receipt of each dose to each case's testing date), and receipt of Paxlovid ≤ 14 days after the initial outpatient diagnosis date.

Multiple imputation of missing data. Variables with missing data included cases' age (n=1; 0.00094% of 106,532 cases), neighborhood deprivation index (n=81; 0.076% of cases), BMI (n=18,533; 17.4% of observations), and cigarette smoking status (n=16,774; 15.7% of observations). We populated 10 complete pseudo-datasets sampling from the distribution of missing values, according to the joint distribution of all measured variables, via multiple imputation, and repeated all statistical analyses across each pseudo-dataset. We pooled resulting estimates according to Rubin's rules.²⁸

Logistic regression analysis. We compared the distributions of prior vaccination status and prior infection status among BA.4/BA.5 cases versus BA.2 cases via logistic regression. Models controlled for all variables listed above, with the exception of Paxlovid receipt (which occurred after diagnosis), to define aORs in relation to infecting lineage. Models

included distinct intercepts for each calendar week to control for potential changes in testing and healthcare-seeking practices over the period of BA.4/BA.5 emergence.

Survival analysis. We fit Cox proportional regression models including data from all outpatient-diagnosed cases, censoring at either the study end date, end of follow-up, disenrollment, or date of Paxlovid dispense. Models defined covariates for each case characteristic listed above. Models defined strata according to cases' calendar week of testing to control for potential changes in testing and healthcare-seeking practices over the period of BA.4/BA.5 emergence. We verified the proportional hazards assumption for all models by testing for non-zero slopes of the Schoenfeld residuals.^{29,30}

Sensitivity analyses. Because protection from prior infection could contribute to lower risk of clinical progression among a higher proportion of cases with BA.4/BA.5 infection than BA.2 infection,²⁴ we undertook several sensitivity analyses aiming to determine whether our results were robust to bias driven by potentially differential prevalence of unrecorded prior infections among cases infected with each lineage. First, we repeated our primary survival analyses within the subset of cases known to have experienced a prior infection, as differential prevalence of prior infection could not lead to differences in disease progression within this stratum. However, sample sizes were inadequate to allow similar analyses for all endpoints within this subset. We therefore conducted risk-of-bias analyses allowing for non-differential or differential undercounting of prior infections among cases with BA.4/BA.5 and BA.2 infection, similar to prior work in the study population⁴ and described in detail below.

Within each imputed pseudo-dataset, we fit logistic regression models to define cases' propensity for prior SARS-CoV-2 infection as a function of all measured characteristics (including SGTF status) as well as the observed occurrence of endpoints of symptoms potentially associated with SARS-CoV-2,¹⁶ ED presentation, hospital admission, ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, and death. To account for potentially higher-than-observed prevalence of prior infection among all cases, we repeated analyses resampling individual infection histories at random under an assumption that true prevalence of prior infection was $\rho \in (1, 1.5, 2, 3)$ times higher than that observed based on fitted propensity scores. To further allow for potentially higher prevalence of prior infection among cases who were protected from experiencing clinical outcomes, we multiplied the estimated propensity of prior infection within these groups by a factor equal to $\alpha \times \rho$, for $\alpha \in (1, 1.5, 2, 3)$, thus allowing up to 9-fold higher-than-observed prevalence of prior infection among individuals who were protected from experiencing each endpoint. We plot resulting estimates of the bias-corrected adjusted hazards ratios of each outcome, comparing BA.4/BA.5 cases to BA.2 cases, in **Figure S1**.

Additional references:

- 28. Rubin, D. B. Multiple imputation after 18+ years. J Am Stat Assoc 91, 473-489 (1996).
- 29. Schoenfeld, D. Partial residuals for the proportional hazards regression model. Biometrika 69, 239-241 (1982).
- 30. Hernán, M. A. The Hazards of Hazard Ratios. Epidemiology 21, 13-15 (2010).
- 31. Therneau, T. M. & Lumley, T. Package 'survival'. R Top Doc 128, 28-33 (2015).
- 32. Honaker, J., King, G. & Blackwell, M. Amelia II: A program for missing data. J Stat Softw 45 (2011).

Software. We conducted analyses using R version 4.0.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). We used the survival³¹ package for time-to-event analyses, and the Amelia II package³² for multiple imputation.

