Global patterns and drivers of influenza decline during the COVID-19 pandemic

3 Francesco Bonacina^{1,2}, Pierre-Yves Boëlle¹, Vittoria Colizza^{1,3}, Olivier Lopez², Maud Thomas²,

4 Chiara Poletto¹

⁵ ¹INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Pierre Louis Institute of Epidemiology and Public Health, Paris, France

6 ² Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Probabilités, Statistique et Modélisation, LPSM, Paris, France

³ Tokyo Tech World Research Hub Initiative (WRHI), Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan

8 Corresponding author: Chiara Poletto (chiara.poletto@inserm.fr)

9 Abstract

10 Influenza circulation declined during the COVID-19 pandemic. The timing and extent of 11 decline and its association with interventions against COVID-19 were described for some 12 regions. Here, we provide a global analysis of the influenza decline between March 2020 13 and September 2021 and investigate its potential drivers. We computed influenza change 14 by country and trimester relative to the 2014-2019 period using the number of samples in 15 the FluNet database. We used random forests to determine important predictors in a list 16 of 20 covariates including demography, weather, pandemic preparedness, COVID-19 17 incidence, and COVID-19 pandemic response. With a regression tree we then classified observations according to these predictors. We found that influenza circulation 18 19 decreased globally, with COVID-19 incidence and pandemic preparedness being the two 20 most important predictors of this decrease. The regression tree showed interpretable 21 groups of observations by country and trimester: Europe and North America clustered 22 together in spring 2020, with limited influenza decline despite strong COVID-19 23 restrictions; in the period afterwards countries of temperate regions, with high pandemic 24 preparedness, high COVID-19 incidence and stringent social restrictions grouped 25 together having strong influenza decline. Conversely, countries in the tropics, with 26 altogether low pandemic preparedness, low reported COVID-19 incidence and low 27 strength of COVID-19 response showed low influenza decline overall. A final group 28 singled out four "zero-Covid" countries, with the lowest residual influenza levels. The 29 spatiotemporal decline of influenza during the COVID-19 pandemic was global, yet 30 heterogeneous. The sociodemographic context and stage of the COVID-19 pandemic 31 showed non-linear associations with this decline. Zero-Covid countries maintained the 32 lowest levels of reduction with strict border controls and despite close-to-normal social 33 activity. These results suggest that the resurgence of influenza could take equally diverse paths. It also emphasises the importance of influenza reseeding in driving 34

35 countries' seasonal influenza epidemics.

Funding Municipality of Paris, EU Framework Programme for Research and Innovation Horizon2020.

38 Introduction

- 39 Starting with the global spread of SARS-CoV-2, observations of a sharp decline in influenza
- 40 circulation were reported. In spring 2020, the flu season was shortened in some northern-
- 41 hemisphere and tropical countries [1,2]. During the following 18 months, influenza incidence
- 42 showed an all-time low in New Zealand [3], Australia [4], the United States [5–7] and the WHO
- 43 European Region [8]. The circulation was still low in 2021.
- 44
- 45 The measures adopted in response to the COVID-19 pandemic are likely to have hindered
- 46 influenza transmission at the same time, since the routes of transmission are identical. Indeed,
- 47 influenza decline, as well as that of other transmissible diseases, coincided with non-
- 48 pharmaceutical interventions against COVID-19 [2,6,7] [9,10].
- 49 Understanding how this decline occurred may help interpret the current influenza trends and
- 50 anticipate future viral circulation. While the issue has been described for specific countries or
- regions [2–5,7,8,11–13], little work has been done at the global scale [14,15] [16].
- 52 Here we provide a global quantitative analysis of the influenza reduction based on the Global
- 53 Influenza Surveillance and Response System FluNet database [16,17]. We considered the
- 54 period between March 2020 and September 2021 and estimated influenza reduction by country
- and trimester relative to a pre-pandemic period (2014-2019). We identified geographical,
- 56 demographical, health preparedness and COVID-19 status characteristics predictive of
- 57 influenza decline using random forests and clustered observations with similar decline in time
- 58 and space using a regression tree.

59 Methods

60 Overview of the methods

- 61 We used data from the FluNet influenza repository [16,17] to quantify the global influenza
- 62 change during the COVID-19 pandemic (March 2020 to September 2021) compared to the pre-
- 63 pandemic period (December 2014 to December 2019). We mapped influenza decline by country
- and trimester. We then used random forests to identify the most significant predictors of decline
- and a regression tree to classify countries-trimesters based on these predictors. Potential
- 66 predictors included a wide range of covariates, among them country factors (geographical,
- 67 meteorological, demographic and health preparedness factors) and variables associated with
- 68 the COVID-19 pandemic assembled from sources detailed below.