Data availability. Individual-level data reported in this study are not publicly shared.

Code availability: Analysis code will be made available from github.com/joelewnard/ba4ba5severity upon publication.

Table 1: Characteristics of cases with BA.2 and BA.4/BA.5 lineage SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Characteristic		n	(%)
		BA.2 (No SGTF)	BA.4/BA.5 (SGTF)
		N=46.976	N=59.556
Age ¹		10,010	11 00,000
, ige	0-9 years	3 117 (6 6)	3 883 (6 5)
	10-10 years	1 312 (0.2)	4 755 (8 0)
	20-20 years	5 1/6 (11 0)	6 968 (11 7)
	20-29 years	9,140 (11.0) 9,405 (19,1)	11.224(10.0)
	10 40 years	0,495(10.1)	11,324 (19.0)
	40-49 years	0,007 (10.9)	11,300 (19.1)
	50-59 years	7,952 (10.9)	10,010(10.0)
	00-09 years	5,542 (11.6)	0,004 (11.5)
	70-79 years	2,763 (5.9)	3,371 (5.7)
0	≥80 years	792 (1.7)	987 (1.7)
Sex			
	Female	25,905 (55.1)	32,302 (54.2)
	Male	21,071 (44.9)	27,254 (45.8)
Race/ethnicity			
	White, non-Hispanic	11,381 (24.2)	12,718 (21.4)
	Black, non-Hispanic	3,276 (7.0)	4,490 (7.5)
	Hispanic	21,145 (45.0)	29,489 (49.5)
	Asian	7,503 (16.0)	8,8381 (14.1)
	Pacific Islander	481 (1.0)	515 (0.9)
	Other, mixed race, or unknown race	3,190 (6.8)	3,963 (6.7)
Neighborhood deprivation index ¹			
č	Quintile 1 (least deprived)	7,255 (15.4)	7,748 (13.0)
	Quintile 2	10,836 (23.1)	12,645 (21.2)
	Quintile 3	12.079 (25.7)	15.326 (25.7)
	Quintile 4	10,513 (22,4)	14.397 (24.2)
	Quintile 5 (most deprived)	6.293 (13.4)	9,440 (15.9)
Cigarette smoking ¹		-,()	-,,
eigerene entermig	Never smoker	36,935 (78,6)	46,195 (77,6)
	Current smoker	2 217 (4 7)	3 079 (5 2)
	Former smoker	7 824 (16 7)	10,282,(17,3)
Body mass index ¹		1,021(10.1)	10,202 (11.0)
	Underweight (<18.5)	3 992 (8 5)	4 866 (8 2)
	Normal weight $(18.5,21.0)$	11 083 (25 5)	1/ 383 (2/ 2)
	Overweight (25.0-29.9)	14 203 (20.2)	18 108 (24.2)
	Obece $(30, 30, 0)$	13 072 (27 8)	17 257 (20.0)
	Marbidly abaaa (\$40)	2 726 (7 0)	17,237 (29.0)
Charleon comorbidity index	Morbialy obese (240)	3,720 (7.9)	4,942 (0.3)
Charlson comorbidity index	0	24 664 (72 0)	40 00E (70 C)
	0	34,001 (73.0)	43,023 (73.0)
	1-2	9,791 (20.8)	12,460 (20.9)
	3-5	1,937 (4.1)	2,510 (4.2)
B:	20	597 (1.3)	761 (1.3)
Prior year outpatient visits		40.004 (00.0)	
	0-4	13,281 (28.3)	18,689 (31.4)
	5-9	12,924 (27.5)	16,595 (27.9)
	10-14	7,546 (16.1)	8,94 (15.1)
	15-19	4,375 (9.3)	5,262 (8.8)
	20-29	4,582 (9.8)	5,287 (8.9)
	≥30	4,268 (9.1)	4,729 (7.9)
Prior year ED visits			
	0	39,281 (83.6)	49,909 (83.8)
	1	5,616 (12.0)	7,193 (12.1)
	2	1,315 (2.8)	1,580 (2.7)
	≥3	764 (1.6)	874 (1.5)
Prior year inpatient admissions			
	0	45,012 (95.8)	57,288 (96.2)
	1	1,715 (3.7)	1,946 (3.3) ′
	2	176 (0.4)	231 (0.4)
	≥3	73 (0.2)	91 (0.2)
Receipt of other vaccinations			()
	2021-22 season influenza vaccine	26,704 (56.8)	33,082 (55.5)
	13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine	10.677 (22.7)	13.058 (21.9)
	23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine	12,477 (26.6)	15,990 (26.8)
Receipt of Paxlovid ²		, (20.0)	. 0,000 (20.0)
	Not received within 14 days of diagnosis	44 550 (94 8)	56 149 (94 3)
	Received within 14 days of diagnosis	2 426 (5 2)	3 407 (5 7)
		_, (0.2)	0, (0.1)