69 Influenza data and definition of influenza reduction

- 70 The FluNet influenza repository [16,17] provides weekly counts of influenza specimens by
- 71 country. For our analysis we considered records from 2014 to 2021. To account for influenza
- seasonality, we defined 13 weeks-long "influenza trimesters" beginning on the first Monday
- 73 following December 11, March 12, June 11 and September 11. These dates were chosen so

- that the middle of the December 11 trimester coincided with the peak of a typical influenza
- 76 circulation in the northern hemisphere. As the trimesters correspond approximately to the
- astronomical seasons, we refer to these as winter, spring, summer and autumn respectively.
- 78 Data from FluNet was aggregated by country and trimester. The 20 trimesters from winter 2014-
- 79 15 (Dec 2014-Mar 2015) to autumn 2019 (Sep 2019-Dec 2019) defined the reference "pre-
- 80 pandemic" period, the six trimesters from spring 2020 to summer 2021 the "pandemic" period.
- 81 The winter 2020 trimester (from Dec 2019 to Mar 2020) was excluded as it overlapped the
- 82 period of COVID-19 emergence. We also discarded trimesters having less than 10 processed
- 83 influenza specimens per week on average and those typically unaffected by influenza epidemics
- 84 (i.e. having less than 5% of the annual positive cases on average during the pre-pandemic
- 85 period, essentially "summer" in the northern hemisphere and "winter" in the southern
- 86 hemisphere).
- 87 For the "pandemic" trimesters, we computed the percentage of influenza positive cases as the
- ratio of positive to positive plus negative samples during the trimester (adding 0.5 to avoid
- division by zero issues). We computed the "log relative influenza level" as the base-10 logarithm
- 90 of the ratio between the percentage of positive cases during a trimester and the average
- 91 percentage of positive cases in the corresponding pre-pandemic trimesters [7,13].

92 Variables for prediction of influenza reduction

93 We collected the covariates described in Table 1 from public sources and IATA. Additional

94 details on computation are provided in the supplementary material.

| Variable | Description | Source | Min, max |
|--|---|---|------------------|
| age | Median age of the country population | [18], [19] | 15.1, 48.2 |
| longitude | Population-weighted average of longitude for cities with more than 300K inhabitants by country or country capital longitude, from -180 (W) to 180 (E) | rage of longitude for cities with more than 300K country capital longitude, from -180 (W) to 180 (E) [20] -100.7, 174.4 | |
| latitude | Population-weighted average of latitude for cities with more than 300K inhabitants by country or country capital latitude, from -90 (S) to 90 (N) | [20] | -38.7, 60.4 |
| т | Average temperature (in Celsius degrees) over the country and trimester [21] | | -8.8, 37.8 |
| RH | Average relative humidity over the country and trimester [21] [21] [21] | | 17.3, 93.5 |
| IDVI | Infectious Disease Vulnerability Index (IDVI), country level indicator of the vulnerability to health emergencies from 0 (most vulnerable) to 1 (less vulnerable) | [22] | 0.15, 1 |
| COVID-19 daily cases | Average daily reported cases of COVID-19 per million inhabitants | [23] | 0, 553.5 |
| workplace presence reduction | Median percentage of reduction of daily presence in workplaces. Reduction from the first 5 weeks in 2020 in the same location. | [24] | -22.5%, 69.0% |
| reduction of international flights | Average percentage of reduction in the inbound and outbound air passengers of the country for each trimester with respect to the same trimester of 2019 | [25] | -16.8%, 100% |
| nb days of school closure | Number of days over the trimester where policies related to schools and universities closure were implemented | [26] | 0, 91 |

| nb days of workplace closure | Number of days over the trimester where policies related to workplaces closure were implemented | | 0, 91 |
|--|---|------|-------|
| nb days of public event restrictions | Number of days over the trimester where policies related to event restrictions were implemented | [26] | 0, 91 |
| nb days of gathering restrictions | Number of days over the trimester where policies related to social gathering restrictions were implemented | [26] | 0, 91 |
| nb days of public transport restrictions | Number of days over the trimester where policies related to public transport restrictions were implemented | [26] | 0, 91 |
| nb days of stay at home requirements | of stay at Number of days with "shelter-in-place" and otherwise confine to the home orders | | 0, 91 |
| nb days of international travel restrictions | Number of days with airport screening, quarantine of arrival passengers or restrictions of international travels | | 0, 91 |
| nb days of facial covering requirements | Number of days with policies on the use of facial coverings outside the home | [26] | 0, 91 |
| nb days of testing implementation | Number of days with government policy on who has access to testing for current infection (PCR tests) | [26] | 0, 91 |
| nb days of contact tracing implementation | s of tracing entation | | 0, 91 |
| nb days of elderly shielding | Number of days with policies to protect older adults (as defined locally) in long-term care facilities and/or community and home-based settings | [26] | 0, 91 |

95 Table 1. Definition, computation and source of the variables used as predictors of influenza change.

96 Clustering and regression tree analysis

- 97 We used the VSURF algorithm based on random forests (RFs) to select the covariates that
- 98 were highly predictive of influenza reduction [27]. Importance is defined as the increase in
- 99 prediction-error when the variable of interest is randomly reshuffled across observations. We
- 100 discarded variables with close to zero importance in a univariable analysis. Then, we carried out
- 101 a forward selection of predictors, including variables in their order of importance one at a time.
- 102 Following Breiman's rule [28], we retained the model with the least variables having prediction
- 103 error less than the minimum prediction error plus one standard deviation.
- Using the variables selected above, we fit a regression tree in order to obtain an interpretablemodel [28]. The details of the approach are provided in the supplementary material.
- 106 Analyses were performed with R version 4.2 and packages vsurf [27] and rpart [29].

107 Robustness and sensitivity analyses

- 108 The details of the robustness checks and the sensitivity analysis are reported in the
- 109 supplementary material. In summary, we checked the robustness of the regression analysis to
- stochastic fluctuations in the dataset and to criteria for including the FluNet records in the
- analysis; we explored alternative definitions for covariates: COVID-19 daily deaths instead of

- 112 COVID-19 daily cases; Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker stringency index
- 113 instead of governmental response [26]; alternative Google mobility reports instead of presence
- in workplaces. We also explored separate inclusion of age and IDVI as these were highly
- 115 correlated (*ρ*_{Spearman}=0.87, *p*_{val}<0.01).

116 Results

117 Decline of influenza in space and time

- 118 One hundred sixty-six (166) countries contributed data to FluNet between December 2014 and
- 119 September 2021. Figure 1A shows the time course of the reports. In the pre-pandemic period,
- 120 the percentage of positive tests varied seasonally between 4% and 33%, with major peaks
- 121 during seasonal epidemics in northern countries and lower peaks for southern countries. The
- 122 global number of tests for influenza remained within the range of historical levels throughout the
- 123 whole COVID-19 pandemic period, but the percentage of influenza positive tests dropped
- sharply, to a minimum level of 0.04% during the months of July and August 2020.
- 125 One hundred twelve countries remained for analysis during the pandemic, contributing 376
- 126 trimester-country observations (Table S1). The percentage of influenza positive tests varied
- 127 across countries and trimesters over five orders of magnitude compared to only two orders of
- magnitude over the pre-pandemic period (Figure 1B). For 135 out of the 376 observations, the
- 129 percentage of positive influenza tests was more than 100 times smaller than expected. The
- reduction of influenza positivity could be dramatic, as shown by the 0 positive tests out of 26114
- 131 processed tests reported in Japan during Spring 2021, compared to the average 75% expected
- in the pre-pandemic period. An increase in the percentage of positive tests was seen in 22
- 133 observations: This was for example the case for Haiti during Winter 2020-21, where the
- 134 percentage of positive tests was 15% compared to an expected 2.2% before the pandemic.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.15.22277497; this version posted July 17, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.



135 Figure 1. Change in influenza circulation during the COVID-19 pandemic relative to the pre-pandemic period. 136 A Weekly counts of processed and positive tests of influenza reported to FluNet for all 166 countries included in the 137 database from Jan 2017 to Jan 2022. The green shaded area indicates the COVID-19 pandemic period considered in 138 the study. The six blocks indicate the trimesters. The week in which COVID-19 was declared a pandemic by WHO is 139 reported as reference. B Percentage of positive tests for the pre-pandemic and COVID-19 pandemic periods (Dec 140 2014 - Dec 2019 and Mar 2020 - Sep 21, respectively), for all 376 countries and trimesters satisfying the filtering criteria on the FluNet data. For each country-trimester, the x coordinate is the average percentage of positive tests of 141 142 the five years included in the pre-pandemic period. The size of the dots is proportional to the number of samples 143 found in FluNet for the pandemic period. Dots' colour indicates the log relative influenza level.

144 The spatial variation of the influenza decline is mapped in Figure 2 over the 6 pandemic

- trimesters. For the majority of countries, the decline remained limited during Spring 2020, with
- 146 46 out of 65 countries reporting less than 90% reduction from the pre-pandemic period (i.e. log
- 147 relative influenza level > -1). The decline became more pronounced in the subsequent
- trimesters, especially in North America, Europe, Mexico and Japan during the winter 2020-21
- and spring 2021. The decline was strong also in the majority of Southern-hemisphere countries
- 150 during both summer 2020 and summer 2021. Conversely, a number of countries in South Asia
- 151 (e.g. Bangladesh, Afghanistan), Africa (e.g. Mali, Senegal, Nigeria, Kenya, Zambia) and Central
- 152 America (e.g. Honduras, Haiti) showed limited influenza reduction throughout the whole COVID-
- 153 19 pandemic period (log relative influenza levels > -1). The levels of reduction changed over
- the period. Interestingly, the log relative influenza level was as low as -2.4 during summer 2020
- 155 in China but increased again starting autumn 2020. A similar increasing trend was observed
- also in a few other countries, e.g. in Kenya and Nigeria.