SGTF: S gene target failure, here interpreted as a proxy for SARS-CoV-2 variant; CI: Confidence interval.

¹Multiple imputation was used to address missing data; numbers may not add to column totals where missing values occur. The number of missing observations is as follows for the indicated covariates: age: 1 (<0.1%) BA.4/BA.5 cases, 0 (0.0%) BA.2 cases; neighborhood deprivation index: 43 (0.1%) BA.4/BA.5 cases, 38 (0.1%) BA.2 cases; cigarette smoking: 9,600 (16.1%) BA.4/BA.5 cases; 7,174 (15.3%) BA.2 cases; BMI: 10,917 (18.3%) BA.4/BA.5 cases; 7,616 (16.2%) BA.2 cases.

²Logistic regression analyses reported in **Table 2** did not include receipt of Paxlovid as a covariate predicting infecting lineage. Cox proportional hazards models reported in **Table 3**, **Table 4**, **Table 52**, and **Table S3** censored at timing of first Paxlovid dispense.

Table 2: Prior vaccination and documented SARS-CoV-2 infection among cases with BA.2 and BA.4/BA.5 lineage SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Characteristic		n	n (%) OR (95% Cl)		
		<u>BA.2 (No SGTF)</u>	BA.4/BA.5 (SGTF)	Unadjusted ³	Adjusted ³
		N=46,976	N=59,556		
Vaccination—doses					
received ¹					
	0 doses	7,543 (16.1)	9,422 (15.8)	ref.	ref.
	1 dose	1,121 (2.4)	1,503 (2.5)	1.08 (0.97, 1.21)	1.09 (0.98, 1.23)
	2 doses	11,743 (25.0)	14,038 (23.6)	1.02 (0.97, 1.07)	1.04 (0.98, 1.10)
	3 doses	23,477 (50.0)	28,902 (48.5)	1.08 (1.03, 1.13)	1.15 (1.09, 1.21)
	4 doses	3,092 (6.6)	5,691 (9.6)	1.14 (1.06, 1.22)	1.38 (1.27, 1.49)
Prior infection (≥90				· · · /	
days before positive					
test) ²					
-	None documented	45,518 (96.9)	5,6,417 (94.7)	ref.	ref.
	Any documented	1,458 (3.1)	3,139 (5.3)	1.52 (1.40, 1.65)	1.55 (1.43, 1.69)
		6 0150 0			

SGTF: S gene target failure, here interpreted as a proxy for SARS-CoV-2 lineage; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio.

¹Vaccine doses received are summed across all products.

²Cases were excluded in the event of any positive test result in the previous 90 days. Sensitivity analyses subset to cases with documented prior infection, and exploring bias resulting from potential misclassification of prior infection status, are presented in **Table S3** and **Figure S1**. ³Odds ratios and adjusted odds ratios are estimated using logistic regression models defining cases' calendar week (or weekend) of diagnosis as strata. Adjusted estimates control for all variables listed in **Table 1** as covariates with the exception of Paxlovid receipt.

Clinical endpoint	Infecting lineage		Events	Hazard rat	atio (95% CI)	
	3 1 3	<u>n (%)¹</u>	Rate per 100,000 person-days	Unadjusted	Adjusted	
Emergency department presentation—30 days						
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	1,238 (2.6)	93.2	ref.	ref.	
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	1,441 (2.4)	86.7	0.91 (0.82, 1.01)	0.96 (0.87, 1.06)	
Hospital admission—30 days						
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	162 (0.34)	11.8	ref.	ref.	
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	196 (0.33)	11.6	0.92 (0.70, 1.21)	0.96 (0.73, 1.26)	
ARI-associated hospital admission—30 days	,					
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	65 (0.13)	4.7	ref.	ref.	
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	76 (0.13)	4.5	1.02 (0.65, 1.60)	1.09 (0.70, 1.69)	
Intensive care unit admission—60 days						
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	29 (0.068)	1.2	ref.	ref.	
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	13 (0.049)	1.1	0.62 (0.33, 1.17)	0.68 (0.36, 1.27)	
Mechanical ventilation—60 days						
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	5 (0.012)	0.2			
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	4 (0.015)	0.3			
All-cause mortality—60 days	,					
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	18 (0.068)	0.7			
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	4 (0.0094)	0.3			