- 157 Figure 2: Influenza decline during the first 18 months of COVID-19 pandemic by countries and trimesters.
- Maps of the log relative influenza level for the 6 trimesters considered in the analysis. The grey colour indicatescountries-trimesters not included in the analysis.

160 Clustering and regression tree analysis

- 161 The analysis was carried out on 93 countries, totalling 330 country-trimester observations.
- 162 Among the 20 covariates tested, 11 were selected as predictors of the log relative influenza

163 level (Figure 3). Sociodemographic, preparedness, geographical, weather and COVID-19

164 management aspects contributed all to explaining the changes, though COVID-19 daily cases165 and IDVI were the most important.



166 Figure 3: Importance of covariates predicting influenza decline in random forest analysis. Importance of 167 covariates as predictors of the log relative influenza level. In green the 11 covariates selected as significant to build 168 the model with the minimum prediction error following the Breiman's rule. Black segments show the standard 169 deviations of the importance.

170 The full regression tree built from the data accounted for 69% of the variance of the log relative influenza level ($R^2 = 0.69$) (Figure S3, Table S2). To interpret the relationships between the 171 selected variables and the country-trimesters, we focus here on the first four splits based on 172 173 IDVI, COVID-19 daily cases, longitude, and workplace mobility reduction (Figure 4A). The five 174 groups identified by these splits (labelled 1 to 5, Figure 4A) showed a gradient in average log 175 relative influenza level ranging from -2.3 (reduction by 99.5%) to -0.7 (reduction by 80%). How the observations in each group rank with respect to the whole dataset is shown in Figure 4B. 176 177 Group 1 included 109 countries-trimesters with high influenza decline, corresponding to the 178 lower quartile of the whole dataset distribution. This group was characterised by high IDVI 179 (median value corresponding to the 71th pc. of the whole dataset), high COVID-19 daily cases 180 (83rd pc.), old population (70th pc.), low temperatures (25th pc.). Median reduction of workplace 181 presence and median number of days with school closure were close to the whole-population 182 median but were higher than other groups, except for group 4 discussed below. Population 183 gathering restrictions were especially high (82nd pc.). The corresponding countries-trimesters 184 included countries in Europe and North America during the 2020-21 influenza season, countries 185 in temperate South America, and high-IDVI countries in Central America and Tropical Asia

186 (Table S2 in the supplementary material).



187 Figure 4: Regression tree analysis of influenza decline and characteristics of the identified subgroups. A

188 Regression tree obtained with the variables selected in Figure 3. We report here the first four splits, which partition 189 the observations in five groups. The full tree is reported in Figure S4 of the supplementary material. For each node

the observations in live groups. The full free is reported in Figure S4 of the supplementary material. For each node the average log relative influenza level and the number of observations are reported (the former is also indicated with

a colour scale). **B.** Characteristics of each group. For each variable the colour of the circle indicates the percentile of

the whole dataset distribution the median of the group corresponds to. The percentile value is also indicated inside

193 the circle. The size of the circle increases with the number of observations of the group.

- 194 Group 2 was the smallest and clustered observations with the largest influenza decline (median
- 195 log relative influenza level corresponding to the least 8% of all data points). It gathered all
- 196 observations from Australia, Japan, New Zealand and South Korea. These country-trimesters
- 197 showed low COVID-19 daily cases (29th pc.), high IDVI (91st pc) and high reduction of
- 198 international flights (88th pc.). Reduction of workplace presence, and number of days of school
- 199 closure and gathering restrictions were comparatively low (23rd, 23rd, 13rd, pcs., respectively).
- 200 Group 3 corresponded to 45 observations with intermediary log relative influenza level.
- 201 Covariates were also close to the median of all data points. Singapore from autumn 2020 to
- autumn 2021 is part of this group (larger tree in Figure S4). Covariates of these observations
- 203 are close to the second group e.g. high influenza reduction, low COVID-19 daily cases, high
- 204 reduction of international flights. Other observations of group 3 (e.g. Southeast Asia countries,
- such as Malaysia, Vietnam, Indonesia and Thailand) were similar to Singapore, but had lower
- 206 population's age and IDVI. They showed, however, a more limited influenza decline.
- 207 Group 4 had 39 observations corresponding to Europe and North America during the spring
- 208 2020 trimester. At this period, influenza decline was limited (median log relative influenza level
- 209 corresponding to the 68th pc. of all data points), but there were already a strong response to the
- 210 COVID-19 pandemic as quantified e.g. by the reduction in the workplace presence (87th pc.)
- and number of days of school closure (83rd pc.).
- 212 Finally, group 5 included 123 country-trimesters with the lowest decrease in influenza relative to
- 213 the pre-pandemic period (log relative influenza level 76th pc.). In this group, there was a low
- number of COVID-19 cases (27th pc.), young population (19th pc.), low IDVI (19th pc.) and high
- temperatures (70th pc.). The response to the COVID-19 pandemic was mild, with limited
- reduction of international flights (28th pc.), as well as workplace presence reduction (34th pc.)
- and number of days of school closure (43rd pc.) small compared to the whole population. This
- group was largely formed by tropical countries, e.g. in Africa, South and Southeast Asia, Central
- 219 America and the Caribbean (Table S2 of the supplementary material).

220 Robustness and sensitivity analyses

- 221 Variable selection and tree structure were robust to stochastic fluctuations. The five-group
- classification was robust to small perturbations in the data set, as was the selection of predictive
- 223 variables. In some cases, for example with different inclusion criteria for FluNet data,
- observations in Group 1 and Group 2 tended to cluster together. More details are reported in the
- 225 supplementary material.

226 Discussion

227 The systematic analysis of influenza circulation across all continents and climatic regions shows

that the influenza decline was global during the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic. This

- 229 decrease was heterogeneous across countries and trimesters between March 2020 and
- 230 September 2021. Demographic, socio-economic, weather and COVID-19 characteristics
- 231 explained a large part of this heterogeneity.

232 Influenza circulation is characterised by marked seasonal epidemics in temperate countries but

- a more complex annual pattern in the tropics [30]. Surveillance may be reinforced in epidemic
- times. Using the log relative influenza level allowed adjusting for such changes. We found that
- influenza declined nearly everywhere and remained low compared to the pre-pandemic period
- 236 during the 18 first months of the COVID-19 pandemic. Importantly, the global number of
- influenza tests remained roughly the same in the pre-pandemic and pandemic period, ruling out
- change in surveillance as the likely explanation. The largest reduction was in summer 2020, and
- a progressive increase was seen again till September 2021. Temperate countries had the
- 240 largest reduction, while it was limited in the tropics [11,14,31,32].
- 241 Influenza circulation could *a priori* change during the COVID-19 pandemic because of
- 242 governmental measures, self-adopted behavioural changes and direct interaction with SARS-
- 243 CoV-2. We indeed found that reduction of international flights, presence at workplaces, school
- attendance and mass gatherings explained part of the reduction, although the impact was non-
- linear. Initial strong restrictions against COVID-19 had to be relaxed in some low-resource
 countries [11,33,34] allowing renewed influenza circulation. Conversely, countries where a
- 247 strong response against the COVID-19 pandemic could be maintained saw little influenza
- 248 circulation, except in spring 2020 where strong local restrictions in Europe and the USA [5] likely
- occurred after the end of the influenza season. For the rest of the time, temperate countries in
- Europe, North America and South America that adopted a COVID-19 response centred over
- 251 local restrictions by reducing workplace presence, school attendance and gatherings had large
- reduction in influenza circulation, irrespective of the reduction of international flights. This was
- very different in four "zero-Covid" nations (Australia, New Zealand, Japan and South Korea)
 where influenza dropped though local restrictions were limited [35], suggesting a key role for
- border controls in preventing seeding from abroad. Reducing international flights by 94-97%
- 256 however did not prevent influenza introduction in Vietnam from neighbouring Cambodia [11]
- 257 likely due to the difficulty of controlling land borders.
- 258 Limitation of gatherings or public events, imposed international travel restrictions and school
- closure were previously found to be the main drivers in suppressing influenza [12,13]. Actual
- 260 behaviour, i.e. volume of flights rather than imposed international travel restrictions; or
- 261 percentage presence at the workplace rather than mandatory reduction was however more
- 262 predictive of influenza reduction than governmental restrictions. Behavioural proxies may indeed
- 263 capture adhesion to restrictions that depended on place and stage of the pandemic [36–38].
- Reduction of influenza could also stem from direct viral interference with SARS-CoV-2, for
 example through competition for cellular resources or interferon production [39,40]. Infection
 rates with influenza reportedly changed according to SARS-CoV2 status and vice versa [40]. In

this respect, we found high influenza decline with high COVID-19 incidence in group 1, and low
influenza decline with low COVID-19 incidence in group 5, but also low levels for both in zeroCOVID countries. Under-reporting of COVID-19 cases may be an alternative explanation to low

270 COVID-19 reporting in the low-income countries of group 5 [33.34.41].

271 The characterisation of influenza decline in space and time may come of use to analyse its

- 272 resurgence over time. Loss of exposure to the influenza virus may lead to more severe waves
- or out of season waves [6,7] and may increase the susceptible pool, especially in children.
- 274 Already, influenza circulation was typically late in Europe as of spring 2022 [42]. Other
- 275 epidemiological changes could occur regarding the exposed population and the seeding from
- the tropics [30] as global air transportation resumes. Deciding on the composition of the vaccine
- 277 may also prove more difficult due to the change in the evolutionary dynamics of circulating
- 278 strains [31].