... **.** . . ---. . . .

CI: Confidence interval. Estimates indicate the adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) of each outcome, comparing cases with BA.4/BA.5 infection to those with BA.2 infection, estimated via Cox proportional hazards models including strata for cases' week of diagnosis and all covariates listed in **Table 1** and **Table 2**. ¹Proportions calculated among 46,976 BA.2 cases and 59,556 BA.4/BA.5 cases followed \geq 30 days (for endpoints of emergency department presentation and hospital admission), and among 42,746 BA.2

cases and 26,339 BA.4/BA.5 cases followed ≥60 days (for endpoints of intensive care unit admission, mechanical ventilation, and all-cause mortality).

Table 4: Association of prior vaccination or infection with risk of severe clinical outcomes among cases tested 29 April, 2022 to 29 July, 2022.

Population	Characteristic	Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI), by clinical endpoint ¹			
		All-cause ED	All-cause hospital	ARI-associated hospital	ICU admission
		presentation (30 days)	admission (30 days)	admission (30 days)	(60 days)
All cases					
	0 vaccine doses	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.
	2 vaccine doses	0.85 (0.76, 0.90)	0.86 (0.71, 1.04)	0.62 (0.50, 1.01)	0.77 (0.44, 1.06)
	3 vaccine doses	0.70 (0.66, 0.79)	0.57 (0.45, 0.70)	0.44 (0.26, 0.57)	0.50 (0.32, 0.81)
	4 vaccine doses	0.67 (0.62, 0.75)	0.55 (0.43, 0.66)	0.35 (0.21, 0.47)	0.28 (0.22, 0.48)
	No documented prior infection	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.
	Any documented prior infection	0.87 (0.77, 1.02)	0.93 (0.69, 1.19)	0.71 (0.45, 1.78)	0.18 (0.09, 1.14)
BA.4/BA.5 cases	0 vaccine doses	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.
	2 vaccine doses	0.85 (0.73, 0.92)	0.92 (0.70, 1.21)	0.62 (0.46, 1.28)	0.42 (0.16, 0.71)
	3 vaccine doses	0.66 (0.61, 0.77)	0.62 (0.44, 0.82)	0.57 (0.27, 0.81)	0.35 (0.17, 0.76)
	4 vaccine doses	0.63 (0.56, 0.73)	0.59 (0.42, 0.77)	0.29 (0.15, 0.44)	0.27 (0.21, 0.52)
	No documented prior infection	ref.	ref.	ref.	
DA 0	Any documented prior infection	0.88 (0.75, 1.07)	0.98 (0.66, 1.35)	0.44 (0.22, 1.77)	
BA.2 cases	0 vaccine doses	ref	ref	ref	ref
	2 vaccine doses	0.86 (0.73, 0.94)	0.80 (0.61, 1.05)	0.58 (0.44, 1.13)	0.80 (0.39, 1.20)
	3 vaccine doses	0.75 (0.69, 0.89)	0.53 (0.38, 0.70)	0.35 (0.16, 0.50)	0.56 (0.31, 1.05)
	4 vaccine doses	0.69 (0.61, 0.83)	0.53 (0.36, 0.71)	0.42 (0.20, 0.65)	0.08 (0.05, 0.35)
	No documented prior infection	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.
	Any documented prior infection	0.87 (0.71, 1.13)	0.93 (0.57, 1.39)	0.85 (0.46, 2.98)	0.31 (0.15, 1.94)

CI: Confidence interval. Estimates indicate the adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) of each outcome, comparing cases with BA.4/BA.5 infection to those with BA.2 infection, estimated via Cox proportional hazards models including strata for cases' week of diagnosis and all covariates listed in **Table 1**. ²Previous infection defined by any positive test result or diagnosis \geq 90 days prior to the date of the current test. We omit estimates among recipients of single vaccine doses due to sparse sample sizes (*N*=1,121 BA.4/BA.5 cases and 1,503 BA.2 cases).