279 Our study is affected by limitations. We assumed that influenza surveillance was not

- substantially altered during the pandemic period. The number of samples in the FluNet
- 281 databases indeed did not change substantially over time, as many countries maintained
- 282 influenza surveillance or quickly resumed it after initial disruption [3,4]. Influenza positivity rate
- 283 may have been affected by changes in surveillance protocols due to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 284 We did not account for influenza vaccination, due to limited information at the global scale.
- 285 Vaccination rates are highly heterogeneous among countries [43]. While targeted
- recommendations increased coverage in the elderly during the last 2 seasons in 9 northern
- 287 hemisphere countries and Australia [43], the efficacy of the influenza vaccine during the study
- 288 period remains unknown. Those circulating in Southeast Asia during autumn 2020 were not
- included in the recommendations for the 2020–21 Northern Hemisphere season [11]. Last, we
- 290 relied on the FluNet database, which integrates worldwide influenza records aggregating
- 291 countries with highly diverse influenza surveillance quality and coverage. Results from the
- sensitivity analysis showed that the reported results were similar in varying exclusion criteria.

293 References

- Emborg H-D, Carnahan A, Bragstad K, Trebbien R, Brytting M, Hungnes O, et al. Abrupt termination of the 2019/20 influenza season following preventive measures against COVID-19 in Denmark, Norway and Sweden. Eurosurveillance. 2021;26: 2001160. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.22.2001160
- Cowling BJ, Ali ST, Ng TWY, Tsang TK, Li JCM, Fong MW, et al. Impact assessment of non-pharmaceutical interventions against coronavirus disease 2019 and influenza in Hong Kong: an observational study. The Lancet Public Health. 2020;5: e279–e288. doi:10.1016/ S2468-2667(20)30090-6
- Huang QS, Wood T, Jelley L, Jennings T, Jefferies S, Daniells K, et al. Impact of the
 COVID-19 nonpharmaceutical interventions on influenza and other respiratory viral
 infections in New Zealand. Nat Commun. 2021;12: 1001. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21157-9
- Olsen SJ. Decreased Influenza Activity During the COVID-19 Pandemic United States,
 Australia, Chile, and South Africa, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69.
 doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6937a6
- 3085.Zipfel CM, Colizza V, Bansal S. The missing season: The impacts of the COVID-19309pandemic on influenza. Vaccine. 2021;39: 3645–3648. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.05.049