Figure 1: Attributes and clinical outcomes among cases diagnosed in outpatient settings. We first illustrate total outpatient-diagnosed cases, distinguishing those not tested using the TaqPath ThermoFisher COVID-19 Combo Kit (TF) assay and those determined to exhibit or not exhibit S gene target failure (SGTF; **a**). All subsequent plots are restricted to the eligible sample of outpatient cases tested using the TF assay, including the proportion of cases exhibiting SGTF (**b**); the proportion of cases with a history of prior documented infection (**c**); the proportion of cases who previously received 0, 1, 2, or \geq 3 COVID-19 vaccine doses (**d**); the proportion of cases hospitalized within 30 days following their positive test (**e**), and the proportion of cases experiencing severe outcomes of intensive care unit (ICU) admission, mechanical ventilation, or death within 60 days after their positive test (**f**). The gray shaded area in panel **f** delineates weeks with <3000 cases, precluding reliable estimation of rare endpoints.



Figure 2: Clinical outcomes among cases with BA.2 and BA.4/BA.5 lineage SARS-CoV-2 infection, tested 29 April, 2022 to 29 July, 2022. Plots illustrate cumulative 30-day risk of severe clinical outcomes among cases first ascertained in outpatient settings, stratified by SGTF status for infecting subvariant (BA.4/BA.5 [SGTF]: orange; BA.2 [No SGTF]: blue), for endpoints of any emergency department (ED) presentation (a); any inpatient admission (b); inpatient admission associated with an acute respiratory infection (ARI) diagnosis (c); intensive care unit (ICU) admission (d); mechanical ventilation (e), and death (f). Shaded areas denote 95% confidence intervals around median estimates (center lines).

Table S1: Diagnosis codes used to identify acute respiratory infection-associated hospital admissions.

Code	Diagnosis
A48.1	Legionnaire's disease
B34.2	Coronavirus infection (unspecified)
B44.0	Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis
B97.29	Other coronavirus as the cause of diseases classified elsewhere
J00	Acute nasopharyngitis (common cold)
J01.00	Acute maxillary sinusitis, unspecified
J01.10	Acute frontal sinusitis, unspecified
J01.20	Acute ethmoidal sinusitis, unspecified
J01.30	Acute sphenoidal sinusitis, unspecified
J01.40	Acute pansinusitis, unspecified
J01.80	Other acute sinusitis
J01.90	Acute sinusitis, unspecified
J02.0	Streptococcal pharynoitis
J02.8	Acute pharyngitis due to other specified organisms
J02.9	Acute pharyngitis, unspecified
J03.00	Acute streptococcal tonsillitis, unspecified
J03.90	Acute tonsillitis, unspecified
J04.0	Acute larvnoitis
J04.10	Acute tracheits without obstruction
J05.0	Acute obstructive larvnoitis (croup)
J05.10	Acute epialottitis without obstruction
J06.0	Acute larvngopharvngitis
J06.9	Acute upper respiratory infection, unspecified
J09.X1	Influenza due to identified novel influenza A virus with pneumonia
J09.X2	Influenza due to identified novel influenza A virus with other respiratory manifestations
J10.00	Influenza due to other identified influenza virus with unspecified type of pneumonia
J10.01	Influenza due to other identified influenza virus with same other identified influenza virus pneumonia
J10.08	Influenza due to other identified influenza virus with other pneumonia
J10.1	Influenza due to other identified influenza virus with other respiratory manifestations
J10.2	Influenza due to other identified influenza virus with gastrointestinal manifestations
J11.00	Influenza due to unidentified influenza virus with unspecified type of pneumonia
J11.08	Influenza due to unidentified influenza virus with specified pneumonia
J11.1	Influenza due to unidentified influenza virus with other respiratory manifestations
J12.1	Respiratory syncertial virus pneumonia
.112.2	Parajnfluenza virus pneumonia
J12.3	
.112 81	Preumonia due to SARS-associated coronavirus
.112.82	Preumonia due to coronavirus disease 2019
.112.89	Other viral neumonia
.112.9	Viral pneumonia unspecified
.113	Preumonia due to Streptococcus preumoniae
.114	Preumonia due to Haemophilus influenzae
J15.0	Pneumonia due to Klebsiella pneumoniae
.115.1	Preumonia due to Pseudomonas
J15.20	Preumonia due to Stabhylococcus unspecified
J15.211	Preumonia due to methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus
.115 212	Preumonia due to methicillin resistant Stanbylococcus aureus
J15.4	Pneumonia due to other Streptococci
J15.5	Pneumonia due to Escherichia coli
J15.6	Pneumonia due to other aerobic gram-negative bacteria
J15.7	Pneumonia due to Mycoplasma pneumoniae
J15.8	Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria
J15.9	Unspecified bacterial pneumonia
J16.8	Pneumonia due to other specified infectious organisms
J18.0	Bronchopneumonia, unspecified organism
J18.1	Lobar pneumonia, unspecified organism
J18.8	Other pneumonia, unspecified organism
J18.9	Pneumonia, unspecified organism
J20.2	Acute bronchitis due to Streptococcus
J20.5	Acute bronchitis due to respiratory syncvtial virus
J20.6	Acute bronchitis due to rhinovirus
J20.8	Acute bronchitis due to other specified organisms
J20.9	Acute bronchitis, unspecified
J22	Unspecified acute lower respiratory infection
J39.0	Retropharyngeal and parapharyngeal abscess
J39.1	Other abscess of pharvnx
J39.2	Other diseases of pharynx
J39 8	Other specified diseases of upper respiratory tract
J80	Acute respiratory distress syndrome
J96.00	Acute respiratory failure, unspecified with hypoxia or hypercaphia
J96 01	Acute respiratory failure with hypoxia
J96.02	Acute respiratory failure with hypercapnia
J96.10	Chronic respiratory failure, unspecified with hypoxia or hypercannia
J96.11	Chronic respiratory failure with hypoxia and hypoxia of hypotoaphia

J96.12 Chronic respiratory failure with hypercapnia

J96.2	0 Acute and chronic respiratory failure, unspecified with hypoxia or hypercapnia
J96.2	1 Acute and chronic respiratory failure with hypoxia
J96.2	2 Acute and chronic respiratory failure with hypercapnia
J96.9	0 Respiratory failure, unspecified with hypoxia or hypercapnia
J96.9	1 Respiratory failure with hypoxia
J96.9	2 Respiratory failure with hypercapnia
M35.8	81 Multisystem inflammatory syndrome
M35.8	89 Other specified systemic involvement of connective tissue
R05.1	1 Acute cough
R05.3	3 Chronic cough
R05.8	3 Other specified cough
R05.9	O Cough, unspecified
R09.2	2 Respiratory arrest
R50.9	9 Fever, unspecified
U07.1	1 COVID-19

Table S2: Association of infecting lineage risk of severe clinical outcomes over 15 days after diagnosis among cases tested 29 April, 2022 to 29 July, 2022.

Clinical endpoint	Infecting lineage	Events Hazard ratio (95% CI)		io (95% CI)	
		<u>n (%)¹</u>	Rate per 100,000 person-days	<u>Unadjusted</u>	<u>Adjusted</u>
Emergency department presentation—15 days					
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	859 (1.7)	128.0	ref.	ref.
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	1,013 (1.8)	119.5	0.90 (0.79, 1.01)	0.95 (0.84, 1.07)
Hospital admission—15 days					
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	94 (0.20)	13.3	ref.	ref.
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	121 (0.20)	13.9	0.92 (0.64, 1.32)	0.96 (0.73, 1.27)

CI: Confidence interval. Estimates indicate the adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) of each outcome, comparing cases with BA.4/BA.5 infection to those with BA.2 infection, estimated via Cox proportional hazards models including strata for cases' week of diagnosis and all covariates listed in **Table 1** and **Table 2**.

¹Proportions calculated among 46,976 BA.2 cases and 59,556 BA.4/BA.5 cases followed ≥30 days (for endpoints of emergency department presentation and hospital admission).

Table S3: Association of prior vaccination or infection with risk of severe clinical outcomes over 15 days after diagnosis among cases tested 29 April, 2022 to 29 July, 2022.