- Qi Y, Shaman J, Pei S. Quantifying the Impact of COVID-19 Nonpharmaceutical
 Interventions on Influenza Transmission in the United States. The Journal of Infectious
 Diseases. 2021;224: 1500–1508. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiab485
- Baker RE, Park SW, Yang W, Vecchi GA, Metcalf CJE, Grenfell BT. The impact of COVID 19 nonpharmaceutical interventions on the future dynamics of endemic infections. PNAS.
 2020;117: 30547–30553. doi:10.1073/pnas.2013182117
- Adlhoch C, Mook P, Lamb F, Ferland L, Melidou A, Amato-Gauci AJ, et al. Very little
 influenza in the WHO European Region during the 2020/21 season, weeks 40 2020 to 8
 2021. Eurosurveillance. 2021;26: 2100221. doi:10.2807/1560-
- 319 7917.ES.2021.26.11.2100221
- Ullrich A, Schranz M, Rexroth U, Hamouda O, Schaade L, Diercke M, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and associated non-pharmaceutical interventions on other notifiable infectious diseases in Germany: An analysis of national surveillance data during week 1– 2016 – week 32–2020. The Lancet Regional Health – Europe. 2021;6. doi:10.1016/i.lanepe.2021.100103
- Launay T, Souty C, Vilcu A-M, Turbelin C, Blanchon T, Guerrisi C, et al. Common
 communicable diseases in the general population in France during the COVID-19
 pandemic. PLOS ONE. 2021;16: e0258391. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0258391
- Siegers JY, Dhanasekaran V, Xie R, Deng Y-M, Patel S, Ieng V, et al. Genetic and
 Antigenic Characterization of an Influenza A(H3N2) Outbreak in Cambodia and the Greater
 Mekong Subregion during the COVID-19 Pandemic, 2020. Journal of Virology. 95: e0126721. doi:10.1128/JVI.01267-21
- Qiu Z, Cao Z, Zou M, Tang K, Zhang C, Tang J, et al. The effectiveness of governmental nonpharmaceutical interventions against COVID-19 at controlling seasonal influenza transmission: an ecological study. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2022;22: 331.
 doi:10.1186/s12879-022-07317-2
- 13. Davis WW, Mott JA, Olsen SJ. The role of non-pharmaceutical interventions on influenza
 circulation during the COVID-19 pandemic in nine tropical Asian countries. Influenza Other
 Respir Viruses. 2022;16: 568–576. doi:10.1111/irv.12953
- Karlsson EA, Mook P a. N, Vandemaele K, Fitzner J, Hammond A, Cozza V, et al. Review
 of global influenza circulation, late 2019 to 2020, and the impact of the COVID-19
 pandemic on influenza circulation. Weekly Epidemiological Record. 2021; 241–265.
- Koutsakos M, Wheatley AK, Laurie K, Kent SJ, Rockman S. Influenza lineage extinction
 during the COVID-19 pandemic? Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021;19: 741–742.
 doi:10.1038/s41579-021-00642-4
- Flahault A, Dias-Ferrao V, Chaberty P, Esteves K, Valleron AJ, Lavanchy D. FluNet as a
 tool for global monitoring of influenza on the Web. JAMA. 1998;280: 1330–1332.
- National Influenza Centres (NICs) of the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response
 System (GISRS) and World Health OrganisationWHO. FluNet. Available:
 http://www.who.int/influenza/gisrs_laboratory/flunet/en/
- Ritchie H, Mathieu E, Rodés-Guirao L, Appel C, Giattino C, Ortiz-Ospina E, et al.
 Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). Our World in Data. 2020 [cited 10 Nov 2022].
 Available: https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus
- Affairs UND of E and S. World Population Prospects 2017 Volume I: Comprehensive
 Tables. United Nations; 2021. doi:10.18356/9789210001014
- World Urbanization Prospects Population Division United Nations. [cited 30 Apr 2022].
 Available: https://population.un.org/wup/Download/
- Hersbach H, Bell B, Berrisford P, Hirahara S, Horányi A, Muñoz-Sabater J, et al. The
 ERA5 global reanalysis. QJR Meteorol Soc. 2020;146: 1999–2049. doi:10.1002/qj.3803
- 359 22. Moore M, Gelfeld B, Okunogbe AT, Paul C. Identifying Future Disease Hot Spots:
- 360 Infectious Disease Vulnerability Index. RAND Corporation; 2016 Sep. Available:

| 361 | | https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR1605.html |
|------------|-------------|--|
| 362 | 23. | Dong E. Du H. Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real |
| 363 | _0. | time. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020:20: 533–534. doi:10.1016/S1473- |
| 364 | | 3099(20)30120-1 |
| 365 | 24. | COVID-19 Community Mobility Report. In: COVID-19 Community Mobility Report [Internet]. |
| 366 | | [cited 28 Jun 2021]. Available: https://www.google.com/covid19/mobility?hl=en |
| 367 | 25. | International Air Transport Association (IATA), Available: http://www.jata.org |
| 368 | 26. | Hale T. Angrist N. Goldszmidt R. Kira B. Petherick A. Phillips T. et al. A global panel |
| 369 | | database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker). Nat |
| 370 | | Hum Behav. 2021:5: 529–538. doi:10.1038/s41562-021-01079-8 |
| 371 | 27 | Genuer R. Poggi J-M. Tuleau-Malot C. VSURE: An R Package for Variable Selection |
| 372 | | Using Random Forests The R Journal 2015 7 19–33 |
| 373 | 28 | Breiman I Friedman 1H Olshen RA Stone C.1 Classification And Regression Trees 1st |
| 374 | 20. | ed Chanman and Hall/CRC: 1984 doi:10.1201/9781315139470 |
| 375 | 29 | Therneau TM Atkinson B Rinley B rnart Recursive Partitioning and Regression Trees |
| 376 | 25. | 2015 [cited 1_]ul 2022] Available: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mart/mart.ndf |
| 370 | 30 | Tamerius 1D Shaman 1 Alonso W/1 Bloom-Feshbach K Lleijo CK Comrie A et al |
| 378 | 50. | Environmental Predictors of Seasonal Influenza Enidemics across Temperate and Tronical |
| 370 | | Climates PLoS Pathog 2013:0: e100310/ doi:10.1371/journal.pnat.100310/ |
| 380 | 21 | Dhanasekaran V. Sullivan S. Edwards KM. Xie R. Khyorov A. Valkenburg SA. et al |
| 381 | JT. | Human seasonal influenza under COVID-19 and the notential consequences of influenza |
| 383 | | lineage elimination Nat Commun 2022:13: 1721 doi:10.1038/c/11/67-022-20/02-5 |
| 202 | 22 | Mott 10 En/ AM Kondor D Wontworth DE Olson S1 Do omorgoneo of influenza virus |
| 202 | 52. | circulation during 2020 in parts of tropical Asia: Implications for other countries. Influenza |
| 204 | | and Other Despiratory Viruses 2021:15: 415, 418, doi:10.1111/jrv.12844 |
| 202 | 22 | Dablas Mándaz A. Vaga I. Aranguran ED. Tabish H. Davigliona MC. Covid-10 in Latin |
| 387 | 55. | America BM1 2020:370: m2030 doi:10.1136/bmi m2030 |
| 200 | 24 | Salver S1 Maeda 1 Sembuche S Kehede V Tshangela A Moussif M et al. The first and |
| 200 | 54. | second wayes of the COVID-10 nandemic in Africa: a cross-sectional study. The Lancet |
| 200 | | 2021:207: 1265, 1275, doi:10.1016/S01/0_6726/21)00622-2 |
| 201 | 25 | locker NS_Au DKS_Dees Zero COVID pedlect health disparities2 lournal of Medical |
| 202 | 55. | Ethics 2022:48: 160, 172, doi:10.1126/modothics-2021-107762 |
| 202 | 26 | Dullano C. Di Domonico I. Sabbatini CE. Valdano E. Turbolin C. Dobin M. et al |
| 204 | 50. | Linderdetection of COVID-10 cases in France threatens enidemic control. Nature, 2020: 1 |
| 205 | | 0 doi:10.1028/c/1586-020-02005-6 |
| 206 | 27 | Di Domonico I. Sabbatini CE. Roëllo D.V. Polotto C. Crópov D. Dairoau 1. et al. Adherence |
| 207 | 57. | and sustainability of interventions informing ontimal control against the COVID-10 |
| 208 | | nandomic Commun Mod 2021:1: 1 12 doi:10.1028/s/2856-021-00057-5 |
| 200 | 20 | Waitz 1S. Dark SW. Ekcin C. Dushoff 1 Awaranass driven behavior changes can shift the |
| <u>100</u> | 50. | shape of epidemics away from peaks and toward plateaus, shoulders, and oscillations |
| 400 | | Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2020:117: 22764, 22771 |
| 401 | | doi:10.1072/ppag.2000011117 |
| 402 | 20 | Operation with control phase of the second s |
| 403 | 39. | its impact on surveillance, nathogenesis, and epidemic profile: A key role for mathematical |
| 404 | | modelling DLOS Dathogenes 2018:14: o1006770 doi:10.1271/journal.ppat.1006770 |
| 405 | 40 | Diret 1 Roivin G. Viral Interference between Despiratory Viruses. Emerg Infect Dis |
| 400 | 40. | 2022:28: 272 281 doi:10.2201/oid2802.211727 |
| 407 702 | ∕11 | Lovin AT Ownen-Roaitev N Durch S Eachick RK Zwi AR Malani A at al Accorcing the |
| 400 | ч ⊥. | burden of COVID-19 in developing countries: systematic review mote-analysis and public |
| 409 110 | | policy implications RM1 Clobal Health 2022.7. a009/77 doi:10.1126/bmigh.2022.009/77 |
| 410 411 | 42 | Embora H-D Vesternaard I S Botnen AB Nielsen 1 Krause TG Trebhien P A late sharn |
| | -τ. | \sim |

- increase in influenza detections and low interim vaccine effectiveness against the
 circulating A(H3N2) strain, Denmark, 2021/22 influenza season up to 25 March 2022.
 Eurosurveillance. 2022;27: 2200278. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2022.27.15.2200278
- 415 43. Riccio MD, Lina B, Caini S, Staadegaard L, Wiegersma S, Kynčl J, et al. Letter to the
- 416 editor: Increase of influenza vaccination coverage rates during the COVID-19 pandemic
- 417 and implications for the upcoming influenza season in northern hemisphere countries and
- 418 Australia. Eurosurveillance. 2021;26: 2101143. doi:10.2807/1560-
- 419 7917.ES.2021.26.50.2101143

420 Acknowledgements

- 421 We acknowledge financial support from the Municipality of Paris (https://www.paris.fr/) through
- 422 the programme Emergence(s) to FB and CP; EU H2020 grants MOOD (H2020-874850) to PYB,
- 423 VC and CP; Institut des Sciences du Calcul et de la Donnée.

424 Data sharing

- 425 All data are available online from the references cited in the manuscript, except for airline data
- 426 that were used under licence from the International Air Transport Association
- 427 (https://www.iata.org/) and are not available for redistribution.