Population	pulation Characteristic Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI), by clinical endpoir			
		All-cause ED presentation—15 days	All-cause hospital admission—30 days	
		<u>15 days</u>	<u>15 days</u>	
All cases				
	0 vaccine doses	ref.	ref.	
	2 vaccine doses	0.79 (0.68, 0.90)	0.82 (0.69, 1.15)	
	3 vaccine doses	0.67 (0.63, 0.70)	0.58 (0.41, 0.66)	
	4 vaccine doses	0.58 (0.53, 0.72)	0.43 (0.27, 0.63)	
	No documented prior infection	ref.	ref.	
	Any documented prior infection	0.74 (0.65, 0.84)	0.77 (0.54, 1.20)	
BA.4/BA.5 cases	, ,			
	0 vaccine doses	ref.	ref.	
	2 vaccine doses	0.80 (0.66, 0.96)	0.74 (0.58, 1.18)	
	3 vaccine doses	0.62 (0.57, 0.66)	0.58 (0.37, 0.70)	
	4 vaccine doses	0.53 (0.47, 0.72)	0.36 (0.20, 0.59)	
	No documented prior infection	ref.	ref.	
	Any documented prior infection	0.78 (0.68, 0.92)	0.73 (0.46, 1.30)	
BA.2 cases				
	0 vaccine doses	ref.	ref.	
	2 vaccine doses	0.80 (0.64, 0.98)	0.87 (0.67, 1.44)	
	3 vaccine doses	0.74 (0.67, 0.79)	0.65 (0.39, 0.81)	
	4 vaccine doses	0.60 (0.52, 0.86)	0.51 (0.25, 0.90)	
	No documented prior infection	ref.	ref.	
	Any documented prior infection	0.70 (0.57, 0.88)	0.77 (0.44, 1.57)	

CI: Confidence interval. Estimates indicate the adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) of each outcome, comparing cases with BA.4/BA.5 infection to those with BA.2 infection, estimated via Cox proportional hazards models including strata for cases' week of diagnosis and all covariates listed in **Table 1**. ²Previous infection defined by any positive test result or diagnosis \geq 90 days prior to the date of the current test. We omit estimates among recipients of single vaccine doses due to sparse sample sizes (*N*=1,121 BA.4/BA.5 cases and 1,503 BA.2 cases).

Clinical outcome	Population	Events, n (%) ¹	Rate per 100,000 person-days	HR (95% CI)	aHR (95% CI)
Emergency department presentation—15 days			· · · · · ·		
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	26 (1.8)	122.9	ref.	ref.
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	54 (1.7)	118.8	0.80 (0.44, 1.48)	0.81 (0.43, 1.52)
Emergency department presentation—30 days				(, ,	
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	49 (3.4)	117.1	ref.	ref.
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	89 (2.8)	100.0	0.85 (0.54, 1.35)	0.87 (0.54, 1.41)
lospital admission—15 days		. ,		, , ,	
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	4 (0.3)	18.1	ref.	
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	6 (0.2)	13.0	0.80 (0.13, 5.06)	
lospital admission—30 days		· · ·		· · · /	
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	7 (0.5)	16.2	ref.	ref.
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	11 (0.4)	12.2	1.30 (0.33, 5.09)	1.45 (0.29, 7.14)
RI-associated hospital admission—30 days		()			
	BA.2 (S gene detected)	4 (0.3)	9.3	ref.	
	BA.4/BA.5 (S gene target failure)	3 (0.1)	3.3	0.49 (0.06, 4.39)	

CI: Confidence interval. Estimates indicate the hazard ratio (HR) adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) of each outcome, comparing cases with BA.4/BA.5 infection to those with BA.2 infection, estimated via Cox proportional hazards models including strata for cases' calendar week (or weekend) of diagnosis and all covariates listed in **Table 1** and **Table 2**. Analyses are subset to cases with documented SARS-CoV-2 infection based on a positive molecular test result ≥90 days before the date of the current test (3,139 BA.4/BA.5 cases and 1,458 BA.2 cases). We omit analyses of endpoints of ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, and death due to sparse counts.



Modeled excess prevalence of prior infection (relative to observations) among cases not experiencing each outcome





C. Allowing 2-fold higher prevalence of prior infection than observed among cases with outcome



among cases not experiencing each outcome





